**Abstract**—Learned video compression has recently emerged as an essential research topic in developing advanced video compression technologies, where motion compensation is considered one of the most challenging issues. In this paper, we propose a learned video compression framework via heterogeneous deformable compensation strategy (HDCVC) to tackle the problems of unstable compression performance caused by single-size deformable kernels in downsampled feature domain. More specifically, instead of utilizing optical flow warping or single-size-kernel deformable alignment, the proposed algorithm extracts features from the two adjacent frames to estimate content-adaptive heterogeneous deformable (HetDeform) kernel offsets. Then we transform the reference features with the HetDeform convolution to accomplish motion compensation. Moreover, we design a Spatial-Neighborhood-Conditioned Divisive Normalization (SNCDN) to achieve more effective data Gaussianization combined with the Generalized Divisive Normalization. Furthermore, we propose a multi-frame enhanced reconstruction module for exploiting context and temporal information for final quality enhancement. Experimental results indicate that HDCVC achieves superior performance than the recent state-of-the-art performance by jointly optimizing the whole framework.

**Index Terms**—Learned Video Compression, Motion Compensation, Heterogeneous Deformable Convolution, Divisive Normalization, Multi-Frame Enhancement.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

The past few years have witnessed an explosion of video content on the Internet, bringing significant challenges in network bandwidth and data storage. Therefore, developing more efficient video compression schemes has attracted substantial attention in the video coding community.

Traditional video compression methods, such as H.264 [1] and HEVC [2], have been designed in a hybrid style that heavily relies on hand-crafted techniques to improve compression efficiency. With block motion estimation, discrete cosine transform (DCT), entropy coding, and other related technologies, these conventional video compression methods have achieved stable performance in exploiting data redundancy. Based on the general framework of these traditional methods, several deep neural networks (DNN) have been designed into the hybrid framework as sub-modules. These kinds of solutions aim to improve the performance of certain particular modules of the whole compression framework. Although each sub-module is well designed or improved by DNN individually, the entire framework cannot be optimized in an end-to-end fashion. It is desirable to further enhance video compression performance by jointly optimizing the whole framework.

In order to optimize the compression framework in an end-to-end fashion, some attempts have been made in the previous work [3]–[5]. More recently, several solutions [4], [6], [7] adopt optical flow as motion information to achieve an end-to-end training. These algorithms exploit the possibility of replacing the block-based motion vectors in the traditional video coding by optical flow field. The decompression quality and bits consumption shall depend on the optical flow prediction accuracy and warping operation efficiency. Nevertheless, the flow-based compensation methods usually rely on a complex pre-trained model to predict the optical flow. If not, inaccurate flow estimation may result in weird reconstruction artifact during the pixel domain warping [8].

Deformable convolution has been applied in FVC [9] for feature alignment, achieving more robust and effective motion compensation. By estimating kernel offset maps, deformable convolution can be integrated into the feature space to achieve desired compensation. It is worth noting that FVC performs deformable convolution on the features with the exact resolution as the original image. We carry out deformable convolution in downsampled feature domain to avoid the large GPU memory consumption caused by deformable convolution on the original resolution and accelerate the model inference speed. However, single-size deformable kernels cannot be a globally optimal choice for different target bit rates in this condition. From our exploration experiments, deformable-compensation-based methods with single kernel sizes would have limitations in certain bit rates in downsampled feature domain.

