

Galaxies and the Strong Erdős-Hajnal Property

July 7, 2022

Soukaina ZAYAT ¹

Abstract

An equivalent directed version of the celebrated unresolved conjecture of Erdős and Hajnal proposed by Alon et al. states that for every tournament H there exists $\epsilon(H) > 0$ such that every H -free n -vertex tournament T contains a transitive subtournament of order at least $n^{\epsilon(H)}$. A tournament H has the strong EH-property if there exists $c > 0$ such that for every H -free tournament T with $|T| > 1$, there exist disjoint vertex subsets A and B , each of cardinality at least $c|T|$ and every vertex of A is adjacent to every vertex of B . Berger et al. proved that the unique five-vertex tournament denoted by C_5 , where every vertex has two inneighbors and two outneighbors has the strong EH-property. It is known that every tournament with the strong EH-property also has the EH-property. In this paper we prove that tournaments that can be ordered in a way that the graph formed by the backedges is a forest consisting of trees with at most two edges and consecutive leaves under the vertex ordering has the strong EH-property.

Keywords. Tournament, ordering, pure pair, Erdős-Hajnal Conjecture, forest.

1 Introduction

Let G be an undirected graph. A *clique* in G is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices and a *stable set* in G is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices. A *tournament* is an orientation of a complete graph. A tournament is *transitive* if it contains no directed cycle. Let H be a tournament. If $(u, v) \in A(H)$, then we say that u is *adjacent to* v , and we write $u \rightarrow v$. In this case, we also say that v is *adjacent from* u , and we write $v \leftarrow u$. For two disjoint sets of vertices V_1, V_2 of H , we say that V_1 is *complete to* V_2 (equivalently V_2 is *complete from* V_1) if every vertex of V_1 is adjacent to every vertex of V_2 . We say that a vertex v is *complete to* (resp. *from*) a set V if $\{v\}$ is complete to (resp. from) V , and we write $v \rightarrow V$ (resp. $v \leftarrow V$). Let $X \subseteq V(H)$. The *subtournament of H induced by X* is denoted by

¹Department of Mathematics, Lebanese University, Hadath, Lebanon. (soukaina.zayat.96@outlook.com)

$H|X$. Let S be a tournament. We say that H contains S if S is isomorphic to $H|X$ for some $X \subseteq V(H)$. If H does not contain S , we say that H is S -free.

In 1989 Erdős and Hajnal proposed the following conjecture [7] (EHC):

Conjecture 1 *For any undirected graph H there exists $\epsilon(H) > 0$ such that every n -vertex undirected graph that does not contain H as an induced subgraph contains a clique or a stable set of size at least $n^{\epsilon(H)}$.*

In 2001 Alon et al. proved [1] that Conjecture 1 has an equivalent directed version, as follows:

Conjecture 2 *For any tournament H there exists $\epsilon(H) > 0$ such that every H -free tournament with n vertices contains a transitive subtournament of order at least $n^{\epsilon(H)}$.*

A tournament H has the Erdős-Hajnal property (EH-property) if there exists $\epsilon(H) > 0$ such that every H -free tournament T with n vertices contains a transitive subtournament of size at least $n^{\epsilon(H)}$.

Let $\theta = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be an ordering of the vertex set $V(T)$ of an n -vertex tournament T . We say that a vertex v_j is between two vertices v_i, v_k under $\theta = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ if $i < j < k$ or $k < j < i$. An arc (v_i, v_j) is a backward arc under θ if $i > j$. The set of backward arcs of T under θ is denoted by $A_T(\theta)$. The backward arc digraph of T under θ , denoted by $B(T, \theta)$, is the subdigraph that has vertex set $V(T)$ and arc set $A_T(\theta)$.

A tournament S on p vertices with $V(S) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p\}$ is a right star (resp. left star) if there exists an ordering $\beta = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)$ of its vertices such that $A(B(S, \beta)) = \{(u_p, u_i) : i = 1, \dots, p-1\}$ (resp. $A(B(S, \beta)) = \{(u_i, u_1) : i = 2, \dots, p\}$). In this case we write $S = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p\}$ and we call β a right star ordering (resp. left star ordering) of S , u_p (resp. u_1) the center of S , and u_1, \dots, u_{p-1} (resp. u_2, \dots, u_p) the leaves of S . A star is a left star or a right star.

