

Subnormal and completely hyperexpansive completion problem of weighted shifts on directed trees

Michał Buchała

ABSTRACT. For a given directed tree and weights associated with vertices from a subtree the completion problem is to determine if these weights may be completed in a way to obtain a bounded weighted shift on the whole tree, which possibly satisfies also some more restrictive conditions. In this paper we consider subnormal and completely hyperexpansive completion problem for weighted shifts on directed trees with one branching point. We develop new results on backward extensions of truncated moment sequences and, exploiting these results, we obtain a characterization of existence of such a completion

1. Introduction

Classical weighted shifts form an important class of operators, which is a rich source of examples in operator theory. The question of subnormality of this kind of operators was investigated in [18] in case of monotone weighted shifts and a construction of minimal normal extension was given. In [8] there appeared another characterization of subnormal weighted shifts in terms of moments sequences (which was mentioned also in [9] as done formerly by Berger). In [1] the author answered the question of complete hyperexpansivity of weighted shifts on the occasion of introducing such class of operators – the obtained characterization involves Lévy-Khinchin representation of certain sequence.

In [10] there have been introduced weighted shifts on directed trees. It is more general class of operators containing classical weighted shifts as well as their adjoints. In the same paper there appeared criteria on subnormality and complete hyperexpansivity of weighted shifts on trees with one branching point. These criteria – as for usual weighted shifts – translate notions of subnormality and complete hyperexpansivity into certain moment sequences, but this time negative moments are also involved.

In [18] Stampfli initiated the research on subnormal completions of weighted shifts. Thanks to his construction of minimal normal extension of a subnormal monotone weighted shift he derived that for $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3$ there exists a

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B37; Secondary 47B20, 44A60.

Key words and phrases. Weighted shifts, completion problems, subnormal operators, completely hyperexpansive operators, truncated moment problem, backward extensions.

The research was supported by the Priority Research Area SciMat under the program Excellence Initiative – Research University at the Jagiellonian University in Kraków.

subnormal weighted shift with weights starting from $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$. Moreover, he showed that such condition does not suffice if we take four weights. Nevertheless, in his work there appears quite simple condition for a sequence $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \lambda_4$ to have a subnormal completion, namely

$$\lambda_4^2 \geq \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_1^2 \frac{(\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_2^2)^2}{\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2}.$$

In [4] the authors recovered and generalized the results of [18] by studying truncated moment sequences and recursively generated completions. In [11] there has been investigated the completely hyperexpansive completion problem of weighted shifts – the authors have used similar tools as in [4]. In [16] and [5] the authors have studied subnormal completion problem for 2-variable weighted shifts. In [13] more general d -variable weighted shift completions have been studied.

In [6] there was posed a problem of subnormal completions of weighted shifts on directed trees with one branching point and several general results were proved (concerning e.g. 1-generation completions and flat completions). In [7] (which is a continuation of [6]) the solution of 2-generation completion problem was obtained for directed trees with trunk of length 1.

The aim of this paper is to give a solution of subnormal and completely hyperexpansive completion problem for weighted shifts on directed trees with one branching point in full generality. It is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary results concerning subnormal and completely hyperexpansive operators. In Section 3 we recall basic facts about truncated moment sequences on compact intervals presented in [14] and [12]. The aim of Section 4 and 7 is to move these results to non-compact case, i.e. the case $(0, \infty)$ and $(0, 1]$, respectively. The main results of these sections are Theorems 4.6 and 7.5, which characterize strict positivity in terms of minimal cardinality of support of representing measures. In Section 5 and 7 we study backward extensions of truncated moment sequence on $(0, \infty)$ and $(0, 1]$, respectively. The key results are Theorems 5.4 and 7.5, which give us the simple condition for backward extension to be strictly positive. These all allow us to obtain the crucial characterizations of truncated moment sequences in terms of extremal values of the integral of reciprocal function. In Theorem 6.2 – using theory developed in Section 5 – we give a solution (in the kind of [7, Theorem 4.1]) of subnormal completion problem for directed tree with finite trunk. The next part of Section 6 is devoted to trees with infinite trunk – exploiting compactness of certain sets we link these two cases. Theorem 9.2 is the analogue to Theorem 6.2 for completely hyperexpansive completion problem. In the rest part of Section 9 we investigate flat completions in a similar way as in [6, Section 8].

2. Preliminaries

By \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} we denote the set of natural numbers (including zero), integers, rational numbers and real numbers, respectively. We also use the symbol $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ for the set $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ for the set $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$; we equip these sets with natural order. For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we denote $A_p = \{x \in A : x \geq p\}$.

If $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathbb{K}_n[x]$ stands for the space of polynomials of degree at most n with coefficients in \mathbb{K} .

Let A be $n \times n$ -matrix with real coefficients. We say that A is *non-negative*, if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^n . If $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then A is called *positive*.

If X is a topological space, then $\mathcal{B}(X)$ stands for the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of X . If μ is a positive regular measure on $\mathcal{B}(X)$, then the closed support of μ is denoted by $\text{supp } \mu$.

We call $(s_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ a *Hamburger moment sequence* if there exists a measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^n d\mu(t) = s_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A sequence $(s_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset [0, \infty)$ is called a *Stieltjes moment sequence* if there exists a measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{[0, \infty)} t^n d\mu(t) = s_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall that $(s_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset [0, \infty)$ is a Stieltjes moment sequence if and only if both $(s_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ and $(s_{k+1})_{k=0}^\infty$ are Hamburger moment sequences.

We say that a sequence $(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$ is *completely alternating* if

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} a_{k+m} \leq 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

The following useful characterization of completely alternating sequences can be found in [2].

THEOREM 2.1 ([2, Proposition 4.6.12], [1, Remark 1]). *Let $(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset [0, \infty)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is completely alternating,
- (ii) there exists a measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$a_0 + \int_0^1 (1 + t + \dots + t^{n-1}) d\mu(t) = a_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

Moreover, if (i) holds, then the measure in (ii) is unique.

We will call the unique measure μ in the above theorem the *representing measure* of $(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty$.

Let H be a Hilbert space. By $\mathbf{B}(H)$ we denote the C^* -algebra of bounded operators on H . An operator $T \in \mathbf{B}(H)$ is called *subnormal* if there exists a Hilbert space K containing H (in sense of isometric embeddings) and a normal operator $S \in \mathbf{B}(K)$ such that $S|_H = T$. Recall Lambert's characterization of subnormality:

THEOREM 2.2 ([15], [19, Theorem 7]). *Let H be a Hilbert space and $T \in \mathbf{B}(H)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) T is subnormal,
- (ii) $(\|T^n h\|^2)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Stieltjes moment sequence for every $h \in H$.

Moreover, if (ii) holds, then $(\|T^n h\|^2)_{n=0}^\infty$ is determinate and support of the unique measure with moments $(\|T^n h\|^2)_{n=0}^\infty$ is contained in $[0, \|T\|]$.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is called *completely hyperexpansive* if for every $f \in H$ the sequence $(\|T^n f\|^2)_{n=0}^\infty$ is completely alternating, i.e. for every $f \in H$ there exists a unique measure $\mu_f: \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|T^n f\|^2 = \|f\|^2 + \int_{[0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{n-1}) d\mu_f(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

Directed graph $\mathcal{T} = (V, E)$, where V is the set of vertices and $E \subset V \times V$ is the set of edges, is called a *directed tree*, if \mathcal{T} is connected, has no cycles and each vertex has in-degree at most one. The vertex with in-degree zero is called a *root* of \mathcal{T} ; tree may have at most one root, which will be denoted by \mathbf{root} . For a directed tree $\mathcal{T} = (V, E)$ and a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_v)_{v \in V \setminus \{\mathbf{root}\}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following condition:

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{v \in V} \sum_{\substack{u \in V \\ (v,u) \in E}} |\lambda_u|^2 < \infty$$

we consider an operator $S_\lambda \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V))$, called *weighted shift* on \mathcal{T} with weights λ , defined by the following formula

$$S_\lambda e_v = \sum_{\substack{u \in V \\ (v,u) \in E}} \lambda_u e_u, \quad v \in V.$$

Note that the classical weighted shifts are also weighted shifts in this more general setting – a suitable tree is simply a list.

If $\mathcal{T} = (V, E)$ is a directed tree, then [10, Theorem 6.1.3] states that a bounded weighted shift $S_\lambda \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V))$ on \mathcal{T} is subnormal if and only if $(\|S_\lambda^n e_u\|^2)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Stieltjes moment sequence for every $u \in V$. The measure representing the moment sequence $(\|S_\lambda^n e_u\|^2)_{n=0}^\infty$ is unique; we denote it by μ_u^λ . In the class of weighted shifts on directed trees with one branching point, i.e. trees $\mathcal{T}_{\eta,\kappa} = (V_{\eta,\kappa}, E_{\eta,\kappa})$, where $\eta, \kappa \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $\eta \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\eta,\kappa} &= \{-k: k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa]\} \sqcup \{(i, j): j \in \mathbb{N}_1, i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]\}, \\ E_\kappa &= \{(-k, -k+1): k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \kappa]\} \sqcup \{(0, (i, 1)): i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]\}, \\ E_{\eta,\kappa} &= E_\kappa \sqcup \{((i, j), (i, j+1)): i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], j \in \mathbb{N}_1\}, \end{aligned}$$

the following characterization were obtained.

THEOREM 2.3 ([10, Corollary 6.2.2]). *Suppose $\eta, \kappa \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $\eta \geq 1$. Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^\kappa \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfy (2.2). The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) S_λ is subnormal,
- (ii) there exists a sequence $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^\eta$ of positive Borel measures on $[0, \infty)$ such that¹

$$\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 = \int_{[0,\infty)} t^n d\mu_i(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$$

and

- (a) if $\kappa = 0$, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^\eta |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 \int_{[0,\infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\mu_i(t) \leq 1,$$

¹We agree that $\prod \emptyset = 1$.

(b) if $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_1$, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 \int_{[0,\infty)} \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\mu_i(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} |\lambda_{-j}|^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa - 1],$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 \int_{[0,\infty)} \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} d\mu_i(t) \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} |\lambda_{-j}|^2},$$

(c) if $\kappa = \infty$, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 \int_{[0,\infty)} \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\mu_i(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} |\lambda_{-j}|^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, if (ii) holds, then $\mu_i = \mu_{i,1}^{\lambda}$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$.

From [10, Theorem 7.1.4] we know that a bounded weighted shift $S_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V))$ on a directed tree $\mathcal{T} = (V, E)$ is completely hyperexpansive if and only if $(\|S_{\lambda}^n e_u\|_{n=0}^{\infty})^2$ is completely alternating for every $u \in V$. The Borel measure τ satisfying the formula

$$\|S_{\lambda}^n e_u\|^2 = 1 + \int_0^1 (1 + \dots + t^{n-1}) d\tau(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1,$$

is unique; we denote it by τ_u^{λ} . In case of directed trees with one branching point, the question of complete hyperexpansivity has the following answer.

THEOREM 2.4 ([10, Corollary 7.2.3]). *Suppose $\eta, \kappa \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $\eta \geq 1$. Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta, \infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfy (2.2). The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) S_{λ} is completely hyperexpansive,
- (ii) there exists a sequence $(\tau_i)_{i=1}^{\eta}$ of positive Borel measures on $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 = 1 + \int_{[0,1]} (1 + t + \dots + t^{n-1}) d\mu_i(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1, i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$$

and

(a) if $\kappa = 0$, it holds

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 \int_{[0,1]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu_i(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2,$$

(b) if $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_1$, it holds

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2 \int_{[0,1]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} |\lambda_{i,1}|^2,$$

$$1 + \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{[0,1]} \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\tau_i = \lambda_{-k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \kappa - 1]$$

$$1 + \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{[0,1]} \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} d\tau_i \leq \lambda_{-\kappa+1},$$

(c) if $\kappa = \infty$, then S_{λ} is an isometry.

