

TOPOLOGICAL EXPANSION OF RECTANGULAR HCIZ INTEGRALS

JONATHAN NOVAK

ABSTRACT. We present a topological expansion of the rectangular HCIZ integral, a unitary two-matrix integral which plays the role of the usual HCIZ integral in the context of rectangular and non-normal random matrices. This topological expansion is analytically valid as a large N asymptotic expansion in an oscillatory strong coupling regime. It involves a refinement of the monotone double Hurwitz numbers which refer to as two-legged.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** If X_N is an $N \times N$ random Hermitian matrix with uniformly random eigenvectors and deterministic eigenvalues, then by the spectral theorem the characteristic function of X_N is

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{E}[e^{i \operatorname{Tr} A X_N}] = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} e^{i \operatorname{Tr} A U B U^{-1}} dU,$$

where the argument A is a Hermitian matrix, which is without loss in generality diagonal, and B is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of X_N , which is determined up to simultaneous permutations of its rows and columns. The integration is over unitary matrices $U = [U_{xy}]_{x,y=1}^N$ against unit mass Haar measure. It follows that the characteristic function of any random Hermitian matrix whose distribution is invariant under unitary conjugation is a spectral average of orbital characteristic functions (1.1). Put another way, the kernel $I_N: \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad I_N((a_1, \dots, a_N), (b_1, \dots, b_N)) = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} e^{i \sum_{x,y=1}^N a_x |U_{xy}|^2 b_y} dU,$$

which is a symmetric function of its arguments and the coordinates of its arguments, is the random matrix analogue of the standard Fourier kernel

$$(1.3) \quad K_N((a_1, \dots, a_N), (b_1, \dots, b_N)) = e^{i \sum_{x=1}^N a_x b_x}$$

from vector-valued probability.

The kernel I_N is known as the Harish-Chandra/Itzykson-Zuber integral [21, 23]. Any approach to the spectral analysis of general invariant ensembles X_N in which $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit theorems are extracted from the large N behavior of the characteristic function $\mathbb{E}[e^{i \operatorname{Tr} A X_N}]$ will require the large N asymptotics of the kernel I_N as input. Although a Fourier theory of large random matrices does not yet exist in full generality, glimpses of such a theory can be seen in works such as [4, 7, 12, 25, 30, 34]. The use of characteristic functions to obtain spectral limit laws for invariant ensembles

of random matrices would be a welcome development, since a Fourier approach would apply equally well to invariant ensembles whose distribution is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on the Euclidean space of Hermitian matrices, such as the familiar $e^{N \text{Tr} V}$ ensembles [9], and those whose distribution is Lebesgue-singular, which arise for example when decompositions in representation theory are viewed as stochastic processes [5]. Moreover, a sufficiently robust Fourier approach would be well-positioned to handle multimatrix models, i.e. random vectors each of whose components is an invariant ensemble.

The large N behavior of the HCIZ integral is highly non-trivial: we aim to approximate an oscillatory integral over an N^2 -dimensional manifold as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Standard techniques for the asymptotic analysis of exponential integrals are inapplicable because of the rapidly growing dimension of the space being integrated over. Although one can observe that the integrand of I_N depends on the unitary matrix $U = [U_{xy}]_{x,y=1}^N$ only up to the doubly stochastic matrix $M = [|U_{xy}|^2]_{x,y=1}^N$ of its squared moduli, this does not yield an appreciable reduction in degrees of freedom: the mapping $U \mapsto M$ is a submersion for almost all U [26], and the dimension of the Birkhoff polytope $B(N)$ is $(N-1)^2$. The critical points of the integrand of I_N are the vertices of the Birkhoff polytope, which are the permutation matrices in $U(N)$, so that the stationary phase approximation to I_N involves an asymptotically intractable sum of $N!$ imaginary exponentials. Indeed, the remarkable fact [10] that the stationary phase approximation of I_N is an exact evaluation is essentially a negative result from the large N perspective.

A path forward is provided by a different exact evaluation of the oscillatory integral (1.2) — its character expansion. This is an infinite series expansion of I_N , and despite the fact that $\infty > N!$ it provides a better starting point for large N approximations than does the stationary phase sum. Before stating the character expansion, let us extend the HCIZ kernel to an entire function of $2N+1$ complex variables $a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N, z$ by allowing $((a_1, \dots, a_N), (b_1, \dots, b_N))$ to range over $\mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^N$ in (1.2), and replacing the imaginary unit i with zN , thereby introducing the new variable

$$(1.4) \quad z = \text{complex 't Hooft coupling.}$$

The character expansion of the entire function $I_N: \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is just its Maclaurin series presented in a way which reflects its symmetries. This formula is well-known in various contexts, including multivariate statistics [24], quantum field theory [23], and ergodic theory [34].

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$I_N = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} z^d \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_N) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda),$$

where $s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is the Schur polynomial corresponding to λ and

$$\Omega_{\hbar}(\lambda) = \prod_{\square \in \lambda} (1 + \hbar c(\square))$$

is the content polynomial of λ . The series converges uniformly absolutely on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} .

In stating Theorem 1.1 we have appealed to basic notions and notations from the representation theory of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$. To keep the presentation succinct we assume familiarity with this material, for which standard references are [29, 35]. Briefly, the internal (finite) sum in the character expansion of I_N runs over Young diagrams λ with d cells and at most N rows, and for each such diagram $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is the corresponding Schur polynomial, which enumerates semistandard Young tableaux of shape λ with entries from $\{1, \dots, N\}$. The symbol $c(\square)$ denotes the content of a cell $\square \in \lambda$, which is its column index minus its row index when the diagram λ is drawn according to the English convention. In particular, the constraint $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$ ensures that the numerical coefficients

$$(1.5) \quad \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) = \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{c(\square)}{N}}$$

are finite positive numbers.

