

ON SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH δ' -POTENTIALS SUPPORTED ON STAR GRAPHS

KONSTANTIN PANKRASHKIN AND MARCO VOGEL

ABSTRACT. The spectral properties of two-dimensional Schrödinger operators with δ' -potentials supported on star graphs are discussed. We describe the essential spectrum and give a complete description of situations in which the discrete spectrum is non-trivial but finite. A more detailed study is presented for the case of a star graph with two branches, in particular, the small angle asymptotics for the eigenvalues is obtained.

The final version is published in *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 55 (2022) 295201 and is available at <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ac775a>.

1. INTRODUCTION

The δ' -potentials represent an idealized model of quantum-mechanical interactions supported by small sets, and they build an important class of so-called solvable models in quantum mechanics, as many explicit formulas for various spectral characteristics of the respective Schrödinger operators can be obtained [1]. They are also of interest from the point of view of the spectral geometry, as one deals with various links between the geometric properties of the potential support and the eigenvalues of the associated differential operators, see e.g. [4, 14]. In the present paper we prove some results on the spectral analysis for Schrödinger operators with δ' -potentials supported by star graphs in two dimensions (in this precise case, the star graph geometry is completely determined by the angles between the branches). While some statements on such operators can be found in the literature, we are not aware of any systematic study of this class and believe that the paper can have a consolidating effect. It seems that singular potentials supported by star graphs were first considered in [7] for δ -potentials as a model of quantum branching structures. Both δ and δ' potentials model interactions which are strongly concentrated near Γ but with different limiting behavior in the normal direction [6], so these two classes require separate study. From the methodological point of view, our main observation is that a rather detailed spectral picture for the δ' -case can still be deduced from a comparison with Robin Laplacians and δ -potentials studied by various authors in earlier works.

Let us introduce the main definitions. Let (r, θ) be the standard polar coordinates in \mathbb{R}^2 , then the set

$$\Gamma := \bigcup_{j=1}^M \Gamma_j \text{ with } \Gamma_j = \{(r, \theta_j) : r \geq 0\},$$

with $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_M < 2\pi$, is called a star graph with M branches, see Fig. 1(a). For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the set Γ gives rise to a two-dimensional “geometric” Schrödinger operator $T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$, which is defined as the unique self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ generated by the closed, lower semibounded, symmetric sesquilinear form




FIGURE 1. (a) Star graph Γ with three branches Γ_j . (b) The associated decomposition into infinite sectors V_j .

$t_{\Gamma,\alpha}$ given by

$$t_{\Gamma,\alpha}(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Gamma} |[u]|^2 d\sigma$$

with domain $D(t_{\Gamma,\alpha}) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma)$, where $d\sigma$ stands for the integration with respect to arclength and $[u]$ denotes the jump of u at Γ , i.e. the difference of the values of u at the two sides of Γ . Namely, let N be a unit normal field at Γ , smooth outside the origin. Then for $s \in \Gamma_j \setminus \{0\}$ and u smooth at the both sides of Γ_j one has

$$[u](s) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} u(s + tN(s)) - u(s - tN(s)),$$

which uniquely extends by density to a bounded map $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma) \ni u \mapsto [u] \in L^2(\Gamma)$ using the Sobolev trace theorem. One often uses the formal expression $T_{\Gamma,\alpha} = -\Delta + \alpha \delta'_{\Gamma,\alpha}$, and it can be shown that $T_{\Gamma,\alpha}$ acts as $u \mapsto -\Delta u$ (in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$) on the functions u satisfying special transmission conditions on Γ ,

$$\partial_N^+ u = \partial_N^- u =: \partial_N u, \quad \alpha[u] = \partial_N u, \quad \partial_N^\pm u(s) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} N(s) \cdot \nabla u(s \pm tN(s)),$$

where all values are understood in the sense of Sobolev traces: we refer to [2, 3, 8] for a detailed discussion and a rigorous version of the approach through the transmission conditions.

The case of $\alpha \geq 0$ is relatively simple. On one hand, one easily observes that $T_{\Gamma,\alpha}$ is a non-negative operator, hence its spectrum is contained in $[0, \infty)$. Consider the M connected components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$, i.e. the infinite sectors bounded by Γ_j and Γ_{j+1} (assuming the cyclic enumeration convention),

$$V_j := \{(r, \theta) : \theta \in (\theta_j, \theta_{j+1}), r > 0\}, \quad \theta_{M+1} := 2\pi + \theta_1. \quad (1)$$

If one denotes

$$\varphi_j := \frac{\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j}{2}, \quad (2)$$

then the opening angle of V_j is $2\varphi_j$, see Fig. 1(b). Remark that all V_j are quasiconical domains, i.e. contain arbitrary large balls [9, Sec. 49], and that $T_{\Gamma,\alpha}u$ coincides with $-\Delta u$ if u is supported in one of the V_j , then it follows that $[0, \infty) \subset \text{spec } T_{\Gamma,\alpha}$ (it seems that the argument is not sufficiently known: we prefer to give an elaborate proof in Appendix A). It follows that $\text{spec } T_{\Gamma,\alpha} = [0, \infty)$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$, in particular,

no discrete spectrum is present. Hence, from now on we are interested in the case of an “attractive interaction”

$$\alpha < 0.$$

We prove the following results:

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha < 0$, then for any choice of M and θ_j one has:*

$$\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\Gamma, \alpha} = [-4\alpha^2, \infty), \quad \text{spec}_{\text{disc}} T_{\Gamma, \alpha} \text{ is at most finite.}$$

Furthermore, the discrete spectrum of $T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$ is

- a) empty for
 - $M = 1$, i.e. if Γ is a half-line,
 - $M = 2$ with $\theta_2 - \theta_1 = \pi$, i.e. if Γ is a line,
- b) non-empty in all other cases.

Remark that a standard dilation argument shows the unitary equivalence

$$T_{\Gamma, \alpha} \simeq \alpha^2 T_{\Gamma}, \quad T_{\Gamma} := T_{\Gamma, -1}, \quad (3)$$

so, in fact, it is sufficient to consider the case $\alpha = -1$ only. Theorem 1 is proved in Sections 3 and 4, and further observations will be presented through the whole text. In particular, in Corollary 20 we show that the discrete spectrum of $T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$ can be arbitrarily large.

We then discuss in greater detail the case $M = 2$, which allows for a more explicit analysis. For $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, let Γ_{θ} denote the star graph with two branches forming an angle of 2θ , more precisely

$$\Gamma_{\theta} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0 \text{ and } |y| = x \tan \theta\}, \quad (4)$$

where (x, y) are Cartesian coordinates, see Fig. 2(a), and consider the associated operators

$$H_{\theta, \alpha} := T_{\Gamma_{\theta}, \alpha}, \quad H_{\theta} := H_{\theta, -1}. \quad (5)$$

The unitary equivalence (3) takes the form

$$H_{\theta, \alpha} \simeq \alpha^2 H_{\theta}. \quad (6)$$

The sesquilinear forms for $H_{\theta, \alpha}$ and H_{θ} will be denoted respectively by $h_{\theta, \alpha}$ and h_{θ} , in particular,

$$h_{\theta, \alpha}(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\theta}} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Gamma_{\theta}} |[u]|^2 d\sigma, \quad D(h_{\theta, \alpha}) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_{\theta}).$$

Then more detailed statements are possible:




FIGURE 2. (a) Visualization of Γ_{θ} . (b) The associated sectors $\Omega_+ = U_{\theta}$ and Ω_- .

