

ANISOTROPIC FLOWS WITHOUT GLOBAL TERMS AND DUAL ORLICZ CHRISTOFFEL-MINKOWSKI TYPE PROBLEM

SHANWEI DING AND GUANGHAN LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the long-time existence and asymptotic behavior for a class of anisotropic non-homogeneous flows without global terms. By the stationary solutions of anisotropic flows if they exist, we obtain a class of results for the dual Orlicz Christoffel-Minkowski type problem with $c = 1$, which is equivalent to solve the PDE $G(x, u_K, \bar{\nabla} u_K) F(D^2 u_K + u_K I) = c$ on \mathbb{S}^n for a convex body K . Here D and $\bar{\nabla}$ are the covariant derivative with respect to the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^n and Euclidean space respectively, I is the unit matrix of order n , and $\bar{\nabla} u_K(x) := Du_K(x) + u_K(x)x$ is the point on ∂K whose outer unit normal vector is $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$. In the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem and Orlicz Christoffel-Minkowski problem, the constant c can't be set to 1 in general. The highlight of our arguments is that we use anisotropic flows without global terms to set $c = 1$. This result covers many previous known solutions to L^p dual Minkowski problem, L^p -Christoffel-Minkowski problem, etc.. In particular, we give a convergence result of anisotropic flows with general curvature function and arbitrarily initial strictly convex hypersurface if $G(x, u_K, \bar{\nabla} u_K) = \psi(x)u^{\alpha-1}|\bar{\nabla} u|^\delta = \psi(x)u^{\alpha-1}\rho^\delta$, where ρ is the radial function. To the best of our knowledge, there is no other convergence result about anisotropic flows with general curvature function and arbitrarily initial strictly convex hypersurface. Finally we give two uniqueness results in some particular cases.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary	8
2.1. Intrinsic curvature	8
2.2. Extrinsic curvature	8
2.3. Convex hypersurface parametrized by the inverse Gauss map	9
3. Curvature estimates for general cases	10
4. The a priori estimates	17
5. Convergence and proof of theorems	18
6. Reference	22

1. INTRODUCTION

Anisotropic inverse curvature flows with strictly convex hypersurfaces and speed depending on their curvatures, support function and radial function have been considered recently, cf. [4, 10, 14, 23] etc.. These flows usually provided alternative proofs and smooth category approach of the existence of solutions to elliptic PDEs

This research was partially supported by NSFC (No. 11871053).

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53E99, 35K55.

Keywords: anisotropic expanding flow, curvature function, asymptotic behaviors, dual Orlicz Christoffel-Minkowski type problem.

arising in convex body geometry. However, the literature on non-homogeneous anisotropic inverse curvature flows is not very rich and there are few works in this direction, cf. [5, 6, 24]. One advantage of this method is that there is no need to employ the constant rank theorem. For example, if $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(\lambda)$, by the bound of $F^{-1} = \sigma_k^{-\frac{1}{k}}(\lambda) = (\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_{n-k}})^{\frac{1}{k}}(\kappa)$ one has that the hypersurfaces naturally preserve convexity. Whether these flows can be extended is an interesting problem. However, if $F \neq \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}(\lambda)$, there is no suitable monotone integral quantities to be used to prove the convergence of flows if speed depends on X . More details can be seen in [14]. Inspired by our previous work [14], we consider a large of anisotropic flows without global terms.

Let M_0 be a closed, smooth and strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ($n \geq 2$), and M_0 encloses the origin. In this paper, we study the following expanding flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(x, t) = (G(\nu, X)F^\beta(\lambda_i) - 1)u\nu, \\ X(\cdot, 0) = X_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where F is a suitable curvature function of the hypersurface M_t parameterized by a smooth embedding $X(x, t) : \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ are the principal curvature radii of the hypersurface M_t , $\beta > 0$, $G : \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a smooth function if $\langle \nu, X \rangle > 0$, u is the support function and $\nu(\cdot, t)$ is the outer unit normal vector field to M_t .

Note that $\rho = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}$, $u = \langle X, \nu \rangle$, $X = Du + u\nu$, thus $G(\nu, X)$ can be regarded as $G(\nu, u, \rho, Du, X)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , D is the covariant derivative with respect to an orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^n , $\bar{\nabla}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the metric in Euclidean space. Thus flow (1.1) is equivalent to the following flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(x, t) = (G(\nu, u, \rho, Du, X)F^\beta(\lambda_i) - 1)u\nu, \\ X(\cdot, 0) = X_0. \end{cases}$$

We use $G = G(\nu, X)$ to make the conditions easier to understand in the rest paper. Moreover we will also find that the influence of various quantities on the proof just depends on whether these quantities are related to ν or X .

Flow (1.1) is inspired by our previous work [14]. However, flow (1.1) is more complicated than the flow in [14], since it involves a nonlinear function G . Note G is a function of X other than $|X|$, which needs more effort to deal with. To explain this flow above, we first introduce the following flow and its scaling process, i.e. we let $G = \psi(\nu)u^{\alpha-1}\rho^\delta$ and consider the flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(x, t) = \psi u^\alpha \rho^\delta F^\beta(x, t)\nu, \\ X(\cdot, 0) = X_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

If $\alpha + \beta + \delta < 1$, let $\tilde{X}(\cdot, \tau) = \varphi^{-1}(t)X(\cdot, t)$, where

$$\tau = \frac{\log((1 - \alpha - \delta - \beta)t + 1)}{1 - \alpha - \delta - \beta}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\varphi(t) = (C_0 + (1 - \beta - \delta - \alpha)t)^{\frac{1}{1 - \beta - \delta - \alpha}}. \quad (1.4)$$

We can set C_0 sufficiently large to make

$$\psi \tilde{u}^{\alpha-1} \tilde{\rho}^\delta \tilde{F}^\beta|_{M_0} > 1. \quad (1.5)$$

In fact, $\psi \tilde{u}^{\alpha-1} \tilde{\rho}^\delta \tilde{F}^\beta|_{M_0} = C_0 \psi u^{\alpha-1} \rho^\delta F^\beta|_{M_0} > 1$.

Obviously, $\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} = \varphi^{\alpha+\delta+\beta-1}$ and $\tau(0) = 0$. Then $\tilde{X}(\cdot, \tau)$ satisfies the following normalized flow,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau}(x, \tau) = \psi \tilde{u}^\alpha \tilde{\rho}^\delta \tilde{F}^\beta(\tilde{\lambda}) \nu - \tilde{X}, \\ \tilde{X}(\cdot, 0) = \tilde{X}_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

For convenience we still use t instead of τ to denote the time variable and omit the ‘‘tilde’’ if no confusions arise and we mention the scaled flow or normalized flow. We can find that the flow (1.6) is equivalent (up to an isomorphism) to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = (\psi u^\alpha \rho^\delta F^\beta(\lambda) - u) \nu, \\ X(\cdot, 0) = X_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

This is why we consider flow (1.1).

We can obtain convergence results for a large class of speeds and therefore make the following assumption.

Assumption 1.1. Let $\Gamma_+ = \{(\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_i > 0\}$ be a symmetric, convex, open cone, and suppose that F is positive in Γ_+ , homogeneous of degree 1, and inverse concave with

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i} > 0, \quad F_*|_{\partial \Gamma_+} = 0, \quad F^\beta(1, \dots, 1) = 1.$$

We remark that the function F is inverse concave means the dual function F_* defined by $F_*(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) = \frac{1}{F(1/\kappa_1, \dots, 1/\kappa_n)}$ is concave on Γ_+ .

We first prove the long time existence and convergence of the above flows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $F \in C^2(\Gamma_+) \cap C^0(\partial \Gamma_+)$ satisfy Assumption 1.1, and let M_0 be a closed, smooth, uniformly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, enclosing the origin. Suppose

$$(1) \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} [\max_{y=sx} G(x, y) s^\beta] < 1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} [\min_{y=sx} G(x, y) s^\beta],$$

(2) $(D_{x_i} D_{x_j} (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \delta_{ij}) > 0$, where x_i is the orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^n and D_{x_i} is defined in the following Remark.

Then flow (1.1) has a unique smooth strictly convex solution M_t for all time $t > 0$.

In addition, if

$$(3) \frac{\langle G_X, X \rangle}{G} + \beta \leq 0,$$

(4) we choose the initial hypersurface M_0 satisfying

$$G(\nu, X) F^\beta|_{M_0} \geq 1 \text{ or } G(\nu, X) F^\beta|_{M_0} \leq 1.$$

Then a subsequence of M_t converges in C^∞ -topology to a positive, smooth, uniformly convex solution to $G(\nu, X) F^\beta = 1$ along flow (1.1).

In particular, if $F = \sigma_n(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, the condition (2) can be dropped.

If $G = \psi(\nu) u^{\alpha-1} \rho^\delta$, We only need condition (1) and (2). At this case, for arbitrary strictly convex initial hypersurface, the flow (1.2) has a unique smooth and uniformly convex solution M_t for all time $t > 0$. After the rescaling $X \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(t)X$ defined in (1.4), the hypersurface $\tilde{M}_t = \varphi^{-1}M_t$ converge smoothly to a smooth solution of $\psi(\nu) u^{\alpha-1} \rho^\delta F^\beta = 1$.

Remark: (1) If $G = \psi(\nu) u^{\alpha-1} \rho^\delta$, condition (1) is equivalent to $\alpha + \delta + \beta < 1$.

We have proved similar results in [14] if $\psi = 1$ or $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$. In this paper, we derive the similar result for general curvature function. To the best of our knowledge, there is no other convergence result about anisotropic flows with general curvature function and arbitrarily strict convex initial hypersurface. If $G = \psi(\nu) u^{\alpha-1} \rho^\delta$, the study of the asymptotic behaviour of flow (1.2) is equivalent to the long time behavior of the normalized flow (1.7). This theorem covers lots of known results, e.g. [13, 23, 32], partial results in [6, 10, 14].

