

A HIERARCHY OF HEAT CONDUCTION LAWS

FILIPPO DELL'ORO AND VITTORINO PATA

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this work is to produce a family of equations describing the evolution of the temperature in a rigid heat conductor. This is obtained by means of successive approximations of the Fourier law, via memory relaxations and integral perturbations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a homogenous isotropic rigid heat conductor occupying a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and denote by

$$u = u(\mathbf{x}, t) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

its *temperature variation* at each point and time from an equilibrium reference value θ_0 , that is,

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\theta(\mathbf{x}, t) - \theta_0}{\theta_0},$$

where θ is the absolute temperature. In absence of heat energy generation, from external or internal sources, the thermal evolution of the body is governed by the energy balance equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{e} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = 0.$$

Here,

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, t) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is the *internal energy* of the conductor, while

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$$

is the *heat flux vector*. Within the assumption of small variations of the temperature and its gradient, the rate of change of the internal energy in the material is proportional to the rate of change of its temperature (see [21]). Namely,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{e} = c \partial_t u,$$

where the proportionality constant $c > 0$ is the *specific heat*. Accordingly, setting from now on $c = 1$, the energy balance equation takes the form

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t u + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = 0.$$

In order to derive an equation ruling the evolution of the relative temperature, one more ingredient is needed: the so-called constitutive law for the heat flux, allowing to write \mathbf{q} in terms of u . In fact, the choice of the constitutive law is what really determines a model of heat conduction. At the same time, being a purely heuristic interpretation of a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35K05, 35Q79, 74A15, 80A05.

Key words and phrases. Thermal evolution, heat flux, energy balance, constitutive equations.

certain physical phenomenon, it may reflect different individual perceptions of reality, or even philosophical beliefs.

The first constitutive law for the heat flux appeared in the literature is $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla u$, with $\kappa > 0$, established in 1882 by Fourier in his celebrated work *Théorie analytique de la chaleur* [13]. Since then, as shown in the next section, a number of variants of this law have been proposed. In particular, in 1995, Tzou suggested in the famous paper [31] a modification of the Fourier law where both \mathbf{q} and the gradient of temperature present a delay, namely,

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t + \tau_q) = -\kappa \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t + \tau_u),$$

where $\tau_q, \tau_u > 0$ are delay parameters. Some years later, Tzou's theory has been modified via the introduction of a constitutive equation of form [27]

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t + \tau_q) = -\kappa \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t + \tau_u) - \kappa_1 \nabla u_1(\mathbf{x}, t + \tau_{u_1}),$$

where τ_{u_1} is another delay parameter which is also assumed to be positive. Here, u_1 is the so-called thermal displacement satisfying $\partial_t u_1 = u$, and $\kappa_1 > 0$ is a constant which is typical in the type II and III thermoelastic theories. Heat conduction laws of the above kind are known as *phase lag models*; more precisely, dual phase lag if (1.2), three phase lag if (1.3). Since the delay parameters are assumed to be very small, Quintanilla and Racke [26] (but see also the textbook [29]) proposed to take the formal Taylor expansions of (1.2) and (1.3) stopping at different orders, that is (omitting the dependence on the space variable \mathbf{x}),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{q}(t) + \tau_q \partial_t \mathbf{q}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \tau_q^2 \partial_{tt} \mathbf{q}(t) + \dots \\ &= -\kappa \nabla u(t) - \tau_u \kappa \nabla \partial_t u(t) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_u^2 \kappa \nabla \partial_{tt} u(t) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{q}(t) + \tau_q \partial_t \mathbf{q}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \tau_q^2 \partial_{tt} \mathbf{q}(t) + \dots \\ &= -\kappa \nabla u(t) - \tau_u \kappa \nabla \partial_t u(t) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_u^2 \kappa \nabla \partial_{tt} u(t) + \dots \\ & \quad - \kappa_1 \nabla u_1(t) - \tau_{u_1} \kappa_1 \nabla \partial_t u_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{u_1}^2 \kappa_1 \nabla \partial_{tt} u_1(t) + \dots \end{aligned}$$


Therefore, the sum

$$(1.1) + \tau_q \partial_t (1.1) + \frac{1}{2} \tau_q^2 \partial_{tt} (1.1) + \dots$$

gives rise to a hierarchy of evolution equations in the variable u and u_1 , respectively.