Theorem 2. *For any $\alpha < 0$ and any $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ there holds*

$$\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} H_{\theta, \alpha} = [-4\alpha^2, \infty), \quad \text{spec}_{\text{disc}} H_{\theta, \alpha} \text{ is non-empty and finite.}$$

Let $\alpha < 0$ be fixed. For $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$ the number of discrete eigenvalues of $H_{\theta, \alpha}$ grows to infinity, and the individual eigenvalues are continuous and strictly increasing in θ , namely:

- a) *if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta_n \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ the operator $H_{\theta_n, \alpha}$ has at least n discrete eigenvalues, then $H_{\theta, \alpha}$ has at least n discrete eigenvalues for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_n)$, and the function $(0, \theta_n) \ni \theta \mapsto E_n(H_{\theta, \alpha})$ is continuous and strictly increasing.*
- b) *for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\theta_n \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that $H_{\theta, \alpha}$ has at least n discrete eigenvalues for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_n)$, and*

$$E_n(H_{\theta, \alpha}) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{(2n-1)^2\theta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

In fact, the proofs of the two main theorems are closely interrelated, as will be seen below. It is clear that all statements of Theorem 2 concerning the essential spectrum and the cardinality of the discrete spectrum follow directly from Theorem 1 and are only included for the sake of completeness. The remaining statements dealing with the dependence of eigenvalues on the angle θ are proved in Section 5.

Remark 3. While the sets Γ_θ and the operators $H_{\theta, \alpha}$ can be defined for any $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, considering only $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ is a normalization and not a restriction. In fact, for $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ the set Γ_θ is a line, which is covered by Theorem 1 (no discrete spectrum), and for $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ the operator $H_{\theta, \alpha}$ is unitarily equivalent to $H_{\theta', \alpha}$ with $\theta' := \pi - \theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ as Γ_θ is an isometric copy of $\Gamma_{\theta'}$ obtained by the reflection on the y -axis. In particular, $E_n(H_{\theta, \alpha}) = E_n(H_{\pi-\theta, \alpha})$ for any n .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect our main tools and recall the definition of some important model operators. We will denote

$$\mathbb{R}_+^2 := \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \text{ and } \mathbb{R}_-^2 := \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0).$$

Our main results will be obtained by comparing δ' -potentials with other operators associated with similar geometries and studied in previous works. The approach is inspired, in particular, by [3, 13].

2.1. Min-Max Principle. We will recall some notation and basic facts on the min-max principle, see e.g. [17, Section XIII.1]. All these facts are well known, but we prefer to state them here in a suitable form as they are intensively used in the subsequent text.

Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, t be a closed, lower semibounded, densely defined, symmetric sesquilinear form with domain $D(t)$, and T be the unique self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} generated by t . We denote by $\text{spec } T$, $\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T$, $\text{spec}_{\text{disc}} T$ respectively the spectrum, the essential spectrum and the discrete spectrum of T . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the n -th discrete eigenvalue of T (if it exists), when enumerated in the non-decreasing order and taking the multiplicities into account, by $E_n(T)$. We also put $\Sigma := \inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T$ (with $\Sigma := +\infty$ for $\inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T = \emptyset$). We

also consider the non-decreasing sequence of numbers

$$\Lambda_n(T) := \inf_{\substack{V \subset D(t) \\ \dim V = n}} \sup_{u \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{t(u, u)}{\langle u, u \rangle}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is known (min-max principle) that only two cases are possible:

- a) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds $\Lambda_n(T) < \Sigma$. Then $E_n(T) = \Lambda_n(T)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. the spectrum of T is purely discrete, and $\Lambda_n(T)$ is its n -th eigenvalue for any n .
- b) For some $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ there holds $\Lambda_{N+1}(T) \geq \Sigma$, while $\Lambda_n(T) < \Sigma$ for all $n \leq N$. Then T has exactly N discrete eigenvalues in $(-\infty, \Sigma)$, and $E_n(T) = \Lambda_n(T)$ for $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, while $\Lambda_n(T) = \Sigma$ for all $n \geq N + 1$.

In particular, in all cases there holds $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n(T) = \Sigma$, and if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\Lambda_n(T) < \Sigma$, then $E_n(T) = \Lambda_n(T)$.

The above characterization of eigenvalues will mostly be used in combination with the following construction. Let T and T' be self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' generated by closed, lower semibounded, densely defined, symmetric sesquilinear forms t and t' . Assume that there exists a linear isometry $J : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with

$$JD(t') \subset D(t), \quad t(Ju, Ju) \leq t'(u, u) \text{ for all } u \in D(t'),$$

which will be termed in the subsequent text as

$$T \leq T' \text{ using } J.$$

It follows directly from the above definition that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds $\Lambda_n(T) \leq \Lambda_n(T')$, and the min-max principle implies the following relations:

- a) $\inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T \leq \inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T'$,
- b) if for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ the spectrum of T in $(-\infty, a)$ is purely discrete and consists of n eigenvalues, then the spectrum of T' in $(-\infty, a)$ is purely discrete and consists of *at most* n eigenvalues,
- c) if for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ the spectrum of T' in $(-\infty, a)$ is purely discrete and consists of n' eigenvalues, then either (i) $\inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T < a$ or (ii) the spectrum of T in $(-\infty, a)$ is purely discrete and consists of *at least* n' eigenvalues.

2.2. Comparing eigenvalues of star graphs. The min-max principle has the following direct application to star graphs:

Lemma 4. *Let Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be star graphs with $\Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$, then for any $\alpha < 0$ one has $T_{\Gamma, \alpha} \geq T_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \alpha}$ using the identity map.*

Proof. Let Γ_j , $j = 1, \dots, M$, be the branches of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, then $\Gamma = \bigcup_{j \in K} \Gamma_j$ for some $K \subset \{1, \dots, M\}$. We clearly have

$$D(t_{\Gamma, \alpha}) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}) = D(t_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \alpha}),$$

and for any $u \in D(t_{\Gamma, \alpha})$ there holds, due to $\alpha < 0$ and $|[u]|^2 \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\Gamma, \alpha}(u, u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \alpha \sum_{j \in K} \int_{\Gamma_j} |[u]|^2 d\sigma \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\Gamma_j} |[u]|^2 d\sigma = t_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \alpha}(u, u), \end{aligned}$$

which is the sought inequality. □

2.3. One dimensional δ' -potential. Consider the following closed, lower semi-bounded, densely defined, symmetric sesquilinear form b in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$b(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'|^2 dx - |f(0+) - f(0-)|^2, \quad D(b) = H^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (7)$$

and let B be the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ associated with b . One easily shows that B acts as $f \mapsto -f''$ (in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$) with domain

$$D(B) = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) : f'(0+) = f'(0-), f(0+) - f(0-) = -f'(0+)\},$$

which is the Schrödinger operator with an attractive δ' -potential of intensity 1 at the origin, see [1]. An easy direct computation shows that the only discrete eigenvalue of B is $E_1(B) = -4$ with the corresponding eigenfunction

$$\psi_1(x) = \text{sgn}(x)e^{-2|x|} = \begin{cases} -e^{2x}, & x < 0, \\ e^{-2x}, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

2.4. Robin Laplacian in a sector. For $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ consider the infinite sector

$$U_\theta := \{(x, y) : |\arg(x + iy)| < \theta\}, \quad (8)$$

see Fig. 2(b). For $\gamma > 0$ consider the closed, lower semibounded, densely defined, symmetric sesquilinear form

$$q_\theta^\gamma(v, v) = \int_{U_\theta} |\nabla v|^2 dx dy - \gamma \int_{\partial U_\theta} |v|^2 d\sigma, \quad v \in H^1(U_\theta), \quad (9)$$

and denote by Q_θ^γ the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(U_\theta)$ generated by q_θ^γ . Remark again the unitary equivalence

$$Q_\theta^\gamma \simeq \gamma^2 Q_\theta, \quad Q_\theta := Q_\theta^1. \quad (10)$$

The following results were obtained in [11, 12]:

a) For any $\gamma > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ one has

$$\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} Q_\theta^\gamma = [-\gamma^2, \infty), \quad \text{spec}_{\text{disc}} Q_\theta^\gamma \text{ is at most finite,}$$

b) Let $\gamma > 0$, then $\text{spec}_{\text{disc}} Q_\theta^\gamma$ is empty for $\theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and non-empty for $\theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$,

c) Let $\gamma > 0$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\theta_n > 0$ such that Q_θ^γ has n discrete eigenvalues in $(-\infty, -\gamma^2)$ for any $\theta \in (0, \theta_n)$, and

$$E_n(Q_\theta^\gamma) = -\frac{\gamma^2}{(2n-1)^2\theta^2} + O(1) \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Due to the unitary equivalence (10) the numbers θ_n can be chosen independent of $\gamma > 0$.

