

# THE STRUCTURE OF HOMOGENEOUS RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS WITH NULLITY

ANTONIO J. DI SCALA, CARLOS E. OLMOS, AND FRANCISCO VITTONI

**ABSTRACT.** We find new conditions that the existence of nullity of the curvature tensor of an irreducible homogeneous space  $M = G/H$  imposes on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  and on the Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  of the full isometry group of  $M$ . Namely, we prove that there exists a transvection of  $M$  in the direction of any element of the nullity, possibly by enlarging the presentation group  $G$ . Moreover, we prove that these transvections generate an abelian ideal of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . These results constitute a substantial improvement on the structure theory developed in [DOV]. In addition we construct examples of homogeneous Riemannian spaces with non-trivial nullity, where  $G$  is a non-solvable group, answering a natural open question. Such examples admit (locally homogeneous) compact quotients. In the case of co-nullity 3 we give an explicit description of the isometry group of any homogeneous locally irreducible Riemannian manifold with nullity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper [DOV] we developed a general theory for the structure of irreducible homogeneous spaces  $M = G/H$  in relation to the nullity distribution  $\nu$  associated to the curvature tensor  $R$ . The nullity subspace of  $M$  at  $p$  is defined as

$$\nu_p = \{v \in T_p M : R_{\cdot, \cdot} v = 0\}.$$

Since  $M$  is homogeneous, all these subspaces have the same dimension, called the *index of nullity* of  $M$ . The assignment  $p \mapsto \nu_p$  defines an autoparallel distribution, with flat totally geodesic integral manifolds, called the *nullity distribution* or simply the *nullity* of  $M$ . The manifold  $M$  is said to have *trivial nullity* if either  $\nu = \{0\}$  or  $\nu$  is the tangent space of a local de Rham flat factor of  $M$  (i.e.,  $\nu$  is a parallel distribution with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ ).

The theory developed in [DOV] allowed us to prove some interesting results. On the one hand, we showed that if  $M = G/H$  is a simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold without Euclidean de Rham factor such that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  is reductive (in particular, if  $M$  is compact) or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is 2-step nilpotent, then

---

*Date:* July 6, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C30; Secondary 53C40.

A. J. Di Scala is member of CrypTO, GNSAGA of INdAM and of DISMA Dipartimento di Eccellenza MIUR 2018-2022; C. E. Olmos was supported by Famaf-UNC and CIEM-Conicet; F. Vittone was supported by UNR and Conicet.

$\nu$  must be trivial (cf. [DOV, Proposition C]). On the other hand, we were able to construct the first known examples of irreducible homogeneous spaces with non-trivial nullity. All these examples are solvmanifolds which do not admit compact quotients. Moreover, we showed in part (4) of [DOV, Theorem A] that if the *co-nullity* (i.e. the co-dimension of the nullity  $\nu$ ) is  $k = 3$ , then the presentation group  $G$  must be solvable. However, as we pointed out in the Introduction of [DOV], we did not know of any example of an irreducible simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold  $M = G/H$  with positive index of nullity such that either  $H$  is non-trivial or  $G$  is non-solvable. In this paper we answer the second question by constructing examples of Riemannian homogeneous spaces with non-trivial nullity and non-solvable presentation group. Such examples admit compact (locally homogeneous) quotients (see Section 6).

The main goal of the paper is to revisit the structure theory developed in [DOV] and substantially improve it. Let  $M = G/K$  be a locally irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifold with non-trivial nullity  $\nu$ . One can consider some natural distributions associated to  $\nu$ . Namely,  $\nu^{(1)}, \nu^{(2)}, \hat{\nu}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ .

The distributions  $\nu^{(1)}$  and  $\nu^{(2)}$  are called the *osculating distributions* of order 1 and 2 of  $\nu$ , respectively. If one puts  $\nu^{(0)} = \nu$ , then  $\nu^{(i+1)}$  is obtained by adding to  $\nu^{(i)}$  the covariant derivative, in any direction, of fields that lie in  $\nu^{(i)}$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). The distribution  $\nu^{(1)}$  can, in turn, be decomposed as

$$\nu^{(1)} = \nu + \hat{\nu}$$

where  $\hat{\nu}_p$  is the linear span of  $\{\nabla_{\nu_p} Z\}$  with  $Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ , the space of Killing fields of  $M$  whose flow belong to  $G$  (see equation 2.8). The distribution  $\hat{\nu}$  is called the *adapted distribution* of  $\nu$ .

Finally, the distribution  $\mathcal{U}$ , called the *bounded distribution*. Namely,  $\mathcal{U}_p$  consists of the directions of the Killing fields in  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  whose covariant derivatives along the leaf of nullity  $N(p)$  lie in  $\nu$  (see equation(3.1)).

All these distributions are  $G$ -invariant and verify the following inclusions (see [DOV, Theorem A (1)]):

$$\{0\} \subsetneq \nu \subsetneq \nu^{(1)} \subsetneq \nu^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{U} \subsetneq TM.$$

This imposes a first important restriction: if  $M$  has non-trivial nullity, then the co-nullity must be at least 3.

Another important consequence of a non-trivial nullity is the existence of the so-called *adapted transvections*. These are transvections induced by  $G$  (i.e. elements of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  such that  $(\nabla X)_p = 0$ ) whose initial condition lie in  $\hat{\nu}$ . In [DOV, Theorem A (2)] it was proven that for each  $v \in \hat{\nu}_p$  there exist an adapted transvection  $Y$  with  $Y_p = v$  such that the Jacobi operator  $R_{\cdot, \cdot} v = 0$ ,  $[Y, [Y, \mathcal{K}(M)]] = 0$  and  $Y$  does not belong to the center of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  (where  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  denotes the space of Killing fields of

$M$ ). The existence of an adapted transvection  $Y$  of order two, i.e.  $ad_Y^2 = 0$ , plays a crucial role in the construction of the examples of [DOV, Section9]).

In this paper we further examine the restrictions that the existence of nullity imposes on the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{K}(M)$ . In particular, we prove that there exists a transvection, that might not belong to  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$ , in the direction of any element of  $\nu$ . Moreover, we prove that these transvections generate an abelian ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathcal{K}(M)$ . Our main structure result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M = G/H$  be a simply connected irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifold with a non-trivial nullity distribution  $\nu$ , let  $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G) \simeq \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = Lie(I(M)) \simeq \mathcal{K}(M)$ . Then*

- 1) *For any  $p \in M$ ,  $v \in \nu_p$  there exists a transvection with initial condition  $v$  (possibly, not in  $\mathfrak{g}$ ).*
- 2) *Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the vector space of transvections at  $p$  with initial condition in  $\nu_p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}' := \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p + \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  which does not depend on  $p \in M$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ .*
- 3) *The set  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  generates an abelian ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{a}$  does not depend on  $p \in M$  and coincides with the ideal generated by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  in  $\mathfrak{g}'$ .*
- 4) *The integrable distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $M$ , given by  $\mathcal{D}_q = \mathfrak{a} \cdot q$ , contains  $\nu^{(2)}$ . Moreover,  $\nu$  and  $\nu^{(1)}$  are parallel along any orbit  $\bar{A} \cdot q$ , where  $\bar{A}$  is the closure in  $I(M)$  of the Lie group associated to  $\mathfrak{a}$ .*

Part (2) and (3) of the above theorem explains why the adapted transvections of [DOV, Theorem A (2)] have order two. In fact, any adapted transvection can be obtained as the Lie bracket of a transvection in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  and a Killing field in  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  (see Remark 5.1). Hence the subspace of adapted transvections is contained in the abelian ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Moreover, since the adapted transvections cannot lie in the center of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$ , the existence of the abelian ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  implies that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  cannot be reductive. This gives a conceptual much simpler proof of [DOV, Proposition C].

The results of Theorem 1.1 also allow us to improve part (4) of Theorem A of [DOV]. In fact, we obtain the following explicit description of the Lie group  $G$  in case of co-nullity  $k = 3$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $M^n = G/H$  be a simply connected irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifold of co-nullity 3, where  $G$  is connected ( $n > 3$ ). Then  $H$  is trivial and  $G = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ .*

Observe that the projection from  $M$  to the quotient  $\bar{A} \backslash M$  by the orbits of  $\bar{A}$  is a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian submersion with intrinsically flat fibers.