A star $S = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_t}\}$ of T under θ (where $i_1 < \dots < i_t$) is the subtournament of T induced by $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_t}\}$ such that S is a star and S has the star ordering $(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_t})$ under θ (i.e. $(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_t})$ is the restriction of θ to $V(S)$ and $(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_t})$ is a star ordering of S).

Let T be a tournament and assume that there exists an ordering θ of its vertices such that $V(T)$ is the disjoint union of $V(S_1), \dots, V(S_l), X$ where S_1, \dots, S_l are the stars of T under θ , and for every $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is a singleton component of $B(T, \theta)$. In this case T is called super galaxy and θ is called a star ordering. If in addition no center of a star is between leaves of another star under θ , then the corresponding ordering is called a galaxy ordering and T is called a galaxy. If moreover X is empty, then T is called regular galaxy.

In [2] Berger et al. proved that every galaxy has the EH-property, and in [5, 8, 9] Conjecture 2 was proved for more general classes of tournaments.

Let T be a tournament. A pure pair in T is an ordered pair (A, B) of disjoint subsets of $V(T)$ such that every vertex in A is adjacent to every vertex in B , and its order denoted by $\mathcal{O}(A, B)$ is $\min(|A|, |B|)$. Define $\mathcal{P}(T) := \max\{\mathcal{O}(A, B) : (A, B) \text{ is a pure pair of } T\}$. We call T α -coherent for $\alpha > 0$ if $\mathcal{P}(T) < \alpha |T|$.

A tournament H has the *strong EH-property* if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for every H -free tournament T with $|T| > 1$, we have: $\mathcal{P}(T) \geq \alpha |T|$. It is easy to see that for every tournament with the strong EH-property also has the EH-property [4].

In [4] Berger et al. proved that the unique five-vertex tournament denoted by C_5 , where every vertex has two inneighbors and two outneighbors has the strong EH-property. In [6] Chudnovsky et al. proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 *If a tournament has the strong EH-property then there is an ordering of H for which the backward arc digraph is a forest.*

Unfortunately, the following is still open:

Conjecture 3 *If a tournament T has an ordering of its vertices for which the backward arc digraph of T under this ordering is a forest, then T has the strong EH-property.*

In [6] Chudnovsky et al. made a small step towards Conjecture 3 by showing that if a tournament T is C_5 -free and it has an ordering θ of its vertices such that $|A_T(\theta)| = 3$, then it has the strong EH-property. In particular, they proved that every tournament with at most six vertices has the property, except for three that they could not decide.

A tournament H is a *path-galaxy* under an ordering θ of its vertices if H is a galaxy under θ , every star of H under θ is of at most 3 vertices, and the leaves of every star are consecutive under θ .

In this paper we prove Conjecture 3 when T is a path-galaxy tournament:

Theorem 1.2 *Every path-galaxy has the strong EH-property.*

2 Preliminaries

Let T be a tournament and let $X, Y \subseteq V(T)$ be disjoint. Denote by $e_{X,Y}$ the number of directed arcs (x, y) , where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. The *directed density from X to Y* is defined as $d(X, Y) = \frac{e_{X,Y}}{|X| \cdot |Y|}$. The following is introduced in [3].

Let $c > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1$ be constants, and let w be a $\{0, 1\}$ -vector of length $|w|$. Let T be a tournament with $|T| = n$. A sequence of disjoint subsets $\chi = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{|w|})$ of $V(T)$ is a smooth (c, λ, w) -structure if:

- whenever $w_i = 0$ we have $|A_i| \geq cn$ (we say that A_i is a *linear set*).
- whenever $w_i = 1$ the tournament $T|_{A_i}$ is transitive and $|A_i| \geq c \cdot tr(T)$ (we say that A_i is a *transitive set*).
- $d(\{v\}, A_j) \geq 1 - \lambda$ for $v \in A_i$ and $d(A_i, \{v\}) \geq 1 - \lambda$ for $v \in A_j, i < j$ (we say that χ is *smooth*).

