

Ladder operators approach to representation classification problem for Jordan-Schwinger image of $su(2)$ algebra

Tushavin G.V.¹ * Trifanov A.I.¹ Zaitseva E.V.¹

¹ITMO University

Abstract

The eigenvalues of the complete commuting set of self-adjoint operators determine the classification of states. We construct a classification for the image of the Jordan-Schwinger mapping of the $su(2)$ algebra. We use the ladder operator approach to construct a canonical basis of irreducible representations and define the self-adjoint operators of the complete commuting set.

Keywords: Ladder operators, $su(2)$, Jordan-Schwinger map, representation theory

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Ladder operators: motivation	3
2.1	Generalized ladder operators	3
2.2	Ladder operators construction	4
3	Irreducible representations of the $su^J(2)$ algebra	4
3.1	Constructing the Casimir ladder operators	4
3.2	Properties of Casimir ladder operators	6
3.3	Annihilated states of the Casimir ladder operators	7
4	Demo case: $s = 1$	7
5	Conclusion	9

1 Introduction

The study of dynamics of some quantum systems can be reduced to the study of the dynamic group of the Hamiltonian. Generators of the dynamical group form an algebra. The structures of invariant spaces of the algebra and the group are similar. Eigenvalues of self-adjoint operators of the complete commuting set are used to the state classification. The ladder operator approach used to build the complete set and obtain eigenbasis. In articles [1–4] ladder operators are constructed for different algebras, which are obtained in consequence of modification of quantum harmonic oscillator model. In our work, we have formulated a general approach to the analysis of such systems.

The Lie algebra of the dynamic group of the Hamiltonian of the quantum harmonic oscillator model is a (s) Heisenberg-Weyl algebra [5, 6] — $w(1)$. Generators of this algebra are hermitian-conjugate boson creation/annihilation operators — a and a^\dagger obey the following commutation relations

$$[a, a^\dagger] = \hat{I}, \quad [a, \hat{I}] = 0 = [a^\dagger, \hat{I}]. \quad (1)$$

Here \hat{I} — the identity operator of the algebra $w(1)$. By introducing a particle number operator $\hat{N} = a^\dagger a$ the mentioned Hamiltonian may be expressed as

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega \left(\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (2)$$

*This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Education of the Russian Federation (Passport No. 2019-0903).

The complete commuting set of operators for this Hamiltonian contain only one operator \hat{N} , which spectrum determines the observed energy levels. Operators a and a^\dagger are *ladder operators* for the operator \hat{N} . They satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\hat{N}, a^\dagger] = a^\dagger, \quad [\hat{N}, a] = -a. \quad (3)$$

Action of ladder operators a and a^\dagger translates an eigenvector of operator \hat{N} into another eigenvector

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N} |n\rangle &= n |n\rangle, & \hat{N}(a^\dagger |n\rangle) &= a^\dagger(\hat{N} + \hat{I}) |n\rangle = (n+1)(a^\dagger |n\rangle), \\ \hat{N}(a |n\rangle) &= a(\hat{N} - \hat{I}) |n\rangle = (n-1)(a |n\rangle), & a |0\rangle &= 0 = \hat{N} |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The annihilation operator a (unlike the creation operator a^\dagger) has a non-trivial kernel corresponding to the vacuum state of the quantum oscillator. The corresponding eigenvector $|0\rangle$ is usually called *vacuum vector*. Thus, the spectrum of operator \hat{N} consists of integer non-negative numbers $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and an arbitrary eigenvector can be obtained by the action of ladder operators on any particular eigenvector, e.g. the vacuum vector. In the canonical basis of the eigenvectors $\{|n\rangle\}$ the operators a and a^\dagger have the form

$$a^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle, \quad a |n+1\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n\rangle, \quad a |0\rangle = 0, \quad (5)$$

and vector $|n\rangle$ is expressed as

$$|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (a^\dagger)^n |0\rangle. \quad (6)$$

In this way, dynamics of multidimensional harmonic oscillator may be described by an algebra which generators are represented through the bosonic polynomials resulting from Jordan-Schwinger mapping [7, 8] of generator matrices into $w(1)^{\otimes m}$ for certain m :

$$X = (x_{ij}) \mapsto \check{X} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m x_{i,j} a_i^\dagger a_j, \quad [\check{X}, \check{Y}] = [\check{X}, \check{Y}]. \quad (7)$$