To alleviate the problems mentioned above caused by optical flow warping or single-size kernel compensation, we propose a novel Heterogeneous Deformable Compensation network (HDCVC). At first, the framework will calculate the accurate transformation relationship between the downsampled adjacent frame features. Thus to obtain the composition of different size deformable kernels, we design a scheme inspired by the idea [10] to generate learned heterogeneous deformable kernel offsets as motion information depending on the content in each spatial location. We design the Heterogeneous Deformable Convolution (HetDeformConv) to align the previous frame feature with the special kernel. Benefiting from the dynamic combination, HDCVC achieves more stable performance and exhibits more flexibility in handling complex motion. To further transform motion information and residual into more compression-friendly representations, we devise an effective scheme by remodeling the generalized divisive normalization (GDN) [11] and propose the Spatial-Neighborhood-Conditioned Divisive Normalization (SNCDN) based on the representation extraction theory [12]. We also design a multi-frame enhanced reconstruction module to introduce context
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and temporal information assistance. The effectiveness of HDCVC is clearly shown as it outperforms the current state-of-the-art learned video compression approaches in terms of PSNR and MS-SSIM on most datasets. It also achieves competitive rate-distortion performance with the commercial codec x265 placebo preset1. In summary, the contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- The proposed heterogeneous deformable compensation module can generate frame-content-adaptive kernel offsets and obtain dynamic composition of motion information. The proposed scheme is capable of achieving a more robust rate-distortion performance in downsampled feature domain facilitated by the kernel variety and the compensation’s flexibility in handling various motion magnitudes.

- We design the novel Spatial Neighbor Conditioned Divisive Normalization to achieve more efficient data transformation is capable of Gaussianizing motion information and residual with less computation and improved compression performance.

- We propose a multi-frame enhanced reconstruction module to exploit valuable context and temporal information, which extracts target features from the current frame and context, aligns the previous frames in the feature domain, and fuses them for better frame reconstruction.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the related work. In Section III, we present the overall framework with detailed discussions about HetDeform compensation, SNCND and multi-frame enhanced reconstruction. In Section IV, we show the performance of HDCVC and discuss the importance of each module, and then we conclude in Section V.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Learned Image Compression

Recent years have witnessed performance improvement in the field of learned image compression. The pioneer work of Ballé et al. [11], [13] first proposed auto-encoder structures and optimized compression network in an end-to-end fashion. Besides, they also introduced generalized divisive normalization (GDN) to Gaussianize the input image into latent representation. After that, context-adaptive entropy models [14], [15] and Gaussian Mixture Likelihoods [16] were designed for further improving the rate-distortion (RD) performance. Due to the end-to-end training scheme, some of the methods outperform the current image compression standards, such as JPEG [17], JPEG2000 [18] and BPG [19].

B. Learned Video Compression

In the past few years, learned video compression methods have attracted more attention among researchers. For instance, the LSTM-based approach [3] creatively treats the video compression task as frame interpolation and achieves comparable performance with H.264 (x264 LDP veryfast) [20]. However, the solution uses traditional block-based motion estimation and encodes the information by existing non-deep-learning-based coding methods. The Deep Video Compression (DVC) [4] was proposed as the first end-to-end optimized video compression algorithm. Unlike the traditional codec framework, DVC replaced motion vectors with optical flow, making joint learning possible. Shortly afterward, Habibian et al. [21] designed an autoencoder-based structure with autoregressive prior. Both DVC and Habibian’s approaches achieve comparable performance with H.265 (x265 LDP veryfast). Yang et al. [5] designed the novel RNN-based compression method HLVC and utilized hierarchical quality layers for compressed video enhancement. Lu et al. [22] extended their DVC with various types of models to adapt to different application scenarios. Hu et al. [23] adopted switchable multi-resolution representations for the flow maps based on the RD criterion.

C. Motion Estimation

Considering computation complexity and bits consumption, block-based motion estimation and compensation are applied in hybrid coding framework [1], [2]. Though motion vectors are implementation-friendly and can effectively save bits, they are unsuitable for embedding in an end-to-end optimized compression system. Hence, DVC utilized a pre-trained flow prediction network [24] to avoid non-differentiable motion estimation. Liu et al. [7] designed a one-stage unsupervised approach to estimate implicit flow and facilitate motion estimation. Agustsson [25] proposed a scale parameter for optical flow and achieved much more robust compression performance. Recently, Hu et al. [9] utilized deformable convolution to accomplish compensation in the feature domain, alleviating the errors introduced by inaccurate pixel-level operations.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

A. Notations and Overview

Let \( I_t \) and \( \hat{I}_t (t \in 0, 1, \ldots) \) denote a sequence of frames and its reconstructed version. \( m_t \) is the motion information between \( I_{t-1} \) and \( I_t \), and \( n_t \) represents the reconstructed motion information. The predicted frame \( \hat{I}_t \) is generated by \( I_{t-1} \) and \( n_t \). \( r_t \) is the residual between the predicted frame \( \hat{I}_t \) and the raw frame \( I_t \). After obtaining the summation of \( \hat{I}_t \) and the reconstructed residual \( r_t \), the final result \( \hat{I}_t \) is generated by multi-frame enhanced reconstruction with the aid of current result, context and previous frames. During encoding, \( m_t \) and \( r_t \) are transformed into latent representations \( (\hat{m}_t, \hat{r}_t) \) and then compressed into the bitstream.