Theorem 2.1 [4] *Let S be a f -vertex tournament, let w be an all-zero vector. Then there exist $c > 0$ such that every S -free tournament contains a smooth $(c, \frac{1}{f}, w)$ -structure.*

3 Main Result

In this section we start by proving a structural property of α -coherent tournaments. Then we prove that every path-galaxy has the strong EH-property and an interesting observation.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $c, \alpha > 0$ be constants, where $\alpha \leq \frac{c}{m+1}$. Let T be an α -coherent tournament with $|T| = n$, and let A, B_1, \dots, B_m be m vertex disjoint subsets of $V(T)$ with $|A| \geq cn$ and $|B_i| \geq cn$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then there exist vertices b_1, \dots, b_m, a such that $a \in A$, $b_i \in B_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\{a\}$ is complete to $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. Similarly there exist vertices u_1, \dots, u_m, r such that $r \in A$, $u_i \in B_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\{r\}$ is complete from $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$.*

Proof. We will prove only the first statement because the latter can be proved analogously. Let $A_i \subseteq A$ such that A_i is complete from B_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since T is α -coherent, then $|A_i| < \alpha n$, and so $A^* := |A \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i)| \geq cn - m\alpha n \geq (m+1)\alpha n - m\alpha n = \alpha n$. This implies that A^* is non-empty. Fix $a \in A$. So there exist vertices b_1, \dots, b_m such that $\{a\}$ is complete to $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. \square

Let H be a regular path-galaxy tournament under an ordering $\theta = (u_1, \dots, u_h)$ of its vertices. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_l be the stars of H under θ . Let w be an all-zero vector. We say that a smooth (c, λ, w) -structure of a tournament T corresponds to H if $|w| = h$. Define $\Sigma_H(\theta) := \{\hat{\theta} : \hat{\theta}$ is obtained from θ by reversing the leaves ordering for some stars of H under $\theta\}$.

Let $\chi := (A_1, \dots, A_{|w|})$ be a smooth (c, λ, w) -structure in a tournament T that corresponds to H . We say that H is *well-contained in* χ if there exists $x_i \in A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, |w|$ such that $T[\{x_1, \dots, x_{|w|}\}]$ is isomorphic to H and $(x_1, \dots, x_{|w|})$ is one of the orderings of H in $\Sigma_H(\theta)$.

A tournament H is a *regular path-galaxy under* θ if H is a regular galaxy under θ which is a path-galaxy, and moreover all the stars of H under θ are 3-vertex stars.

Lemma 3.2 *Every path-galaxy is a subtournament of a regular path-galaxy.*

Proof. Let H be a path-galaxy under an ordering $\theta = (v_1, \dots, v_h)$ of its vertices. Let X be the set of singleton vertices of H under θ and let T_2 be the set of the 2-vertex stars of H under θ . If X and T_2 are empty, there is nothing to do. Assume that T_2 is nonempty and for $i = 1, \dots, t$, let $Q_i := \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}\}$ be a 2-vertex star of H under θ . Define \tilde{H} to be the tournament with vertex set $V(H) \cup \{v'_{i_1}, \dots, v'_{i_1}\}$, such that $A_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{\theta}) = A_H(\theta) \cup \{(v_{i_2}, v'_{i_1}) : i = 1, \dots, t\}$, where $\tilde{\theta}$ is the ordering obtained from θ by inserting v'_{i_1} just before v_{i_1} . Now if X is empty, \tilde{H} is a regular path-galaxy under $\tilde{\theta}$ and we are done. So let's assume that $X = \{v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_r}\} \neq \emptyset$, with $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq h$. Now define \hat{H} to be the tournament with vertex set $V(\tilde{H}) \cup \{v_{j_1}^1, v_{j_1}^2, \dots, v_{j_r}^1, v_{j_r}^2\}$, such that under the ordering $\hat{\theta}$ that is obtained from $\tilde{\theta}$ by inserting $v_{j_1}^1, v_{j_1}^2, \dots, v_{j_r}^1, v_{j_r}^2$ after v_h in $\tilde{\theta}$ in a way that $v_{j_1}^1 <_{\hat{\theta}} v_{j_1}^2 <_{\hat{\theta}} \dots <_{\hat{\theta}} v_{j_r}^1 <_{\hat{\theta}} v_{j_r}^2$, we have: $A_{\hat{H}}(\hat{\theta}) = A_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{\theta}) \cup \{(v_{j_i}^1, v_{j_i}) : i = 1, \dots, t\} \cup \{(v_{j_i}^2, v_{j_i}) : i = 1, \dots, t\}$. Clearly H is a subtournament of \hat{H} and \hat{H} is a path-galaxy under $\hat{\theta}$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3 *Every path-galaxy has the strong EH-property.*