The image of the identity matrix is the total particle number operator

$$\check{I} = N = \sum_{\mu=1}^m a_\mu^\dagger a_\mu = \sum_{\mu=1}^m N_\mu. \quad (8)$$

In our paper [10] we consider an image of the algebra $su(2)$ [9] represented by the operators N, J_z, J_+, J_- , which are expressed through bosonic operators a_i, a_i^\dagger by the Jordan-Schwinger mapping of generator matrices of the irreducible representation of dimension $(2s+1)$ of $su(2)$ algebra [8]:

$$J_z = \sum_{\mu=-s}^s \mu a_\mu^\dagger a_\mu, \quad J_+ = \sum_{\mu=-s}^{\mu=s-1} \sqrt{(s+\mu+1)(s-\mu)} a_{\mu+1}^\dagger a_\mu = (J_-)^\dagger. \quad (9)$$

We denote this algebra $su^j(2)$. Bosonic operators for each degree of freedom obey the following commutation relations:

$$[a_i, a_j] = [a_i^\dagger, a_j^\dagger] = 0, \quad [a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}. \quad (10)$$

The Fock basis, defined by eigenvalues of particle number operators for each degree of freedom, is complete and consists of vectors of the form $|n_{-s}, n_{-s+1}, \dots, n_s\rangle$.

The Jordan-Schwinger mapping is a Lie algebras homomorphism, thus the matrix and their images obey the same commutation relations. The operators J_z, J_+, J_- represent the generators of $su(2)$ algebra and satisfy the corresponding commutative relations

$$[J_z, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm, \quad [J_+, J_-] = 2J_z. \quad (11)$$

In $su(2)$ the Casimir operator commuting with all generators exists. By Schur's lemma, in each space of irreducible representation such an operator is proportional to the identity operator. Recall, that the image of the unit matrix in the Jordan-Schwinger mapping is operator N . Hence

$$[N, J_z] = 0, \quad [N, J_\pm] = 0. \quad (12)$$

The Casimir operator J^2 for generators J_z, J_+, J_- is defined as follows

$$J^2 = J_z^2 + \frac{1}{2}(J_+J_- + J_-J_+), \quad (13)$$

and canonical basis for each irreducible representation has a standard form

$$\begin{aligned} J_z |j, j_z\rangle &= j_z |j, j_z\rangle, & J^2 |j, j_z\rangle &= j(j+1) |j, j_z\rangle, \\ J_+ |j, j_z = j\rangle &= 0, & J_- |j, j_z = -j\rangle &= 0, \\ J_+ |j, j_z\rangle &= \sqrt{(j-j_z)(j+j_z+1)} |j, j_z+1\rangle, \\ J_- |j, j_z+1\rangle &= \sqrt{(j-j_z)(j+j_z+1)} |j, j_z\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The commuting set of operators $\{N; J^2, J_z\}$ is complete when $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s = 1$. In these cases, the eigenvalues of operators N, J^2, J_z uniquely determine basis vectors $|n; j, j_z\rangle$. In other cases within a fixed eigenvalue n of the operator N , the eigenvalues $j(j+1)$ of the operator J^2 are nontrivially degenerate. Note that if s is a non-negative integer, then j is also a non-negative integer. The arbitrary Fock vector will be an eigenvector for the operators $\{N; J_z\}$, but not for the operator J^2 .

The aim of our work is to augment the existing commutative set $N; J^2, J_z$ to a complete one. In our paper we propose a method for constructing generalized ladder operators, which are used for classification and construction of the canonical basis.

2 Ladder operators: motivation

2.1 Generalized ladder operators

Let us consider the self-adjoint operator $H = H^\dagger$ from some finitely generated algebra \mathcal{A} . We will call an operator p^\dagger a *right ladder operator* (hereafter, RLO) if there exists a nonzero selfadjoint operator $P = P^\dagger \neq 0$ from \mathcal{A} commuting with H , such that one of the following equivalent commutation relations is satisfied

$$[H, p^\dagger] = p^\dagger P \quad \text{or} \quad H p^\dagger = p^\dagger (P + H). \quad (14)$$

The expression conjugated to (14) is the definition of the *left ladder operator* (LLO)

$$[p, H] = P p. \quad (15)$$

For RLO p^\dagger we will call the operator P a *right function* in the case when the operator P is represented as a function $P(H, H_1, \dots, H_n)$ of the commuting set of self-adjoint operators H, H_1, \dots, H_n .