In the present work, taking inspiration from this idea, we propose an alternative path, which allows in particular to include into the picture some heat laws containing memory terms described by convolution integrals, hence having a non local character in time. The starting point is again the Fourier law. At each step, we find a heat law involving ∇u_n along with its time derivatives up to a certain order, where $\partial_t^n u_n = u$. Then, we perform a memory relaxation of ∇u_n via a convolution integral, and we introduce a further integral perturbation by adding the term $-\kappa_{n+1} \nabla u_{n+1}$, with $\kappa_{n+1} > 0$ small. When the

convolution kernel is the negative exponential, we can show that what we obtain is nothing but the analogue of the law of the previous step, now expressed in terms of u_{n+1} , and where the order of the time derivatives of the heat flux has increased by one. We depict our procedure in the following flow chart:



With this method, we produce a hierarchy of evolution equations, along with their memory counterparts. In fact, most of our equations without memory are the same of those found in [26]. However, it is important to point out that, contrary to [26] where the equations are at any step in the variables u or u_1 , in our case the equation at the n^{th} -step is for the variable u_n .

2. THE FOURIER LAW AND ITS RELAXATIONS

2.1. **The Fourier law.** For the classical Fourier constitutive law [13]

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla u,$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is the *instantaneous conductivity*, we deduce from (1.1) the well-known heat equation

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u = 0.$$

This equation, being parabolic, exhibits a physically unpleasant feature: a disturbance at any point is felt instantaneously everywhere. In other words, the speed propagation of thermal signals is infinite. This phenomenon, sometimes referred to as the *paradox of heat conduction* (see, e.g., [2, 12]), is not expected, nor observed, in real conductors. Therefore, several attempts have been made through the years in order to introduce some hyperbolicity in the mathematical modeling of heat conduction. Starting from (2.1), the idea is then to produce more refined heat laws by means of perturbative arguments, or by applying some kind of relaxation to the variables in play.

2.2. The Maxwell-Cattaneo law. A first possibility is adopting the renowned Maxwell-Cattaneo law [1], namely, the differential perturbation of (2.1)

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla u,$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \ll \kappa$. In which case, the sum (1.1) + $\varepsilon \partial_t(1.1)$ entails the weakly damped wave equation

$$(2.4) \quad \varepsilon \partial_{tt} u + \partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u = 0,$$

otherwise called the telegrapher's equation, widely employed in the description of several physical phenomena.

2.3. The Gurtin-Pipkin and the Coleman-Gurtin laws. Another strategy is to relax the term (or part of the term) ∇u appearing in the Fourier law (2.1) by means of a time-convolution against a bounded convex summable function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, usually called *memory kernel*, of total mass

$$\int_0^\infty g(s) ds = 1.$$

Precisely, for $\omega \in [0, 1)$, we take (omitting the dependence on t outside the integral)

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{q} = -\omega \kappa \nabla u - (1 - \omega) \kappa \int_0^\infty g(s) \nabla u(t - s) ds = 0,$$

where the initial past history of the temperature $u(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{t \leq 0}$ is supposed to be known, and it is regarded as an initial datum of the problem. The above is usually called the Gurtin-Pipkin law [21] when $\omega = 0$, and the Coleman-Gurtin law [3] when $\omega \in (0, 1)$. Plugging (2.5) into (1.1) we end up with the integro-differential equation

$$(2.6) \quad \partial_t u - \omega \kappa \Delta u - (1 - \omega) \kappa \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta u(t - s) ds = 0,$$

which exhibits a nonlocal (in time) character, due to the memory term. The role of ω is most peculiar: when $\omega > 0$, the presence of the instantaneous Laplacian of the temperature has a regularizing effect, introducing parabolicity in the model. On the contrary, the equation for $\omega = 0$ is purely hyperbolic (see [11, 14, 21]). In fact, this regularizing feature will occur in all the heat models considered hereafter, whenever $\omega > 0$. Finally, let us observe that in the formal limiting case where κ equals the Dirac mass at zero, or when $\omega = 1$, we recover (2.2). From the physical viewpoint, this means that (2.6) is close to (2.2) when the memory kernel is concentrated, that is, when the system keeps a very short memory of past effects.

Remark 2.1. Choosing $u(\mathbf{x}, t)|_{t \leq 0} = 0$, that is, null initial past history of the temperature, one obtains the Volterra version of (2.6)

$$\partial_t u - \omega \kappa \Delta u - (1 - \omega) \kappa \int_0^t g(s) \Delta u(t - s) ds = 0.$$

2.4. The exponential kernel. We now consider the heat law (2.5) for the exponential kernel

$$(2.7) \quad g(s) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{s}{\varepsilon}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then, observing that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_0^\infty g(s) \nabla u(t-s) ds &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_t \left(e^{-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\frac{s}{\varepsilon}} \nabla u(s) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla u(t) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty g(s) \nabla u(t-s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

taking the sum (2.5) + $\varepsilon \partial_t(2.5)$ we end up with

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla u - \varepsilon \omega \kappa \nabla \partial_t u,$$

which contains the Maxwell-Cattaneo law (2.3) as a particular instance, corresponding to $\omega = 0$. In particular, this tells that a differential perturbation of the Fourier law is just a memory relaxation with the exponential kernel. Again, by (1.1) + $\varepsilon \partial_t(1.1)$, we obtain the evolution equation for the temperature, that now reads

$$(2.10) \quad \varepsilon \partial_{tt} u + \partial_t u - \varepsilon \omega \kappa \Delta \partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u = 0.$$