Proof. Let H be a path-galaxy tournament under an ordering $\theta = (u_1, \dots, u_h)$ of its vertices. By the previous lemma we can assume that H is regular under θ , since every path-galaxy is a subtournament of a regular path-galaxy. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_l be the stars of H under θ . Let T be an H -free tournament on n vertices. Let $w := (0, \dots, 0)$ with $|w| = h$ be an all-zero vector. Theorem 2.1 implies that there exists $c > 0$ and a smooth (c, λ, w) -structure $(A_1, \dots, A_{|w|})$ denoted by χ , with $\lambda = \frac{1}{h}$. We are going to prove that there exists a pure pair (A, B) in T with $\mathcal{O}(A, B) \geq \frac{2}{3h}cn$. Assume that the contrary is true. Then $\mathcal{P}(T) < \alpha n$, where $\alpha = \frac{2c}{3h}$. So T is α -coherent. For $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, define $H^k = H|_{\bigcup_{j=1}^k V(Q_j)}$, where $H^l = H$. To reach the contradiction, we are going to prove that H is well-contained in χ and so T is not H -free. The proof works as follows: we will construct H star by star after updating the sets corresponding to each star in order to merge it with the previously constructed stars. Let $\theta_k := (u_{k_1}, \dots, u_{k_q})$ be the restriction of θ to $V(H^k)$, where $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and $q = 3k = |H^k|$. Q_1 is a 3-vertex star, then as $\alpha < \frac{c}{3}$ and $S_i \geq cn$ for $i = 1, \dots, |w|$, Lemma 3.1 implies that there exist $x_r \in S_r$ for $r = 1_1, 1_2, 1_3$, such that $x_{1_1} \leftarrow \{x_{1_2}, x_{1_3}\}$ if Q_1 is a left star, and $\{x_{1_1}, x_{1_2}\} \leftarrow x_{1_3}$ if Q_1 is a right star. Assume that Q_1 is a left star (else, the argument is similar and we omit it). Then either (x_{1_2}, x_{1_3}) is an arc of T and so $(x_{1_1}, x_{1_2}, x_{1_3})$ is the galaxy ordering θ_1 of H^1 , or (x_{1_3}, x_{1_2}) is an arc of T and so $(x_{1_1}, x_{1_3}, x_{1_2})$ is the ordering θ_1 of H^1 . Then in all cases $(x_{1_1}, x_{1_2}, x_{1_3}) \in \Sigma_{H^1}(\theta_1)$. Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}$ and assume that there exist vertices $x_r \in S_r$ for $r = k_1, \dots, k_q$, such that $(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_q}) \in \Sigma_{H^k}(\theta_k)$. Let $t = k + 1$ and let $\beta := (u_{d_1}, u_{d_2}, u_{d_3})$ be the restriction of θ to $V(Q_t)$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, |w|\}$, and let $v \in S_i$. For $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, |w|\} \setminus \{i\}$, denote by $S_{j,v}$ the set of the vertices of S_j adjacent from v for $j > i$ and adjacent to v for $j < i$. Now fix $i \in \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ and let $X := \{x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_q}\}$. Let $S_i^* = \bigcap_{p \in X} S_{i,p}$. χ is smooth, then $\frac{|S_{i,p}|}{|S_i|} \geq 1 - \lambda$, and so $|S_{i,p}| \geq (1 - \lambda) |S_i|$. Let $|H^k| = m$. Since $m \leq h - 3$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_i^*| &= \left(\sum_{p \in X} |S_{i,p}| \right) - \left| \bigcup_{p \in X} S_{i,p} \right| \\
&\geq m(1 - \lambda) |S_i| - |S_i| \\
&= (m - 1) |S_i| - m\lambda |S_i| \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{m}{h}\right) |S_i| \\
&\geq \frac{|S_i|}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

