

MASS, CAPACITY FUNCTIONS, AND THE MASS-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

PENGZI MIAO

ABSTRACT. We study connections among the ADM mass, positive harmonic functions tending to zero at infinity, and the capacity of the boundary of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature.

First we give new formulae that detect the ADM mass via harmonic functions. Then we derive a family of monotone quantities and geometric inequalities if the underlying manifold has simple topology. As an immediate application, we observe several additional proofs of the 3-dimensional Riemannian positive mass theorem. One proof leads to new, sufficient conditions that imply positivity of the mass via C^0 -geometry of regions separating the boundary and ∞ . A special case of such sufficient conditions shows, if a region enclosing the boundary has relative small volume, then the mass is positive.

As further applications, we obtain integral identities for the mass-to-capacity ratio. We also promote the inequalities to become equality on spatial Schwarzschild manifolds outside rotationally symmetric spheres. Among other things, we show the mass-to-capacity ratio is always bounded below by one minus the square root of the normalized Willmore functional of the boundary.

Prompted by our findings, we carry out a study of manifolds satisfying a constraint on the mass-to-capacity ratio. We point out such manifolds satisfy improved inequalities, their mass has an upper bound depending only on the boundary data, there are no closed minimal surfaces enclosing the boundary, and these manifolds include static extensions in the context of the Bartnik quasi-local mass.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of results	2
2. Detecting the mass at ∞	6
3. Inequalities along the level sets	12
4. Proofs of the positive mass theorem	18
5. Positive mass theorems with boundary	21
6. Integral identities for the mass-to-capacity ratio	23
7. Promoting inequalities via Schwarzschild models	26
8. Manifolds with the mass-to-capacity ratio ≤ 1	32
Appendix A. Regularization and integration	40
References	44

P. Miao's research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1906423.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

On an asymptotically flat 3-manifold (M, g) , the ADM mass [3] is a flux integral near ∞ given by

$$\mathbf{m} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{|x|=r} \sum_{j,k} (g_{jk,j} - g_{jj,k}) \nu^k.$$

Here $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ is a coordinate chart defining the asymptotic flatness of (M, g) and $\nu = |x|^{-1}x$ denotes the coordinate unit normal to $\{|x| = r\}$. By a result of Bartnik [4], and of Chruściel [11], \mathbf{m} is independent on the choice of the coordinates $\{x_i\}$.

On an asymptotically flat 3-manifold (M, g) with boundary Σ , the capacity (or the L^2 -capacity) of Σ is given by

$$\mathbf{c}_\Sigma = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all locally Lipschitz functions f that equal 1 at Σ and tend to 0 at ∞ . Equivalently, $\mathbf{c}_\Sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla \phi|$, where

$$\Delta \phi = 0, \quad \phi|_\Sigma = 1, \quad \text{and } \phi \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } \infty.$$

Regarding the mass, a fundamental result is the Riemannian positive mass theorem, first proved by Schoen and Yau [23] and by Witten [26]. The theorem states if (M, g) is a complete, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature, without boundary, then

$$\mathbf{m} \geq 0,$$

and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 .

Regarding the mass and the capacity, an important result was due to Bray [6]. Bray showed if (M, g) is a complete, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature, with minimal surface boundary $\Sigma = \partial M$, then

$$\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{c}_\Sigma,$$

and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside the horizon.

If the mean curvature H of the boundary Σ in (M, g) is not assumed to be zero, using the weak inverse mean curvature flow developed by Huisken and Ilmanen [16], Bray and the author [8] showed

$$\mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

under the assumptions $\int_\Sigma H^2 \leq 16\pi$ and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$, and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative (constant) mean curvature.

Recently, level sets of harmonic functions have been found to be an efficient tool to study scalar curvature in 3-dimension. A pioneering work of Stern [25] revealed intriguing analogy between the use of such level sets and the use of stable minimal

surfaces instituted by Schoen and Yau [23]. On asymptotically flat 3-manifolds, a new proof of the positive mass theorem was given by Bray, Kazaras, Khuri and Stern [7], which made use of harmonic functions asymptotic to a linear coordinate function.

In terms of monotone quantities along the level sets, Munteanu and Wang in [22] established sharp comparison results on complete, nonparabolic 3-manifolds via the discovery of a monotone quantity along level sets of the minimal positive Green's function. In [2], Agostiniani, Mazziere and Oronzio obtained another proof of the Riemannian positive mass theorem through a different monotone quantity along level sets of the Green's function on asymptotically flat 3-manifolds.

In this paper, we consider harmonic functions u satisfying

$$u(x) = 1 - \mathbf{c}|x|^{-1} + o(|x|^{-1}), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some constant $\mathbf{c} > 0$, on an asymptotically flat 3-manifold (M, g) . In the case (M, g) has boundary Σ and u is 0 at Σ , $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$ and u is referred as the capacity function on (M, g) . We obtain a sequence of new results relating the mass of (M, g) , the capacity function u , and the capacity \mathbf{c}_Σ .

We first find formulae that detect the mass of (M, g) via the level sets of such a u , see Theorem 2.1. In particular, Theorem 2.1 (ii) shows

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] = 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}^{-1}.$$

Here $\Sigma_t = u^{-1}(t)$.

Besides (1.1), in Theorem 2.1 (i), we find

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t} \left[8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \right] = 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}^{-1}.$$

Here H denotes the mean curvature of a regular level set Σ_t with respect to $|\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla u$.

We note that (1.1) and (1.2) in particular imply the ADM mass \mathbf{m} is a geometric invariant of (M, g) , since the capacity function u is independent on the coordinates at ∞ .

(1.1) and (1.2) also suggest, as $t \rightarrow 1$,

$$8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| = 3 \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] + o((1-t)).$$

While this asymptotic comparison was made only via information near ∞ , we show in Theorem 3.1 that, if M has simple topology and g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then, at each regular level set Σ_t ,

$$(1.3) \quad 8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \leq 3 \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right],$$

and “=” holds if and only if (M, g) outside Σ_t is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

Inequality (1.3) is derived via a monotone quantity along $\{\Sigma_t\}$, see Lemma 3.1. Among other things, we apply (1.3) to find that the quantities in the mass formulae

(1.1) and (1.2) are actually monotone non-decreasing, that is

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{A}(t) := \frac{1}{1-t} \left[8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \right] \nearrow \text{ as } t \nearrow,$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{B}(t) := \frac{1}{1-t} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \nearrow \text{ as } t \nearrow,$$

see Theorem 3.2. Moreover, in Theorem 3.2, we show that, if $u = 0$ at $\Sigma = \partial M$, then

$$(1.6) \quad 8\pi - \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| \leq 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad 4\pi - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, “=” holds in any of these inequalities if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

As an immediate application of (1.1) – (1.7), we observe several new arguments implying the 3-dimensional positive mass theorem, see Section 4.

Inequalities (1.6) and (1.7) also give rise to sufficient conditions that imply the positivity of the mass via C^0 -geometry of regions separating the boundary and ∞ . For instance, as a special case of Theorem 5.1, we show that if M has simply topology and g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then

$$(1.8) \quad H \leq \frac{8\pi L^2}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \implies \mathbf{m} > 0.$$

Here Ω is a region whose boundary has two components S_0 and S_1 , where S_1 encloses S_0 and S_0 encloses Σ , L is the distance from S_1 to S_0 , and $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ is the volume of (Ω, g) . Another such sufficient condition in Theorem 5.2 shows

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{S_0} |\nabla v|^2 \leq 4\pi \implies \mathbf{m} > 0.$$

Here v is the harmonic function on Ω with $v = 0$ at S_0 and $v = 1$ at S_1 .

In [2], Agostiniani, Mazzieri and Oronzio showed, along $\{\Sigma_t\}$,

$$(1.10) \quad F(t) := \frac{1}{1-t} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| + \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \nearrow \text{ as } t \nearrow.$$

We observe that $\mathcal{A}(t)$, $\mathcal{B}(t)$ in our work is related to $F(t)$ related by

$$(1.11) \quad F(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) - \mathcal{B}(t).$$

In (A.14) and (A.15) of the Appendix, we give integral identities for the differences

$$\mathcal{B}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_1), \quad \mathcal{A}(t_2) - \mathcal{A}(t_1), \quad \text{for } t_1 < t_2.$$

The monotonicity of $F(t)$ can also be seen from (1.11), (A.14) and (A.15). Moreover, as a corollary of (1.1), (1.2) and (1.11), one has $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} F(t) = 8\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1}$. Such a

limit was shown in [2] in the case that (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold near infinity.

Applying the limits of $\mathcal{A}(t)$, $\mathcal{B}(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ and the formulae of their differences at $t_1 < t_2$, we derive integral identities for the mass-to-capacity ratio $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}$ in Theorem 6.1. Such integral identities can be compared with the mass identity obtained by Bray, Kazaras, Khuri and Stern [7] via harmonic functions having linear asymptotic.

Inspired by Bray's work [6], in Section 7 we promote inequalities (1.3), (1.6) and (1.7) to become equality in spatial Schwarzschild spaces. Among other things, we show in Corollary 7.1 that

$$(1.12) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative mean curvature. In Theorem 7.3, we show, given the same triple (M, g, u) ,

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere. As a result of (1.12) and (1.13), we obtain in Theorem 7.4

$$(1.14) \quad \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

regardless of the mean curvature H of Σ . This improves the earlier mentioned result of Bray and the author in [8].

Prompted by (1.14), in Section 8 we carry out a study of manifolds with boundary satisfying a mass-capacity relation

$$(1.15) \quad \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1.$$

Under this assumption, in Theorem 8.1 we promote (1.6) to

$$(1.16) \quad (2 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1})(1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma H |\nabla u|,$$

which picks up an intriguing quadratic term $(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1})^2$. Equality in (1.16) holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative mean curvature.

In Corollary 8.1, we give a capacity-comparison result for manifolds satisfying (1.15) under a condition

$$\mathbf{m}H_{max} \leq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$

Here H_{max} is the maximum of the mean curvature of the boundary and the number $\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}$ is the maximum value of $\mathbf{m}H$ evaluated along rotationally symmetric spheres in a spatial Schwarzschild manifold with positive mass.

In Corollary 8.2, we show manifolds satisfying (1.15) have the mass bounded by

$$(1.17) \quad \mathfrak{m} \leq \frac{r_\Sigma}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$

where r_Σ is the area-radius of Σ . Moreover, the capacity functions u on these manifolds satisfy

$$(1.18) \quad \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2 \geq \pi.$$

Heuristically, this suggests such manifolds may not have long cylindrical regions shielding the boundary, see Remark 8.5.

Toward the end of Section 8, we place condition (1.15) in the context of the Bartnik quasi-local mass [5]. We point out manifolds satisfying (1.15) do not contain closed minimal surfaces enclosing the boundary and static metric extensions with a positive static potential necessarily satisfy (1.15), see Proposition 8.1.

We finish this paper with an appendix, including regularization arguments that can be used to verify various monotonicity in Section 3.

Acknowledgements. I am indebted to Sven Hirsch for several stimulating conversations related to Sections 6 and 7. I also thank Daniel Stern for helpful communications relating to the capacity and level sets of harmonic functions around the time of a weekly online seminar organized by Hubert Bray at Duke in 2020.

2. DETECTING THE MASS AT ∞

Let (M, g) denote an asymptotically flat 3-manifold (with one end) with boundary. By this, we mean there is a compact set $K \subset M$ such that $M \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a ball and, with respect to the standard coordinates on \mathbb{R}^3 , g satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(|x|^{-\tau}), \quad \partial g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-\tau-1}), \quad \partial \partial g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-\tau-2})$$

for some constant $\tau > \frac{1}{2}$. The scalar curvature R of g is also assumed to be integrable so that the mass \mathfrak{m} of (M, g) exists (see [4, 11] for instance).

Let Σ denote the boundary of M . Let u be the function on (M, g) given by

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } M, \quad u = 0 \text{ at } \Sigma, \quad \text{and } u \rightarrow 1 \text{ at } \infty.$$

Given any $t \in [0, 1]$, let $\Sigma_t = \{x \in M \mid u(x) = t\}$ denote the level set of u . Below, we collect some basic facts about u and Σ_t .