Clearly, when $\omega = 0$ this is the weakly damped wave equation (2.4). On the other hand, when $\omega > 0$ we have the regularizing extra term $-\Delta \partial_t u$, which renders the equation no longer purely hyperbolic. As a matter of fact, we can also allow the limiting situation $\varepsilon = 0$, corresponding to the Dirac mass at zero. In which case, we boil down to the Fourier law, and (2.10) becomes the heat equation (2.2).

Remark 2.2. Apparently, for the limit value $\omega = 1$, this procedure might look flawed. Indeed, from the one side if $\omega = 1$ the starting law (2.5) is just the Fourier law (2.1). From the other side the procedure above leads to (2.9) with $\omega = 1$, namely,

$$\mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla u - \varepsilon \kappa \nabla \partial_t u.$$

But, calling

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{q} + \kappa \nabla u,$$

the latter equation can be written as

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\phi} = 0,$$

implying in turn that

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(t) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(0) e^{-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}.$$

Note that this is possible just because $\omega = 1$. At this point, all depends on the initial value $\boldsymbol{\phi}(0)$ which must be necessarily read from the original equation (2.5), clearly with $\omega = 1$. This yields $\boldsymbol{\phi}(0) = 0$, hence $\boldsymbol{\phi} = 0$ for all times, recovering the Fourier law. This is the reason why we always take $\omega \in [0, 1)$.

2.5. Heat conduction of type III. The theory of heat conduction of type III goes back to the works of Green and Naghdi [16, 17, 18, 19, 20], and it is based on the introduction of a further variable

$$u_1 = u_1(\mathbf{x}, t) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

called *thermal displacement*, defined (up to a constant in time function) by the relation

$$\partial_t u_1 = u.$$

The Fourier law (2.1) is modified as

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla u - \kappa_1 \nabla u_1,$$

where the constant $\kappa_1 > 0$ is the *conductivity rate*. Since

$$u_1(\mathbf{x}, t) = u_1(\mathbf{x}, 0) + \int_0^t u(\mathbf{x}, s) ds,$$

the above can be viewed as an integral perturbation of (2.1), which collapses into (2.1) in the limit case $\kappa_1 = 0$. From the energy balance (1.1), we deduce the evolution equation for the variable u_1

$$(2.12) \quad \partial_{tt} u_1 - \kappa \Delta \partial_t u_1 - \kappa_1 \Delta u_1 = 0.$$

Equation (2.12), known as the strongly damped wave equation, has applications in several fields. In particular, it serves as a model of viscoelasticity, more precisely, in the language of Dautray and Lions [5], of viscoelasticity with *short memory*. In that context, it goes by the name of Kelvin-Voigt equation.

3. HEAT LAW OF ORDER 1

The idea now is to consider the modifications (2.5) and (2.11) of the Fourier law at the same time. This leads to the introduction of the following heat law, that within the language of the next section we might call *of order 0 with memory*:

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{q} = -\omega \kappa \nabla u - (1 - \omega) \kappa \int_0^\infty g(s) \nabla u(t - s) ds - \kappa_1 \nabla u_1 = 0.$$

From the energy balance (1.1), and recalling that $u = \partial_t u_1$, we find the integro-differential equation in the variable u_1

$$(3.2) \quad \partial_{tt} u_1 - \omega \kappa \Delta \partial_t u_1 - (1 - \omega) \kappa \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta \partial_t u_1(t - s) ds - \kappa_1 \Delta u_1 = 0.$$

Equation (3.2) for $\omega = 0$ is the renowned equation of viscoelasticity with *long memory* [5], which is purely hyperbolic, contrary to the its short memory counterpart, formally obtained when g is the Dirac mass at zero. This equation has been first analyzed in a systematic way by Dafermos [4], and studied by quite many authors thereafter. Instead, when $\omega > 0$ we have the strongly damped wave equation with memory, where one can appreciate the regularization provided by the term $-\Delta \partial_t u_1$, that confers the equation a partially parabolic character (see [10]).

Remark 3.1. Actually, introducing the differentiated memory kernel

$$\mu(s) = -\kappa g'(s),$$

by means of an integration by parts the equation of viscoelasticity can be given the more familiar form

$$\partial_{tt}u_1 - \kappa_1 \Delta u_1 - \int_0^\infty \mu(s) [\Delta u_1(t) - \Delta u_1(t-s)] ds = 0.$$

Indeed, the boundary terms of the integration by parts vanish within reasonable regularity hypotheses on the solution (see, e.g., [15]).

