

REILLY-TYPE UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE p -STEKLOV PROBLEM ON SUBMANIFOLDS

JULIEN ROTH AND ABHITOSH UPADHYAY

ABSTRACT. We prove Reilly-type upper bounds for the first non-zero eigenvalue of the Steklov problem associated with the p -Laplace operator on submanifolds of manifolds with sectional curvature bounded from above by a non-negative constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M^n, g) be a n -dimensional compact, connected, oriented manifold without boundary, isometrically immersed into the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The spectrum of Laplacian of (M, g) is an increasing sequence of real numbers

$$0 = \lambda_0(\Delta) < \lambda_1(\Delta) \leq \lambda_2(\Delta) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k(\Delta) \leq \dots \longrightarrow +\infty.$$

The eigenvalue 0 (corresponding to constant functions) is simple and $\lambda_1(\Delta)$ is the first positive eigenvalue. In [15], Reilly proved the following well-known upper bound for $\lambda_1(\Delta)$

$$(1) \quad \lambda_1(\Delta) \leq \frac{n}{V(M)} \int_M H^2 dv_g,$$

where H is the mean curvature of the immersion. He also proved an analogous inequality involving the higher order mean curvatures. Namely, for $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$(2) \quad \lambda_1(\Delta) \left(\int_M H_{r-1} dv_g \right)^2 \leq V(M) \int_M H_r^2 dv_g,$$

where H_r is the r -th mean curvature, defined by the r -th symmetric polynomial of the principal curvatures.

Inequalities (1) and (2) have been generalized in many ways like for submanifolds of any codimension of Euclidean spaces and spheres [15, 8], submanifolds of hyperbolic spaces [10, 6, 8], other differential operators of divergence-type [1, 16], Paneitz-like operators [17] as well as for different types of Steklov problems. In particular, Ilias and Makhoul [11] proved the following upper bound for the first eigenvalue σ_1 of the Steklov problem

$$\sigma_1 V(\partial M)^2 \leq nV(M) \int_{\partial M} \|H\|^2 dv_g,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C42, 53A07, 49Q10.

Key words and phrases. p -Laplacian, Steklov problem, eigenvalues.

The second author gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the Indian Institute of Technology Goa through Start-up Grant **2021/SG/AU/043**.

where (M^n, g) is a compact submanifold of \mathbb{R}^N with boundary ∂M and H denote the mean curvature of ∂M . They also proved analogue inequalities involving higher order mean curvatures like in (2). Recently, both the authors with Manfio have extended this inequality for submanifolds of any Riemannian manifold of bounded sectional curvature in [14].

Let us consider (M^n, g) a compact Riemannian manifold with a possibly non-empty boundary ∂M . For $p \in (1, +\infty)$, we consider the so-called p -Laplacian defined by

$$\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(\|\nabla u\|^{p-2} \nabla u)$$

for any C^2 function. For $p = 2$, Δ_2 is nothing else than the Laplace-Beltrami operator of (M^n, g) .

Over the past years, this operator Δ_p , and especially its spectrum, has been intensively studied, mainly for Euclidean domains with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions (see for instance [12] and references therein) and also on Riemannian manifolds [13]. Later, Du and Mao [5] gave analogue of Reilly inequalities (1) and (2) for the p -Laplacian on submanifolds of Euclidean spaces and sphere and it was extended by Chen and Wei [4] for submanifolds of the hyperbolic space. Very recently, Chen has obtained upper bounds for submanifolds of manifolds with curvature bounding from above generalizing to the p -Laplacian the result of Heintze for the Laplacian.