Figure-1 shows an overview of the proposed network. The HDCVC focuses on encoding inter-frame motion information and residual into latent representation and high-quality reconstruction as a low-delay-prediction-oriented video compression model. The key procedure of our model is shown as follows:

a) Motion related module: Instead of embedding a complex estimation model, we design a simple fusion operation to estimate the heterogeneous deformable kernel offsets as motion information \( m_t, m_t \) would be compressed into latent

1Placebo is the slowest setting in x265 which achieves the best quality among ten presets.
Fig. 1: Overview of our proposed video compression framework HDCVC. When encoding, the framework extracts features from the previous frame $\hat{I}_{t-1}$ and current frame $I_t$, and generates heterogeneous deformable (HetDeform) kernel offsets $m_t$ for compensation. Both motion information and residual are compressed by the transformation autoencoder and quantized for entropy coding.

Fig. 2: Overview of the motion estimation & compensation network. In the picture, we use Leaky ReLU as the activation function and denote it as LReLU. Conv M, N, K, S indicates a convolution layer with $K \times K$ size kernel, the number of input channels is M, the number of output channels is N, and the stride is S. The dashed line part in the figure omits the hyperprior module and the quantization operation.

c) Transform.: We design a general transformation network for motion information and residual in the submitted paper. These two autoencoder-style networks have similar but different structures due to the discrepant feature sizes of motion and residual. We reduce the number of resampling operations for motion compression to guarantee the same output size of the two transformation networks. In order to accomplish latent representation transformation and obtain further compression improvement, we design a new divisive normalization scheme named SNC. We combine residual blocks and the normalization series to form the non-linear transformer. More details regarding the SNC are given in Section III-C.

d) Entropy coding.: We utilize hierarchical hyper prior and context-based prediction in our entropy model. In the training process, the module estimates probability distribution of each symbol and the bits cost of the output $(\hat{m}_t, \hat{r}_t)$. The entropy module provides the adaptive context for accurate probability estimation during inference. The quantized representations are compressed into the bitstream by the arithmetic encoder.

e) Final reconstruction.: For further quality enhancement, we generate the target feature with the decoded frame and extra context. Meanwhile, the reference frame features are aligned to the target feature with the proposed HetDeformConv. Finally, we fuse the target and aligned features with cascaded residual blocks and obtain the final reconstructed result. More details regarding the Multi-frame Enhanced Reconstruction are given in Section III-D.

B. Heterogeneous Deformable Compensation

Figure-2 shows the detailed architecture of the motion estimation & compensation network. Instead of embedding a pre-trained network or a complex estimation model, we only use two cascaded ordinary convolutions to generate motion information. After the compensation operation by Heterogeneous Deformable Convolution (HetDeformConv), a Refinement Network (RefinementNet) is designed for resolu-
motion information.
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a) Feature extraction.: This module plays a role in extracting visual features from $I_{t-1}$ and $I_t$, the proposed approach will generate the combination of deformable kernel offsets of different sizes $m_k$ between these two adjacent frames at the feature domain, and reconstruct the current frame using the HetDeformConv with the reference frame and decoded motion information $\hat{m}_t$.

b) Motion estimation.: A lightweight estimation network is applied to transform the concatenated features into motion information $m_t$. We only use two ordinary convolutions to fuse the features of adjacent frames and generate the motion information $m_k$, which includes the Heterogeneous Deformable (HetDeform) kernel offsets. In our method, different from optical flow or single-size deformable kernel estimation, the combination of learnable offsets of various kernel sizes is estimated for each feature element position according to the frame content.

c) HetDeform kernel-based compensation.: Deformable convolution has demonstrated its advantage in feature extraction [26] and alignment [8], [27]. We expand the idea into the learned video compression framework and further resolve the non-globally optimal performance caused by different kernel sizes in downsampled feature domain. To reconstruct features of the current frames, the decoded motion information $\hat{m}_t$ is obtained from the bitstream and can be separated into the dynamic Het offsets $p_i^{k\text{Het}}$. The formulation of single-size deformable convolution can refer to [26].