(2) The condition (1) is used to prove the C^0 estimate. Similar conditions can be seen in [6, 28]. Compared with them we give a weaker condition in this theorem. The condition (3) is used to prove that the condition (4) is preserved along flow (1.1). Thus, we can derive the convergence by condition (4). The condition (2) is used to derive the C^2 estimate. In particular, Gauss curvature has pretty good properties therefore we don't need condition (2). There must have a lot of hypersurfaces satisfying condition (4). In fact, spheres with radius large enough or small enough satisfy condition (4) by condition (1).

(3) We give some remarks about G_X . Let $\hat{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of product $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and let x_i and X_α be a local frame along \mathbb{S}^n and \mathbb{R}^{n+1} respectively. We usually denote the covariant derivative of $G(\nu, X)$ with respect to x_i and the covariant derivative of $G(\nu, X)$ with respect to the first independent variable by $D_i G$ and $D_{x_i} G$ respectively. For example, if $G = G(X)$, we have $D_i G(X) = \langle \bar{\nabla} G, \bar{\nabla}_i X \rangle$ and $D_{x_i} G(X) = 0$ since X is independent with ν . Let $\pi_1 : T(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow T\mathbb{S}^n$, $\pi_2 : T(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then

$$D_i G = D_{x_i} G + D_{X_\alpha} G \bar{\nabla}_i X_\alpha = \langle \pi_1(\hat{\nabla} G), D_{x_i} \nu \rangle + \langle \pi_2(\hat{\nabla} G), \bar{\nabla}_i X \rangle.$$

If we denote that $\pi_1(\hat{\nabla} G) = G_\nu$, $\pi_2(\hat{\nabla} G) = G_X$, then we have $D_i G = \langle G_\nu, D_{x_i} \nu \rangle + \langle G_X, \bar{\nabla}_i X \rangle$.

We also give a simple calculation method. If $G = \psi(\nu)u^{\alpha-1}\rho^\delta$, $\langle G_X, X \rangle = \langle (\alpha-1)\frac{G}{u}\nu, X \rangle + \langle \delta\frac{G}{\rho}\frac{X}{\sqrt{X \cdot X}}, X \rangle = (\alpha + \delta - 1)G$ since $u = X \cdot \nu$ and $\rho = \sqrt{X \cdot X}$. If $G = \psi(\nu)\varphi(u)\phi(X)$, $\langle G_X, X \rangle = \langle \frac{G\varphi'}{\varphi}\nu, X \rangle + \langle \frac{G\bar{\nabla}\phi}{\phi}, X \rangle = \frac{G\varphi' u}{\varphi} + \langle \frac{G\bar{\nabla}\phi}{\phi}, X \rangle$.

(4) The condition (2) is necessary for curvature functions except $\sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$. We mention that the condition (2) is derived by calculation. Thus the condition (2) is a structural condition for G and it is independent of initial hypersurface and time. In order to simplify the condition, we give the simple expression with $G = G(\nu, X)$. For example, if $G = \psi(\nu)u^{\alpha-1}\rho^\delta$, we derive $D_{x_i} D_{x_i} (\psi^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta+1}}) + \psi^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta+1}} > 0$ since $\rho = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}$ is independent with x_i . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{x_i} u &= D_{x_i} \langle X, \nu \rangle = \langle X, D_{x_i} \nu \rangle, \\ D_{x_i} D_{x_i} u &= D_{x_i} \langle X, D_{x_i} \nu \rangle = \langle X, D_{x_i} D_{x_i} \nu \rangle = \langle X, -\nu \rangle = -u. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$\frac{D_i D_i \psi}{\psi} - \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \left(\frac{D_i \psi}{\psi} \right)^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\beta+1} \frac{D_i \psi D_{x_i} u}{\psi u} + \beta + 1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \beta - 1)}{\beta+1} \left(\frac{D_{x_i} u}{u} \right)^2 > 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{D_i D_i \psi}{\psi} + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\beta + 1 - \alpha} \left(\frac{D_i \psi}{\psi} \right)^2 + (\beta + 1 - \alpha) \\ & - \frac{\alpha}{\beta + 1} \left(\sqrt{\beta + 1 - \alpha} \frac{D_{x_i} u}{u} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta + 1 - \alpha}} \frac{D_i \psi}{\psi} \right)^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

if $\alpha \leq 0$. And if $\alpha > \beta + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{D_i D_i \psi}{\psi} + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\beta + 1 - \alpha} \left(\frac{D_i \psi}{\psi} \right)^2 + (\beta + 1 - \alpha) \\ & + \frac{\alpha}{\beta + 1} \left(\sqrt{\alpha - \beta - 1} \frac{D_{x_i} u}{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha - \beta - 1}} \frac{D_i \psi}{\psi} \right)^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we let $\alpha \leq 0$ and $(D_i D_j \psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}} + \delta_{ij} \psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}}) > 0$ or $\alpha > \beta + 1$ and $(D_i D_j \psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}} + \delta_{ij} \psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}}) < 0$ in [14].

If $G = \psi(\nu)\varphi(u)$ and $F^\beta = \sigma_k$, we derive $D_{x_i}D_{x_i}(\psi\varphi(u)u)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} + (\psi\varphi(u)u)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} > 0$. One can find that this condition after calculation is equivalent to the condition in [24].

(5) If $G = \psi(\nu)u^{\alpha-1}$ and $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$, in [23], for $1 \leq k < n$, $\alpha < k + 1$, $\beta = k$, Ivaki showed the existence of a rotationally symmetric ψ with $((\psi^{\frac{1}{k+1-\alpha}})_{\theta\theta} + \psi^{\frac{1}{k+1-\alpha}})|_{\theta=0} < 0$ and a smooth, closed, strictly convex initial hypersurface for which the solution to the flow (1.1) with $k < n$ will lose smoothness. Therefore $(D_iD_j\psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}} + \delta_{ij}\psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}}) \geq 0$ is essential to ensure the smoothness of the solution is preserved, and we believe that condition (4) is necessary. Up to now, all results by use of anisotropic flows to solve Christoffel-Minkowski type problem require the condition $(D_iD_j\psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}} + \delta_{ij}\psi^{\frac{1}{1+\beta-\alpha}}) > 0$. More details can be seen in [5, 23].

We can find that condition (1) and (2) are crucial to Theorem 1.2. Condition (3) and (4) in Theorem 1.2 are used to prove the convergence. Thus we hope that condition (3) and (4) can be removed. If $G = \varphi(\nu, u)$ and $F = \sigma^{\frac{1}{m}}$, we can drop condition (3) and (4), where $\sigma = \sigma(\lambda)$ satisfies divergence-free, i.e. $\sum_i D_i\sigma^{ij} = 0$, and $\sigma^{\frac{1}{m}}$ satisfies Assumption 1.1, i.e. σ is homogeneous of degree m . As we all know, $\sigma = \sigma_k$ satisfies these conditions. More examples which satisfy divergence-free can be seen in [34]. Furthermore, if $G = \varphi(\nu, u)\phi(X)$ and $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$, we can drop condition (2), (3) and (4).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\sigma \in C^2(\Gamma_+) \cap C^0(\partial\Gamma_+)$ satisfy $\sum_i D_i\sigma^{ij} = 0$ and $\sigma^{\frac{1}{m}}$ satisfy Assumption 1.1, and let M_0 be a closed, smooth, uniformly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, enclosing the origin. Let $G = \varphi(\nu, u)$ and $F = \sigma^{\frac{1}{m}}$. Suppose*

- (1) $\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} [\varphi(\nu, s)s^\beta] < 1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} [\varphi(\nu, s)s^\beta]$,
- (2) $(D_{x_i}D_{x_j}(\varphi u)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + (\varphi u)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}\delta_{ij}) > 0$.

Then the flow (1.1) has a unique smooth strictly convex solution M_t for all time $t > 0$, and a subsequence of M_t converges in C^∞ -topology to a positive, smooth, uniformly convex solution to $\varphi(\nu, u)\sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} = 1$.

In particular, if $F = \sigma_n(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, the condition (2) can be dropped.

Remark: The similar results have been derived in [6] and [24]. In [6], they derive this result if $\sigma = \sigma_n$ and $\varphi(\nu, u) = \psi(\nu)\varphi(u)$. The ranges of curvature functions is broadened in this theorem and usually general curvature functions are more difficult to handle than σ_n . In [24] they give a different condition and derive a solution of $\psi(\nu)\varphi(u)\sigma_k = c$. In Orlicz type problems the constant c can't be set to 1 in general. We give a suitable condition such that $c = 1$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let M_0 be a closed, smooth, uniformly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, enclosing the origin. Let $G = \varphi(\nu, u)\phi(X)$ and $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Suppose*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} [\max_{y=sx} \varphi(x, s)\phi(y)s^\beta] < 1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} [\min_{y=sx} \varphi(x, s)G(y)s^\beta].$$

Then the flow (1.1) has a unique smooth strictly convex solution M_t for all time $t > 0$, and a subsequence of M_t converges in C^∞ -topology to a positive, smooth, uniformly convex solution to $\varphi(\nu, u)\phi(X)\sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{n}} = 1$.

Remark: Comparing with Theorem 1.3, we limit the curvature function, and as compensation, we increase the range of G . We give a weaker condition thus this theorem covers the result in [28].

Under the flow (1.1), if we parameterize the hypersurface M_t by inverse Gauss map $X(x, t) : \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, by [13] Section 2 the support function u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (G(x, X)F^\beta(\lambda_i) - 1)u, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Therefore the asymptotic behavior of the flow means existence of solutions of dual Orlicz Christoffel-Minkowski type problem mentioned below. In order to prove the above theorems, we shall establish the a priori estimates for the parabolic equation (1.8).