By the maximum principle, $\max_K u < 1$, hence $|x|$ is defined on Σ_t for t close to 1; moreover, $\min_{\Sigma_t} |x| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow 1$. Now suppose $\tau \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, it is known u has an asymptotic expansion (see Lemma A.2 in [20] for instance)

$$(2.3) \quad u = 1 - \mathfrak{c}_\Sigma |x|^{-1} + O_2(|x|^{-1-\tau}).$$

Here $\mathfrak{c}_\Sigma > 0$ is a positive constant known as the capacity of Σ in (M, g) . Let ∇ and $\nabla^2 u$ denote the gradient and the Hessian on (M, g) , respectively. By (2.3),

$$(2.4) \quad |\nabla u|^2 = \mathfrak{c}_\Sigma^2 |x|^{-4} + O(|x|^{-4-\tau}),$$

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla^2 u)_{ij} = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma |x|^{-3} (-3|x|^{-2} x_i x_j + \delta_{ij}) + O(|x|^{-3-\tau}).$$

Thus, t is a regular value if t is close to 1 and the mean curvature H of Σ_t satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad H = \operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla u) = 2|x|^{-1} + O(|x|^{-1-\tau}).$$

As a result, for t close to 1, Σ_t has positive mean curvature and Σ_t is area outer-minimizing as its exterior in M is foliated by mean-convex surfaces $\{\Sigma_s\}_{s>t}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $|\Sigma_t|$ be the area of Σ_t in (M, g) if t is a regular value of u . Then, as $t \rightarrow 1$,*

$$(2.7) \quad |\Sigma_t| = 4\pi \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^2 (1-t)^{-2} + O((1-t)^{\tau-2}).$$

Proof. By (2.3), as $t \rightarrow 1$,

$$(2.8) \quad |x| = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma (1-t)^{-1} + O((1-t)^{\tau-1}).$$

Let $r_-(t) = \min_{\Sigma_t} |x|$ and $r_+(t) = \max_{\Sigma_t} |x|$. Since Σ_t and the coordinate sphere $S_r := \{|x| = r\}$ are both area outer-minimizing in (M, g) , for t close to 1 and large r , respectively, we have

$$(2.9) \quad |S_{r_-(t)}| \leq |\Sigma_t| \leq |S_{r_+(t)}|.$$

For large r , (2.1) implies

$$(2.10) \quad |S_r| = 4\pi r^2 + O(r^{2-\tau}).$$

Thus, (2.7) follows from (2.8) – (2.10). \square

Lemma 2.2. *As $t \rightarrow 1$,*

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| = 8\pi + O((1-t)^\tau)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 = 4\pi + O((1-t)^\tau).$$

Proof. By (2.6) and (2.8),

$$(2.11) \quad H = 2\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} (1-t) + O((1-t)^{1+\tau}).$$

Therefore, using the fact $\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u| = 4\pi \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \right) - 8\pi &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{H}{1-t} - \frac{2}{\mathbf{c}_\Sigma} \right) |\nabla u| \\ &= O((1-t)^\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (2.4) and (2.8),

$$(2.12) \quad |\nabla u| = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} (1-t)^2 + O((1-t)^{2+\tau}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right) - 4\pi &= \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{|\nabla u|}{(1-t)^2} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}_\Sigma} \right) |\nabla u| \\ &= O((1-t)^\tau). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *As $t \rightarrow 1$, the gradient of $|\nabla u|$ on Σ_t satisfies*

$$(2.13) \quad |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|| = O(|x|^{-3-\tau}).$$

Proof. Write $\nabla u = (\nabla u)^j \partial_j$. By (2.3),

$$(\nabla u)^j = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma |x|^{-2} |x|^{-1} x_j + O(|x|^{-2-\tau}).$$

Let $V = V^i \partial_i$ denote any unit vector tangent to Σ_t . Then $V^i = O(1)$ and the fact $\langle V, \nabla u \rangle = 0$ shows

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_i V^i (\nabla u)^i = O(|x|^{-2-\tau}), \text{ and hence } \sum_i V^i x_i = O(|x|^{1-\tau}).$$

Therefore, by (2.5) and (2.14),

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} V(|\nabla u|^2) &= 2(\nabla^2 u)(V, \nabla u) \\ &= 2\mathbf{c}_\Sigma |x|^{-3} [-3|x|^{-2} x_i V^i x_j (\nabla u)^j + \delta_{ij} V^i (\nabla u)^j] (1 + O(|x|^{-\tau})) \\ &= O(|x|^{-5-\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.13) follows from (2.15) and (2.4). □

Lemma 2.4. *As $t \rightarrow 1$, the traceless part of the second fundamental form \mathbb{III} of Σ_t , denoted by $\mathring{\mathbb{III}}$, satisfies*

$$(2.16) \quad |\mathring{\mathbb{III}}| = O(|x|^{-1-\tau}),$$

and the Gauss curvature K of Σ_t satisfies $K = |x|^{-2} + O(|x|^{-2-\tau})$.

Proof. Let $V = V^i \partial_i$ and $W = W^j \partial_j$ be any two unit vectors tangent to Σ_t at a given point. Then $\delta_{ij} V^i W^j = g(V, W) + O(|x|^{-\tau})$. As $\nabla^2 u(V, W) = |\nabla u| \mathbb{III}(V, W)$, one has

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla u| \mathbb{III}(V, W) &= (\nabla^2 u)_{ij} V^i W^j \\ &= \mathbf{c}_\Sigma |x|^{-3} (-3|x|^{-2} x_i V^i x_j W^j + \delta_{ij} V^i W^j) (1 + O(|x|^{-\tau})) \\ &= \mathbf{c}_\Sigma |x|^{-3} g(V, W) + O(|x|^{-3-\tau}), \end{aligned}$$

where one used (2.5) and (2.14). Therefore, by (2.4),

$$(2.18) \quad \mathbb{III}(V, W) = |x|^{-1} g(V, W) + O(|x|^{-1-\tau}).$$

This combined with (2.6) shows

$$\mathring{\mathbb{III}}(V, W) = \mathbb{III}(V, W) - \frac{1}{2} H g(V, W) = O(|x|^{-1-\tau}),$$

which proves (2.16). The conclusion on the Gauss curvature follows from (2.18), (2.6) and the Gauss equation. □

Lemma 2.5. *If (M, g) satisfies $\partial \partial \partial g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-3-\tau})$ in (2.1), then*

$$(2.19) \quad |D \mathring{\mathbb{III}}| = O(|x|^{-2-\tau}).$$

Here D denote covariant differentiation on Σ_t .

Proof. If g satisfies the higher order derivatives decay assumption, then u satisfies

$$u = 1 - \mathbf{c}_\Sigma |x|^{-1} + O_3(|x|^{-1-\tau})$$

(see the proof of Lemma A.2 in [20] for instance). The terms $O(|x|^{-3-\tau})$ in (2.5) and $O(|x|^{-1-\tau})$ in (2.6) are then replaced by $O_1(|x|^{-3-\tau})$ and $O_1(|x|^{-1-\tau})$, respectively.

To prove (2.19), let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2}$ be a local orthonormal frame around a given point p on Σ_t . By definition,

$$(D_{V_\mu} \mathring{\mathbb{I}})(V_\alpha, V_\beta) = (D_{V_\mu} \mathbb{I})(V_\alpha, V_\beta) - \frac{1}{2} V_\mu(H) \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

By (2.6) and (2.14),

$$V_\mu(H) = 2(-1)|x|^{-2} V_\mu(|x|) + O(|x|^{-2-\tau}) = O(|x|^{-2-\tau}).$$

To estimate $D\mathbb{I}$, one may assume $\{V_\alpha\}$ is normal at p so that

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{V_\mu} \mathbb{I})(V_\alpha, V_\beta) &= V_\mu(\mathbb{I}(V_\alpha, V_\beta)) \\ &= V_\mu(|\nabla u|^{-1}) (\nabla^2 u)_{\alpha\beta} + |\nabla u|^{-1} V_\mu((\nabla^2 u)_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.13) and (2.17),

$$V_\mu(|\nabla u|^{-1}) (\nabla^2 u)_{\alpha\beta} = O(|x|^{-2-\tau}).$$

By (2.17) and (2.14),

$$|\nabla u|^{-1} V_\mu((\nabla^2 u)_{\alpha\beta}) = |\nabla u|^{-1} O(|x|^{-4-\tau}) = O(|x|^{-2-\tau}).$$

Thus, $(D_{V_\mu} \mathbb{I})(V_\alpha, V_\beta) = O(|x|^{-2-\tau})$. This proves (2.19). \square

Let $\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t)$ denote the Hawking mass [14] of Σ_t if t is a regular value of u . That is

$$(2.20) \quad \mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t) = \frac{r_t}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 \right).$$

Here $r_t = \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_t|}{4\pi}}$ is the area radius of Σ_t . By Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.21) \quad r_t = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma (1-t)^{-1} + O((1-t)^{\tau-1}).$$

Proposition 2.1. *If $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t) = \mathbf{m}$, where \mathbf{m} is the mass of (M, g) , then*

$$(2.22) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t} \left[8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \right] = 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}$$

and

$$(2.23) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] = 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}.$$

Proof. For regular values t , define

$$(2.24) \quad A(t) = 8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u|.$$

Then

$$-A'(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \left[-A(t) + 8\pi + \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| \right)' \right].$$

Direct calculation gives

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| \right)' &= \int_{\Sigma_t} H'|\nabla u| + H|\nabla u|' + H|\nabla u|H|\nabla u|^{-1} \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} -|\nabla u|^{-2}|\nabla_{\Sigma_t}|\nabla u||^2 + K - \frac{3}{4}H^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}R, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\nabla u|' = -H$ and $H' = -\Delta_{\Sigma_t}|\nabla u|^{-1} - (\text{Ric}(\nu, \nu) + |\mathbb{H}|^2)|\nabla u|^{-1}$.

By (2.20) and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem,

$$(2.26) \quad 8\pi + \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| \right)' = \frac{24\pi\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t)}{r_t} - E(t),$$

where

$$E(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^{-2}|\nabla_{\Sigma}|\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.27) \quad -A'(t) = -\frac{A(t)}{1-t} + \frac{24\pi\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t)}{(1-t)r_t} - \frac{1}{1-t}E(t).$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} A(t) = 0$. Hence,

$$(2.28) \quad A(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \int_t^1 \left[\frac{24\pi\mathbf{m}_H(s)}{r_s} - E(s) \right].$$

As $t \rightarrow 1$, $\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t) = \mathbf{m} + o(1)$ by the assumption. Thus, by (2.21),

$$(2.29) \quad \frac{\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t)}{r_t} = \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1}(1-t) + (1-t)o(1).$$

Consequently,

$$(2.30) \quad \int_t^1 \frac{\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_s)}{r_s} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1}(1-t)^2 + o((1-t)^2).$$

To estimate $\int_t^1 E(s)$, we note Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, combined with (2.8), show

$$|\nabla u|^{-2}|\nabla_{\Sigma}|\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 = O(|x|^{-2-2\tau}) = O((1-t)^{2+2\tau}).$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.31) \quad \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^{-2}|\nabla_{\Sigma}|\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 = O((1-t)^{2\tau}).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.32) \quad \int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} |\nabla u|^{-2}|\nabla_{\Sigma}|\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 = O((1-t)^{1+2\tau}).$$

To handle the scalar curvature term, we use the assumption R is integrable. As $t \rightarrow 1$,

$$o(1) = \int_{u \geq t} |R| = \int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} |R| |\nabla u|^{-1}.$$

By (2.12), $|\nabla u|^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma (1-t)^{-2}$ for t close to 1. Hence,

$$\int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} |R| |\nabla u|^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma (1-t)^{-2} \int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} |R|.$$

These imply

$$(2.33) \quad \int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} |R| = o((1-t)^2).$$

It follows from (2.28), (2.30), (2.32) and (2.33) that

$$(2.34) \quad \frac{1}{1-t} A(t) = 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} + o(1) + O((1-t)^{2\tau-1}).$$

Since $\tau > \frac{1}{2}$, this proves (2.22).

Similarly, define

$$(2.35) \quad B(t) = 4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2.$$

At any regular value t ,

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} -B'(t) &= \frac{1}{1-t} \left[2(-B(t) + 4\pi) + \frac{1}{1-t} \left(- \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-t} [-2B(t) + A(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} B(t) = 0$. Thus,

$$B(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_t^1 (1-s) A(s).$$

Therefore, as $t \rightarrow 1$, by (2.34),

$$\frac{1}{1-t} B(t) = 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} + o(1) + O((1-t)^{2\tau-1}).$$

This proves (2.23). \square

Theorem 2.1. *Let (M, g) be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ , with $\partial\partial\partial g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-3-\tau})$ at ∞ . Let u be the harmonic function that tends to 1 at ∞ and vanishes at Σ . Then*

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t} \left[8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \right] = 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1};$
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] = 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}.$

Here \mathbf{m} is the mass of (M, g) and \mathbf{c}_Σ is the capacity of Σ in (M, g) .