The next step is repeating the scheme devised in Subsection (2.4), by choosing the memory kernel g of the form (2.7). Accordingly, by taking the sum (3.1) + $\varepsilon \partial_t(3.1)$ and exploiting (2.8), we arrive at the heat law of order 1

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon \mathbf{q}_t = -\kappa_1 \nabla u_1 - (\kappa + \varepsilon \kappa_1) \nabla \partial_t u_1 - \varepsilon \omega \kappa \nabla \partial_t^2 u_1,$$

written in terms of the variable u_1 solely. In turn, the energy balance (1.1) produces the third-order evolution equation

$$(3.4) \quad \varepsilon \partial_{ttt} u_1 + \partial_{tt} u_1 - \varepsilon \omega \kappa \Delta \partial_t^2 u_1 - (\kappa + \varepsilon \kappa_1) \Delta \partial_t u_1 - \kappa_1 \Delta u_1 = 0.$$

When $\omega = 0$, this is the Moore-Gibson-Thompson (MGT) equation, arising in the modeling of wave propagation in viscous thermally relaxing fluids [25, 30], whose first derivation is due to Stokes [28]. The case $\omega > 0$ yields a partially parabolic regularization of the (fully hyperbolic) MGT equation.

Remark 3.2. As in Subsection (2.4), we could also allow ε to be zero, corresponding to a kernel g collapsing into the Dirac mass at zero. Then (3.3) reduces to the law (2.11) of heat conduction of type III. Clearly, if also $\kappa_1 = 0$, we recover the Fourier law expressed in terms of $\partial_t u_1$, which is nothing but u .

On the MGT equation. Before going any further, let us dwell for a while on the MGT equation, which in the literature is usually written in the form (here in the variable v)

$$(3.5) \quad \partial_{ttt} v + a \partial_{tt} v - b \Delta \partial_t v - c \Delta v = 0,$$

where a, b, c are generic positive constants. Provided that Ω has a sufficiently regular boundary, assuming the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for v , equation (3.5) is well known to generate a linear solution semigroup $S(t)$ on the natural weak energy space

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega).$$

Here, with standard notation, L^2 is the Lebesgue space of square-summable functions, whereas H_0^1 is the Sobolev space of functions which are square-summable along with their first derivatives and vanish on the boundary of Ω . Notably, the asymptotic properties of $S(t)$ depend on a combination of the physical parameters. Indeed, defining the so-called stability number

$$\varkappa = b - \frac{c}{a},$$

it turns out that the semigroup is exponentially stable if and only if $\varkappa > 0$ (subcritical regime), whereas in the supercritical regime $\varkappa < 0$ there are trajectories whose energy

blows up exponentially fast [23, 24]. This fact somehow indicates that the MGT equation is physically meaningful only when $\varkappa > 0$. If we want to write our equation (3.4) in the form (3.5) for arbitrary coefficient $a, b, c > 0$, we have to choose the constants as follows:

$$\omega = 0, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{a}, \quad \kappa_1 = \frac{c}{a}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\varkappa}{a}.$$

Since κ must be positive, it is apparent that such a procedure allows us to recover the MGT equation (3.5) *only* in the subcritical case. And this actually makes perfectly sense, as what we produced is an equation describing the evolution of the temperature, whose semigroup is supposed to exhibit a fast decay in absence of heat sources. This pattern has been already noted in [8], where the MGT equation is viewed as a particular instance of the equation of viscoelasticity.

4. HEAT LAWS OF ORDER n

Renaming the relative temperature u by u_0 , for $n \geq 1$ we introduce the sequence of functions u_n , each one being the antiderivative of u_{n-1} , hence satisfying the relations

$$\partial_t u_n = u_{n-1}.$$

Our purpose, as mentioned in the Introduction, is to generate a hierarchy of heat laws involving the function u_n , for every fixed n . To this end, let us first rename the constants ε , ω and κ appearing in (3.3) by ε_1 , ω_1 and κ_0 , respectively. Accordingly, the law of order 1 becomes

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon_1 \mathbf{q}_t = -\kappa_1 \nabla u_1 - (\varepsilon_1 \kappa_1 + \kappa_0) \nabla \partial_t u_1 - \varepsilon_1 \omega_1 \kappa_0 \nabla \partial_t^2 u_1.$$

We also assume that, for every $n \geq 1$, we are given the sequences

$$\varepsilon_n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_n \in [0, 1),$$

and, for every $n \geq 0$,

$$\kappa_n > 0.$$

Actually, we can allow $\kappa_m = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$, but in that case the sequences $\varepsilon_n, \omega_n, \kappa_n$ are finite and they stop at $n = m$.

