

ON TORUS QUOTIENTS OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES IN ORTHOGONAL GRASSMANNIAN

ARPITA NAYEK AND PINAKINATH SAHA

ABSTRACT. Let $G = Spin(8n, \mathbb{C})(n \geq 1)$ and T_G be a maximal torus of G . Let $P^{\alpha_{4n}} (\supset T_G)$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the simple root α_{4n} . Let X be a Schubert variety in $G/P^{\alpha_{4n}}$ admitting semi-stable point with respect to the T -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_{4n})$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_k$, where $R_k = H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$. In this article, we prove that for $n = 1$ and $X = G/P^{\alpha_4}$, the graded \mathbb{C} -algebra R is generated by R_1 . As a consequence, we prove that the GIT quotient of G/P^{α_4} is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)$ and is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ as a polarized variety. Further, we prove that R is generated by R_1 and R_2 for some Schubert varieties in $G/P^{\alpha_{4n}}$ (for $n \geq 2$). As a consequence, we prove that the GIT quotient of those Schubert varieties are projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n})$.

Moreover, for $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})(n \geq 4)$ (respectively, $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})(n \geq 2)$) and a maximal torus T_G of G , we prove that the GIT quotient of G/P^{α_1} is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1)$ and is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1))$ (respectively, $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$) as a polarized variety.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ together with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(-, -)$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ be the standard basis of V . Let $E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the matrix of the form $(-, -)$ with respect to the standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$, where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ of size } n \times n.$$

We may realize $G = SO(V)$ as the fixed point set H^σ , where $H = SL(V)$ and $\sigma : H \rightarrow H$ is given by

$$\sigma(A) = E(A^t)^{-1}E,$$

where $A \in H$ and A^t denote transpose of A .

Let T_H (respectively, B_H) be the maximal torus in H consisting of diagonal matrices (respectively, the Borel subgroup in H consisting of upper triangular matrices). We see easily that T_H, B_H are stable under σ . Let $T_G = T_H^\sigma$, and $B_G = B_H^\sigma$. Then T_G is a maximal torus in G and B_G is a Borel subgroup in G .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M15.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal Grassmannian, GIT-quotient, Line bundle, Semi-stable point.

Let $N_G(T_G)$ (respectively, $N_H(T_H)$) be the normalizer in G (respectively, H) of T_G (respectively, T_H). Then we have $N_G(T_G) \subseteq N_H(T_H)$. Further, $N_H(T_H)$ is stable under σ , and we have $N_G(T_G) = N_H(T_H)^\sigma$. Hence, we have a map

$$N_G(T_G)/T_G \longrightarrow N_H(T_H)/T_H.$$

Thus, we obtain a homomorphism

$$W_G \longrightarrow W_H,$$

where W_G, W_H denote the Weyl groups of G, H respectively (with respect to T_G, T_H respectively).

The Weyl group W_H is identified with S_{2n} , the symmetric group on the $2n$ letters $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$. Further, σ induces an involution $W_H \longrightarrow W_H$ given by

$$w = (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) \mapsto \sigma(w) = (c_1, \dots, c_{2n}),$$

where $w = (a_1, \dots, a_{2n})$ is written in one line notation of the permutation and $c_i = 2n + 1 - a_{2n+1-i}$. Thus, we have $W_G = \{w \in W_H^\sigma : w \text{ is an even permutation in } W_H\}$. In one line notation, we have $W_G = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) \in S_{2n} : a_i = 2n + 1 - a_{2n+1-i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2n \text{ and } m_w \text{ is even}\}$, where $m_w = \#\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : a_i > n\}$. Thus, $w = (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) \in W_G$ is known once (a_1, \dots, a_n) is known.

Let $X(T_H)$ (respectively, $X(T_G)$) be the group of characters of T_H (respectively, T_G). For $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, the elements $\epsilon_i \in X(T_H)$ are defined by

$$\epsilon_i(t) = t_i,$$

$$\text{where } t = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & t_{2n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \in T_H.$$

Then the set $R_H = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j : i \neq j\}$ can be identified with the set of roots of H with respect to T_H and that $R_H^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n\}$ is the set of positive roots with respect to B_H . For $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$, we denote $\beta_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$. Then $S_H = \{\beta_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1\}$ is the set of simple roots of R_H .

Note that σ induces an involution

$$\sigma : X(T_H) \longrightarrow X(T_H),$$

on $X(T_H)$ defined by

$$\chi \mapsto \sigma(\chi),$$

where $\sigma(\chi)(t) = \chi(\sigma(t))$ for $t \in T_H$.

Then we have $\sigma(\epsilon_i) = -\epsilon_{2n+1-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Since $T_G \subset T_H$, there is a surjective map $\varphi : X(T_H) \longrightarrow X(T_G)$, defined by

$$\varphi(\epsilon_i) = -\varphi(\epsilon_{2n+1-i})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$.

Then σ leaves R_H (respectively, R_H^+) stable. Let R_G (respectively, R_G^+) be the set of roots (respectively, positive roots) of G with respect to T_G (respectively, B_G). Then from the explicit

nature of the adjoint representation of G on \mathfrak{g} (Lie algebra of G) it follows that $R_G = \varphi(R_H \setminus R_H^\sigma)$ and $R_G^+ = \varphi(R_H^+ \setminus R_H^{+\sigma})$. In particular, we have R_G (respectively, R_G^+) can be identified with the orbit space of R_H (respectively, R_H^+) under the action of σ minus the fixed point set under σ . We see now that $R^+(G)$ can be identified with the subset $\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ of $X(H)$ through φ . For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we denote $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$. Then $S_G = \{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the set of simple roots of R_G .

Let Q^{β_n} (respectively, P^{α_n}) be the maximal parabolic subgroup of H (respectively, G) corresponding to the simple root β_n (respectively, α_n). Let $W_{Q^{\beta_n}}$ (respectively, $W_{P^{\alpha_n}}$) be the Weyl group of the Q^{β_n} (respectively, P^{α_n}). Then the Schubert varieties in H/Q^{β_n} (respectively, G/P^{α_n}) are parameterized by the minimal coset representatives of $W_H/W_{Q^{\beta_n}}$ (respectively, $W_G/W_{P^{\alpha_n}}$). We denote the set of all minimal coset representatives of $W_H/W_{Q^{\beta_n}}$ (respectively, $W_G/W_{P^{\alpha_n}}$) by $W^{Q^{\beta_n}}$ (respectively, $W^{P^{\alpha_n}}$).

For $v \in W_H^{Q^{\beta_n}}$, let $X_H(v) := \overline{B_H v Q^{\beta_n} / Q^{\beta_n}}$ denote the Schubert variety in H/Q^{β_n} corresponding to v . For $w \in W_G^{P^{\alpha_n}}$, let $X_G(w) := \overline{B_G w P^{\alpha_n} / P^{\alpha_n}}$ denote the Schubert variety in G/P^{α_n} corresponding to w .

Let ω'_n (respectively, ω_n) be the fundamental weight corresponding to the simple root β_n (respectively, α_n) in H (respectively, in G). Note that the very ample generator of the Picard group of H/Q^{β_n} (respectively, of G/P^{α_n}) is the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\omega'_n)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{L}(\omega_n)$). Further, we note that the restriction of the very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\omega'_n)$ via the inclusion $G/P^{\alpha_n} \subset H/Q^{\beta_n}$ is the very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_n)$.

In [14], the GIT quotients of the Schubert varieties in H/Q^{β_n} for the action of T_H is considered. In [14], we have shown that for $n \geq 3$, there exists a Schubert variety $X_H(v)$ in H/Q^{β_n} admitting semi-stable point such that the GIT quotient of $X_H(v)$ is not projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_H -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega'_n)$. As a consequence, we have concluded that for any Schubert variety $X_H(w)$ in H/Q^{β_n} containing $X_H(v)$, the GIT quotient of $X_H(w)$ is not projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_H -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega'_n)$. In particular, the GIT quotient of H/Q^{β_n} ($n \geq 3$) is not projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_H -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega'_n)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that for $n = 1$ or 2 , GIT quotient of H/Q^{β_n} is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_H -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega'_n)$.

In this article, we make an attempt to study the GIT quotients of the Schubert varieties in G/P^{α_n} for the action of T_G , where $G = Spin(8n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $X_G(w)$ be a Schubert variety in G/P^{α_n} admitting semi-stable point with respect to the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_n)$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_k$, where $R_k = H^0(X_G(w), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_n))^{T_G}$. Note that R is a graded \mathbb{C} -algebra.

Then it is interesting to ask the following questions:

- (1) What is the degree bounds of the generators of the graded \mathbb{C} -algebra R ?
- (2) Is the graded \mathbb{C} -subalgebra $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_{2k}$ of R generated by R_2 ?

In this article, we give a partial answer to the above question. The main theorems of this article are the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G = Spin(8, \mathbb{C})$. Then the GIT quotient of G/P^{α_4} is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)$ and is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ as a polarized variety.*

Proposition 1.2. *Let $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C}) (n \geq 4)$. Then the GIT quotient of G/P^{α_1} is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1)$ and is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1))$ as a polarized variety.*

Proposition 1.3. *Let $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C}) (n \geq 2)$ and T_G be a maximal torus of G . Then the GIT quotient of G/P^{α_1} is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1)$ and is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$ as a polarized variety.*

The layout of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries on algebraic groups, Lie algebras, Standard Monomial Theory and Geometric Invariant Theory. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove that graded \mathbb{C} -algebra R is generated by R_1 and R_2 for some Schubert varieties $X_G(w)$ in $G/P^{\alpha_n} (n \geq 2)$ admitting semi-stable point with respect to the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_{4n})$. As a consequence, we prove projective normality results for those Schubert varieties with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n})$. In Section 5, we prove Proposition 1.2 and Proposition 1.3.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we set up some notation and recall some preliminaries. We refer to [5], [6], [7], [11], [13], [15] for preliminaries in algebraic groups, Lie algebras, Standard Monomial Theory, and Geometric Invariant Theory.

Let G, T_G, B_G, R_G and R_G^+ be as in the previous section.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of T_G and $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Lie algebra of B_G . Let $Y(T_G)$ denote the group of one-parameter subgroups of T_G .

Let $E_1 := X(T_G) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, $E_2 := Y(T_G) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle -, - \rangle : E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the canonical non-degenerate bilinear form. Let $\bar{C} := \{\lambda \in E_2 : \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in R_G^+\}$. Note that for each $\alpha \in R_G$, there is a homomorphism $\phi_\alpha : SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G$. We define $\check{\alpha} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ by $\check{\alpha}(t) = \phi_\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)$. We also have $s_\alpha(\chi) = \chi - \langle \chi, \check{\alpha} \rangle \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in R_G$ and $\chi \in E_1$.

Let $\{\omega_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subset E_1$ be the fundamental weights; i.e. $\langle \omega_i, \check{\alpha}_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. There is a natural partial order \leq on $X(T_G)$ defined by $\psi \leq \chi$ if and only if $\chi - \psi$ is a non-negative integral linear combination of simple roots.

Let \mathcal{L} be a T_G -linearized ample line bundle on G/P^{α_n} . We also denote the restriction of the line bundle \mathcal{L} on $X_G(w)$ by \mathcal{L} .