Proof. It suffices to show $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t) = \mathbf{m}$. For t close to 1, let $r_-(t) = \min_{\Sigma_t} |x|$ and $r_+(t) = \max_{\Sigma_t} |x|$. By (2.8), $r_+(t) \leq Cr_-(t)$. Here and below, $C > 0$ denotes some constant independent on t . By Lemma 2.1, $|\Sigma_t| \leq Cr_-^2$. By Lemma 2.4, $K \geq Cr_-^{-2}$, hence $\text{diam}(\Sigma_t) \leq Cr_-$. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, $|\mathring{\text{III}}| \leq Cr_-^{-1-\tau}$ and $|D\mathring{\text{III}}| \leq Cr_-^{-2-\tau}$. Hence, $\{\Sigma_t\}$ is a family of nearly round surfaces near ∞ in (M, g) according to Definition 1.3 in [24]. By Theorem 2 in [24], $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t) = \mathbf{m}$.

Theorem 2.1 now follows from Proposition 2.1. \square

We can indeed interpret the mass-to-capacity ratio as the derivatives at ∞ of the two functions

$$(2.37) \quad A(t) = 8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| \quad \text{and} \quad B(t) = 4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Corollary 2.1. *Let (M, g) be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ , with $\partial\partial g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-3-\tau})$ at ∞ . Let u be the harmonic function that tends to 1 at ∞ and vanishes at Σ . Then the functions $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ have C^1 extensions to $t = 1$ with*

$$A(1) = 0, \quad A'(1) = -12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}, \quad B(1) = 0, \quad B'(1) = -4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ extend continuously to $t = 1$ with $A(1) = 0$ and $B(1) = 0$. By Theorem 2.1 (i), (2.27), (2.29) and (2.31),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} A'(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \left[\frac{1}{1-t} A(t) - \frac{24\pi \mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma_t)}{(1-t)r_t} + \frac{1}{1-t} E(t) \right] \\ &= 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} - 24\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{t-1} A(t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Theorem 2.1 (i), (ii) and (2.36),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} B'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-t} [2B(t) - A(t)] = -4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{t-1} B(t).$$

This shows $A'(t)$ and $B'(t)$ are continuous at $t = 1$ with $A'(1) = -12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}$ and $B'(1) = -4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}$. \square

3. INEQUALITIES ALONG THE LEVEL SETS

In this section, we establish a family of geometric inequalities along $\{\Sigma_t\}$ under assumptions that g has nonnegative scalar curvature and M has simple topology.

We first compare

$$A(t) = 8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| \quad \text{and} \quad B(t) = 4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let u be the harmonic*

function that tends to 1 at ∞ and vanishes at Σ . If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then

$$(3.1) \quad 4\pi + \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| \geq \frac{3}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2$$

for all regular values t , and equality holds at some t if and only if (M, g) , outside Σ_t , is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

In particular, at Σ ,

$$(3.2) \quad 4\pi + \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| \geq 3 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2,$$

and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

Remark 3.1. Inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad A(t) \leq 3B(t).$$

We will use Theorem 3.1 in this form later to derive other inequalities along $\{\Sigma_t\}$.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we begin with a lemma which may be derived directly from the work of Stern in [25].

Lemma 3.1. *Let (Ω, g) be a compact, orientable, Riemannian 3-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature, with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $\partial\Omega$ has two connected components S_1 and S_2 . Let u be a harmonic function on (Ω, g) such that $u = c_i$ on S_i , $i = 1, 2$, where c_1, c_2 are constants with $c_1 < c_2 < 1$. If the level set $\Sigma_s := u^{-1}(s)$ is connected for $s \in [c_1, c_2]$, then*

$$\Psi(t) := 4\pi(1-t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| - \frac{3}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \searrow \text{ as } t \nearrow,$$

i.e. $\Psi(t)$ is monotone nonincreasing. Here $t \in [c_1, c_2]$ denotes a regular value of u and H is the mean curvature of Σ_t with respect to the unit normal $\nu = |\nabla u|^{-1}\nabla u$.

Proof. Let $t_1 < t_2$ be two regular values of u . On $\Omega_{[t_1, t_2]} := \{x \in \Omega \mid t_1 \leq u(x) \leq t_2\}$, one has

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H|\nabla u| - \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} H|\nabla u| \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R \right) - 2\pi \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \chi(\Sigma_t).$$

Here $\nabla^2 u$, ∇u denote the Hessian, the gradient of u on (M, g) , respectively, R is the scalar curvature of g , and $\chi(\Sigma_t)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ_t . Relation (3.4) is a direct consequence of Stern's computations in Section 2 of [25], and can also be found explicitly from (4.7) in [7] and (2.18) in [15].

Let III denote the second fundamental form of Σ_t w.r.t ν . Along Σ_t ,

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla^2 u(X, Y) = |\nabla u| \text{III}(X, Y), \quad \nabla^2 u(X, \nu) = X(|\nabla u|), \quad \nabla^2 u(\nu, \nu) = -H|\nabla u|,$$

where X, Y denote vectors tangent to Σ_t and the last equation follows from $\Delta u = 0$. Thus,

$$(3.6) \quad |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 = |\text{III}|^2 + 2|\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + H^2.$$

Here ∇_{Σ_t} denotes the gradient on Σ_t . Under the assumption Σ_t is connected, it follows from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4\pi(t_2 - t_1) + \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H|\nabla u| - \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} H|\nabla u| \\ & \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{I}}|^2 + |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{3}{4} H^2 + \frac{1}{2} R, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathring{\mathbb{I}}$ denotes the traceless part of \mathbb{I} .

To handle the term of H^2 in (3.7), we follow the idea in [22, 2] to replace it with $(H - 2|\nabla u|(1-u)^{-1})^2$. A motivation to this may be seen in the model case in which $\Omega = \{R_1 \leq |x| \leq R_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $u = 1 - |x|^{-1}$. In this special setting, H and $|\nabla u|$ satisfy $H = 2|\nabla u|(1-u)^{-1}$ along any level set sphere.

Thus, one can rewrite (3.7) as

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4\pi(t_2 - t_1) + \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H|\nabla u| - \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} H|\nabla u| \\ & + 3 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[-\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| + \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \\ & \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{I}}|^2 + |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{3}{4} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} R. \end{aligned}$$

At each regular value t , one has $\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right)' = - \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u|$, and therefore,

$$-\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| + \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right).$$

Thus, if $[t_1, t_2]$ has no critical values, the above directly shows

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(-\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| + \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{1-t_2} \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{1-t_1} \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In general, if $[t_1, t_2]$ has critical values, one may use a regularization argument to still obtain (3.9). For instance, applying Lemma A.1 of the Appendix to u on $\Omega_{[t_1, t_2]}$, one has

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-t_2} \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{1-t_1} \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} |\nabla u|^2 \\ & = \int_{\Omega_{[t_1, t_2]}} \frac{|\nabla u|^3}{(1-u)^2} + \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega_{[t_1, t_2]}} \frac{1}{1-u} |\nabla u|^{-1} \nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the coarea formula and (3.5), gives (3.9).

By (3.8) and (3.9),

$$(3.11) \quad \Psi(t_1) - \Psi(t_2) \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{I}}|^2 + |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{3}{4} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} R.$$

For the later purpose in Section A, we note that (3.11) holds without assumptions on the scalar curvature R .

If the scalar curvature R is nonnegative, then (3.11) implies $\Psi(t_1) \geq \Psi(t_2)$, which proves the Lemma. \square

In the context of Theorem 3.1, the assumption Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$ is a sufficient condition to ensure $\chi(\Sigma_t) \leq 2$ for a regular Σ_t . Under this condition, u being harmonic and the maximum principle guarantee Σ_t is connected. (The same assumption was used by Bray and the author [8] in estimating the capacity of Σ in (M, g) via the solution to the weak inverse mean curvature $(1/H)$ flow [16]. In that setting, a different reasoning shows the level set of the $1/H$ flow is connected.)

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\Psi(t)$ be given from Lemma 3.1. On an asymptotically flat (M, g) , a corollary of Lemma 2.2 shows

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \Psi(t) = 0.$$

Thus, letting $t_2 \rightarrow 1$ in (3.11) gives

$$(3.12) \quad \Psi(t) \geq \int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{I}}|^2 + |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{3}{4} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} R$$

for every regular value t . In particular, if $R \geq 0$, then $\Psi(t) \geq 0$.

Inequality (3.1) follows from (3.12) by noting that

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{1-t} \Psi(t) = 3B(t) - A(t).$$

To show the rigidity case of (3.1), it suffices to establish it for the case $t = 0$. Suppose the equality in (3.2) holds, then, by (3.12) and its proof, for every regular value $t \in [0, 1]$, Σ_t is connected (orientable) with $\chi(\Sigma_t) = 2$, hence Σ_t is a 2-sphere; moreover, $R = 0$, $|\nabla u|$ only depends on t , Σ_t is totally umbilic, and $H = \frac{2}{1-t} |\nabla u|$.

To show (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball, we start from a neighborhood of the boundary Σ . For convenience, we normalize (M, g) so that $|\Sigma| = 4\pi$. It follows from the equality

$$4\pi + \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| = 3 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2$$

that $|\nabla u| = 1$ and $H = 2$ at $\Sigma = \Sigma_0$. Locally, g takes the form of $g = \eta(t)^{-2} dt^2 + \gamma_t$ near Σ_0 , where $t = u$, $\eta(t) = |\nabla u|$ and γ_t denotes the induced metric on Σ_t , which satisfies $\partial_t \gamma_t = 2\eta(t)^{-1} \mathring{\mathbb{I}}_t = \eta(t)^{-1} H \gamma_t = 2(1-t)^{-1} \gamma_t$. Thus, $(1-t)^2 \gamma_t$ is a fixed metric. Similarly, since $|\nabla u|' = -H$, $\eta(t)$ satisfies $\eta'(t) = \frac{-2}{1-t} \eta(t)$. Hence, $(1-t)^{-2} \eta$ is a constant. As $|\nabla u| = 1$ at Σ , we thus have $\eta = (1-t)^2$ and $g = (1-t)^{-4} dt^2 + (1-t)^{-2} \sigma_o$ for some fixed metric σ_o on the 2-sphere Σ . Invoking the fact $R = 0$ near Σ , we see σ_o is a round metric with Gauss curvature 1 on Σ .

Now, if u has a critical value, let $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ be the smallest critical value of u . The above argument then shows $(u^{-1}([0, t_0]), g)$ is isometric to

$$(\Sigma \times [0, t_0], (1-t)^{-4}dt^2 + (1-t)^{-2}\sigma_o).$$

In particular, this implies $|\nabla u| = (1-t_0)^2 \neq 0$ on the set $\partial\{u < t_0\} = \partial\{u \geq t_0\}$. As a result, $\partial\{u \geq t_0\}$ is an embedded surface in M . Therefore, $\partial\{u \geq t_0\} = \{u = t_0\}$ by the strong maximum principle. In summary, this shows $\nabla u \neq 0$ on the set $\{u = t_0\}$, which contradicts to the assumption t_0 is a critical value. Hence, u has no critical values. We conclude (M, g) is isometric to

$$(\Sigma \times [0, 1], (1-t)^{-4}dt^2 + (1-t)^{-2}\sigma_o),$$

which, upon a change of variable $1-t = r^{-1}$, is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a unit ball. \square

Theorem 3.1 implies an upper bound of $\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2$ via $\int_{\Sigma_t} H^2$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let u be the harmonic function such that $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then*

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{1}{9} \left[2W + 2\sqrt{W^2 + 3W} + 3 \right],$$

where $W = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2$, and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

Proof. Let $z = \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Theorem 3.1 and Hölder's inequality,

$$4\pi + \sqrt{16\pi W} z \geq 3z^2.$$

This implies the bound of z in (3.14) by elementary reason. The equality case follows from the equality case in Theorem 3.1. \square

We next apply Theorem 3.1 to show that the quantities in Theorem 2.1, which approach to constant multiples of $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1}$ at ∞ , are actually monotone.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let u be the harmonic function such that $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then*

(i) $\mathcal{A}(t) := \frac{1}{1-t} \left[8\pi - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| \right] \nearrow$ as $t \nearrow$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t . As a result, $\mathcal{A}(t) \leq 12\pi \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1}$. In particular, at Σ ,

$$(3.15) \quad 8\pi - \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| \leq 12\pi \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1},$$

and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

(ii) $\mathcal{B}(t) := \frac{1}{1-t} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \nearrow$ as $t \nearrow$, i.e. $\mathcal{B}(t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t . As a result, $\mathcal{B}(t) \leq 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}$. In particular, at Σ ,

$$(3.16) \quad 4\pi - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1},$$

and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

Proof. We first show (ii) as it is more straightforward. By (2.36) and (3.3), at every regular value t , we have

$$-B'(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} [-2B(t) + A(t)] \leq \frac{1}{1-t} B(t).$$

Therefore, $\left[\frac{1}{1-t} B(t) \right]' \geq 0$, which implies the monotonicity of $\mathcal{B}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} B(t)$ in the case that u has no critical values. If u has critical values, we may again apply a regularization argument to show that $\mathcal{B}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_1) \geq 0$ for $t_2 > t_1$, see Proposition A.1 in the Appendix for details.