The character expansion of I_N may not appear to be an ideal starting point for an $N \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotic analysis. The most basic issue is that it is an infinite sum. One way to overcome this is to observe that, on sufficiently thin compact polydiscs in \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} ,

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{D}_N(\varepsilon) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} : |a_x|, |b_y| \leq 1, |z| \leq \varepsilon\},$$

the first $\Theta(N^2)$ terms of the character expansion uniformly approximate I_N up to an $e^{-O(N^2)}$ error. Another obvious problem is that to analyze the asymptotics of I_N via its character expansion, we apparently need access to the asymptotics of Schur polynomials. This is problematic, since according to the basic principle that characters are Fourier transforms of coadjoint orbits [28], $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and I_N are equivalent objects, meaning that any direct attempt to obtain the asymptotics of Schur polynomials will return us to our starting point. A better idea is to eliminate the Schur polynomials from the character expansion of I_N using the Frobenius relation,

$$(1.7) \quad s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{\dim \mathbf{V}^\lambda}{d!} \sum_{\alpha \vdash d} \omega_\alpha(\lambda) p_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_N),$$

which expresses them in terms of the eigenvalues $\omega_\alpha(\lambda)$ of the conjugacy classes C_α of the symmetric group $S(d)$ acting in the irreducible representation \mathbf{V}^λ labeled by λ , and the Newton polynomials

$$(1.8) \quad p_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^{\alpha_i},$$

whose large N behavior is transparent. This transforms the character expansion of I_N into its string expansion,

$$(1.9) \quad I_N = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} p_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_N) p_\beta(b_1, \dots, b_N) H_N(\alpha, \beta),$$

and concentrates all the complexity of the problem in the string coefficients

$$(1.10) \quad H_N(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} \frac{(\dim V^\lambda)^2}{d!} \omega_\alpha(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \omega_\beta(\lambda),$$

whose large N behavior must be understood in the regime where $\alpha, \beta \vdash d \leq tN^2$, with $t > 0$ a truncation parameter which we are free to choose. This analysis was carried out in [32], where the following large N topological expansion of the HCIZ integral I_N was obtained.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists an absolute constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for any nonnegative integer k , we have*

$$\log I_N = \sum_{g=0}^k N^{2-2g} F_{Ng} + o(N^{2-2k})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the error term is uniform over $\mathbf{D}_N(\varepsilon)$ and

$$F_{Ng} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} \frac{p_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_N)}{N^{\ell(\alpha)}} \frac{p_\beta(a_1, \dots, a_N)}{N^{\ell(\beta)}} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)} \vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta)$$

is a power series which converges uniformly absolutely on $\mathbf{D}_N(\varepsilon)$. The coefficients $\vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta)$ are the connected monotone double Hurwitz numbers genus g .

The monotone double Hurwitz numbers $\vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta)$ were introduced in [15] as a “desymmetrized” version of the double Hurwitz numbers $H_g(\alpha, \beta)$, as defined in [33], which count equivalence classes of pairs (\mathbf{X}, f) consisting of a compact connected Riemann surface \mathbf{X} of genus g together with a degree d holomorphic function $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ with ramification profile $\alpha, \beta \vdash d$ over the $0, \infty \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and simple branching over $r = 2g - 2 + \ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)$ additional points on the sphere, the number of which is determined by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. Via a classical construction due to Hurwitz [22], $H_g(\alpha, \beta)$ may equivalently be viewed as the number of walks on the Cayley graph of $S(d)$, as generated by the conjugacy class of transpositions, which begin at a permutation of cycle type α , end at a permutation of cycle type β , have length $2g - 2 + \ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)$, and have the property that their steps and endpoints together generate a transitive subgroup of $S(d)$. The monotone Hurwitz number $\vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta)$ is obtained by enforcing an additional combinatorial constraint called “monotonicity” on the walks counted by $H_g(\alpha, \beta)$. Monotone Hurwitz numbers can be given an intrinsic topological interpretation in terms of branched coverings [1], but this is somewhat complicated and not really relevant in the context of large N expansions of matrix integrals — it is more useful to simply think of them as “directed” versions of their classical counterparts.

It will be interesting to see how the topological expansion of the HCIZ integral at strong coupling enters into the Fourier theory of invariant ensembles, the general development of which seems all but inevitable at this stage. For a very simple application in a random tiling problem, see [31]. Theorem 1.2 is complementary to a famous result of Guionnet and Zeitouni [20] which gives a variational characterization of the first order asymptotics of $\log I_N$ on the real domain $\mathbb{R} \times [-1, 1]^N \times [-1, 1]^N$, i.e. at arbitrary real coupling strength with complex parameters forbidden. It is

likely the case that a robust Fourier theory of invariant ensembles will require complex asymptotics of the HCIZ kernel I_N at large N and weak coupling, so that neither Theorem 1.2 nor the Guionnet-Zeitouni theorem contains enough information to power such a theory on its own. To obtain complex asymptotics for $\log I_N$ with $|z|$ larger than Theorem 1.2 permits, analytic continuation and resurgence methods will have to be combined with structural results from monotone Hurwitz theory [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The implementation of this program seems to lie far in the future, and there are many conceptual and technical hurdles to be overcome. However, the future occasionally arrives earlier than expected.