A point $p \in X_G(w)$ is said to be a semi-stable point with respect to the T_G -linearized line bundle \mathcal{L} if there is a T_G -invariant section $s \in H^0(X_G(w), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ for some positive integer m such that $s(p) \neq 0$. We denote the set of all semi-stable points of $X_G(w)$ with respect to \mathcal{L} by $X_G(w)_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$.

A point p in $X_G(w)_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$ is said to be a stable point if T_G -orbit of p is closed in $X_G(w)_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})$ and stabilizer of p in T_G is finite. We denote the set of all stable points of $X_G(w)$ with respect to \mathcal{L} by $X_G(w)_{T_G}^s(\mathcal{L})$.

Now, we recall the definition of projective normality of a projective variety.

Let X be a projective variety in \mathbb{P}^m . We denote by \hat{X} the affine cone of X . Then X is said to be projectively normal if \hat{X} is normal (see [4, Chapter I, Exercise 3.18, p.23]). For the practical purpose we need the following fact about projective normality of a polarized variety.

A polarized variety (X, \mathcal{L}) , where \mathcal{L} is a very-ample line bundle is said to be projectively normal if its homogeneous coordinate ring $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ is integrally closed and is generated as a \mathbb{C} -algebra by $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ (see [4, Chapter II, Exercise 5.14, p.126]).

Let us recall some well-known properties of $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$. They can be found in the standard references such as [11].

Let us denote the length of w as an element of W_H (respectively, W_G) by $\ell(w, W_H)$ (respectively, $\ell(w, W_G)$). Then for $w = (a_1, \dots, a_{2n}) \in W_G$, we have $\ell(w, W_G) = \frac{1}{2}(\ell(w, W_H) - m_w)$. Further, the Bruhat-Chevalley order “ \leq ” on $W^{P^{\alpha_n}}$ is induced by the Bruhat-Chevalley order “ \leq ” on $W^{Q^{\beta_n}}$.

For $1 \leq d \leq 2n$, define the set $I_{d,2n} := \{\underline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_d \leq 2n\}$. Then there is a partial order “ \leq ” on $I_{d,2n}$ defined by $\underline{i} \leq \underline{j}$ if and only if $i_t \leq j_t$ for all $1 \leq t \leq d$. Further, there is a natural order preserving identification of $W^{Q^{\beta_n}}$ with $I_{n,2n}$, the correspondence is given by $w \in W^{Q^{\beta_n}}$ mapping to $(w(1), \dots, w(n))$.

Let Z be the subgroup of H consisting of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} Id_n & 0 \\ Y & Id_n \end{pmatrix}$, where $Y \in M_n$ the $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{C} . Then the canonical morphism $\psi_H : H \rightarrow H/Q^{\beta_n}$ induces a morphism $\psi_H : Z \rightarrow H/Q^{\beta_n}$. Further, ψ_H is an open immersion and ψ_H is identified with the opposite big cell O_H^- in H/Q^{β_n} . Moreover, we have O_H^- is σ -stable and we have an identification $SkM_n \simeq (O_H^-)^{\sigma}$, where SkM_n is the space of skew symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. Further, ψ_H induces an inclusion $\psi_H : G/P^{\alpha_n} \rightarrow H/Q^{\beta_n}$.

Note that $\mathcal{L}(\omega'_n)$ is the tautological line bundle on $H/Q^{\beta_n} (\simeq G_{n,2n})$, the Grassmannian of n -dimensional subspaces of $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Then $H^0(H/Q^{\beta_n}, \mathcal{L}(\omega'_n))$ is the dual of the irreducible H -module with highest weight ω_n . Let $Id = (1, \dots, n) \in I_{n,2n}$ denote $f := p_{Id}$. Then we have f is a lowest weight vector in $H^0(H/Q^{\beta_n}, \mathcal{L}(\omega'_n))$ and O_H^- is the principal open set of $(H/Q^{\beta_n})_f$.

Let $Y \in M_n$. Given two s -tuples $A := (a_1, \dots, a_s)$, and $B := (b_1, \dots, b_s)$ in $I_{s,n}$, $s \leq n$. Let $p(A, B)(Y)$ be the s -minors of Y with row and column indices given by A, B respectively. Consider the following identification

$$M_n \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Id_n \\ JY \end{pmatrix} : Y \in M_n \right\} \simeq O_H^-,$$

where J is the anti-diagonal matrix $(1, \dots, 1)$ of size $n \times n$.

Let $\underline{i} := (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in I_{n,2n}$, and $p_{\underline{i}}$ be the associated Plücker co-ordinate on $G_{n,2n} (\simeq H/Q^{\beta_n})$. Let $f_{\underline{i}}$ denote the restriction of $p_{\underline{i}}$ to M_n under the above identification. Then $f_{\underline{i}}(Y) = p(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(B))$, where $\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(B)$ are given as follows:

Let r be such that $i_r \leq n, i_{r+1} > n$. Let $s = n - r$. Then $\underline{i}(A)$ is the s -tuple given by $(2n + 1 - i_n, \dots, 2n + 1 - i_{r+1})$, while $\underline{i}(B)$ is the s -tuple given by the complement of (i_1, \dots, i_r) in $(1, \dots, n)$. Then the pair $(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(B))$ is the canonical dual pair associated to \underline{i} .

Let \mathcal{L} be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}(\omega'_n)$ to G/P^{α_n} . For $\underline{i} \in I_{n,2n}$, let p'_i be the restriction of p_i to G/P^{α_n} . We note that $p'_i \in H^0(G/P^{\alpha_n}, \mathcal{L})$. Let $p(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(B))$ be the restriction of p_i to M_n , M_n being identified with the opposite cell O_H^- as above. Let $p'(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(B))$ be the restriction of $p(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(B))$ to SkM_n . Let $f = p_{Id}$ be a lowest weight vector in $H^0(H/Q^{\beta_n}, \mathcal{L}(\omega'_n))$ and f' the restriction of f to G/P^{α_n} . Let $Y \in SkM_n$. Consider $\underline{i} \in I_{n,2n}$ such that $\underline{i}(A) = \underline{i}(B)$. Then $p'(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(A))(Y)$ is a principal minor of the skew symmetric matrix Y . Hence, $p'(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(A))(Y)$ is a square denoting $q_{\underline{i}}(Y)$ the corresponding Pfaffian (i.e., $q_{\underline{i}}^2(Y) = p'(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(A))(Y)$), we obtain a regular function $q_{\underline{i}} : SkM_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $r = \#\underline{i}(A)$. Then we have that $q_{\underline{i}}$ is non zero if only if r is even (since the determinant of a skew symmetric $r \times r$ matrix is zero, if r is odd). Thus, for $\underline{i} \in I_{n,2n}$ such that $\underline{i}(A) = \underline{i}(B)$, we have a regular function $q_{\underline{i}} : SkM_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $q_{\underline{i}}^2 = p'(\underline{i}(A), \underline{i}(A))$. Further, $q_{\underline{i}}$ is non-zero if and only if $\#\underline{i}(A)$ is even. Also, we have $\mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{L}(\omega'_n)$ and the identification $Z^\sigma \simeq O_G^- \simeq SkM_n$. Thus, the opposite cell in H/Q^{β_n} induces the opposite cell in G/P^{α_n} .

Now, we recall the following theorems due to Shrawan Kumar describes which T_G -linearized very ample line bundle \mathcal{L}_λ on $G/P^{\alpha_{4n}}$ descends to the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_{4n}})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ (see [10, Theorem 3.10, p.764]), where $G = Spin(8n, \mathbb{C})$ and \mathcal{L}_λ is the line bundle associated to the regular dominant character λ of $P^{\alpha_{4n}}$. We note that Kumar's result in [10] is more general than what is presented here.

Theorem 2.1. \mathcal{L}_λ descends to a line bundle on the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_{4n}})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ if and only if

- (i) for $G = Spin(8, \mathbb{C}) : \lambda = 2m\omega_4$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) for $G = Spin(8n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$) : $\lambda = 4m\omega_{4n}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.2. (i) Assume that $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 4$) and P^{α_1} is the maximal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the simple root α_1 containing maximal torus T_G of G . Then \mathcal{L}_λ descends to a line bundle on the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_1})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda = 2m\omega_1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) Assume that $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$) and P^{α_1} is the maximal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the simple root α_1 containing maximal torus T_G of G . Then \mathcal{L}_λ descends to a line bundle on the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_1})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda = 2m\omega_1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we recall some definitions and facts on standard monomial for $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ from [12, Appendix p. 363-365]. We will present here simplified version of the definition of Young tableau and standard Young tableau for $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ (respectively, $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$) associated to the weight $\lambda = 2m\omega_1$ and $\lambda = 2m\omega_n$ (respectively, $\lambda = 2m\omega_1$), where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (for more general see [12, Appendix p. 363-365]).

2.1. Young tableau for $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda = 2m\omega_1$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $p_i = 4m$ for $i = 1$ and $p_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Associated to λ , we define a partition $p(\lambda) := (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Then a Young diagram of shape $p(\lambda) = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ associated to λ is denoted by Γ consists of p_1 boxes in the first column, p_2 boxes in the second column and so on. Since $p_i = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, it follows that the Young diagram Γ associated to λ is of shape $p(\lambda) = (p_1)$, i.e., Γ consists of p_1 boxes in a single column.

A Young tableau of shape λ is a Young diagram filled with integers between 1 and $2n$.

A Young tableau is said to be standard if the entries along the column is non-decreasing from top to bottom.

A standard Young tableau Γ of shape $p(\lambda)$ is said to be $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -standard if the following conditions hold:

- (1) Let r_i be the i -th row of Γ enumerated from the bottom row. For $1 \leq i \leq \frac{p_1}{2} - 1$, let r_{2i} be equal to k_1 and r_{2i+1} be equal to l_1 . If $m \leq k_1 \leq m + 1$ and $m \leq l_1 \leq m + 1$, then one has $k_1 \equiv l_1 \pmod{2}$.
- (2) The pairs (r_{2i-1}, r_{2i}) are trivial admissible pair i.e., $r_{2i-1} = r_{2i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p_1}{2}$.

Given a $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -standard Young tableau Γ of shape λ , we can associate a section $p_\Gamma \in H^0(G/P^{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}(2\omega_1))^{T_G}$ which is called standard monomial of degree m .

2.2. Young tableau for $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda = 2m\omega_n$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $p_i = 2m$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Associated to λ , we define a partition $p(\lambda) := (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Then a Young diagram of shape $p(\lambda) = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ associated to λ is denoted by Γ consists of p_1 boxes in the first column, p_2 boxes in the second column and so on.

A Young tableau of shape λ is a Young diagram filled with integers between 1 and $2n$.

A Young tableau is said to be standard if the entries along any column is non-decreasing from top to bottom and along any row is strictly increasing from left to right.

A Young tableau Γ of shape $p(\lambda)$ is said to be $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -standard if Γ is standard and if $r = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ is a row of length n such that if i_j is an entry of the row, then $2n + 1 - i_j$ is not an entry of this row. The number of integers greater than n in a row of Γ is even.

Given a $Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -standard Young tableau Γ of shape λ , we can associate a section $p_\Gamma \in H^0(G/P^{\alpha_n}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}(2\omega_n))^{T_G}$ which is called standard monomial of degree m .

2.3. Young tableau for $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda = 2m\omega_1$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $p_i = 4m$ for $i = 1$ and $p_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Associated to λ , we define a partition $p(\lambda) := (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$. Then a Young diagram of shape $p(\lambda) = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ associated to λ is denoted by Γ consists of p_1 boxes in the first column, p_2 boxes in the second column and so on. Since $p_i = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, it follows that the Young diagram Γ associated to λ is of shape $p(\lambda) = (p_1)$, i.e., Γ consists of p_1 boxes in a single column.