By Theorem 2.1 (ii),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{B}(t) = 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}.$$

Therefore, the monotonicity of $\mathcal{B}(t)$ shows

$$\mathcal{B}(t) \leq 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}.$$

At $t = 0$, this gives

$$\mathcal{B}(0) = 4\pi - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}.$$

The rigidity part follows from the rigidity part of Theorem 3.1.

To show (i), we calculate

$$\mathcal{A}'(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[A(t) - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| - \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| \right)' \right].$$

By (2.25) and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}'(t) &\geq \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[A(t) - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| + \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(-K + \frac{3}{4} H^2 \right) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[A(t) - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| - 4\pi + \frac{3}{4} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[\underbrace{4\pi + \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| - \frac{3}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2}_{I(t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{4} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3),

$$I(t) = 3B(t) - A(t) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}'(t) \geq 0$, which implies the monotonicity of $\mathcal{A}(t)$ in the absence of critical values. The general case can be again handled by a regularization argument that shows $\mathcal{A}(t_2) - \mathcal{A}(t_1) \geq 0$ for $t_2 > t_1$, see Proposition A.1 in the Appendix.

The remaining conclusions in (i) follow from Theorem 2.1 (i) and Theorem 3.1. \square

Remark 3.2. We need the technical assumption $\partial\partial\partial g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-\tau-3})$ in obtaining (3.15) and (3.16) as Theorem 2.1 is used in that step. For convenience, we include this assumption in the asymptotic flatness description (2.1) henceforth.

Remark 3.3. Comparing (3.2), (3.15) and (3.16), we have (3.2) + (3.16) \Rightarrow (3.15).

4. PROOFS OF THE POSITIVE MASS THEOREM

The 3-dimensional Riemannian positive mass theorem, first proved by Schoen-Yau [23] and later by Witten [26], asserts that if (M, g) is a complete, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature, then $\mathfrak{m} \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ if and only if (M^3, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 .

Since the work of Schoen-Yau and Witten, other proofs of this theorem have been given by Huisken-Ilmanen [16], by Li [19], by Bray-Kazaras-Khuri-Stern [7], and by Agostiniani-Mazzieri-Oronzio [2]. (Agostiniani-Mantegazza-Mazzieri-Oronzio [1] also gave a new proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality, first proved by Bray [6] and Huisken-Ilmanen [16].)

As applications of Theorems 2.1 and 3.2, we observe a few additional arguments that prove the positive mass theorem (PMT). We first outline the tools and features of the proofs to be given:

- Proof I uses Theorem 2.1 (ii) and a result of Munteanu-Wang [22].
- Proof II is self-contained. It makes use of Theorem 2.1 and Theorems 3.2.
- Proof III is self-contained. It uses the inequalities in Theorem 3.2. Proof III leads to new sufficient conditions that guarantee the positivity of the mass, see Section 5.

Proof I. Let (M, g) be a complete, asymptotically flat 3-manifold without boundary, with nonnegative scalar curvature. Suppose M is topologically \mathbb{R}^3 .

Take $p \in M$. Let $G(x)$ be the minimal positive Green's function with a pole at p , with $G(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u = 1 - G$. By Theorem 1.1 of Munteanu-Wang [22],

$$4\pi(1-t) - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \searrow \text{ as } t \nearrow,$$

i.e. it is monotone non-increasing in t .

As $t \rightarrow 1$, $\frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \rightarrow 0$ by Lemma 2.2. Hence, $4\pi(1-t) - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$. Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \geq 0.$$

By Theorem 2.1 (ii) and the fact $u = 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi}|x|^{-1} + O(|x|^{-1-\tau})$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(1-t)} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] = (4\pi)^2 \mathbf{m}.$$

Hence $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$. \square

Remark 4.1. To prove the 3-dimensional positive mass theorem, it is known it suffices to assume M is topologically \mathbb{R}^3 , see Section 2 in [7] for instance. For this reason, we make such an assumption in all the proofs. It is also known the rigidity case $m = 0$ in the theorem follows from the inequality $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ by a variational argument, see [23].

Proof II. Take $p \in M$. Let $G(x)$ be the minimal positive Green's function with a pole at p . Let $d(x)$ denote the distance from x to p in (M, g) . As $x \rightarrow p$, it is known

$$(4.1) \quad G(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi}d(x)^{-1} + o(d(x)^{-1}), \quad |\nabla G(x)| = \frac{1}{4\pi}d(x)^{-2} + o(d(x)^{-2}).$$

Consider $u = 1 - G$. By Theorem 3.2 (ii),

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{B}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \left[4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \nearrow \text{ as } t \nearrow,$$

i.e. it is monotone non-decreasing in t . Note this is different from the monotonicity of Munteanu-Wang [22]. The latter asserts $(1-t)^2 \mathcal{B}(t)$ is monotone non-increasing.

As $t \rightarrow -\infty$, by (4.1), $\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2$ is bounded, hence $\frac{1}{(1-t)^3} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \rightarrow 0$. Thus,

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \mathcal{B}(t) = 0.$$

Hence, by (4.2) and (4.3), $\mathcal{B}(t) \geq 0$. By Theorem 2.1 (ii),

$$(4\pi)^2 \mathbf{m} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{B}(t) \geq 0.$$

\square

Remark 4.2. Proof II is similar to the proof of Agostiniani-Mazzieri-Oronzio [2]. The difference is the use of different monotone quantities, i.e. $\mathcal{B}(t)$ compared to $F(t)$. A feature of the quantity $\mathcal{B}(t)$ is that it does not involve derivatives of the metric.

Remark 4.3. One can also work with $\mathcal{A}(t)$, and apply Theorem 3.2 (i) and Theorem 2.1 (i). In this case, one checks $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u| = 0$, which follows from the known estimate on $\nabla^2 G$ near the pole (see [22] and [2] for instance).

Proof III. Take $p \in M$. Given a small $r > 0$, let B_r denote the geodesic ball of radius r centered at p . Let $\Sigma_r = \partial B_r$ and $u = u_r$ be the harmonic function with $u = 0$ at Σ_r and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . Let c_r be the capacity of Σ_r in (M, g) .

Applying (3.15) of Theorem 3.2 (i) to $(M \setminus B_r, g)$, we have

$$8\pi - \int_{\Sigma_r} H |\nabla u| \leq 12\pi \mathbf{m} c_r^{-1}.$$

Since $c_r > 0$, this is equivalent to

$$(4.4) \quad c_r \left(8\pi - \int_{\Sigma_r} H |\nabla u| \right) \leq 12\pi \mathbf{m}.$$

It remains to check, as $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$(4.5) \quad c_r = O(r) \text{ and } \int_{\Sigma_r} H |\nabla u| = O(1).$$

A conclusion $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ will follow from (4.4) and (4.5).

To estimate c_r , we may use the variational characterization of the capacity, i.e.

$$(4.6) \quad c_r = \inf_f \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{M \setminus B_r} |\nabla f|^2 \right\},$$

where f is a Lipschitz function with $f = 0$ at Σ_r and $f \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . Consider a test function $f(x) = r^{-1}(d(x) - r)$ in $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$ and extend f to be 1 outside B_{2r} . Here $d(x)$ is the distance from x to p . Then

$$(4.7) \quad c_r \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |\nabla f|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \text{Volume}(B_{2r} \setminus B_r) = O(r).$$

For $\int_{\Sigma_r} H |\nabla u|$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma_r} H |\nabla u| \right| \leq \max_{\Sigma_r} |H| \int_{\Sigma_r} |\nabla u| = \max_{\Sigma_r} |H| c_r = O(1)$$

by (4.7) and the fact $H = 2r^{-1} + O(r)$ (see (3.34) in [13] for instance).

This verifies (4.5) and completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.4. In the above proof, we estimated c_r by the so-called relative capacity of Σ_r in B_{2r} . By a result of Jauregui [17], one can indeed check

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(8\pi - \int_{\Sigma_r} H |\nabla u| \right) \geq 0.$$

Remark 4.5. Alternatively one may use (3.16) of Theorem 3.2 (ii) to have

$$c_r \left(4\pi - \int_{\Sigma_r} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \leq 4\pi \mathbf{m}$$

and check $\int_{\Sigma_r} |\nabla u|^2 = O(1)$. For instance, by the maximum principle, $|\nabla u| \leq |\nabla v|$ at ∂B_r , where v is the harmonic function with $v = 0$ at ∂B_r and $v = 1$ at ∂B_{2r} . By scaling and elliptic boundary estimates, $\int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla v|^2 = O(1)$ which shows $\int_{\Sigma_r} |\nabla u|^2 = O(1)$.

We want to mention that PMT is also an immediate corollary of Theorem 7.4 in Section 7, see Remark 7.1.

5. POSITIVE MASS THEOREMS WITH BOUNDARY

Inspired by Proof III in the preceding section, we give some sufficient conditions that imply positive mass on manifolds with boundary.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature, with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a bounded region separating Σ and ∞ . More precisely, this means $\partial\Omega$ has two connected components S_0 and S_1 , where S_0 encloses Σ (and is allowed to coincide with Σ) and S_1 encloses S_0 . Let u_Ω be the function on Ω with*

$$\Delta u_\Omega = 0, \quad u_\Omega|_{S_0} = 0, \quad \text{and } u_\Omega|_{S_1} = 1.$$

Let $\mathbf{c}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\Omega|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S_0} |\nabla u_\Omega|$. Then

$$(5.1) \quad H \leq \frac{2}{\mathbf{c}(\Omega)} \implies \mathbf{m} > 0.$$

In particular, this implies

$$(5.2) \quad H \leq \frac{8\pi L^2}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \implies \mathbf{m} > 0.$$

Here H is the mean curvature of Σ in (M, g) , $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ is the volume of (Ω, g) , and L is the distance between S_0 and S_1 .

Proof. Let u be the harmonic function on M with $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . By (3.15) of Theorem 3.2 (i),

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} &\geq 8\pi - \int_\Sigma H |\nabla u| \\ &\geq 4\pi(2 - \mathbf{c}_\Sigma \max_\Sigma H), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{c}_Σ is the capacity of Σ in (M, g) . This shows

$$(5.4) \quad \max_\Sigma H \leq 2\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \implies \mathbf{m} \geq 0, \quad \max_\Sigma H < 2\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \implies \mathbf{m} > 0,$$

respectively.

Let D denote the region enclosed by S_1 with Σ . Extending u_Ω to be 1 on $M \setminus D$ and to be 0 on $D \setminus \Omega$. By the variational characterization of the capacity,

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{c}_\Sigma < \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M |\nabla u_\Omega|^2 = \mathbf{c}(\Omega).$$

Therefore, (5.1) follows from (5.4) and (5.5).

To see (5.2), it suffices to estimate $\mathbf{c}(\Omega)$. On Ω , consider a test function $f(x)$ which equals $L^{-1}d(x)$ if $d(x) \leq L$ and is identically 1 if $d(x) \geq L$. Here $d(x)$ denotes the distance from x to S_0 . Then

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbf{c}(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4\pi L^2} \text{Vol}(\Omega).$$

Hence, (5.2) follows from (5.1) and (5.6). \square

The next result does not involve the mean curvature of the boundary. It makes use of (3.16) in Theorem 3.2 (ii).

Theorem 5.2. *Let (M, g) , Ω , S_0 , S_1 and u_Ω be given as in Theorem 5.1. Then*

$$(5.7) \quad \int_{S_0} |\nabla u_\Omega|^2 \leq 4\pi \implies \mathbf{m} > 0.$$

Proof. Let \tilde{M} denote the region outside S_0 . Let \tilde{u} be the harmonic function on \tilde{M} with $\tilde{u} = 0$ at S_0 and $\tilde{u} \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . Applying (3.16) of Theorem 3.2 (ii) to $(\tilde{M}, g, \tilde{u})$, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{S_0} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 \leq 4\pi \implies \mathbf{m} \geq 0, \quad \int_{S_0} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 < 4\pi \implies \mathbf{m} > 0,$$

respectively. On (Ω, g) , the maximum principle shows

$$(5.9) \quad |\nabla \tilde{u}| < |\nabla u_\Omega| \text{ at } S_0.$$

Therefore, (5.7) follows from (5.8) and (5.9). \square

Remark 5.1. It may be worthy of noting that the condition in (5.7) and the upper bound of H in (5.1) only involve the C^0 -geometry of (Ω, g) .