Convex geometry plays important role in the development of fully nonlinear partial differential equations. The classical Minkowski problem, the Christoffel-Minkowski problem, the L^p Minkowski problem, the L^p Christoffel-Minkowski problem in general, are beautiful examples of such interactions (e.g., [15, 18, 29, 30]). Very recently, the L^p dual curvature measures [21, 31] were developed to the Orlicz case [16, 17], which unified more curvature measures and were named dual Orlicz curvature measures. These curvature measures are of central importance in the dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory, and the corresponding Minkowski problems are called the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problems. This problem can be reduced to derive the solution of

$$c\varphi(u)G(\bar{\nabla}u) \det(D^2u + uI) = f \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (1.9)$$

There have a few results, e.g. [11, 16, 17, 28].

We shall also consider the dual Orlicz Christoffel-Minkowski type problem. Since $X = Du + ux$, as before, this problem can be reduced to the following nonlinear PDE:

$$G(x, u, \rho, Du, X)F(D^2u + uI) = c \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n, \quad (1.10)$$

or

$$G(x, X)F(D^2u + uI) = c \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (1.11)$$

(1.10) or (1.11) can be converted into L^p Christoffel-Minkowski problem, L^p dual Minkowski problem, L^p dual Christoffel-Minkowski problem, dual Orlicz Minkowski problem or Orlicz Christoffel-Minkowski problem if $G = \psi(x)u^{\frac{1-p}{k}}$, $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$ or $G = \psi(x)u^{\frac{1-p}{n}}\rho^{\frac{q-n-1}{2n}}$, $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$ or $G = \psi(x)u^{\frac{1-p}{k}}\rho^{\frac{q-k-1}{2k}}$, $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$ or $G = \psi(x)\varphi(u)\phi(X)$, $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$ or $G = \psi(x)\varphi(u)$, $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$ respectively. Note that the case $F \neq \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is generally harder to deal with than $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Some previous known results of these problems can be seen in [3, 8–10, 14, 18–20, 22, 31] etc..

By Theorem 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, we prove the following existence results of the dual Orlicz Minkowski problem and dual Orlicz Christoffel-Minkowski type problem by the asymptotic behavior of the anisotropic curvature flow.

Theorem 1.5. *Let F satisfy Assumption 1.1 and*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} [\max_{y=sx} G(x, y)s] < 1 < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} [\min_{y=sx} G(x, y)s].$$

(1) (I) *Suppose either*

(i) *There exists a positive constant β such that $(D_{x_i}D_{x_j}(G^\beta u)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + (G^\beta u)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}\delta_{ij}) > 0$;*

or (ii) $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

(II) *Suppose either*

(i) $\frac{\langle G_{x_i}, X \rangle}{G} + 1 \leq 0$;

or (ii) $G(x, X) = \varphi(x, u)$ and $F = \sigma^{\frac{1}{m}}$, where $\sigma \in C^2(\Gamma_+) \cap C^0(\partial\Gamma_+)$ satisfies

$$\sum_i D_i \sigma^{ij} = 0.$$

Then there exists a positive, smooth, uniformly convex solution to (1.11) with $c = 1$;
 (2) Let $G = \varphi(x, u)\phi(X)$ and $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$, then there exists a positive, smooth, uniformly convex solution to (1.11) with $c = 1$.

Remark: (i) If $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$, our condition is weaker than the condition in [28]. Note that the power of curvature function is different between this theorem and [28].

(ii) If $G = \psi u^{\alpha-1} \rho^\delta$ and $F = \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$, the problem can be converted to the L^p dual Christoffel-Minkowski problem considered in [14], i.e.

$$\sigma_k(D^2u + uI) = \psi(x)u^{p-1}(u^2 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{k+1-q}{2}}. \quad (1.12)$$

We derive a existence result of this problem with $p > q$, $p > 1$ or $q < p < 0$. If $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$, We derive a existence result of L^p dual Minkowski problem with $p > q$. If we let p or q be a special value, our argument covers lots of well-known results. For example, in [20, 23, 32] they proved the existence of the solutions of (1.12) with $q = k + 1$, $p \geq k + 1$; in [22] they proved the existence of the solutions of (1.12) with $p > q$ and $k = n$; in [28] they proved the existence of the solutions of (1.11) with $G = \psi(x)\varphi(u)\phi(X)$ and $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$ covered by (2) of Theorem 1.5; in [10] they proved the existence of the solutions of (1.12) with $p \geq q$ and $k = n$; in [14] we proved the existence of the solutions of (1.12) with $p > q$, $p > 1$ or $q < p < 0$; in [27] they proved the existence of the solutions of (1.12) with $p = 0 \geq q$ and $k = n$; in [6] they proved the existence of the solutions of (1.11) with $G = \psi(x)\varphi(u)$ and $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$ covered by (2) of Theorem 1.5; etc..

Finally, we shall derive two uniqueness results in some particular cases. We list a result here which is proved by an expanding flow. Another result can be seen in Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $G = G(u, \rho)$, $F \in C^2(\Gamma_+) \cap C^0(\partial\Gamma_+)$ satisfy Assumption 1.1, and let M_0 be a closed, smooth, uniformly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, enclosing the origin. Suppose*

- (1) $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} [G(s, s)s^\beta] < 1 < \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} [G(s, s)s^\beta]$,
- (2) $(D_{x_i} D_{x_j} (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \delta_{ij}) > 0$,
- (3) $\frac{uG_u}{G} + \frac{\rho G_\rho}{G} + \beta \leq 0$.

The flow (1.1) has a unique smooth strictly convex solution M_t for all time $t > 0$. And M_t converges exponentially to a round sphere centered at the origin in the C^∞ -topology.

This means that the solutions of $G(u, \rho)F^\beta = 1$ must be a sphere whose radius R satisfies $G(R, R)R^\beta = 1$.

In particular, if $F = \sigma_n(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, the condition (2) can be dropped.

Remark: Condition (3) in this theorem is equivalent to condition (3) in Theorem 1.2 if $G = G(u, \rho)$. If $G = u^{\alpha-1} \rho^\delta$, we prove the long time existence and convergence of this flow with $\alpha + \delta + \beta < 1$, $\alpha \leq 0$. Meanwhile, we can prove the similar result with $\alpha + \delta + \beta = 1$, $\alpha \leq 0$ by the same method.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We first recall some notations and known results in Section 2 for later use. In Section 3, we establish the C^2 estimates for a large ranges of parabolic equations. Then we only need to derive the C^0 estimate later by these lemmas. In Section 4, we establish the C^0 estimate and then we have the a priori estimates. In Section 5, we show the convergence of these flows and complete the proof of these theorems. Finally, we provide two uniqueness results in some special cases.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Intrinsic curvature. We now state some general facts about hypersurfaces, especially those that can be written as graphs. The geometric quantities of ambient spaces will be denoted by $(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta})$, $(\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})$ etc., where Greek indices range from 0 to n . Quantities for M will be denoted by (g_{ij}) , (R_{ijkl}) etc., where Latin indices range from 1 to n .

Let ∇ , $\bar{\nabla}$ and D be the Levi-Civita connection of g , \bar{g} and the Riemannian metric e of \mathbb{S}^n respectively. All indices appearing after the semicolon indicate covariant derivatives. The (1,3)-type Riemannian curvature tensor is defined by

$$R(U, Y)Z = \nabla_U \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_U Z - \nabla_{[U, Y]} Z,$$

or with respect to a local frame $\{e_i\}$,

$$R(e_i, e_j)e_k = R_{ijk}{}^l e_l,$$

where we use the summation convention (and will henceforth do so). The coordinate expression of (2.1), the so-called Ricci identities, read

$$Y_{;ij}^k - Y_{;ji}^k = -R_{ijm}{}^k Y^m$$

for all vector fields $Y = (Y^k)$. We also denote the (0,4) version of the curvature tensor by R ,

$$R(W, U, Y, Z) = g(R(W, U)Y, Z).$$

2.2. Extrinsic curvature. The induced geometry of M is governed by the following relations. The second fundamental form $h = (h_{ij})$ is given by the Gaussian formula

$$\bar{\nabla}_Z Y = \nabla_Z Y - h(Z, Y)\nu,$$

where ν is a local outer unit normal field. Note that here (and in the rest of the paper) we will abuse notation by disregarding the necessity to distinguish between a vector $Y \in T_p M$ and its push-forward $X_* Y \in T_p \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The Weingarten endomorphism $A = (h_j^i)$ is given by $h_j^i = g^{ki} h_{kj}$, and the Weingarten equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y \nu = A(Y),$$

holds there, or in coordinates

$$\nu_{;i}^\alpha = h_i^k X_{;k}^\alpha.$$

We also have the Codazzi equation in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}

$$\nabla_W h(Y, Z) - \nabla_Z h(Y, W) = -\bar{R}(\nu, Y, Z, W) = 0$$

or

$$h_{ij;k} - h_{ik;j} = -\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \nu^\alpha X_{;i}^\beta X_{;j}^\gamma X_{;k}^\delta = 0,$$

and the Gauss equation

$$R(W, U, Y, Z) = \bar{R}(W, U, Y, Z) + h(W, Z)h(U, Y) - h(W, Y)h(U, Z)$$

or

$$R_{ijkl} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} X_{;i}^\alpha X_{;j}^\beta X_{;k}^\gamma X_{;l}^\delta + h_{il}h_{jk} - h_{ik}h_{jl},$$

where

$$\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0.$$

2.3. Convex hypersurface parametrized by the inverse Gauss map. Let M be a smooth, closed and uniformly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Assume that M is parametrized by the inverse Gauss map $X : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and encloses origin. The support function $u : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ of M is defined by

$$u(x) = \sup_{y \in M} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

The supremum is attained at a point $y = X(x)$ because of convexity, x is the outer normal of M at y . Hence $u(x) = \langle x, X(x) \rangle$. Then we have

$$X = Du + ux \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \sqrt{u^2 + |Du|^2}.$$

The second fundamental form of M in terms of u is given by

$$h_{ij} = D_i D_j u + u e_{ij},$$

and the principal radii of curvature are the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$b_{ij} = h^{ik} g_{jk} = h_{ij} = D_{ij}^2 u + u \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.1)$$

The proof can be seen in Urbas [33]. We see that the support function satisfies the initial value problem by [13] Section 2,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \left(GF^\beta([D^2 u + uI]) - 1 \right) u \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, \infty), \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where I is the identity matrix, u_0 is the support function of M_0 , and

$$F([a_j^i]) = f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n), \quad (2.3)$$

where μ_1, \dots, μ_n are the eigenvalues of matrix $[a_j^i]$. It is not difficult to see that the eigenvalues of $[F_i^j] = [\frac{\partial F}{\partial a_j^i}]$ are $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_n}$. Thus from Assumption 1.1 we obtain

$$[F_i^j] > 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^+, \quad (2.4)$$

which yields that the equation (2.2) is parabolic for admissible solutions. We also have the formula

$$\frac{\partial_t \rho}{\rho}(\xi) = \frac{\partial_t u}{u}(x), \quad (2.5)$$

which can be also found in [10, 27].