In the present paper, we will consider the Steklov problem associated with the p -Laplacian on submanifolds with boundary of the Euclidean space. Namely, we consider the p -Steklov problem which is the following boundary value problem

$$(S_p) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_p u = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ \|\nabla u\|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \sigma |u|^{p-2} u & \text{on } \partial M, \end{cases}$$

where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ is the derivative of the function u with respect to the outward unit normal ν to the boundary ∂M . Note that for $p = 2$, (S_p) is the usual Steklov problem (for instance one can refer to [7] regarding an overview of results about the spectral geometry of the Steklov problem). It has been observed that very little is known about the spectrum of this p -Steklov problem. If M is a domain of \mathbb{R}^N , there exists a sequence of positive eigenvalues $\sigma_{0,p} = 0 < \sigma_{1,p} \leq \sigma_{2,p} \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{k,p} \leq \dots$ consisting in the variational spectrum and obtained by the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory (see [12, 19] for instance). One can refer to [2] for details about the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann principle. Note that, as mentioned in [13, Remark 1.1], the arguments used in [12] can be extended to domains on Riemannian manifolds and we have that there exists a non-decreasing sequence of variational eigenvalues obtained by the Ljusternik-Schnirelman principle. Moreover, the eigenvalue 0 is simple with constant eigenfunctions and is isolated, that is there is no eigenvalue between 0 and λ_1 . Then, the first positive eigenvalue of the p -Steklov problem $\sigma_{1,p}$ satisfies the following variational characterization

$$(3) \quad \sigma_{1,p} = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_M \|\nabla u\|^p dv_g}{\int_{\partial M} |u|^p dv_h} \mid u \in W^{1,p}(M) \setminus \{0\}, \int_{\partial M} |u|^{p-2} u dv_h = 0 \right\},$$

where ∇ is the gradient on M , dv_g and dv_h are the Riemannian volume forms respectively associated with the metric g on M and the induced metric h on ∂M . It is to note that all the other eigenvalues $\sigma_{k,p}$ of this sequence also have a variational characterization but we don't know if all the spectrum is contained in this sequence.

Recently, V. Sheela has obtained upper bound for the first eigenvalue $\sigma_{1,p}$ of the p -Steklov problem (S_p) for Euclidean domains [20]. Namely, she proved that for a bounded domain Ω with smooth boundary, $\sigma_{1,p} \leq \frac{1}{R^{p-1}}$ (resp. $\frac{n^{p-2}}{R^{p-1}}$) if $1 < p < 2$ (resp. $p \geq 2$), where $R > 0$ satisfies $V(\Omega) = V(B(R))$ and $B(R)$ is a ball of radius R .

After that, in [18], the first author proved upper bounds of Reilly-type for $\sigma_{1,p}$ for submanifolds with boundary of the Euclidean space. Namely, he proved that

$$\sigma_{1,p} \leq N^{\frac{|2-p|}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} \|H\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dv_h \right)^{p-1} \frac{V(M)}{V(\partial M)^p},$$

and the more general one

$$\sigma_{1,p} \left(\int_{\partial M} \text{tr}(T) \right)^p \leq N^{\frac{|2-p|}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} \|H_T\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} V(M),$$

where T be a symmetric and divergence-free $(1,1)$ -tensor on ∂M . The aim of the present paper is to prove an inequality for submanifolds with boundary of Riemannian manifolds of sectional curvature bounded from above by a non-negative constant. Namely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\delta \geq 0$, $p > 1$ two real numbers and (\bar{M}^N, \bar{g}) a N -dimensional Riemannian manifold of sectional curvature bounded from above by δ . Let (M^n, g) be a compact n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with non empty boundary ∂M isometrically immersed into (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) and let S be a symmetric, positive definite and divergence-free $(1,1)$ -tensor on ∂M . Then $\sigma_{1,p}$ satisfies*

(1) *If $\delta = 0$, then*

$$\sigma_{1,p} \left(\int_{\partial M} \text{tr}(S) dv_h \right)^p \leq N^{\frac{|p-2|}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M) \left(\int_{\partial M} \|H_S\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dv_h \right)^{p-1}.$$