Moreover, if (ii) holds, then $\tau_i = \tau_{i,1}^{\lambda}$.

Given $\eta, \kappa \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $\eta \geq 2$ and a weighted shift $S_{\lambda} \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V_{\eta, \kappa}))$ we say that S_{λ} is r -generation flat if $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_{1,j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_r$ and $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$.

If $\eta, \kappa \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $\eta \geq 2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we say that λ has a completion if there exists a weighted shift $S_{\lambda'} \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V_{\eta, \kappa}))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda'_{-k} &= \lambda_k, & k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa - 1] \\ \lambda'_{i,j} &= \lambda_{i,j}, & i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, p]; \end{aligned}$$

every such operator will be called a *completion* of λ .

3. Moment problem on compact interval

For the reader's convenience we formulate basic facts about truncated moment sequences on compact intervals. For more details see [14] and [12].

DEFINITION 3.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called a moment sequence on $[a, b]$, if there exists a measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}([a, b]) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\int_{[a,b]} t^k d\mu(t) = s_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, n].$$

A moment sequence \mathbf{s} is called *determinate* on $[a, b]$, if the above measure is unique; otherwise we call a moment sequence \mathbf{s} *indeterminate* on $[a, b]$.

For $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a linear functional $\sigma: \mathbb{R}_n[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\sigma(x^k) = s_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, n].$$

DEFINITION 3.2. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is positive on $[a, b]$ if for every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}_n[x]$, which is non-negative on $[a, b]$ we have $\sigma(P) \geq 0$. A positive sequence \mathbf{s} is called strictly positive on $[a, b]$ if for every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}_n[x]$, which is non-negative on $[a, b]$ and not constantly equal zero, we have $\sigma(P) > 0$. If \mathbf{s} is positive on $[a, b]$, but not strictly positive, then we call it singularly positive on $[a, b]$.*

Observe that if \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$, then it is strictly positive on every interval $[a', b'] \supset [a, b]$.

The following theorem reveals the relationship between moment sequences and positive sequences.

THEOREM 3.3 ([14, Theorem III.1.1], [12, II.§3]). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbf{s} is a moment sequence on $[a, b]$,
- (ii) \mathbf{s} is positive on $[a, b]$.

In [14] there are shown equivalent conditions for positivity.

THEOREM 3.4 ([14, Theorems III.2.3 and III.2.4]). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

(i) for $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, positivity of \mathbf{s} on $[a, b]$ is equivalent to non-negativity of the following matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \cdots & s_m \\ s_1 & s_2 & \cdots & s_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_m & s_{m+1} & \cdots & s_{2m} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} s'_0 & s'_1 & \cdots & s'_{m-1} \\ s'_1 & s'_2 & \cdots & s'_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s'_{m-1} & s'_m & \cdots & s'_{2m-2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $s'_k = (a+b)s_{k+1} - abs_k - s_{k+2}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m-2]$,

(ii) for $n = 2m+1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, positivity of \mathbf{s} is equivalent to non-negativity of the following matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_0 & \tilde{s}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{s}_m \\ \tilde{s}_1 & \tilde{s}_2 & \cdots & \tilde{s}_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{s}_m & \tilde{s}_{m+1} & \cdots & \tilde{s}_{2m} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}'_0 & \tilde{s}'_1 & \cdots & \tilde{s}'_m \\ \tilde{s}'_1 & \tilde{s}'_2 & \cdots & \tilde{s}'_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{s}'_m & \tilde{s}'_{m+1} & \cdots & \tilde{s}'_{2m} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{s}_k = s_{k+1} - as_k$ and $\tilde{s}'_k = bs_k - s_{k+1}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m]$.

In both cases, \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$ if and only if both matrices are positive.

If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a moment sequence on $[a, b]$, then by $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ we denote the set of all measures satisfying Definition 3.1; if \mathbf{s} is not a moment sequence, we set for consistency $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) = \emptyset$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ we define an *index* of μ in the following way: if μ is of finite support, then we set

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \sum_{c \in (a,b)} \chi_{\text{supp } \mu}(c) + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{supp } \mu}(a) + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{supp } \mu}(b),$$

otherwise we set $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \infty$. We define also an *index* of a moment sequence \mathbf{s} :

$$\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) = \min_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})} \text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu).$$

In view of [17, Theorem 1], $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ is always finite. The next result reveals the connection between determinateness, singular positivity and index of a moment sequence.

THEOREM 3.5 ([14, Theorem III.4.1]). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a moment sequence on $[a, b]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbf{s} is determinate on $[a, b]$,
- (ii) \mathbf{s} is singularly positive on $[a, b]$,
- (iii) $\text{ind}(\mathbf{s}) \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Together with [14, III.§5] (see also [12, II.§3]) it gives us the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a moment sequence on $[a, b]$. Then \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$ if and only if $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Moreover, there exist exactly two measures satisfying Definition 3.1 of index $\frac{n+1}{2}$ (called principal). If $n = 2m-1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$, then there are two possible types of principal measures (both achieved):*

- (i) all atoms are interior to $[a, b]$; this measure is called lower principal and its atoms are roots of the following polynomial:

$$(3.2) \quad \underline{Q}(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{m-1} & 1 \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_m & s_{m+1} & \dots & s_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix};$$

- (ii) a, b are atoms and all other atoms are interior to $[a, b]$; this measure is called upper principal and its atoms are roots of the following polynomial:

$$(3.3) \quad \overline{Q}(t) = (t-a)(b-t) \det \begin{bmatrix} s'_0 & s'_1 & \dots & s'_{m-2} & 1 \\ s'_1 & s'_2 & \dots & s'_{m-1} & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s'_{m-1} & s'_m & \dots & s'_{2m-3} & t^{m-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $s'_k = (a+b)s_{k+1} - abs_k - s_{k+2}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m-3]$.

If $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then there also two possible types of principal measures (both achieved):

- (i) a is an atom and all other atoms are interior to $[a, b]$; this measure is called lower principal and its atoms are roots of the following polynomial:

$$(3.4) \quad \underline{Q}(t) = (t-a) \det \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_0 & \tilde{s}_1 & \dots & \tilde{s}_{m-1} & 1 \\ \tilde{s}_1 & \tilde{s}_2 & \dots & \tilde{s}_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{s}_m & \tilde{s}_{m+1} & \dots & \tilde{s}_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{s}_k = s_{k+1} - as_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m-1]$;

- (ii) b is an atom and all other atoms are interior to $[a, b]$; this measure is called upper principal and its atoms are roots of the following polynomial:

$$(3.5) \quad \overline{Q}(t) = (b-t) \det \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}'_0 & \tilde{s}'_1 & \dots & \tilde{s}'_{m-1} & 1 \\ \tilde{s}'_1 & \tilde{s}'_2 & \dots & \tilde{s}'_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{s}'_m & \tilde{s}'_{m+1} & \dots & \tilde{s}'_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{s}'_k = bs_k - s_{k+1}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m-1]$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\Omega : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\{1, t, \dots, t^n, \Omega(t)\}$ is a Chebyshev system, i.e. for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every strictly increasing sequence $(t_0, \dots, t_{n+1}) \subset [a, b]$ it holds

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_0 & t_0^2 & \dots & t_0^n & \Omega(t_0) \\ 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \dots & t_1^n & \Omega(t_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_{n+1} & t_{n+1}^2 & \dots & t_{n+1}^n & \Omega(t_{n+1}) \end{bmatrix} > 0.$$

Below we present the result concerning extremal values of integral of Ω .

THEOREM 3.7 ([14, Theorem IV.1.1], [12, Theorem III.1.1]). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be strictly positive on $[a, b]$. Then*

- (i) $\sup \left\{ \int_{[a,b]} \Omega d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \right\}$ is attained **only** at upper principal measure,

(ii) $\inf \left\{ \int_{[a,b]} \Omega d\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \right\}$ is attained **only** at lower principal measure.

The following consideration about backward extensions of moment sequences are taken from [14, III.§2.3] and are important in the further part of this paper. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a < b$. Consider the function $\Omega(t) = \frac{1}{t}$, $t \in [a, b]$. By the basic properties of determinant and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_0 & t_0^2 & \cdots & t_0^n & (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{t_0} \\ 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \cdots & t_1^n & (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{t_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_{n+1} & t_{n+1}^2 & \cdots & t_{n+1}^n & (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t_0} & 1 & t_0 & t_0^2 & \cdots & t_0^n \\ \frac{1}{t_1} & 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \cdots & t_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} & 1 & t_{n+1} & t_{n+1}^2 & \cdots & t_{n+1}^n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{t_0} \cdots \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_0 & t_0^2 & \cdots & t_0^n & t_0^{n+1} \\ 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \cdots & t_1^n & t_1^{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_{n+1} & t_{n+1}^2 & \cdots & t_{n+1}^n & t_{n+1}^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for every strictly increasing sequence $(t_0, \dots, t_{n+1}) \subset [a, b]$, where the last inequality follows from the properties of Vandermonde determinant.

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a positive sequence on $[a, b]$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ be a measure with $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ and let $Q \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $\#\text{supp } \mu$ with simple zeros at atoms of μ . It is easy to see that $\frac{Q(t)-Q(0)}{t}$ is a polynomial of degree less than n , so we can put

$$(3.6) \quad P(0) = \sigma \left(\frac{Q(t) - Q(0)}{t} \right).$$

Then, as in [14, IV.§2.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(0) &= \int_{[a,b]} \frac{Q(t) - Q(0)}{t} d\mu(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \varrho_j \frac{Q(\xi_j) - Q(0)}{\xi_j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \varrho_j \frac{-Q(0)}{\xi_j} = -Q(0) \int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\#\text{supp } \mu} \varrho_j \delta_{\xi_j}$, $\xi_j \in [a, b]$, $\varrho_j \in (0, \infty)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, \#\text{supp } \mu\}$. Hence,

$$\int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) = -\frac{P(0)}{Q(0)},$$

where $Q(0) \neq 0$, because all roots of Q (which are atoms of μ) belongs to $[a, b]$. Applying Theorem 3.7 to the function $\Omega(t) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{t}$, $t \in [a, b]$, we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad (-1)^{n+2} \frac{P(0)}{Q(0)} \leq (-1)^{n+1} \int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) \leq (-1)^{n+2} \frac{\overline{P}(0)}{Q(0)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{P}(0) &= \sigma \left(\frac{Q(t) - Q(0)}{t} \right) \\ \overline{P}(0) &= \sigma \left(\frac{\overline{Q}(t) - \overline{Q}(0)}{t} \right)\end{aligned}$$

and $\underline{Q}, \overline{Q}$ are polynomials defined in Corollary 3.6. Moreover, each value between $(-1)^{n+2} \frac{\underline{P}(0)}{\underline{Q}(0)}$ and $(-1)^{n+2} \frac{\overline{P}(0)}{\overline{Q}(0)}$ is achieved as an integral of Ω with respect to some measure from $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$.

4. Moment problem on $(0, \infty)$

In [4, Theorem 3.6] authors gave a solution to the truncated Stieltjes moment problem. In this section we investigate the special case of this problem, i.e. the moment problem on $(0, \infty)$, using a different approach, which is similar to what we presented in the previous section; actually it turns out that the theory of moments on compact interval contains the theory of moments on $(0, \infty)$. This approach seems to be more suitable for studying backward extensions, which are crucial in solving subnormal completion problem for weighted shifts on directed trees.

DEFINITION 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$. We say that \mathbf{s} is a moment sequence on $(0, \infty)$ if there exists a measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}((0, \infty)) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ such that $\text{supp } \mu \subset [a, b]$,*
- (ii) *$\int_{(0, \infty)} t^k d\mu(t) = s_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, n]$.*

If such a measure is unique, we call \mathbf{s} determinate on $(0, \infty)$; otherwise, we call a moment sequence \mathbf{s} indeterminate on $(0, \infty)$.