It is well-known that the determinants of the Jacobian of radial Gauss mapping \mathcal{A} and reverse radial Gauss mapping \mathcal{A}^* of Ω are given by, see e.g. [9, 21, 27],

$$|Jac \mathcal{A}|(\xi) = \left| \frac{dx}{d\xi} \right| = \frac{\rho^{n+1}(\xi) K(\bar{\rho}(\xi))}{u(\mathcal{A}(\xi))}, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$|Jac \mathcal{A}^*|(x) = \left| \frac{d\xi}{dx} \right| = \frac{u(x)}{\rho^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}^*(x)) K(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(x))}. \quad (2.7)$$

Before closing this section, we give the following basic properties for any given Ω contained the origin.

Lemma 2.1. [10] *Let Ω contain the origin. Let u and ρ be the support function and radial function of Ω , and x_{\max} and ξ_{\min} be two points such that $u(x_{\max}) = \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u$ and $\rho(\xi_{\min}) = \min_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho$. Then*

$$\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u = \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{\mathbb{S}^n} u = \min_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho, \quad (2.8)$$

$$u(x) \geq x \cdot x_{\max} u(x_{\max}), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^n, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\rho(\xi) \xi \cdot \xi_{\min} \leq \rho(\xi_{\min}), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (2.10)$$

3. CURVATURE ESTIMATES FOR GENERAL CASES

In this section, we shall establish the C^2 estimates for large ranges of parabolic equations. We hope that these estimates can be used more widely. We only need to derive the C^0 estimates to get the long-time existence in future work. We give an assumption about curvature function.

Assumption 3.1. *Let $\Gamma_+ = \{(\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_i > 0\}$ be a symmetric, convex, open cone.*

(i) *suppose that F is positive in Γ_+ , homogeneous of degree 1 with $F^\beta(1, \dots, 1) = 1$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_i} > 0$;*

(ii) *Suppose F is inverse concave.*

First, we derive the C^1 estimates. Throughout the paper, we say that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ is uniformly convex if the matrix $[D^2u + uI]$ is positive-definite. Given a smooth positive function u on \mathbb{S}^n such that $[D^2u + uI]$ is positive-definite, there is a unique smooth strictly convex hypersurface M given by

$$M = \{X(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | X(x) = u(x)x + Du(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^n\}$$

whose support function is u . We note $\rho = |X| = \sqrt{u^2 + |Du|^2}$. For a parabolic equation, we can consider hypersurfaces M_t whose support function are u_t . Thus the bound of $|Du(x)|$ is derived directly by Lemma 2.1 and $\rho = \sqrt{u^2 + |Du|^2}$ if we have the bound of u from above and below.

Next we give the bounds of curvature function F .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\beta > 0$. Let $u(\cdot, t)$ be a positive, smooth and uniformly convex solution to*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \phi(t)uG(x, u, Du)F^\beta(D^2u + uI) - \eta(t)u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

where $G(x, u, Du) : \mathbb{S}^n \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a smooth function, F satisfies (i) of Assumption 3.1. If

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_0} &\leq u(x, t) \leq C_0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T], \\ \eta(t) &\geq \frac{1}{C_0}, & \forall t \in [0, T], \\ 0 &\leq \phi(t) \leq C_0, & \forall t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$, then

$$F(D^2u + uI)(\cdot, t) \geq C^{-1}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $\beta, C_0, u(\cdot, 0), |G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |1/G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |G|_{C^1_{x,u,Du}(U)}$ and $|G|_{C^2_{x,u,Du}(U)}$ where $U = \mathbb{S}^n \times [1/C_0, C_0] \times B_{C_0}^n$ ($B_{C_0}^n$ is the ball centered at the origin with radius C_0 in \mathbb{R}^n).

Proof. We consider hypersurfaces M_t whose support function are u_t and use $G = G(x, X)$ in this proof since $G(x, X)$ can be regarded as $G(x, u, Du)$. We will apply the maximum principle to the auxiliary function

$$\theta = \log Q - A \frac{\rho^2}{2},$$

where $Q = uGF^\beta$, $A > 0$ is a constant to be determined later. Let $\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \frac{\beta\phi(t)QF^{ij}}{F}D_{ij}^2$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \log Q &= \frac{\partial_t Q}{Q} - \frac{\beta\phi(t)F^{ij}}{F} \left(Q_{ij} - \frac{Q_i Q_j}{Q} \right) \\ &= \frac{\phi Q - \eta u}{u} + \frac{\langle G_X, \partial_t X \rangle}{G} + \frac{\beta F^{ij}}{F} (-\eta h_{ij} + \phi Q \delta_{ij}) + \frac{\beta\phi(t)F^{ij}}{F} \frac{Q_i Q_j}{Q} \\ &\geq \frac{\phi Q}{u} - (1 + \beta)\eta + \frac{\langle G_X, \partial_t X \rangle}{G},\end{aligned}\quad (3.1)$$

where we have used that $[F^{ij}]$ is positive-definite in the last inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(-A\frac{\rho^2}{2}) &= -A\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{u^2 + |Du|^2}{2}\right) \\ &= -Au\partial_t u - AD^m u D_m \partial_t u \\ &\quad + \frac{A\beta\phi(t)QF^{ij}}{F}(uu_{ij} + u_i u_j + u_{mi} u_m + u_{mi} u_{mj}) \\ &= -Au\phi Q + A\eta\rho^2 - A\phi F^\beta D^m u (uG)_m - A\beta\phi\frac{Q}{F}D^m u F_m \\ &\quad + \frac{A\beta\phi(t)QF^{ij}}{F}\left(uh_{ij} - u^2\delta_{ij} + u_i u_j + (D_m h_{ij} - u_j \delta_{mi})u_m\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ (h_{mi} - u\delta_{mi})(h_{mj} - u\delta_{mj})\right) \\ &\geq -A(\beta + 1)u\phi Q + A\eta\rho^2 - A\phi\frac{Q}{u}|Du|^2 - A\phi\frac{Q}{G}D^m u \langle G_X, \bar{\nabla}_m X \rangle \\ &\quad - A\phi\frac{Q}{G}D^m u \langle G_x, e_m \rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (3.2)$$

where we have used $\rho^2 = u^2 + |Du|^2$ and $[F^{ij}]$ is positive-definite in the last inequality. Note that

$$D^m u \bar{\nabla}_m X = D^m u \bar{\nabla}_m (Du + ux) = D^m u h_{mk} e_k = \rho D\rho(x) \quad (3.3)$$

by $D_i \rho(x) = \frac{uu_i + u_k u_{ki}}{\rho} = \frac{u_k h_{ki}}{\rho}$. Note that

$$\partial_t X = \partial_t (ux + Du) = (\phi Q - \eta u)x + D(\phi Q - \eta u) = (\phi Q - \eta u)x + \phi Q A \rho D\rho - \eta Du \quad (3.4)$$

by $D\theta = \frac{DQ}{Q} - A\rho D\rho = 0$.

Combining (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}\theta &\geq \frac{\phi Q}{u} - (1 + \beta)\eta + \frac{\langle G_X, (\phi Q - \eta u)x + \phi Q A \rho D\rho - \eta Du \rangle}{G} - A(\beta + 1)u\phi Q \\ &\quad + A\eta\rho^2 - A\phi\frac{Q}{u}|Du|^2 - A\phi\frac{Q}{G}\langle G_X, \rho D\rho(x) \rangle - A\phi\frac{Q}{G}D^m u \langle G_x, e_m \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{\phi Q}{u} - (1 + \beta)\eta - C_2(\phi Q + \eta) - A(\beta + 1)u\phi Q + A\eta\rho^2 - A\phi\frac{Q}{u}|Du|^2 - AC_3\phi\frac{Q}{G} \\ &= \left(\frac{A}{2}\eta\rho^2 + \frac{\phi Q}{u} - C_2\phi Q - (1 + \beta + C_2)\eta\right) \\ &\quad + A\left(\frac{\eta\rho^2}{2} - (1 + \beta)u\phi Q - \phi\frac{Q}{u}|Du|^2 - C_3\phi\frac{Q}{G}\right),\end{aligned}\quad (3.5)$$

where we have used $|\langle G_X, x \rangle|, |\langle G_X, Du \rangle|, |\langle G_x, Du \rangle| \leq C_1$ by C^0 and C^1 estimates. Note that F and θ have the same monotonicity. Choose $A > \frac{2}{\min\rho^2}(1 + C_2 + \beta)$.