A family of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Q_θ^γ was recently obtained in an explicit form [15], but it remains open if this family exhausts the whole discrete spectrum.

2.5. Schrödinger operator with a δ -potential on a broken line. For $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ consider again the broken line Γ_θ defined in (4). For $\gamma > 0$ consider the following closed, lower semibounded, symmetric sesquilinear form a_θ^γ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$a_\theta^\gamma(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \gamma \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |u|^2 d\sigma, \quad D(a_\theta^\gamma) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

and denote by A_θ^γ the associated self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then it is known from [5] that for any $\gamma > 0$ and any $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ there holds

$$\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} A_\theta^\gamma = \left[-\frac{1}{4}\gamma^2, \infty\right), \quad \text{spec}_{\text{disc}} A_\theta^\gamma \text{ is non-empty.}$$

Remark that an easy proof of the non-emptiness of the discrete spectrum was given in [16].

3. THE ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM

In this section we prove all statements of Theorem 1 concerning the essential spectrum. In view of the unitary equivalence (3) it is sufficient to prove them for the case $\alpha = -1$, i.e. for the operator T_Γ only.

Lemma 5. *For any star graph Γ there holds $[-4, \infty) \subset \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_\Gamma$.*

Proof. The proof is quite standard and uses Weyl sequences. As the rotation of Γ around the origin produces a unitary equivalent operator (with the same essential spectrum), without loss of generality we assume $\theta_1 = 0$, i.e. that the first branch of Γ coincides with the positive x -half-axis.

Pick a function $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$ such that $\Phi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, $\Phi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 1$, and for a suitable (to be defined later) $a > 0$ consider the functions

$$\chi_n : x \mapsto \Phi(2n - x)\Phi(x - n), \quad \tilde{\chi}_n : y \mapsto \Phi(an - y)\Phi(y + an).$$

Then it is clear that $\chi_n, \tilde{\chi}_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq \chi_n, \tilde{\chi}_n \leq 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n(x) &= 0 \text{ if } x \in (-\infty, n) \cup (2n, \infty), \\ \chi_n(x) &= 1 \text{ if } x \in (n + 1, 2n - 1), \\ \tilde{\chi}_n(y) &= 0 \text{ if } y \in (-\infty, -an) \cup (an, \infty), \\ \tilde{\chi}_n(y) &= 1 \text{ if } y \in (-an + 1, an - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and define, for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f_n : (x, y) \mapsto e^{ikx} \psi_1(y) \chi_n(x) \tilde{\chi}_n(y), \tag{11}$$

where ψ_1 is the first eigenfunction of the one-dimensional δ' -potential from Subsection 2.3. In a more detailed form, $f_n(x, y) = f_n^\pm(x, y)$ for $\pm y > 0$ with

$$f_n^+(x, y) := e^{ikx-2y} \chi_n(x) \tilde{\chi}_n(y), \quad f_n^-(x, y) := -e^{ikx+2y} \chi_n(x) \tilde{\chi}_n(y).$$

The support of f_n is in the rectangle surrounded by the dashed line in Fig. 3, and from now on we assume that the number $a > 0$ in the above constructions is sufficiently small, so that the rectangle does not touch the other branches of Γ for large enough n .

One has $f_n \in D(T_\Gamma)$ with $(T_\Gamma f_n)(x, y) = -\Delta f_n^\pm(x, y)$ for $\pm y > 0$ (see Appendix B). For $(x, y) \in (n + 1, 2n - 1) \times (-an + 1, an - 1)$ there holds $|f_n(x, y)| = e^{-2|y|}$, hence, for large n one has

$$\|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \geq \int_{n+1}^{2n-1} \int_{-an+1}^{an-1} e^{-4|y|} dy dx$$




FIGURE 3. The product of the cut-off functions vanishes outside the dashed rectangle and is equal to one in the shaded area.

$$= 2(n-2) \int_0^{an-1} e^{-4y} dy = 2(n-2) \frac{1 - e^{4(1-an)}}{4} \geq Cn$$

with a suitable $C > 0$. We further compute

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta f_n^+ &= (k^2 - 4)f_n^+ + (4\tilde{\chi}'_n(y) - \tilde{\chi}''_n(y))\chi_n(x)e^{ikx-2y} \\ &\quad - (2ik\chi'_n(x) + \chi''_n(x))\tilde{\chi}_n(y)e^{ikx-2y}. \end{aligned}$$

The support of $g_n := -\Delta f_n^+ - (k^2 - 4)f_n^+$ is contained in the set

$$\left(([n, n+1] \cup [2n-1, 2n]) \times [0, an] \right) \cup \left([n+1, 2n-1] \times [an-1, an] \right)$$

and one has the pointwise estimate $|g_n(x, y)| \leq ce^{-2|y|}$ with a suitable $c > 0$. Hence, with a suitable $c_1 > 0$ and all large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|-\Delta f_n^+ - (k^2 - 4)f_n^+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 &= \|g_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{[n, n+1] \cup [2n-1, 2n]} \int_0^{an} e^{-4y} dy dx + \int_{n+1}^{2n-1} \int_{an-1}^{an} e^{-4y} dy dx \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{4} \left(2 + ((n-2)e^4 - n)e^{-4an} \right) \leq c_1, \end{aligned}$$

and analogously one estimates $\|-\Delta f_n^- - (k^2 - 4)f_n^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-^2)} \leq c_2$. Then, with $(T_\Gamma f_n)(x, y) = -\Delta f_n^\pm(x, y)$ for $\pm y > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\|-\Delta f_n^+ - (k^2 - 4)f_n^+\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 + \|-\Delta f_n^- - (k^2 - 4)f_n^-\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_-^2)}^2}{\|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2} \\ &\equiv \frac{\left\| \left(T_\Gamma - (k^2 - 4) \right) f_n \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2}{\|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2} \leq \frac{c_1 + c_2}{Cn} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows $k^2 - 4 \in \text{spec } T_\Gamma$. As $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary, one obtains the inclusion $[-4, \infty) \subset \text{spec } T_\Gamma$. As $[-4, \infty)$ has no isolated points, one even has $[-4, \infty) \subset \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_\Gamma$. \square

In order to continue we compare T_Γ with the Robin Laplacians in infinite sectors. Assume first that $M \geq 2$, consider the sectors V_j defined in (1), and for $\gamma > 0$ define the closed, lower semibounded, symmetric sesquilinear forms r_j^γ in $L^2(V_j)$ by

$$r_j^\gamma(u, u) = \int_{V_j} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \gamma \int_{\partial V_j} |u|^2 d\sigma, \quad D(r_j^\gamma) = H^1(V_j), \quad (12)$$

and the associated self-adjoint operators R_j^γ in $L^2(V_j)$. Remark that for any j one has $\partial V_j = \Gamma_j \cup \Gamma_{j+1}$. Further consider the unitary map

$$J : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni u \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_M) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^M L^2(V_j), \quad u_j := u|_{V_j}. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 6. *For any star graph Γ with $M \geq 2$ branches there holds*

$$T_\Gamma \geq \bigoplus_{j=1}^M R_j^2 \text{ using } J$$

with the relation \geq defined as in Section 2.1.