1.2. Result. In this paper we present an analogue of Theorem 1.2 for the so-called rectangular HCIZ integral,

$$(1.11) \quad I_{MN} = \int_{U(M)} \int_{U(N)} e^{zN \operatorname{Tr}(AUBV^{-1} + VDU^{-1}C)} dU dV.$$

Here $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is again a complex parameter, $A, D \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ and $B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ are complex rectangular matrices, and by Fubini we may assume $M \geq N$. This double integral replaces the HCIZ integral in the context of random rectangular matrices, where the spectral decomposition is replaced by the singular value decomposition (the case $M = N$ corresponds to non-normal square random matrices). In many applications one encounters the RHCIZ integral (1.11) with $A^* = C$ and $B^* = D$, in which case the matrices $AUBV^{-1}, VDU^{-1}C \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ are mutually adjoint and their sum is selfadjoint. If one also assumes $z \in \mathbb{R}$, then a probabilistic approach to the asymptotics of I_{MN} becomes a possibility, and indeed a rectangular analogue of the Guionnet-Zeitouni theorem has recently been obtained by Guionnet and Huang [19]. Our objective is to obtain complementary complex asymptotics for I_{MN} at strong coupling, so we make no assumptions of realness or selfadjointness but will need to take $|z|$ small.

Our point of departure is the following rectangular extension of Theorem 1.1, which once again allows us to view I_{MN} as an entire function on \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} . We have not found this character expansion in the existing literature.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $A, D \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ and $B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$*

$$I_{MN} = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} (qz^2)^d \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_N) \Omega_{\frac{1}{M}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda),$$

where the quotient $q = N/M$ is the aspect ratio of an $M \times N$ matrix, $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary enumeration of the potentially nonzero eigenvalues of $AC \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$, and b_1, \dots, b_N is an arbitrary enumeration of the potentially nonzero eigenvalues of $DB \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$. The series converges uniformly absolutely on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} .

In view of Theorem 1.3, we may replace the RHCIZ integral I_{MN} with an even more general object, which we call the qHCIZ integral. This is in general not an integral; rather, it is the entire function of $2N + 1$ complex variables defined by

$$(1.12) \quad I_N = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} z^d \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_N) \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda),$$

with $q \in [0, 1]$ a continuum parameter. We recover the original HCIZ integral as the qHCIZ integral at $q = 0$, and likewise we recover the all rectangular HCIZ integrals at appropriate rational values $q = \frac{N}{M}$. It is interesting to note that at $q = 1$ this object is closely related to the partition function of two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory on a genus two surface with two boundaries.

Many of the properties of the original HCIZ integral persist for $q > 0$. In particular, it is apparent that the qHCIZ integral is a finite-dimensional approximation to a tau function of the 2-Toda lattice hierarchy, specifically a tau function of the content-product type [8], so that the results in [37] can be extended to positive q . The integrable systems results of [2] can likewise be extended. We do not need the Toda equations here and consequently do not discuss this further, leaving any future developments along these lines in the hands of more capable researchers. We have only one goal: to extend Theorem 1.2 by obtaining the large N asymptotics of I_N at fixed but arbitrary $q \in [0, 1]$.

Our main finding is that there is indeed a very natural topological expansion of the qHCIZ integral in which the underlying combinatorial invariants are given by a conceptually simple refinement of monotone double Hurwitz numbers which we refer to as “two-legged” (the moniker “double double Hurwitz numbers” did not seem advisable). Our extension of Theorem 1.2 to arbitrary $q \in [0, 1]$ is the following.

Theorem 1.4. *There exists an absolute constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for any nonnegative integer k , we have*

$$\log I_N = \sum_{g=0}^k N^{2-2g} F_{Ng} + o(N^{2-2k})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the error term is uniform over $\mathbf{D}_N(\varepsilon)$ and

$$F_{Ng} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} \frac{p_{\alpha}(a_1, \dots, a_N)}{N^{\ell(\alpha)}} \frac{p_{\beta}(a_1, \dots, a_N)}{N^{\ell(\beta)}} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)} \sum_{s=0}^{2g-2+\ell(\alpha)+\ell(\beta)} q^s \vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta; s)$$

is a power series which converges uniformly absolutely on $\mathbf{D}_N(\varepsilon)$, and where $\vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta; s)$ are the connected two-legged monotone double Hurwitz numbers of genus g .

Briefly, two-legged monotone double Hurwitz numbers count concatenations of two monotone walks, i.e. journeys in the world of permutations consisting of two successive monotone legs. These can be interpreted topologically in just the same way as journeys consisting of a single monotone leg. We are not aware of any previous topological expansion of rectangular HCIZ integrals, even at a formal level — this is not surprising given that the situation was the same for the standard HCIZ integral prior to the discovery of monotone Hurwitz numbers [15]. In fact, the argument presented here may be further generalized to obtain a topological expansion for an m -fold “multi-rectangular” HCIZ integral $I_{N_1 \dots N_m}$ with arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$; the underlying invariants are then m -legged monotone double Hurwitz

numbers. This multirectangular integral appears in the survey [38], which has pointers to its other appearances in the literature.

2. PROOF

In this section we outline the key steps in a proof of Theorem 1.4, explaining how they may be executed by modifying the proof of Theorem 1.2 given in [32].

2.1. Step One: Character expansion. Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed, with $q = \frac{N}{M} \leq 1$. The character expansion of I_{MN} is obtained starting from the basic observation that the inner integration in (1.11),

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} e^{zN \operatorname{Tr}(AUBV^{-1}+VDU^{-1}C)} dU = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} e^{zN \operatorname{Tr}(BV^{-1}AU+CVDU^{-1})} dU,$$

is just the BGW integral [3, 7, 18, 36]

$$(2.2) \quad J_N = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} e^{zN \operatorname{Tr}(PU+QU^{-1})} dU$$

with $P, Q \in \mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$(2.3) \quad P = BV^{-1}A \quad \text{and} \quad Q = CVD.$$

For any $P, Q \in \mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$, the character expansion of the BGW integral is [32, Theorem 2.3]

$$(2.4) \quad J_N = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2d}}{d!d!} N^{2d} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(PQ) \frac{(\dim V^{\lambda})^2}{\dim W_N^{\lambda}},$$

where V^{λ} and W_N^{λ} are, respectively, the irreducible representations of the symmetric group $S(d)$ and the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to λ , and for any matrix $Z \in \mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ we denote by $s_{\lambda}(Z)$ the Schur function s_{λ} evaluated on its eigenvalues. We thus have the expansion

$$(2.5) \quad I_{MN} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2d}}{d!d!} N^{2d} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_{\lambda}(BV^{-1}ACVD) dV \frac{(\dim V^{\lambda})^2}{\dim W_N^{\lambda}},$$

which converges absolutely uniformly on compact subsets of the complex z -plane for any arbitrary $A, D \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ and $B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$.