As for HetDeformConv, the dynamic combination of deformable kernels of three sizes (as Figure-3 shows) is utilized to predict the values of each feature point. We implement the HetDeformConv inspired by HetConv [10] to achieve operational efficiency and high-performance compensation. The operation can be formulated in the following way:

$$
\hat{F}_t^p = \sum_{k_1=1}^{1} \sum_{m_1=1}^{M/3} w_{k_1} \cdot F_{t-1}^{m_1}(p + p_{k_1} + \Delta p_{k_1}) + \sum_{k_2=1}^{1} \sum_{m_2=1}^{M/3} w_{k_2} \cdot F_{t-1}^{m_2}(p + p_{k_2} + \Delta p_{k_2}) + \sum_{k_3=1}^{1} \sum_{m_3=1}^{M/3} w_{k_3} \cdot F_{t-1}^{m_3}(p + p_{k_3} + \Delta p_{k_3})
$$

where $w_{k_1}, p_{k_1}, \Delta p_{k_1}, m_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the kernel weights, the general sampling location, the additional learned offsets and the channel index for deformable kernel of different sizes, respectively. As Equation-1 denotes, we divide the input features into three parts by channel dimension and transform them separately with deformable convolution of different kernel sizes.

For calculating computational cost, we denote $N \times H \times W$ as the size of the output feature map, and the total computational cost of single-size deformable convolution and HetDeformConv can be formulated by:

$$
\text{ComCost}_K = K \times K \times H \times W \times M \times N \times \text{bilinear},
$$

$$
\text{ComCost}_{Het} = \frac{35}{3} \times H \times W \times M \times N \times \text{bilinear},
$$

where $K$ is the size of ordinary deformable kernel and 35 is computed by $1 \times 1 + 3 \times 3 + 5 \times 5$. From Equation-2, 3 and Figure-8b, there is evidence that the proposed method achieves global optimal result with the similar computation cost as $3 \times 3$ deformable kernel compensation.

d) Refinement.: Generally, motion compression procedure and quantization will cause non-negligible quality degradation. We apply an additional refinement module after the compensation operation to alleviate the information loss.
Moreover, the compensation result will be restored to the original input size to facilitate residual calculation. Note that RefinementNet is designed mainly for resolution restoration, and its detailed architecture is shown in Figure-2.

C. Motion & Residual Transformation

The core task of the transformation network is to Gaussianize motion and residual into the compression-friendly latent representation, and previous work [11], [13], [14], [22] has demonstrated the ability of generalized divisive normalization (GDN) in reducing data redundancy. Normalization can be modeled as the responses of neurons are divided by a common factor that typically includes the summed activity of a pool of neurons [29], based on which the generalized form of divisive normalization [28] is proposed.

a) SNCDN: Considering the strong correlation between the high-resolution feature point and its spatial neighborhood, we design the new divisive normalization scheme by extending the traditional work [12] to model the spatial neighborhood points as the neurons pool. The proposed Spatial-Neighborhood-Conditioned Divisive Normalization (SNCDN) will be applied for reducing statistical dependencies and effective Gaussianization when the features have not been continuously downsampled. As Figure-4 shows, GDN models the channel dimension of feature points as neighborhood, and our proposed SNCDN takes the spatial surrounding points of the target feature as divisive candidates. We denote the input \( z \) of the \( k \)th transform stage at spatial location \((m, n)\) as \( z^{(k)}(m, n) \), and the output as \( \hat{y}^{(k+1)}(m, n) \). The implementation of SNCDN can be formulated as:

\[
y^{(k+1)}(m, n) = \frac{z^{(k)}(m, n)}{(\beta^{(k)} + \sum_i \gamma^{(k)} |z^{(k)}_i(m, n)|^\alpha)\epsilon^\phi}, \tag{4}
\]