A Young tableau of shape λ is a Young diagram filled with integers between 1 and $2n$.

A Young tableau is said to be standard if the entries along the column is non-decreasing from top to bottom.

A standard Young tableau Γ of shape $p(\lambda)$ is said to be $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -standard if the pairs (r_{2i-1}, r_{2i}) are trivial admissible pairs i.e., $r_{2i-1} = r_{2i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p_1}{2}$.

Given a $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -standard Young tableau Γ of shape λ , we can associate a section $p_\Gamma \in H^0(G/P^{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}(2\omega_1))^{T_G}$ which is called standard monomial of degree m .

Example 2.3. For $G = Spin(8, \mathbb{C})$, let $\lambda = 2\omega_4$. Then $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = (2, 2, 2, 2)$ and the corresponding Young diagram is the following.



Remark 2.4. For $1 \leq t \leq 2n$, let $c_\Gamma(t)$ denote the number of times t appears in Γ . Then the weight of Γ is defined by $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (c_\Gamma(j) - c_\Gamma(2n+1-j))\epsilon_j$. A monomial $p_\Gamma \in H^0(G/P^{\alpha_n}, \mathcal{L}(\lambda))$ is T_G -invariant if and only if the weight of Γ is zero. Therefore, p_Γ is T_G -invariant if and only if $c_\Gamma(t) = c_\Gamma(2n+1-t)$ for all $1 \leq t \leq 2n$.

2.4. Straightening Law.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that $p_{\beta_1}p_{\beta_2}$ is a quadratic monomial which is not standard. Then we have $p_{\beta_1}p_{\beta_2} = \sum_{(\alpha) \in I^2} \lambda_{(\alpha)} p_{\alpha_1}p_{\alpha_2}$; $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ and $\lambda_{(\alpha)} \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C} , where on the right side (α) runs over distinct element of I^2 of the form

- $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, \alpha_1 \neq \beta_1; \alpha_1 \leq \beta_2, \alpha_1 \neq \beta_2.$
- $\alpha_2 \geq \beta_1, \alpha_2 \neq \beta_1; \alpha_2 \geq \beta_2, \alpha_2 \neq \beta_2.$

Proof. See [16, Corollary 1, p.144]. □

The above theorem implies that given a non-standard quadratic monomial $p_{\beta_1}p_{\beta_2}$ can be expressed as in the above as a linear combination of standard monomials.

To proceed further we recall some result on Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix from [2] which we will use to determine the straightening law.

The Pfaffian $\text{Pf}(A)$ of a skew-symmetric $n \times n$ -matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n-1} & a_{1n} \\ -a_{12} & 0 & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n-1} & a_{2n} \\ -a_{13} & -a_{23} & 0 & \cdots & a_{3n-1} & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -a_{1n-1} & -a_{2n-1} & -a_{3n-1} & \cdots & 0 & a_{n-1n} \\ -a_{1n} & -a_{2n} & -a_{3n} & \cdots & -a_{n-1n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

with coefficients $a_{ij} (1 \leq i < j \leq n)$ in \mathbb{C} is defined to be $\text{Pf}(A)^2 = \det(A)$. Note that in case $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, we have $\text{Pf}(A) = 0$ for all $A \in \text{Sk}M_n$. In case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, one can describe the Pfaffian equivalently as a polynomial

$$\text{Pf}(X_{12}, X_{13}, \dots, X_{1n}, X_{23}, \dots, X_{2n}, \dots, X_{n-1n}) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_{12}, X_{13}, \dots, X_{n-1n}]$$

such that for each $A \in \text{Sk}M_n$ of the form 2.1 one has

$$\text{Pf}(a_{12}, a_{13}, \dots, a_{1n}, a_{23}, \dots, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{n-1n})^2 = \det(A),$$

normalized such that

$$\text{Pf}(a_{12}, a_{13}, \dots, a_{1n}, a_{23}, \dots, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{n-1n}) := 1 \quad (2.2)$$

for $a_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } j - i = \frac{n}{2}. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

To be more explicit, consider as above a skew-symmetric $n \times n$ -matrix A of the form 2.1 with n is even or odd and for each subset $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality, say, m . Let $A(I)$ denote

the skew-symmetric $m \times m$ -matrix one gets from A by eliminating all rows and columns not indexed by indices from I . Moreover, put

$$P(I) := \text{Pf}(A(I)) \quad (2.3)$$

for every $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where as usual $\text{Pf}(A(\phi)) := 1$. Then the following result holds.

Theorem 2.6. *For any two subsets $I_1, I_2 \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of odd cardinality and elements $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_t\} = I_1 \Delta I_2 := (I_1 \setminus I_2) \cup (I_2 \setminus I_1)$ one has*

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^t (-1)^\tau \cdot P(I_1 \Delta \{i_\tau\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{i_\tau\}) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. See [2, Theorem 1, p.122]. □

Remark 2.7. *For $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in I_{n, 2n}$, we have $q_{\underline{i}} = P(\underline{i}(B)) = \boxed{i_1} \boxed{i_2} \cdots \boxed{i_n}$.*

Remark 2.8. *Let $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be such that $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$. Let $\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-r}\}$ be the complements of $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{n-r}$. Then we have $P(I) = q_{\underline{i}}$, where $\underline{i} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-r}, 2n+1-i_r, \dots, 2n+1-i_1)$.*

Now, we work out straightening law for $Spin(8, \mathbb{C})$.

Example 2.9. *For $G = Spin(8, \mathbb{C})$, T_G -invariant tableau are* $\boxed{1} \boxed{2} \boxed{3} \boxed{4}, \boxed{5} \boxed{6} \boxed{7} \boxed{8}, \boxed{1} \boxed{2} \boxed{5} \boxed{6}, \boxed{3} \boxed{4} \boxed{7} \boxed{8}$,

$\boxed{1} \boxed{3} \boxed{5} \boxed{7}, \boxed{2} \boxed{4} \boxed{6} \boxed{8}$, $\boxed{1} \boxed{4} \boxed{6} \boxed{7}, \boxed{2} \boxed{3} \boxed{5} \boxed{8}$. Let $\underline{i}_1 = (1, 4, 6, 7)$ and $\underline{i}_2 = (2, 3, 5, 8)$. Then we have $\underline{i}_1(A) = \underline{i}_1(B) = (2, 3)$ and $\underline{i}_2(A) = \underline{i}_2(B) = (1, 4)$. Recall that the opposite big cell $U^- = SkM_4$, where

$$SkM_4 := \left\{ Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{12} & y_{13} & y_{14} \\ -y_{12} & 0 & y_{23} & y_{24} \\ -y_{13} & -y_{23} & 0 & y_{34} \\ -y_{14} & -y_{24} & -y_{34} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : y_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq 4 \right\}.$$

Let $I_1 = \{1, 2, 4\}$ and $I_2 = \{3\}$. Then we have $I_1 \Delta I_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Thus by using Theorem 2.6 we have

$$P(I_1 \Delta \{1\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{1\}) - P(I_1 \Delta \{2\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{2\}) + P(I_1 \Delta \{3\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{3\}) - P(I_1 \Delta \{4\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{4\}) = 0.$$

Now note that by Remark 2.8, we have the following.

- $P(I_1 \Delta \{1\}) = q_{(1357)}, P(I_2 \Delta \{1\}) = q_{(2468)}$.
- $P(I_1 \Delta \{2\}) = q_{(2358)}, P(I_2 \Delta \{2\}) = q_{(1467)}$.
- $P(I_1 \Delta \{3\}) = q_{(5678)}, P(I_2 \Delta \{3\}) = q_{(1234)}$.
- $P(I_1 \Delta \{4\}) = q_{(3478)}, P(I_2 \Delta \{4\}) = q_{(1256)}$.

Therefore, we have

$$q_{(1,4,6,7)} q_{(2,3,5,8)} = q_{(1,2,3,4)} q_{(5,6,7,8)} - q_{(1,2,5,6)} q_{(3,4,7,8)} + q_{(1,3,5,7)} q_{(2,4,6,8)}.$$

Hence, interchanging $q_{\underline{i}}$'s in Young tableau notation we have

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

3. $G = Spin(8, \mathbb{C})$

Recall that by Theorem 2.1(i), T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)$ descends to a line bundle on the GIT quotient $T \setminus \setminus (G/P^{\alpha_4})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$. In this section, we prove that $T \setminus \setminus (G/P^{\alpha_4})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is projectively normal and isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ as a polarized variety.

Consider $w = s_4(s_2s_3)(s_1s_2s_4)$. Note that w is the longest element of $W^{P^{\alpha_4}}$, i.e., $X_G(w) = G/P^{\alpha_4}$. Further, note that $w(2\omega_4) < 0$. Therefore, by [8, Lemma 3.1, p.276], $X_G(w)_{T_G}^s(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)) \neq \emptyset$. In one line notation we have w is equal to $(5, 6, 7, 8)$.

Let $X = T_G \setminus \setminus (G/P^{\alpha_4})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_k$, where $R_k = H^0(G/P^{\alpha_4}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4))^{T_G}$.

Then we have $X = Proj(R)$. We note that R_k 's are finite dimensional complex vector spaces.

$$\text{Let } \Gamma_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \Gamma_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}, \Gamma_3 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array} \text{ and } \Gamma_4 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 5 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Then $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3\}$ forms a basis of R_1 .

Lemma 3.1. *The graded \mathbb{C} -algebra R is generated by R_1 .*

Proof. Let Γ be a standard Young tableau corresponding to a standard monomial in R_k . It is enough to prove that there is a subtableau Γ' of Γ such that the associated monomial $p_{\Gamma'}$ lies in R_1 .

The Young tableau Γ has $2k$ rows and 4 columns with strictly increasing rows from left to right and non-decreasing columns from top to bottom. Since Γ is T_G -invariant, by Remark 2.4 we have,

$$c_{\Gamma}(t) = c_{\Gamma}(9-t) \text{ for all } 1 \leq t \leq 8, \quad (3.1)$$

where $c_{\Gamma}(t)$ denotes the number of times t appears in Γ .

Note that all possible rows in Γ are $(1, 2, 3, 4)$, $(1, 3, 5, 7)$, $(1, 2, 5, 6)$, $(1, 4, 6, 7)$, $(2, 3, 5, 8)$, $(2, 4, 6, 8)$, $(3, 4, 7, 8)$, $(5, 6, 7, 8)$.

Assume that $(1, 2, 3, 4)$, $(1, 3, 5, 7)$, $(1, 2, 5, 6)$, $(1, 4, 6, 7)$, $(2, 3, 5, 8)$, $(2, 4, 6, 8)$, $(3, 4, 7, 8)$ and $(5, 6, 7, 8)$ appear in Γ , $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3$ and b_4 number of times respectively.