Finally, we give an explicit way to construct non-solvable examples. Namely:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $K$  be a simply connected compact simple Lie group and let  $\rho : K \rightarrow SO_n$  be an irreducible orthogonal representation. Let  $\mathbb{V}_0$  be a non-trivial*

vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0)(1 + \dim(K)) < n$ . Then there exists a left invariant metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_{\rho} K$  such that  $M = (G, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an irreducible Riemannian manifold and the nullity subspace  $\nu_e$  contains  $\mathbb{V}_0$  (and hence has dimension at least  $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0)$ ). In particular, the quotient  $N = \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash M$  of  $M$  by the orbits of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is a compact, locally irreducible, non-homogeneous but locally homogeneous Riemannian manifold with a non-trivial nullity distribution.

Note that for any simply connected and simple compact Lie group  $K$  there exists a representation  $\rho : K \rightarrow SO_n$  for arbitrary large  $n$ . The manifold  $M$  given by the above theorem is homotopic to a compact simply connected Lie group and thus it admits no solvable transitive group of isometries.

Observe that, as we mentioned before, any compact locally irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifold has a trivial nullity distribution ([DOV, Proposition C]). Then the compact manifold  $N = \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash M$ , given by the above theorem, shows that the assumption of homogeneity can not be replaced by local homogeneity. This also answers a natural question (other examples of compact locally homogeneous spaces with non-trivial nullity were found in [GG]).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce some basic facts which will be needed in the rest of the paper. For further details we refer to [DOV]. Let  $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a (connected) complete Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . A vector field  $X$  of  $M$  is called a *Killing field* if  $(\nabla \cdot X)_p$  is a skew-symmetric endomorphisms of  $T_p M$ , for all  $p \in M$ . Such a condition, the so-called *Killing equation*, is equivalent to the fact that the flow  $\phi_t$  of  $X$  is by isometries. The Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(I(M))$  of the group  $I(M)$  of isometries of  $M$  is naturally identified with the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  of Killing fields of  $M$ . Namely,  $u \in \text{Lie}(I(M)) = T_e(I(M))$  induces the Killing field  $\tilde{u}$  defined by  $\tilde{u}_p = \frac{d}{dt}_0 \text{Exp}(tu) \cdot p$  and the map  $u \mapsto \tilde{u}$  is a linear isomorphism. Moreover,  $[u, w] = -[\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}]$  since  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{w}$  are naturally identified with the right invariant fields of  $I(M)$  with initial conditions  $u$  and  $w$ , respectively.

Let  $G$  act by isometries on  $M$ . The Killing fields of  $M$  induced by elements in the Lie algebra of  $G$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  (briefly, the Killing fields induced by  $G$ ). If the action of  $G$  on  $M$  is not almost effective, then there exist non-zero elements  $z \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tilde{z} = 0$ .

Let  $X \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ . The initial conditions of  $X$  at  $p \in M$  are given by the pair

$$(X)^p := (X_p, (\nabla X)_p) \in T_p M \oplus \Lambda^2(T_p M).$$

These conditions completely determine the Killing field  $X$ , in the sense that two Killing fields with the same initial conditions at some point  $p$  must coincide on  $M$ . A Killing field is called a *transvection* at  $p$  if its initial conditions at  $p$  are  $(X)^p := (X_p, 0)$ , i.e., if  $(\nabla X)_p = 0$ .

A Killing field  $X$  satisfies, besides the Killing equation, the following identity, for all  $p \in M$ ,  $u, v \in T_p M$

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_{u,v}^2 X = R_{u,X_p} v \quad \text{affine Killing equation.}$$

where  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M$ . The affine Killing equation reflects the fact that the flow of  $X$  preserves the Levi-Civita connection.

From the affine Killing equation and the Bianchi identity one can determine the initial conditions at  $p$  of the bracket  $[X, X']$  of two Killing fields in terms of the initial conditions  $(X)^p = (v, B)$ ,  $(X')^p = (v', B')$ . Namely,

$$(2.2) \quad ([X, X'])^p = (B'(v) - B(v'), R_{v,v'} - [B, B']).$$

This equation gives a useful formula for computing the curvature in terms of the Killing fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Namely:

$$(2.3) \quad R_{X_p, Y_p} = (\nabla[X, Y])_p + [(\nabla X)_p, (\nabla Y)_p]$$

The so-called Koszul formula gives the Levi-Civita connection in terms of brackets of vector fields and scalar products. From the fact that the Lie derivative of the metric tensor along any Killing vector field is zero one has the following useful formula (see e.g. (3.4) of [ORT]):

$$(2.4) \quad 2\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle + \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle + \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle.$$

Let  $X$  be a Killing field and let  $\phi_t(q)$  be its associated flow. Let  $p \in M$  and let  $c(t) = \phi_t(p)$  be the integral curve of  $X$  by  $p$ . Let  $\tau_t$  denote the parallel transport along  $c(t)$ , from 0 to  $t$ . Then  $\tau_t^{-1} \circ d\phi_t : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$  is a 1-parameter subgroup of linear isometries. Moreover, (see e.g. Remark 2.3 of [OS])

$$(2.5) \quad \tau_t^{-1} \circ d_p \phi_t = e^{t(\nabla X)_p}$$

or equivalently

$$(2.6) \quad \tau_t = d_p \phi_t \circ e^{-t(\nabla X)_p}.$$

**Remark 2.1.** (cf. [DOV, Remark 2.3]). *Let  $X \in \mathcal{K}(M)$  and let  $T \subset SO(T_p M)$  be the torus given by the closure of  $\{e^{t(\nabla X)_p} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then for any given  $d \in T$  there is a sequence of real numbers  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which tends to  $+\infty$  and such that  $\tau_{t_n}^{-1} \circ d_p \phi_{t_n} = e^{t_n(\nabla X)_p}$  tends to  $d$ . In particular, for  $d = e^{s_0(\nabla X)_p}$ , where  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary.*

The nullity of the curvature tensor  $R$  at  $p \in M$  is the subspace  $\nu_p$  of  $T_p M$  defined as

$$\nu_p := \{v \in T_p M : R_{v,x} = 0, \forall x \in T_p M\}$$

or, equivalently, from the identities of the curvature tensor,

$$\nu_p := \{v \in T_p M : R_{x,y} v = 0, \forall x, y \in T_p M\}.$$

The map  $\nu : p \mapsto \nu_p$  defines an autoparallel distribution in the open and dense subset  $\Omega$  of  $M$  where the dimension  $\dim(\nu_q)$  is locally constant, called the *nullity distribution* of  $M$ . If  $M$  is homogeneous, then  $\nu$  is a distribution in  $M$  and one has:

**Theorem 2.2** (cf. [DOV] Theorem C). *If  $M$  is a homogeneous compact Riemannian manifold with no Euclidean local de Rham factor, then its nullity distribution is trivial.*

We recall Lemma 2.8 of [DOV]:

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold. Let  $\gamma_v(t)$  be a geodesic with  $\gamma_v(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'_v(0) = v$  and such that  $\gamma'_v(t)$  belongs to the nullity subspace  $\nu_{\gamma_v(t)}$  for every  $t$  (if  $\nu$  is a distribution, then this is equivalent to the fact that  $v \in \nu_p$ ). Denote by  $\tau_t$  the parallel transport along  $\gamma_v(t)$  from 0 to  $t$ . If  $Z$  is an arbitrary Killing field on  $M$ , then*

- (i)  $Z_{\gamma_v(t)} = \tau_t(Z_p) + t\tau_t(\nabla_v Z)$ .
- (ii)  $\nabla_{\gamma'_v(t)}(\nabla Z) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\nabla Z$  is parallel along  $\gamma_v(t)$ , or equivalently

$$(\nabla Z)_{\gamma_v(t)} = \tau_t((\nabla Z)_p) := \tau_t \circ (\nabla Z)_p \circ \tau_t^{-1}.$$

Let  $M = G/H$  be a simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold without Euclidean de Rham factor and assume that its nullity distribution  $\nu$  is non-trivial. Observe that  $\nu$  is not a parallel distribution, otherwise  $M$  would split off a Euclidean factor. In addition, in this case, a non-trivial Killing field cannot be always tangent to the nullity distribution ([DOV, Proposition 3.19]).