(2) *If $\delta > 0$ and M is contained in a ball of radius $R \leq \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\delta}}$, then*

(a) *for $1 < p < 2$, we have*

$$\sigma_{1,p} \leq \delta^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{V(M)}{V(\partial M)} \left(\delta + \frac{\int_{\partial M} \|H_S\|^2 dv_g}{\inf(\text{tr}(S))^2 V(\partial M)} \right),$$

(b) *and for $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$\sigma_{1,p} \leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{V(M)}{V(\partial M)} \left(\delta + \frac{\int_{\partial M} \|H_S\|^2 dv_g}{\inf(\text{tr}(S))^2 V(\partial M)} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (\bar{M}^N, \bar{g}) be a N -dimensional Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature $K_{\bar{M}} \leq \delta$. Let q a fixed point in \bar{M} , we denote by $r(x)$ the geodesic distance between x and q . Moreover, we define the vector field Z by $Z(x) := s_\delta(r(x))(\bar{\nabla}r)(x)$, s_δ is the function defined by

$$s_\delta(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sin(\sqrt{\delta}r) & \text{if } \delta > 0 \\ r & \text{if } \delta = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\delta|}} \sinh(\sqrt{|\delta|}r) & \text{if } \delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

We also define

$$c_\delta(r) = \begin{cases} \cos(\sqrt{\delta}r) & \text{if } \delta > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \delta = 0 \\ \cosh(\sqrt{|\delta|}r) & \text{if } \delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have $c_\delta^2 + \delta s_\delta^2 = 1$, $s'_\delta = c_\delta$ and $c'_\delta = -\delta s_\delta$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we recall some key lemmas. First of all, we have the following Lemma, proved by Grosjean ([8]) which in some sense gives way to extend Hsiung-Minkowki formulas in space of non constant curvature.

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). *Let (Σ, g) be a compact submanifold of (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) and S be a symmetric, positive definite and divergence-free $(1, 1)$ -tensor on Σ , then the following hold*

$$(1) \sum_{i=1}^N \langle S \nabla Z_i, Z_i \rangle \leq \text{tr}(S) - \delta \langle SZ^\perp, Z^\perp \rangle,$$

$$(2) \text{div}(SZ^\top) \geq n(c_\delta(r)\text{tr}(S) + \langle Z, H_S \rangle).$$

If in addition, Σ has no boundary, then

$$(3) \int_\Sigma c_\delta(r)\text{tr}(S)dv_g \leq \int_M \|H_S\|s_\delta(r)dv_g,$$

$$(4) \delta \int_\Sigma \langle SZ^\top, Z^\top \rangle dv_g \geq \int_\Sigma (c_\delta^2(r)\text{tr}(S) - \|H_S\|s_\delta(r)c_\delta(r))dv_g.$$

Here, H_S denotes $\text{tr}(B \circ S)$ and so is a normal vector field and Z^\top is the part of Z tangent to Σ . Note that if $S = \text{Id}$, we recover the classical inequalities proved by Heintze [10].

To prove the desired upper bounds, we will use the variational characterization (3) of $\sigma_{1,p}$. For this, we need to use appropriate test functions. As usual, for eigenvalue upper bounds for submanifolds, the candidates for test functions are the coordinates functions and their analogues in non constant curvature $Z_i = \frac{s_\delta(r)}{r}x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, which are the coordinates of Z in a normal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$. To be eligible to be test functions, we need to "center" these functions which is possible due to the following lemma given by Chen in [3].

Lemma 2.2 ([3]). *Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and assume that Σ is a submanifold of \bar{M} contained in a convex ball $B \subset \bar{M}$. Then, there exist $q_0 \in B$ such that for any*

$i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{s_{\delta}(r)}{r} x_i \right|^{p-2} \frac{s_{\delta}(r)}{r} x_i dv_g = 0,$$

where r is the distance function to q_0 in \bar{M} .