It remains to compute the integral

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_{\lambda}(BV^{-1}ACVD) dV.$$

From the characteristic polynomial identity

$$(2.7) \quad \det(xI_M - Z_1Z_2) = x^{M-N} \det(xI_N - Z_2Z_1),$$

which holds for arbitrary $Z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ and $Z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$, the spectrum of $DBV^{-1}ACV \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ coincides with that of $BV^{-1}ACVD \in \mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ up to $M - N$ additional zero eigenvalues. Thus, because the Schur polynomials are stable,

$$(2.8) \quad s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N),$$

we have

$$(2.9) \quad s_\lambda(BV^{-1}ACVD) = s_\lambda(DBV^{-1}ACV),$$

and therefore

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_\lambda(BV^{-1}ACVD)dV = \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_\lambda(DBV^{-1}ACV)dV.$$

For the integral on the right hand side of this equation, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_\lambda(DBV^{-1}ACV)dV = \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_\lambda(ACVDBV^{-1})dV$$

because $DBV^{-1}ACV \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ and $ACVDBV^{-1} \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ have the same eigenvalues. But this is just the integral

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_\lambda(XVYV^{-1})dV = \frac{s_\lambda(X)s_\lambda(Y)}{\dim W_M^\lambda}$$

with $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$(2.13) \quad X = AC \quad \text{and} \quad Y = DB,$$

and W_M^λ the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to λ , which exists because $\ell(\lambda) \leq N \leq M$. We thus conclude that

$$(2.14) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_\lambda(BV^{-1}ACVD)dV = \frac{s_\lambda(a_1, \dots, a_M)s_\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_M)}{\dim W_M^\lambda}$$

with $a_1, \dots, a_M \in \mathbb{C}$ an arbitrary enumeration of the eigenvalues of $AC \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ and $b_1, \dots, b_M \in \mathbb{C}$ an arbitrary enumeration of the eigenvalues of $DB \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$. Observing that AC and DB have rank at most $N \leq M$, we actually have

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\mathbf{U}(M)} s_\lambda(BV^{-1}ACVD)dV = \frac{s_\lambda(a_1, \dots, a_N)s_\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_N)}{\dim W_M^\lambda}$$

with $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ the potentially nonzero eigenvalues of $AC \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ and $b_1, \dots, b_N \in \mathbb{C}$ the potentially nonzero eigenvalues of $DB \in \mathfrak{gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$.

We conclude that for all analytic purposes the RHCIZ integral I_{MN} is equivalent to the entire function of $2N + 1$ complex variables $a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N, z$ defined by the series

$$(2.16) \quad 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2d}}{d!d!} N^{2d} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_N) \frac{(\dim V^{\lambda})^2}{(\dim W_M^{\lambda})(\dim W_N^{\lambda})},$$

which converges uniformly absolutely on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} . In fact, let us replace z^2 with z and redefine I_{MN} to be the series

$$(2.17) \quad I_{MN} = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!d!} N^{2d} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_N) \frac{(\dim V^{\lambda})^2}{(\dim W_M^{\lambda})(\dim W_N^{\lambda})}.$$

We will explain how this becomes the qHCIZ integral momentarily; first we prove an approximation result using this preliminary form of Theorem 1.3.

2.2. Step Two: Strong coupling approximation. For $t > 0$, let

$$P_{MN} = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\lfloor tN^2 \rfloor} \frac{z^d}{d!d!} N^{2d} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_N) \frac{(\dim V^{\lambda})^2}{(\dim W_M^{\lambda})(\dim W_N^{\lambda})}$$

denote the truncation of I_{MN} at coupling degree $\lfloor tN^2 \rfloor$, where we omit the dependence of the polynomial P_{MN} on the truncation parameter t to lighten notation.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote sup norm on bounded functions on the closed unit polydisc \mathbf{D}_N in \mathbb{C}^N . Since the Schur polynomials are monomial positive, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \|s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N)\| = s_{\lambda}(1, \dots, 1) = \dim W_N^{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda \vdash d$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$, we have

$$(2.19) \quad \dim W_M^{\lambda} = s_{\lambda}(1^M) \geq s_{\lambda}(1^N) = \dim W_N^{\lambda},$$

where equality holds if and only if $M = N$. This is simply because $M \geq N$ implies that any semistandard Young tableau of shape λ with entries from $\{1, \dots, M\}$ is also a semistandard Young tableau with entries from $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Consequently,

$$(2.20) \quad \|s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N) s_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots, y_N)\| = s_{\lambda}(1^N) s_{\lambda}(1^N) \leq \dim W_M^{\lambda} \dim W_N^{\lambda}.$$

We now have that

$$(2.21) \quad \left\| s_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_N) s_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots, y_N) \frac{(\dim V^{\lambda})^2}{(\dim W_M^{\lambda})(\dim W_N^{\lambda})} \right\| \leq (\dim V^{\lambda})^2.$$

Moreover, the inequality

$$(2.22) \quad \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} (\dim V^{\lambda})^2 \leq d!$$

follows from the Fourier isomorphism

$$(2.23) \quad \text{CS}(d) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash d} \text{End} \mathbb{V}^\lambda,$$

or alternatively from the Robinson-Schensted correspondence. Thus, for any $\rho \geq 0$ we have

$$(2.24) \quad \|I_{MN} - P_{MN}\|_\rho \leq \sum_{d > tN^2}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} N^{2d},$$

so that by elementary factorial bounds we have

$$(2.25) \quad \|I_{MN} - P_{MN}\|_\rho \leq u_t(\rho) e^{-v_t(\rho)N^2}$$

for any $\rho \leq \frac{t}{e}$, where

$$(2.26) \quad u_t(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{ex}{t}} \quad \text{and} \quad v_t(x) = t \log \frac{t}{ex}$$

satisfy

$$(2.27) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} u_t(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} v_t(x) = \infty,$$

meaning that we can make (2.25) arbitrarily tight by choosing t small enough.