Then, for every $n \geq 1$ (and whenever $\kappa_{n-1} > 0$), we define the heat law *of order n* by

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{q} + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^i \mathbf{q} = -\kappa_n \nabla u_n - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \beta_n^i \nabla \partial_t^i u_n.$$

For $n = 1$, in compliance with (4.1), the (nonnegative) coefficients appearing in the formula above are

$$\alpha_1^1 = \varepsilon_1, \quad \beta_1^1 = \varepsilon_1 \kappa_1 + \kappa_0, \quad \beta_1^2 = \varepsilon_1 \omega_1 \kappa_0.$$

Instead, for $n \geq 2$ they are determined by the recurrence relations

$$(4.3) \quad \alpha_n^i = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_n + \alpha_{n-1}^1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \varepsilon_n \alpha_{n-1}^{i-1} + \alpha_{n-1}^i & \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ and } i = 2, \dots, n-1, \\ \varepsilon_n \alpha_{n-1}^{n-1} & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \beta_n^i = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_n \kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \varepsilon_n \omega_n \kappa_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}^1 & \text{if } i = 2, \\ \varepsilon_n \beta_{n-1}^{i-2} + \beta_{n-1}^{i-1} & \text{if } i = 3, \dots, 2n-1, \\ \varepsilon_n \beta_{n-1}^{2n-2} & \text{if } i = 2n. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.1. The coefficients α_n^i have the explicit form

$$\alpha_n^i = \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_i=1}^n \varepsilon_{k_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{k_i} \delta_{k_1, \dots, k_i},$$

where

$$\delta_{k_1, \dots, k_i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k_1, \dots, k_i \text{ are distinct integers,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is the generalized delta Kronecker. The relevant information provided by this formula is that if $\alpha_n^i = 0$, then at least $n - i + 1$ among the constants $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ vanish. Accordingly, if $\alpha_n^i = 0$ for some i , then $\alpha_n^k = 0$ for all $k \geq i$.

Remark 4.2. Concerning the coefficients β_n^i , one can check the following facts:

- (i) $\beta_n^i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (ii) $\beta_n^{2n} > 0$ if and only if $\omega_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n > 0$.
- (iii) Let $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ be fixed, and assume that $\alpha_n^{n-k} = 0$. In particular, Remark 4.1 implies that $\alpha_n^n = \alpha_n^{n-1} = \dots = \alpha_n^{n-k} = 0$. Then, via (actually nontrivial) combinatorial arguments, it follows that

$$\beta_n^{2n} = \dots = \beta_n^{2n-k} = 0.$$

At this point, we distinguish two cases.

- If $\kappa_n = 0$, we rewrite (4.2) in terms of the variable u_{n-1} , so obtaining

$$\mathbf{q} + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^i \mathbf{q} = - \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \beta_n^{i+1} \nabla \partial_t^i u_{n-1}.$$

Hence, taking the sum (1.1) + $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^i (1.1)$, we find the evolution equation in the variable u_{n-1}

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^{i+n} u_{n-1} + \partial_t^n u_{n-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \beta_n^{i+1} \Delta \partial_t^i u_{n-1} = 0.$$

In particular, the last term $\beta_n^{2n} \nabla \partial_t^{2n-1} u_{n-1}$, if present, is responsible for a regularizing effect. On the contrary, the character of (4.5) is purely hyperbolic when all the coefficients α_n^i differ from zero and $\beta_n^{2n} = 0$. As a particular case of (4.5), we like to mention the case $n = 2$ with $\beta_2^4 = 0$ (i.e., in absence of regularization), and all the other coefficients strictly positive, namely,

$$\alpha_2^2 \partial_{ttt} u_1 + \alpha_2^1 \partial_{ttt} u_1 + \partial_{tt} u_1 - \beta_2^3 \Delta \partial_{tt} u_1 - \beta_2^2 \Delta \partial_t u_1 - \beta_2^1 \Delta u_1 = 0.$$

This is a fourth-order equation of MGT type which has been extensively studied in [9].

- If $\kappa_n \neq 0$, we find the evolution equation in the variable u_n

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^{i+n+1} u_n + \partial_t^{n+1} u_n - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \beta_n^i \Delta \partial_t^i u_n - \kappa_n \Delta u_n = 0.$$

Again, $-\beta_n^{2n} \Delta \partial_t^{2n} u_n$ is a regularizing term.