For a  $G$ -invariant distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $M$ , consider the *osculating distribution*  $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_q^{(1)} := \mathcal{D}_q + \text{span} \{ \nabla_w X : X \in C^\infty(\mathcal{D}), w \in T_q M \}$$

where  $C^\infty(\mathcal{D})$  denotes the tangent fields of  $M$  that lie in  $\mathcal{D}$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}$  is a  $G$ -invariant distribution of  $M$  such that  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^{(1)}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is not parallel, then  $\mathcal{D}^{(1)}$  properly contains  $\mathcal{D}$ . One has that (cf. [DOV, Lemma 3.1])

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{D}^{(1)} = \mathcal{D} + \hat{\mathcal{D}}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_q := \{ \nabla_v Z : Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M), v \in \mathcal{D}_q \}.$$

$\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  is called the *adapted* distribution of  $\mathcal{D}$ . The adapted distribution might depend on the presentation group  $G$ , but the higher order osculating distributions  $\mathcal{D}^{(k)} := (\mathcal{D}^{(k-1)})^{(1)}$  (where  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} = \mathcal{D}$ ) do not depend on  $G$ .

Let  $\nu^{(1)}$  be the osculating distribution of the nullity distribution and set  $\nu^{(2)} := (\nu^{(1)})^{(1)}$ , called the second order osculating distribution of  $\nu$ . Both  $\nu^{(1)}$  and  $\nu^{(2)}$  are

$G$ -invariant distributions. Since  $\nu$  is not parallel,  $\nu$  is properly contained in  $\nu^{(1)}$ . So one has that

$$(2.8) \quad \nu_q^{(1)} = \nu_q + \hat{\nu}_q = \nu_q + \text{span} \{ \nabla_v Z : Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M), v \in \nu_q \}$$

and one can describe  $\nu^{(2)}$  as

$$(2.9) \quad \nu^{(2)} = \nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(1)} = \nu + \hat{\nu} + \hat{\nu}.$$

where  $\hat{\nu} = \text{span} \{ \nabla_v Z : Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M), v \in \hat{\nu}_q \}$  (cf. [DOV, Formula 5.0.2]).

The osculating distributions of  $\nu$  have the following properties:

**Lemma 2.4** ([DOV] Theorem A and Corollary 3.13). *Let  $M$  be a Riemannian homogeneous manifold which does not split off a local Euclidean de Rham factor and with non-trivial nullity distribution  $\nu$ . Then:*

- (1)  $\nu$  and  $\nu^{(1)}$  are autoparallel distributions and  $\nu \subsetneq \nu^{(1)} \subsetneq \nu^{(2)} \subsetneq TM$ . In particular, the co-dimension of  $\nu$  is at least 3.
- (2) For any  $v \in \hat{\nu}_q$  there exists a transvection  $Y$  of  $M$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and such that  $Y_p = v$  (such a transvection is called an adapted transvection). Moreover, the Jacobi operator  $R_{\cdot, v}v$  is null,

$$[Y, [Y, \mathcal{K}(M)]] = \{0\}$$

and  $[Y, \mathcal{K}^G(M)] \neq \{0\}$ , i.e  $Y$  does not belong to the center of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$ .

Observe that if  $X$  is a transvection at  $p$ , since  $\nabla$  is torsion free,

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla_{X_p} Z = [X, Z]_p.$$

Hence, from property (2) of Lemma 2.4 one gets that

$$(2.11) \quad \hat{\nu}_p = \{ [X, Z]_p : Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M), X \text{ is an adapted transvection at } p \}.$$

### 3. THE IDEAL $\mathfrak{u}_0$

We keep the notation of Section 2. Let  $M = G/H$  be a simply connected Riemannian homogeneous manifold without Euclidean de Rham factor and assume that the nullity distribution  $\nu$  of  $M$  is non-trivial.

The *bounded algebra of  $M$  at  $p$*  is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{u}^p := \{ Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) : \nabla_{\nu_p} Z \subset \nu_p \}.$$

From formula (2.2) one obtains that  $\mathfrak{u}^p$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  (see [DOV, Section 5]) which verifies that for every  $g \in G$ ,

$$\mathfrak{u}^{g^p} = \text{Ad}_g \mathfrak{u}^p.$$

So  $\mathcal{U}_p = \mathfrak{u}^p \cdot p$  defines an integrable distribution. Namely, the integral manifold of  $\mathcal{U}$  by  $p$  is the orbit by  $p$  of the Lie group associated to  $\mathfrak{u}^p$ . The distribution  $\mathcal{U}$  is

the so-called *bounded distribution*. It contains the first two osculating distributions ([DOV, Theorem A]). More precisely, one has that

$$(3.2) \quad \nu \subsetneq \nu^{(1)} \subsetneq \nu^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{U} \subsetneq TM.$$

Observe that any transvection at  $p$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{u}^p$ . In particular,

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{tr}^p := \{X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) : X \text{ is a transvection at } p \text{ with } X_p \in \nu_p^{(1)}\} \subset \mathfrak{u}^p.$$

Moreover, by [DOV, Remark 3.10] one has that

$$(3.4) \quad R_{\mathfrak{tr}^p, \mathfrak{u}^p} = 0$$

Then, from (2.2), it follows that  $\mathfrak{tr}^p$  is an abelian Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{u}^p$ .

Observe that since  $\hat{\nu}_p \subset \nu_p^{(1)}$ , from item (2) of Lemma 2.4 one gets that for each  $u, v \in \hat{\nu}_p$  there exist transvections  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{tr}^p$  such that  $X_p = u$ ,  $Y_p = v$ . So by (3.4)  $R_{u,v} = R_{X_p, Y_p} = 0$ , i.e.,

$$(3.5) \quad R_{\hat{\nu}_p, \hat{\nu}_p} = 0.$$

**Remark 3.1.** *From (2.8) and Lemma 2.4, any  $X \in \mathfrak{tr}^p$  is the sum of an adapted transvection at  $p$  (i.e., a transvection whose value at  $p$  belongs to  $\hat{\nu}_p$ ) and a transvection tangent to the nullity at  $p$ . But we do not know whether there exists a transvection in any direction of  $\nu_p$ . We will prove this fact in the next section (but such a transvection might not belong to  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$ ).*

**Remark 3.2.** *From Lemma 2.4 one has that  $R_{\cdot, X_p} X_p = 0$ , for all  $X \in \mathfrak{tr}^p$ . Polarizing this formula one gets that  $R_{\cdot, X_p} Y_p + R_{\cdot, Y_p} X_p = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{tr}^p$ . Note that from Bianchi identity  $R_{\cdot, X_p} Y_p - R_{\cdot, Y_p} X_p = -R_{X_p, Y_p} \cdot = 0$  (see (3.4)). Then, for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{tr}^p$ ,*

$$R_{\cdot, X_p} Y_p = 0.$$

Let

$$(3.6) \quad \mathfrak{u}_0^p := \{Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) : \nabla_{\nu_p} Z = 0\}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \mathfrak{u}_{00}^p := \{Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) : \nabla_{\nu_p} Z = 0 \text{ and } \nabla_{\hat{\nu}_p} Z = 0\}.$$

Equivalently, by (2.8),

$$(3.8) \quad \mathfrak{u}_{00}^p := \{Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) : \nabla_{(\nu^{(1)})_p} Z = 0\}$$

Clearly,

$$(3.9) \quad \mathfrak{tr}^p \subset \mathfrak{u}_{00}^p \subset \mathfrak{u}_0^p \subset \mathfrak{u}^p.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $U \in \mathfrak{u}_0^p$ , then  $\nabla_{\hat{\nu}_p} U \subset \hat{\nu}_p$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $(U)^p = (u, B)$  be the initial conditions of  $U$ . Let  $Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  be arbitrary with initial conditions  $(Z)^p = (z, B')$  and put  $W = [U, Z]$ . Let  $v \in \nu_p$  be arbitrary. Observe that, from the definition of  $\hat{\nu}_p$ ,  $\nabla_v W \in \hat{\nu}_p$ . From (2.2) the initial conditions of  $W$  at  $p$  are

$$(W)^p = (B'(u) - B(z), R_{u,z} - (BB' - B'B)).$$

Since  $v \in \nu_p$ , one has that  $B(v) = 0$  and  $R_{u,z}(v) = 0$ . Hence

$$\nabla_v W = -B(B'(v)) \in \hat{\nu}_p.$$

But the set  $\{B'(v)\}$ , for  $Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and  $v \in \nu_p$  arbitrary, spans  $\hat{\nu}_p$ . Then

$$B(\hat{\nu}_p) \subset \hat{\nu}_p.$$

□

**Theorem 3.4.**  $\mathfrak{u}_{00}^p$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and does not depend on  $p \in M$  (and so in the next we will refer to it as  $\mathfrak{u}_{00}$ ). Moreover, for any  $p \in M$ ,  $\mathfrak{tr}^p$  is contained in the center  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}_{00})$  of  $\mathfrak{u}_{00}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  be arbitrary with initial conditions  $(Z)^p = (z, B')$  and let  $U \in \mathfrak{u}_{00}^p$ , with initial conditions  $(U)^p = (u, B)$ . Set  $W = [U, Z]$ . Let us see first that  $W \in \mathfrak{u}_0^p$ .