2.3. Step Three: String form. From standard dimension formulas, we have that

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{\dim \mathbb{V}^\lambda}{\dim \mathbb{W}_M^\lambda} = \frac{d!}{M^d} \Omega_{\frac{1}{M}}^{-1}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\dim \mathbb{V}^\lambda}{\dim \mathbb{W}_N^\lambda} = \frac{d!}{N^d} \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda),$$

so that

$$(2.29) \quad I_{MN} = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} (qz)^d \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_\lambda(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_N) \Omega_{\frac{1}{M}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda).$$

This is Theorem 1.3 — recall that we rescaled z^2 to z after identifying the RHCIZ integral with its character expansion. Moreover, since

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{1}{M} = \frac{q}{N},$$

we equivalently have

$$(2.31) \quad I_{MN} = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} (qz)^d \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_\lambda(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_N) \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda),$$

which eliminates M on the right hand side. Note that the constraint $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$ ensures that the product

$$(2.32) \quad \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) = \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{c(\square)}{N}}$$

is a well-defined product of positive numbers, and since $q \leq 1$ the same holds true for

$$(2.33) \quad \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) = \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{qc(\square)}{N}}$$

We may now replace I_{MN} with the entire function $I_N: \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ depending on $q \in [0, 1]$ defined by

$$(2.34) \quad I_N = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} z^d \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_\lambda(a_1, \dots, a_N) s_\lambda(b_1, \dots, b_N) \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda).$$

This is the qHCIZ integral, and we may rewrite it in string form using the Frobenius identity (1.7). Doing so, we obtain

$$(2.35) \quad I_N = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} p_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_M) p_\beta(b_1, \dots, b_M) H_N(\alpha, \beta; q),$$

where

$$(2.36) \quad H_N(\alpha, \beta; q) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} \frac{(\dim \mathbf{V}^\lambda)^2}{d!} \omega_\alpha(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \omega_\beta(\lambda).$$

This is the string form of the character expansion of I_{MN} , and the numbers $H_N(\alpha, \beta; q) \in \mathbb{Q}$ are the string coefficients of the qHCIZ integral I_N .

The terms of z -degree $d \leq N$ in I_N are called stable terms, and $1 \leq d \leq N$ is the stable range. This terminology reflects the fact that the constraint $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$ is vacuous when λ has at most N cells, and in this case string coefficients are Plancherel expectations:

$$(2.37) \quad H_N(\alpha, \beta; q) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \frac{(\dim \mathbf{V}^\lambda)^2}{d!} \omega_\alpha(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \omega_\beta(\lambda) = \left\langle \omega_\alpha \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1} \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1} \omega_\beta \right\rangle.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda \vdash d \leq N$, we have that

$$(2.38) \quad \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) = \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{qc(\square)}{N}} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{N} \right)^r q^r f_r(\lambda),$$

where the series is absolutely convergent and $f_r(\lambda)$ denotes the complete symmetric function of degree r specialized on the multiset of contents of λ . We thus have that the stable string coefficients of I_N admit the absolutely convergent $1/N$ expansion

$$(2.39) \quad H_N(\alpha, \beta; q) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{N}\right)^r \sum_{s=0}^r q^s \langle \omega_\alpha f_s f_{r-s} \omega_\beta \rangle.$$

2.4. Step Four: Feynman diagrams. By basic representation theory, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the Plancherel expectation

$$(2.40) \quad \langle \omega_\alpha f_s f_{r-s} \omega_\beta \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \frac{(\dim V^\lambda)^2}{d!} \omega_\alpha(\lambda) f_s(\lambda) f_{r-s}(\lambda) \omega_\beta(\lambda)$$

is the coefficient of the identity permutation in the central element

$$(2.41) \quad C_\alpha f_s(J_1, \dots, J_d) f_{r-s}(J_1, \dots, J_d) C_\beta \in \mathcal{Z}(d),$$

where $J_1, \dots, J_d \in \text{CS}(d)$ are the Jucys-Murphy elements. This quantity can be interpreted diagrammatically as follows.

Let us identify the symmetric group $S(d)$ with its Cayley graph, as generated by the conjugacy class of transpositions. Let us moreover mark each edge of $S(d)$ corresponding to the transposition $(x y)$ with y , the larger of the two numbers it interchanges. A walk on $S(d)$ is said to be monotone if the labels of the edges it traverses form a weakly increasing sequence. Monotone walks are virtual histories of a particle evolving on the Cayley graph in a non-Markovian fashion: after traversing an edge marked y , the particle refuses to traverse edges of lesser value. A two-legged monotone walk is a concatenation of two monotone walks — these correspond to virtual histories of a particle as above whose memory resets once.