Since $c_{\Gamma}(1) = c_{\Gamma}(8)$, we have

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $c_{\Gamma}(2) = c_{\Gamma}(7)$, we have

$$a_1 + a_3 + b_1 + b_2 = a_2 + a_4 + b_3 + b_4. \quad (3.3)$$

Since $c_{\Gamma}(3) = c_{\Gamma}(6)$, we have

$$a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_3 = a_3 + a_4 + b_2 + b_4. \quad (3.4)$$

Since $c_\Gamma(4) = c_\Gamma(5)$, we have

$$a_1 + a_4 + b_2 + b_3 = a_2 + a_3 + b_1 + b_4. \quad (3.5)$$

By Eq. (3.2)–Eq. (3.3) we have

$$a_2 + a_4 = b_1 + b_2. \quad (3.6)$$

By Eq. (3.4)–Eq. (3.5) we have

$$a_2 - a_4 = b_2 - b_1. \quad (3.7)$$

Solving Eq. (3.6) and Eq. (3.7) we have

$$a_2 = b_2 \text{ and } a_4 = b_1. \quad (3.8)$$

From Eq. (3.2) and Eq. (3.8) we have

$$a_1 + a_3 = b_3 + b_4. \quad (3.9)$$

From Eq. (3.4) and Eq. (3.8) we have

$$a_1 + b_3 = a_3 + b_4. \quad (3.10)$$

Solving Eq. (3.9) and Eq. (3.10) we have

$$a_3 = b_3 \text{ and } a_1 = b_4. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, we have $a_2 = b_2, a_4 = b_1, a_3 = b_3$ and $a_1 = b_4$. Therefore, we get one of the tableaux in $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4\}$ as a subtableau of Γ . \square

Corollary 3.2. *The GIT quotient X is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)$.*

Proof. Since G/P^{α_4} is normal, $(G/P^{\alpha_4})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is also normal. Thus, $T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_4})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is a normal variety. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, the homogeneous coordinate ring of X is generated by elements of degree one. Therefore, the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_4})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)$. \square

Let $w_1 = s_3(s_1s_2s_4)$ and $w_2 = (s_2s_3)(s_1s_2s_4)$. In one line notation w_1 is $(2, 4, 6, 8)$ and w_2 is $(3, 4, 7, 8)$.

Corollary 3.3. *The GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_i))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Since the restriction map $\phi : H^0(G/P^{\alpha_4}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4)) \rightarrow H^0(X_G(w_i), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4))$ is surjective and T_G is linearly reductive, $\tilde{\phi} : H^0(G/P^{\alpha_4}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4))^{T_G} \rightarrow H^0(X_G(w_i), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4))^{T_G}$ such that $f \mapsto f|_{X_G(w_i)}$ is surjective. So, by Lemma 3.1, $H^0(X_G(w_i), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4))^{T_G}$ is generated by $H^0(X_G(w_i), \mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))^{T_G}$. Therefore, $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_i))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)$. \square

Note that since $(G/P^{\alpha_4})_{T_G}^s(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)) \neq \emptyset$, $\dim(X) = l(w) - 4 = 2$.

Proposition 3.4. *The GIT quotient X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ as a polarized variety.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, R is generated by $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$. On the other hand, dimension of X is 2. Thus, R is the polynomial algebra generated by $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$. Therefore, X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ as a polarized variety. \square

Corollary 3.5. (i) *The GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_1))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is point.*
(ii) *The GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_2))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ as a polarized variety.*

Proof. Proof of (i): Let $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_1))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)) = Proj(A)$, where $A = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} A_k$ and $A_k = H^0(X_G(w_1), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4))^{T_G}$. By Corollary 3.3, A is generated by Γ_3 . Thus, A is the polynomial algebra generated by Γ_3 . Therefore, $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_1))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is a point.

Proof of (ii): Note that $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_2))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4)) = Proj(B)$, where $B = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} B_k$ and $B_k = H^0(X_G(w_2), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_4))^{T_G}$. By Corollary 3.3, B is generated by Γ_2, Γ_3 . Note that $\Gamma_2 \Gamma_3$ is a standard monomial. Thus, B is the polynomial algebra generated by Γ_2, Γ_3 . Therefore, $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_2))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_4))$ is $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ as a polarized variety. \square

4. $G = Spin(8n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$)

Let $X_G(w)$ be a Schubert variety in $G/P^{\alpha_{4n}}$ ($n \geq 2$) admitting semi-stable point with respect to the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_{4n})$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_k$, where $R_k = H^0(X_G(w), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$. Note that R_k 's are finite dimensional complex vector spaces. In general, it is very hard to determine whether R is generated by R_1 or not as it involves various explicit calculations. In this section, for some Schubert varieties $X_G(w)$ in $G/P^{\alpha_{4n}}$ admitting semi-stable point with respect to the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_{4n})$ we prove that the corresponding graded algebra R is not generated by R_1 , but it is generated by R_1 and R_2 .

We recall that there exists a unique $w_1 \in W^{P^{\alpha_{4n}}}$ such that $w_1(2\omega_{4n}) \leq 0$. Note that $w_1 = \prod_{i=2n}^1 \tau_{2i-1}$, where $\tau_{2i-1} = s_{2i-1} s_{2i} \cdots s_{4n-2} s_{4n-1}$ for i even and $\tau_{2i-1} = s_{2i-1} s_{2i} \cdots s_{4n-2} s_{4n}$ for i odd.

Note that in one line notation w_1 is $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Now we consider the following w_i 's such that $w_1 \leq w_i$ for all $2 \leq i \leq 6$:

$$w_2 = s_{4n-4} w_1, w_3 = s_{4n-2} w_1, w_4 = s_{4n-2} s_{4n-4} w_1, w_5 = s_{4n} s_{4n-2} w_1, w_6 = s_{4n-4} s_{4n} s_{4n-2} w_1.$$

Since $w_1 \leq w_i$ and $w_1(2\omega_{4n}) \leq 0$, we have $w_i(2\omega_{4n}) \leq 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq 6$. Thus, by [9, Lemma 2.1, p.470], $X_G(w_i)_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_{4n}))$ is non-empty for all $1 \leq i \leq 6$. In one line notation w_i 's ($2 \leq i \leq 6$) are the following:

- $w_2 = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $w_3 = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $w_4 = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $w_5 = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $w_6 = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

Then we have the following sub-diagram of the Bruhat lattice $W^{P^{\alpha_{4n}}}$.




Figure 1

Let $X = T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_{4n}))$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_k$, where $R_k = H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$. Then we have $X = Proj(R)$.

Let

$$X_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$X_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$X_3 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$X_4 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$Z_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$Z_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array},$$

Note that X_i 's form a basis of R_1 . Further, note that Y_i 's (respectively, Z_i 's) are standard monomials of R_2 (respectively, R_3) which are not in $R_1 \otimes R_1$ (respectively, not in $R_1 \otimes R_2$).

Lemma 4.1. *The graded algebra R is generated by X_i 's, Y_j 's and Z_l 's as a \mathbb{C} -algebra.*

Proof. Let $f \in R_k$ be a standard monomial. We claim that $f = f_1 f_2$, where either f_1 or f_2 is in R_1 or R_2 or R_3 .

The Young diagram associated to f has shape $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{4n}) = (2k, 2k, \dots, 2k)$. So, the Young tableau Γ associated to f has $2k$ number of rows and $4n$ number of columns such that rows are filled up with strictly increasing integers from left to right and columns are filled up with non-decreasing integers from top to bottom. Since f is T_G -invariant, by Remark 2.4 we have

$$c_\Gamma(t) = c_\Gamma(8n + 1 - t) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq 8n$, where $c_\Gamma(t)$ denotes the number of times t appears in Γ .

Let r_i be the i -th row of the tableau. Let $E_{i,j}$ be the (i,j) -th entry of Γ . Let $N_{t,j}$ denote the number of times t appears in the j -th column. Note that each row of Γ contains either i or $2n + 1 - i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Further, by using Eq. (4.1), we have

$$c_\Gamma(t) = k \quad (4.2)$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq 8n$.

Recall that in one line notation w_6 is $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Since $r_{2k} \leq w_6$, we have $E_{2k,j} \leq 2j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2n-3$. Note that for $1 \leq j \leq 2n-3$, we have $E_{i,j} = 2j$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Thus, for $1 \leq j \leq 2n-2$, we have $E_{i,j} = 2j-1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $E_{k,2n-2} = 4n-5$ and $E_{2k,2n-2} \leq 4n-3$, we have $E_{2k,2n-2}$ is either $4n-4$ or $4n-3$.

Note that since each row has even number of integer entries greater than $4n$ and each row of Γ has $4n$ number of boxes, it follows that each row has even number of integers less than or equal to $4n$. (*)

Hence, by (*), $E_{2k,2n-1} \neq 4n$. Further, since $4n-2 \leq E_{2k,2n-1} \leq 4n+1$, it follows that $E_{2k,2n-1} \in \{4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1\}$. Also, by (*), $E_{2k,2n} \neq 4n+1$. Further, since $4n \leq E_{2k,2n} \leq 4n+2$, $E_{2k,2n}$ is either $4n$ or $4n+2$. Thus, the following are the possibilities for r_{2k} :

- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

Now, observe that in each of the following cases for $1 \leq j \leq 2n-3$ (respectively, $1 \leq j \leq 2n-2$), we have $E_{i,j} = 2j$ (respectively, $E_{i,j} = 2j-1$) for all $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$ (respectively, $1 \leq i \leq k$).

Case I: Assume that $r_{2k} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Then for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, we have $E_{i,j} = 2j-1$ (respectively, $E_{i,j} = 2j$) for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ (respectively, for all $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$). Therefore, $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$ and hence, X_1 is a factor of f .

Case II: Assume that $r_{2k} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Since $E_{k,2n-2} = 4n-5$ and $N_{4n-3,2n-2} \geq 1$, we have $N_{4n-4,2n-2} \leq k-1$. Thus, $E_{1,2n-1} = 4n-4$. Further, note that since $E_{2k,2n-2} = 4n-3$, we have $4n-4 \leq E_{i,2n-2} \leq 4n-3$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Since $E_{2k,2n-1} = 4n-2$, we have $4n-4 \leq E_{i,2n-1} \leq 4n-2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Thus, $\sum_{i=4n-4}^{4n-2} \sum_{j=2n-2}^{2n-1} N_{i,j} = 3k = \sum_{i=4n-4}^{4n-2} c_{\Gamma}(i)$. Hence, $E_{1,2n} = 4n-1$. Therefore, $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$ and hence, X_2 is a factor of f .

Case III: Assume that $r_{2k} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Then by using the argument similar to Case II we get X_3 is a factor of f .

Case IV: Assume that $r_{2k} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Since $N_{4n-3,2n-2} \geq 1$, we have $N_{4n-4,2n-2} \leq k-1$. Thus, $E_{1,2n-1} = 4n-4$. Since $E_{2k,2n} = 4n$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{4n} N_{i,j} = 4nk = \sum_{i=1}^{4n} c_{\Gamma}(i)$. Thus all the integers between 1 and $4n$ appear up to $2n$ -th column. Hence, $E_{i,2n} = 4n$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Since $E_{2k,2n-1} = 4n-1$, we have $4n-3 \leq E_{1,2n} \leq 4n-2$. Thus, r_1 is either $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$ or $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+3, 4n+8, 4n+9, \dots, 8n-1)$. If $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then r_1 and r_{2k} together gives a factor X_4 of f . If $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+7, 4n+9, \dots, 8n-1)$, then

$E_{k+1,2n-2} = 4n - 4$. Therefore, $E_{k,2n-1}$ can not be $4n - 4$ and $4n - 3$. Hence, $E_{k,2n-1} = 4n - 2$. Therefore, $r_k = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 2, 4n - 1, 4n + 1, 4n + 4, 4n + 5, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$ and $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n - 6, 4n - 4, 4n - 2, 4n, 4n + 2, 4n + 4, 4n + 6, 4n + 8, \dots, 8n)$. Therefore, $r_1, r_k, r_{k+1}, r_{2k}$ together gives a factor Y_4 of f .