For an arbitrary polynomial of the operator H the operator p is a ladder operator. In view of the bilinearity of the commutator it suffices to show that for any degree of H the following property holds

$$[H^n, p^\dagger] = p^\dagger ((H + P)^n - H^n) \quad \text{or} \quad H^n p^\dagger = p^\dagger (H + P)^n. \quad (16)$$

Proof. To prove this statement its enough to use the recurrent property

$$[H^n, p^\dagger] = [H, p^\dagger] H^{n-1} + H [H^{n-1}, p^\dagger] = p^\dagger P H^{n-1} + [H^{n-1}, p^\dagger] (H + P), \quad (17)$$

which is completed by applying the method of mathematical induction, where the base of induction is the definition of the ladder operator.

It is worth to note that for any operator A the following expression holds:

$$[H p^\dagger A] = p^\dagger [H + P, A] + p^\dagger A P, \quad (18)$$

and one can show that multiplying the RLO by the self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{A}$ which is commute with operator $(H + P)$ is again the RLO of operator H :

$$[H, p^\dagger A] = p^\dagger A P. \quad (19)$$

2.2 Ladder operators construction

Let us consider the self-adjoint operator H and the set of operators $\{T_\mu\}_{\mu=1}^n$ which have the following properties: there exist a family of mutually commuting operators $\{\alpha_{\mu\eta}\}$ such that

$$[H, T_\eta] = \sum_{\mu=1}^n T_\mu \alpha_{\mu\eta}, \quad \alpha_{\mu\eta}^\dagger = \alpha_{\mu\eta}, \quad [\alpha_{\mu\eta}, H] = 0. \quad (20)$$

We are looking for a nontrivial set of self-adjoint operators $\sigma_\eta = \sigma_\eta^\dagger$ which commute with H and $\{\alpha_{\mu\eta}\}$ and the operator $\sum_{\eta=1}^n T_\eta \sigma_\eta$ is the RLO for H again:

$$[H, \sum_{\eta=1}^n T_\eta \sigma_\eta] = \sum_{\eta=1}^n T_\eta \sigma_\eta P, \quad [P, \sigma_\eta] = 0.$$

Substituting (20) into the previous expression, we obtain the following equation

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n T_\mu \left(\sum_{\eta=1}^n \alpha_{\mu\eta} \sigma_\eta - \sigma_\mu P \right) = 0, \quad (21)$$

which may be represented in matrix form

$$(T_1 \quad T_2 \quad \dots \quad T_n) (A - P) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \dots \\ \sigma_n \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (22)$$

where we use $(A - P)$ instead of matrix

$$(A - P) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} - P, & \alpha_{12}, & \dots, & \alpha_{1n} \\ \alpha_{21}, & \alpha_{22} - P, & \dots, & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1}, & \alpha_{n2}, & \dots, & \alpha_{nn} - P \end{pmatrix}.$$

One of the many solutions (22) is the solution to the equation

$$(A - P) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \dots \\ \sigma_n \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (23)$$

Since all elements of the matrix $(A - P)$ commute with each other, we can consider the determinant of the matrix $(A - P)$, which must be equal to zero, since the coefficients $\{\sigma^\eta\}$ are in the nontrivial kernel of the matrix $(A - P)$. Hence the equation on the right functions of RLO arises

$$\det(A - P) \equiv 0. \quad (24)$$

The determinant is a polynomial of the operator P of degree n , and its roots are various right-hand functions of RLO. Then, by substituting the obtained roots into the equation (22), we can find their corresponding coefficients $\{\sigma_\eta\}$ of the RLO's.

3 Irreducible representations of the $su^J(2)$ algebra

3.1 Constructing the Casimir ladder operators

In this section we construct ladder operators for the Casimir operator for the integer s . In this case only irreducible representations with integer weights are realized, and the kernel of operator J_z is always nontrivial for any number of particles. Thus, any irreducible representation can be obtained by applying the ladder operators of the algebra $su(2)$ to any state lying in the kernel of operator J_z . Thus it suffices to solve the classification problem within the kernel of J_z . When s is half-integer, the irreducible

representations of all possible weights are also realized. However, the proposed approach can be easily modified: resulting ladder operators may not commute with the operator J_z but they commute with ladder operators of J_z .