Given $\alpha, \beta \vdash d$, let $\vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the number of r -step monotone walks on $S(d)$ which begin at a point of C_α and end at a point of C_β . For any $1 \leq s < r$ we define $\vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; s)$ to be the number of two-legged monotone walks from C_α to C_β whose first s steps are monotone, but whose first $s+1$ steps are not necessarily monotone, i.e. we have a memory reset at time s . We extend the definition of $\vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; s)$ to $s \in \{0, r\}$ by declaring

$$(2.42) \quad \vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; 0) = \vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; r) = \vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta).$$

From the definition of the Jucys-Murphy element J_y as the sum of all transpositions of the form $(x y)$ with $x \leq y$, and the definition of the complete symmetric functions as generating series for weakly increasing functions, we see that

$$(2.43) \quad \langle \omega_\alpha f_s f_{r-s} \omega_\beta \rangle = \vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; s).$$

Consequently, two-legged monotone walks are Feynman diagrams for the qHCIZ integral I_N : they determine the coefficients in the $1/N$ expansion of its stable string coefficients. More precisely, for any $\alpha, \beta \vdash d \leq N$, we have the diagrammatic expansion

$$(2.44) \quad H_N(\alpha, \beta; q) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{N}\right)^r \sum_{s=0}^r q^s \vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; s)$$

of the corresponding stable string coefficient, the series being absolutely convergent.

2.5. Step Five: Stable limit. Having constructed Feynman diagrams for the stable string coefficients of I_N , we can construct a formal object I which captures its combinatorial structure in the large N limit. The stable qHCIZ integral I is by definition the formal power series in $2\infty + 3$ commuting indeterminates $a_1, a_2, \dots, b_1, b_2, \dots, \hbar, q, z$ defined by

$$(2.45) \quad I = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} p_{\alpha}(A) p_{\beta}(B) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-\hbar)^r \sum_{s=0}^r q^s \vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; s).$$

Here $p_{\alpha}(A) = p_{\alpha}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ and $p_{\beta}(B) = p_{\beta}(b_1, b_2, \dots)$. Equivalently, I is the 2-Toda tau function

$$(2.46) \quad I = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} z^d \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} s_{\lambda}(A) s_{\lambda}(B) \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{1}{1 + (1+q)\hbar c(\square) + q\hbar^2 c(\square)^2},$$

where $s_{\lambda}(A) = s_{\lambda}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ and $s_{\lambda}(B) = s_{\lambda}(b_1, b_2, \dots)$.

We can now derive a topological expansion of the free energy $F = \log I$. From the Exponential Formula, we have that

$$(2.47) \quad F = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} p_{\alpha}(A) p_{\beta}(B) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-\hbar)^r \sum_{s=0}^r q^s \vec{H}^r(\alpha, \beta; s),$$

where $\vec{H}^r(\alpha, \beta; s)$ is the number of walks counted by $\vec{W}^r(\alpha, \beta; s)$ whose steps and endpoints together generate a transitive subgroup of $S(d)$. This combinatorial description defines the two-legged monotone double Hurwitz numbers $\vec{H}^r(\alpha, \beta; s)$. Clearly, $\vec{H}^r(\alpha, \beta; s)$ is bounded by the classical double Hurwitz number $H^r(\alpha, \beta)$, which counts monotone transitive r -step walks from C_{α} to C_{β} . But $H^r(\alpha, \beta)$ has a topological interpretation in terms of branched coverings of the Riemann sphere as described in the Introduction, and by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula $H^r(\alpha, \beta)$ can only be nonzero for $r = r_g(\alpha, \beta) = 2g - 2 + \ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)$, with g the genus of the compact connected source surface \mathbf{X} . Since $\vec{H}^r(\alpha, \beta; s) \leq H^r(\alpha, \beta)$, the same constraint holds for the two-legged monotone double Hurwitz numbers, and we set $\vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta; s) = \vec{H}^{r_g(\alpha, \beta)}(\alpha, \beta)$. All this is to say that we do not need an intrinsic topological interpretation of two-legged monotone double Hurwitz numbers to benefit from Riemann-Hurwitz; while such an interpretation can be given, and is certainly of interest, strictly speaking it is not needed.

By the above discussion, the free energy $F = \log I$ of the stable qHCIZ integral is stratified by the genus parameter g : we have

$$(2.48) \quad F = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{2g-2} F_g,$$

where

$$(2.49) \quad F_g = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} \frac{p_{\alpha}(A)}{\hbar^{-\ell(\alpha)}} \frac{p_{\beta}(B)}{\hbar^{-\ell(\beta)}} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)} \sum_{s=0}^{r_g(\alpha, \beta)} q^s \vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta; s)$$

is the generating series for two-legged monotone double Hurwitz numbers of genus g . These are the genus g free energies of the stable qHCIZ integral.

2.6. Step Six: holomorphic candidates. The topological expansion (2.48) of $F = \log I$, which is purely formal, can be leveraged to obtain a quantitatively correct $N \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotic expansion of $F_N = \log I_N$ in the strong coupling (small z) regime. This is because the coefficients F_g of the former give explicit analytic candidates F_{Ng} for the coefficients of the latter. More precisely, there exists an absolute constant $\gamma > 0$ such that the series

$$(2.50) \quad F_{Ng} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} \frac{p_{\alpha}(a_1, \dots, a_N) p_{\beta}(b_1, \dots, b_N)}{N^{\ell(\alpha)} N^{\ell(\beta)}} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)} \sum_{s=0}^{r_g(\alpha, \beta)} q^s \vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta; s)$$

converges uniformly absolutely on $\mathbf{D}_N(\gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, all $g \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and all $q \in [0, 1]$. Indeed, by comparison we see that this follows from the statement that the univariate series

$$(2.51) \quad \mathbf{H}_g = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} \sum_{s=0}^{r_g(\alpha, \beta)} \vec{H}_g(\alpha, \beta; s)$$

has radius of convergence at least $\gamma > 0$ for all $g \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the same argument as in [17], this can be further reduced to showing that the radius of convergence of the genus-specific generating series

$$(2.52) \quad \mathbf{S}_g = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^d}{d!} \vec{H}_g(1^d, 1^d)$$

for the connected monotone simple Hurwitz numbers of genus g , which count monotone transitive loops of length $2g - 2 + 2d$ based at a given point of $\mathbf{S}(d)$, has radius of convergence bounded below by a positive constant, independent of g . In fact, from the rational parameterization results of [14], it is known that the radius of convergence of \mathbf{S}_g is exactly $\frac{2}{27}$ for all $g \in \mathbb{N}_0$; see [17].