Case V: Assume that $r_{2k} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n - 6, 4n - 4, 4n + 1, 4n + 2, 4n + 3, 4n + 4, 4n + 6, 4n + 8, \dots, 8n)$. Then by using the argument similar to Case II we get X_5 as a factor of f .

Case VI: Assume that $r_{2k} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n - 6, 4n - 3, 4n + 1, 4n + 2, 4n + 3, 4n + 5, 4n + 6, 4n + 8, \dots, 8n)$. Since $E_{2k,2n-2} = 4n - 3$, we have $E_{1,2n-1} = 4n - 4$. Thus, $E_{1,2n+1} \geq 4n - 2$. If $E_{1,2n+1} \geq 4n + 1$, then $\sum_{i=4n+1}^{8n} \sum_{j=2n-1}^{4n} N_{i,j} \geq 4nk + 2$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Also, if $E_{1,2n+1} = 4n$, then the number of integers less than or equal to $4n$ appearing in r_1 is odd, which is a contradiction. Hence, $E_{1,2n+1}$ is either $4n - 2$ or $4n - 1$. If $E_{1,2n+1} = 4n - 2$, then $E_{1,2n} = 4n - 3$. If $E_{1,2n+1} = 4n - 1$, then $E_{1,2n}$ is either $4n - 3$ or $4n - 2$. Thus r_1 has following possibilities:

- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 4, 4n - 2, 4n - 1, 4n, 4n + 4, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 4, 4n - 3, 4n - 1, 4n, 4n + 3, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 4, 4n - 3, 4n - 2, 4n - 1, 4n + 1, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 4, 4n - 3, 4n - 2, 4n, 4n + 2, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$.

Subcase (i): If $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 4, 4n - 2, 4n - 1, 4n, 4n + 4, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$, then X_6 is a factor of f .

Subcase (ii): If $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 4, 4n - 3, 4n - 1, 4n, 4n + 3, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$, then $N_{4n-3,2n-2} \leq k - 1$. Hence, $E_{k+1,2n-2} = 4n - 4$. We claim that r_k has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. If r_k has $2n + 2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, then by counting the number of integers we have r_i (for all $k + 1 \leq i \leq 2k$) has $2n - 2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. Then r_i ($k + 1 \leq i \leq 2k$) does not contain $4n - 2$. Hence, $4n - 2$ appear in the rows r_i ($2 \leq i \leq k$), which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). If r_k has $2n - 2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{i,j} \leq (k - 1)(2n + 2) + (k + 1)(2n - 2) = 4nk - 4$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Thus, r_k has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. Then $E_{k,2n-1} \in \{4n - 4, 4n - 3, 4n - 2, 4n - 1\}$. Note that $E_{k,2n-1}$ can not be $4n - 4$ and $4n - 3$, otherwise, it contradicts the number of appearance of $4n - 4$ and $4n - 3$. If $E_{k,2n-1} = 4n - 1$, then $4n - 2$ appears in the rows r_i ($2 \leq i \leq k - 1$), which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Thus, $E_{k,2n-1} = 4n - 2$. Hence, r_k has the following possibilities:

- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 2, 4n - 1, 4n + 1, 4n + 4, 4n + 5, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 2, 4n, 4n + 2, 4n + 4, 4n + 5, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$.

Since r_k has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, r_{k+1} has at most $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. If r_{k+1} has $2n - 2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{i,j} \leq (k - 1)(2n + 2) + 2n + (k)(2n - 2) = 4nk - 2$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Thus, r_{k+1} has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. Now we consider r_k and r_{k+1} case by case.

If $r_k = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n - 7, 4n - 5, 4n - 2, 4n - 1, 4n + 1, 4n + 4, 4n + 5, 4n + 7, \dots, 8n - 1)$, then r_{k+1} has the following possibilities:

- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n - 6, 4n - 4, 4n - 2, 4n - 1, 4n + 1, 4n + 4, 4n + 6, 4n + 8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n - 6, 4n - 4, 4n - 1, 4n, 4n + 3, 4n + 4, 4n + 6, 4n + 8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n - 6, 4n - 4, 4n - 2, 4n, 4n + 2, 4n + 4, 4n + 6, 4n + 8, \dots, 8n)$.

If $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then there exists at least one row r_i ($k+2 \leq i \leq 2k-1$) which contains $4n$. Let k_1 be the number of rows between r_{k+2} and r_{2k-1} which contains $4n$. Thus, $k-k_1 \geq 1$. Hence, $k-k_1$ is the number of rows between r_1 and r_{k-1} which contains $4n$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{i,j} \geq (2n+2)(k-k_1) + 2n(k_1) + 2n + 2n(k_1) + (2n)(k-k_1-1) = 4nk + 2(k-k_1) > 4nk$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Therefore, r_{k+1} can not be $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. If $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $4n-2$ can appear at most $k-1$ times (namely, between r_2 and r_k), which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Therefore, r_{k+1} can not be $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. If $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then r_1, r_k, r_{k+1} and r_{2k} together gives a factor Y_3 of f .

If $r_k = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then r_{k+1} has following possibilities:

- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$,
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

Assume that $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Let k_1 be the number of rows between r_{k+1} and r_{2k-1} which contains $4n$ and k_2 be the number of rows between r_1 and r_{k-1} whose $2n+2$ -th entry is $4n$. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{i,j} = (2n+2)k_2 + 2n(k-k_2) + 2nk_1 + (2n-2)(k-k_1) = (4n-2)k + 2(k_1+k_2)$, which is the total number of appearance of the integers between 1 and $4n$. Hence, $k_1+k_2 = k$. Then $N_{4n,2n} + N_{4n,2n+2} \geq k_1+k_2+1$ which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Therefore, r_{k+1} can not be $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. If $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $4n-2$ can appear at most $k-1$ times in Γ (namely, between r_2 and r_k). Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{4n-2,j} \leq k-1$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Therefore, r_{k+1} can not be $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

Subcase (iii): If $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $N_{4n-3,2n-2} \leq k-1$. Thus, $E_{k+1,2n-2} = 4n-4$. We claim that r_k has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. If r_k has $2n+2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, then by counting the number of integers between 1 and $4n$ we have r_i (for $k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$) has $2n-2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. Then r_i ($k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$) does not contain $4n$. Hence, $4n$ can appear in the rows r_i ($2 \leq i \leq k$), which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). If r_k has $2n-2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{i,j} \leq (k-1)(2n+2) + (k+1)(2n-2) = 4nk - 4$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Thus, r_k has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. Then $E_{k,2n-1} \in \{4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1\}$. Note that $E_{k,2n-1}$ can not be $4n-4$ and $4n-3$, otherwise, it contradicts the number of appearance of $4n-4$ and $4n-3$. If $E_{k,2n-1} = 4n-1$, then $4n-2$ appears in the rows r_i ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$), which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Thus, $E_{k,2n-1} = 4n-2$. Hence, r_k has the following possibilities:

- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$.

Since r_k has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, r_{k+1} has at most $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. If r_{k+1} has $2n-2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{i,j} \leq (k-1)(2n+2) + 2n + k(2n-2) = 4nk - 2$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). Thus, r_{k+1} has exactly $2n$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. Now we consider r_k and r_{k+1} case by case.

Assume that $r_k = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$. Let k_1 be the number of rows between r_2 and r_{k-1} which contains $4n$. Then $k-k_1$ be the number of rows between r_{k+1} and r_{2k-1} which contains $4n$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{4n} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} N_{i,j} \geq (2n+2)(k_1+1) + 2n(k-k_1-1) + 2n(k-k_1) + (2n-2)k_1 = 4nk+2$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2).

If $r_k = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then r_{k+1} has the following possibilities:

- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

If $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then r_1, r_k, r_{k+1} and r_{2k} together gives a factor Y_1 of f . Assume that $r_{k+1} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Since r_k does not contain $4n-1$, there exists l for some $k+2 \leq l \leq 2k-1$ such that r_l contains $4n-1$. Hence, r_l is either $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$ or $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. If $r_l = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then r_1, r_k, r_l, r_{2k} gives a factor Y_1 of f . Now, we consider $r_l = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

Assume that k be even. Then $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}$ is one of the following:

- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+8, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$.

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}$ is one of the following:

- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$,
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$,
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$,
- $(1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$,

then $\sum_{i=4n-3}^{4n-4} \sum_{j=2n-2}^{2n} (N_{i,j}) \geq 2k+2$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2).

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, r_{2k}$ gives a factor X_6 of f .

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, r_l$ gives a factor X_4 of f .

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+8, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_1, r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, r_k, r_{k+1}, r_l, r_{2k}$ gives a factor Z_1 of f .

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then we consider $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$. Note that $E_{\frac{3k}{2}, 2n-2}$ can not be $4n-4$, otherwise it contradicts the number of appearances of $4n-4$ in Γ . Thus, $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$ is one of the following:

- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$,
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$,
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $E_{i, 2n} = 4n+2$ for all $\frac{3k}{2} \leq i \leq 2k$ and $E_{i, 2n+1} = 4n+2$ for all $\frac{k}{2}+1 \leq i \leq k+1$. Thus $N_{4n+2, 2n} + N_{4n+2, 2n+1} \geq k+2$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$ or $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+5, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $E_{i, 2n} = 4n$ for all $\frac{k}{2}+1 \leq i \leq \frac{3k}{2}$. Thus, the total number of integers less than or equal to $4n$ appearing between $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}$ and r_{2k} is $2nk + \frac{k}{2}(2n-2) = 3nk - k$. Hence, the total number of integers less than or equal to $4n$ appearing between r_1 and $r_{\frac{k}{2}}$ is $4nk - (3nk - k) = nk + k = \frac{k}{2}(2n+2)$. Thus, each row between r_1 and $r_{\frac{k}{2}}$ contains exactly $2n+2$ number of entries less than or equal to $4n$. Therefore, $r_i = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}$. Thus, $N_{4n-4, 2n-2} + N_{4n-3, 2n-2} + N_{4n-4, 2n+1} + N_{4n-3, 2n} \geq 2k+1$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2).

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then we consider $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$. Note that $E_{\frac{3k}{2}, 2n-2}$ can not be $4n-3$, otherwise it contradicts the number of appearances of $4n-4$ in Γ . Thus, $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$ is one of the following:

- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$
- $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$.

If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $\sum_{i=4n-4}^{4n-2} \sum_{j=2n-2}^{2n} N_{i,j} \geq k + \frac{3k}{2} + \frac{k}{2} + 1 = 3k+1$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $r_1, r_k, r_{\frac{3k}{2}}, r_{2k}$ gives a factor Y_1 of f .

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$ is either $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$ or $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. Further, If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $\sum_{i=4n-4}^{4n-2} N_{i, 2n-2} + N_{i, 2n-1} + N_{i, 2n} \geq 3k+1$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). On the other hand, if $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$ gives a factor X_3 of f .

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1}, r_{k+1}$ gives a factor X_2 of f .