Proof. Using the notation (13), for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma)$ one obtains with the help of the triangle inequality

$$\int_{\Gamma} |[u]|^2 d\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\Gamma_j} |u_j - u_{j-1}|^2 d\sigma \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\Gamma_j} (|u_j|^2 + |u_{j-1}|^2) d\sigma.$$

Hence, for the sesquilinear form t_{Γ} for T_{Γ} ,

$$t_{\Gamma}(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma} |[u]|^2 d\sigma, \quad D(t_{\Gamma}) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma),$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\Gamma}(u, u) &= \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{V_j} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma} |[u]|^2 d\sigma \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{V_j} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2 \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\Gamma_j} (|u_j|^2 + |u_{j-1}|^2) d\sigma \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\int_{V_j} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\Gamma_j} |u_j|^2 d\sigma - 2 \int_{\Gamma_{j+1}} |u_j|^2 d\sigma \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\int_{V_j} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\partial V_j} |u_j|^2 d\sigma \right) = \sum_{j=1}^M r_j^2(u_j, u_j), \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression is exactly the sesquilinear form for $\bigoplus_{j=1}^M R_j^2$. \square

Lemma 7. *For any star graph Γ there holds $\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\Gamma} \subset [-4, \infty)$.*

Proof. We need to show the inequality $\inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\Gamma} \geq -4$.

Consider first the case of Γ with $M \geq 2$ branches. Each sector V_j in (1) is a rotated copy of the sector U_{φ_j} from (8), and it follows by standard arguments that R_j^{γ} is unitarily equivalent to the standard Robin Laplacian $Q_{\varphi_j}^{\gamma}$ from Subsection 2.4, which implies $\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} R_j^{\gamma} = \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} Q_{\varphi_j}^{\gamma} = [-\gamma^2, \infty)$. By Lemma 6 and the min-max principle (Subsection 2.1) there holds

$$\inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\Gamma} \geq \inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^M R_j^2 \equiv \min_j \inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} R_j^2 = \min_j (-2^2) = -4.$$

If Γ only contains one branch, i.e. if Γ is just a half-line starting at the origin, we extend it to a line $\tilde{\Gamma}$, then $T_{\Gamma} \geq T_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ using the identity map (Lemma 4) implying $\inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\Gamma} \geq \inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = -4$. \square

By combining Lemmas 5 and 7 one arrives at

Corollary 8. *For any star graph Γ there holds $\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\Gamma} = [-4, \infty)$.*

4. DISCRETE EIGENVALUES FOR STAR GRAPHS

4.1. Cardinality of the discrete spectrum. We now discuss the existence and non-existence of discrete eigenvalues of $T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$. Again, due to the unitary equivalence (3) it is sufficient to consider the operator T_{Γ} only. We first consider the degenerate cases.

Lemma 9. *If Γ is a half-line or a line, then T_{Γ} has no discrete spectrum.*

Proof. Due to $\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_\Gamma = [-4, \infty)$ it is sufficient to show $\inf \text{spec } T_\Gamma \geq -4$.

If Γ is a line, without loss of generality one may assume that Γ coincides with the x -axis, then the sesquilinear form t_Γ for T_Γ is

$$t_\Gamma(u, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})} |\nabla u|^2 dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0^+) - u(x, 0^-)|^2 dx$$

with $D(t_\Gamma) = H^1(\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}))$. As discussed in Subsection 2.3, for any $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f'(x)|^2 dx - |f(0+) - f(0-)|^2 \geq -4 \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,$$

which implies by Fubini's theorem $t_\Gamma(u, u) \geq -4 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2$ for all $u \in D(t_\Gamma)$, i.e. $\inf \text{spec } T_\Gamma \geq -4$.

If Γ is a half-line, we extend it to a line $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and remark again that $T_\Gamma \geq T_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ using the identity map (Lemma 4). By the first part of the proof we have $\inf \text{spec } T_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \geq -4$, and the min-max principle implies

$$\inf \text{spec } T_\Gamma = \Lambda_1(T_\Gamma) \geq \Lambda_1(T_{\tilde{\Gamma}}) = \inf \text{spec } T_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \geq -4, \quad \square$$

Now we want to show that the discrete spectrum of T_Γ is non-empty for all star graphs not covered by Lemma 9. We consider first the case of two branches, i.e. the operator H_θ defined in (5).

Lemma 10. *For any $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ the discrete spectrum of H_θ is non-empty.*

Proof. Denote by Ω_\pm the two connected components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta$,

$$\Omega_+ := \{(x, y) : |\arg(x + iy)| < \theta\}, \quad \Omega_- := \{(x, y) : |\arg(x + iy)| > \theta\}, \quad (14)$$

see Fig. 2(b), and consider the unitary map

$$J : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni u \mapsto \tilde{u} := (1_{\Omega_+} - 1_{\Omega_-})u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta).$$

For $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ one has $Ju \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx, \\ \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |[\tilde{u}]|^2 d\sigma &= \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |2u|^2 d\sigma = 4 \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |u|^2 d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} h_\theta(Ju, Ju) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |[\tilde{u}]|^2 d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 4 \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |u|^2 d\sigma = a_\theta^4(u, u), \quad u \in D(a_\theta^4); \end{aligned}$$

recall that the form a_θ^γ and the associated operator A_θ^γ were discussed in Subsection 2.5. The above shows that $H_\theta \leq A_\theta^4$ using J , while the previous analysis gives $\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} H_\theta = \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} A_\theta^4 = [-4, \infty)$. As A_θ^4 has at least one discrete eigenvalue in $(-\infty, -4)$, the same holds for H_θ due to the min-max principle. \square

We remark that the argument above is a particular case of a more general construction from [2] on various relations between δ and δ' -potentials on partitions of \mathbb{R}^n , but we preferred to reproduce it in order to have a self-contained presentation.

Lemma 11. *If the star graph Γ is not a line or half-line, then the discrete spectrum of T_Γ is non-empty.*

Proof. By assumption, the star graph Γ contains a rotated copy Γ' of Γ_θ with some $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. As $T_{\Gamma'}$ is unitarily equivalent to H_θ , it has at least one discrete eigenvalue in $(-\infty, -4)$ by Lemma 10. Furthermore, we have $T_\Gamma \leq T_{\Gamma'}$ using the identity map (Lemma 4). As both operators have the same essential spectrum $[-4, \infty)$, it follows by the min-max principle that T_Γ also has at least one discrete eigenvalue in $(-\infty, -4)$. \square

Lemma 12. *For any star graph Γ the discrete spectrum of T_Γ is finite.*

Proof. The statement is true for $M = 1$ by Lemma 9, so we only need to consider $M \geq 2$. We use again the relation $T_\Gamma \geq \bigoplus_{j=1}^M R_j^2$ using J as discussed in Lemma 6. Introducing again the angles φ_j by (2) we conclude that each R_j^2 is unitarily equivalent to $Q_{\varphi_j}^2$ (Subsection 2.4) and has $n_j < \infty$ discrete eigenvalues in $(-\infty, -4)$. Then $\bigoplus_{j=1}^M R_j^2$ has $n_1 + \dots + n_M < \infty$ eigenvalues in $(-\infty, -4)$, and by the min-max principle the same holds for T_Γ . \square

At this point all statements of Theorem 1 (general star graphs) are completely proved.