Let s be a non-negative integer and consider two sets of operators $\{p_k^\dagger\}_{k=0}^s$ and $\{m_k^\dagger\}_{k=1}^s$ commuting with operator J_z

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^\dagger &= 2a_0^\dagger, \\ p_k^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{(s+i)(s-i+1)}} \left(a_{-k}^\dagger J_+^k + a_k^\dagger J_-^k \right), \\ m_k^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{(s+i)(s-i+1)}} \left(a_{-k}^\dagger J_+^k - a_k^\dagger J_-^k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

All operators from the sets $\{p_k^\dagger\}$ and $\{m_k^\dagger\}$ are ladder operators of operator N

$$[N, p_k^\dagger] = p_k^\dagger, \quad [N, m_k^\dagger] = m_k^\dagger.$$

The operators p_k^\dagger and m_k^\dagger are closed with respect to the action of the Casimir operator J^2 in the sense of the definition (20)

$$\begin{aligned} [J^2, p_0^\dagger] &= s(s+1)p_0^\dagger + 2s(s+1)p_1^\dagger, \\ [J^2, p_k^\dagger] &= ((s+k+1)(s-k) - k(k-1))p_k^\dagger + (s+k+1)(s-k)p_{k+1}^\dagger \\ &\quad + p_{k-1}^\dagger((\hat{j} + J_z + 1)(\hat{j} - J_z) - k(k-1)) + 2k(m_k^\dagger + m_{k-1}^\dagger)J_z, \\ [J^2, m_k^\dagger] &= ((s+k+1)(s-k) - k(k-1))m_k^\dagger + (s+k+1)(s-k)m_{k+1}^\dagger \\ &\quad + m_{k-1}^\dagger((\hat{j} + J_z + 1)(\hat{j} - J_z) - k(k-1)) + 2k(p_k^\dagger + p_{k-1}^\dagger)J_z, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where the operator \hat{j} is defined as

$$\hat{j} = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{\hat{I} + 4J^2} - \hat{I}). \quad (27)$$

Let us find the right-hand functions of the ladder operators from the equation (22). We will construct ladder operators for the kernel J_z since the whole basis of the irreducible representation can be restored by the action of operators J_\pm . For this reason we can replace the operator J_z in the equation (26) by zero $J_z = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} [J^2, p_0^\dagger] &= s(s+1)p_0^\dagger + 2s(s+1)p_1^\dagger, \\ [J^2, p_k^\dagger] &= ((s+k+1)(s-k) - k(k-1))p_k^\dagger + (s+k+1)(s-k)p_{k+1}^\dagger \\ &\quad + p_{k-1}^\dagger((\hat{j} + 1)\hat{j} - k(k-1)), \\ [J^2, m_k^\dagger] &= ((s+k+1)(s-k) - k(k-1))m_k^\dagger + (s+k+1)(s-k)m_{k+1}^\dagger \\ &\quad + m_{k-1}^\dagger((\hat{j} + 1)\hat{j} - k(k-1)). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Let us construct a matrix $(A - P)$. The matrix A is a block-diagonal

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix},$$

consisting of two tridiagonal matrices (P and M) of dimensions $s+1$ and s correspondingly. Matrix P has the following form:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} s(s+1) & \hat{j}(\hat{j}+1), & 0, & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 2s(s+1) & s(s+1) - 4 & (\hat{j}-1)(\hat{j}+2) & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (s-1)(s+2) & s(s+1) - 8 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -s^2 + 5s - 2 & \hat{j}(\hat{j}+1) - s^2 + s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2s & -s^2 + s \end{pmatrix},$$

while M is obtained from matrix P by crossing out the first row and column. The choice of coefficients makes P and M symmetric with eigenvalues which are expressed through the operator \hat{j} . The following set of eigenvalues corresponds to matrix A

$$\{\theta(\theta + 2\hat{j} + 1)\hat{I}\}_{\theta=-s}^s.$$

The matrix P is matched by θ of the same parity as s , and the matrix M by all others.

We will look for the coefficients recurrently, starting from σ_s . For matrices P and M the equations on the ladder operator will be similar, which allows us to obtain a general result for them. Let us find the solution of the following equation

$$\left(P - \theta(\theta + 2\hat{j} + 1)\hat{I}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0^\theta \\ \sigma_1^\theta \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_s^\theta = \hat{I} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The coefficient σ_{s-1}^θ may be found at $\sigma_s^\theta = \hat{I}$ and

$$\sigma_{s-1}^\theta = \hat{j} \frac{\theta}{s} + \frac{\theta^2 + \theta + s^2 - s}{2s}. \quad (29)$$