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$ is either $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$ or $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. In both cases $E_{i,2n} = 4n$ for all $\frac{k}{2}+1 \leq i \leq \frac{3k}{2}$. Thus, $r_i = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+1, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}$. Thus, $N_{4n-4,2n-2} + N_{4n-3,2n-2} + N_{4n-4,2n+1} + N_{4n-3,2n} \geq 2k+1$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2)

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n+2, 4n+3, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$ is either $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$ or $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $N_{4n-2,2n-1} + N_{4n-2,2n+1} \geq k+1$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $r_1, r_k, r_{\frac{3k}{2}}, r_{2k}$ gives a factor Y_1 of f .

If $r_{\frac{k}{2}+1} = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+5, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$, then $r_{\frac{3k}{2}}$ is either $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$ or $(2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$. If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$ then $N_{4n-2,2n-1} + N_{4n-2,2n+1} \geq k+1$, which is a contradiction to Eq. (4.2). If $r_{\frac{3k}{2}} = (2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+3, 4n+4, 4n+6, 4n+8, \dots, 8n)$, then $r_1, r_k, r_{\frac{3k}{2}}, r_{2k}$ gives a factor Y_1 of f .

If $k+1$ is even, then the proof is similar.

Subcase (iv): Assume that $r_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n, 4n+2, 4n+7, \dots, 8n-1)$. Then the proof is similar to the proof of Subcase (iii). \square

Lemma 4.2. *The following relations among X_i 's ($1 \leq i \leq 6$), Y_j 's ($1 \leq j \leq 4$) hold in R_2 .*

- (i) $X_4X_5 - X_3X_6 + Y_2 - Y_1 = 0$.
- (ii) $X_2X_5 - X_1X_6 + Y_3 - Y_1 = 0$.
- (iii) $X_2X_3 - X_1X_4 + Y_4 - Y_1 = 0$.

Proof. Proof of (i) : Note that

$$X_4X_5 =$$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Now we see that

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$

is a non-standard monomial. We apply Theorem 2.6, Remark 2.7 and Remark 2.8 to express the above non-standard monomial as a linear combination of standard monomials.

Let $i_1 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-3, 4n-2, 4n-1, 4n, 4n+5, 4n+7, 4n+9, \dots, 8n-3, 8n-1)$, and $i_2 = (1, 3, 5, \dots, 4n-7, 4n-5, 4n-4, 4n-2, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+4, 4n+7, 4n+9, \dots, 8n-3, 8n-1)$. Then $i_1(B) = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-8, 4n-6, 4n-4\}$ and $i_2(B) = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-8, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n\}$ are two subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 4n\}$.

In order to apply Theorem 2.6, set $I_1 = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-8, 4n-6\}$ and $I_2 = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 4n-8, 4n-6, 4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n\}$. Note that $I_1 \Delta I_2 = \{4n-4, 4n-3, 4n-1, 4n\}$. Then we have

$$P(I_1 \Delta \{4n-4\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{4n-4\}) - P(I_1 \Delta \{4n-3\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{4n-3\}) + P(I_1 \Delta \{4n-1\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{4n-1\}) - P(I_1 \Delta \{4n\}) \cdot P(I_2 \Delta \{4n\}) = 0.$$

By using Remark 2.8, we have the following:

$$P(I_1 \Delta \{4n-4\}) = q_{i_1}$$

$$P(I_2 \Delta \{4n-4\}) = q_{i_2}$$

$$P(I_1 \Delta \{4n-3\}) = q_{(1,3,5,\dots,4n-7,4n-5,4n-4,4n-2,4n-1,4n,4n+4,4n+7,\dots,8n-1)}$$

$$P(I_2 \Delta \{4n-3\}) = q_{(1,3,5,\dots,4n-7,4n-5,4n-3,4n-2,4n+1,4n+2,4n+5,4n+7,\dots,8n-1)}$$

$$P(I_1 \Delta \{4n-1\}) = q_{(1,3,5,\dots,4n-7,4n-5,4n-4,4n-3,4n-2,4n,4n+2,4n+7,\dots,8n-1)}$$

$$P(I_2 \Delta \{4n-1\}) = q_{(1,3,5,\dots,4n-7,4n-5,4n-2,4n-1,4n+1,4n+4,4n+5,4n+7,\dots,8n-1)}$$

$$P(I_1 \Delta \{4n\}) = q_{(1,3,5,\dots,4n-7,4n-5,4n-4,4n-3,4n-2,4n-1,4n+1,4n+7,\dots,8n-1)}$$

$$P(I_2 \Delta \{4n\}) = q_{(1,3,5,\dots,4n-7,4n-5,4n-2,4n,4n+2,4n+4,4n+5,4n+7,\dots,8n-1)}.$$

Therefore, we have the following straightening laws in $G/P^{\alpha_{4n}}$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 - \\
 + \\
 + \\
 -
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \dots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \dots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 \end{array}$$

Similarly, by using Theorem 2.6, Remark 2.7, and Remark 2.8 we have

$$\begin{array}{r}
 = \\
 + \\
 - \\
 + \\
 - \\
 + \\
 -
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 \end{array}$$

Since we are working inside $X(w_6)$, the above straightening law becomes

$$\begin{array}{r}
 = \\
 + \\
 -
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \dots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \dots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, by using the above straightening laws we have

$$X_4 X_5 =$$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

$$= Y_1 - Y_2 + X_3 X_6.$$

Proof of (ii): Note that

$$X_2 X_5 =$$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

By using Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.8, we have the following straightening laws in G/P^{4n}

	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
=	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
-	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
+	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
	1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$

and

	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
=	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
-	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
+	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Since we are working in $X(w_6)$, the above straightening law becomes

	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
=	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
	2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Hence, we have

$$X_2 X_5 =$$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Since we are working in $X(w_6)$, we have

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Hence, we have

$$X_2 X_5 =$$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

$= X_1X_6 - Y_3 + Y_1.$

Proof of (iii): Note that

$X_2X_3 =$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

By using Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.8, we have the following straightening laws in G/P^{4n}

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
1	3	5	\dots	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$	\dots	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	\dots	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$	\dots	$8n-3$	$8n-1$

=
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
| 1 | 3 | 5 | \dots | $4n-7$ | $4n-5$ | $4n-4$ | $4n-2$ | $4n+1$ | $4n+2$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+7$ | $4n+9$ | \dots | $8n-3$ | $8n-1$ |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | \dots | $4n-7$ | $4n-5$ | $4n-3$ | $4n-1$ | $4n+1$ | $4n+3$ | $4n+5$ | $4n+7$ | $4n+9$ | \dots | $8n-3$ | $8n-1$ |

-
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
| 1 | 3 | 5 | \dots | $4n-7$ | $4n-5$ | $4n-4$ | $4n-3$ | $4n+1$ | $4n+3$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+7$ | $4n+9$ | \dots | $8n-3$ | $8n-1$ |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | \dots | $4n-7$ | $4n-5$ | $4n-2$ | $4n-1$ | $4n+1$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+5$ | $4n+7$ | $4n+9$ | \dots | $8n-3$ | $8n-1$ |

+
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
| 1 | 3 | 5 | \dots | $4n-7$ | $4n-5$ | $4n-4$ | $4n-3$ | $4n-2$ | $4n-1$ | $4n+1$ | $4n+7$ | $4n+9$ | \dots | $8n-3$ | $8n-1$ |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | \dots | $4n-7$ | $4n-5$ | $4n+1$ | $4n+2$ | $4n+3$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+5$ | $4n+7$ | $4n+9$ | \dots | $8n-3$ | $8n-1$ |

\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
2	4	6	\dots	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$	\dots	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	\dots	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$	\dots	$8n-2$	$8n$

=
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n-4$ | $4n-2$ | $4n$ | $4n+2$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n-3$ | $4n-1$ | $4n$ | $4n+3$ | $4n+5$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |

-
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n-4$ | $4n-3$ | $4n$ | $4n+2$ | $4n+3$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n-2$ | $4n-1$ | $4n$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+5$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |

+
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n-4$ | $4n-3$ | $4n-2$ | $4n-1$ | $4n$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n$ | $4n+2$ | $4n+3$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+5$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |

\end{array}$$

Since we are working in $X(w_6)$, the above straightening law becomes

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
2	4	6	\dots	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$	\dots	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	\dots	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$	\dots	$8n-2$	$8n$

=
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n-4$ | $4n-2$ | $4n$ | $4n+2$ | $4n+4$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | \dots | $4n-6$ | $4n-3$ | $4n-1$ | $4n$ | $4n+3$ | $4n+5$ | $4n+6$ | $4n+8$ | $4n+10$ | \dots | $8n-2$ | $8n$ |

\end{array}$$

Hence, $X_2X_3 =$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Since we are working in $X(w_6)$, by using Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.8, we have

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Hence, we have

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Since we are working in $X(w_6)$, by using Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.8, we have

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Hence, we have

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

Hence, $X_2X_3 =$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 + \\
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 = X_1X_4 - Y_4 + Y_1. \quad \square
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.3. *The following relations in X_i 's ($1 \leq i \leq 6$), Y_j 's ($1 \leq j \leq 4$) hold in R_2 .*

- (i) $X_2Y_1 - Z_1 = 0$.
- (ii) $X_2Y_2 - Z_2 = 0$.

Proof. Proof of (i): Note that $X_2Y_1 =$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\
 \hline
 \end{array}$$

Since we are working in $X(w_6)$, by using Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.8, we have

$$= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Hence, we have

$$X_2 Y_1 =$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$= Z_1.$$

Proof of (ii): Note that

$$X_2 Y_2 =$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & 4n-7 & 4n-5 & 4n-2 & 4n-1 & 4n+1 & 4n+4 & 4n+5 & 4n+7 & 4n+9 & \cdots & 8n-3 & 8n-1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-4 & 4n-1 & 4n & 4n+3 & 4n+4 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n-2 & 4n & 4n+2 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 6 & \cdots & 4n-6 & 4n-3 & 4n+1 & 4n+2 & 4n+3 & 4n+5 & 4n+6 & 4n+8 & 4n+10 & \cdots & 8n-2 & 8n \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Since we are working in $X(w_6)$, by using Theorem 2.6 and Remark 2.8, we have

2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

$X_2Y_2 =$

1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-3$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-4$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
1	3	5	...	$4n-7$	$4n-5$	$4n-2$	$4n-1$	$4n+1$	$4n+4$	$4n+5$	$4n+7$	$4n+9$...	$8n-3$	$8n-1$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-4$	$4n-2$	$4n$	$4n+2$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n-1$	$4n$	$4n+3$	$4n+4$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$
2	4	6	...	$4n-6$	$4n-3$	$4n+1$	$4n+2$	$4n+3$	$4n+5$	$4n+6$	$4n+8$	$4n+10$...	$8n-2$	$8n$

$= Z_2.$

□

Lemma 4.4. R is generated by X_i ($1 \leq i \leq 6$) and Y_1 as a \mathbb{C} -algebra.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. □

Corollary 4.5. Let $w \in W^{P^{\alpha_{4n}}}$ be such that $w_6 \leq w$. Then corresponding graded ring R is not generated by R_1 .

Proof. Since T_G is linearly reductive, the restriction map

$$\phi : H^0(X_G(w), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2m\omega_{4n}))^{T_G} \longrightarrow H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2m\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$$

is surjective for all $k \geq 1$. So, by Lemma 4.4, R is not generated by degree one elements. □

Now, we recall that by Theorem 2.1(ii), the very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n})$ descends to a line bundle on the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_{4n}})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$. We prove that the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n})$.

Theorem 4.6. The homogeneous coordinate ring of $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is generated by elements of degree one.