5. EIGENVALUES OF STAR GRAPHS WITH TWO BRANCHES

Now we look more attentively at the case of two branches, i.e. the operator $H_{\theta, \alpha}$ from (5). In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2 it remains to prove the statements concerning the dependence of the eigenvalues on θ . As in the above cases, it is sufficient to prove the statements for $\alpha = -1$, i.e. for the operator H_θ only. Most steps are inspired by respective constructions in [7, 11].

5.1. Continuity and monotonicity. We first decompose the operator H_θ using the parity with respect to y . Namely, consider the maps

$$\Pi_\pm : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2), \quad \Pi_\pm u(x, y) := \frac{u(x, y) \pm u(x, -y)}{\sqrt{2}},$$

then one easily observes that

$$\Phi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni u \mapsto (\Pi_+ u, \Pi_- u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$$

is a unitary operator. A short direct computation shows that

$$h_\theta(u, u) = h_\theta^N(\Pi_+ u, \Pi_+ u) + h_\theta^D(\Pi_- u, \Pi_- u) \text{ for any } u \in D(h_\theta),$$

where both $h_\theta^{N/D}$ are closed, lower semibounded, symmetric sesquilinear forms in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ given by the same expression,

$$h_\theta^{N/D}(v, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta^+} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma_\theta^+} |[v]|^2 d\sigma, \quad \Gamma_\theta^+ := \Gamma_\theta \cap \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

but with distinct domains,

$$\begin{aligned} D(h_\theta^N) &= \Pi_+ D(h_\theta) = H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta^+), \\ D(h_\theta^D) &= \Pi_- D(h_\theta) = \{v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta^+) : v(\cdot, 0) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v(\cdot, 0)$ is understood in the sense of Sobolev traces, and we denote by $H_\theta^{N/D}$ the associated self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. Consider the the closed, lower semi-bounded, symmetric sesquilinear form \tilde{h}_θ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$,

$$\tilde{h}_\theta((v, u), (v, u)) := h_\theta^N(v, v) + h_\theta^D(u, u), \quad D(\tilde{h}_\theta) := D(h_\theta^N) \times D(h_\theta^D),$$

then the associated operator is $\tilde{H}_\theta = H_\theta^N \oplus H_\theta^D$. On the other hand, the above constructions show that $D(\tilde{h}_\theta) = \Phi D(h_\theta)$ and that $h_\theta(u, u) = \tilde{h}_\theta(\Phi u, \Phi u)$ for any $u \in D(h_\theta)$, which means that $H_\theta = \Phi^{-1} \tilde{H}_\theta \Phi$. We conclude that $H_\theta = \Phi^{-1}(H_\theta^N \oplus H_\theta^D) \Phi$ (see Ch. 6 §1 in Kato's book [10] for a detailed discussion of unitary equivalences with the help of forms).




FIGURE 4. Visualization of Ω_θ .

It will be useful to apply an additional rotation by $\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta$, which bijectively maps Γ_θ^+ on the positive y -half-axis Oy^+ and \mathbb{R}_+^2 on the set (see Fig. 4)

$$\Omega_\theta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < y \tan \theta\}.$$

The corresponding coordinate change transforms $H_\theta^{N/D}$ to unitarily equivalent self-adjoint operators $\tilde{H}_\theta^{N/D}$ in $L^2(\Omega_\theta)$ whose sesquilinear forms are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_\theta^{N/D}(v, v) &= \int_{\Omega_\theta \setminus Oy^+} |\nabla v|^2 dx dy - \int_0^\infty |v(0^+, y) - v(0^-, y)|^2 dy, \\ D(\tilde{h}_\theta^N) &= H^1(\Omega_\theta \setminus Oy^+), \quad D(\tilde{h}_\theta^D) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega_\theta \setminus Oy^+) : v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_\theta\}. \end{aligned}$$

We summarize the above constructions as follows:

Lemma 13. *The operator H_θ is unitarily equivalent to $\tilde{H}_\theta^N \oplus \tilde{H}_\theta^D$.*

Recall that we are interested in the discrete spectrum of H_θ , which is located in $(-\infty, -4)$.

Lemma 14. *There holds $\text{spec } \tilde{H}_\theta^D \subset [-4, \infty)$.*

Proof. For $v \in D(\tilde{h}_\theta^D)$, let \tilde{v} denote its extension by zero to the whole of \mathbb{R}^2 , then $\tilde{v} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Oy^+)$, and

$$\tilde{h}_\theta^D(v, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus Oy^+} |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{v}(0^+, y) - \tilde{v}(0^-, y)|^2 dy. \quad (15)$$

By Fubini's theorem, for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $x \mapsto \tilde{v}(x, y)$ is in $H^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, hence, as discussed in Subsection 2.5,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_x \tilde{v}(x, y)|^2 dx - |\tilde{v}(0^+, y) - \tilde{v}(0^-, y)|^2 \geq -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{v}(x, y)|^2 dx.$$

The substitution into (15) shows that for any $v \in D(\tilde{h}_\theta^D)$ one has

$$\tilde{h}_\theta^D(v, v) \geq -4\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \equiv -4\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_\theta)}^2,$$

and the min-max principle implies $\inf \text{spec } \tilde{H}_\theta^D \geq -4$. \square

Corollary 15. *The spectrum of H_θ in $(-\infty, -4)$ coincides with the spectrum of \tilde{H}_θ^N in $(-\infty, -4)$.*

Now the behavior of the discrete eigenvalues of H_θ is reduced to that for \tilde{H}_θ^N . In order to analyze \tilde{H}_θ^N we consider another unitary map,

$$U : L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_\theta), \quad Uv(x, y) = \sqrt{\tan \theta} v(x, y \tan \theta),$$

which maps $D(\tilde{h}_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^N)$ bijectively onto $D(\tilde{h}_\theta^N)$, then a short computation shows that for any $v \in D(\tilde{h}_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^N)$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_\theta^N(Uv, Uv) &= \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}} \setminus Oy^+} (|\partial_x v|^2 + (\tan \theta)^2 |\partial_y v|^2) dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty |v(0^+, y) - v(0^-, y)|^2 dy =: s_\theta(v, v). \end{aligned}$$

By construction, the expression s_θ , with $D(s_\theta) = D(\tilde{h}_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^N)$, defines a closed, lower semibounded, symmetric sesquilinear form in $L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})$, and the associated operator S_θ is unitarily equivalent to \tilde{H}_θ^N , which proves

Corollary 16. *The spectrum of H_θ in $(-\infty, -4)$ coincides with the spectrum of S_θ in $(-\infty, -4)$.*

Lemma 17. *For all fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \ni \theta \mapsto \Lambda_n(S_\theta)$ is non-decreasing and continuous.*

Proof. The analysis of S_θ is simpler as the angle θ only appears in its coefficients and not in the domain.

Remark that the domains of all sesquilinear forms s_θ are the same. For $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$ we have $\tan \theta_1 \leq \tan \theta_2$, which implies that $S_{\theta_1} \leq S_{\theta_2}$ using the identity map (see Subsection 2.1). Hence, $\Lambda_n(S_{\theta_1}) \leq \Lambda_n(S_{\theta_2})$, which shows the monotonicity.