From (2.2), the initial conditions of  $W$  at  $p$  are

$$(W)^p = (B'(u) - B(z), R_{u,z} - (BB' - B'B)).$$

Since  $U \in \mathfrak{u}_{00}^p$  one has that  $B(\nu_p) = B(\hat{\nu}_p) = 0$ . On the other hand, from the definition of  $\hat{\nu}$  on gets that  $B'(\nu_p) \subset \hat{\nu}_p$ , hence  $B(B'(\nu_p)) = 0$ .

So, if  $v \in \nu_p$  is arbitrary, then

$$\nabla_v W = R_{u,z}v - B(B'(v)) + B'(B(v)) = -B(B'(v)) = 0.$$

Then  $W \in \mathfrak{u}_0^p$ . So we need to prove that  $\nabla_{\hat{\nu}_p} W = 0$ .

Let now  $r \in \hat{\nu}_p$ . From Lemma 3.3 one has that  $\nabla_r W \in \hat{\nu}_p$ . So, in order to show that  $\nabla_r W = 0$ , we only have to show that the projection of  $\nabla_r W$  to  $\hat{\nu}_p$  is zero. Let  $s \in \hat{\nu}_p$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\langle \nabla_r W, s \rangle = \langle R_{u,z}r, s \rangle - \langle B(B'(r)), s \rangle + \langle B'(B(r)), s \rangle.$$

Since  $r, s \in \hat{\nu}_p$ , by (3.5)  $R_{r,s} = 0$ . Hence  $\langle R_{u,z}r, s \rangle = \langle R_{r,s}u, z \rangle = 0$ .

On the other hand, recall that  $B(\hat{\nu}_p) = 0$  hence  $B(r) = B(s) = 0$  and then

$$\langle \nabla_r W, s \rangle = -\langle B(B'(r)), s \rangle = \langle B'(r), B(s) \rangle = 0.$$

Then  $W \in \mathfrak{u}_{00}^p$  and thus  $\mathfrak{u}_{00}^p$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$ .

Being  $\mathfrak{u}_{00}^p$  an ideal it does not depend on  $p \in M$ , since  $\mathfrak{u}_{00}^{gp} = \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{u}_{00}^p$ .

By making use of (2.2), (3.4) and (3.9) one obtains that  $\mathfrak{tr}^p \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}_{00}^p)$  for all  $p \in M$ . □

**Remark 3.5.** *Observe that the center of an ideal of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an abelian ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}_{00})$  is an abelian ideal of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$ .*

#### 4. TRANSVECTIONS IN THE DIRECTIONS OF THE NULLITY

All throughout this section  $M = G/H$  will be a homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with nullity distribution  $\nu$  and curvature tensor  $R$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p \in M$ ,  $v \in \nu_p$ . Then  $\nabla_v R = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since the first terms of both the equations in *i)* and *ii)* are linear in  $v$ , it is clear that we only need to prove them for  $v$  in a set of generators of  $\nu_p$ . Now each leaf of  $\nu$  is a homogeneous, flat totally geodesic submanifold of  $M$  (see [DOV, Paragraph 3.2]). Hence  $\nu_p$  is generated by the direction of homogeneous geodesics tangent to  $\nu$ , which are given by the flow of some Killing field in  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  (cf. [DOV, Paragraph 2.5]).

So let  $v \in \nu_p$  be such that there exist a homogeneous geodesic  $\gamma_v(t)$  tangent to  $\nu$  with  $\gamma_v(0) = p$  and  $\gamma'_v(0) = v$  and let  $X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  be such that

$$\gamma_v(t) = \phi_t(p)$$

where  $\phi_t$  is the flow associated to  $X$ .

Let  $\tau_t$  be the parallel transport along  $\gamma_v(t)$  from 0 to  $t$ . By Lemma 2.3,

$$Z_{\gamma_v(t)} = \tau_t(Z_p) + t\tau_t(\nabla_v Z)$$

and  $\nabla_{\gamma'_v(t)}(\nabla Z) = 0$  and the same is true if one replaces  $Z$  by  $Y$ . From (2.2) one has that

$$(\nabla[Y, Z])_{\gamma_v(t)} = R_{Y_{\gamma_v(t)}, Z_{\gamma_v(t)}} - [(\nabla Y)_{\gamma_v(t)}, (\nabla Z)_{\gamma_v(t)}].$$

Observe that  $C(t) = [(\nabla Y)_{\gamma_v(t)}, (\nabla Z)_{\gamma_v(t)}]$  is a parallel skew symmetric  $(1, 1)$  tensor along  $\gamma_v(t)$  since both terms of the bracket are so. Then

$$C(t) = \tau_t(C(0)) = \tau_t \circ C(0) \circ \tau_t^{-1}.$$

Set  $u = Y_p$ ,  $u' = \nabla_v Y$ ,  $w = Z_p$ ,  $w' = \nabla_v Z$ . Then,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla[Y, Z])_{\gamma_v(t)} &= R_{\tau_t(u), \tau_t(w)} + t(R_{\tau_t(u), \tau_t(w')} + R_{\tau_t(u'), \tau_t(w)}) \\ &\quad + t^2 R_{\tau_t(u'), \tau_t(w')} - C(t) \end{aligned}$$

By (2.6) one has that

$$(4.2) \quad \tau_t = d\phi_t \circ g_t,$$

where  $g_t := e^{-t(\nabla X)_p}$  is a one parameter group of linear isometries of  $T_p M$ . So, since  $\phi_t$  preserves the curvature,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla[Y, Z])_{\gamma_v(t)} - R_{\tau_t(u), \tau_t(w)} = \\
 (4.3) \quad & d\phi_t \left( t(R_{g_t(u), g_t(w')} + R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w)}) + t^2 R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w')} \right) - \tau_t(C(0)) \\
 & = d\phi_t \circ \left( t(R_{g_t(u), g_t(w')} + R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w)}) + t^2 R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w')} \right) \circ d\phi_t^{-1} - \\
 & \tau_t \circ C(0) \circ \tau_t^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, since  $M$  is homogeneous,  $\|R_{x,y}\|$  is bounded if  $\|x\|$  and  $\|y\|$  are bounded. In addition, recall that the covariant derivative of any Killing field is parallel along the nullity (cf. Lemma 2.3). Hence  $(\nabla[Y, Z])_{\gamma_v(t)}$  is parallel and thus the norm of the left hand side of (4.3) is bounded.

On the other hand,  $\|\tau_t \circ C(0) \circ \tau_t^{-1}\|$  is also bounded and then

$$(4.4) \quad \alpha(t) = \|t(R_{g_t(u), g_t(w')} + R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w)}) + t^2 R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w')}\|$$

must be bounded.