For the case $\delta > 0$, we need another test function c_{δ} . In order to use it as a test function, we need to translate it to the appropriate constant. For this, we recall the following elementary lemma, also given by Chen in [3], for our purpose.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\delta > 0$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and assume that Σ is a submanifold of \bar{M} contained in a ball of center q_0 and radius $\rho < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then, there exist a constant $c \in [0, 1]$ so that*

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{c_{\delta}(r) - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right|^{p-2} \frac{c_{\delta}(r) - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} dv_g = 0,$$

where r is the distance function to q_0 in \bar{M} .

Finally, we recall the following technical lemma proved by Manfio and the two authors in [14] which will be useful at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.4 ([14]). *Let (\bar{M}^N, \bar{g}) be Riemannian manifold with sectional bounded from above by δ , $\delta > 0$. Let (Σ, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed into (\bar{M}^N, \bar{g}) and assume that Σ is contained in a geodesic ball of radius $R < \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\delta}}$. Let S be a symmetric, divergence-free and positive definite $(1, 1)$ -tensor on Σ . Then, we have*

$$1 - \left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma} c_{\delta}(r) dv_g}{V(\Sigma)} \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \|H_S\|^2 dv_g}{\delta \inf(\text{tr}(S))^2 V(\Sigma)}}.$$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

3.1. The case $\delta = 0$. We want to use the coordinate functions as test functions in the variational characterization of $\sigma_{1,p}$ so we need to consider the coordinates center at the good point. Therefore, we apply Lemma 2.2 to $\Sigma = \partial M$ and we consider r as the distance to the point q_0 given in Lemma 2.2. Thus, we are able to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $p \in (1, +\infty)$, we have*

$$\sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} r^p dv_h \leq N^{\frac{|p-2|}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M).$$

Proof: From Lemma 2.2, we can consider the functions $Z_i = \frac{s_{\delta}(r)}{r} x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, as test functions in the variational characterization (3) of $\sigma_{1,p}$. Since we are in the

case $\delta = 0$, then we have $Z_i = x_i$. Thus, taking summation for i from 1 to N , we have

$$(4) \quad \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p dv_h \leq \int_M \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p dv_g.$$

Now, we will discuss the proofs for the cases $p \geq 2$ and $1 < p < 2$, separately.

Case $1 < p < 2$. We have

$$(5) \quad r^p = (r^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p,$$

since $p < 2$.

On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality (for vectors), we have

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p \leq N^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}},$$

which gives with the first point of Lemma 2.1 and $\delta = 0$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p \leq N^{\frac{2-p}{p}} n^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Hence, from (4), (5) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} r^p dv_h &\leq \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p dv_h \\ &\leq \int_M \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p dv_g \\ &\leq N^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M). \end{aligned}$$

Case $p \geq 2$. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$(8) \quad r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^2 \leq N^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

which gives

$$(9) \quad r^p = N^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p \right).$$

Moreover, since $p \geq 2$, we have

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Finally, from (4), using (9), (10) and the first point of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} r^p dv_h &\leq \sigma_{1,p} N^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p dv_h \\
&\leq N^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_M \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p dv_g \\
&\leq N^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dv_g \\
&\leq N^{\frac{p-2}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Since $\delta = 0$, then $c_\delta \equiv 1$ and so the third point of Lemma 2.1 reduces to

$$\int_{\partial M} \operatorname{tr}(S) dv_h \leq \int_{\partial M} s_\delta(r) \|H_S\| dv_h.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1,p} \left(\int_{\partial M} \operatorname{tr}(S) dv_h \right)^p &\leq \sigma_{1,p} \left(\int_{\partial M} s_\delta(r) \|H_S\| dv_h \right)^p \\
&\leq \sigma_{1,p} \left(\int_{\partial M} s_\delta^p(r) dv_h \right) \left(\int_{\partial M} \|H_S\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dv_h \right)^{p-1} \\
&\leq N^{\frac{|p-2|}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M) \left(\int_{\partial M} \|H_S\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dv_h \right)^{p-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used first the Hölder inequality and then Lemma 3.1.