For the continuity, let $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then

$$\|v\|_s^2 := s_\theta(v, v) + (1 - \Lambda_1(S_\theta))\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2$$

defines an equivalent norm on $H^1(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}} \setminus Oy^+)$, and there is $c > 0$ with

$$\int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}} \setminus Oy^+} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy \leq \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}} \setminus Oy^+)}^2 \leq c\|v\|_s^2 \text{ for all } v \in D(s_\theta).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta > 0$ with $|\tan^2 \theta' - \tan^2 \theta| < \varepsilon$ for all θ' with $|\theta' - \theta| < \delta$, then for any such θ' and any $v \in D(s_\theta) \equiv D(s_{\theta'})$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |s_{\theta'}(v, v) - s_\theta(v, v)| &= |\tan^2 \theta' - \tan^2 \theta| \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}} \setminus Oy^+} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}} \setminus Oy^+} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy \leq c\varepsilon\|v\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$s_{\theta'}(v, v) \geq s_{\theta}(v, v) - c\varepsilon \|v\|_s^2 = (1 - c\varepsilon)s_{\theta}(v, v) - c\varepsilon(1 - \Lambda_1(S_{\theta}))\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2.$$

The expression on the right-hand side is the sesquilinear form for the self-adjoint operator $(1 - c\varepsilon)S_{\theta} - c\varepsilon(1 - \Lambda_1(S_{\theta}))$, and the min-max principle implies the inequality $\Lambda_n(S_{\theta'}) \geq (1 - c\varepsilon)\Lambda_n(S_{\theta}) - c\varepsilon(1 - \Lambda_1(S_{\theta}))$. Analogously one obtains the upper bound $\Lambda_n(S_{\theta'}) \leq (1 + c\varepsilon)\Lambda_n(S_{\theta}) + c\varepsilon(1 - \Lambda_1(S_{\theta}))$, so altogether one arrives at

$$|\Lambda_n(S_{\theta'}) - \Lambda_n(S_{\theta})| \leq c\varepsilon \left(|\Lambda_n(S_{\theta})| + |\Lambda_1(S_{\theta})| + 1 \right) \text{ as } |\theta' - \theta| < \delta.$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small, this shows the continuity. \square

Corollary 18. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta_n \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ be such that H_{θ_n} has at least n discrete eigenvalues in $(-\infty, -4)$. Then H_{θ} has at least n discrete eigenvalues for all $\theta \in (0, \theta_n)$, and the function $(0, \theta_n) \ni \theta \mapsto E_n(H_{\theta})$ is strictly increasing and continuous.*

Proof. By Corollary 16 we have $\Lambda_n(H_{\theta}) < -4$ iff $\Lambda_n(S_{\theta}) < -4$, and then $\Lambda_n(S_{\theta}) = \Lambda_n(H_{\theta})$ for all such n .

The initial assumption means $\Lambda_n(H_{\theta_n}) < -4$, i.e. $\Lambda_n(S_{\theta_n}) < -4$. By Lemma 17 it follows that $\Lambda_n(S_{\theta}) \leq \Lambda_n(S_{\theta_n}) < -4$ for all $\theta \in (0, \theta_n)$, hence $\Lambda_n(H_{\theta}) = \Lambda_n(S_{\theta}) < -4 = \inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} H_{\theta}$ for the same θ , showing that H_{θ} has at least n eigenvalues $E_j(H_{\theta}) = \Lambda_j(H_{\theta}) = \Lambda_j(S_{\theta})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The non-strict monotonicity and continuity follow from Lemma 17. It remains to show the strict monotonicity of $\theta \mapsto \Lambda_n(S_{\theta}) \equiv E_n(S_{\theta})$.

Let $\theta, \theta' \in (0, \theta_n)$ with $\theta < \theta'$, then $\kappa := \tan^2 \theta' - \tan^2 \theta > 0$, and for any $u \in D(s_{\theta}) \equiv D(s_{\theta'})$ there holds

$$s_{\theta}(v, v) = s_{\theta'}(v, v) - \kappa \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy.$$

Let w_1, \dots, w_n be an orthonormal family of eigenvectors of $S_{\theta'}$ for the eigenvalues $E_1(S_{\theta'}), \dots, E_n(S_{\theta'})$ and $W_n := \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$, then for any $v \in W_n$ one has $s_{\theta'}(v, v) \leq E_n(S_{\theta'})\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2$. As W_n is an n -dimensional subspace of $D(s_{\theta})$, due to the min-max principle we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(S_{\theta}) &\leq \sup \left\{ s_{\theta}(v, v) : v \in W_n, \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ s_{\theta'}(v, v) - \kappa \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy : v \in W_n, \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ s_{\theta'}(v, v) : v \in W_n, \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &\quad - \kappa \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy : v \in W_n, \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2 = 1 \right\} \\ &\leq E_n(S_{\theta'}) - \kappa a, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } a := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy : v \in W_n, \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly, $a \geq 0$. Assume that $a = 0$. As the unit sphere of W_n is compact, the infimum in a is attained at some $v \in W_n$ with $\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}})}^2 = 1$, and then

$$\int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}} |\partial_y v|^2 dx dy = 0,$$

which implies that $\partial_y v = 0$. So v takes the form $v(x, y) = w(x)$ for some function w , i.e. v only depends on the first variable. Since for any $b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $(-\infty, b) \times (b, \infty) \subset \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$, there has to hold

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{4}}} |v|^2 dx dy &\geq \int_b^\infty \int_{-\infty}^b |v|^2 dx dy \\ &= \int_b^\infty \int_{-\infty}^b |w(x)|^2 dx dy \geq \int_b^\infty \|w\|_{L^2(-\infty, b)}^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

But this shows that $\|w\|_{L^2(-\infty, b)}^2 = 0$ for any $b \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $w = 0$. But then $v = 0$, which contradicts the normalization of v . Hence, $a = 0$ is impossible: one has $a > 0$ and $E_n(S_\theta) \leq E_n(S_{\theta'}) - \kappa a < E_n(S_{\theta'})$. \square

5.2. Small angle asymptotics. Now we prove the remaining statement concerning the behavior of eigenvalues as the angle θ becomes small.

Lemma 19. *For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\theta_n > 0$ such that H_θ has at least n discrete eigenvalues for all $\theta \in (0, \theta_n)$, and there holds*

$$E_n(H_\theta) = -\frac{1}{(2n-1)^2\theta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (16)$$

Proof. We again decompose $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta$ in Ω_\pm as in (14), and for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denote $u_\pm := u|_{\Omega_\pm}$, then the expression for the sesquilinear form h_θ can be rewritten as

$$h_\theta(u, u) = \int_{\Omega_+} |\nabla u_+|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-} |\nabla u_-|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |u_+ - u_-|^2 d\sigma. \quad (17)$$

Consider the linear isometry

$$J : L^2(\Omega_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad Jv(x) = \begin{cases} v(x), & x \in \Omega_+, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $JH^1(\Omega_+) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_\theta) \equiv D(h_\theta)$, and for any $v \in H^1(\Omega_+)$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} h_\theta(Jv, Jv) &= \int_{\Omega_+} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |v|^2 d\sigma \\ &\equiv \int_{\Omega_+} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\partial\Omega_+} |v|^2 d\sigma \equiv q_\theta(v, v); \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

recall that the form q_θ and the respective Robin Laplacian Q_θ are defined in (2.4). Therefore, we have $H_\theta \leq Q_\theta^1$ using J , which implies

$$\Lambda_n(H_\theta) \leq \Lambda_n(Q_\theta) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \theta \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

As discussed in Subsection 2.4, for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds

$$E_n(Q_\theta) \equiv \Lambda_n(Q_\theta) = -\frac{1}{(2n-1)^2\theta^2} + O(1) \text{ for } \theta \rightarrow 0^+,$$

which implies the upper bound

$$\Lambda_n(H_\theta) \leq -\frac{1}{(2n-1)^2\theta^2} + O(1) \text{ for } \theta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

For small θ the right-hand side is clearly smaller than $\inf \text{spec}_{\text{ess}} H_\theta \equiv -4$, which implies $E_n(H_\theta) = \Lambda_n(H_\theta)$ and shows the sought upper bound for $E_n(H_\theta)$ in (16).