Fix an arbitrary  $t$  and choose a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $g_{t_n} \rightarrow g_t$  (see Remark 2.1) and put

$$R_n = (R_{g_{t_n}(u), g_{t_n}(w')} + R_{g_{t_n}(u'), g_{t_n}(w)}).$$

Since  $\frac{\alpha(t_n)}{t_n} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|R_n\|$  and  $\|R_{g_{t_n}(u'), g_{t_n}(w')}\|$  are bounded, and

$$\frac{\alpha(t_n)}{t_n} \geq |t_n \|R_{g_{t_n}(u'), g_{t_n}(w')}\| - \|R_n\||$$

we must have that both  $t_n \|R_{g_{t_n}(u'), g_{t_n}(w')}\|$  and  $\|R_n\|$  tend to 0. In particular,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = R_{g_t(u), g_t(w')} + R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w)}$$

and

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{g_{t_n}(u'), g_{t_n}(w')} = R_{g_t(u'), g_t(w')}.$$

Then, from (4.1),

$$R_{\tau_t(u), \tau_t(w)} = (\nabla[Y, Z])_{\gamma_v(t)} + C(t)$$

Since  $(\nabla[Y, Z])_{\gamma_v(t)}$  and  $C(t)$  are parallel along  $\gamma_v(t)$  one concludes that  $R$  is parallel along  $\gamma(t)$  and so  $\nabla_v R = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $p \in M$  and let  $X$  be a Killing field. Let  $B = (\nabla X)_p$  and let  $T$  be a tensor. Since the Levi-Civita connection is torsion free one has that  $\nabla_{X_p} T - (\mathcal{L}_X T)_p = B.T_p$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_X$  is the Lie derivative along  $X$  and  $B$  acts as a derivation on the algebraic tensor  $T_p$ . If  $T$  is invariant under isometries, or more generally under the flow of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_X T = 0$ . Hence  $\nabla_{X_p} T = B.T_p$ . In particular, if  $T = R$  is the curvature tensor, then  $\nabla_{X_p} R = B.R_p$  (cf. [CO, Section 2]). Assume now that  $M$  is homogeneous with nullity  $\nu$  and that  $X_p \in \nu_p$ . Then, by Lemma 4.1,  $B.R_p = 0$  or, equivalently,  $e^{tB}(R_p) = R_p$ .  $\square$

We will now introduce some notation that we will keep for the rest of this section.

First, recall that one can identify any Killing field  $Y$  with its initial conditions  $(Y)^p = (Y_p, (\nabla Y)_p)$  at  $p$ . Under this identification the Lie bracket is given by (2.2) and one can think of  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  as a linear subspace of  $T_p M \oplus \mathfrak{so}(T_p M)$ .

On the other hand, recall that if  $g$  be an isometry of  $M$  and  $Y$  is a Killing field of  $M$ , then  $g_*(Y)$  is also a Killing field, where  $(g_*(Y))_q := dg(Y_{g^{-1}(q)})$ .

Let now  $\gamma_v(t)$  be a homogeneous geodesic with  $v \in \nu_p$  and let  $X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  be such that  $\gamma_v(t) = \phi_t(p)$ , where  $\phi_t$  is the flow associated to  $X$ .

Let us consider, for  $Z \simeq (u, C)$  in  $\mathcal{K}^G(M) \subset T_p M \oplus \mathfrak{so}(T_p M)$ , the one parameter family  $Z^t \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  given by

$$Z^t := (\phi_{-t})_*(Z).$$

The map  $Z \rightarrow Z^t$  is a one parameter group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and, as it is well-known  $\frac{d}{dt}|_0 Z^t = [X, Z]$ .

From (2.5) and Lemma 2.3 one has that, if  $B = (\nabla X)_p$ , then

$$(4.5) \quad (Z^t)^p = (e^{-tB}u + te^{-tB}Cv, e^{-tB}Ce^{tB}).$$

The following result is crucial for our purposes.

**Lemma 4.3.** *We keep the previous notation and assumptions. Let*

$$h_t : T_p M \oplus \mathfrak{so}(T_p M) \rightarrow T_p M \oplus \mathfrak{so}(T_p M)$$

*be the one parameter group of linear isomorphisms given by*

$$h_t(u, C) = (e^{-tB}u, e^{-tB}Ce^{tB}).$$

*Then  $h_t(\mathcal{K}^G(M)) \subset \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and  $h_t : \mathcal{K}^G(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  is a Lie algebra automorphism, where  $\mathcal{K}^G(M)$  is identified with a linear subspace of  $T_p M \oplus \mathfrak{so}(T_p M)$ . Moreover,  $h_t(\mathcal{K}_p^G(M)) \subset \mathcal{K}_p^G(M)$  where  $\mathcal{K}_p^G(M)$  is the isotropy algebra at  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $t_k$  be sequence that tends to  $+\infty$  and such that  $e^{-t_k B}$  tends to  $e^{-tB}$  (see Remark 2.1). Let  $Z \simeq (u, C) \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ . Then by (4.5)  $\frac{1}{t_k}(Z^{t_k})^p$  converges to  $(e^{-tB}Cv, 0)$ . Hence  $(te^{-tB}Cv, 0) \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  (observe that this is a transvection at  $p$ ). Then, since  $Z^t \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ , one obtains that

$$h_t(Z) = Z^t - (te^{-tB}Cv, 0) \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$$

and hence  $h_t(\mathcal{K}^G(M)) \subset \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ . The fact that  $[h_t(Y), h_t(Z)] = h_t([Y, Z])$ , for any  $Y, Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ , follows from a direct computation, using (2.2) and the fact that  $e^{-tB}(R_p) = R_p$  (see Lemma 4.1 and Remark 4.2).

The last assertion is trivial since the isotropy algebra corresponds to those pairs with first component equals to zero.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *We keep the notation and assumptions of this section. Let  $X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  be such that  $\gamma(t) = \phi_t(p)$  is a (homogeneous) geodesic tangent to the*

nullity, where  $\phi_t$  is the flow associated to  $X$ . If  $M$  is simply connected, then there exists a transvection at  $p$  with initial conditions  $(X_p, 0)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $G$  is simply connected. In this case the action of  $G$  is not necessarily effective, but almost effective. Observe that, since  $M$  is simply connected, then  $H := G_p$  must be connected. Then the one parameter group of Lie algebra automorphisms  $h_t$  induces a one parameter group of automorphisms  $L_t$  of  $G$ , with  $d_e L_t = h_t$ . Moreover,  $L_t(H) = H$ . Then  $L_t$  induces a one parameter group of diffeomorphisms  $\ell_t$  of  $M = G/H$  given by

$$\ell_t(gH) = L_t(g)H.$$

Observe that  $\ell_t(p) = p$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $Z = (u, C) \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} d_p \ell_t(u) &= d_p \ell_t(Z.p) = \frac{d}{ds_0} \ell_t(\text{Exp}(sZ)p) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds_0} L_t(\text{Exp}(sZ))p = h_t(Z).p = e^{-tB}u. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $d_p \ell_t$  is a linear isometry for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that, for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$\ell_t(x) = L_t(g)\ell_t(g^{-1}x)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\ell_t = m_{L_t(g)} \circ \ell_t \circ m_{g^{-1}},$$

where  $m_r(x) = rx$ ,  $r \in G$ ,  $x \in M$ . Then

$$d_{gp} \ell_t = d_p m_{L_t(g)} \circ d_p \ell_t \circ d_{gp} m_{g^{-1}}.$$

So  $d_{gp} \ell_t$  is a linear isometry. Since  $g \in G$  is arbitrary, then  $\ell_t$  is an isometry that fixes  $p$ .

From (4.6) we obtain that the Killing field associated to the one parameter group of isometries  $\ell_t$  is  $Y = (0, -B)$ . Then  $U = X + Y$  is the transvection with initial conditions  $(X_p, 0)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $M = G/H$  be a simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold with a non-trivial nullity distribution  $\nu$ . Then, for all  $p \in M$ ,  $v \in \nu_p$  there exists a transvection  $Y$  such that  $Y_p = v$  (it could happen that  $Y \notin \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ ).*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.4 and the fact that the directions of homogeneous geodesics span  $\nu_p$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE ABELIAN IDEAL GENERATED BY THE TRANSVECTIONS

Let  $M = G/H$  be a locally irreducible Riemannian homogeneous manifold with a non-trivial nullity. Let

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{tt}_0^p := \{X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) : X \text{ is a transvection at } p \text{ with } X_p \in \nu_p\}$$

(compare with (3.3)) and let

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{tt}}_0^p := \{X \in \mathcal{K}(M) : X \text{ is a transvection at } p \text{ with } X_p \in \nu_p\}.$$

By Corollary 4.5 one has that  $\dim(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p) = \dim(\nu_p)$ . Observe that the inclusion  $\mathfrak{t}_0^p \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  could be strict.