DEFINITION 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$. A sequence \mathbf{s} is positive on $(0, \infty)$ if there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ such that \mathbf{s} is positive on $[a, b]$. A positive sequence \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ if there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$. If \mathbf{s} is positive on $(0, \infty)$, but not strictly positive, we call it singularly positive on $(0, \infty)$.*

The first theorem is a counterpart of Theorem 3.3 for moment sequences on $(0, \infty)$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$. Then \mathbf{s} is a moment sequence on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if \mathbf{s} is positive on $(0, \infty)$.*

PROOF. (\implies) Let $\mu: \mathcal{B}((0, \infty)) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be as in Definition 4.1, so that $\text{supp } \mu \subset [a, b]$ for some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$. Then for

$$\nu: \mathcal{B}([a, b]) \ni A \mapsto \mu(A) \in [0, \infty)$$

we have $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$, so, by Theorem 3.3, \mathbf{s} is positive on $[a, b]$, which means that \mathbf{s} is positive on $(0, \infty)$.

(\impliedby) By Definition 4.2, \mathbf{s} is positive on some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$. By Theorem 3.3, \mathbf{s} is a moment sequence on $[a, b]$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$. Then

$$\nu: \mathcal{B}((0, \infty)) \ni A \mapsto \mu(A \cap [a, b]) \in [0, \infty)$$

satisfies Definition 4.1. □

Note that by Theorem 3.5 strictly positive moment sequences are always indeterminate. The converse will be proved later in Theorem 4.6.

If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a moment sequence on $(0, \infty)$, then by $\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ we denote the set of all measures satisfying Definition 4.1; if \mathbf{s} is not a moment sequence on $(0, \infty)$, then for consistency we set $\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) = \emptyset$.

REMARK 4.4. *If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is positive on $(0, \infty)$, then*

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) : \mathbf{s} \text{ is positive on } [a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \}$$

with obvious identification

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \ni \mu \mapsto \mu(\cdot \cap [a, b]) \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \quad 0 < a < b.$$

For a moment sequence \mathbf{s} on $(0, \infty)$ we introduce the *index* of \mathbf{s} as

$$\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) = \min \{ \#\text{supp } \mu : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \}.$$

Again, by [17, Theorem 1], $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ is always finite. The next result gives the upper bound on index of a positive sequence.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$, then $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \leq \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$.*

PROOF. First, assume \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, so that there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$. If $n = 2m+1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ be a lower principal measure. Then, by Corollary 3.6,

$$\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \#\text{supp } \mu = m + 1 = \frac{n+1}{2},$$

so $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \leq \frac{n+1}{2} = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. If $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ be any principal measure. Then, by Corollary 3.6,

$$\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \frac{n+1}{2} = m + \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\#\text{supp } \mu = m + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

so $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \leq \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. Next, assume \mathbf{s} is singularly positive on $(0, \infty)$, i.e. \mathbf{s} is singularly positive on every closed interval contained in $(0, \infty)$ such that \mathbf{s} is positive there. Suppose to the contrary that $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) > \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. Then there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ and a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ with

$$\infty > \#\text{supp } \mu > \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil \geq \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

Enlarging the interval if needed, we can assume that all atoms of μ are interior to $[a, b]$. Therefore, $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \#\text{supp } \mu > \frac{n+1}{2}$, which, by Corollary 3.5, implies that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$, contradictorily to our assumption. \square

The next result, which is one of the main result in this section, reveals the relationship between index and strict positivity.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ on $(0, \infty)$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$,
- (ii) $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$,

(iii) \mathbf{s} is indeterminate on $(0, \infty)$.

PROOF. (i) \implies (ii). By Lemma 4.5 we know that $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \leq \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. Suppose to the contrary that $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) < \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. Let $0 < a < b$ be such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$. Hence, by Corollary 3.6, $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Take any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with $\#\text{supp } \mu = \text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{c,d}(\mathbf{s})$ for some interval $[c, d] \subset (0, \infty)$; without loss of generality we can assume that all atoms are interior to $[c, d]$. Take $0 < a' < b'$ such that $[a, b] \cup [c, d] \subset [a', b']$. Since \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a', b']$, by Theorem 3.5, every $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{a',b'}(\mathbf{s})$ satisfies $\text{ind}_{a',b'}(\nu) \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. We also have $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a',b'}(\mathbf{s})$ and $\text{supp } \mu \subset (a', b')$. If $n = 2m + 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then it holds

$$\text{ind}_{a',b'}(\mu) = \#\text{supp } \mu < \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

If $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\#\text{supp } \mu \leq \frac{n}{2}$, so it holds

$$\text{ind}_{a',b'}(\mu) = \#\text{supp } \mu \leq \frac{n}{2} < \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

In both cases we get a contradiction with Corollary 3.6.

(ii) \implies (iii). Take $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with $\#\text{supp } \mu = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ for some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$; without loss of generality we can assume $\text{supp } \mu \subset (a, b)$. From this it follows that

$$\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \#\text{supp } \mu = \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil \geq \frac{n+1}{2},$$

so \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$. Hence, by Theorem 3.5, it is indeterminate on $[a, b]$ and, consequently, indeterminate on $(0, \infty)$.

(iii) \implies (i). Take distinct $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ for some common interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$. By Theorem 3.5, \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$, hence strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$. \square

COROLLARY 4.7. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd and $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, then there exists a unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with $\#\text{supp } \mu = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$; its atoms are roots of*

$$(4.1) \quad Q(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{m-1} & 1 \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_m & s_{m+1} & \dots & s_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $n = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

PROOF. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ be with $\#\text{supp } \mu = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil = m$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ for some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$; without loss of generality we can assume that all atoms of μ are interior to $[a, b]$, so $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = m$. Hence, μ has to be a principal measure of \mathbf{s} on $[a, b]$. From the fact that all roots are in (a, b) it follows, by Corollary 3.6, that μ is the lower principal measure of \mathbf{s} on $[a, b]$. Therefore, atoms of μ are roots of (4.1). \square

It can be shown that the above measure is the same as in [4, Theorem 3.9]. When n in the above corollary is even, the situation is not so simple. If $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ is such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$, then any principal measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ has a support of cardinality $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ and its atoms depend on the interval $[a, b]$ (in particular, they are different for different intervals $[a, b]$). Hence, in this

case we have infinitely many measures with minimal number of atoms, because \mathbf{s} is strictly positive also on every interval $(0, \infty) \supset [a', b'] \supset [a, b]$. Nevertheless, we can parametrize the set of all these measures, what will be seen in the next section.

5. Backward extensions of moment sequences on $(0, \infty)$

The question of backward extendibility of (infinite) moment sequence has well-known answer. In [21] backward extensions were studied using methods of continued fractions. In [20] the methods of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces are involved in the solution of backward extendibility problem for (infinite) moment sequence. In this section we present a new approach to characterization of backward extensions of (finite) moment sequences using theory developed in Section 4.

DEFINITION 5.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be positive on $(0, \infty)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and $s_{-k}, \dots, s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. We say that a sequence $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-k}, \dots, s_{-1}, s_0, \dots, s_n)$ is a backward extension of \mathbf{s} on $(0, \infty)$ if \mathbf{s}' is positive on $(0, \infty)$.*

We limit our considerations on backward extensions to the case of strictly positive sequences, because singularly positive sequences, by Theorem 4.6, are determinate on $(0, \infty)$, so backward extensions are uniquely determined by the integral of the reciprocal function with respect to the unique element of $\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$.

For a strictly positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the following notation:²

$$\begin{aligned} t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) &= \inf \left\{ \int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \right\}, & a, b \in (0, \infty), a < b, \\ t_\infty(\mathbf{s}) &= \inf_{0 < a < b} t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}), \\ T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) &= \sup \left\{ \int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \right\}, & a, b \in (0, \infty), a < b, \\ T_\infty(\mathbf{s}) &= \sup_{0 < a < b} T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ decreases, when b increases and $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ increases, when a decreases.

The next technical lemma states that, actually, $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ increases to ∞ , which will be crucial in the subsequent results.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, then $T_\infty(\mathbf{s}) = \infty$.*

PROOF. By definition of strict positivity on $(0, \infty)$, \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$ for some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$, so that it holds $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) > -\infty$. Fix $b \in (0, \infty)$ such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$ for some $a \in (0, b)$. By the previous remark it is enough to show that $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \infty$. For convenience let us introduce the following notation: if $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd and $\mathbf{t} = (t_0, \dots, t_N) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_{\frac{N+1}{2}}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ we

²We stick to the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ and $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$.

denote

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{f}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & t_1 & \dots & t_{\frac{N+1}{2}-1} & f_1 \\ t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_{\frac{N+1}{2}} & f_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{\frac{N+1}{2}} & t_{\frac{N}{2}+1} & \dots & t_N & f_{\frac{N+1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{f}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} f_0 & t_0 & t_1 & \dots & t_{\frac{N+1}{2}-1} \\ f_1 & t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{\frac{N+1}{2}} & t_{\frac{N+1}{2}} & t_{\frac{N+1}{2}+1} & \dots & t_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Case: $n = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$. In this case, by (3.7), $T_{a,b} = -\frac{\overline{P}(0)}{\overline{Q}(0)}$, where $\overline{Q}(t)$ and $\overline{P}(0)$ are as in (3.3) and (3.6), respectively, and $a \in (0, b)$ is such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$. Using (3.6) and (3.3), by multilinearity of determinant, we obtain the following formula:

$$\overline{P}(0) = \sigma \left(\frac{\overline{Q}(t) - \overline{Q}(0)}{t} \right) = \mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (s'_{k-1})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right),$$

where $s'_k = (a+b)s_{k+1} - abs_k - s_{k+2}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m-2]$ and $s_{-1} := 0$. Set $s_k^{(1)} := s_{k+1} - bs_k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-1, 2m-2]$. Then, again by multilinearity of determinant, it holds

$$(5.1) \quad -\frac{\overline{P}(0)}{\overline{Q}(0)} = \frac{\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (s'_{k-1})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right)}{ab\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)} \\ = \frac{\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (as_{k-1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right)}{ab\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)} + \frac{\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right)}{ab\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)}$$

First, we calculate the limit of the first term of (5.1). Again, by basic properties of determinant, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (as_{k-1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right)}{ab\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)} = \frac{\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (s_{k-1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right)}{b\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)}.$$

Continuity of determinant gives us

$$\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{D} \left((-s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)$$

and the limit is non-zero by Theorem 3.4. From the above it follows that

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (as_{k-1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right)}{ab\mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)} \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{D} \left((s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (s_{k-1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right)}{b\mathcal{D} \left((-s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0) \right)}$$

Next, we calculate the limit of the second term in (5.1). By the properties of determinant, it holds

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{D} \left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{D} \left((-s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1} \right) \\ = (-1)^m \mathcal{D} \left((-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=m}^{2m-1} \right)$$

where, by Theorem 3.4, $\mathcal{D}\left((-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=m}^{2m-1}\right) > 0$. Similarly, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\left((s'_k)^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right) &\xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{D}\left((-s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right) \\ &= (-1)^m \mathcal{E}\left((-s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where, by an argument as before, $\mathcal{E}\left((-s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right) > 0$. Combining (5.3) and (5.4), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}\left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1}\right)}{b\mathcal{D}\left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{D}\left((-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=m}^{2m-1}\right)}{b\mathcal{E}\left((-s_{k+1}^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} > 0.$$

Since $\frac{1}{a} \rightarrow \infty$ as $a \rightarrow 0^+$, it follows that

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}\left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (-s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{m-1}\right)}{ab\mathcal{D}\left((s'_k)_{k=0}^{2m-3}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \infty.$$

Combining (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5), we get that $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \infty$.