For all $i \in \{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$, $|S_i^*| \geq \frac{c}{2}n = \hat{c}n$. As $\alpha < \frac{\hat{c}}{3}$, then Lemma 3.1 implies that there exist vertices $x \in S_{d_1}$, $y \in S_{d_2}$, and $z \in S_{d_3}$ such that

- $x \leftarrow \{y, z\}$ if Q_t is a left star, and
- $\{x, y\} \leftarrow z$ if Q_t is a right star.

Assume that Q_t is a left star (else, the argument is similar and we omit it). Then either (y, z) is an arc of T and so (x, y, z) is the ordering β of $R := H|Q_t$, or (z, y) is an arc of T and so (x, z, y) is the ordering β of $H|Q_t$. Then in all cases $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma_R(\beta)$. Note that $d_2 = d_1 + 1$, so by merging $T|\{x, y, z\}$ with $T|X$ we get a well-contained copy of H^t in $\hat{\chi}$, the restriction of χ to the sets with indices $k_1, \dots, k_q, d_1, d_2, d_3$. Now applying this algorithm for $t = 2$, then for $t = 3$, and so on till reaching $t = l$, we get: H is well-contained in χ . Then T contains H , a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

C_k is a cycle tournament under an ordering $\theta = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ of its vertices if $A_{C_k}(\theta) = \{(u_k, u_1)\} \cup \{(u_{i+1}, u_i) : i = 2, \dots, k - 1\}$.

An interesting observation is that every cycle tournament has the strong EH-property:

Corollary 3.4 *Every cycle tournament has the strong EH-property.*

Proof. Let C_k be a cycle tournament under $\theta = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$. It is enough to prove that C_k is a path-galaxy under some ordering of its vertices. We can assume without loss of generality that $k = 2d$. Let $\tilde{\theta} = (v_2, v_1, v_4, v_3, \dots, v_k, v_{k-1})$. Then $A_{\tilde{\theta}}(C_k) = \{(v_k, v_1)\} \cup \{(v_{2r+1}, v_{2r}) : r = 1, \dots, d - 1\}$. Clearly C_k is a path-galaxy under $\tilde{\theta}$ and so by Theorem 3.3 C_k has the strong EH-property. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon, J. Pach, J. Solymosi, Ramsey-type theorems with forbidden subgraphs, *Combinatorica* 21 (2001) 155 – 170.
- [2] E. Berger, K. Choromanski, M. Chudnovsky, Forcing large transitive subtournaments, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B.* 112 (2015) 1 – 17.
- [3] E. Berger, K. Choromanski, M. Chudnovsky, On the Erdős–Hajnal conjecture for six–vertex tournaments, *European J. Combin.* 75 (2019) 113 – 122.
- [4] E. Berger, K. Choromanski, M. Chudnovsky and S. Zerbib, Tournaments and the strong Erdős–Hajnal property, *European J. Combin.* 100 (2022) 103440.
- [5] K. Choromanski, EH–suprema of tournaments with no non-trivial homogeneous sets, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B.* 114 (2015) 97 – 123.
- [6] M. Chudnovsky, A. Scott, P. Seymour, S. Spirkl, Pure Pairs. X. Tournaments and the strong Erdős–Hajnal property, arXiv: 2202.13977.
- [7] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, Ramsey-type theorems, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 25 (1989) 37 – 52.
- [8] S. Zayat and S. Ghazal, About the Erdős–Hajnal conjecture for seven-vertex tournaments, arXiv:2010.12331, submitted.

- [9] S. Zayat and S. Ghazal, Erdős-Hajnal conjecture for New Infinite Families of Tournaments, submitted.