**Remark 5.1.** *The adapted transvections at  $p$ , with respect to  $G$ , are given by  $[\mathcal{K}^G(M), \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]$ . In fact, let  $v \in \nu_p$ ,  $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  with  $X_p = v$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ . Then  $([X, Z])^p = (\nabla_v Z, 0)$  which defines an arbitrary adapted transvection (see (2.2)). Thus,  $[\mathcal{K}^G(M), \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p] \subset \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and hence  $\mathcal{K}^G(M) + \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  is a Lie algebra of Killing fields of  $M$ . Observe that this Lie algebra does not depend on  $p \in M$ . This follows from the fact that  $\text{Ad}_g(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p) = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^{gp}$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

Throughout the rest of this section we will denote by  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G) \simeq \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  and by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Lie}(I(M)) \simeq \mathcal{K}(M)$ . Set

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g} + \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

and put

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}' : X \text{ is a transvection at } p \text{ with } X_p \in \nu_p^{(1)}\}$$

From Remark 5.1,  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . On the other hand, from Lemma 2.4 and Remark 5.1, it follows that  $\hat{\nu}_p = [\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p].p$  and from Corollary 4.5,  $\nu_p = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p.p$ . Since  $\nu^{(1)} = \nu + \hat{\nu}$  one gets that

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p = [\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p] + \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p.p = \nu_p^{(1)}.$$

The last equality implies, since a transvection at  $p$  is defined by its value at  $p$ , that (5.3) does not depend on  $G$  and hence

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p = [\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p] + \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p = [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p] + \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the ideal generated by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  in  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . This ideal does not depend on  $p \in M$  since any  $g \in G$  maps  $\nu_p$  into  $\nu_{gp}$  and so it maps  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  into  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^{gp}$ . Observe that with the same arguments of Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.5 one gets that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an abelian ideal.

**Lemma 5.2.** *The abelian ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  does not depend on the presentation group  $G$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{a}$  is also the ideal of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  generated by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the ideal  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathcal{K}(M)$  generated by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let us denote, for a pair of Lie subalgebras  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  of a Lie algebra,  $[\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{b}]^0 = \mathfrak{b}$  and inductively  $[\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{b}]^{k+1} = [\mathfrak{c}, [\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{b}]^k]$ . We need to show that for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^r [\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k = \sum_{k=0}^r [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k.$$

Let us write

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}',$$

where  $\mathfrak{h}'$  is a linear subspace of the full isotropy algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_p$ . We shall prove first that

$$(5.8) \quad \left[ \mathfrak{h}', \sum_{k=0}^r [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k \right] \subset \sum_{k=0}^r [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k$$

Since the isotropy at  $p$  maps  $\nu_p$  into itself and transvections into transvections one has that  $[\mathfrak{h}', \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p] \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  and so (5.8) holds for  $r = 0$ . Assume now that (5.8) is true for some  $r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \mathfrak{h}', [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^{r+1} \right] &= \left[ \mathfrak{h}', [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^r] \right] = \left[ [\mathfrak{h}', \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}], [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^r \right] + \left[ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, [\mathfrak{h}', [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^r] \right] \\ &\subset \left[ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^r \right] + \left[ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \sum_{k=0}^r [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k \right] \subset \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (5.8) holds for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us turn to the proof of (5.6). It trivially holds for  $r = 0$ , and from equation (5.5), one gets that it holds for  $r = 1$ . So assume that (5.6) is true for some  $r$ . In particular,

$$[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^r \subset \sum_{k=0}^r [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k = \sum_{k=0}^r [\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k$$

From this and from (5.8) one gets that

$$[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^{r+1} = [\mathfrak{g}' + \mathfrak{h}', [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^r] \subset \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} [\mathfrak{g}', \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]^k$$

which implies the non-trivial inclusion on (5.6).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Part (1) is just Corollary 4.5. Part (2) follows from Remark 5.1. Part (3) follows from Lemma 5.2 and its preceding paragraph.

Let us prove (4): by (2.8) and (2.9) one has that  $\nu^{(1)} = \nu + \hat{\nu}$  and

$$\nu^{(2)} = \nu^{(1)} + \hat{\hat{\nu}}$$

where  $\hat{\nu}_q = \text{span} \{ \nabla_v Z : Z \in \mathcal{K}^G(M), v \in \hat{\nu}_q \}$ .

Observe that, from (5.4),  $\nu_p^{(1)} = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p \cdot p$  and from (5.5),  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p \subset \mathfrak{a}$ . So  $\nu_p^{(1)} \subset \mathfrak{a} \cdot p$ . On the other hand, from Lemma 2.4 and remark 5.1, any element  $v \in \hat{\nu}_p$  is the initial value of a transvection  $X \in [\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]$ . Then for each  $Z \in \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathcal{K}^G(M)$  one has that  $\nabla_v Z = \nabla_{X_p} Z = [X, Z]_p$ . Hence  $\hat{\nu}_p \subset [\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p]] \cdot p \subset \mathfrak{a} \cdot p$  and so  $\nu_p^{(2)} \subset \mathfrak{a} \cdot p$ . This proves the first assertion of (4).

Let  $a \in \bar{A}$ . One has that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^{ap} = \text{Ad}_a(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p)$  (resp.,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^{ap} = \text{Ad}_a(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p)$ ). Then, since  $\bar{A}$  is abelian,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^{ap} = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p$  (resp.,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^{ap} = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$ ) for all  $a \in \bar{A}$ . Observe that the distribution

$\nu^{(1)}$  (resp.,  $\nu$ ), restricted to  $\bar{A} \cdot p$ , is spanned by the elements  $X$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^p$  (resp.,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$ ). Since  $\nabla X = 0$  when restricted to  $\bar{A} \cdot p$ , we obtain the second part of (4).  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* The isotropy  $H$  is trivial by Theorem A (3) of [DOV]. Observe that, with the same argument, any presentation group of  $M$  must have trivial isotropy. So, in this case,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}' = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the abelian ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_0^p$  (see part (3) of Theorem 1.1). Since  $M$  is non-flat,  $\mathfrak{a} \cdot p$  is properly contained in  $T_p M$ . From Theorem A (1) of [DOV] one has that  $\nu_p^{(2)}$  has codimension 1 in  $T_p M$  (cf. 3.2). Then, from part (4) of Theorem 1.1,  $\mathfrak{a}$  has codimension 1 in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . If  $w \in \mathfrak{g}$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{a}$  then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}w \rtimes \mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $A$  be the Lie normal subgroup of  $G$  associated to  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $L$  be the one-dimensional Lie subgroup of  $G$  associated to  $\mathbb{R}w$ . Let  $\pi : \tilde{A} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow A$  and  $\pi' : \tilde{L} \simeq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L$  be the universal cover of  $A$  and  $L$ , respectively. Then the map  $m : \tilde{L} \times \tilde{A} \rightarrow G$  given by  $m((l, a)) = \pi'(l)\pi(a)$  is a covering map. Since  $G \simeq M$  is simply connected one has that  $m$  is a diffeomorphism. Hence  $L \simeq \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and thus  $G$  is isomorphic to a semidirect product  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

We finish this section with a lemma and a remark that will be needed in the following section.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $M = G/H$  be a (connected) homogeneous Riemannian manifold where  $G$  is a connected closed Lie subgroup of  $I^\circ(M)$ . Let  $N$  be a connected closed abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  and let  $N \cdot p \subset M$  be any orbit. Then the isotropy  $N_p$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is abelian, then  $N = T^k \times \mathbb{R}^m$  where  $T^k$  is a torus. Since  $N$  is a normal subgroup and  $G$  is connected the conjugation by  $g \in G$   $I_g : N \rightarrow N$  acts trivially on  $T^k$ . Thus,  $T^k$  is included in the center  $C(G)$  of  $G$ . Since  $N_p$  is compact,  $N_p \subset T^k$ . Then  $N_p \subset C(G)$  and hence  $N_p = \{1\}$  since  $G$  acts effectively.  $\square$

**Remark 5.4.** *We have the following infinitesimal version of Lemma 5.3. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an abelian ideal of  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , where  $G$  acts, by isometries, almost effectively and transitively on  $M$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_p = \{0\}$  for all  $p \in M$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_p$  is the isotropy algebra at  $p$ . This can be proved as follows: the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is null when restricted to  $\mathfrak{a}$  and it is negative definite when restricted to the isotropy algebra (see the proof of Lemma 2.7 of [DOV]).*