Thus if θ tends to $-\infty$ then Q tends to 0 and the right-hand side becomes positive. This completes the proof by the maximum principle. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\beta > 0$. Let $u(\cdot, t)$ be a positive, smooth and uniformly convex solution to*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \phi(t)uG(x, u, Du)F^\beta(D^2u + uI) - \eta(t)u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

where $G(x, u, Du) : \mathbb{S}^n \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a smooth function, F satisfies Assumption 3.1. If

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_0} &\leq u(x, t) \leq C_0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T], \\ \eta(t) &\leq C_0, & \forall t \in [0, T], \\ \phi(t) \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} F^\beta(D^2u + uI) &\geq \frac{1}{C_0}, & \forall t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$, then

$$F(D^2u + uI)(\cdot, t) \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $\beta, C_0, u(\cdot, 0), |G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |1/G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |G|_{C^1_{x,u,Du}(U)}$ and $|G|_{C^2_{x,u,Du}(U)}$ where $U = \mathbb{S}^n \times [1/C_0, C_0] \times B_{C_0}^n$ ($B_{C_0}^n$ is the ball centered at the origin with radius C_0 in \mathbb{R}^n).

Proof. We consider hypersurfaces M_t whose support function are u_t and use $G = G(x, X)$ in this proof since $G(x, X)$ can be regarded as $G(x, u, Du)$. We will apply the maximum principle to the auxiliary function

$$\theta = \frac{GF^\beta}{1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2}},$$

where $\varepsilon \leq \rho^2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Let $Q = GF^\beta$, $\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \frac{\beta\phi(t)uQF^{ij}}{F}D_{ij}^2$. Then

$$(GF^\beta u)_{ij} = Q_{ij}u + Q_i u_j + Q_j u_i + Q u_{ij}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t Q &= \frac{Q}{G} \langle G_X, \partial_t X \rangle + \frac{\beta Q}{F} F^{ij} \left((\partial_t u)_{ij} + \partial_t u \delta_{ij} \right) \\ &= \frac{Q}{G} \langle G_X, \partial_t X \rangle + \frac{\beta Q}{F} F^{ij} (\phi Q_{ij} u + \phi Q_i u_j + \phi Q_j u_i + (\phi Q - \eta) h_{ij}) \\ &= \beta(\phi Q - \eta)Q + \frac{Q}{G} \langle G_X, \partial_t X \rangle + \frac{\beta\phi u Q F^{ij}}{F} Q_{ij} + 2 \frac{\beta\phi Q}{F} F^{ij} Q_i u_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note that the definition of Q in Lemma 3.2 is different from that in this lemma. By (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2}) &= -\varepsilon u^2 \phi Q + \varepsilon \eta \rho^2 - \varepsilon \phi F^\beta D^m u (uG)_m - \varepsilon \beta \phi \frac{uQ}{F} D^m u F_m \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon \beta \phi(t) u Q F^{ij}}{F} \left(u h_{ij} - u^2 \delta_{ij} + u_i u_j + (D_m h_{ij} - u_j \delta_{mi}) u_m \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (h_{mi} - u \delta_{mi})(h_{mj} - u \delta_{mj}) \right) \\ &= -\varepsilon(1 + \beta)u^2 \phi Q + \varepsilon \eta \rho^2 - \varepsilon \phi Q |Du|^2 - \varepsilon \phi u F^\beta D^m u D_m G \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon \beta \phi(t) u Q F^{ij} (h^2)_{ij}}{F}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since F is an inverse concave function, we have $F^{ij}h_{mi}h_{mj} \geq F^2$ by [2]. At the maximum point, we have

$$D\theta = \frac{DQ}{1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2}} + \frac{\varepsilon \rho Q D\rho}{(1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2})^2} = 0.$$

Combining (3.3), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7), similarly to Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2}}{Q} \mathcal{L}\theta &= \frac{\mathcal{L}Q}{Q} - \frac{\mathcal{L}(1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2})}{1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2}} \\ &= \beta(\phi Q - \eta) + \frac{\langle G_X, \partial_t X \rangle}{G} - \frac{2\beta\varepsilon\phi\rho Q}{F(1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2})} F^{ij}u_i\rho_j \\ &\quad - \frac{-\varepsilon(1 + \beta)u^2\phi Q + \varepsilon\eta\rho^2 - \varepsilon\phi Q|Du|^2 - \varepsilon\phi u F^\beta D^m u D_m G}{1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\varepsilon\beta\phi(t)uQF^{ij}(h^2)_{ij}}{F(1 - \varepsilon \frac{\rho^2}{2})} \\ &\leq -C_4\phi F^{\beta+1} + C_5\phi F^\beta + C_6 \\ &\leq -C_7\phi F^{\beta+1} + C_6 \\ &\leq -C_8F + C_6, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where we have used $D_i\rho = \frac{uu_i + u_k u_{ki}}{\rho} = \frac{u_k h_{ki}}{\rho}$, M_t is strictly convex, $[F^{ij}]$ is positive-definite and the bounds of u, ρ and $|Du|$. At the maximum point we can suppose that θ tends to infinity, i.e. F tends to $\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} F$. Thus we use $\phi F^\beta \geq C_0$ in the last inequality. By the maximum principle we complete the proof. \square

Remark: The condition $\phi(t) \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} F^\beta(D^2u + uI) \geq C_0$ is weaker than $\phi(t) \geq C_0$.

Finally, we can derive the C^2 estimates. We use $G = G(t, x, X)$ in the following lemma to make conditions better represented since $G(t, x, X)$ can be regarded as $G(t, x, u, Du)$, where X is denoted by $ux + Du$. Under this representation we consider that X is independent of x .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\beta > 0$. Let $u(\cdot, t)$ be a positive, smooth and uniformly convex solution to*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = uG(t, x, X)F^\beta(D^2u + uI) - \eta(t)u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

where $G(t, x, X) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a smooth function if $\langle x, X \rangle > 0$, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$, F satisfies Assumption 3.1, $(D_{x_i} D_{x_j} (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \delta_{ij}) > 0$. If

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_0} &\leq u(x, t) \leq C_0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T], \\ \eta(t) &\leq C_0, & \forall t \in [0, T], \\ \frac{1}{C_0} &\leq F(x, t) \leq C_0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$, then

$$(D^2u + uI)(\cdot, t) \geq \frac{1}{C}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $\beta, C_0, u(\cdot, 0), |G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |1/G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |G|_{C^1_{x,X}(U)}$ and $|G|_{C^2_{x,X}(U)}$ where $U = [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^n \times B_{C_0}^n$ ($B_{C_0}^n$ is the ball centered at the origin with radius C_0 in \mathbb{R}^n).

Proof. We consider hypersurfaces M_t whose support function are u_t . We mention that under this representation we consider that X is independent of x . Then the eigenvalues of $[D^2u + uI]$ are the principal radii of curvatures of M_t . The principal radii of curvatures of M_t are also the eigenvalues of $\{h_{il}e^{lj}\}$. To derive a positive lower bound of principal curvatures radii, it suffices to prove that the eigenvalues of $\{h^{il}e_{lj}\}$ are bounded from above. For this end, we consider the following quantity

$$W(x, t) = \max\left\{\frac{h^{ij}}{u}(x, t)\xi_i\xi_j : e^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j = 1\right\}.$$

Fix an arbitrary $T' \in (0, T)$ and assume that W attains its maximum on $\mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T']$ at (x_0, t_0) with $t_0 > 0$ (otherwise W is bounded by its initial value and we are done). We choose a local orthonormal frame e_1, \dots, e_n on \mathbb{S}^n such that at $X(x_0, t_0)$, $\{h_{ij}\}$ is diagonal, and assume without loss of generality that

$$h^{11} \geq h^{22} \geq \dots \geq h^{nn}.$$

Take a vector $\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ at (x_0, t_0) , and extend it to a parallel vector field in a neighborhood of x_0 independent of t , still denoted by ξ . Set

$$\widetilde{W}(x, t) = \frac{\frac{h^{kl}}{u}\xi_k\xi_l}{e^{kl}\xi_k\xi_l},$$

which is differential, and there holds

$$\widetilde{W}(x, t) \leq \widetilde{W}(x_0, t_0) = W(x_0, t_0) = \frac{h^{11}}{u}(x_0, t_0).$$

Note that at the point (x_0, t_0)

$$\partial_t \widetilde{W} = \partial_t \frac{h^{11}}{u}, \quad D_i \widetilde{W} = D_i \frac{h^{11}}{u}, \quad \text{and} \quad D_{ij}^2 \widetilde{W} = D_{ij}^2 \frac{h^{11}}{u}.$$

This implies that \widetilde{W} satisfies the same evolution as $\frac{h^{11}}{u}$ at (x_0, t_0) . Therefore we shall just apply the maximum principle to the evolution of $\frac{h^{11}}{u}$ to obtain the upper bound.

We denote the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial h^{pq}}{\partial h_{kl}}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 h^{pq}}{\partial h_{rs} \partial h_{kl}}$ by h_{kl}^{pq} and $h_{kl,rs}^{pq}$ respectively. From [33], we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{kl}^{pq} &= -h^{pk}h^{ql}, \\ h_{kl,rs}^{pq} &= h^{pr}h^{ks}h^{ql} + h^{pk}h^{qr}h^{ls}, \\ D_j h^{pq} &= h_{kl}^{pq} D_j h_{kl}, \\ D_{ij}^2 h^{pq} &= h_{kl}^{pq} D_{ij}^2 h_{kl} + h_{kl,rs}^{pq} D_i h_{rs} D_j h_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $J = F^\beta$. We differentiate the equation (2.2) at (x_t, t) to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_k u = (uG)_k J + uG J_k - \eta u_k, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_{kl}^2 u = (uG)_{kl} J + (uG)_k J_l + (uG)_l J_k + (uG) J_{kl} - \eta u_{kl}. \quad (3.10)$$