5. HEAT LAWS OF ORDER n WITH MEMORY

When $\kappa_n \neq 0$, we are in a position to make a memory relaxation of the law (4.2), along with a perturbation obtained by adding (minus) the gradient of the antiderivative u_{n+1} of u_n . Hence, given a bounded convex summable function $g_{n+1} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ of unitary total mass, we consider the heat law *of order n with memory*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{q} + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^i \mathbf{q} = -\omega_{n+1} \kappa_n \nabla u_n - (1 - \omega_{n+1}) \kappa_n \int_0^\infty g_{n+1}(s) \nabla u_n(t-s) ds \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \beta_n^i \nabla \partial_t^i u_n - \kappa_{n+1} \nabla u_{n+1},$$

where $\kappa_{n+1} \geq 0$ and $\omega_{n+1} \in [0, 1)$. This heat law, by applying the energy balance (1.1), yields the memory counterparts of (4.6), exhibiting a nonlocal character in time due to the presence of the convolution integral. Again, we shall distinguish two cases.

- If $\kappa_{n+1} = 0$, we deduce the equation in the variable u_n

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^{i+n+1} u_n + \partial_t^{n+1} u_n - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \beta_n^i \Delta \partial_t^i u_n \\ - \omega_{n+1} \kappa_n \Delta u_n - (1 - \omega_{n+1}) \kappa_n \int_0^\infty g_{n+1}(s) \Delta u_n(t-s) ds = 0.$$

As a particular instance of (5.2) we consider the case $n = 1$ with $\beta_1^2 = 0$ (i.e., in absence of regularization), and all the other coefficients strictly positive, namely,

$$(5.3) \quad \alpha_1^1 \partial_{ttt} u_1 + \partial_{tt} u_1 - \beta_1^1 \Delta \partial_t u_1 - \omega_2 \kappa_1 \Delta u_1 - (1 - \omega_2) \kappa_1 \int_0^\infty g_2(s) \Delta u_1(t-s) ds = 0.$$

Introducing the integrated kernel

$$G(s) = (1 - \omega_2) \kappa_1 \int_s^\infty g_2(y) dy,$$

equation (5.3) becomes

$$\alpha_1^1 \partial_{ttt} u_1 + \partial_{tt} u_1 - \beta_1^1 \Delta \partial_t u_1 - \kappa_1 \Delta u_1 + \int_0^\infty G(s) \Delta \partial_t u_1(t-s) ds = 0,$$

known in the literature as the MGT equation with memory of type II (see [7, 22]).

Remark 5.1. As a matter of fact, we could also allow ω_2 to take *any* positive value, except the forbidden value $\omega_2 = 1$, in compliance with Remark 2.2. In particular, for $\omega_2 > 1$, equation (5.3) becomes the MGT equation with memory of type I (see [6, 22]).

- If $\kappa_{n+1} \neq 0$, we write (5.1) in terms of u_{n+1} only, and we deduce the equation

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_n^i \partial_t^{i+n+2} u_{n+1} + \partial_t^{n+2} u_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \beta_n^i \Delta \partial_t^{i+1} u_{n+1} - \omega_{n+1} \kappa_n \Delta \partial_t u_{n+1} \\ - (1 - \omega_{n+1}) \kappa_n \int_0^\infty g_{n+1}(s) \Delta \partial_t u_{n+1}(t-s) ds - \kappa_{n+1} \Delta u_{n+1} = 0.$$

For $n = 1$ and $\alpha_1^1 = 0$, hence $\beta_1^2 = 0$, equation (5.4) reads

$$\partial_{ttt} u_2 - \beta_1^1 \Delta \partial_{tt} u_2 - \omega_2 \kappa_1 \Delta \partial_t u_2 - (1 - \omega_2) \kappa_1 \int_0^\infty g_2(s) \Delta \partial_t u_2(t-s) ds - \kappa_2 \Delta u_2 = 0,$$

which, defining the differentiated kernel

$$\mu(s) = -(1 - \omega_2) \kappa_1 g_2'(s),$$

can be written in the form

$$\partial_{ttt} u_2 - \beta_1^1 \Delta \partial_{tt} u_2 - \omega_2 \kappa_1 \Delta \partial_t u_2 - \varrho \Delta u_2 + \int_0^\infty \mu(s) \Delta u_2(t-s) ds,$$

with $\varrho = \kappa_2 + (1 - \omega_2) \kappa_1 g_2(0)$. The latter is a regularized version of the MGT equation with memory of type I.