Then considering the k -th string

$$(s-k)(s+k+1)\sigma_{k-1}^\theta + ((s-k)(s+k+1) - k(k-1) - \theta(\theta + 2\hat{j} + 1))\sigma_k^\theta + (\hat{j} - k)(\hat{j} + k + 1)\sigma_{k+1}^\theta = 0,$$

and expressing σ_{k-1}^θ through σ_k^θ and σ_{k+1}^θ we can obtain

$$\sigma_{k-1}^\theta = \frac{\theta^2 + \theta + k^2 + k}{(s+k)(s-k+1)}\sigma_k^\theta + \hat{j} \frac{2\theta\sigma_k^\theta}{(s+k)(s-k+1)} - \sigma_k^\theta - \frac{(\hat{j} + k + 1)(\hat{j} - k)}{(s+k)(s-k+1)}\sigma_{k+1}^\theta. \quad (30)$$

Here σ_k^θ is a polynomial of the operator \hat{j} of degree $(s-k)$.

3.2 Properties of Casimir ladder operators

Let us denote the obtained ladder operators through $\{\tau_\theta^\dagger\}_{\theta=-s}^s$:

$$\begin{cases} \tau_\theta^\dagger = \sum_{k=0}^s p_k^\dagger \sigma_k^\theta, & \text{for } \theta \text{ of the same parity as } s, \\ \tau_\theta^\dagger = \sum_{k=1}^s m_k^\dagger \sigma_k^\theta, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Ladder operators have the following commutative relations with the J^2 operator

$$[J^2, \tau_\theta^\dagger] = \tau_\theta^\dagger \theta(\theta + 2\hat{j} + 1). \quad (32)$$

Since the Casimir operator J^2 is represented as a polynomial $J^2 = \hat{j}(\hat{j} + 1)$ of operator \hat{j} , we obtain commutation relations between \hat{j} and $\{\tau_\theta^\dagger\}$ from the solution of equation

$$[\hat{j}^2 + \hat{j}, \tau_\theta^\dagger] = \tau_\theta^\dagger X(X + 2\hat{j} + 1) = \tau_\theta^\dagger \theta(\theta + 2\hat{j} + 1).$$

Hence $X = \theta\hat{I}$ and

$$[j, \tau_\theta^\dagger] = \theta\tau_\theta^\dagger. \quad (33)$$

Operators $\{\tau_\theta^\dagger\}$ are also ladder operators for operators $\frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (2k+1)\hat{I}}$, where k is non-negative:

$$\left[\frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (2k+1)\hat{I}}, \tau_\theta^\dagger \right] = \tau_\theta^\dagger \left(\frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (2k+1)\hat{I}} - \frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (2(k-\theta)+1)\hat{I}} \right). \quad (34)$$

There is a similar expression with the left-hand function:

$$\left[\frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (2k+1)\hat{I}}, \tau_\theta^\dagger \right] = \left(\frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (2k+1)\hat{I}} - \frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (2(k-\theta)+1)\hat{I}} \right) \tau_\theta^\dagger. \quad (35)$$

For an arbitrary polynomial of functions $\{\hat{j}^k\}_{k=0}^n$ and $\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2\hat{j} + (1 + 2k)\hat{I}}\right)^k\right\}_{k=0}^n$ commutative relations

with $\{\tau_\theta\}$ or $\{\tau_\theta^\dagger\}$ can be obtained. Single-particle Fock states belongs to the irreducible representation of the algebra $su(2)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $s(s + 1)$ of the Casimir operator J^2

$$J^2 |0, 0, \dots, n_k = 1, \dots, 0\rangle = s(s + 1) |0, 0, \dots, n_k = 1, \dots, 0\rangle.$$

The kernel J_z is one-dimensional and spanned by the following vector

$$|0, 0, \dots, n_0 = 1, \dots, 0\rangle.$$

The action of the ladder operators $\{\tau_\theta^\dagger\}$ allows constructing the canonical basis of the kernel J_z . At these, it is easy to show that

$$[\tau_\theta^\dagger \tau_\theta, J^2] = 0 = [\tau_\theta^\dagger \tau_\theta, J_z] = [\tau_\theta^\dagger \tau_\theta, N].$$

Thus, the commuting set $\{N; J^2, j_z\}$ can be augmented to complete set of commuting operators by some self-adjoint polynomials of ladder operators.