Case: $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, by 3.7, $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) = -\frac{P(0)}{Q(0)}$, where $P(0)$ and $Q(0)$ are defined in (3.6) and (3.4). The reasoning is similar to the case of odd n . Using (3.6) and (3.4), by multilinearity of determinant, we obtain the following formula:

$$\underline{P}(0) = \sigma\left(\frac{Q(t) - \underline{Q}(0)}{t}\right) = \mathcal{D}\left((s_{k+1} - as_k)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (s_k - as_{k-1})_{k=0}^m\right),$$

where $s_{-1} := 0$. Set $s_k^{(1)} = s_{k+1} - as_k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-1, 2m-2]$. Then, again using (3.4), by properties of determinant, it holds

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{\underline{P}(0)}{\underline{Q}(0)} &= \frac{\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (-as_{k-1})_{k=0}^m\right)}{a\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (s_k)_{k=0}^m\right)}{a\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} \end{aligned}$$

First, we compute the limit of the first term of (5.6). By a similar argument as used to obtain (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (-as_{k-1})_{k=0}^m\right)}{a\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} &= \frac{\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (-s_{k-1})_{k=0}^m\right)}{\mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} \\ &\xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{D}\left((s_{k+1})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (-s_{k-1})_{k=0}^m\right)}{\mathcal{D}\left((s_{k+1})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the limit of the second term of (5.6). Using the same reasoning as to obtain (5.3) and (5.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\left((s_k^{(1)})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (s_k)_{k=0}^m\right) &\xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{D}\left((s_{k+1})_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (s_k)_{k=0}^m\right) \\ &= (-1)^m \mathcal{D}\left((s_k)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (s_k)_{k=m}^m\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\left(\left(s_k^{(1)}\right)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right) &\xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{D}\left(\left(s_{k+1}\right)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right) \\ &= (-1)^m \mathcal{E}\left(\left(s_{k+1}\right)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4, it holds

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\left(s_k\right)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, \left(s_k\right)_{k=m}^{2m}\right) > 0, \quad \mathcal{E}\left(\left(s_{k+1}\right)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right) > 0.$$

Hence, arguing as to obtain (5.5), we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}\left(\left(s_k^{(1)}\right)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, \left(s_k\right)_{k=0}^m\right)}{a \mathcal{D}\left(\left(s_k^{(1)}\right)_{k=0}^{2m-1}, (1, 0, \dots, 0)\right)} \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \infty.$$

Summing the above up, we get $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0^+} \infty$. \square

The following lemma gives us the necessary condition on one-step backward extension of a strictly positive sequence.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-1}, s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is a backward extension of a strictly positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)$ on $(0, \infty)$, then $s_{-1} \in [t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$.*

PROOF. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}')$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}')$ for some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$; without loss of generality we can assume $\text{supp } \mu \subset (a, b)$. For $\nu = t d\mu$ we have that $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$, so

$$s_{-1} = \int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\nu \in [t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}), T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})] \subset [t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty). \quad \square$$

We are ready to prove one of the main results of this section, which characterizes strictly positive backward extensions.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ and let $s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-1}, s_0, \dots, s_n)$ is a strictly positive backward extension of \mathbf{s} on $(0, \infty)$,
- (ii) $s_{-1} \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$.

PROOF. (i) \implies (ii) By Theorem 4.6 it holds $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}') = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}')$ be any measure with $\#\text{supp } \mu = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}')$ for some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$; without loss of generality we can assume $\text{supp } \mu \subset (a, b)$. This implies that $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$. For $\nu = t d\mu$ it holds $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ and $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \text{ind}_{a,b}(\nu) \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$, so, by Theorem 3.7,

$$s_{-1} = \int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\nu \in (t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}), T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})) \subset (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty).$$

(ii) \implies (i). From Lemma 5.2 it follows

$$(5.7) \quad (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty) = \bigcup_{0 < a < b} (t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}), T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})).$$

By (5.7) there exist $a, b \in (0, \infty)$, $a < b$, such that

$$(5.8) \quad s_{-1} \in (t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}), T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})).$$

There exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ with $\int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) = s_{-1}$. By (5.8) and Theorem 3.7 the measure μ is not a principal measure, so $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$. For $\nu = \frac{1}{t} d\mu$ it holds $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}')$ and $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\nu) \geq \frac{n+2}{2} = \frac{n+1+1}{2}$. By Corollary 3.6, this implies that \mathbf{s}' is strictly positive on $[a, b]$, hence strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$. \square

As far as singularly positive backward extensions are concerned, it turns out that they can appear only in the odd case.

COROLLARY 5.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ and let $s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-1}, s_0, \dots, s_n)$ is singularly positive backward extension of \mathbf{s} on $(0, \infty)$,
- (ii) n is odd and $s_{-1} = t_\infty(\mathbf{s})$.

Moreover, if (i) holds, then atoms of the unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}')$ are roots of

$$(5.9) \quad Q(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{m-1} & 1 \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_m & s_{m+1} & \dots & s_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $n = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$.

PROOF. By Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.3 the sequence \mathbf{s}' is singularly positive if and only if $s_{-1} = t_\infty(\mathbf{s})$. It is enough to prove that this can happen only if n is odd. From Theorem 4.6 we have $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ and

$$\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \leq \text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}') < \left\lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Thus, $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}') = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. Moreover, \mathbf{s}' is determinate on $(0, \infty)$. Let μ be the unique element of $\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}')$; we can assume $\text{supp } \mu \subset (a, b)$ for some interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$. Let $\nu = t d\mu$. Then $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ and

$$\text{ind}_{a,b}(\nu) = \text{ind}_{a,b}(\mu) = \#\text{supp } \mu = \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil$$

Suppose to the contrary that n is even. Then $\text{ind}_{a,b}(\nu) = \frac{n+2}{2} > \frac{n+1}{2}$, so, by Theorem 3.7

$$s_{-1} = \int_{[a,b]} \frac{1}{t} d\nu > t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}) \geq t_\infty(\mathbf{s}),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, n is odd and, by Corollary 4.7, atoms of ν (which are the same as atoms of μ) are roots of (5.9). Conversely, if n is odd, then $t_\infty(\mathbf{s}) = t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ for every $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$, because atoms of the lower principal measure of \mathbf{s} do not depend on a and b . \square

Next result gives the characterization of moments in a positive sequence in terms of numbers $t_\infty(\cdot)$. This will be crucial in solving completion problems.

THEOREM 5.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be positive on $(0, \infty)$. Set $K := \text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ and $N := 2K - 1$. Then*

- (i) $s_k \in (t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)), \infty)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [n - N, n - 1]$,
- (ii) $s_k = t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, n - N - 1]$.

PROOF. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ be such that $\#\text{supp } \mu = K \leq \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$.

- (i). If $k = n - N$, then $n - k - 1$ is even and, by Corollary 5.5, $s_{n-N} \in (t_\infty((s_{n-N+1}, \dots, s_n)), \infty)$. Assume $k > n - N$. By Lemma 5.3 it holds $s_k \in [t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)), \infty)$, so it is enough to prove that $s_k > t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n))$. Suppose to the contrary that it is not the case. Then from Corollary 5.5 it follows that $n - k - 1$ is odd and (s_k, \dots, s_n) is singularly positive on $(0, \infty)$ and, consequently, determinate on $(0, \infty)$. Let $\nu = t^k d\mu$. Then $\#\text{supp } \nu = \#\text{supp } \mu < \frac{n-k}{2}$, because ν has to be the unique element of $\mathcal{M}_\infty((s_k, \dots, s_n))$. However, we have $\frac{n-k}{2} < \frac{N}{2} = K - \frac{1}{2} = K - 1 + \frac{1}{2}$, so $\#\text{supp } \nu \leq K - 1$, which is a contradiction.
- (ii). Let $\nu = t^{k+1} d\mu$. Then $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$ and $\#\text{supp } \nu = \#\text{supp } \mu = K$. From Theorem 4.6 applied to ν we know that the sequence $(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1})$ is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, so

$$\text{ind}_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1})) = \left\lceil \frac{N+1}{2} \right\rceil = K.$$

Then

$$\text{ind}_\infty((s_k, \dots, s_{k+N+1})) \leq K = \frac{N+1}{2} < \frac{N+2}{2} < \left\lceil \frac{N+2}{2} \right\rceil,$$

because $t^k d\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty((s_k, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$ has support of cardinality K . By Theorem 4.6 the sequence (s_k, \dots, s_{k+N+1}) is singularly positive on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, by Corollary 5.5, $s_k = t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$. \square

The following theorem can be regarded as a converse of the previous theorem: if we have numbers satisfying conditions as in Theorem 5.6, then they form a positive sequence with prescribed index. We state this result in a little bit more general setting.

THEOREM 5.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and $K \in \mathbb{N} \cap [\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil, \lceil \frac{n+r+1}{2} \rceil]$. Put $N = 2K - 1$. Let $s_{-r}, \dots, s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$ be such that*

- (i) $s_k \in (t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)), \infty)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [n - N, -1]$,
- (ii) $s_k = t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-r, n - N - 1]$.

Then $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-r}, s_{-r+1}, \dots, s_n)$ is a backward extension of \mathbf{s} on $(0, \infty)$ with $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}') = K$. Moreover, if $N \leq n + r$, then atoms of the unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with $\#\text{supp } \mu = K$ are roots of

$$(5.10) \quad Q(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_{-r} & s_{-r+1} & \dots & s_{-r+K-1} & 1 \\ s_{-r+1} & s_{-r+2} & \dots & s_{-r+K} & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{-r+K} & s_{-r+K+1} & \dots & s_{-r+2K-1} & t^K \end{bmatrix}.$$

PROOF. First, we show that $(s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)$ is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [n - N, 0]$. The proof goes by induction. The case $k = 0$ follows from the assumption. If $n - N \leq k - 1 < 0$, then by inductive hypothesis $\mathbf{s}_1 = (s_k, \dots, s_n)$ is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, so, applying Theorem 5.4 to \mathbf{s}_1 and s_{k-1} , we get that $(s_{k-1}, s_k, \dots, s_n)$ is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$. Next, from Corollary 5.5 applied to $\mathbf{s}_1 = (s_{n-N}, \dots, s_n)$ and s_{n-N-1} we derive that $\mathbf{s}'_1 = (s_{n-N-1}, \dots, s_n)$ is singularly positive on $(0, \infty)$. Let μ be the unique element of $\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}'_1)$. Setting $s'_k = \int_{(0, \infty)} t^{k+N-n+1} d\mu$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-r, n - N - 2]$, we obtain from Corollary 4.6 that $(s'_{-r}, \dots, s'_{n-N-2}, s'_{n-N-1}, \dots, s_n)$ is singularly positive on $(0, \infty)$. The sequence \mathbf{s}_1

is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, so $\#\text{supp } \mu = \lceil \frac{N+1}{2} \rceil = K$. Therefore, using Corollary 5.5 we get $s'_k = t_\infty((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1})) = s_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-r, n - N - 1]$. This shows that \mathbf{s}' is a backward extension of \mathbf{s} on $(0, \infty)$ of index K . To prove the "moreover" part, consider $\mathbf{s}'' = (s_{-r}, \dots, s_{-r+2K-1})$. Then $\text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}'') = \text{ind}_\infty(\mathbf{s}') = K$. Hence, by Corollary 5.5, atoms of the unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with $\#\text{supp } \mu = K$ are roots of (5.10). \square

At the end of the previous section we claimed that for a strictly positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty]$ on $(0, \infty)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is even, we can somehow parametrize the set of all measures in $\mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with support of minimal cardinality. We will do it now with help of our results on backward extensions.