## 6. NON-SOLVABLE EXAMPLES

Let  $K$  be a simple simply connected compact Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  and let  $\rho : K \rightarrow SO_n$  be an irreducible orthogonal representation. Let us consider



the semidirect product  $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_{\rho} K$ . Namely  $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times K$  and  $(v, k)(v', k') = (v + \rho(k)(v'), kk')$ . Observe that the Lie algebra of  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_{\rho} \mathfrak{k}$ , which is the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{k}$  with the following Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ : if  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{k}$  then

$$[v, w] = 0, \quad [X, Y] = [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{k}}, \quad [X, v] = d\rho_e(X)(v).$$

Let us consider the simply connected Riemannian manifold  $M = G$ , with a left invariant metric which restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  coincides with the canonical metric of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for each  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , the Killing vector field of  $M$  induced by  $w$  is

$$\tilde{w}_{(v, k)} = (w, 0_k).$$

Moreover, from (2.4), and the fact that  $\rho$  is an orthogonal representation, one obtains that for each  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(6.1) \quad \nabla_{\tilde{v}} \tilde{w} = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the (integrable) distribution of  $M$  defined at  $p = (v, k)$  by  $\mathcal{D}_p = (\mathbb{R}^n, 0_k)$ . It is not hard to prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  is left-invariant, and from equation (6.1) one obtains that  $\mathcal{D}$  is an autoparallel distribution.

**Remark 6.1.** *The only proper ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In fact, consider the projection  $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathbb{R}^n \simeq \mathfrak{k}$  and let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be a proper ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\pi(\mathfrak{J})$  is an ideal of this quotient, and since  $\mathfrak{k}$  is simple, either  $\pi(\mathfrak{J}) = \{0\}$  or  $\pi(\mathfrak{J}) \simeq \mathfrak{k}$ . If  $\pi(\mathfrak{J}) = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . But then  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a  $\mathfrak{k}$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since the action of  $K$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is irreducible one concludes that  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . A similar argument shows that if  $\pi(\mathfrak{J}) \simeq \mathfrak{k}$ , then  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{g}$ .*

**Remark 6.2.** *Let  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\tilde{u}$  be the Killing field induced by  $u$ , i.e.  $\tilde{u}_q = u \cdot q$ . Then, if  $g$  is an isometry,  $g_*(\tilde{u}) = Ad_g(\tilde{u})$ . In particular,  $u$  is a transvection at  $q$  if and only if  $g_*(\tilde{u})$  is a transvection at  $g(q)$ .*

**Lemma 6.3.** *If  $M$  has a non-trivial Euclidean de Rham factor then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a parallel distribution and the Killing fields induced by  $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq \text{Lie}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are parallel fields. Furthermore,  $M$  is isometric to the Riemannian product of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the Lie group  $K$  with a left invariant metric.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = E \times M'$  where  $E \simeq \mathbb{R}^r$  is the Euclidean de Rham factor and  $M'$  is the product of the irreducible de Rham factors. Then the space of Killing fields of  $M$  decomposes as

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{K}(M) = \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^r) \oplus \mathcal{K}(M')$$

and the full isometry group of  $M$  decomposes as

$$(6.3) \quad I(M) = I(E) \times I(M').$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the parallel distribution of  $M$  associated to the flat de Rham factor. Then its first osculating distribution  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$  (see equation 2.7) coincides with  $\mathcal{E}$  and so

$$(6.4) \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{E}_p} X \subset \mathcal{E}_p$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M) \simeq \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ ,  $p \in M$ . The same argument used in [DOV, Proposition 3.6] shows that there exists always a transvection induced by  $G$  in the direction of  $\nabla_v X$ , for all  $X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{E}_p$  (the argument used in the proof of such a proposition does not use that there is no flat de Rham factor, except for finding a transvection not in the nullity).

Case (i):  $\nabla_v X = 0$ , for all  $X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{E}_p$ . Then, if  $X \in \mathcal{K}^G(M)$ ,  $X = T + Z$  where  $T$  is a transvection of the Euclidean factor and  $Z$  is a Killing field of  $M'$  (by enlarging  $G$  to the full isometry group and with the usual identification 6.2). Then, if  $X_p \perp \mathcal{E}_p$ , for some  $p \in M$ , the field  $X$  must be always tangent to the distribution  $\mathcal{E}^\perp$  associated to the factor  $M'$ . Let us regard  $K \subset I(M)$  and consider the projection  $\pi : K \rightarrow I(E)$  according to the decomposition 6.3. Then  $\pi(K)$  is a compact subgroup of isometries of  $E \simeq \mathbb{R}^r$ . Then there exists  $q \in E$  which is fixed by  $\pi(K)$  or equivalently, since  $\pi(K)$  is connected,  $u.q = 0$  for all  $u \in \text{Lie}(\pi(K))$ . We may regard  $E$  as a fixed integral manifold of  $\mathcal{E}$  and so we will regard  $q$  as an element of  $M$ . Then  $\mathfrak{k}.q \perp \mathcal{E}_q$  where  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$ . Then, from what previously observed, any Killing field  $X$  induced by an element of  $\mathfrak{k}$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}^\perp$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}^\perp$  is  $G$ -invariant, the Killing fields induced by  $G$  which lie in this distribution are an ideal, let us say  $\mathfrak{J}$ , of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{J}$ , from remark 6.1 we conclude that  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{g}$  and thus  $\mathcal{E} = \{0\}$ . A contradiction that show that this case cannot hold if  $E \neq \{0\}$ .

Case (ii):  $\nabla_v X \neq 0$ , for some  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and some  $v \in \mathcal{E}_p$ . Since, by (6.4),  $\nabla_v X \in \mathcal{E}_p$  we conclude that there exists a non-trivial transvection  $Y$  induced by  $G$  such that  $Y_p \in \mathcal{E}_p$ . Such a transvection must be always tangent to the Euclidean factor of  $M$  and must be a transvection at any point. Then, for any  $g \in G$ ,  $g_*(Y)$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}$  and it is a transvection at any point of  $M$  (see Remark 6.2). Then the ideal  $\mathfrak{J}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $Y$  consists of transvections at any point that lie in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since such transvections must commute we conclude that  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a non-trivial abelian ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus by Remark 6.1,  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular  $r = \dim(\mathcal{E}) = n$ . Observe that in this case any element  $v$  of  $\mathfrak{J}$  induces a parallel vector field  $\tilde{v}$  of  $M$  which proves the first assertions. This implies that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E}$ .

Let us consider again the natural projection  $\pi : K \rightarrow I(E)$ . Then, as in the proof of case (i),  $\mathfrak{k}.q \perp \mathcal{E}_q = \mathcal{D}_q$ . Then, since  $G$  acts simply transitively on  $M$  we must have that  $K$  act simply transitively on the integral manifold by  $q$  of the parallel distribution associated to the factor  $M'$  of  $M$ . From this we conclude that  $M'$  is isometric to  $K$  with a left invariant metric.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $M = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_\rho K$  be a semidirect product with a left invariant metric which restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  coincides with the canonical metric of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\rho :$*

$K \rightarrow SO_n$  is an irreducible orthogonal representation and  $K$  is a simply connected compact simple Lie group with  $\dim(K) < n$ . Assume that  $M$  has no Euclidean de Rham factor. Then  $M$  is an irreducible Riemannian manifold.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_{d\rho} \mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_{\rho} K$ , let  $M_i$  be an irreducible de Rham factor of  $M$  and let  $\pi_i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Lie}(I(M_i))$  the natural projection. Observe that  $\mathfrak{J} := \ker(\pi_i)$  is an ideal which is properly contained in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then from Remark 6.1 either  $\mathfrak{J} = \{0\}$  or  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Assume that  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let us denote also by  $\pi_i$  the induced Lie group morphism from  $G$  into  $I(M_i)^\circ$ . Then  $\pi_i(G) = \pi_i(K)$  is compact. Since  $\pi_i(G)$  must act transitively on  $M_i$ , we conclude that  $M_i$  is compact.