3.3 Annihilated states of the Casimir ladder operators

The geometry of Fock space allows us to find annihilated states of Casimir ladder operators $\{\tau_\theta^\dagger, \tau_\theta\}_{\theta=-s}^s$. Consider the eigenvectors of the operators \hat{j} and N lying in the kernel of the operator J_z

$$|n, j, , j_z = 0\rangle,$$

Given n the eigenvalues of the operator \hat{j} are placed on the interval $0 \leq j \leq ns$. The action of operators within the J_z kernel can be represented by the following scheme for $\omega = 1 \dots s$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\omega^\dagger : |n, j\rangle &\mapsto |n + 1, j + \omega\rangle, & \text{and} & & \tau_{-\omega}^\dagger : |n, j + \omega\rangle &\mapsto |n + 1, j\rangle, \\ \tau_\omega : |n + 1, j + \omega\rangle &\mapsto |n, j\rangle, & & & \tau_{-\omega} : |n + 1, j\rangle &\mapsto |n, j + \omega\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Operators τ_ω^\dagger have a trivial kernel if ω is the same parity, as s . If ω differs in parity from s , then all one-particle state lies in the kernel of τ_ω^\dagger . This is due to the antisymmetric definition of the operator τ_ω^\dagger for ω other than s parity.

The operators τ_ω will annihilate all states $j < \omega$ and the vacuum state $n = 0$, thus realizing ω different representations of the algebra. The algebra of the pair of operators τ_ω^\dagger and τ_ω itself is a deformation of the Weyl algebra $w(1)$. Its different representations are defined by the number $r_\theta = j \bmod \omega$ and the eigenvalues of of the self-adjoint operators

$$\tau_\omega^\dagger \tau_\omega.$$

The operators $\tau_{-\omega}^\dagger$ annihilate all states with $j < \omega$, while the operators $\tau_{-\omega}$ annihilate all states with $j > ns - \omega$ and vacuum state $n = 0$. Thus, we can say that the operators $\tau_{-\omega}^\dagger$ and $\tau_{-\omega}$ represent a deformation of the algebra $su(2)$, where the representations may be classified by the number $r_\theta = j \bmod \omega$ and the eigenvalues of the following selfadjoint operators

$$L_z^\omega = [\tau_{-\omega}^\dagger, \tau_{-\omega}], \quad L_\omega^2 = (L_z^\omega)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\tau_{-\omega}^\dagger \tau_{-\omega} + \tau_{-\omega} \tau_{-\omega}^\dagger).$$

The operators τ_0^\dagger and τ_0 do not change the eigenvalues of the Casimir operator J^2

$$\tau_0^\dagger |n, j\rangle \Rightarrow |n + 1, j\rangle, \quad \tau_0 |n + 1, j\rangle \Rightarrow |n, j\rangle, \quad (37)$$

4 Demo case: $s = 1$

In this case the classification problem is of small interest because of all subspaces of kernel J_z are one-dimensional and the set of commuting operators $N; J^2, J_z$ is complete. However, the use of ladder operators can be well demonstrated by this example. In this case the generators of the $su(2)$ algebra are represented as follows

$$J_z = \sum_{\mu=-1}^1 \mu a_\mu^\dagger a_\mu, \quad J_+ = (J_-)^\dagger = \sum_{\mu=-1}^{\mu=0} \sqrt{(\mu + 2)(1 - \mu)} a_{\mu+1}^\dagger a_\mu. \quad (38)$$

Then we consider the operators

$$p_0^\dagger = 2a_0^\dagger, \quad \sqrt{2}p_1^\dagger = a_1^\dagger J_- + a_{-1}^\dagger J_+, \quad (39)$$

with commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [p_0, p_0^\dagger] &= 4, & [p_1, p_1^\dagger] &= 2\hat{j}(\hat{j} + 1) - J_z(2J_z + 1) + (N - N_0)(J_z - 2), \\ [p_1, p_0^\dagger] &= 2(N - N_0), & [p_0, p_1^\dagger] &= 2(N - N_0), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $N_0 = a_0^\dagger a_0$. Considering the action of the m_1^\dagger operator on an arbitrary Fock state

$$|n_{-1} = m, n_0 = k, n_1 = m\rangle$$

one can easily check that the operator m_1^\dagger annihilates the J_z kernel. However, outside the kernel J_z the operator m_1^\dagger acts nontrivially, which allows the construction of ladder operators on the whole Fock space. Our goal is to obtain canonical basis inside the kernel of J_z and reconstruct whole basis applying the operators J_+ and J_- .