2.7. Step Seven: topological concentration. Once the holomorphic candidates F_{Ng} are known, the natural thing is to study the corresponding holomorphic differences

$$(2.53) \quad \Delta_{Nk} = F_N - F_{N\bar{k}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where

$$(2.54) \quad F_{N\bar{k}} = \sum_{g=0}^k N^{2-2g} F_{Ng}.$$

The problem with this is that we cannot be sure there exists a positive constant ε such that $F_N = \log I_N$ is even defined on $\mathbf{D}_N(\varepsilon)$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, because we know nothing about the hypersurface $\{I_N = 0\}$ in \mathbb{C}^{2N+1} , or how its geometry depends on N . The introduction to [32] contains a detailed discussion of this point.

We are therefore obliged to work with the holomorphic ratios

$$(2.55) \quad \Phi_{Nk} = E_{N\bar{k}} I_N, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where

$$(2.56) \quad E_{N\bar{k}} = \exp \sum_{g=0}^k N^{2-2g} F_{Ng}.$$

Since I_N is entire, the ratios Φ_{Nk} are well-defined members of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{O}_N(\gamma)$ of germs of holomorphic functions on $\mathbf{D}_N(\gamma)$ for all values of the parameters N, k . The same complex-analytic argument as in [32, Section 3] then shows that the asymptotic topological expansion of the free energy $F_N = \log I_N$ claimed by Theorem 1.4 is equivalent to topological concentration of the partition function I_N : Theorem 1.4 holds if there exists an absolute constant $0 < \delta \leq \gamma$ such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(2.57) \quad \|\Phi_{Nk} - 1\|_\delta = O_k(N^{2-2k})$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

From the original perspective of matrix integrals, establishing any sort of concentration inequality for the oscillatory RHCIZ integral seems impossible. However, part of the genesis of the character expansion, and its subsequent string form, is a clear understanding of the mechanism behind the concentration inequality (2.57). This mechanism is extremely simple, and becomes exposed in the stable limit. Exponentiating the stable topological expansion (2.48) gives the formal power series identity

$$(2.58) \quad E_{\bar{k}}^{-1} I = E_{\underline{k+1}},$$

where

$$(2.59) \quad E_{\bar{k}} = \exp \sum_{g=0}^k F_g \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\underline{k+1}} = \exp \sum_{g=k+1}^{\infty} F_g.$$

Consequently, the topologically normalized stable integral I is a generating function for disconnected two-legged monotone double Hurwitz numbers: we have

$$(2.60) \quad E_{\bar{k}}^{-1} I = 1 + \sum_{g=k+1}^{\infty} \hbar^{2g-2} \sum_{d=1}^g \frac{z^d}{d!} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \vdash d} \frac{p_\alpha(A) p_\beta(B)}{\hbar^{-\ell(\alpha)} \hbar^{-\ell(\beta)}} (-1)^{\ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta)} \sum_{s=0}^{r_g(\alpha, \beta)} q^s \vec{H}_g^\bullet(\alpha, \beta; s),$$

where $\vec{H}_g^\bullet(\alpha, \beta; s) = \vec{W}^{2g-2+\ell(\alpha)+\ell(\beta)}(\alpha, \beta; s)$ and the genus expansion starts at $g = k + 1$ because the genus of a disjoint union of connected surfaces of genus $k + 1$ is at least $k + 1$ — because $k + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, spheres are excluded. We thus have

$$(2.61) \quad E_{\bar{k}}^{-1} I - 1 = O(\hbar^{2k}),$$

where the formal order symbol on the right denotes a formal power series divisible by \hbar^{2k} .

We would like to obtain analytic topological concentration (2.57) from stable topological concentration (2.61) by setting $\hbar = \frac{1}{N}$. This is problematic because the series $\sum_g N^{2-2g} F_{Ng}$ is not summable in $\mathcal{O}_N(\gamma)$ for any finite N . Note however that the required analytic topological concentration (2.57) is in fact weaker than the known stable topological concentration (2.61) by a factor of $N^2 = \hbar^{-2}$. This factor of N^2 corresponds exactly to replacing I_N in (2.57) with its strong coupling approximation P_N , which we recall from Step Two is its character expansion truncated at z -degree $d = \lfloor tN^2 \rfloor$ with $t > 0$ a truncation parameter which we are free to choose. Writing P_N in string form as in Step Three, we thus have to contend with the string coefficients

$$(2.62) \quad H_N(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash d \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} \frac{(\dim V^\lambda)^2}{d!} \omega_\alpha(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \omega_\beta(\lambda)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \vdash d \leq tN^2$. In the stable range, $1 \leq d \leq N$, we have the convergent $1/N$ Feynman expansion of $H_N(\alpha, \beta)$ from Step Four, and normalization of P_N by $E_{N\bar{k}}$ kills all terms in this absolutely convergent series up to order N^{-2k} , in agreement with stable topological concentration as in Step Five. For the critical unstable range $N < d \leq tN^2$, observe that this is precisely the regime in which the Plancherel measure concentrates, for small t , on the Vershik-Kerov limit shape [27], which is contained in the $N \times N$ square, and that for any diagram contained in the $N \times N$ square we have the absolutely convergent $1/N$ expansion

$$(2.63) \quad \Omega_{\frac{q}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) \Omega_{\frac{1}{N}}^{-1}(\lambda) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{N}\right)^r \sum_{s=0}^r q^s f_s(\lambda) f_{r-s}(\lambda).$$

We therefore replace the string coefficients $H_N(\alpha, \beta)$ with their modified versions $\tilde{H}_N(\alpha, \beta)$, in which we sum only over $\lambda \vdash d$ contained in the $N \times N$ square diagram. This modification introduces an error corresponding to large deviations from the Vershik-Kerov limit shape, which suffer an additional penalty (to our benefit) because positive specializations of the content polynomial of λ are large when λ has a long first row. Now, to any finite number of terms the absolutely convergent $1/N$ expansion of $\tilde{H}_N(\alpha, \beta)$ coincides with the divergent $1/N$ expansion of $H_N(\alpha, \beta)$ up to exponentially small terms, corresponding to instanton contributions, so that we again have topological cancellation to order N^{-2k} in the full critical range $d \leq tN^2$. Summing these $O_k(N^{-2k})$ approximations over $1 \leq d \leq tN^2$ gives the $O_k(N^{2-2k})$ bound in (2.57).