Proof. Let $f \in H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G} = H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2k}(2\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$. Then by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$f = \sum a_{\underline{m}, n_1} X^{\underline{m}} Y_1^{n_1},$$

where $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5, m_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^6$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 + m_6 + 2n_1 = 2k$, $X^{\underline{m}}$ denotes $X_1^{m_1} X_2^{m_2} X_3^{m_3} X_4^{m_4} X_5^{m_5} X_6^{m_6}$ and $a_{\underline{m}, n_1}$'s are non-zero scalars.

Now to prove that the homogeneous coordinate ring of $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is generated by $H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$ as a \mathbb{C} -algebra, it is enough to show that for each f as above and each monomial appearing in the expression of f is a product of k elements of $H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$.

Consider the monomial $X^{\underline{m}} Y_1^{n_1}$ in the expression of f . Note that $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 + m_6$ is an even integer. Thus, $X^{\underline{m}}$ can be written as $\prod_{(i,j)} X_i X_j$, where the number of pairs (i, j) is $k - n_1$ and repetitions of X_i 's are allowed. Therefore, $X^{\underline{m}}$ can be written as product of $k - n_1$ number of monomials in $H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$. On the other hand, since $Y_1 \in H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$, $X^{\underline{m}} Y_1^{n_1}$ is a product of k elements of $H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$. \square

Corollary 4.7. *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n})$.*

Proof. Since $X_G(w_6)$ is normal and $X_G(w_6)_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is open in $X_G(w_6)$, $X_G(w_6)_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is also normal. Hence, $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is a normal variety. Therefore, by using Theorem 4.6, it follows that $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n})$. \square

Remark 4.8. *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_6))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4m\omega_{4n}))$ ($m \geq 1$) is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4m\omega_{4n})$.*

Corollary 4.9. *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(v))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4m\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal for all $v \in W^{P^{4n}}$ such that $w_1 \leq v \leq w_6$ with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4m\omega_{4n})$.*

Proof. Since T_G is linearly reductive, the restriction map

$$\phi : H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4m\omega_{4n}))^{T_G} \longrightarrow H^0(X_G(v), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4m\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$$

is surjective for all $k \geq 1$.

So, by Remark 4.8, $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(v))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4m\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(4m\omega_{4n})$. \square

Proposition 4.10. *We have*

- (i) *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_1))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is a point.*
- (ii) *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_2))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))$ as a polarized variety.*
- (iii) *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_3))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))$ as a polarized variety.*
- (iv) *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_4))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ as a polarized variety.*
- (v) *The GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_5))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ as a polarized variety.*

Proof. Note that $Y_1 = 0$ on $X_G(w_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Proof of (i): Since T_G is linearly reductive, the restriction map

$$\phi : H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G} \longrightarrow H^0(X_G(w_1), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$$

is surjective for all $k \geq 1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.4, any standard monomial in $H^0(X_G(w_1), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$ is of the form X_1^{2k} . Hence, $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_1))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n})) = Proj(\mathbb{C}[X_1^2])$.

Proof of (ii): Since T_G is linearly reductive, the restriction map

$$\phi : H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G} \longrightarrow H^0(X_G(w_2), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$$

is surjective for all $k \geq 1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.4, X_1^2, X_1X_2, X_2^2 are standard monomials in $H^0(X_G(w_2), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$.

Note that by Corollary 4.9, $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_2))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal. Therefore, there is a surjective homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$\phi : \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2] \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X_G(w_2), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G},$$

defined by

$$z_0 \mapsto X_1^2, z_1 \mapsto X_1X_2 \text{ and } z_2 \mapsto X_2^2.$$

Let I be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2]$ generated by the relation

$$z_0z_2 = z_1^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Clearly, $I \subseteq \ker \phi$ and ϕ induces a homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2]/I \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X_G(w_2), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}.$$

Now, we show that $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. To complete the proof we use (4.3) as a reduction system. The process is of replacing a monomial M in z_i 's which is divisible by a term L_i 's on the left hand side of the reduction rule $L_i = R_i$ by $(M/L_i)R_i$, where R_i is the right hand side of the reduction rule. We show that the diamond lemma of ring theory holds for this reduction system (see [1]). That is any monomial in z_i 's reduces after applying the reduction rule to a unique expression in z_i 's, in which no term is divisible by a term appearing on the left hand side of the above reduction system.

Since we have only one reduction rule, it is enough to check for $z_0z_1z_2$. Note that $z_0z_1z_2 = (z_0z_2)z_1 = z_1^3$, for which no further reduction is possible. Therefore, $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. Hence, the GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_2))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $Proj(\frac{\mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2]}{(z_1^2 - z_0z_2)})$. Thus, the GIT quotient $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_2))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))$ as a polarized variety.

Proof of (iii) is similar to the proof of (ii).

Proof of (iv): Since T_G is linearly reductive, the restriction map

$$\phi : H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G} \longrightarrow H^0(X_G(w_4), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$$

is surjective for all $k \geq 1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, $X_1^2, X_2^2, X_3^2, X_4^2, X_1X_2, X_1X_3, X_1X_4, X_2X_4, X_3X_4, Y_4$ are standard monomials in $H^0(X_G(w_4), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$. Further, by Lemma 4.2, $X_2X_3 = X_1X_4 - Y_4$.

Note that by Corollary 4.9, $T_G \setminus \setminus (X_G(w_4))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9, z_{10}]$ be the polynomial algebra with variables z_i 's ($1 \leq i \leq 10$). Then there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\phi : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X_G(w_4), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G},$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 &\mapsto X_1^2 \\
z_2 &\mapsto X_2^2 \\
z_3 &\mapsto X_3^2 \\
z_4 &\mapsto X_4^2 \\
z_5 &\mapsto X_1 X_2 \\
z_6 &\mapsto X_1 X_3 \\
z_7 &\mapsto X_1 X_4 \\
z_8 &\mapsto X_2 X_3 \\
z_9 &\mapsto X_2 X_4 \\
z_{10} &\mapsto X_3 X_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Let I be the ideal of A generated by the following relations:

$$z_1 z_2 = z_5^2 \tag{4.4}$$

$$z_1 z_3 = z_6^2 \tag{4.5}$$

$$z_1 z_4 = z_7^2 \tag{4.6}$$

$$z_1 z_8 = z_5 z_6 \tag{4.7}$$

$$z_1 z_9 = z_5 z_7 \tag{4.8}$$

$$z_1 z_{10} = z_6 z_7 \tag{4.9}$$

$$z_2 z_3 = z_8^2 \tag{4.10}$$

$$z_2 z_4 = z_9^2 \tag{4.11}$$

$$z_2 z_6 = z_5 z_8 \tag{4.12}$$

$$z_2 z_7 = z_5 z_9 \tag{4.13}$$

$$z_2 z_{10} = z_8 z_9 \tag{4.14}$$

$$z_3 z_4 = z_{10}^2 \tag{4.15}$$

$$z_3 z_5 = z_6 z_8 \tag{4.16}$$

$$z_3 z_7 = z_6 z_{10} \tag{4.17}$$

$$z_3 z_9 = z_8 z_{10} \tag{4.18}$$

$$z_4 z_5 = z_7 z_9 \tag{4.19}$$

$$z_4 z_6 = z_7 z_{10} \tag{4.20}$$

$$z_4 z_8 = z_9 z_{10} \tag{4.21}$$

$$z_5 z_{10} = z_6 z_9 \tag{4.22}$$

$$z_6 z_9 = z_7 z_8 \tag{4.23}$$

Clearly, $I \subseteq \ker \phi$ and ϕ induces a homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$\tilde{\phi} : A/I \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X_G(w_4), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^T.$$

Now, we show that $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

To complete the proof we use the above relations as a reduction system. We show that diamond lemma (see [1]) holds for this reduction system by looking at the reduction of the following minimal overlapping ambiguities:

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1 z_2 z_3, z_1 z_2 z_4, z_1 z_2 z_6, z_1 z_2 z_7, z_1 z_2 z_8, z_1 z_2 z_9, z_1 z_2 z_{10}, z_1 z_3 z_4, z_1 z_3 z_5, z_1 z_3 z_7, z_1 z_3 z_8, z_1 z_3 z_9, z_1 z_3 z_{10}, \\ & z_1 z_4 z_5, z_1 z_4 z_6, z_1 z_4 z_8, z_1 z_4 z_9, z_1 z_4 z_{10}, z_1 z_5 z_{10}, z_1 z_6 z_9, z_1 z_8 z_9, z_1 z_8 z_{10}, z_1 z_9 z_{10}, z_2 z_3 z_4, z_2 z_3 z_5, z_2 z_3 z_6, \\ & z_2 z_3 z_7, z_2 z_3 z_9, z_2 z_3 z_{10}, z_2 z_4 z_5, z_2 z_4 z_6, z_2 z_4 z_7, z_2 z_4 z_8, z_2 z_4 z_{10}, z_2 z_5 z_{10}, z_2 z_6 z_7, z_2 z_6 z_9, z_2 z_6 z_{10}, z_2 z_7 z_{10}, \\ & z_3 z_4 z_5, z_3 z_4 z_6, z_3 z_4 z_7, z_3 z_4 z_8, z_3 z_4 z_9, z_3 z_5 z_7, z_3 z_5 z_9, z_3 z_5 z_{10}, z_3 z_6 z_9, z_3 z_7 z_9, z_4 z_5 z_6, z_4 z_5 z_8, z_4 z_5 z_{10}, \\ & z_4 z_6 z_8, z_4 z_6 z_9. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $z_1 z_2 z_3 = (z_1 z_2) z_3 = z_3 z_5^2$ (using Eq. (4.4)) $= (z_3 z_5) z_5 = z_5 z_6 z_8$ (using Eq. (4.16)).

Again, $z_1 z_2 z_3 = z_1 (z_2 z_3) = z_1 z_8^2$ (using Eq. (4.10)) $= (z_1 z_8) z_8 = z_5 z_6 z_8$ (using Eq. (4.7))

Also, $z_1 z_2 z_3 = (z_1 z_3) z_2 = z_2 z_6^2$ (using Eq. (4.5)) $= (z_2 z_6) z_6 = z_5 z_6 z_8$ (using Eq. (4.12)).

Therefore, the reduction is unique.

Likewise, we can show that the remaining overlapping ambiguities reduce to a unique expression in z_i 's after applying different reduction rules. Therefore, $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

Consider the embedding

$$\psi : \mathbb{P}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$$

given by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_2 x_3, x_2 x_4, x_3 x_4).$$

Let J be the homogeneous ideal of A generated by 2×2 minors of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ z_5 & z_2 & z_8 & z_9 \\ z_6 & z_8 & z_3 & z_{10} \\ z_7 & z_9 & z_{10} & z_4 \end{pmatrix}$,

where z_i 's are the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^9 . Note that $\psi(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is given by J . Further, note that $I \subseteq J$ and $\dim(A/I) = \dim(A/J) = 4$ (computed using Macaulay2 [3]). Hence, the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_4))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ as a polarized variety.

Proof of (v): Since T_G is linearly reductive, the restriction map

$$\phi : H^0(X_G(w_6), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G} \longrightarrow H^0(X_G(w_5), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$$

is surjective for all $k \geq 1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1, $X_1^2, X_3^2, X_5^2, X_1 X_3, X_1 X_5, X_3 X_5$ are standard monomials in $H^0(X_G(w_4), \mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}$.