Let us write commutation relations between p_i^\dagger and J^2 operators:

$$[J^2, p_0^\dagger] = 2p_0^\dagger + 4p_1^\dagger, \quad [J^2, p_1^\dagger] = p_0^\dagger J_- J_+ = p_0^\dagger (\hat{j} - J_z)(\hat{j} + J_z + 1).$$

Taking $J_z \equiv 0$ we can rewrite this relations as follows

$$[J^2, p_0^\dagger] = 2p_0^\dagger + 4p_1^\dagger, \quad [J^2, p_1^\dagger] = p_0^\dagger \hat{j}(\hat{j} + 1). \quad (41)$$

The solution of the equation on the right functions of the ladder operators are operators $-2\hat{j}$ and $2(\hat{j} + 1)$.

We introduce the notations τ_{-1}^\dagger and τ_1^\dagger for the obtained ladder operators. They have the following commutative relations with the operator J^2

$$[J^2, \tau_{-1}^\dagger] = -\tau_{-1}^\dagger 2\hat{j}, \quad [J^2, \tau_1^\dagger] = \tau_1^\dagger 2(\hat{j} + 1) \quad (42)$$

and may be expressed through the operators p_0^\dagger and p_1^\dagger as follows

$$\tau_{-1}^\dagger = p_0^\dagger \hat{j} - 2p_1^\dagger, \quad \tau_1^\dagger = p_0^\dagger (\hat{j} + 1) + 2p_1^\dagger. \quad (43)$$

Commutation relations between operators τ_{-1}^\dagger , τ_1^\dagger and \hat{j} gives

$$[\hat{j}, \tau_{-1}^\dagger] = -\tau_{-1}^\dagger, \quad [\hat{j}, \tau_1^\dagger] = \tau_1^\dagger \quad (44)$$


From the Jacobi relation we can also obtain commutator $[\tau_1^\dagger, \tau_{-1}^\dagger]$ that is ought to be a ladder operator \hat{j} :

$$[\hat{j}, [\tau_1^\dagger, \tau_{-1}^\dagger]] = 2[\tau_1^\dagger, \tau_{-1}^\dagger].$$

Any vector of the canonical basis can be obtained by the joint action of the ladder operators

$$|n, j, j_z\rangle_{su2} = \alpha(n, j, j_z) \begin{cases} J_+^{j_z} (\tau_{-1}^\dagger)^{\frac{n-j}{2}} (\tau_1^\dagger)^{\frac{n+j}{2}} |000\rangle_F, & j_z > 0 \\ (\tau_{-1}^\dagger)^{\frac{n-j}{2}} (\tau_1^\dagger)^{\frac{n+j}{2}} |000\rangle_F, & j_z = 0 \\ J_-^{j_z} (\tau_{-1}^\dagger)^{\frac{n-j}{2}} (\tau_1^\dagger)^{\frac{n+j}{2}} |000\rangle_F, & j_z < 0. \end{cases}$$

The action of the ladder operators and the structure of irreducible representations of the algebra $su(2)$ can be visualized by the following scheme for $j_z = 0$:



Now let us consider again the operators p_0^\dagger and p_1^\dagger which may be expressed through the operators τ_{-1}^\dagger and τ_1^\dagger :

$$p_0^\dagger = (\tau_1^\dagger + \tau_{-1}^\dagger) \frac{1}{2\hat{j}+1}, \quad p_1^\dagger = \frac{1}{4} \left((\tau_1^\dagger - \tau_{-1}^\dagger) - (\tau_1^\dagger + \tau_{-1}^\dagger) \frac{1}{2\hat{j}+1} \right),$$

From here we can find commutation relations with the operator \hat{j} :

$$[\hat{j}, p_0^\dagger] = (p_0^\dagger + 4p_1^\dagger) \frac{1}{2\hat{j}+1}, \quad [\hat{j}, p_1^\dagger] = (p_0^\dagger J^2 - p_1^\dagger) \frac{1}{2\hat{j}+1}. \quad (45)$$

We define a new operators, which will also be RLOs for the operator J^2 :

$$A^\dagger = \tau_1^\dagger \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\hat{j}+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(N+1)+\hat{j}+1+1}} \frac{\sqrt{2(\hat{j}+1)+1}}{\sqrt{2(\hat{j}+1)-1}}, \quad (46)$$

$$L_+ = \tau_{-1}^\dagger \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\hat{j}+1}} \frac{\sqrt{2(\hat{j}+1)-1}}{\sqrt{2(\hat{j}+1)+1}}. \quad (47)$$