In order to obtain a lower bound for $\Lambda_n(H_\theta)$ we recall first that for arbitrary $a, b \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ one has

$$2ab = 2 \cdot \sqrt{\varepsilon}a \cdot \frac{b}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence, for arbitrary $u \in D(h_\theta)$ one has

$$|u_+ - u_-|^2 \leq |u_+|^2 + |u_-|^2 + 2|u_+u_-| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)|u_+|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)|u_-|^2,$$

and the substitution into (17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} h_\theta(u, u) &\geq \int_{\Omega_+} |\nabla u_+|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-} |\nabla u_-|^2 dx \\ &\quad - (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |u_+|^2 d\sigma - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |u_-|^2 d\sigma \\ &= q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}(u_+, u_+) - r(u_-, u_-), \end{aligned}$$

where $q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}$ and the associated operator $Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}$ are discussed in Subsection 2.4, and r is the closed, lower semibounded, symmetric sesquilinear form

$$r(v, v) = \int_{\Omega_-} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |v|^2 d\sigma, \quad D(r) = H^1(\Omega_-).$$

Let R be the self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega_-)$ associated with r , then the above estimates mean that $H_\theta \geq Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon} \oplus R$ using the unitary map

$$J' : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni u \mapsto (u_+, u_-) \in L^2(\Omega_+) \oplus L^2(\Omega_-),$$

which implies $\Lambda_n(H_\theta) \geq \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon} \oplus R)$ for any n and any θ . Remark that R is just a rotated version of $Q_{\pi-\theta}^{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$. Since, by the min-max principle, $\Lambda_1(Q_{\pi-\theta}^{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}) = \inf \text{spec } Q_{\pi-\theta}^{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}$ and $\pi - \theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$, we know from Subsection 2.4 that $\Lambda_1(Q_{\pi-\theta}^{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}) = -(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2$. It follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n(H_\theta) &\geq \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon} \oplus R) = \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon} \oplus Q_{\pi-\theta}^{1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}), -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed, then one has, as $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}) = (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^1) = (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \left[-\frac{1}{(2n-1)^2\theta^2} + O(1) \right],$$

where the $O(1)$ -term is independent of ε . We now set $\varepsilon := b_n\theta$ with $b_n > 0$ that will be chosen later, then the preceding estimate implies

$$\Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}) = -\frac{1}{(2n-1)^2\theta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

At the same time, choosing $b_n \in (0, 2n - 1)$ we arrive at

$$-\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 = -1 - \frac{2}{b_n \theta} - \frac{1}{b_n^2 \theta^2} > \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}) \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

By (19) it follows that for $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$ there holds

$$\Lambda_n(H_\theta) \geq \min \left\{ \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}), -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \right\} = \Lambda_n(Q_\theta^{1+\varepsilon}) = -\frac{1}{(2n-1)^2 \theta^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right).$$

We already know from the first part of the proof that $E_n(H_\theta) = \Lambda_n(H_\theta)$ for small θ . Hence, the last inequality gives the sought lower bound for $E_n(H_\theta)$ in (16) and completes the proof. \square

Corollary 20. *Let $\alpha < 0$. For any $M \geq 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a star graph Γ such that $T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$ has at least n discrete eigenvalues.*

Proof. Take $\theta > 0$ sufficiently small such that H_θ has n discrete eigenvalues (which is possible by Lemma 19). Construct Γ by adding arbitrary $M - 2$ additional branches to Γ_θ , then $T_{\Gamma, \alpha} \leq H_{\theta, \alpha}$ using the identity map (Lemma 4). As both operators have the same essential spectrum $[-4\alpha^2, +\infty)$, it follows by the min-max principle that $T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$ has at least n discrete eigenvalues in $(-\infty, -4\alpha^2)$. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF $\text{spec } T_{\Gamma, \alpha} = [0, \infty)$ FOR $\alpha \geq 0$

In this section we want to show that $\text{spec } T_{\Gamma, \alpha} = [0, \infty)$ in the case of a “repulsive interaction” ($\alpha \geq 0$).

Since $t_{\Gamma, \alpha}(u, u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma)$, we have $T_{\Gamma, \alpha} \geq 0$ and $\text{spec } T_{\Gamma, \alpha} \subset [0, \infty)$. For the reverse inclusion we make use of Weyl sequences. The set $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$ contains arbitrary large balls, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $a_n \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$ such that $B_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x - a_n| < n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma$. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Pick $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \leq 0$, $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and consider the functions

$$f_n : x = (x_1, x_2) \mapsto e^{ikx_1} \chi(|x - a_n| - (n - 1)) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma).$$

One has $f_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma) \subset D(T_{\Gamma, \alpha})$ with $T_{\Gamma, \alpha} f_n = -\Delta f_n$. Due to $|f_n(x)| = 1$ for $|x - a_n| \leq n - 1$ one obtains

$$\|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \geq \pi(n - 1)^2. \quad (20)$$

Using the abbreviation $(\dots) := (|x - a_n| - (n - 1))$ we compute

$$-\Delta f_n = k^2 f_n - 2\nabla e^{ikx_1} \cdot \nabla \chi(\dots) - e^{ikx_1} \Delta \chi(\dots),$$

which leads to $(T_{\Gamma, \alpha} - k^2)f_n = -2\nabla e^{ikx_1} \cdot \nabla \chi(\dots) - e^{ikx_1} \Delta \chi(\dots)$. From this expression one immediately sees that

$$\|(T_{\Gamma, \alpha} - k^2)f_n\|_\infty \leq C := 2k\|\nabla \chi\|_\infty + \|\Delta \chi\|_\infty,$$

$$\text{supp}(T_{\Gamma, \alpha} - k^2)f_n \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : n - 1 \leq |x - a_n| \leq n - \frac{1}{2}\},$$

which implies $\|(T_{\Gamma, \alpha} - k^2)f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq C^2 \pi \left((n - \frac{1}{2})^2 - (n - 1)^2 \right) \equiv C^2 \pi \left(n - \frac{3}{4} \right)$. By combining this with (20) one arrives at

$$\frac{\|(T_{\Gamma, \alpha} - k^2)f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2}{\|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2} \leq \frac{C^2 \pi \left(n - \frac{3}{4} \right)}{\pi(n - 1)^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which shows $k^2 \in \text{spec } T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$. As $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary, one obtains the inclusion $[0, \infty) \subset \text{spec } T_{\Gamma, \alpha}$.

Therefore, we have proved that $\text{spec } T_{\Gamma, \alpha} = [0, \infty)$. As each non-isolated point of the spectrum belongs to the essential spectrum, we arrive at the equalities $\text{spec}_{\text{ess}} T_{\Gamma, \alpha} = \text{spec } T_{\Gamma, \alpha} = [0, \infty)$ and $\text{spec}_{\text{disc}} T_{\Gamma, \alpha} = \emptyset$.

Remark 21. The approach works in much more general situations. Namely, if Ω is an open set and T is a linear operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that (i) Ω contains a sequence of balls $B_{r_n} \subset \Omega$ with radii r_n such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \infty$, and (ii) for each n one has the inclusion $C_c^\infty(B_{r_n}) \subset D(T)$ and for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_{r_n})$ one has $T\varphi = -\Delta\varphi$, then the identical argument shows that $[0, \infty) \subset \text{spec } T$.