COROLLARY 5.8. *Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \in [0, \infty)$ be strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is even. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_\infty^{\min}(\mathbf{s})$ the set of all measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with $\#\text{supp } \mu = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$. Then the mapping*

$$\mathcal{M}_\infty^{\min}(\mathbf{s}) \ni \mu \xrightarrow{\Phi} \int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$$

is a bijection. Moreover, if $s_{-1} \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$, then atoms of $\Phi^{-1}(s_{-1})$ are roots of

$$(5.11) \quad Q(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_{-1} & s_0 & \dots & s_{K-2} & 1 \\ s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{K-1} & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{K-1} & s_K & \dots & s_{2K-2} & t^K \end{bmatrix},$$

where $K = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$.

PROOF. First, observe that Φ is well-defined. Indeed, if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$, then, by Lemma 5.3, $\int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) \in [t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$ and, since n is even, then, by Corollary 5.5, $\int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) > t_\infty(\mathbf{s})$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty^{\min}(\mathbf{s})$ and $s_{-1} \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$. From Theorem 5.7 applied with $r = 1$ and $K = \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$ and Theorem 4.6 it follows that $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-1}, s_0, \dots, s_n)$ is strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, there exists a unique measure $\nu_{s_{-1}} \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ with $\#\text{supp } (\nu_{s_{-1}}) = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil$; its atoms are roots of (5.10), which in this case becomes (5.11). Then $\Psi(s_{-1}) := t d\nu_{s_{-1}} \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ and $\text{supp } (\Psi(s_{-1})) = \text{supp } \nu_{s_{-1}}$. We have obtained the mapping $\Psi: (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\infty^{\min}(\mathbf{s})$. It is enough to show that $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty^{\min}(\mathbf{s})}$ and $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}_{(t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)}$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty^{\min}(\mathbf{s})$. Set $s_{-1} = \Phi(\mu)$. Because of uniqueness of measure $\nu_{s_{-1}}$, we get that $\int \frac{1}{t} d\mu = \nu_{s_{-1}}$. Hence, $\mu = t\nu_{s_{-1}} = \Psi(s_{-1})$. Conversely, let $s_{-1} \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$ and set $\mu = \Psi(s_{-1}) = t d\nu_{s_{-1}}$. Then $\Phi(\mu) = \int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\mu(t) = \int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{1}{t} \cdot t d\nu_{s_{-1}} = s_{-1}$. \square

6. Subnormal completion problem of weighted shifts on directed trees.

In this section we will exploit theory developed in the previous section to obtain a solution of subnormal completion problem for weighted shifts on directed trees with one branching point. Our results generalizes the solution obtained in [7]

For reader's convenience we state below the general result on subnormal completions on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \kappa}$. For more details see [7].

LEMMA 6.1 (cf. [6, Lemma 4.7]). *Suppose $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}, \eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2, p \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and let $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists a subnormal completion $S_{\lambda'}$ of λ ,*

(ii) there exist Borel measures $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^\eta$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad \int_0^\infty t^k d\mu_i = \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \lambda_{i,1}^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, p-1], \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta],$$

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\mu_i(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa-1],$$

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} d\mu_i(t) \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2},$$

$$(6.4) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} \sup \text{supp } \mu_i < \infty.$$

Moreover, if (ii) holds, then $\mu_i = \mu_{i,1}^{\lambda'}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$ and

$$\|S_{\lambda'}\| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} \sup \text{supp } \mu_i.$$

We are ready to formulate theorem on subnormal completions, which generalizes [7, Theorem 4.1].

THEOREM 6.2. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$ and let $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\eta \subset \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \lceil \frac{p+\kappa+1}{2} \rceil]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) there exists a subnormal completion $S_{\lambda'} \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V_{\eta,\kappa}))$ of λ such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$ the measure $\mu_{i,1}^{\lambda'}$ is K_i -atomic,
- (ii) for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$ there exists a sequence $\{s_{i,-k}\}_{k=1}^{\kappa+1} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$

$$(6.5) \quad s_{i,k} \in (t_\infty((s_{i,k+1}, \dots, s_{p-1})), +\infty), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [p - N_i - 1, p - 2],$$

$$(6.6) \quad s_{i,k} = t_\infty((s_{i,k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N_i+1})), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-\kappa - 1, p - N_i - 2]$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 s_{i,-k-1} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa - 1],$$

$$(6.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 s_{i,-\kappa-1} \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2},$$

$$(6.9) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} < \infty,$$

where $s_{i,k} = \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \lambda_{i,j}^2$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, p-1]$, $N_i = 2K_i - 1$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\lceil \frac{p+\kappa+1}{2} \rceil}$ is a polynomial with simple zeros at atoms of a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty((s_{i,-\kappa-1}, \dots, s_{i,p-1}))$ with $\#\text{supp } \mu = K_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$.

PROOF. (i) \implies (ii). By (i) and Lemma 6.1 conditions (6.1)–(6.4) hold, where $\mu_i = \mu_{i,1}^{\lambda'}$ is K_i -atomic, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. Set

$$s_{i,-k} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\mu_i(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa + 1], \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta].$$

Then $(s_{i,-\kappa-1}, \dots, s_{i,p-1})$ is a moment sequence of a measure with K_i atoms for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, so Theorem 5.6 gives (6.5) and (6.6). The formulas (6.7) and (6.8) follow from definition of $s_{i,k}$. It is enough to show that (6.9) holds. Let $\xi_{i,1}, \dots, \xi_{i,K_i} \subset (0, \infty)$ be atoms of μ_i written in the increasing order. Then $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} \sup \text{supp } \mu_i = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} \xi_{i,K_i}$. Next, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} \xi_{i,K_i} &\leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} (\xi_{i,1} + \dots + \xi_{i,K_i}) \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} K_i \xi_{i,K_i} \\ &\leq \left\lceil \frac{p + \kappa + 1}{2} \right\rceil \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} \xi_{i,K_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(6.10) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} \xi_{i,K_i} < \infty \iff \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} (\xi_{i,1} + \dots + \xi_{i,K_i}) < \infty.$$

Define $Q_i(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{K_i} (t - \xi_{i,j})$. Then, using Vieta's formula, we get

$$\xi_{i,1} + \dots + \xi_{i,K_i} = -\frac{1}{K_i} \frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$$

But

$$-\frac{1}{\left\lceil \frac{p+\kappa+1}{2} \right\rceil} \frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} \leq -\frac{1}{K_i} \frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} \leq -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$$

so

$$(6.11) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} (\xi_{i,1} + \dots + \xi_{i,K_i}) < \infty \iff \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} < \infty.$$

(ii) \implies (i). From (6.5) and (6.6), by Theorem 5.7, it follows that for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$ the sequence $\mathbf{s}_i = (s_{i,-\kappa-1}, \dots, s_{i,p-1})$ is a positive sequence on $(0, \infty)$ of index K_i . Let $\nu_i \in \mathcal{M}_\infty(\mathbf{s}_i)$ be K_i -atomic. Put $\mu_i = t^{\kappa+1} d\nu_i$. It can be easily seen that, by (6.7) and (6.8), conditions (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3) are satisfied. Proceeding in the similar way as to obtain (6.10) and (6.11) we get (6.4). Using Lemma 6.1 we get (i). \square

In the next example we show that Theorem 6.2 contains the solution presented in [7, Theorem 4.1].

EXAMPLE 6.3. We investigate the case $\kappa = 1$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p = 2$ and $K_i = 2$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. Observe that for any $t \in (0, \infty)$ a sequence $(1, t)$ is a moment sequence on $(0, \infty)$, so in (6.5) and (6.6) in Theorem 6.2 we are interested only in $s_{i,-1}$ and $s_{i,-2}$. Next, note that in our setting condition (6.6) does not hold for any $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. First, we compute $t_\infty((1, \lambda_{i,2}^2))$. From Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.6 we know that $t_\infty((1, \lambda_{i,2}^2)) = t_{a,b}((1, \lambda_{i,2}^2)) = -\frac{P_i(0)}{Q_i(0)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Q}_i(t) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_{i,2}^2 & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \\ \underline{P}_i(0) &= \sigma \left(\frac{\underline{Q}_i(t) - \underline{Q}_i(0)}{t} \right), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]. \end{aligned}$$

By simple calculations we get $t_\infty((1, \lambda_{i,2}^2)) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,2}^2}$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. Next, assuming $s_{i,-1} \in (\frac{1}{\lambda_{i,2}^2}, \infty)$ is chosen, we compute $t_\infty((s_{i,-1}, 1, \lambda_{i,2}^2))$. This time for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$ it holds

$$t_\infty((s_{i,-1}, 1, \lambda_{i,2}^2)) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} t_{a,b}((s_{i,-1}, 1, \lambda_{i,2}^2)) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{\overline{P}_i^{a,b}(0)}{\overline{Q}_i^{a,b}(0)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Q}_i^{a,b}(t) &= (b-t) \det \begin{bmatrix} bs_{i,-1} - 1 & 1 \\ b - \lambda_{i,2}^2 & t \end{bmatrix}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \quad a, b \in (0, \infty), \quad a < b \\ \overline{P}_i^{a,b}(0) &= \sigma \left(\frac{\overline{Q}_i^{a,b}(t) - \overline{Q}_i^{a,b}(0)}{t} \right), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \quad a, b \in (0, \infty), \quad a < b. \end{aligned}$$

By simple calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_\infty((s_{i,-1}, 1, \lambda_{i,2}^2)) &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b^2 s_{i,-1}^2 - bs_{i,-1} + s_{i,-1} + s_{i,-1} \lambda_{i,2}^2}{b^2 - b \lambda_{i,2}^2} \\ &= s_{i,-1}^2 > 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $s_{i,-1} \in (\frac{1}{\lambda_{i,2}^2}, \infty)$ and $s_{i,-2} \in (s_{i,-1}^2, \infty)$. Put $r_i = \lambda_{i,2}^2 s_{i,-1} \in (1, \infty)$ and $\vartheta_i = \frac{s_{i,-2}}{s_{i,-1}^2} \in (1, \infty)$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. Then (6.7) and (6.8) become

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} r_i &= 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \frac{\lambda_{i,1}^2}{\lambda_{i,2}^4} \vartheta_i r_i^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}, \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 5.7 we get that

$$Q_i(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_{i,-2} & s_{i,-1} & 1 \\ s_{i,-1} & 1 & t \\ 1 & \lambda_{i,2}^2 & t^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta],$$

so

$$Q_i'(0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_{i,-2} & s_{i,-1} & 0 \\ s_{i,-1} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda_{i,2}^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = -\lambda_{i,2}^2 s_{i,-2} + s_{i,-1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta],$$

and

$$Q_i''(0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_{i,-2} & s_{i,-1} & 0 \\ s_{i,-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda_{i,2}^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = s_{i,-2} - s_{i,-1}^2, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta].$$

Hence,

$$-\frac{Q_i'(0)}{Q_i''(0)} = \frac{\frac{r_i^2 \vartheta_i}{\lambda_{i,2}^2} - \frac{r_i}{\lambda_{i,2}^2}}{\frac{r_i^2 \vartheta_i}{\lambda_{i,2}^4}} = \lambda_{i,2}^2 \frac{\vartheta_i r_i - 1}{r_i (\vartheta_i - 1)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta],$$

so [7, Theorem 4.1] can be recovered from our result.