It is conceivable that Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 may be used to study the mass of incomplete asymptotically flat 3-manifolds. Recently Cecchini-Zeidler [10] and Lee-Lesourd-Unger [18] have given sufficient conditions, involving a positive lower bound of the scalar curvature on suitable regions in a manifold (M^n, g) that is spin or of dimension $3 \leq n \leq 7$, which guarantee the positivity of the mass. If such conditions are interpreted as shielding the incomplete part by regions with sufficiently positive scalar curvature, conditions in (5.1), (5.2) and (5.7) may be thought as shielding conditions in terms of the C^0 -geometry of a separating region.

We end this section with the following proposition which was known and proved previously via the weak inverse mean curvature $(1/H)$ flow developed by Huisken-Ilmanen [16]. We include it here to show that the result can also be proved using harmonic functions.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then*

$$\int_{\Sigma} H^2 \leq 16\pi \implies \mathbf{m} \geq 0,$$

and $\mathbf{m} = 0$ if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 minus a round ball.

Proof. By Corollary 3.1,

$$\int_{\Sigma} H^2 \leq 16\pi \implies \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4\pi.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ by (3.16). The rigidity case follows from that of Corollary 3.1. \square

6. INTEGRAL IDENTITIES FOR THE MASS-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

In [7], Bray-Kazaras-Khuri-Stern found an integral identity for the mass of an asymptotically flat manifold. More precisely, if (E, g) denotes the exterior region of a complete, asymptotically flat Riemannian 3-manifold (M, g) with mass \mathbf{m} , then

$$(6.1) \quad 16\pi\mathbf{m} \geq \int_E \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u|}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right),$$

where u is a harmonic function on (E, g) satisfying Neumann boundary conditions at ∂E , and which is asymptotic to one of the asymptotically flat coordinate functions at ∞ . In particular, if the scalar curvature is nonnegative, then $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$.

In this section, we derive mass identities analogous to (6.1) with u being a harmonic function that equals 0 at the boundary and is asymptotic to 1 at ∞ .

Theorem 6.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let u be the harmonic function such that $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . Let Φ_u be a symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor given by*

$$\Phi_u = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1-u}g - \frac{3du \otimes du}{1-u}.$$

Let \mathbf{m} be the mass of (M, g) and \mathbf{c}_Σ be the capacity of Σ in (M, g) . Then

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2 \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M \left[\frac{1}{(1-u)^2} - 1 \right] \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} - \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma H|\nabla u| \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M \left[\frac{1}{(1-u)^2} - \frac{1}{3} \right] \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u|^2}{|\nabla u|} + R|\nabla u| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (3.5), along a regular level set Σ_t , $(\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u)(\nu, \nu) &= -H|\nabla u| + \frac{2|\nabla u|^2}{1-u}, \\ (\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u)(\nu, \cdot)|_{\Sigma_t} &= \langle \nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|, \cdot \rangle, \\ (\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u)(\cdot, \cdot)|_{\Sigma_t} &= |\nabla u| \left(\text{III} - \frac{|\nabla u|}{1-u} \gamma \right), \end{aligned}$$

where γ denotes the induced metric on Σ_t . Therefore,

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u|^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 + 2|\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + |\text{III}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Given two regular values $t_1 < t_2$, by (A.14) in Proposition A.1 of the Appendix, we have

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{B}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} [3\mathcal{B}(t) - A(t)].$$

By (3.13) and (3.12),

$$(6.6) \quad 3\mathcal{B}(t) - A(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \Psi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(t) \geq \int_t^1 \psi(s),$$

where

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[\frac{3}{4} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 + |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} R \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{|\nabla u - \Phi_u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $t_1 = 0$ and letting $t_2 \rightarrow 1$, applying Theorem 2.1, we hence have

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1} - \mathcal{B}(0) &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-t)^3} \Psi(t) \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-t)^3} \left(\int_t^1 \psi(s) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts gives

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-t)^3} \left(\int_t^1 \psi(s) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_t^1 \psi(s) - \int_0^1 \psi(s) + \int_0^1 \frac{\psi(t)}{(1-t)^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We claim

$$(6.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_t^1 \psi(s) = 0.$$

This is because, by (2.32),

$$\int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 = O((1-t)^{1+2\tau}),$$

and, by (2.33),

$$\int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} |R| = o((1-t)^2).$$

Also, by (2.11), (2.12) and Lemma 2.1,

$$(6.11) \quad \int_t^1 \int_{\Sigma_s} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 = O((1-t)^{1+2\tau}).$$

Therefore, (6.10) holds.

Now it follows from (6.8) – (6.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4\pi\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} - \mathcal{B}(0) \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} - 1 \right] \psi(t) \\
 (6.12) \quad & = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{(1-t)^2} - 1 \right] \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R \right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{4} \int_M \left[\frac{1}{(1-u)^2} - 1 \right] \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R|\nabla u| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (6.2).

Similarly, by (A.17) in Proposition A.1 of the Appendix,

$$(6.13) \quad [\mathcal{A}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_2)] - [\mathcal{A}(t_1) - \mathcal{B}(t_1)] \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \psi(t).$$

Taking $t_1 = 0$, letting $t_2 \rightarrow 1$ and applying Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 8\pi\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} - (\mathcal{A}(0) - \mathcal{B}(0)) \\
 (6.14) \quad & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R \right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \frac{1}{(1-u)^2} \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u - \Phi_u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R|\nabla u| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This together with (6.12) proves (6.3). \square

Remark 6.1. If the scalar curvature R is nonnegative, then (6.2) implies (3.16), (6.3) implies (3.15), and (6.14) implies

$$(6.15) \quad 4\pi - \int_\Sigma H|\nabla u| + \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2 \leq 8\pi\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}.$$

For manifolds that are spatial Schwarzschild manifolds near infinity, (6.15) also followed from the work of Agostiniani-Mazzieri-Oronzio [2]. On the other hand, one can see (6.15) is an algebraic consequence of (3.2) and (3.16).

Remark 6.2. Suppose M in Theorem 6.1 has no boundary. Taking $u = 1 - 4\pi G$, where G is the minimal positive Green's function with a pole at some $p \in M$, and letting $t_2 \rightarrow 1$ and $t_1 \rightarrow -\infty$ in (6.13), one has

$$(6.16) \quad \mathbf{m} \geq \frac{1}{(8\pi)^2} \int_M \frac{1}{G^2} \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 G + \Phi_G|^2}{|\nabla G|^2} + R|\nabla G| \right).$$

Here Φ_G is the $(0, 2)$ tensor given by

$$\Phi_G = \frac{|\nabla G|^2}{G} g - \frac{3dG \otimes dG}{G}.$$

(6.16) gives the integral version of the proof of the 3-d positive mass theorem in [2].

7. PROMOTING INEQUALITIES VIA SCHWARZSCHILD MODELS

Inequalities in Section 3 are derived via monotone quantities that become constant in Euclidean spaces outside round balls. As a result, they are strict inequalities when evaluated in spatial Schwarzschild manifolds with nonzero mass outside rotationally symmetric spheres.

Inspired by Bray's proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality [6], in this section we apply results from the previous sections to derive inequalities that become equality in Schwarzschild spaces.

We first outline the idea. Given a tuple (M, g, u) satisfying assumptions in Theorem 3.1 (or equivalently in Theorem 3.2), let v be any other harmonic function on (M, g) with $v \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ and $v > 0$ at Σ . The following facts hold:

- (1) the metric $\bar{g} := v^4 g$ is asymptotically flat, with nonnegative scalar curvature;
- (2) the function $\bar{u} := v^{-1} u$ is a harmonic function with respect to the metric \bar{g} , and satisfies $\bar{u} = 0$ at Σ and $\bar{u} \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ .

Thus, results from the previous sections are applicable to M with the conformally deformed metric \bar{g} and the \bar{g} -harmonic function \bar{u} .

To proceed, we compute the quantities involved. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ denote the gradient on (M, \bar{g}) , let \bar{H} be the mean curvature of Σ in (M, \bar{g}) with respect to the ∞ -pointing normal. Let $d\sigma$, $d\bar{\sigma}$ denote the surface measure on Σ in (M, g) , (M, \bar{g}) , respectively. As Σ has dimension two, it can be checked

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}|_{\bar{g}}^2 d\bar{\sigma} = \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 d\sigma.$$

(We omitted writing the area and volume measures in previous integrals as there was only one metric g involved therein.) The mean curvature \bar{H} is related to the mean curvature H of Σ in (M, g) via $\bar{H} = v^{-2} (4v^{-1} \partial_\nu v + H)$. Thus,

$$(7.2) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \bar{H} |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}|_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\sigma} = \int_{\Sigma} (4v^{-1} \partial_\nu v + H) |\nabla \bar{u}| d\sigma.$$

Let $\bar{\mathbf{m}}$ denote the mass of (M, \bar{g}) . $\bar{\mathbf{m}}$ and \mathbf{m} are related by

$$(7.3) \quad \bar{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m} - 2\mathbf{c}_v,$$

where \mathbf{c}_v is the constant in the expansion

$$v = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_v}{|x|} + o(|x|^{-1}),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\bar{u} = v^{-1} u$, \bar{u} satisfies

$$\bar{u} = 1 - \frac{(\mathbf{c}_\Sigma - \mathbf{c}_v)}{|x|} + o(|x|^{-1}),$$

where $\mathbf{c}_\Sigma > \mathbf{c}_v$ by the fact $v > u$ and the maximum principle. The capacity of Σ in (M, \bar{g}) , which we denote by $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_\Sigma$, is then given by

$$(7.4) \quad \bar{\mathbf{c}}_\Sigma = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma - \mathbf{c}_v.$$

Finally, we note, as $u = 0$ at Σ ,

$$(7.5) \quad |\nabla \bar{u}| = v^{-1} |\nabla u| \quad \text{at } \Sigma.$$

We want to seek implications of the inequalities (3.2), (3.16), (3.15) and (6.15), i.e.

$$(7.6) \quad 4\pi + \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| \geq 3 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2,$$

$$(7.7) \quad 4\pi - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1},$$

$$(7.8) \quad 8\pi - \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| \leq 12\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1},$$

$$(7.9) \quad 4\pi - \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 8\pi \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1},$$

when they are applied to the conformally deformed triple (M, \bar{g}, \bar{u}) . As mentioned in Remark 3.3 and Remark 6.15, one knows

$$(7.6) + (7.7) \implies (7.8) \quad \text{and} \quad (7.9).$$

For this reason, we focus on the use of (7.6) and (7.7) below.

Theorem 7.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let u be the harmonic function such that $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then, for any constant $k > 0$,*

$$(7.10) \quad 4\pi + k \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| \geq k(4 - k) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Moreover, equality in (7.10) holds for some k if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere, that is, up to isometry,

$$(M, g) = \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{|x| < r\}, \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2|x|} \right)^4 g_E \right),$$

where $r > 0$ is a constant, $g_E = \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ is the Euclidean metric, and \mathbf{m} , k , r are related by $\mathbf{m} = 2r(k - 1)$.

Proof. Given any positive harmonic function v on (M, g) , let $\bar{g} = v^4 g$ and $\bar{u} = v^{-1} u$. Applying (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 to the triple (M, \bar{g}, \bar{u}) , we have

$$(7.11) \quad 4\pi + \int_{\Sigma} \bar{H} |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}|_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\sigma} \geq 3 \int_{\Sigma} |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}|_{\bar{g}}^2 d\bar{\sigma}.$$

By (7.1) – (7.5), (7.11) shows

$$(7.12) \quad 4\pi + \int_{\Sigma} (4v^{-1} \partial_{\nu} v + H) v^{-1} |\nabla u| d\sigma \geq 3 \int_{\Sigma} v^{-2} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma.$$

Given any constant $k > 0$, choose

$$(7.13) \quad v = u + \frac{1}{k}(1 - u).$$

It follows from (7.12) and the fact $\partial_\nu u = |\nabla u|$ at Σ that

$$4\pi + k \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| d\sigma \geq k(4 - k) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma,$$

which proves (7.10).