Using (2.2) and (3.10), we see that $h_{kl} = D_{kl}^2 u + \delta_{kl} u$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{kl} = (uG)_{kl} J + (uG)_k J_l + (uG)_l J_k + uG J_{kl} + uG J \delta_{kl} - \eta h_{kl},$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h^{11} &= h_{kl}^{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{kl} \\ &= - (h^{11})^2 ((uG)_{11} J + 2(uG)_1 J_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$-(h^{11})^2 uG(J^{ij,mn} D_1 h_{ij} D_1 h_{mn} + J^{ij} D_1 D_1 h_{ij}) - uGJ(h^{11})^2 + \eta h^{11}. \quad (3.11)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D_k D_l h^{11} &= h_{mn}^{11} D_k D_l h_{mn} + h_{mn,rs}^{11} D_l h_{mn} D_k h_{rs} \\ &= -(h^{11})^2 D_k D_l h_{11} + h^{ms} (h^{11})^2 D_l h_{1m} D_k h_{1s} + h^{ns} (h^{11})^2 D_l h_{1n} D_k h_{1s} \\ &= -(h^{11})^2 D_k D_l h_{11} + 2(h^{11})^2 h^{rs} D_1 h_{lr} D_1 h_{ks}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By the Ricci identity,

$$D_k D_l h_{11} = D_1 D_1 h_{kl} + \delta_{1k} h_{1l} - h_{kl} + \delta_{lk} h_{11} - \delta_{1l} h_{1k}, \quad (3.13)$$

Combination of (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h^{11} &= uG J^{kl} D_k D_l h^{11} - uG (h^{11})^2 (J + J^{kl} h_{kl}) + uG \sum_i J^{ii} h^{11} + \eta h^{11} \\ &\quad - uG (h^{11})^2 (2J^{km} h^{nl} + J^{kl,mn}) D_1 h_{kl} D_1 h_{mn} \\ &\quad - (h^{11})^2 (2D_1(uG) D_1 J + J D_1 D_1(uG)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F = J^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ is homogenous of degree one, and satisfies Assumption 1.1, it follows from Urbas [33] that,

$$F^{ij} h_{ij} = F, \quad (3.14)$$

$$(2F^{km} h^{nl} + F^{kl,mn}) D_1 h_{kl} D_1 h_{mn} \geq 2F^{-1} F^{kl} F^{mn} D_1 h_{kl} D_1 h_{mn}. \quad (3.15)$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h^{11} &= \beta uG F^{\beta-1} F^{kl} D_k D_l h^{11} - uG F^\beta (\beta + 1) (h^{11})^2 + \beta uG F^{\beta-1} \sum_i F^{ii} h^{11} + \eta h^{11} \\ &\quad - uG (h^{11})^2 (2\beta F^{\beta-1} F^{km} h^{nl} + \beta(\beta - 1) F^{\beta-2} F^{kl} F^{mn} \\ &\quad + \beta F^{\beta-1} F^{kl,mn}) D_1 h_{kl} D_1 h_{mn} - (h^{11})^2 (2\beta F^{\beta-1} D_1(uG) D_1 F + F^\beta D_1 D_1(uG)) \\ &\leq \beta uG F^{\beta-1} F^{kl} D_k D_l h^{11} - (\beta + 1) uG F^\beta (h^{11})^2 + \beta uG F^{\beta-1} \sum_i F^{ii} h^{11} + \eta h^{11} \\ &\quad - \beta(\beta + 1) uG F^{\beta-2} (h^{11})^2 (D_1 F)^2 - 2\beta F^{\beta-1} D_1(uG) D_1 F (h^{11})^2 \\ &\quad - F^\beta (h^{11})^2 D_1 D_1(uG). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-2\beta F^{\beta-1} D_1(uG) D_1 F (h^{11})^2 \leq uG \beta (\beta + 1) F^\beta \left(\frac{D_1 F}{F}\right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1} F^\beta \frac{(D_1(uG))^2}{uG},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} h^{11} &\leq -(\beta + 1) uG F^\beta (h^{11})^2 + \beta uG F^{\beta-1} \sum_i F^{ii} h^{11} + \eta h^{11} \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{\beta + 1} F^\beta \frac{(D_1(uG))^2}{uG} (h^{11})^2 - F^\beta (h^{11})^2 D_1 D_1(uG), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \beta uG F^{\beta-1} F^{kl} D_k D_l$. Meanwhile, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} u &= u(GJ - \eta) - \beta uG F^{\beta-1} F^{kl} D_k D_l u \\ &= u(GJ - \eta) - \beta uGJ + \beta u^2 G F^{\beta-1} \sum_i F^{ii}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Combination of (3.16) and (3.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \frac{h^{11}}{u} &= \frac{\mathcal{L}h^{11}}{h^{11}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}u}{u} \\
&\leq -uGJh^{11} \left(\beta + 1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \frac{(D_1(uG))^2}{(uG)^2} + \frac{D_1D_1(uG)}{uG} \right) + C_9 \quad (3.18) \\
&\leq -uGJh^{11} \left(\beta + 1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \frac{(D_{x_1}(uG))^2}{(uG)^2} + \frac{D_{x_1}D_{x_1}(uG)}{uG} \right) + C_{10},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
D_i(uG) &= D_{x_i}(uG) + \langle (uG)_X, \bar{\nabla}_i X \rangle = D_{x_i}(uG) + \langle (uG)_X, h_{ij}e_j \rangle, \\
h^{11} \frac{D_j u}{u} &= D_j h^{11} = -(h^{11})^2 D_j h_{11}, \\
D_1 D_1(uG) &= D_{x_1} D_{x_1}(uG) + \langle (D_{x_1}(uG))_X, h_{11}e_1 \rangle + \langle (uG)_{Xx_1}, h_{11}e_1 \rangle \\
&\quad + h_{11} \langle (uG)_{X_1X}, h_{11}e_1 \rangle - \langle (uG)_X, h_{11}x \rangle + \langle (uG)_X, D_j h_{11}e_j \rangle \\
&= D_{x_1} D_{x_1}(uG) + \langle (D_{x_1}(uG))_X, h_{11}e_1 \rangle + \langle (uG)_{Xx_1}, h_{11}e_1 \rangle \\
&\quad + h_{11} \langle (uG)_{X_1X}, h_{11}e_1 \rangle - \langle (uG)_X, h_{11}x \rangle - \langle (uG)_X, h_{11} \frac{Du}{u} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

in the last inequality. Since $(D_{x_1}D_{x_1}(Gu))^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} > 0$ and Gu is defined in a compact set by the C^0 estimates. Thus

$$\left(\beta + 1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \frac{(D_{x_1}(uG))^2}{(uG)^2} + \frac{D_{x_1}D_{x_1}(uG)}{uG} \right) \geq C_{11}.$$

By (3.18) we have

$$\partial_t \frac{h^{11}}{u} \leq -C_{11}h^{11} + C_{10}.$$

That is $h^{11} \leq C$ by maximum principle and we have completed this proof. \square

If $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$, condition (2) of Theorem 1.2 is not necessary. The corresponding result is proved in [10], we list it here and don't repeat the proof.

Lemma 3.5. [10] *Let $\beta > 0$. Let $u(\cdot, t)$ be a positive, smooth and uniformly convex solution to*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Psi(t, x, u, Du) \det^{\frac{\beta}{n}}(D^2u + uI) - \eta(t)u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

where $\Psi(t, x, u, Du) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a smooth function. Suppose that $\Psi(t, x, u, Du) > 0$ whenever $u > 0$. If

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{C_0} &\leq u(x, t) \leq C_0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T], \\
|Du|(x, t) &\leq C_0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T], \\
0 &\leq \eta(t) \leq C_0, & \forall t \in [0, T],
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$, then

$$(D^2u + uI)(x, t) \geq C^{-1}I, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \beta, C_0, u(\cdot, 0), |\Psi|_{L^\infty(U)}, |1/\Psi|_{L^\infty(U)}, |\Psi|_{C^1_{x,u,Du}(U)}$ and $|\Psi|_{C^2_{x,u,Du}(U)}$ where $U = [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^n \times [1/C_0, C_0] \times B_{C_0}^n$ ($B_{C_0}^n$ is the ball centered at the origin with radius R in \mathbb{R}^n).

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\beta > 0$. Let $u(\cdot, t)$ be a positive, smooth and uniformly convex solution to*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = uG(t, x, X)F^\beta(D^2u + uI) - \eta(t)u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

where $G(t, x, X) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a smooth function if $\langle x, X \rangle > 0$, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$. If

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \leq u(x, t) \leq C_0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T].$$

Either F satisfies of Assumption 1.1, $(D_{x_i}D_{x_j}(Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} + (Gu)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}\delta_{ij}) > 0$,

$$\eta(t) \leq C_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \leq F(x, t) \leq C_0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

or $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$,

$$0 \leq \eta(t) \leq C_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

$$\sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq C_0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T],$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq (D^2u + uI)(\cdot, t) \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $\beta, C_0, u(\cdot, 0), |G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |1/G|_{L^\infty(U)}, |G|_{C^1_{x,x}(U)}$ and $|G|_{C^2_{x,x}(U)}$ where $U = [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^n \times B_{C_0}^n$ ($B_{C_0}^n$ is the ball centered at the origin with radius C_0 in \mathbb{R}^n).

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 and 3.5, we have the left inequality and $\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq C$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $F_*(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda_n}) = \frac{1}{F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ is uniformly continuous on $\bar{\Gamma}_c = \{\lambda \in \bar{\Gamma}_+ | \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{C} \text{ for all } i\}$, and F_* is bounded from below by a positive constant. Assumption 1.1 implies that λ_i remains in a fixed compact subset of $\bar{\Gamma}_+$, which is independent of t . That is

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \lambda_i(\cdot, t) \leq C.$$

□

4. THE A PRIORI ESTIMATES

By Section 3 we only need to establish the C^0 estimate to derive the a priori estimates.

Lemma 4.1. *Let u be a smooth, strictly convex solution of (2.2) on $\mathbb{S}^n \times [0, T]$, and let G satisfy the condition (1) of Theorem 1.2. Let F satisfy the condition (i) of Assumption 3.1. Then*

$$\frac{1}{C_{12}} \leq u(x, t) \leq C_{12},$$

where C_{12} is a positive constant depending on $\limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} [\max_{y=sx} G(x, y)s^\beta]$, $\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(x, 0)$, $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(x, 0)$, and $\liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} [\min_{y=sx} G(x, y)s^\beta]$.