3.2. The case $\delta > 0$. In the case $\delta > 0$, in addition to the Z_i 's, we need another test function. For this, from the assumption that M is contained in a ball of radius $R < \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\delta}}$ and the Lemma 2.2 the point q_0 belongs to this ball and so we can conclude that M is contained in a ball of center q_0 and radius smaller than $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\delta}}$. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 2.3 to get a constant $c \in [0, 1]$ so that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{c_\delta(r) - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right|^{p-2} \frac{c_\delta(r) - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} dv_g = 0.$$

For briefness, we set the function $C = \frac{c_\delta(r) - c}{\sqrt{\delta}}$ which can be used as a test function. Hence, from the variational characterization (3) of $\sigma_{1,p}$ using C and the Z_i 's as test functions, we get

$$(11) \quad \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} \left(|C|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p \right) dv_h \leq \int_M \left(\|\nabla C\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p \right) dv_g.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 &= \left(\frac{c_\delta(r) - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{s_\delta(r)}{r} x_i \right)^2 \\
&= s_\delta^2(r) + \frac{c_\delta^2(r) + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)}{\delta} \\
(12) \qquad \qquad &= \frac{1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)}{\delta},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $c_\delta^2 + \delta s_\delta^2 = 1$.

On the other hand, we also have

$$\nabla C = \nabla \left(\frac{c_\delta(r) - c}{\sqrt{\delta}} \right) = -\sqrt{\delta} s_\delta(r) \nabla r = \sqrt{\delta} Z^\perp$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla C\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^2 &= \delta \|Z^\perp\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^2 \\
&\leq \delta \|Z^\perp\|^2 + (n - \delta \|Z^\perp\|^2) \\
(13) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the first point of Lemma 2.1. Note that here, Z^\top is the part of Z tangent to M .

From now on, we will consider the cases $1 < p < 2$ and $p \geq 2$ separately.

Case $1 < p < 2$. Since $p < 2$, we have

$$(14) \quad |C|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p = \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left(|\cos(\sqrt{\delta} r) - c|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \sin(\sqrt{\delta}) \frac{x_i}{r} \right|^p \right).$$

Since $\left| \sin(\sqrt{\delta}) \frac{x_i}{r} \right| \leq 1$, $|\cos(\sqrt{\delta} r) - c| < 1$ and $1 < p < 2$, we have

$$\left| \sin(\sqrt{\delta}) \frac{x_i}{r} \right|^p \geq \left| \sin(\sqrt{\delta}) \frac{x_i}{r} \right|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\cos(\sqrt{\delta} r) - c|^p \geq |\cos(\sqrt{\delta} r) - c|^2,$$

which after reporting into (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|C|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p &\geq \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left(|\cos(\sqrt{\delta} r) - c|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \sin(\sqrt{\delta}) \frac{x_i}{r} \right|^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{p}{2}-1}} \left(C^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 \right) \\
(15) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{p}{2}}} (1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (12) for the last line. On the other hand, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla C\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p &\leq (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(\|\nabla C\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
(16) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

by using (13). Thus, using (11) together with (15) and (16), we get

$$(17) \quad \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} \left(1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)\right) dv_h \leq \delta^{\frac{p}{2}} (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M).$$

Moreover we have

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} \left(1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)\right) dv_h &= V(\partial M) \left(1 + c^2 - 2c \frac{\int_{\partial M} c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(\partial M)}\right) \\ &= V(\partial M) \left(1 + \left(c - \frac{\int_{\partial M} c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(\partial M)}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\int_{\partial M} c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(\partial M)}\right)^2\right) \\ &\geq V(\partial M) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\int_{\partial M} c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(\partial M)}\right)^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Reporting this into (17), we get

$$(19) \quad \sigma_{1,p} V(\partial M) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\int_{\partial M} c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(\partial M)}\right)^2\right) dv_h \leq \delta^{\frac{p}{2}} (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M).$$