The above also shows equality in (7.10) holds for some k if and only if equality in (7.11) holds for the corresponding (M, \bar{g}, \bar{u}) . By Theorem 3.1, this occurs if and only if (M, \bar{g}) is isometric to $(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r, g_E)$, where $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| < r\}$ for some constant $r > 0$. In this case,

$$(7.14) \quad \bar{u} = 1 - \frac{r}{|x|}.$$

This combined with (7.13) and the fact $\bar{u} = v^{-1}u$ shows

$$(7.15) \quad v^{-1} = 1 + \frac{r(k-1)}{|x|}.$$

As a result,

$$(7.16) \quad g = v^{-4}g_E = \left(1 + \frac{r(k-1)}{|x|}\right)^4 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j,$$

which is a spatial Schwarzschild metric with mass $\mathbf{m} = 2r(k-1)$. \square

Theorem 7.1 implies a sharp bound of $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2$ by the Willmore functional of Σ , with the bound achieved by Schwarzschild spaces outside mean-convex round spheres.

Corollary 7.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let u be the harmonic function such that $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then*

$$(7.17) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative constant mean curvature.

Proof. Consider the following quadratic form of k ,

$$(7.18) \quad Q(k) := \alpha(u) k^2 + \beta(u) k + 4\pi,$$

where

$$\alpha(u) = \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2, \quad \beta(u) = \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| - 4 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2.$$

We have $Q(0) = 4\pi$, and Theorem 7.1 shows

$$Q(k) \geq 0, \quad \forall k > 0.$$

Thus, by elementary reasons, either

$$(7.19) \quad \beta(u)^2 - 16\pi\alpha(u) \leq 0$$

or

$$(7.20) \quad \beta(u)^2 - 16\pi\alpha(u) > 0 \text{ and } -\beta(u) + \sqrt{\beta(u)^2 - 16\pi\alpha(u)} < 0.$$

The latter case is equivalent to

$$\beta(u) > \sqrt{16\pi\alpha(u)},$$

that is

$$(7.21) \quad \frac{\int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u|}{\left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - 4 \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \sqrt{16\pi}.$$

If (7.21) holds, then, by Hölder's inequality,

$$(7.22) \quad \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > 1 + \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If (7.19) holds, then

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| - 4 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \right| \leq 4 \left(\pi \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which in particular implies

$$(7.23) \quad 4 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \leq 4 \left(\pi \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u|.$$

Combined with Hölder's inequality, this shows

$$(7.24) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 + \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, in either case, we conclude (7.17) holds.

If equality in (7.17) holds, then (7.20) does not hold; (7.23) holds with equality; and $H = c|\nabla u|$ for some constant $c \geq 0$. In particular, this gives

$$-\beta(u) = 4 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| = \sqrt{16\pi\alpha(u)} > 0.$$

As a result, $Q(k_0) = 0$ at

$$k_0 = -\frac{\beta(u)}{2\alpha(u)} = 2 \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} > 0.$$

By Theorem 7.1, (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold

$$(M, g) = \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{|x| < r\}, \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2|x|}\right)^4 g_E \right),$$

where $r > 0$ and $\mathbf{m} = 2r(k_0 - 1)$. As $k_0 \leq 2$, the boundary $\{|x| = r\}$ has nonnegative mean curvature in (M, g) .

On such an (M, g) , direct calculation shows

$$\left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left|\frac{2}{k} - 1\right| \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{k}.$$

As $k \leq 2$, equality holds in (7.17). This completes the proof. \square

An immediate application of Corollary 7.1 yields a result of Bray and the author [8] on the estimate of the capacity-to-area-radius ratio.

Theorem 7.2 ([8]). *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then*

$$(7.25) \quad \frac{2\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}}{r_{\Sigma}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1.$$

Here \mathbf{c}_{Σ} is the capacity of Σ in (M, g) and $r_{\Sigma} = \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the area-radius of Σ . Moreover, equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative constant mean curvature.

Proof. This follows directly from

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{2\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}}{r_{\Sigma}}$$

and Corollary 7.1. \square

Next, we proceed to find implications of (3.16) in Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 7.3. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. Let u be the harmonic function such that $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ . If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then*

$$(7.26) \quad \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere.

Proof. Given any positive harmonic function v on (M, g) , let $\bar{g} = v^4 g$ and $\bar{u} = v^{-1} u$. Applying (3.16) in Theorem 3.2 to (M, \bar{g}, \bar{u}) , we have

$$(7.27) \quad 4\pi - \int_{\Sigma} |\bar{\nabla} \bar{u}|_{\bar{g}}^2 d\bar{\sigma} \leq 4\pi \bar{\mathbf{m}} \bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\Sigma}^{-1}.$$

By (7.1) – (7.5), (7.27) becomes

$$(7.28) \quad 4\pi - \int_{\Sigma} v^{-2} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma \leq 4\pi \frac{\mathbf{m} - 2\mathbf{c}_v}{\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} - \mathbf{c}_v}.$$

Given any constant $k > 0$, choose

$$(7.29) \quad v = u + \frac{1}{k}(1 - u).$$

Then $v = k^{-1}$ at Σ , $\mathbf{c}_v = (1 - k^{-1})\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}$, and (7.28) shows

$$(7.30) \quad \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}} \geq 2 - \frac{1}{k} - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma.$$

Maximizing the right side of (7.30) over all $k > 0$, we have

$$(7.31) \quad \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which proves (7.26).

If equality in (7.26) holds, then equality in (7.27) holds for $v = u + k^{-1}(1 - u)$ with the constant k given by

$$(7.32) \quad k = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Theorem 3.2, (M, \bar{g}) is isometric to $(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_r, g_E)$, where $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| < r\}$ for some $r > 0$, and

$$(7.33) \quad \bar{u} = 1 - \frac{r}{|x|}.$$

This combined with $\bar{u} = v^{-1}u$ and (7.29) shows

$$(7.34) \quad v^{-1} = 1 + \frac{r(k-1)}{|x|}.$$

As a result,

$$(7.35) \quad g = v^{-4}g_E = \left(1 + \frac{r(k-1)}{|x|} \right)^4 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j,$$

which is a spatial Schwarzschild metric with the mass $\mathbf{m} = 2r(k-1)$.

On any such an (M, g) , direct calculation shows

$$\frac{\mathbf{m}}{2\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}} = 1 - \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{k},$$

which verifies equality in (7.26). This completes the proof. \square

We now have a succinct lower bound of the mass-to-capacity ratio by the Willmore functional.

Theorem 7.4. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$. If g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then*

$$(7.36) \quad \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{c}_\Sigma} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative constant mean curvature.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 7.1 and Theorem 7.3. \square

We give a few remarks.

Remark 7.1. Theorem 7.4 gives another way to see the 3-dimensional positive mass theorem. In the context of Proof III in Section 4, Theorem 7.4 gives

$$\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{c}_r} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_r} H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = o(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

where c_r is the capacity of a small geodesic ball of radius r . Hence, $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$.

Remark 7.2. Theorem 7.4 improves the result of Bray and the author in [8]. Under an additional assumption of $\int_\Sigma H^2 \leq 16\pi$, in [8] the capacity estimate (7.25) was converted into a Hawking mass estimate

$$\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma) \geq \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$$

and the relation $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma)$ was applied (if Σ is outer-minimizing) to obtain (7.36).

In the current derivation of (7.36), we bound the ratio $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}$ via $\int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2$ and bound $\int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2$ via $\int_\Sigma H^2$, hence bypassing the use of $\mathbf{m}_H(\Sigma)$ in relating \mathbf{m} and \mathbf{c}_Σ .

Remark 7.3. One may re-write (7.36) as

$$\mathfrak{M}(g) := \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{c}_\Sigma} + \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \geq 0.$$

This gives a nonnegative quantity $\mathfrak{M}(g)$ on asymptotically flat 3-manifolds (M, g) with boundary (under the curvature and topological assumptions). $\mathfrak{M}(g)$ vanishes precisely if (M, g) is rotationally symmetric with mean-convex boundary.

8. MANIFOLDS WITH THE MASS-TO-CAPACITY RATIO ≤ 1

In this section, prompted by Theorem 7.4, we consider a class of manifolds satisfying a mass-capacity relation

$$(8.1) \quad \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1.$$

As we will see later in Proposition 8.1, such a class of manifolds includes static metric extensions in the context of the Bartnik quasi-local mass [5].

Theorem 8.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ , satisfying a mass-capacity relation*

$$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1.$$

Let u be the harmonic function with $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ near ∞ . If Σ is connected, $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$, and g has nonnegative scalar curvature, then

$$(8.2) \quad \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma H |\nabla u| \geq (2 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}) (1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative constant mean curvature.

Proof. For a regular value $t \in [0, 1)$, if $u^{(t)}$ denotes the harmonic function outside Σ_t with $u^{(t)} = 0$ at Σ_t and $u^{(t)} \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ , then

$$(8.3) \quad u^{(t)} = \frac{u - t}{1 - t}.$$

As a result, the capacity \mathbf{c}_{Σ_t} of Σ_t is related to that of Σ by

$$(8.4) \quad \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma_t} = \frac{\mathbf{c}_\Sigma}{1 - t}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 7.4,

$$(8.5) \quad \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma_t}^{-1} \\ = 1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}(1 - t).$$

Under the assumption $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1$, we have

$$1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}(1 - t) \geq 0.$$

Hence, (8.5) is equivalent to

$$(8.6) \quad \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 \geq [1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}(1 - t)]^2.$$

To proceed, we return to the basic identity (3.7) in Section 3. Given any regular values $t_1 < t_2 < 1$, by (3.7),

$$(8.7) \quad 4\pi(t_2 - t_1) + \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H |\nabla u| - \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} H |\nabla u| \\ \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{H}}|^2 + |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{3}{4} H^2 + \frac{1}{2} R \\ \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{3}{4} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2.$$

Thus, it follows from (8.6) and (8.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\pi(t_2 - t_1) + \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H|\nabla u| - \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} H|\nabla u| \\ & \geq 12\pi \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}(1-t)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t_2 \rightarrow 1$, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\pi(1 - t_1) + \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H|\nabla u| \\ & \geq 12\pi \int_{t_1}^1 [1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}(1-t)]^2 \\ & = 12\pi(1 - t_1) - 12\pi\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}(1 - t_1)^2 + 4\pi(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1})^2(1 - t_1)^3, \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & 12\pi\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}(1 - t_1) - 4\pi(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1})^2(1 - t_1)^2 \\ & \geq 8\pi - \frac{1}{1 - t_1} \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H|\nabla u|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, at $t_1 = 0$, we have

$$12\pi\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} - 4\pi(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1})^2 \geq 8\pi - \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u|,$$

which proves (8.2).

If equality in (8.2) holds, then equality in (8.8) holds with $t_1 = 0$. This necessarily implies equality in (8.6) holds for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$. As a result, at $t = 0$,

$$\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H^2 = [1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1}]^2.$$

Since $1 - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \geq 0$, we conclude by Theorem 7.4 that (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative mean curvature.

Suppose $(M, g) = \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{|x| < r\}, \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2|x|}\right)^4 g_E \right)$ with mean-convex boundary $\{|x| = r\}$, then (8.5) – (8.8) all become equality. Hence, equality in (8.2) holds. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 8.1. We compare (8.2) and (3.15). If (M, g) has $\mathbf{m} = 0$, (8.2) is as the same as (3.15), both of which reduces to

$$\int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| \geq 8\pi.$$

For (M, g) with $\mathbf{m} \neq 0$, (8.2) improves (3.15) by unveiling the additional term

$$4\pi(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1})^2.$$

Remark 8.2. Condition (8.1) is a global condition on the triple (M, g, Σ) . It has a feature of being inheritable to other surfaces enclosing Σ . More precisely,

$$\mathbf{m}c_{\Sigma}^{-1} \leq 1 \implies \mathbf{m}c_S^{-1} \leq 1$$

for any other surfaces S in M enclosing Σ . This follows from the fact $c_{\Sigma} \leq c_S$, which is a consequence of the variational characterization of the surface capacity.

Remark 8.3. Theorem 8.1 shows a necessary condition of $\mathbf{m}c_{\Sigma} \leq 1$ is $\int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| \geq 0$. Therefore, by Remark 8.2,

$$(8.9) \quad \mathbf{m}c_{\Sigma}^{-1} \leq 1 \implies \int_S H|\nabla u_S| \geq 0,$$

for any surfaces S enclosing Σ . Here u_S denotes the harmonic function in the exterior of S , with $u_S = 0$ at S and $u_S \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ .

Manifolds (M, g) satisfying $\mathbf{m}c_{\Sigma}^{-1} \leq 1$ include regions, in a spatial Schwarzschild manifold with positive mass, which are the exterior to a surface enclosing the horizon. That is, if

$$(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}}) = \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \left\{ |x| < \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m} \right\}, \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2|x|} \right)^4 g_E \right)$$

with $\mathbf{m} > 0$ and if $\Sigma \subset M_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a closed surface bounding some region D with the horizon $\Sigma_h = \{|x| = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}\}$, then $(M_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus D, g_{\mathbf{m}})$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{m}c_{\Sigma}^{-1} \leq 1.$$

This is because of $c_{\Sigma_h} = \mathbf{m}$ on $(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}})$ and $c_{\Sigma_h} \leq c_{\Sigma}$.