Operators A and A^\dagger satisfy the commutation relations on the Weyl algebra $w(1)$

$$[A, A^\dagger] = \hat{I}.$$

Self-adjoint operator $A^\dagger A$ has the same eigenvalues as the operator \hat{j} . The action on the state $|n, j j_z\rangle$ is defined by the formula

$$A^\dagger A |n, j j_z\rangle = j |n, j j_z\rangle.$$

Operators L_\pm are represented by self-adjoint polynomials and define the operators L_z and L^2

$$L_z = \frac{1}{2}[L_+, L_-], \quad L^2 = L_z^2 + L_z + L_- L_+,$$

which satisfy the commutation relations on $su(2)$ algebra. Action L_z and L^2 on the eigenstates is given by the following expression

$$L_z |n, j j_z\rangle = \left(\frac{n-j}{2} - \frac{n+j}{4} \right) |n, j j_z\rangle,$$

$$L^2 |n, j j_z\rangle = \frac{n+j}{4} \left(\frac{n+j}{4} + 1 \right) |n, j j_z\rangle.$$

The set of mutually commutative operators $\{A^\dagger A, L_z, L^2\}$ is complete and can be used to classify the states as well as the sets $\{N_{-1}, N_0, N_1\}$ and $\{J^2, J_z, N\}$. By constructing left-hand ladder operators for J^2 , we obtain another form of ladder operators

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\tau}_1 &= [a_0, \hat{j}] + a_0, & \bar{\tau}_{-1} &= -[a_0, \hat{j}] + a_0, \\ \bar{\tau}_1^\dagger &= [\hat{j}, a_0^\dagger] + a_0^\dagger, & \bar{\tau}_{-1}^\dagger &= -[\hat{j}, a_0^\dagger] + a_0^\dagger, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

from where, in particular, an interesting expression emerges

$$[\hat{j}, [\hat{j}, a_0^\dagger]] = a_0^\dagger.$$

5 Conclusion

A method of classification and construction of invariant spaces corresponding to various irreducible representations of the $su(2)$ algebra is proposed. For Casimir operator of this algebra we obtained a set of ladder operators, which are used to find the canonical basis. Algebras formed by ladder operators are deformations of known algebras, which eigenvalues determine persistent states of the Hamiltonian H . In this paper we considered the simplest case for the $su^j(2)$ representation and applied the ladder operator approach to demonstrate the method. This approach is based on commutative algebra relations and can be applied to the analysis of irreducible representations of various Lie algebras. Having solved the problem in this paper we obtained an infinite basis of a complex structure which can be recovered from any chosen element of basis by the action of the ladder operators.

References

- [1] C. L. Williams, N. N. Pandya, B. G. Bodmann, D. J. Kouri, Coupled supersymmetry and ladder structures beyond the harmonic oscillator, *Molecular Physics*, DOI: 10.1080/00268976.2018.1473655
- [2] S. E. Hoffmann, V. Hussin, I. Marquette, Y.-Z. Zhang, Ladder operators and coherent states for multi-step supersymmetric rational extensions of the truncated oscillator, *J. Math. Phys.* 60, 052105 (2019)
- [3] P. Bosso, S. Das, Generalized ladder operators for the perturbed harmonic oscillator, *Ann. of Phys.*, 396, 254-265 (2018)
- [4] K. Aouda, N. Kanda, S. Naka, H. Toyoda, Ladder Operators in Repulsive Harmonic Oscillator with Application to the Schwinger Effect, *Phys. Rev. D*, 102, 025002 (2020)
- [5] Weyl H., Robertson H.P., 1950, *The theory of groups and quantum mechanics*, Dover Publications, New York. ISBN-13: 978-1614275800
- [6] Perelomov A.M., 1986, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications*, Springer, Berlin.
- [7] Biedenharn L. C., Louck J.D., 1984, *Angular momentum in quantum physics*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, ISBN-13: 978-0521102445.
- [8] Miroshnichenko G. P., Kiselev A. D., Trifanov A. I., Gleim A. V., 2017, Algebraic approach to electro-optic modulation of light: exactly solvable multimode quantum model, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, Vol. 34(6), pp. 1177–1190.
- [9] Gelfand I. D., Shapiro Z., Ya., Minlos R., A., 1963, *Representations of the Rotation and Lorentz Groups and Their Applications*, The Pergamon Press, Oxford, ISBN-13: 978-0486823850.
- [10] Tushavin G.V., Trifanov A.I., Trifanova E.S., Shipitsyn I.A.. (2019). Structure of invariant subspaces of the rotation group image under the Jordan mapping. 216-220. 10.1109/DD46733.2019.9016524.