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF $f_n \in D(T_\Gamma)$

We want to show that the functions f_n of the Weyl sequence from (11) belong to $D(T_\Gamma)$ if n is sufficiently large. Recall that this is equivalent to the existence of suitable $F_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $t_\Gamma(u, f_n) = \langle u, F_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma)$, and in that case $F_n = T_\Gamma f_n$, see [10, Ch. VI, §2].

First remark that $f_n \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cup \mathbb{R}_-^2)$ and that due to the the properties of the eigenfunctions ψ_1 we have

$$\partial_y f_n^+(x, 0) = \partial_y f_n^-(x, 0), \quad f_n^+(x, 0) - f_n^-(x, 0) = -\partial_y f_n^+(x, 0), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (21)$$

Recall that $\text{supp } f_n \subset [n, 2n] \times [-an, an]$ and $a > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small, e.g. $a < \min_j |\tan \varphi_j|$, then $\text{supp } f_n$ only touches the branch of Γ lying on the positive x -axis if n is large. Let $\theta_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\theta_n = 1$ in $[n-1, 2n+1] \times [-an-1, an+1]$ and $\text{supp } \theta_n \subset [n-2, 2n+2] \times [-an-2, an+2]$. For any $u \in D(t_\Gamma)$ one has $u_n := \theta_n u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cup \mathbb{R}_-^2)$ for large n and u_n coincides with u in a neighborhood of $\text{supp } f_n$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} t_\Gamma(u, f_n) &= t_\Gamma(u_n, f_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma} \langle \nabla u_n, \nabla f_n \rangle dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty (\overline{u_n^+} - \overline{u_n^-})(x, 0) (f_n^+ - f_n^-)(x, 0) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \langle \nabla u_n^+, \nabla f_n^+ \rangle dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}_-^2} \langle \nabla u_n^-, \nabla f_n^- \rangle dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\overline{u_n^+} - \overline{u_n^-})(x, 0) (f_n^+ - f_n^-)(x, 0) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where u_n^\pm are the restrictions of u_n on the half-planes $\{\pm y > 0\}$.

Denote by ∂_\pm the outer normal derivative for \mathbb{R}_\pm^2 and remark that for arbitrary functions g on \mathbb{R}_\pm^2 one has $(\partial_+ g)(x, 0) = -\partial_y g(x, 0)$ and $(\partial_- g)(x, 0) = \partial_y g(x, 0)$. Using the integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \langle \nabla u_n^+, \nabla f_n^+ \rangle dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \overline{u_n^+} (-\Delta f_n^+) dx dy + \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2} \overline{u_n^+} \partial_+ f_n^+ d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \overline{u_n^+} (-\Delta f_n^+) dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{u_n^+}(x, 0) \partial_y f_n^+(x, 0) dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_-^2} \langle \nabla u_n^-, \nabla f_n^- \rangle dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_-^2} \overline{u_n^-} (-\Delta f_n^-) dx dy + \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_-^2} \overline{u_n^-} \partial_- f_n^- d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_-^2} \overline{u_n^-} (-\Delta f_n^-) dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{u_n^-}(x, 0) \partial_y f_n^-(x, 0) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Define F_n by $F_n(x, y) = -\Delta f_n^\pm(x, y)$ for $\pm y > 0$, then the substitution of (23) into (22) gives, with the help of (21),

$$\begin{aligned} t_\Gamma(u, f_n) &= \langle u_n, F_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\overline{u_n^+} - \overline{u_n^-})(x, 0) (f_n^+ - f_n^-)(x, 0) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{u_n^+}(x, 0) \partial_y f_n^+(x, 0) dx + \int_0^\infty \overline{u_n^-}(x, 0) \partial_y f_n^+(x, 0) dx \\ &= \langle u_n, F_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} - \int_0^\infty (\overline{u_n^+} - \overline{u_n^-})(x, 0) (f_n^+ - f_n^-)(x, 0) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\overline{u_n^+} - \overline{u_n^-})(x, 0) \partial_y f_n^+(x, 0) dx \\ &= \langle u_n, F_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} - \int_0^\infty (\overline{u_n^+} - \overline{u_n^-})(x, 0) (f_n^+ - f_n^- + \partial_y f_n^+)(x, 0) dx \\ &= \langle u_n, F_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \langle u, F_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we have used that $u = u_n$ on $\text{supp } F_n$. This shows that $f_n \in D(T_\Gamma)$ with $T_\Gamma f_n = F_n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, H. Holden: *Solvable models in quantum mechanics*. With an Appendix by P. Exner. 2nd ed. AMS, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [2] J. Behrndt, P. Exner, V. Lotoreichik: *Schrödinger operators with δ and δ' -interactions on Lipschitz surfaces and chromatic numbers of associated partitions* Rev. Math. Phys. **26** (2014) 1450015.
- [3] J. Behrndt, M. Langer, V. Lotoreichik: *Schrödinger operators with δ and δ' -potentials supported on hypersurfaces*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), 385–423.
- [4] P. Exner: *Leaky quantum graphs: a review*. P. Exner, J. P. Keating, P. Kuchment, T. Sunada, A. Teplyaev (Eds): *Analysis on graphs and its applications* (Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 77, AMS, 2008) 523–564.
- [5] P. Exner, T. Ichinose: *Geometrically induced spectrum in curved leaky wires*. J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 1439–1450.
- [6] P. Exner, H. Neidhardt, V. Zagrebnoy: *Potential approximations to δ' : an inverse Klauder phenomenon with norm-resolvent convergence*. Commun. Math. Phys. **224** (2001) 593–612.
- [7] P. Exner, K. Němcová: *Leaky quantum graphs: approximations by point interaction Hamiltonians*. J. Phys. A **36** (2003) 10173–10193.
- [8] P. Exner, J. Rohleder: *Generalized interactions supported on hypersurfaces*. J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016) 041507.
- [9] I. M. Glazman: *Direct methods of qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential operators*. Israel Program Sci. Transl., Jerusalem, 1965.
- [10] T. Kato: *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Reprint of the 1980 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [11] M. Khalile, K. Pankrashkin: *Eigenvalues of Robin Laplacians in infinite sectors*. Math. Nachr. **291** (2018) 928–965.
- [12] M. Levitin, L. Parnowski: *On the principal eigenvalue of a Robin problem with a large parameter*. Math. Nachr. **281** (2008) 272–281.
- [13] V. Lotoreichik: *Note on 2D Schrödinger operators with δ -interactions on angles and crossing lines*. Nanosyst. Phys. Chem. Math. **4** (2013) 1–7.

- [14] V. Lotoreichik: *Spectral isoperimetric inequality for the δ' -interaction on a contour*. A. Michelangeli (Ed.): *Mathematical challenges of zero-range physics* (Springer INdAM Series, vol. 42, Springer, Cham, 2021) 215–227.
- [15] M. A. Lyalinov: *A comment on eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in an angle with Robin boundary conditions*. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **252** (2021) 646–653.
- [16] K. Pankrashkin: *Variational proof of the existence of eigenvalues for star graphs*. J. Dittrich, H. Kovařík, A. Laptev (Eds.): *Functional analysis and operator theory for quantum physics. Pavel Exner anniversary volume* (EMS Series of Congress Reports, vol. 12, 2017) 447–458.
- [17] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1978.

CARL VON OSSIETZKY UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 26111 OLDENBURG, GERMANY

Email address: `konstantin.pankrashkin@uol.de`

CARL VON OSSIETZKY UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 26111 OLDENBURG, GERMANY

Email address: `marco.vogel@uol.de`