Let us make one more remark about (6.9) in Theorem 6.2. Obviously, this condition matters only when $\eta = \infty$. When we take $K_i \leq \frac{p+\kappa+1}{2}$, then polynomial Q_i is given as in Theorem 5.7. However, when $p + \kappa$ is even, then it can occur $K_i = \frac{p+\kappa+2}{2}$, so that Theorem 5.7 gives no help in finding appropriate polynomial. Of course, if there are only finitely many such $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, then we can simply skip these indices in checking (6.9). The problem appears, when there are infinitely many such indices. Observe that

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} = \max \left\{ \sup_{i \in I_1} -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)}, \sup_{i \in I_2} -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} \right\},$$

where $I_1 = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta] : K_i \leq \frac{p+\kappa+1}{2}\}$ and $I_2 = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta] : K_i = \frac{p+\kappa+2}{2}\}$. For $i \in I_2$ set $\mathbf{s}_i := (s_{i, -\kappa-1}, \dots, s_{i, p-1})$. Let Φ_i be given as in Corollary 5.8 for \mathbf{s}_i and let $Q_{i,t}$ be a polynomial given by (5.11) for $\Phi_i^{-1}(t)$, $t \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}_i), \infty)$. Then for $i \in I_2$ it holds $Q_i = Q_{i, s_{i, -\kappa-2}}$ with some $s_{i, -\kappa-2} \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}_i), \infty)$. It is easy to see that $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} < \infty$ if and only if $\max\{S_1, S_2\} < \infty$, where

$$S_1 = \sup_{i \in I_1} -\frac{Q_i^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)}$$

$$S_2 = \sup_{i \in I_2} \inf \left\{ -\frac{(Q_{i, s_{i, -\kappa-2}})^{(K_i-1)}(0)}{Q_i^{(K_i)}(0)} : s_{i, -\kappa-2} \in (t_\infty(\mathbf{s}_i), \infty) \right\}.$$

Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Assume $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$ admits a subnormal completion. For $M \in (0, \infty)$ denote by \mathcal{U}_λ^M the set of all sequences $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^\eta$ of Borel measures on $[0, M]$ satisfying

$$(6.12) \quad \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \lambda_{i,j}^2 = \int_0^M t^n d\mu_i(t), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, p-1],$$

$$(6.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,j}^2 \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\mu_i(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa-1],$$

$$(6.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,j}^2 \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} d\mu_i(t) \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}.$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{U}_\lambda^M \subset \mathcal{P}([0, M])^\eta$, where $\mathcal{P}([0, M])$ stands for the set of all Borel probability measures on $[0, M]$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{P}([0, M])$ equipped with the weak topology is a compact metric space, hence, by Tychonoff's theorem, so is $\mathcal{P}([0, M])^\eta$ (for more details on weak convergence of probability measures see [3]). We will prove that \mathcal{U}_λ^M is a compact subset of $\mathcal{P}([0, M])^\eta$. Before that we need the following lemmas. For the sake of completeness we include the proof of Lemma 6.4; for the proof of Lemma 6.5 consult [3, Theorem I.5.3].

LEMMA 6.4. *Suppose $M \in (0, \infty)$ and $(\mu_n)_{n=0}^\infty \subset \mathcal{P}([0, M])$ is such that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{P}([0, M])$ weakly. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and assume that*

$$C := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^k} d\mu_n(t) < \infty.$$

Then $\frac{1}{t^k} \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\int_0^M \frac{1}{t^k} d\mu(t) \leq C$.

PROOF. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$ define a (continuous) function $f_m : [0, M] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by the formula³

$$f_m(t) = \min\left\{\frac{1}{t^k}, m^k\right\}, \quad t \in [0, M].$$

Observe that $f_m \leq f_{m+1}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$, and $f_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^k}$ pointwise. Since

$$\int_0^M f_m d\mu_n \leq \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^k} d\mu_n(t) \leq C, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_1,$$

and

$$\int_0^M f_m d\mu_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^M f_m d\mu,$$

it follows $\int_0^M f_m d\mu \leq C$. By the Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem we obtain

$$\int_0^M f_m d\mu \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^k} d\mu(t).$$

Hence, $\int_0^M \frac{1}{t^k} d\mu(t) \leq C$. □

LEMMA 6.5. Let $M \in (0, \infty)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Let $(\mu_n)_{n=0}^\infty \subset \mathcal{P}([0, M])$ be such that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{P}([0, M])$ weakly. Assume that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\mu_n(t) < \infty.$$

Then $\frac{1}{t^k} \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\int_0^M \frac{1}{t^k} d\mu_n(t) \rightarrow \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^k} d\mu(t)$.

THEOREM 6.6. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Assume $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$ admits a subnormal completion. Then for any $M \in (0, \infty)$ the set \mathcal{U}_λ^M is weakly compact.

PROOF. Fix $M \in (0, \infty)$. It is enough to prove that \mathcal{U}_λ^M is closed in $\mathcal{P}([0, M])^\eta$. Let $((\mu_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^\eta)_{n=0}^\infty \subset \mathcal{U}_\lambda^M$ be such that $(\mu_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^\eta \rightarrow (\mu_i)_{i=1}^\eta \in \mathcal{P}([0, M])^\eta$ weakly. It is easy to see that (6.12) holds for $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^\eta$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define a measure $\tau_n \in \mathcal{P}([0, M])$ by the formula

$$\tau_n(A) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2} \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \mu_i^{(n)}(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}([0, M])$$

and a measure $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, M])$:

$$\tau(A) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2} \sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \mu_i(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}([0, M]).$$

We will prove that $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau$ weakly. Let $f : [0, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Note that

$$\left| \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^M f d\mu_i^{(n)} \right| \leq \lambda_{i,1}^2 \sup|f|([0, M]), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^\eta \lambda_{i,1}^2 \sup|f|([0, M]) < \infty$. Since

$$\int_0^M f d\mu_i^{(n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^M f d\mu_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta],$$

³We stick to the convention that $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$

by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^M f \, d\tau_n &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^M f \, d\mu_i^{(n)} \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^M f \, d\mu_i = \int_0^M f \, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From (6.13) and (6.14), by Lemma 6.4, it follows that

$$\int_0^M \frac{1}{t^{m+1}} \, d\tau(t) \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa].$$

Now, we can make use of Lemma 6.5 to obtain the following equality for any $m \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa - 1]$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^{m+1}} \, d\mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^{m+1}} \, d\tau(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}. \quad \square$$

As one can observe, Theorem 6.2 gives the solution for the subnormal completion problem only in case $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$. The case $\kappa = \infty$ remains unsolved. In [7, Problem 4.10] there was posed a question whether existence of subnormal completion for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ implies existence of such a completion for $\kappa = \infty$. The next theorem gives a partial affirmative answer to this question.

THEOREM 6.7. *Let $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-j}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_1$ denote $\lambda_{\kappa} = \{\lambda_{-j}\}_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p}$ and $\lambda_0 = \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) λ has a subnormal completion,
- (ii) there exists a sequence $(S_{\lambda'_{\kappa}})_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}$ of weighted shifts such that $S_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}$ is a subnormal completion of λ_{κ} for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}\| < \infty$.

PROOF. (i) \implies (ii). It is obvious, because if $S_{\lambda'}$ is a subnormal completion of λ , then $S_{\lambda'}|_{\ell^2(V_{\eta,\kappa})}$ is a subnormal completion of λ_{κ} for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$; moreover $\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_{\lambda'}|_{\ell^2(V_{\eta,\kappa})}\| \leq \|S_{\lambda'}\|$.

(ii) \implies (i). From (ii) and Lemma 6.1 we know that $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}^M \neq \emptyset$ for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M = \sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}\|$. By Theorem 6.6, $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}^M$ is compact. Since $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda'_{\kappa+1}}^M \subset \mathcal{U}_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}^M$, by Cantor's intersection theorem it holds

$$\bigcap_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}^M \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^{\eta} \in \bigcap_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_{\lambda'_{\kappa}}^M$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_0^M \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} \, d\mu_i(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2}, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We continue as usual: setting

$$(6.15) \quad \lambda'_{-j} = \lambda_{-j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(6.16) \quad \lambda'_{i,j} = \lambda_{i,j}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, p],$$

$$(6.17) \quad \lambda'_{i,j} = \sqrt{\frac{\int_0^M t^{j-1} d\mu_i(t)}{\int_0^M t^{j-2} d\mu_i(t)}}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{p+1},$$

and using [10, Corollary 6.2.2] (as well as [10, Procedure 6.3.1]) we obtain a subnormal completion $S_{\lambda'}$ of λ . \square

In the hypothesis of [7, Problem 4.10] there is no assumption of uniform boundedness as in Theorem 6.7.(ii). At this point we do not know whether this assumption is superfluous or not, but it leads us to another natural problem.

PROBLEM 6.8. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Assume $\lambda = \{\lambda_{-j}\}_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$ admits a subnormal completion. Compute

$$\inf\{\|S_{\lambda'}\| : S_{\lambda'} \text{ is a subnormal completion of } \lambda\}.$$

7. Moment problem on $(0,1]$

In this section we are going to present counterpart of theory developed in Section 4 for moment sequences on $(0,1]$. We skip most proofs, which are the same (or even simpler at some places) as the proofs of corresponding results in the case $(0, \infty)$.

DEFINITION 7.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$. We say that \mathbf{s} is a moment sequence on $(0,1]$ if there exists a measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}((0,1]) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset (0,1]$ such that $\text{supp } \mu \subset [a, b]$,
- (ii) $\int_{(0,1]} t^k d\mu = s_k$, $0 \leq k \leq n$.

If such a measure is unique, then we call \mathbf{s} determinate on $(0,1]$; otherwise we call a moment sequence \mathbf{s} indeterminate on $(0,1]$.

DEFINITION 7.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$. a sequence \mathbf{s} is positive on $(0,1]$ if there exist $a, b \in (0,1]$, $a < b$, such that \mathbf{s} is positive on $[a, b]$. A positive sequence \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $(0,1]$ if there exist $a, b \in (0,1]$, $a < b$, such that \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $[a, b]$; otherwise we call a positive sequence \mathbf{s} singularly positive on $(0,1]$.

Proof of the following theorem goes with almost no substantial changes as proof of Theorem 4.3.

THEOREM 7.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$. Then \mathbf{s} is a moment sequence on $(0,1]$ if and only if \mathbf{s} is positive on $(0,1]$.

If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a moment sequence on $(0,1]$, then by $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s})$ we denote the set of all measures satisfying Definition 7.1; if \mathbf{s} is not a moment sequence, we set $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s}) = \emptyset$ for consistency. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s})$ we define the index of μ in the following way (compare to (3.1)): if μ is of finite support, then we set

$$\text{ind}_1(\mu) = \sum_{c \in (0,1)} \chi_{\text{supp } \mu}(c) + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{supp } \mu}(1),$$

otherwise we set $\text{ind}_1(\mu) = \infty$. For a positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the *index* of \mathbf{s} as

$$\text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}) = \min\{\text{ind}_1(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s})\}.$$

By [17, Theorem 1], index of a positive sequence is always finite. As in the case of $(0, \infty)$, we have an estimation on index of a positive sequence; the proof of this estimation is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.5.

LEMMA 7.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is positive on $(0, 1]$, then $\text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}) \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$.*

The next theorem is a counterpart of Theorem 4.6; the proof is also similar.

THEOREM 7.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathbf{s} is strictly positive on $(0, 1]$,
- (ii) $\text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{n+1}{2}$,
- (iii) \mathbf{s} is indeterminate on $(0, 1]$.

Opposed to the moment problem on $(0, \infty)$, in this case a measure realizing the index of a strictly positive sequence is always unique.

COROLLARY 7.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is strictly positive on $(0, 1]$, then there exists a unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s})$ of index $\frac{n+1}{2}$. Moreover,*

- (i) if $n = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$, then atoms of μ are roots of

$$(7.1) \quad Q(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{m-1} & 1 \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_m & s_{m+1} & \dots & s_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

- (ii) if $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then atoms of μ are roots of

$$(7.2) \quad Q(t) = (1-t) \det \begin{bmatrix} s'_0 & s'_1 & \dots & s'_{m-1} & 1 \\ s'_1 & s'_2 & \dots & s'_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s'_m & s'_{m+1} & \dots & s'_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $s'_k = s_k - s_{k+1}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m - 1]$.