Finally, we conclude by applying Lemma 2.4 to get

$$(20) \quad \sigma_{1,p} \leq \delta^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{V(M)}{V(\partial M)} \left(\delta + \frac{\int_{\partial M} \|H_S\|^2 dv_g}{\inf(\text{tr}(S))^2 V(\partial M)} \right).$$

Case $p \geq 2$. On one hand, since $p \geq 2$, we have

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla C\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N \|Z_i\|^p &\leq \left(\|\nabla C\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \|Z_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq n^{\frac{p}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (13). On the other hand, we have by the Hölder inequality

$$(22) \quad \left(C^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left(|C|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p \right).$$

Thus, using successively (12), (22), (11) and (21), we get

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dv_h &= \sigma_{1,p} \int_{\partial M} \left(C^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dv_h \\ &\leq \sigma_{1,p} (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_{\partial M} \left(|C|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N |Z_i|^p \right) dv_h \\ &\leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_M \left(\|\nabla C\|^p + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\nabla Z_i\|^p \right) dv_h \\ &\leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, from the Hölder inequality (for integrals), we have

$$(24) \quad \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dv_h \geq V(\partial M)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} \frac{1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)}{\delta} dv_h \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Hence, we deduce from (23) with (18) and (24)

$$(25) \quad \sigma_{1,p} \frac{V(\partial M)}{\delta^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_{\partial M} c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(\partial M)} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \sigma_{1,p} V(\partial M)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} \frac{1 + c^2 - 2cc_\delta(r)}{\delta} dv_h \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M).$$

Finally, we use Lemma 2.4 to conclude that

$$\sigma_{1,p} \leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{V(M)}{V(\partial M)} \left(\delta + \frac{\int_{\partial M} \|H_S\|^2 dv_g}{\inf_{\partial M} (\text{tr}(S))^2 V(\partial M)} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

4. NEW RESULTS FOR THE p -LAPLACIAN WHEN $\delta > 0$

We finish by giving similar results for the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian for closed submanifolds when $\delta > 0$. We will not give all the details of the proof since it is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The difference is that the variational characterization of $\lambda_{1,p}$ is the following

$$(26) \quad \lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_M \|\nabla u\|^p dv_g}{\int_M |u|^p dv_g} \mid u \in W^{1,p}(M) \setminus \{0\}, \int_M |u|^{p-2} u dv_g = 0 \right\}.$$

In this case M has no boundary and so we can apply Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 with $\Sigma = M$ to be able to use the functions Z_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$ and C as test functions. By completely similar computations, we obtain the analogue of inequality (19) if $1 < p < 2$, that is,

$$\lambda_{1,p} V(M) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\int_M c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(M)} \right)^2 \right) dv_h \leq \delta^{\frac{p}{2}} (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M),$$

and of (25) if $p \leq 2$, that is,

$$\lambda_{1,p} \frac{V(M)}{\delta^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\int_M c_\delta(r) dv_h}{V(M)} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} V(M).$$

Finally, applying Lemma 2.4 to M , we deduce the following result

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\delta > 0$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and (\bar{M}^N, \bar{g}) a Riemannian manifold of sectional curvature bounded from above by δ . Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed into (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) and S a symmetric, positive definite*

and divergence-free $(1, 1)$ -tensor on ∂M . We denote by $\lambda_{1,p}$ the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian on M . If M is contained in a ball of radius $R \leq \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\delta}}$ then we have

(1) If $1 < p < 2$,

$$\lambda_{1,p} \leq \delta^{\frac{p}{2}-1} (N+1)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\delta + \frac{\int_M \|H_S\|^2 dv_g}{\inf_M (\text{tr}(S))^2 V(M)} \right).$$