To put the next corollary of Theorem 8.1 in context, we mention a few additional facts on $(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}})$. Let $\Sigma_r = \{|x| = r\} \subset M_{\mathbf{m}}$. The mean curvature H_r of Σ_r equals

$$H_r = k^{-2} (2k^{-1} - 1) 2r^{-1},$$

where $k > 0$ is the constant determined by $\mathbf{m} = 2r(k - 1)$. The product $\mathbf{m}H_r$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{m}H_r = 2k^{-1} (2k^{-1} - 1) 2(1 - k^{-1}).$$

The capacity c_r of Σ_r is given by

$$c_r = r + \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2}, \quad \text{and hence, } \mathbf{m}c_r^{-1} = 2(1 - k^{-1}) < 2.$$

Thus, $\mathbf{m}H_r$ and $\mathbf{m}c_r^{-1}$ are related by

$$(8.10) \quad \mathbf{m}H_r = (2 - \mathbf{m}c_r^{-1}) (1 - \mathbf{m}c_r^{-1}) \mathbf{m}c_r^{-1}.$$

As a function of r , calculation shows

$$(8.11) \quad \max_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m} \leq r < \infty} \mathbf{m}H_r = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}},$$

where this maximum is achieved uniquely at

$$(8.12) \quad r_p = \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \mathbf{m}, \quad \text{satisfying } \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2r_p} \right)^2 r_p = 3\mathbf{m}.$$

The sphere $\{|x| = r_p\}$ is often known as the photon sphere in $(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}})$. The mass-to-capacity ratio at Σ_{r_p} is given by

$$(8.13) \quad \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{r_p}^{-1} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

The following corollary gives a partial classification or comparison result for manifolds with $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} \leq 1$, depending on the maximum of the boundary mean curvature.

Corollary 8.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ , with the mass-to-capacity ratio satisfying*

$$0 < \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1} \leq 1.$$

Suppose Σ is connected, $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$, and g has nonnegative scalar curvature. Then

- (i) *either (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside the horizon;*
- (ii) *or $H_{max} = \max_{\Sigma} H > 0$ and one of the following holds:*
 - (a) $\mathbf{m}H_{max} < \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}$ and

$$\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} \leq \mathbf{c}_{r_1} \text{ or } \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} \geq \mathbf{c}_{r_2}.$$

Here \mathbf{c}_{r_i} is the capacity of the sphere $\Sigma_{r_i} = \{|x| = r_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$, in the spatial Schwarzschild manifold

$$(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}}) = \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \left\{ |x| < \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m} \right\}, \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2|x|} \right)^4 g_E \right)$$

which has the same mass as (M, g) , and the constants r_1, r_2 are chosen so that

$$(8.14) \quad H_{r_1} = H_{r_2} = H_{max} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m} < r_1 < \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} \right) \mathbf{m} < r_2,$$

where H_{r_i} is the mean curvature of Σ_{r_i} in $(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}})$. Moreover, $\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{c}_{r_i}$ for an r_i if and only if (M, g) is isometric to $(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}})$ outside Σ_{r_i} ;

- (b) $\mathbf{m}H_{max} \geq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}$ and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to the spatial Schwarzschild manifold $(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}})$ outside the photon sphere $\{|x| = (1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3})\mathbf{m}\}$.

Proof. Let $q = \mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}^{-1} \in (0, 1]$. By Theorem 8.1,

$$(8.15) \quad \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| \geq (2 - q)(1 - q).$$

In particular, $\int_{\Sigma} H|\nabla u| \geq 0$. As $|\nabla u| > 0$ along Σ , we have $H_{max} \geq 0$ and $H_{max} = 0$ if and only if $H = 0$. In the latter case, Theorem 7.4 shows $q \geq 1$. Therefore, $q = 1$, and by Theorem 7.4, (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold with positive mass outside the horizon.

In what follows, we suppose $H_{max} > 0$. Since $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u| = 4\pi \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}$, (8.15) implies

$$(8.16) \quad H_{max} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} \geq (2 - q)(1 - q).$$

As $\mathbf{m} > 0$, this gives

$$(8.17) \quad \mathbf{m} H_{max} \geq (2 - q)(1 - q)q.$$

As a result, either

$$(8.18) \quad \mathbf{m} H_{max} \geq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} = \max_{x \in [0,1]} (2 - x)(1 - x)x,$$

or

$$(8.19) \quad 0 < \mathbf{m} H_{max} < \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$

If (8.18) holds with equality, then

$$H_{max} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| = (2 - q)(1 - q),$$

with $q = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. By Theorem 8.1 and the fact (8.11) – (8.13), (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold with the photon sphere boundary.

Next, we suppose (8.19) holds. Let r_i , $i = 1, 2$, be the constants given in (8.14). It follows from (8.10) and (8.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (2 - \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{r_i}^{-1})(1 - \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{r_i}^{-1}) \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{r_i}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{m} H_{r_i} = \mathbf{m} H_{max} \\ &\geq (2 - q)(1 - q)q. \end{aligned}$$

Analyzing the function $f(x) = (2 - x)(1 - x)x$ and using the assumption $0 < q \leq 1$, we conclude

$$q \leq \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{r_2}^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad q \geq \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{r_1}^{-1},$$

or equivalently

$$(8.20) \quad \mathbf{c}_{r_2} \leq \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{c}_{r_1} \geq \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma}.$$

If $\mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{c}_{r_i}$ for an r_i , then

$$H_{max} \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H |\nabla u| = (2 - q)(1 - q),$$

with $q = \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{r_i}^{-1}$. By Theorem 8.1, (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold with boundary $\{|x| = r_i\}$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 8.4. Corollary 8.1 can be applied to manifolds (M, g) with CMC boundary, i.e. Σ has constant mean curvature. In this case, it might be interesting to identify $\sup_{(M,g)} \mathbf{m}H$.

Next, we mention some other implications of (8.1) which are corollaries of Theorems 7.2 and 7.3.

Corollary 8.2. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ , satisfying*

$$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1.$$

Suppose Σ is connected, $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$, and g has nonnegative scalar curvature. Then

- (i) $\mathbf{m} \leq \frac{r_\Sigma}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$, where r_Σ is the area-radius of Σ ; and
- (ii) $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2 \geq 1$, where u is the harmonic function on (M, g) with $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ near ∞ .

Moreover, equality holds in either inequality if and only if (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside the horizon.

Proof. Inequalities in (i), (ii) follow from (7.25) in Theorem 7.2, (7.26) in Theorem 7.3, respectively. The rigidity part follows from the rigidity conclusion in Theorem 7.2 or Theorem 7.3, together with the extra information $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$. \square

Remark 8.5. Heuristically, (ii) of Corollary 8.2 suggests the condition

$$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1$$

may rule out manifolds having long cylindrical neighborhoods shielding the boundary. The following is a simple example. Suppose Σ is a sphere or a torus and γ is a metric of nonnegative Gauss curvature on Σ . Given a constant $L > 0$, consider the product manifold

$$(P, g_P) = (\Sigma \times [0, L], \gamma + dt^2).$$

If (M, g) contains a neighborhood U of ∂M so that (U, g) is isometric to (P, g_P) with $\partial M = \Sigma \times \{0\}$, then one can consider the harmonic function v on (P, g_P) with $v = 0$ on $\Sigma \times \{0\}$ and $v = 1$ on $\Sigma \times \{L\}$. By the maximum principle, $|\nabla u| \leq |\nabla v|$. Hence

$$(8.21) \quad 4r_\Sigma^2 L^{-2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla v|^2 \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^2,$$

where $4\pi r_\Sigma^2$ is the area of (Σ, γ) . Therefore, if (M, g) satisfies condition (8.1), then (ii) of Corollary 8.2 shows $L \leq 2r_\Sigma$.

One can always construct manifolds satisfying (8.1) by cutting off a compact set in a given asymptotically flat (M, g) . For instance, if a triple (M, g, Σ) has $\mathbf{m} > \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$, then, by (8.4), the exterior of Σ_t in (M, g) satisfies $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{c}_{\Sigma_t}$ for any regular $t \geq 1 - \mathbf{c}_\Sigma \mathbf{m}^{-1}$.

The complement of a finite domain enclosing the Schwarzschild horizon in $(M_{\mathbf{m}}, g_{\mathbf{m}})$ is an example of a static extension in the context of Bartnik's quasi-local mass [5]. Here an asymptotically flat (M, g) is called static (see [12] for instance) if there is a nontrivial function N on (M, g) , referred as a static potential, such that

$$(8.22) \quad \begin{cases} NRic &= \nabla^2 N \\ \Delta N &= 0, \end{cases}$$

where Ric denotes the Ricci curvature of g . These spaces necessarily have zero scalar curvature.

The next proposition, among other things, shows an asymptotically flat manifold with boundary, admitting a positive static potential, satisfies (8.1).

Proposition 8.1. *Let (M, g) be a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with boundary Σ . Suppose there is a static potential N that is positive in the interior of (M, g) . Then*

$$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1.$$

If Σ is connected and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$, then

- (i) $\mathbf{m} \leq \frac{r_\Sigma}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$, where r_Σ is the area-radius of Σ ; and
- (ii) any closed, regular level set $S_t = N^{-1}(t)$ is connected and enclosing Σ ; if N is normalized so that $N \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ , then, along S_t ,

$$N^2 \leq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{S_t} H^2,$$

$$1 - N^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S_t} |\nabla N|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 - N) \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{S_t} H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$

and

$$N(1 - N)(1 + N) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S_t} H|\nabla N|.$$

Moreover, equality holds in any of these inequalities if and only if the exterior of S_t in (M, g) is isometric to a spatial Schwarzschild manifold outside a rotationally symmetric sphere with nonnegative constant mean curvature.

Proof. The static system (8.22) and the assumption $N > 0$ near ∞ imply that, upon multiplying N by a constant,

$$N = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{m}}{|x|} + o(|x|^{-1}),$$

where \mathbf{m} is the mass of (M, g) (see [9, 21] for instance). If in addition $N \geq 0$ at Σ , then $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$ by the maximum principle.

The mass estimate in (i) follows from (i) of Corollary 8.2.

Suppose S_t is a closed, regular level set of N . By the topological assumption on M and the fact N is harmonic, S_t only has one connected component and it encloses Σ . Let E_t denote the exterior of S_t in M . The inequalities in (ii), with the rigidity conclusions, follow from applying Theorem 7.4, Corollary 7.1, Theorem 7.3, and Theorem 8.1, respectively, to

$$u^{(t)} = \frac{N - t}{1 - t}$$

on (E_t, g) and using the fact $\mathbf{c}_{S_t} = \frac{\mathbf{m}}{1 - t}$. □

In the context of the Bartnik mass [5], asymptotically flat extensions are often assumed to have no closed minimal surface enclosing the boundary to prevent the infimum of the mass over all extensions from being trivially zero. We note here, if an

asymptotically flat 3-manifold (M, g) with boundary Σ satisfies the mass-to-capacity relation $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1$, then necessarily there are no closed minimal surfaces enclosing Σ . This is because, if such a minimal surface S exists, then $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{c}_S$ by the result of Bray [6]. On the other hand, $\mathbf{c}_S > \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$. Hence, $\mathbf{m} > \mathbf{c}_\Sigma$, violating (8.1).

Considering this and Proposition 8.1, we think manifolds satisfying condition (8.1) are worthy of further study. The mass-to-capacity ratio condition $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 1$ may serve as an alternative to the no-minimal-surface or outer-minimizing conditions in the formulation of the Bartnik mass.

APPENDIX A. REGULARIZATION AND INTEGRATION

In this appendix, we give the regularization arguments that can be used to verify the monotonicity of $\Psi(t)$, $\mathcal{A}(t)$ and $\mathcal{B}(t)$ in Section 3.

Lemma A.1. *Let u be a harmonic function on a compact Riemannian manifold (Ω, g) with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $\max_\Omega u < 1$. Then*

$$(A.1) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|}{1-u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^3}{(1-u)^2} + \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{(1-u)|\nabla u|}$$

and

$$(A.2) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|}{(1-u)^3} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} = \int_\Omega \frac{3|\nabla u|^3}{(1-u)^4} + \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{(1-u)^3 |\nabla u|}.$$

Here ζ denotes the unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ pointing out of Ω .