PROOF. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s})$ be of index $\frac{n+1}{2}$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{a,1}(\mathbf{s})$ for some interval $[a, 1] \subset (0, 1]$; without loss of generality we can assume that all atoms, except for 1, are interior to $[a, 1]$, so $\text{ind}_{a,1}(\mu) = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Hence, μ has to be a principal measure of \mathbf{s} on $[a, 1]$. If $n = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$, then, by Corollary 3.6, μ is the lower principal measure, so its atoms are roots of (7.1). If $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then, again by Corollary 3.6, μ is the upper principal measure of \mathbf{s} on $[a, 1]$, so its atoms are roots of (7.2). \square

8. Backward extensions of moment sequences on $(0, 1]$

This section is devoted to developing theory of backward extensions of moment sequences on $(0, 1]$. All presented results are counterparts of appropriate results on backward extension of moment sequences on $(0, \infty)$ from Section 5.

DEFINITION 8.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is positive on $(0, 1]$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and $s_{-k}, \dots, s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. We say that the sequence $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-k}, \dots, s_{-1}, s_0, \dots, s_n)$ is a backward extension of \mathbf{s} on $(0, 1]$ if \mathbf{s}' is positive on $(0, 1]$.

Again, we limit our considerations to the case of strictly positive sequences. For the strictly positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, on $(0, 1]$ we introduce the following notation:

$$t_1(\mathbf{s}) = \inf_{0 < a < b \leq 1} t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$$

$$T_1(\mathbf{s}) = \sup_{0 < a < b \leq 1} T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s}),$$

where $t_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ and $T_{a,b}(\mathbf{s})$ are defined in Section 5. The careful look on the proof of Lemma 5.2 gives us the following complementary result:

LEMMA 8.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is strictly positive on $(0, 1]$, then $T_1(\mathbf{s}) = \infty$.

Proofs of the following results are similar to proofs of the complementary results for backward extensions in $(0, \infty)$.

LEMMA 8.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-1}, s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ is a backward extension of strictly positive sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ on $(0, 1]$, then $s_{-1} \in [t_1(\mathbf{s}), \infty)$.

THEOREM 8.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be strictly positive on $(0, 1]$ and $s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-1}, \dots, s_n)$ is a strictly positive backward extension of \mathbf{s} ,
- (ii) $s_{-1} \in (t_1(\mathbf{s}), +\infty)$.

In moment problem on $(0, \infty)$ singularly positive backward extensions can only appear, when the maximal moment is odd. In moment problem on $(0, 1]$, they can appear in any case.

COROLLARY 8.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be strictly positive on $(0, 1]$ and $s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-1}, \dots, s_n)$ is a singularly positive backward extension of \mathbf{s} ,
- (ii) $s_{-1} = t_1(\mathbf{s})$,

Moreover, if (i) holds, then atoms of unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s}')$ are roots of

$$(i) \quad Q(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{m-1} & 1 \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_m & s_{m+1} & \dots & s_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

if $n = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$;

$$(ii) \quad Q(t) = (1 - t) \det \begin{bmatrix} s'_0 & s'_1 & \dots & s'_{m-1} & 1 \\ s'_1 & s'_2 & \dots & s'_m & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s'_m & s'_{m+1} & \dots & s'_{2m-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

if $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $s'_k = s_k - s_{k+1}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, 2m - 1]$.

The next two results are main results in this section, which are crucial in solving completely hyperexpansive completion problem. They are counterparts of Theorems 5.6 and 5.7. Because of similarity of the proofs, we do not give complete reasoning.

THEOREM 8.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be positive on $(0, 1]$. Set $K := \text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}) \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $N := 2K - 1$. Then*

- (i) $s_k \in t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [n - N, n - 1]$,
- (ii) $s_k = t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, n - N - 1]$.

PROOF. (i). From Lemma 8.3 it follows that $s_k \in [t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)), +\infty)$, so it is enough to prove that $s_k > t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n))$. Suppose to the contrary that $s_k = t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n))$. Then

$$\text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}_1) < \frac{n - k + 1}{2} \leq \frac{N + 1}{2} = K,$$

where $\mathbf{s}_1 = (s_k, \dots, s_n)$. However, $\text{ind}_1(\nu) = K$, where $\nu = t^k d\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s}_1)$. This gives a contradiction with Theorem 7.5.

(ii). Let $\nu = t^{k+1} d\mu$. Then $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$ and $\text{ind}_1(\nu) = \text{ind}_1(\mu) = K$. By Theorem 7.5 $(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1})$ is strictly positive. On the other hand, putting $\nu_1 = t^k d\mu$ we get that

$$\text{ind}_1((s_k, \dots, s_{k+N+1})) = K < \frac{N + 2}{2},$$

so by Theorem 7.5 (s_k, \dots, s_{k+N+1}) is singularly positive on $(0, 1]$. Hence, by Corollary 8.5, $s_k = t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$. \square

THEOREM 8.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset [0, \infty)$ be strictly positive on $(0, 1]$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and $\frac{n+1}{2} \leq K \leq \frac{n+r+1}{2}$ with $2K \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $N = 2K - 1$. Let $s_{-r}, \dots, s_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$ be such that*

- (i) $s_k \in (t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)), \infty)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [n - N, n - 1]$,
- (ii) $s_k = t_1((s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N+1}))$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-r, n - N - 1]$.

Then $\mathbf{s}' = (s_{-r}, \dots, s_n)$ is a backward extension of \mathbf{s} on $(0, 1]$ and $\text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}') = K$. Moreover, atoms of a unique measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s}')$ with $\text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}') = K$ are roots of

(i)

$$Q(t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s_{-r} & s_{-r+1} & \cdots & s_{-r+K-1} & 1 \\ s_{-r+1} & s_{-r+2} & \cdots & s_{-r+K} & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{-r+K} & s_{-r+K+1} & \cdots & s_{-r+2K-1} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

if $N = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_1$;

(ii)

$$Q(t) = (1 - t) \det \begin{bmatrix} s'_{-r} & s'_{-r+1} & \cdots & s'_{-r+K-\frac{3}{2}} & 1 \\ s'_{-r+1} & s'_{-r+2} & \cdots & s'_{-r+K-\frac{1}{2}} & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s'_{-r+K-\frac{1}{2}} & s'_{-r+K+\frac{1}{2}} & \cdots & s'_{-r+2K-2} & t^m \end{bmatrix},$$

if $N = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 5.7, we show that $(s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)$ is strictly positive for $k = 0, -1, \dots, n-N$, applying Theorem 8.4 in place of Theorem 5.4. Next, again proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5.7 and using Corollary 8.5 instead of Corollary 5.5, we get that \mathbf{s}' is a backward extension of \mathbf{s} of index K . Corollary 8.5 gives us also the "moreover" part. \square

9. Completely hyperexpansive completion problem of weighted shifts on directed trees.

It is the continuation of considerations from Section 6, but this time we are interested in completely hyperexpansive completions. We begin by stating the general results on completely hyperexpansive completions.

LEMMA 9.1. *Suppose $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i=1, j=1}^{\eta, p} \subset (0, \infty)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a completely hyperexpansive completion $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$,*
- (ii) *there exist Borel measures $(\tau_i)_{i=1}^{\eta}$ on $(0, 1]$ such that*

$$(9.1) \quad 1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{k-1}) d\tau_i(t) = \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \lambda_{i,j}^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, p-1],$$

$$(9.2) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_i([0, 1]) < \infty$$

and

$$(9.3) \quad 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t} d\tau_i(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 < \infty$$

if $\kappa = 0$ or

$$(9.4) \quad 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t} d\tau_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 < \infty,$$

$$(9.5) \quad 1 + \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\tau_i = \lambda_{-k+1}, \quad k \in [1, \kappa-1]$$

$$(9.6) \quad 1 + \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} d\tau_i = \lambda_{-\kappa+1}$$

if $\kappa > 0$.

Moreover, if (ii) holds, then $\tau_i = \tau_{i,1}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$.

PROOF. (i) \implies (ii). It follows from [10, Corollary 7.2.3] and [10, Lemma 7.3.1]. (ii) \implies (i). Set

$$\lambda'_{i,j} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{j-2}) d\tau(t)}{1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{j-3}) d\tau_i(t)}}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{p+1}.$$

Using [10, Lemma 7.1.1] we obtain that $\lambda'_{i,j} \leq \lambda'_{i,2}$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. By (9.2) and (9.3) (if $\kappa = 0$) or (9.4) (if $\kappa > 0$) we get that $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V_{\eta, \kappa}))$. From [10, Corollary 7.2.3] we derive that $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ is completely hyperexpansive. \square

Exploiting once again our results on backward extension we obtain the following counterpart of Theorem 6.2.

THEOREM 9.2. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_2$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$ be such that $\lambda_{i,j} > 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, $j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, p]$ and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 < \infty.$$

Let $\{K_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \eta} \subset \mathbb{Q} \cap [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{p+\kappa}{2}]$ with $2K \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) *there exists a completely hyperexpansive completion $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V_{\eta,\kappa}))$ of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ such that $\tau_{i,1}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ is of index K_i for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$,*
- (ii) *for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$ there exists a sequence $\{s_{i,-k}\}_{k=1}^{\kappa+1} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$*

$$(9.7) \quad s_{i,k} \in (t_1((s_{i,k+1}, \dots, s_{i,p-1})), +\infty), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [p - N_i - 2, p - 3],$$

$$(9.8) \quad s_{i,k} = t_1((s_{i,k+1}, \dots, s_{k+N_i+1})), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-\kappa - 1, p - N_i - 3],$$

$$(9.9) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]} s_{i,0} < \infty$$

and

$$(9.10) \quad 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 s_{i,-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2,$$

if $\kappa = 0$ or

$$(9.11) \quad 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 s_{i,-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2,$$

$$(9.12) \quad 1 + \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 s_{i,-k-1} = \lambda_{-k+1}^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \kappa - 1],$$

$$(9.13) \quad 1 + \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 s_{i,-\kappa-1} \leq \lambda_{-\kappa+1}^2,$$

if $\kappa > 0$, where

$$s_{i,k} = \prod_{j=2}^{k+2} \lambda_{i,j}^2 - \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \lambda_{i,j}^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, p - 2].$$

PROOF. (i) \implies (ii). By (i) and Lemma 9.1 there exist Borel measures $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^{\eta}$ on $(0, 1]$ such that $\tau_{i,1}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ is of index K_i for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$ and (9.9)–(9.13) hold with

$$s_{i,-k} = \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^k} d\tau_i(t), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa + 1].$$

Then $(s_{i,-\kappa-1}, \dots, s_{i,p-2})$ is a moment sequence on $(0, 1]$ of index K_i for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, so Theorem 8.6 gives (9.7) and (9.8).

(ii) \implies (i). From (9.7) and (9.8), by Theorem 8.7, it follows that the sequence $\mathbf{s}_i = (s_{i,-\kappa-1}, \dots, s_{i,p-2})$ is a positive sequence on $(0, 1]$ with $\text{ind}_1(\mathbf{s}_i) = K_i$ for

$i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. Let $\nu_i \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{s}_i)$ be of index K_i . Put $\tau_i = t^{\kappa+1} d\nu_i$, so that $\text{ind}_1(\nu_i) = K$. Then, by Lemma 9.1, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has a completely hyperexpansive completion $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ such that $\tau_{i,1}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'} = \tau_i$ is of index K_i for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. \square

Opposed to subnormal completions, the case $\kappa = \infty$ is trivial. Since by [10, Corollary 7.2.3] the only completely hyperexpansive weighted shifts on $\mathcal{T}_{\eta, \infty}$ are isometries, the existence of completely hyperexpansive completion is guaranteed by the following three equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{-k} &= 1, & k \in \mathbb{N} \\ \lambda_{i,j} &= 1, & i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], j \in \mathbb{N}_2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next results are counterparts of results in [7, Section 8] concerning flatness of a completion.