REFERENCES

1. A. Alexandrov, G. Chapuy, B. Eynard, J. Harnad, *Fermionic approach to weighted Hurwitz numbers and topological recursion*, Commun. Math. Phys. **360** (2018), 777-826.
2. M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, *The spectrum of coupled random matrices*, Ann. Math. **149** (1999), 921-976.
3. I. Bars, F. Green, *Complete integration of $U(N)$ lattice gauge theories in a large- N limit*, Phys. Rev. D **20** (1979), 3311-3330.
4. S. Belinschi, A. Guionnet, J. Huang, *Large deviation principles via spherical integrals*, arXiv:2004.07117v1 [math.PR] 15 April 2020.

5. A. Borodin, L. Petrov, *Integrable probability: from representation theory to Macdonald processes*, Prob. Surveys **11** (2014), 1-58.
6. E. Brézin, D. Gross, *The external field problem in the large N limit of QCD*, Phys. Lett. **97** (1980), 120-124.
7. A. Bufetov, V. Gorin, *Fourier transform on high-dimensional unitary groups with applications to random tilings*, Duke Math. J. **168** (2019), 2559-2649.
8. S. Carrell, *Diagonal solutions to the 2-Toda hierarchy*, Math. Res. Lett. **22** (2015), 439-465.
9. P. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, Courant Lecture Notes **3** (2000), American Mathematical Society.
10. J. J. Duistermaat, G. J. Heckman, *On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 259-268.
11. P. Dunin-Barkowski, R. Kramer, A. Popolitov, S. Shadrin, *Cut-and-join equation for monotone Hurwitz numbers revisited*, J. Geom. Phys. **137** (2019), 1-6.
12. J. Faraut, *Horn's problem and Fourier analysis*, Tunisian J. Math. **1** (2019), 585-606.
13. I. P. Goulden, M. Guay-Paquet, J. Novak, *Monotone Hurwitz numbers in genus zero*, Canad. J. Math. **65** (2013), 1020-1042.
14. I. P. Goulden, M. Guay-Paquet, J. Novak, *Monotone Hurwitz numbers in higher genera*, Adv. Math. **238** (2013), 1-23.
15. I. P. Goulden, M. Guay-Paquet, J. Novak, *Monotone Hurwitz numbers and the HCIZ integral*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **21** (2014), 71-99.
16. I. P. Goulden, M. Guay-Paquet, J. Novak, *Toda equations and piecewise polynomiality for mixed Hurwitz numbers*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **12** (2016), 40-50.
17. I. P. Goulden, M. Guay-Paquet, J. Novak, *On the convergence of monotone Hurwitz generating functions*, Ann. Comb. **21** (2017), 73-81.
18. D. Gross, E. Witten, *Possible third-order phase transition in the large N lattice gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. D **2** (1980), 446-453.
19. A. Guionnet, J. Huang, *Large deviations asymptotics of rectangular spherical integral*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.07146v1>
20. A. Guionnet, O. Zeitouni, *Large deviations asymptotics for spherical integrals*, J. Funct. Anal. **188** (2002), 461-515.
21. Harish-Chandra, *Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 87-120.
22. A. Hurwitz, *Über Riemann'schen Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*, Math. Ann. **39** (1891), 1-60.
23. C. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber, *The planar approximation. II*, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), 411-421.
24. A. T. James, *Distributions of matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples*, Ann. Math. Statist. **2** (1964), 475-501.
25. A. B. J. Kuijlaars, P. Román, *Spherical functions approach to sums of random matrices*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRM **4** (2019), 1005-1029.
26. A. V. Karabegov, *A mapping from the unitary to doubly stochastic matrices and symbols on a finite set*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/0806.2357.pdf>
27. S. V. Kerov, *Asymptotic Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group and its Applications in Analysis*, AMS Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Volume 219, 2003. Translated from the Russian by N. V. Tsilevich.
28. A. A. Kirillov, *Lectures on the Orbit Method*, AMS Graduate Studies in Mathematics **64**, 2004.
29. I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials. Second Edition*. Oxford Science Publications, 1995.
30. S. Matsumoto, J. Novak, *A moment method for invariant ensembles*,
31. J. Novak, *Lozenge tilings and Hurwitz numbers*, J. Stat. Phys. **161** (2015), 509-517.
32. J. Novak, *Topological expansion of oscillatory HCIZ and BGW integrals at strong coupling*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.10746.pdf>
33. A. Okounkov, *Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers*, Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000), 447-453.
34. G. Olshanski, A. Vershik, *Ergodic unitarily invariant measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices*, Amer. Math. Transl. Ser. 2 **175** (1996), 137-175.
35. R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1999.

36. S. R. Wadia, *$N = \infty$ phase transition in a class of exactly soluble model lattice gauge theories*, Phys. Lett. B **93** (1980). 403-410.
37. P. Zinn-Justin, *HCIZ integral and 2D Toda lattice hierarchy*, Nucl. Phys. B **634** (2002), 417-432.
38. J.-B. Zuber, P. Zinn-Justin, *On some integrals over the $U(N)$ unitary group and their large N limits*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003), 3173-3193.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, USA
Email address: jinovak@ucsd.edu