If  $\mathfrak{J} = \{0\}$  then  $\pi_i(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional ideal of  $\pi_i(\mathfrak{g})$ . By Remark 5.4  $\dim(\pi_i(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot q) = n$  for any  $q \in M_i$  and hence  $\dim(M_i) \geq n$ . Since  $\dim(M) = n + \dim(K) < 2n$  there is at most one of such factors with  $\ker(\pi_i) = \{0\}$ . Note that there must be one of such factors, let us say  $M_1$ , since  $M$  is non-compact.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the parallel foliation of  $M$  associated to  $M_1$ . Let  $M'$  be the product of the de Rham factors  $M_i$  of  $M$  different from  $M_1$  (and so  $\ker(\pi_i) = \mathbb{R}^n$ ). Assume  $M'$  is non-trivial. We identify  $M'$  with the integral manifold of  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  by  $e = (0, 1)$ . We have shown that any irreducible de Rham factor of  $M'$  is compact, and so  $M'$  is compact. Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow I(M')^\circ$  be the natural projection. Then clearly  $\ker(\pi) = \mathbb{R}^n$  and so,  $\pi(G) = \pi(K)$  and  $\pi(G)$  is locally isomorphic to  $K$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is  $G$ -invariant we have that  $M' = H \cdot e$  for some simply connected compact Lie subgroup  $H$  of  $K$ . Observe, since  $G$  acts without isotropy, that  $H$  has no isotropy and in particular acts effectively on  $M'$ . Observe that  $\pi(G)$  contains the restriction to  $M'$  of  $H$  (that we identify with  $H$  acting by left multiplications on  $M'$ ). From [OT] (see also [Go]) one has that  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $I(M)^\circ$ . Then  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $\pi(G)$ . Since  $H \neq \{1\}$  and  $K$  is simple, we conclude that  $H \simeq \pi(G)$ . Then  $\dim(M') = \dim(K)$  and so  $\dim(M_1) = n$ . Observe, since  $\pi(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$ , that the Killing fields induced by the elements in  $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathfrak{g}$  lie in the distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then, by Remark 5.4,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  acts transitively (by isometries) on  $M_1$ . Then  $M_1$  is flat. A contradiction that proves the  $M'$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $K$  be a simply connected compact simple Lie group and let  $\rho : K \rightarrow SO_n$  be an irreducible orthogonal representation. Let  $\mathbb{V}_0$  be a non-trivial vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0)(1 + \dim(K)) < n$ . Then there exists a left invariant metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes_{\rho} K$  such that  $M = (G, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an irreducible Riemannian manifold and the nullity distribution  $\nu$  of  $M$  at  $(0, e)$  contains  $\mathbb{V}_0$  (hence the index of nullity of  $M$  is at least  $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0)$ ).*

*Proof.* Let us define, for  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{V}_i = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{i-1}] + \mathbb{V}_{i-1} = [\mathfrak{k}, \mathbb{V}_{i-1}] + \mathbb{V}_{i-1}.$$

Since  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathbb{V}_i] \subset \mathbb{V}_{i+1}$  and  $K$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{V}_d = \mathbb{R}^n$ . We choose  $d$  to be the minimal with this property. Observe that  $\dim(\mathbb{V}_1) \leq \dim(\mathbb{V}_0) \dim(K) + \dim(\mathbb{V}_0) = \dim(\mathbb{V}_0)(1 + \dim(K)) < n$ . So  $\mathbb{V}_1$  is a proper subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and thus  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $e = (0, 1) \in G$ , let  $(, )$  be the canonical inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\langle , \rangle'$  be an inner product in  $\mathfrak{k} \simeq \mathfrak{k}.e$ . Let  $w \in \mathfrak{k}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{V}_{d-1}$  be such that  $[w, v] \notin \mathbb{V}_{d-1}$ . Let  $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{k}$  be perpendicular to  $w$ . Let  $v'$  be the orthogonal projection of  $[w, v]$  into the orthogonal complement  $\mathbb{V}_{d-1}^\perp$  of  $\mathbb{V}_{d-1}$  in  $\mathbb{V}_d = \mathbb{R}^n$  (any element of  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathfrak{g}$  defines a Killing field which is identified with its value at  $e$ ). Let  $\bar{\mathbb{V}}$  be the orthogonal complement of  $v'$  in  $\mathbb{V}_{d-1}^\perp$ . So

$$T_e G = \mathbb{V}_{d-1} \oplus \bar{\mathbb{V}} \oplus \mathbb{R}v' \oplus \mathfrak{k}.$$

Let us consider the inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  on  $T_e G$  defined by:

- 1)  $\langle , \rangle = (, ) \times \langle , \rangle'$  when restricted to  $(\mathbb{V}_{d-1} \oplus \bar{\mathbb{V}}) \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ .
- 2)  $\langle , \rangle = (, )$  when restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset T_e G$ .
- 3)  $v'$  is perpendicular to the orthogonal complement of the linear span of  $w, z$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\langle v', w \rangle = a$ ,  $\langle v', z \rangle = b$ , where  $a, b$  are small generic constants, that will be fixed later, and such that  $\langle , \rangle$  is a positive definite inner product of  $T_e G$ .

From formula (2.4) one obtains that the elements of  $\mathbb{V}_{d-2}$  define transvections at  $e$  (by making use that the bracket by an element of  $\mathfrak{k}$  defines a skew symmetric transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

Let us write  $[v, z] = \lambda v' + u$ , where  $u \perp v'$ . Identifying  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  with the associated Killing field  $q \mapsto x.q$  we have that

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\langle \nabla_{w.e} v, z.e \rangle &= \langle [w, v].e, z.e \rangle + \langle [w, z].e, v.e \rangle + \langle [v, z].e, w.e \rangle \\ &= \langle [w, v].e, z.e \rangle + \langle [v, z].e, w.e \rangle = b + \lambda a \end{aligned}$$

(observe that  $[v, w] \in \mathfrak{k}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{V}_{d-1}$  are perpendicular). One can choose the generic constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $b + \lambda a \neq 0$ . Then the Killing field  $q \mapsto v.q$  is not a transvection at  $e$ . Then, by Lemma 6.3,  $M$  has no Euclidean factor. Hence, by Lemma 6.4,  $M$  is an irreducible Riemannian manifolds. From formula (2.3) we obtain that  $\mathbb{V}_{d-2}.e \subset \nu_e$  since the elements of both  $\mathbb{V}_{d-2}$  and  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{V}_{d-2}] \subset \mathbb{V}_{d-1}$  induce transvections.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* It follows directly from Proposition 6.5.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BCO] Berndt, J., Console, S., and Olmos, C., *Submanifolds and holonomy*, Research Notes in Mathematics Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton FL , Second Edition 2016.
- [BOR] Berndt, J., Olmos, C., and S. Reggiani, *Compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with low co-index of symmetry*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), **19** (2017), 221–254.
- [CO] Console, S., Olmos, C., *Curvature invariants, Killing vector fields and cohomogeneity*, Proc. AMS **137** (2009), 1069–1072.

- [DOV] Di Scala, A. J., Olmos, C., and Vittone, F., *Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with non-trivial nullity*, Transformation Groups, **17** (2022), 31-72
- [Go] Gordon, C., *Riemannian Isometry Groups Containing Transitive Reductive Subgroups*, Math. Ann. **248** (1980), 185–192.
- [GG] Gorodski, C. and Guimarães, F. *The  $\kappa$ -nullity of Riemannian manifolds and their splitting tensors*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.04551 (2022).
- [ORT] Olmos, C., Reggiani, and S., Tamaru, H., *The index of symmetry of compact naturally reductive spaces*, Math. Z. **277** (2014), 611–628.
- [OS] Olmos, C., and Salvai, M. *Holonomy of homogeneous vector bundles and polar representations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), 1007–1015.
- [OT] Ochiai, T., and Takahashi, T., *The Group of Isometries of a Left Invariant Riemannian Metric on a Lie Group*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), 91–96.

Antonio J. Di Scala  
 Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche  
 Politecnico di Torino  
 Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24  
 10129, Torino, Italy  
 antonio.discal@polito.it  
<http://calvino.polito.it/~adiscal>

Carlos E. Olmos  
 FaMAF, CIEM-Conicet  
 Universidad Nac. de Córdoba  
 Ciudad Universitaria  
 5000, Córdoba, Argentina  
 olmos@famaf.unr.edu.ar

Francisco Vittone  
 DM, ECEN, FCEIA - Conicet  
 Universidad Nac. de Rosario  
 Av. Pellegrini 250  
 2000, Rosario, Argentina  
 vittone@fceia.unr.edu.ar  
[www.fceia.unr.edu.ar/~vittone](http://www.fceia.unr.edu.ar/~vittone)