Proof. Given any constant $\epsilon > 0$, one has

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{1-u} \nabla u \right) = \frac{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{1-u} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(A.3) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{1-u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} = \int_\Omega \frac{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{1-u} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

For the third term in (A.3), one notes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{1-u} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} &= \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega} \frac{1}{1-u} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} \\ &= \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega} \frac{1}{1-u} \nabla^2 u \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (A.3) proves (A.1).

Similarly, to show (A.2), one has

$$\operatorname{div} \left[\frac{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^3} \nabla u \right] = \frac{3\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^4} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{(1-u)^3} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

Consequently,

$$(A.4) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon} \partial u}{(1-u)^3} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{3\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^4} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1-u)^3} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

The third term above satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1-u)^3} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} = \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega} \frac{1}{(1-u)^3} \nabla^2 u \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}, \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

Thus, (A.2) follows by taking taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (A.4). \square

Lemma A.2. *Let u be a harmonic function on a compact, orientable, Riemannian 3-manifold (Ω, g) with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $\max_{\Omega} u < 1$ and u equals a constant on each connected component of $\partial\Omega$. Then*

$$(A.5) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{H|\nabla u|}{(1-u)^2} \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[\frac{2H|\nabla u|}{1-t} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|\nabla^2 u|^2}{|\nabla u|^2} + R \right) \right] + 2\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) \right\}.$$

Here the mean curvature H of $\partial\Omega$ is taken with respect to the unit normal ζ pointing out of Ω , the mean curvature H of a regular level set Σ_t is taken with respect to $|\nabla u|^{-1}|\nabla u|$, $\chi(\Sigma_t)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ_t , $t_1 = \min_{\Omega} u$, and $t_2 = \max_{\Omega} u$.

Proof. For any constant $\epsilon > 0$, one has

$$\operatorname{div} \left[\frac{\nabla \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} \right] = \frac{\Delta \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} + \frac{2 \nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{(1-u)^3 \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(A.6) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial_{\zeta} \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\Delta \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{2 \nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{(1-u)^3 \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

As u is constant on each connected component of $\partial\Omega$, direct calculations gives

$$\partial_{\zeta} \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon} = -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} H.$$

(See Lemma 2.1 in [15] for instance.) Thus,

$$(A.7) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial_{\zeta} \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{H|\nabla u|}{(1-u)^2}.$$

As in the proof of the previous lemma, taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the third term in (A.6) gives

$$(A.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{2 \nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{(1-u)^3 \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} &= \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega} \frac{2 \nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{(1-u)^3 |\nabla u|} \\ &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{2}{(1-t)^3} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u|, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equation follows from the coarea formula and (3.5).

To deal with the second term in (A.6), we follow an argument of Stern [25]. Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of critical values of u in $[t_1, t_2]$. Let W denote an open set of $[t_1, t_2]$ such that W contains \mathcal{C} . Let D be the complement of W in $[t_1, t_2]$.

On $u^{-1}(D)$, $\frac{\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2|\nabla u|}$ is integrable. By coarea formula,

$$\int_{u^{-1}(D)} \frac{\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} = \int_D \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2|\nabla u|}.$$

Along Σ_t which a regular level set of u , by equation (14) in [25],

$$(A.9) \quad \Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} [|\nabla^2 u|^2 + (R - 2K_{\Sigma_t})|\nabla u|^2],$$

where K_{Σ_t} is the Gauss curvature of Σ_t . Thus,

$$(A.10) \quad \int_{u^{-1}(D)} \frac{\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} \geq \int_D \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{(1-u)^2|\nabla u|} \frac{[|\nabla^2 u|^2 + (R - 2K_{\Sigma_t})|\nabla u|^2]}{2\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}.$$

With W fixed, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (A.10) gives

$$(A.11) \quad \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{u^{-1}(D)} \frac{\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} \geq \int_D \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{[|\nabla^2 u|^2 + (R - 2K_{\Sigma_t})|\nabla u|^2]}{(1-u)^2 2|\nabla u|^2} \\ = \int_D \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[\int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + R) - 2\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) \right],$$

where one also used the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

To estimate the integral on $u^{-1}(W)$, one notes

$$\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} \left[|\nabla^2 u|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{4(|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon)} |\nabla(|\nabla u|^2)|^2 \right] \\ \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}} \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

This implies

$$(A.12) \quad \int_{u^{-1}(W)} \frac{\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} \geq -\max_{\Omega} |\text{Ric}| \int_{u^{-1}(W)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{(1-u)^2} \\ = -\max_{\Omega} |\text{Ric}| \int_W \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{(1-u)^2}.$$

It follows from (A.11) and (A.12) that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\Delta\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} \geq \int_D \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[\int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + R) - 2\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) \right] \\ - \max_{\Omega} |\text{Ric}| \int_W \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{(1-u)^2}.$$

As $\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|}{(1-u)^2} < \infty$, by choosing the measure of W to be arbitrarily small, one has

$$(A.13) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\Delta \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon}}{(1-u)^2} \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[\int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + R) - 2\pi \chi(\Sigma_t) \right].$$

The lemma now follows from (A.6), (A.7), (A.8) and (A.13). \square

Remark A.1. (A.5) may be viewed as a weighted version of the identity (3.4).

Proposition A.1. *Let (Ω, g) be a connected, compact, orientable, Riemannian 3-manifold with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $\partial\Omega$ is the disjoint union of two nonempty pieces S_1 and S_2 . Let u be a harmonic function on (Ω, g) such that $u = c_i$ on S_i , $i = 1, 2$, where c_1, c_2 are constants with $c_1 < c_2 < 1$. For regular values t , let*

$$A(t) = 8\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)} \int_{\Sigma_t} H |\nabla u|, \quad \mathcal{A}(t) = \frac{A(t)}{1-t},$$

$$B(t) = 4\pi - \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2, \quad \mathcal{B}(t) = \frac{B(t)}{1-t}.$$

Then, for any $t_1 < t_2$,

$$(A.14) \quad \mathcal{B}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} [3B(t) - A(t)],$$

and

$$(A.15) \quad \mathcal{A}(t_2) - \mathcal{A}(t_1) \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} [3B(t) - A(t) + 2\pi(2 - \chi(\Sigma_t)) + \psi(t)],$$

where

$$\psi(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[\frac{3}{4} \left(H - \frac{2|\nabla u|}{1-u} \right)^2 + |\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbb{III}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} R \right].$$

As a result, if

- (M, g) is a complete, orientable, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with connected boundary Σ and $H_2(M, \Sigma) = 0$;
- u is the harmonic function on (M, g) with $u = 0$ at Σ and $u \rightarrow 1$ at ∞ ; and
- g has nonnegative scalar curvature,

then Σ_t is connected, $3B(t) - A(t) \geq 0$ by (3.3), and consequently,

$$\mathcal{B}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_1) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}(t_2) - \mathcal{A}(t_1) \geq 0, \quad \forall t_2 > t_1.$$

Proof. Applying (A.2) in Lemma A.1 to $\Omega_{[t_1, t_2]} = \{x \mid t_1 \leq u(x) \leq t_2\}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1-u)^3} - \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1-u)^3} &= \int_{\Omega_{[t_1, t_2]}} \frac{3|\nabla u|^3}{(1-u)^4} + \int_{\{\nabla u \neq 0\} \subset \Omega_{[t_1, t_2]}} \frac{\nabla^2 u(\nabla u, \nabla u)}{(1-u)^3 |\nabla u|} \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left[\frac{3|\nabla u|^2}{(1-t)^4} - \frac{H|\nabla u|}{(1-t)^3} \right], \end{aligned}$$

This, combined with $\frac{1}{1-t_2} - \frac{1}{1-t_1} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$, shows

$$(A.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_1) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\frac{4\pi}{(1-t)^2} + \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{H|\nabla u|}{(1-t)^3} - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{3|\nabla u|^2}{(1-t)^4} \right] \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} [3\mathcal{B}(t) - A(t)], \end{aligned}$$

which proves (A.14).

Similarly, applying Lemma A.2 to u on $\Omega_{[t_1, t_2]}$ and using (3.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(1-t_2)^2} \int_{\Sigma_{t_2}} H|\nabla u| - \frac{1}{(1-t_1)^2} \int_{\Sigma_{t_1}} H|\nabla u| \\ \leq & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[2\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{2H|\nabla u|}{1-t} \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{3}{4}H^2 - \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(|\nabla u|^{-2} |\nabla_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u||^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\mathring{\mathbb{I}}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R \right) \right] \\ = & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left[2\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) - \psi(t) - \frac{1}{1-t} \int_{\Sigma_t} H|\nabla u| + \frac{3}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}(t_2) - \mathcal{A}(t_1) \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} [3\mathcal{B}(t) - A(t) + 2\pi(2 - \chi(\Sigma_t)) + \psi(t)],$$

which proves (A.15). □

Remark A.2. As a corollary of (A.14) and (A.15),

$$(A.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{A}(t_2) - \mathcal{B}(t_2)] - [\mathcal{A}(t_1) - \mathcal{B}(t_1)] \\ & \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} [2\pi(2 - \chi(\Sigma_t)) + \psi(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to the monotonicity of $F(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) - \mathcal{B}(t)$ in [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Agostiniani, C. Mantegazza, L. Mazzieri and F. Oronzio, *Riemannian Penrose inequality via nonlinear potential theory*, arXiv:2205.11642.
- [2] V. Agostiniani, L. Mazzieri and F. Oronzio, *A Green's function proof of the positive mass theorem*, arXiv:2108.08402.
- [3] R. Arnowitt; S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity*, Phys. Rev., **122** (1961), no. 3, 997–1006.
- [4] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), no. 5, 661–693.
- [5] Bartnik, R., *New definition of quasilocal mass*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **62**, (1989), no. 20, 2346–2348.
- [6] H. L. Bray, *Proof of the Riemannian Penrose inequality using the positive mass theorem*. J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 2, 177–267.

- [7] H. Bray, D. Kazaras, M. Khuri and D. Stern, *Harmonic functions and the mass of 3-dimensional asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds*, J Geom Anal, **32** (2022), no. 6, Paper No. 184, 29 pp.
- [8] H. Bray and P. Miao, *On the capacity of surfaces in manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, Invent. Math., 172 (3): 459-475, 2008.
- [9] G. L. Bunting and A.K.M. Masood-ul-Alam, *Nonexistence of multiple black holes in asymptotically euclidean static vacuum space-time*, Gen. Relativity Gravitation, **19** (1987), no. 2, 147–154.
- [10] S. Cecchini and R. Zeidler, *The positive mass theorem and distance estimates in the spin setting*, arXiv:2108.11972. .
- [11] P. Chruściel, *Boundary conditions at spatial infinity from a Hamiltonian point of view*, Topological Properties and Global Structure of Space-Time, Plenum Press, New York, (1986), 49–59.
- [12] Corvino, J., *Scalar curvature deformation and a gluing construction for the Einstein constraint equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (2000), 137–189.
- [13] X.-Q. Fan, Y.-G. Shi and L.-F. Tam, *Large-sphere and small-sphere limits of the Brown-York mass*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **17** (2009), no. 1, 37–72.
- [14] S.W. Hawking, *Gravitational radiation in an expanding universe*, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968), 598–604.
- [15] S. Hirsch, P. Miao and T.-Y. Tsang, *Mass of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds with boundary*, arXiv:2009.02959, to appear in Comm. Anal. Geom.
- [16] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom., **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437.
- [17] J.L. Jauregui, *Scalar curvature and the relative capacity of geodesic balls*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **149** (2021), 4907–4921.
- [18] D. Lee, M. Lesourd and R. Unger, *Density and positive mass theorems for incomplete manifolds*, arXiv:2201.01328.
- [19] Y. Li, *Ricci flow on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **22** (2018), 1837–1891.
- [20] C. Mantoulidis, P. Miao and L.-F. Tam, *Capacity, quasi-local mass, and singular fill-ins*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **768**, (2020), 55–92.
- [21] P. Miao and L.-F. Tam, *Static potentials on asymptotically flat manifolds*, Ann. Henri Poincaré., **16** (2015), no. 10, 2239–2264.
- [22] O. Munteanu and J. Wang, *Comparison theorems for 3D manifolds with scalar curvature bound*, Int. Math. Res. Not., <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnab307>.
- [23] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), no. 1, 45–76.
- [24] Y.-G. Shi, G. Wang and J. Wu, *On the behavior of quasi-local mass at the infinity along nearly round surfaces*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom **36** (2009), 419–441.
- [25] D. Stern, *Scalar curvature and harmonic maps to S^1* , arXiv:1908.09754, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.
- [26] E. Witten, *A new proof of the positive energy theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), no. 3, 381–402.

(Pengzi Miao) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, FL 33146, USA

Email address: pengzim@math.miami.edu