6. THE INDUCTIVE PROCEDURE

The last step is to justify the hierarchy of heat laws of order n and of order n with memory presented in the previous sections. This will be done by means of an inductive argument, starting from the law of order 1, whose derivation in turn starts from the Fourier law, which in this scheme might be seen as the law of order 0. Accordingly, let us assume we are given for some $n \geq 1$ the law of order n with memory (5.1). We now choose the kernel g_{n+1} of the form

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{n+1}} e^{-\frac{s}{\varepsilon_{n+1}}},$$

where the value $\varepsilon_{n+1} = 0$ is allowed, and in that case g_{n+1} becomes the Dirac mass at zero. At this point, exploiting (2.8), we take the time derivative of (5.1), written in terms of u_{n+1} only, and we multiply it by ε_{n+1} . This gives, changing the summation indexes,

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} \partial_t \mathbf{q} + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+1} \alpha_n^{i-1} \partial_t^i \mathbf{q} = -\varepsilon_{n+1} \omega_{n+1} \kappa_n \nabla \partial_{tt} u_{n+1} - (1 - \omega_{n+1}) \kappa_n \nabla \partial_t u_{n+1} \\ + (1 - \omega_{n+1}) \kappa_n \int_0^\infty g_{n+1}(s) \nabla \partial_t u_{n+1}(t-s) ds \\ - \sum_{i=3}^{2n+2} \varepsilon_{n+1} \beta_n^{i-2} \nabla \partial_t^i u_{n+1} - \varepsilon_{n+1} \kappa_{n+1} \nabla \partial_t u_{n+1}.$$

Adding the latter equation with (5.1) written in terms of u_{n+1} , we finally get (where the first sum disappears when $n = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{q} + (\varepsilon_{n+1} + \alpha_n^1) \partial_t \mathbf{q} + \sum_{i=2}^n (\varepsilon_{n+1} \alpha_n^{i-1} + \alpha_n^i) \partial_t^i \mathbf{q} + \varepsilon_{n+1} \alpha_n^n \partial_t^{n+1} \mathbf{q} \\ &= -\kappa_{n+1} \nabla u_{n+1} - (\varepsilon_{n+1} \kappa_{n+1} + \kappa_n) \nabla \partial_t u_{n+1} - (\varepsilon_{n+1} \omega_{n+1} \kappa_n + \beta_n^1) \nabla \partial_{tt} u_{n+1} \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=3}^{2n+1} (\varepsilon_{n+1} \beta_n^{i-2} + \beta_n^{i-1}) \nabla \partial_t^i u_{n+1} - \varepsilon_{n+1} \beta_n^{2n} \nabla \partial_t^{2n+2} u_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

But, in the light of (4.3)-(4.4), this is exactly the law (4.2) written for $n + 1$, that is, the heat law of order $n + 1$. This completes the argument.

7. TEN EQUATIONS

In this last section we produce a list of ten notable evolution equations, all written in the generic variable v , that can be obtained from the family of constitutive laws for the heat flux introduced in this work. In what follows, a, b, c, d, e will stand for strictly positive constants, whereas $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ will be a bounded convex summable function, not necessarily of unitary total mass.

(i) The heat equation:

$$\partial_t v - a \Delta v = 0.$$

(ii) The Gurtin-Pipkin heat equation:

$$\partial_t v - \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta v(t-s) ds = 0.$$

(iii) The Coleman-Gurtin heat equation:

$$\partial_t v - a \Delta v - \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta v(t-s) ds = 0.$$

(iv) The weakly damped wave equation:

$$\partial_{tt} v + a \partial_t v - b \Delta v = 0.$$

(v) The strongly damped wave equation:

$$\partial_{tt} v - a \Delta \partial_t v - b \Delta v = 0.$$

(vi) The Moore-Gibson-Thompson (MGT) equation:

$$\partial_{ttt} v + a \partial_{tt} v - b \Delta \partial_t v - c \Delta v = 0.$$

(vii) The regularized MGT equation:

$$\partial_{ttt} v + a \partial_{tt} v - b \Delta \partial_{tt} v - c \Delta \partial_t v - d \Delta v = 0.$$

(viii) The MGT equation with memory of type I:

$$\partial_{ttt}v + a\partial_{tt}v - b\Delta\partial_tv - c\Delta v + \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta v(t-s)ds = 0.$$

(ix) The MGT equation with memory of type II:

$$\partial_{ttt}v + a\partial_{tt}v - b\Delta\partial_tv - c\Delta v + \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta\partial_tv(t-s)ds = 0.$$