Note that by Corollary 4.9, $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_5))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is projectively normal. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6]$ be the polynomial algebra with variables z_i 's. Then there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\phi : A \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X_G(w_5), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G},$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &\mapsto X_1^2 \\ z_2 &\mapsto X_3^2 \\ z_3 &\mapsto X_5^2 \\ z_4 &\mapsto X_1 X_3 \\ z_5 &\mapsto X_1 X_5 \\ z_6 &\mapsto X_3 X_5. \end{aligned}$$

Let I be the ideal of A generated by the following relations:

$$z_1 z_2 = z_4^2 \tag{4.24}$$

$$z_1 z_3 = z_5^2 \tag{4.25}$$

$$z_2 z_3 = z_6^2 \tag{4.26}$$

$$z_1 z_6 = z_4 z_5 \tag{4.27}$$

$$z_2 z_5 = z_4 z_6 \tag{4.28}$$

$$z_3 z_4 = z_5 z_6. \tag{4.29}$$

Clearly, $I \subseteq \ker \phi$, and ϕ induces a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\phi} : A/I \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(X_G(w_5), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(4\omega_{4n}))^{T_G}.$$

Now, we show that $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

To complete the proof we use the above relations as a reduction system. We show that diamond lemma (see [1]) holds for this reduction system by looking at the reduction of the minimal overlapping ambiguities: $z_1 z_2 z_3, z_1 z_2 z_5, z_1 z_2 z_6, z_1 z_3 z_4, z_1 z_3 z_6, z_2 z_3 z_4, z_2 z_3 z_5$.

Note that $z_1 z_2 z_3 = z_3(z_1 z_2) = z_3 z_4^2$ (using Eq. (4.24)) $= (z_3 z_4) z_4 = z_4 z_5 z_6$ (using Eq. (4.29)).

Again $z_1 z_2 z_3 = z_1(z_2 z_3) = z_1 z_6^2$ (using Eq. (4.26)) $= (z_1 z_6) z_6 = z_4 z_5 z_6$ (using Eq. (4.27)).

Also, $z_1 z_2 z_3 = z_2(z_1 z_3) = z_2 z_5^2$ (using Eq. (4.25)) $= (z_2 z_5) z_5 = z_4 z_5 z_6$ (using Eq. (4.28)).

Likewise, we can show that the remaining overlapping ambiguities:

$z_1 z_2 z_5, z_1 z_2 z_6, z_1 z_3 z_4, z_1 z_3 z_6, z_2 z_3 z_4, z_2 z_3 z_5$ reduce to unique expressions in z_i 's namely, $z_4^2 z_5, z_4^2 z_6, z_4 z_5^2, z_5^2 z_6, z_4 z_6^2, z_5 z_6^2$ after applying different reduction rules. Therefore, $\tilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

Consider the embedding

$$\psi : \mathbb{P}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$$

given by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3).$$

Let J be the homogeneous ideal of A generated by 2×2 minors of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_4 & z_5 \\ z_4 & z_2 & z_6 \\ z_5 & z_6 & z_3 \end{pmatrix}$,

where z_i 's are the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^5 . Note that $\psi(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is given by J . Further, note that $I \subseteq J$ and $\dim(A/I) = \dim(A/J) = 3$ (computed using Macaulay2 [3]). Thus, the GIT quotient $T_G \backslash \backslash (X_G(w_5))_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(4\omega_{4n}))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$ as a polarized variety.

□

5. PROJECTIVE NORMALITY

5.1. $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C}) (n \geq 4)$. Let $G = Spin(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and T_G be a maximal torus of G . Let $P^{\alpha_1} (\supset T_G)$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to α_1 . In this section, we study the GIT quotient of G/P^{α_1} with respect to the descend of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1)$.

Let $w = (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-2})(s_{n-1} s_n)(s_{n-2} s_{n-3} \cdots s_1) \in W^{P^{\alpha_1}}$. Then note that w is the minimal coset representative of the longest element of W in $W/W^{P^{\alpha_1}}$. Thus, we have $X(w) = G/P^{\alpha_1}$.

Let $X = T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_1})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1))$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_k$, where $R_k = H^0(G/P^{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_1))^{T_G}$.

Then we have $X = Proj(R)$.

Since $w(2\omega_1) < 0$, by [8, Lemma 3.1, p.276], it follows that $(G/P^{\alpha_1})_{T_G}^s(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1))$ is non-empty. Therefore, the dimension of X is $2n - 2 - n (= n - 2)$.

For $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, let

$$X_j = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline j \\ \hline j \\ \hline 2n+1-j \\ \hline 2n+1-j \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Then X_j 's form a basis of R_1 . Further, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *The graded \mathbb{C} -algebra R is generated by R_1 .*

Proof. Let $f \in R_k$ be a standard monomial. Then we show that $f = f_1 f_2$, where f_1 or f_2 is in R_1 .

Recall that by Section 2.1, the Young diagram Γ associated to f has the shape $p = (p_1) = (4k)$. We also denote Γ for the Young tableau associated to the Young diagram Γ . So, Γ has $4k$ rows and 1 column with strictly increasing integers from top row to bottom row.

Since f is T_G -invariant, by Remark 2.4, we have

$$c_{\Gamma}(t) = c_{\Gamma}(2n + 1 - t) \quad (5.1)$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq n$, where $c_{\Gamma}(t)$ is the number of t appearing in Γ . Let r_i be the i -th row of Γ enumerated from the bottom row. We also denote the entry in the r_i -th row of Γ by r_i . Note that the admissible pair (r_{2i-1}, r_{2i}) is trivial, i.e., $r_{2i-1} = r_{2i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Further, by Section 2.1(1), Γ satisfies: $r_{2i} \equiv r_{2i+1} \pmod{2}$, if $r_{2i}, r_{2i+1} \in \{n, n+1\}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 2k-1$. Thus, $r_i \notin \{n, n+1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 4k$.

Now assume that $r_1 = r_2 (= 2n + 1 - j)$. Then by Eq. (5.1), j appears in Γ . Further, since $2n+1-j$ is the maximal integer appearing in Γ , it follows that j is the minimal integer appearing in Γ . Thus, we have $r_{4k-1} = r_{4k} (= j)$. Further, note that $j \neq n$, as $r_i \notin \{n, n+1\}$. Therefore, X_j is a factor of f for some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *The GIT quotient X is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1)$ and is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1))$ as a polarized variety.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, R is generated by X_j for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Therefore, X is projectively normal. On the other hand, dimension of X is $n-2$. Thus, R is the polynomial algebra generated by X_j for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Therefore, X is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1))$ as a polarized variety. \square

5.2. $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$). Let $G = Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$) and T_G be a maximal torus of G . Let $P^{\alpha_1} (\supset T_G)$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to α_1 . In this section, we study the GIT quotient of G/P^{α_1} with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1)$.

Let $w = (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1})(s_n)(s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_1) \in W^{P^{\alpha_1}}$. Then note that w is the minimal coset representative of the longest element of W in $W/W^{P^{\alpha_1}}$. Thus, we have $X(w) = G/P^{\alpha_1}$.

Let $X = T_G \backslash \backslash (G/P^{\alpha_1})_{T_G}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1))$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} R_k$, where $R_k = H^0(G/P^{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}(2\omega_1))^{T_G}$.

Then we have $X = Proj(R)$.

Since $w(2\omega_1) < 0$, by [8, Lemma 3.1, p.276], it follows that $(G/P^{\alpha_1})_T^s(\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1))$ is non-empty. Therefore, the dimension of X is $2n-1-n(=n-1)$.

For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$X_j = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline j \\ \hline j \\ \hline 2n+1-j \\ \hline 2n+1-j \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Note that X_j 's form a basis of R_1 . Further, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *The graded \mathbb{C} -algebra R is generated by R_1 .*

Proof. Let $f \in R_k$ be a standard monomial. We show that $f = f_1 f_2$, where f_1 or f_2 is in R_1 .

Recall that by Section 2.3, the Young diagram Γ associated to f has the shape $p = (p_1) = (4k)$. We also denote Γ for the Young tableau associated to the Young diagram Γ . So, Γ has $4k$ rows and 1 column with strictly increasing integers from top row to bottom row.

Since f is T_G -invariant, by Remark 2.4, we have

$$c_{\Gamma}(t) = c_{\Gamma}(2n+1-t) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $1 \leq t \leq n$, where $c_{\Gamma}(t)$ is the number of t appearing in Γ . Let r_i be the i -th row of Γ enumerated from the bottom row. We also denote the entry in the r_i -th row of Γ by r_i . Note that the admissible pair (r_{2i-1}, r_{2i}) is trivial, i.e., $r_{2i-1} = r_{2i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2k$.

Now assume that $r_1 = r_2 (= 2n + 1 - j)$. Then by Eq. (5.2), j appears in Γ . Further, since $2n + 1 - j$ is the maximal integer appearing in Γ , it follows that j is the minimal integer appearing in Γ . Thus, we have $r_{4k-1} = r_{4k} (= j)$. Therefore, X_j is a factor of f for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *The GIT quotient X is projectively normal with respect to the descent of the T_G -linearized very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}(2\omega_1)$ and is isomorphic to the projective space $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$ as a polarized variety.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, R is generated by X_j for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. On the other hand, dimension of X is $n - 1$. Thus, R is the polynomial algebra generated by X_j for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore, X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$ as a polarized variety. \square

Acknowledgements: We thank Professor S. Senthamarai Kannan for his constant encouragement and various helpful suggestion.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*, Adv. Math. **29**(2):178–218(1978).
- [2] A. W. M. Dress, W. Wenzel, *A Simple Proof of an Identity Concerning Pfaffians of Skew Symmetric Matrices*, Advances in Mathematics, **112**, 120–134, 1995.
- [3] D. R. Grayson, M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics book series* (GTM, volume 52).
- [5] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972.
- [6] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [7] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, (Second Edition), Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol.**107**, 2003.
- [8] S. S. Kannan, A. Nayek, P. Saha, *Torus quotients of Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian $G_{2,n}$* , Indian J. Pure Appl Math. **53**, no. 1, 273–293, (2022).
- [9] S. S. Kannan, S. K. Pattanayak, *Torus quotients of homogeneous spaces-minimal dimensional Schubert varieties admitting semi-stable points*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math.Sci.) **119**(4), 2009, 469–485.
- [10] S. Kumar, *Descent of line bundles to GIT quotients of flag varieties by maximal torus*, Transformation groups, vol.**13**, no. 3-4, 757–771, 2008.
- [11] V. Lakshmibai, K. N. Raghavan, *Standard Monomial Theory Invariant Theoretic Approach, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, volume **137**.
- [12] P. Littelmann, *A Generalization of the Littelwood-Richardson Rule*, Journal of Algebra **130**, 328–368, 1990.
- [13] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1994.
- [14] A. Nayek, P. Saha, *Torus quotients of Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian $G_{n,2n}$* , preprint.
- [15] P. E. Newstead, *Lecture on Introduction to Moduli Problem And Orbit Spaces*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1978.
- [16] C. S. Seshadri, *Geometry of G/P -I*, C.P. Ramanujam: A Tribute, 207 (Springer-Verlag) Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.

ARPITA NAYEK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, 400076, INDIA.

Email address: arpitan@math.iitb.ac.in

PINAKINATH SAHA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, 400076, INDIA.

Email address: psaha@math.iitb.ac.in