Proof. Let $u_{\max}(t) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^n} u(\cdot, t) = u(x_t, t)$. For fixed time t , at the point x_t , we have

$$D_i u = 0 \text{ and } D_{ij}^2 u \leq 0.$$

Note that $h_{ij} = D_{ij}^2 u + u\delta_{ij}$. At the point x_t , we have $F^\beta(h_{ij}) \leq u^\beta$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{\max} \leq u(Gu^\beta - 1).$$

Let $\bar{A} = \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} [\max_{y=sx} G(x, y)s^\beta]$. Note that $\rho(x_t) = \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho = \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u$. If we denote $X = \rho\xi$, then $u(x_t) = \langle X, x \rangle = \rho(x_t)\langle x, \xi \rangle$, i.e. $\langle x, \xi \rangle|_{x_t} = 1$ and $X = u_{x_t}x$. By the condition (1) of Theorem 1.2, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \bar{A})$ is positive and there exists a positive constant $C_{13} > 0$ such that

$$Gu^\beta < \bar{A} + \varepsilon$$

for $u > C_{13}$. It follows that

$$Gu^\beta - 1 < \bar{A} + \varepsilon - 1 < 0,$$

from which we have $\partial_t u < 0$ at maximal points. Hence

$$u_{\max} \leq \max\{C_{13}, u_{\max}(0)\}.$$

Similarly, at the minimum point,

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{\min} \geq u(Gu^\beta - 1).$$

By the condition (1) of Theorem 1.2, there exists a positive constant $C_{14} > 0$ such that $Gu^\beta - 1 > 0$ if $u < C_{14}$. Thus

$$u_{\min} \geq \min\{C_{14}, u_{\min}(0)\}.$$

□

The estimates obtained in Lemma 3.2, 3.3, 3.6 and 4.1 are independent of T . By Lemma 4.1 and 3.6, we conclude that the equation (2.2) is uniformly parabolic. By the C^0 estimate (Lemma 4.1), the gradient estimate, the C^2 estimate (Lemma 3.6), Cordes and Nirenberg type estimates [1, 7, 26] and the Krylov's theory [25], we get the Hölder continuity of D^2u and u_t . Then we can get higher order derivation estimates by the regularity theory of the uniformly parabolic equations. Hence we obtain the long time existence and C^∞ -smoothness of solutions for the normalized flow (2.2). The uniqueness of smooth solutions also follows from the parabolic theory.

5. CONVERGENCE AND PROOF OF THEOREMS

In this section, we first complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, namely we will prove that the support function u_∞ of M_∞ satisfies the following equation

$$GF^\beta = 1.$$

To achieve this, we need to find a quantity which can preserve monotonicity along flows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the condition (4) of Theorem 1.2, we choose the initial hypersurface M_0 satisfied $G(x, X)F^\beta|_{M_0} \geq 1$ or $G(x, X)F^\beta|_{M_0} \leq 1$. We shall derive this condition preserved along flows. Let $Q = G(x, X)F^\beta$, along flow (2.2), note that

$$\partial_t X = \partial_t(ux + Du) = (Q - 1)ux + (Q - 1)Du + uDQ = (Q - 1)X + uDQ. \quad (5.1)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t Q &= \frac{\langle G_X, X \rangle}{G} (Q-1)Q + \frac{\langle G_X, uDQ \rangle}{G} Q + \beta \frac{Q}{F} F^{ij} \left(((Q-1)u)_{ij} + ((Q-1)u)\delta_{ij} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\langle G_X, X \rangle}{G} + \beta \right) (Q-1)Q + \frac{\langle G_X, uDQ \rangle}{G} Q + \beta \frac{uQ}{F} F^{ij} Q_{ij} - 2\beta \frac{Q}{F} F^{ij} Q_i u_j.\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

By the condition (3) of Theorem 1.2 and (5.2), we can find the condition (4) is preserved along flow (1.1) for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, due to the C^0 estimates,

$$|u(x, t) - u(x, 0)| = \left| \int_0^t (GF^\beta - 1)u(x, s) ds \right| < \infty.$$

Therefore, in view of the monotonicity of u , the limit $u(x, \infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t)$ exists and is positive, smooth and $D^2u(x, \infty) + u(x, \infty)I > 0$. Thus the hypersurface with support function $u(x, \infty)$ is our desired solution to

$$GF^\beta = 1.$$

If $G = \psi(x)u^{\alpha-1}\rho^\delta$, we should prove the uniqueness of this solution. We will prove a uniqueness results later contained it. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. At this case, $G = \varphi(x, u)$ and $F = \sigma^{\frac{1}{m}}$. We consider two quantities,

$$V = \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \sigma dx \quad \text{and} \quad U = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_\varepsilon^u \varphi^{-\frac{m}{\beta}}(x, s) ds dx,$$

where ε is a positive constant. We prefer to get some properties of the derivative of U , thus ε is irrelevant and it just let U well-defined. Let $Q = \varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t V &= \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \sigma (Q-1) + u \sigma^{ij} (Q_{ij}u + 2Q_i u_j + (Q-1)h_{ij}) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \sigma (Q-1) dx,\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

where we have used σ is homogeneous of degree m and $\sum_{i \geq 1} D_i \sigma^{ij} = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t (V - U) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \sigma u (Q-1) - \varphi^{-\frac{m}{\beta}}(x, u) \partial_t u dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u (\varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} - 1) (\sigma - \varphi^{-\frac{m}{\beta}}) dx \\ &= \int_{\{\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}} - 1 \neq 0\}} u \varphi^{-\frac{m}{\beta}} (\varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} - 1)^2 \frac{\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}} - 1}{\varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} - 1} dx + \int_{\{\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}} - 1 = 0\}} 0 dx.\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

If $\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}} > 1$, we have $\varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} = (\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}})^{\frac{\beta}{m}} > 1$ by $\frac{\beta}{m} > 0$. If $\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}} < 1$, we have $\varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} = (\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}})^{\frac{\beta}{m}} < 1$. Thus

$$\frac{\sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}} - 1}{\varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} - 1} > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma \varphi^{\frac{m}{\beta}} - 1 \neq 0.$$

From above, we obtain

$$\partial_t (V - U) \geq 0,$$

equality holds if and only if u solves $\varphi \sigma^{\frac{\beta}{m}} = 1$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, by the bounds of V and U and the monotonicity of $V - U$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{d}{dt} (V - U) \right| dt \leq C.$$

Hence there is a sequence of $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\frac{d}{dt}(V - U) \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $u(\cdot, t_i)$ converges smoothly to a positive, smooth and uniformly convex function u_∞ solving $\varphi \sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{m}} = 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. At this case $G = \varphi(x, u)\phi(X)$, $F = \sigma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}(D^2u + uI)$. Since M_t is a graph of a smooth and positive function $\rho(\xi)$ on \mathbb{S}^n , we can denote $X = \rho\xi$ and $\phi(X) = \phi(\xi, \rho)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, We consider two quantities,

$$V = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_\varepsilon^\rho \phi^{\frac{n}{\beta}}(\xi, s) s^n ds d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad U = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \int_\varepsilon^u \varphi^{-\frac{n}{\beta}}(x, s) ds dx,$$

where ε is a positive constant. Thus by $K = \frac{1}{\sigma_n(\lambda)}$, (2.5) and (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(V - U) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \phi^{\frac{n}{\beta}}(\xi, \rho) \rho^n \partial_t \rho d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi^{-\frac{n}{\beta}}(x, u) \partial_t u dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left(\phi^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \sigma_n - \varphi^{-\frac{n}{\beta}} \right) \partial_t u dx \\ &= \int_{\{\sigma_n(\phi\varphi)^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \neq 1\}} u \varphi^{-\frac{n}{\beta}} (\varphi \phi \sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{n}} - 1)^2 \frac{\sigma_n \phi^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \varphi^{\frac{n}{\beta}} - 1}{\varphi \phi \sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{n}} - 1} dx + \int_{\{\sigma_n(\phi\varphi)^{\frac{n}{\beta}} = 1\}} 0 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

By $\varphi \phi \sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{n}} = (\sigma_n \phi^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \varphi^{\frac{n}{\beta}})^{\frac{\beta}{n}}$ we have

$$\frac{\sigma_n \phi^{\frac{n}{\beta}} \varphi^{\frac{n}{\beta}} - 1}{\varphi \phi \sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{n}} - 1} > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma_n \varphi^{\frac{n}{\beta}} - 1 \neq 0.$$

From above, we obtain

$$\partial_t(V - U) \geq 0,$$

equality holds if and only if u solves $\varphi \phi \sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{n}} = 1$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, by the bounds of V and U and the monotonicity of $V - U$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{d}{dt}(V - U) \right| dt \leq C.$$

Hence there is a sequence of $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\frac{d}{dt}(V - U) \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $u(\cdot, t_i)$ converges smoothly to a positive, smooth and uniformly convex function u_∞ solving $\varphi \phi \sigma_n^{\frac{\beta}{n}} = 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. It suffices to prove the convergence by the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\omega = \log u$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i &= \frac{u_i}{u}, \\ \omega_{ij} &= \frac{u_{ij}}{u} - \frac{u_i u_j}{u^2}, \\ h_{ij} &= u_{ij} + u \delta_{ij} = u(\omega_{ij} + \omega_i \omega_j + \delta_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that ω_{ij} is symmetric. Thus

$$\omega_t = \frac{u_t}{u} = G(u, \rho) u^\beta F^\beta([\omega_{ij} + \omega_i \omega_j + \delta_{ij}]) - 1.$$

Consider the auxiliary function $Q = \frac{1}{2}|D\omega|^2$. Note that $F = F([\omega_{ij} + \omega_i \omega_j + \delta_{ij}])$ in the rest proof. At the point where Q attains its spatial maximum, we have

$$0 = D_i Q = \sum_l \omega_{li} \omega_l,$$

$$0 \geq D_{ij}^2 Q = \sum_l \omega_{li} \omega_{lj} + \sum_l \omega_l \omega_{lij}.$$