(2) If $p \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_{1,p} \leq (N+1)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} n^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\delta + \frac{\int_M \|H_S\|^2 dv_g}{\inf_M (\text{tr}(S))^2 V(M)} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

If $S = \text{Id}$, we recover the result of Chen [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] L. J. Alias J.M. & Malacarné, *On the first eigenvalue of the linearised operator of the higher order mean curvature for closed hypersurfaces in space forms*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (1), 219-240 (2004).
- [2] F. Browder, *Existence theorems for nonlinear partial differential equations*, in: Global Analysis, Proceedings of the Symposium Pure Mathematics, vol. XVI, Berkeley, California, 1968, American Mathematics Society, Providence, RI, 1970, pp. 1-60.
- [3] H. Chen, *Extrinsic upper bound of the eigenvalue for p -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal., **196**, article 111833 (2020).
- [4] H. Chen, G. Wei, *Reilly-type inequalities for p -Laplacian on submanifolds in space forms*, Nonlinear Anal. **184**, 210–217 (2019).
- [5] F. Du & J. Mao, *Reilly-type inequalities for p -Laplacian on compact Riemannian manifolds*, Front. Math. China **10** (3), 583-594 (2015).
- [6] A. El Soufi & S. Ilias, *Une inégalité du type "Reilly" pour les sous-variétés de l'espace hyperbolique*, Comment. Math. Helv. **67** (2), 167-181 (1992).
- [7] A. Girouard & I. Polterovich, *Spectral geometry of the Steklov problem*, J. spectral theory, **7**(2) 321–359 (2017).
- [8] J. F. Grosjean, *Upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on compact manifolds*, Pac. J. Math. **206**(1), 93-111 (2002).
- [9] J. F. Grosjean, *Extrinsic upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of elliptic operators*, Hokkaido Math. J. **33**(2), 319-339 (2004).
- [10] E. Heintze, *Extrinsic upper bounds for λ_1* , Math. Ann., **280** (3), 389-402 (1988).
- [11] S. Ilias & O. Makhoul, *A Reilly inequality for the first Steklov eigenvalue*, Differ. Geom. Appl. 29(5), 699–708 (2011).
- [12] A. Lê, *Eigenvalue problems for the p -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal., **64** (5), 1057-1099 (2006).
- [13] B. P. Lima, J. F. B. Montenegro & N.L. Santos, *Eigenvalue estimates for the p -Laplace operator on manifolds*. Nonlinear Anal. 72, 771-781 (2010).
- [14] F. Manfio, J. Roth & A. Upadhyay, *Extrinsic eigenvalues upper bounds for submanifolds in weighted manifolds*, to appear in Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.
- [15] R. C. Reilly, *On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), 525-533.
- [16] J. Roth, *General Reilly-type inequalities for submanifolds of weighted Euclidean spaces*, Colloq. Math. **144** (1), 127-136 (2016).
- [17] J. Roth, *Reilly-type inequalities for Paneitz and Steklov eigenvalues*. Potent. Anal. **53** (3), 773-798 (2020).

- [18] J. Roth, *Extrinsic upper bounds for the first eigenvalue of the p -Steklov problem on submanifolds*, Commun. Math., **30** (1) (2022), article 5.
- [19] O. Torné, *Steklov problem with an indefinite weight for the p -Laplacian*, Elect. J. Diff. Eq. Vol. 2005 (2005), No. 87, pp. 1-8.
- [20] S. Verma, *Upper bounds for the first nonzero eigenvalue related to the p -Laplacian*. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. **130** art. ID 21.

(J. ROTH) UNIVERSITÉ GUSTAVE EIFFEL, CNRS, LAMA UMR 8050, F-77447 MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, FRANCE

Email address: `julien.roth@univ-eiffel.fr`

(A. UPADHYAY) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, GOA 403401, INDIA

Email address: `abhitosh@iitgoa.ac.in`