(x) A fourth order equation of MGT type:

$$\partial_{tttt}v + a\partial_{ttt}v + b\partial_{tt}v - c\Delta\partial_{tt}v - d\Delta\partial_tv - e\Delta v = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Cattaneo, *Sur une forme de l'équation de la chaleur éliminant le paradoxe d'une propagation instantanée*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **247** (1958), 431–433.
- [2] C.I. Christov, P.M. Jordan, *Heat conduction paradox involving second-sound propagation in moving media*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005), p.154301.
- [3] B.D. Coleman, M.E. Gurtin, *Equipresence and constitutive equations for rigid heat conductors*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **18** (1967), 199–208.
- [4] C.M. Dafermos, *Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **37** (1970), 297–308.
- [5] R. Dautray, J.-L. Lions, *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology. Vol. 5. Evolution problems. I.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [6] F. Dell'Oro, I. Lasiecka, V. Pata, *The Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation with memory in the critical case*, J. Differential Equations **261** (2016), 4188–4222.
- [7] F. Dell'Oro, I. Lasiecka, V. Pata, *A note on the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation with memory of type II*, J. Evol. Equ. **20** (2020), 1251–1268.
- [8] F. Dell'Oro, V. Pata, *On the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation and its relation to linear viscoelasticity.*, Appl. Math. Optim. **76** (2017), 641–655.
- [9] F. Dell'Oro, V. Pata, *On a fourth-order equation of Moore-Gibson-Thompson type*, Milan J. Math. **85** (2017), 215–234.
- [10] F. Di Plinio, V. Pata, S. Zelik, *On the strongly damped wave equation with memory*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 757–780.
- [11] M. Fabrizio, G. Gentili, D.W. Reynolds *On a rigid linear heat conductor with memory*, Internat. J. Engrg. Sci. **36** (1998), 765–782.
- [12] G. Fichera, *Is the Fourier theory of heat propagation paradoxical?*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **41** (1992), 5–28.
- [13] J. Fourier, *Théorie analytique de la chaleur*, Reprint of the 1822 original. Éditions Jacques Gabayl, Paris, 1988.
- [14] C. Giorgi, V. Pata, *Asymptotic behavior of a nonlinear hyperbolic heat equation with memory*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **2** (2001), 157–171.
- [15] M. Grasselli, V. Pata, *Uniform attractors of nonautonomous systems with memory*, in “Evolution Equations, Semigroups and Functional Analysis” (A. Lorenzi and B. Ruf, Eds.), pp.155–178, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. no.50, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [16] A.E. Green, P.M. Naghdi, *On undamped heat waves in an elastic solid*, J. Thermal Stresses **15** (1992), 253–264.
- [17] A.E. Green, P.M. Naghdi, *Thermoelasticity without energy dissipation*, J. Elasticity **31** (1993), 189–208.
- [18] A.E. Green, P.M. Naghdi, *A unified procedure for construction of theories of deformable media. I. Classical continuum physics*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **448** (1995), 335–356.

- [19] A.E. Green, P.M. Naghdi, *A unified procedure for construction of theories of deformable media. II. Generalized continua*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **448** (1995), 357–377.
- [20] A.E. Green, P.M. Naghdi, *A unified procedure for construction of theories of deformable media. III. Mixtures of interacting continua*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **448** (1995), 379–388.
- [21] M.E. Gurtin, A.C. Pipkin, *A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **31** (1968), 113–126.
- [22] I. Lasiecka, X. Wang, *Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation with memory, part I: Exponential decay of energy*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **67** (2016), n.17.
- [23] B. Kaltenbacher, I. Lasiecka, R. Marchand, *Wellposedness and exponential decay rates for the Moore-Gibson-Thompson equation arising in high intensity ultrasound*, Control Cybernet. **40** (2011), 971–988.
- [24] R. Marchand, T. McDevitt, R. Triggiani, *An abstract semigroup approach to the third-order Moore-Gibson-Thompson partial differential equation arising in high-intensity ultrasound: structural decomposition, spectral analysis, exponential stability*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **35** (2012), 1896–1929.
- [25] F.K. Moore, W.E. Gibson, *Propagation of weak disturbances in a gas subject to relaxation effects*, J. Aero/Space Sci. **27** (1960), 117–127.
- [26] R. Quintanilla, R. Racke, *Spatial behavior in phase-lag heat conduction*, Differential Integral Equations **28** (2015), 291–308.
- [27] S.K. Roy Choudhuri, *On a thermoelastic three-phase-lag model*, J. Thermal Stresses **30** (2007), 231–238.
- [28] Professor Stokes, *An examination of the possible effect of the radiation of heat on the propagation of sound*, Philos. Mag. Series 4 **1** (1851), 305–317.
- [29] B. Straughan, *Heat waves*, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [30] P.A. Thompson, *Compressible-fluid dynamics*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1972.
- [31] D.Y. Tzou, *A unified field approach for heat conduction from macro- to micro-scales*, Journal of Heat Transfer **117** (1995), 8–16.

POLITECNICO DI MILANO - DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
VIA BONARDI 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY
Email address: filippo.delloro@polimi.it (F. Dell'Oro)
Email address: vittorino.pata@polimi.it (V. Pata)