Note that $D\rho = D(u\sqrt{1+|D\omega|^2}) = \sqrt{1+|D\omega|^2}Du = \rho D\omega$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_t Q_{\max}}{\omega_t + 1} &= \frac{1}{\omega_t + 1} \sum \omega_l \omega_{lt} \\ &= \omega_l \left(\left(\frac{uG_u}{G} + \frac{\rho G_\rho}{G} + \beta \right) \omega_l + \beta \frac{F^{ij}}{F} (\omega_{ijl} + \omega_{il}\omega_j + \omega_i\omega_{jl}) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{uG_u}{G} + \frac{\rho G_\rho}{G} + \beta \right) |D\omega|^2 + \beta \frac{F^{ij}}{F} \omega_l \omega_{ijl}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Ricci identity,

$$D_l \omega_{ij} = D_j \omega_{li} + \delta_{il} \omega_j - \delta_{ij} \omega_l,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_t Q_{\max}}{\omega_t + 1} &= \left(\frac{uG_u}{G} + \frac{\rho G_\rho}{G} + \beta \right) |D\omega|^2 + \beta \frac{F^{ij}}{F} (\omega_l \omega_{lij} + \omega_i \omega_j - \delta_{ij} |D\omega|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{2\beta}{F} (\max_i F^{ii} - \sum_i F^{ii}) Q_{\max} \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

by condition (3) and the positive definite of the symmetric matrix $[F^{ij}]$. Since we have proved the a priori estimates, we have the bound of $\omega_t + 1$, F^{ii} and F , i.e. $\max_i F^{ii} - \sum_i F^{ii} \leq -C_0$. This proves

$$\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{|Du(\cdot, t)|}{u(\cdot, t)} \leq C e^{-C_0 t}, \forall t > 0,$$

for both C and C_0 are positive constants. In other words, we have that $\|Du\| \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by the interpolation and the a priori estimates, we can get that u converges exponentially to a constant in the C^∞ topology as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 1.2, there exists a solution of $G(u, \rho)F^\beta = 1$. Since this solution is invariant along flow (1.1), the solution must be a sphere whose radius R satisfies $G(R, R)R^\beta = 1$. \square

Finally we provide another uniqueness result. For general $G(x, X)$, there is no uniqueness for solutions to $GF^\beta = 1$. The following proof is inspired by [12, 14].

Theorem 5.1. *Assume $G(x, X) = G(x, u, \rho)$ and F satisfies the condition (i) of Assumption 3.1. If whenever*

$$G(x, ms_1, ms_2) \geq G(x, s_1, s_2)m^{-\beta} \quad (5.7)$$

holds for some positive s_1, s_2 and m , there must be $m \leq 1$. Then the solution to the equation

$$G(x, u, \rho)F^\beta = c \quad (5.8)$$

is unique, where c is an arbitrary constant.

Proof. Let u_1, u_2 be two smooth solutions of (5.8), i.e.

$$G(x, u_1, \rho_1)F^\beta(D^2u_1 + u_1I) = c, \quad G(x, u_2, \rho_2)F^\beta(D^2u_2 + u_2I) = c.$$

Suppose $M = \frac{u_1}{u_2}$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$, then at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D \log M = \frac{Du_1}{u_1} - \frac{Du_2}{u_2}, \\ 0 &\geq D^2 \log M = \frac{D^2u_1}{u_1} - \frac{D^2u_2}{u_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence at x_0 , by $\rho = u\sqrt{1 + |D \log u|^2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{G(x, u_1, \rho_1) F^\beta(D^2 u_1 + u_1 I)}{G(x, u_2, \rho_2) F^\beta(D^2 u_2 + u_2 I)} \\ &= \frac{G(x, u_1, u_1 \sqrt{1 + |D \log u_1|^2}) u_1^\beta F^\beta\left(\frac{D^2 u_1}{u_1} + I\right)}{G(x, u_2, u_2 \sqrt{1 + |D \log u_2|^2}) u_2^\beta F^\beta\left(\frac{D^2 u_2}{u_2} + I\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{G(x, u_2 M, u_2 M \sqrt{1 + |D \log u_1|^2}) u_2^\beta M^\beta}{G(x, u_2, u_2 \sqrt{1 + |D \log u_1|^2}) u_2^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m = M$, $s_1 = u_2$, $s_2 = u_2 \sqrt{1 + |D \log u_1|^2}$, we have $M \leq 1$ by our assumption (5.7).

Similarly one can show $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} M \geq 1$. Therefore $u_1 \equiv u_2$. \square

Remark: If $G = \psi(x)u^{\alpha-1}\rho^\delta$, we prove a uniqueness result with $\alpha + \delta + \beta < 1$ in Theorem 5.1.

6. REFERENCE

- [1] Andrews B. and McCoy J., Convex hypersurfaces with pinched principal curvatures and flow of convex hypersurfaces by high powers of curvature. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **364**(7) (2012), 3427-3447.
- [2] Andrews B., McCoy J., and Zheng Y., Contracting convex hypersurfaces by curvature. *Calc. Var. PDEs*, **47** (2013), 611-665.
- [3] Böröczky Károly J. and Fodor Ferenc, The L_p dual Minkowski problem for $p > 1$ and $q > 0$. *J. Differential Equations*, **266** (2019), 7980–8033.
- [4] Bryan P., Ivaki M. N., and Scheuer J., A unified flow approach to smooth, even L_p -Minkowski problems. *Anal. PDE*, **12** (2019), 259–280.
- [5] Bryan P., Ivaki M. N., and Scheuer J., Parabolic approaches to curvature equations. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **203** (2021), Paper No. 112174, 24.
- [6] Bryan P., Ivaki M. N., and Scheuer J., Orlicz-Minkowski flows. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **60** (2021), Paper No. 41, 25.
- [7] Cordes H. O., Über die erste Randwertaufgabe bei quasilinearen Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung in mehr als zwei Variablen. *Math. Ann.*, **131** (1956), 278-312.
- [8] Chen C., Huang Y., and Zhao Y., Smooth solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem. *Math. Ann.*, **373** (2019), 953–976.
- [9] Chen H., Chen S., and Li Q., Variations of a class of Monge-Ampère-type functionals and their applications. *Anal. PDE*, **14** (2021), 689–716.
- [10] Chen H. and Li Q., The L_p dual Minkowski problem and related parabolic flows. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **281** (2021), Paper No. 109139, 65.
- [11] Chen L., Liu Y., Lu J., and Xiang N., Existence of smooth even solutions to the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **32** (2022), Paper No. 40, 25.
- [12] Chou K. and Wang X., The L_p -Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry. *Adv. Math.*, **205** (2006), 33–83.
- [13] Ding S. and Li G., A class of curvature flows expanded by support function and curvature function. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **148** (2020), 5331–5341.
- [14] Ding S. and Li G., A class of inverse curvature flows and L_p dual Christoffel-Minkowski problem, arXiv:2203.02165.
- [15] Firey W. J., The determination of convex bodies from their mean radius of curvature functions. *Mathematika*, **14** (1967), 1–13.
- [16] Gardner J., Hug D., Weil W., Xing S., and Ye D., General volumes in the Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory and a related Minkowski problem I. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **58** (2019), Paper No. 12, 35.
- [17] Gardner J., Hug D., Xing S., and Ye D., General volumes in the Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory and a related Minkowski problem II. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **59** (2020), Paper No. 15, 33.
- [18] Guan P. and Ma X., The Christoffel-Minkowski problem. I. Convexity of solutions of a Hessian equation. *Invent. Math.*, **151** (2003), 553–577.

- [19] Guan P. and Xia C., L^p Christoffel-Minkowski problem: the case $1 < p < k + 1$. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **57** (2018), Paper No. 69, 23.
- [20] Hu C., Ma X., and Shen C., On the Christoffel-Minkowski problem of Firey's p -sum. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **21** (2004), 137–155.
- [21] Huang Y., Lutwak E., Yang D., and Zhang G., Geometric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems. *Acta Math.*, **216** (2016), 325–388.
- [22] Huang Y. and Zhao Y., On the L_p dual Minkowski problem. *Adv. Math.*, **332** (2018), 57–84.
- [23] Ivaki M., Deforming a hypersurface by principal radii of curvature and support function. *Calc. Var. PDEs*, **58(1)** (2019).
- [24] Ju H., Li B., and Liu Y., Deforming a convex hypersurface by anisotropic curvature flows. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, **21** (2021), 155–166.
- [25] Krylov N. V., *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic quations of the second order*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987. xiv+462pp.
- [26] Nirenberg L., *On a generalization of quasi-conformal mappings and its application to elliptic partial differential equations*. Contributions to the theory of partial differential equations, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1954, pp. 95C100.
- [27] Li Q., Sheng W., and Wang X-J, Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, **22** (2019), 893-923.
- [28] Liu Y. and Lu J., A flow method for the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **373** (2020), 5833–5853.
- [29] Lutwak E., The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem. *J. Differential Geom.*, **38** (1993), 131–150.
- [30] Lutwak E. and Oliker V., On the regularity of solutions to a generalization of the Minkowski problem. *J. Differential Geom.*, **41** (1995), 227–246.
- [31] Lutwak E., Yang D., and Zhang G., L_p dual curvature measures. *Adv. Math.*, **329** (2018), 85–132.
- [32] Sheng W. and Yi C., A class of anisotropic expanding curvature flows. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **40(4)** (2020), 2017-2035.
- [33] Urbas J., An expansion of convex hypersurfaces. *J. Diff. Geom.*, **33(1)** (1991), 91-125.
- [34] Wang G. and Wu J., Chern's magic form and the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass. *Math. Z.*, **287** (2017), 843–854.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, CHINA.