THEOREM 9.3. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$ and let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i=1, j=1}^{\eta, \infty} \subset (0, \infty)$ with $\lambda_{i,j} > 1$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_2$. If $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in \mathbf{B}(\ell^2(V_{\eta, \kappa}))$ is r -generation flat with some $r \in \mathbb{N}_3$, then $S_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is 2-generation flat.*

PROOF. Put $\tau_i = \tau_{i,1}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \eta$ and

$$\gamma_n^{(i)} = 1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{n-1}) d\tau_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

By [10, Corollary 7.2.3] it holds

$$\gamma_n^{(i)} = \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \lambda_{i,j}^2, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], n \in \mathbb{N}_1,$$

so

$$\frac{\gamma_{n+r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} = \prod_{j=r}^{n+r-1} \lambda_{i,j}^2, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma_{n+r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{n-r-3}) d\tau_i \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} + \frac{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)} - 1}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{n-1}) \frac{t^{r-2}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} d\tau_i \\ &= 1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{n-1}) \frac{t^{r-2}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} d\tau_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], n \in \mathbb{N}_1, \end{aligned}$$

so $\left(\frac{\gamma_{n+r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} \right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is completely alternating. By the assumption we have

$$\frac{\gamma_{n+r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} = \frac{\gamma_{n+r-2}^{(1)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)}}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

By uniqueness of the representing measure of $\left(\frac{\gamma_{n+r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ it follows

$$\frac{t^{r-2}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} d\tau_i = \frac{t^{r-2}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)}} d\tau_1, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta].$$

From [10, Corollary 7.2.3] we know that $\tau_i(\{0\}) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, which implies

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}} d\tau_i = \frac{1}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)}} d\tau_1, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta].$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{r-2}^{(i)} &= 1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{r-3}) d\tau_i \\ &= 1 + \frac{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)}} \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{r-3}) d\tau_1 \\ &= 1 + \frac{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)}} \cdot (\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)} - 1), \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta], \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\frac{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)} - 1}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)} - 1} = \frac{\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)}}{\gamma_{r-2}^{(1)}}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta].$$

One can easily see that this implies $\gamma_{r-2}^{(i)} = \gamma_{r-2}^{(1)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, so we get $\tau_i = \tau_1$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$. This means that

$$\lambda_{i,2} = \sqrt{1 + \tau_i([0, 1])} = \sqrt{1 + \tau_1([0, 1])} = \lambda_{1,2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{i,j} &= \sqrt{\frac{1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{j-2}) d\tau_i(t)}{1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{j-3}) d\tau_i(t)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{j-2}) d\tau_1(t)}{1 + \int_{(0,1]} (1+t+\dots+t^{j-3}) d\tau_1(t)}} = \lambda_{1,j} \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_3$. □

We say that a sequence $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, has a *completely alternating extension*, if there exists a completely alternating sequence starting from c_0, \dots, c_n . The proof of the first lemma about scalar multiplication of completely alternating sequences is left to the reader.

LEMMA 9.4. *Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, have a completely alternating extension. Then $\lambda \mathbf{c} = (\lambda c_0, \lambda c_1, \dots, \lambda c_n)$ has a completely alternating extension for any $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, representing measure of every completely alternating extension of $\lambda \mathbf{c}$ is of the form $\lambda \tau$, where τ is a representing measure of some extension of \mathbf{c} .*

Proceeding as in [10, Lemma 7.1.2], we can obtain the following characterization of backward extensions of completely alternating sequences.

LEMMA 9.5. *Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) \subset [0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, have a completely alternating extension. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_1$ and $c_{-r}, \dots, c_{-1} \in [0, \infty)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathbf{c}' = (c_{-r}, \dots, c_{-1}, c_0, \dots, c_n)$ has a completely alternating extension,
- (ii) there exists a completely alternating extension of \mathbf{c} with a representing measure τ such that

$$c_{-k} = c_{-k-1} + \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} d\tau(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, r-2]$$

$$c_{-r+1} \geq c_{-r} + \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^r} d\tau(t).$$

If (i) holds, then representing measure of every completely alternating extension of \mathbf{c}' is of the form

$$\mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \ni A \xrightarrow{\varrho} \int_A \frac{1}{t^r} d\tau(t) + \left(c_{-r+1} - c_{-r} - \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^r} d\tau(t) \right) \delta_0 \in [0, \infty),$$

where τ is a representing measure of some extension of \mathbf{c} satisfying (ii). Moreover,

$$\varrho(\{0\}) = 0 \iff c_{-r} + \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^r} d\tau(t) = c_{-r+1}.$$

The next result says that, having flat initial data, we are always able to obtain 2-generation flat completely hyperexpansive completion.

THEOREM 9.6. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_2$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{\eta,p} \subset (0, \infty)$. Assume $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_{1,j}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \eta]$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) there exists a completely hyperexpansive completion of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$,
- (ii) there exists a 2-generation flat completely hyperexpansive completion of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

PROOF. (ii) \implies (i) is obvious.

(i) \implies (ii). From (i) we know that $\mathbf{c}_0 = \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \lambda_{1,j}^2 \right)_{n=0}^{p-1}$ and $\mathbf{c}_\kappa = (c_n)_{n=0}^{\kappa+p}$ have a completely alternating extensions, where

$$c_n = \prod_{k=-\kappa+1}^{-\kappa+n} \lambda_k^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa],$$

$$c_n = \prod_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_k^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \prod_{j=1}^{n-\kappa} \lambda_{i,j}^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [\kappa+1, \kappa+p]$$

If $\kappa = 0$, then from Lemma 9.5 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t} d\tau(t)$$

for a measure τ representing some completely alternating extension of \mathbf{c}_0 . Since $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has a completely hyperexpansive completion, we also get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 < \infty.$$

Using Lemma 9.1 with $\tau_i = \tau$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, we get a 2-generation flat completely hyperexpansive completion. If $\kappa > 0$, then, by Lemma 9.4, we get that $\mathbf{c}' =$

$\left(\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2\right) \mathbf{c}_0$ has a completely alternating extension and representing measure of every completely alternating extension of \mathbf{c}' is of the form

$$\tau' = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \right) \tau,$$

where τ is a representing measure for some extension of \mathbf{c}_0 . Next, by Lemma 9.5 applied to \mathbf{c}' , we have the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{-\kappa+1}^2 &\geq 1 + \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} d\tau(t) \\ \prod_{j=k-1}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 &= \prod_{j=k}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 + \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^k} d\tau, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa-1] \\ \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 &= \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 + \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t} d\tau(t), \end{aligned}$$

where τ is a representing measure of some extension of \mathbf{c}_0 . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{-\kappa+1}^2 &\geq 1 + \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^{\kappa+1}} d\tau(t) \\ \lambda_{-k+1}^2 &= 1 + \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t^k} d\tau, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, \kappa-1] \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \int_{(0,1]} \frac{1}{t} d\tau(t). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 9.1 with $\tau_i = \tau$, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, \eta]$, we get a 2-generation flat completely hyperexpansive completion. \square

To this point we have dealt only with completely hyperexpansive completion for $p \geq 2$. However, the careful look on the proof of Theorem 9.6 gives us also the solution in the case $p = 1$.

THEOREM 9.7. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_{-k}\}_{k=0}^{\kappa-1} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subset (0, \infty)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a completely hyperexpansive completion of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$,*
- (ii) *the sequence $\mathbf{c}_\kappa = (1, \lambda_{-\kappa+1}^2, \dots, \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2, \prod_{j=0}^{\kappa-1} \lambda_{-j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2)$ has a completely alternating extension.*

Moreover, if (i) holds, then $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has a 2-generation flat completely hyperexpansive completion.

PROOF. Observe that in the proof of Theorem 9.6 the sequence $\mathbf{c}_0 = (1)$ has always completely alternating extension. The rest of the proof goes with no substantial changes. \square

EXAMPLE 9.8. Let us investigate the case $\kappa = 1$, $p = 1$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \{\lambda_0\} \cup \{\lambda_{i,1}\}_{i=1}^{\eta} \subset (0, \infty)$. In view of Theorem 9.7 we have to check when $(1, \lambda_0^2, \lambda_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2)$ has

a completely alternating extension. Obviously, we are constantly assuming that $\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 < \infty$. We are looking for a measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(9.14) \quad \lambda_0^2 = 1 + \mu([0, 1])$$

$$(9.15) \quad \lambda_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 = 1 + \mu([0, 1]) + \int_{[0,1]} t \, d\mu(t).$$

In view of Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 if such a measure exists, then one can always find a measure with one atom, i.e. of the form $\mu = k\delta_c$, where $k \in [0, \infty)$ and $c \in [0, 1]$. From (9.14) we get $k = \lambda_0^2 - 1$. If $k = 0$, then $\lambda_0^2 = 1$, and, by (9.15), $\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 = 1$; in this case we can take any $c \in [0, 1]$. Assume now $k > 0$ (which means that $\lambda_0 > 1$). From (9.15) it follows that

$$c = \frac{\lambda_0^2 (\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 - 1)}{\lambda_0^2 - 1}.$$

The condition $c \in [0, 1]$ is equivalent to

$$(9.16) \quad 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \lambda_{i,1}^2 \leq 2 - \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}.$$

Summing up, λ has a completely hyperexpansive completion if and only if $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ and (9.16) hold.

References

- [1] A. Athavale, *On completely hyperexpansive operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996).
- [2] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, P. Ressel, *Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [3] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley & Sons, 1968.
- [4] R.E. Curto, L.A. Fialkow, *Recursively generated weighted shifts and the subnormal completion problem*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 17 (1993).
- [5] R.E. Curto, S.H. Lee, J. Yoon, *A new approach to the 2-variable subnormal completion problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 370 (2010).
- [6] G.R. Exner, I.B. Jung, J. Stochel, H.Y. Yun, *A Subnormal Completion Problem for Weighted Shifts on Directed Trees*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 90, 72 (2018).
- [7] G. Exner, I.B. Jung, J. Stochel, H.Y. Yun, *A subnormal completion problem for weighted shifts on directed trees II*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 92, 8 (2020).
- [8] R. Gellar and L.J. Wallen, *Subnormal weighted shifts and the Halmos-Bram criterion*, Proc. Japan Acad., 46 (1970).
- [9] P. R. Halmos, *Ten problems in Hilbert space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76, 5 (1970).
- [10] Z.J. Jabłoński, I.B. Jung, J. Stochel, *Weighted shifts on directed trees*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 1017, 107 (2012).
- [11] Z.J. Jabłoński, I.B. Jung, J.A. Kwak, J. Stochel, *Hyperexpansive completion problem via alternating sequences; an application to subnormality*, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011).
- [12] S. Karlin, W.J. Studden, *Tchebysheff systems: with applications in analysis and statistics*, John Wiley & Sons, 1966.
- [13] D.P. Kimsey, *The subnormal completion problem in several variables*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 434 (2016).
- [14] M.G. Krein, A.A. Nudel'man, *The Markov Moment Problem and Extremal Problems*, Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 50, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
- [15] A. Lambert, *Subnormality and weighted shifts*, J. London Math. Soc. 2, 14 (1976).
- [16] C. Li, *Two variable subnormal completion problem*, Hokkaido Math. J. 32(2003).
- [17] W.W. Rogosinski, *Moments of Non-Negative Mass*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, vol. 245, no. 1240, 1958.
- [18] J.G. Stampfli, *Which weighted shifts are subnormal*, Pac. J. Math. 17, 6 (1955).

- [19] J. Stochel, F.H. Szafraniec, *On normal extensions of unbounded operators. II*, Acta Sci. Math., 53 (1989).
- [20] F.H. Szafraniec, *On extending backwards positive definite sequences.*, Numerical Algorithms 3 (1992).
- [21] F.M. Wright, *On the backward extension of positive definite Hamburger moment sequences.*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956).

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIwersYTET Jagielloński, ul. Łojasiewicza 6, PL-30348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

Email address: `micHAL.buchala@im.uj.edu.pl`