and the inverse version of SNCDN as inverse transform can be stated as:

\[
\hat{z}^{(k+1)}(m, n) = \hat{y}^{(k)}(m, n) \cdot (\beta^{(k)} + \sum_i \gamma^{(k)} |\hat{y}^{(k)}_i(m, n)|^\alpha)\epsilon^\phi, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \hat{y}^{(k)}, \hat{z}^{(k+1)} \) are the output and the input of the \( k \)th inverse transform stage respectively, \( z^{(k)}_i(m, n) \) denotes the neighboring pixels of spatial location \((m, n)\), \( i \) stands for the spatial neighborhood index, \( \phi = \{\beta, \gamma\} \), \( \theta = \{\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}\} \) are learnable parameters and \( \alpha, \epsilon \) are set to 2 and \( \frac{1}{2} \) by default.

b) ResDN series: As shown in Figure-5, different from several previous work where GDN is directly embedded in the network, we unite the residual block [30], SNCDN and GDN as basic transform blocks and apply the transform block series in a cascaded style. As discussed above, SNCDN should implement before features are downsampled multiple times, so the location of ResSNCDN, ResMixDN and ResGDN are deployed according to this guideline. We have done ample experiments to prove the reliability of this arrangement. The motion and residual transformation networks are designed as similar but not precisely the same architectures due to the mismatched size between the input data. After the proposed transformation, the quantized components will be compressed into the bitstream by arithmetic coding.

D. Multi-Frame Enhanced Reconstruction

Inspired by the recent work [9] using the multi-reference-frame feature for final fusion, we design a Multi-Frame Enhanced Reconstruction (MFER) to exploit context and temporal information further. Different from [9] to use decode frame feature, we fuse the decoded frame, the predicted result, the predicted frame feature (feature before final convolution to generate the predicted result), the decoded residual and the decoded residual feature (feature before final convolution to generate the decoded residual) to further utilize the context generated during decoding. All the context mentioned above can be obtained during decoding. We use two cascaded convolution layers to downsample and extract feature from the context to generate the target feature. After generating the target feature and the reference features from the decoded frame, context, and three previous frames, we estimate the motion information between target and reference features and align.
the reference features to the target feature using the proposed HetDeform Convolution described in Section III-B0c, for exploiting additional temporal information. Finally, as shown in Figure-6, the cascaded resblocks and sub-pixel operations are applied for final enhancement and reconstruction.

E. Loss Function

We utilize a progressive training scheme to stabilize the optimization. Specifically, our framework focuses on stabilizing compensation performance in the early stages of model optimization, and thus only motion related modules are engaged in training. We apply the following rate-compensation loss \( L_{r-c} \) in this stage:

\[
L_{r-c} = \lambda \cdot D_{com} + R = \lambda \cdot d(\hat{I}_{com}, I_t) + R_{\hat{m}}, \tag{6}
\]

in which \( \hat{I}_{com} \) denotes the compensation results, and \( \lambda \) is the Lagrange multiplier that determines the trade-off between the entropy estimation results \( R \) of latents and hyper-latents and the compensation distortion \( D_{com} \). Note that in this training period, \( D_{com} \) only denotes mean-square error (MSE) in our PSNR and MS-SSIM [31] models.

In the second stage, we optimize motion related modules and residual related modules together. The goal of our video compression framework is to minimize the number of bits used for encoding the video, while reducing distortion between the original input frame \( I \) and the reconstructed frame \( \hat{I} \). Therefore, we propose the total RD optimization loss function:

\[
L_{total} = \lambda \cdot D + R = \lambda \cdot d(I_t, \hat{I}_t) + R_{\hat{m}} + R_{\hat{r}} \tag{7}
\]

where \( d(I_t, \hat{I}_t) \) denotes the distortion between \( I_t \) and \( \hat{I}_t \). In the final stage, we train the whole framework including Multi-Frame Enhanced Reconstruction jointly. To train our PSNR model, we use MSE as the distortion function, and as to the MS-SSIM (Multi-scale Structural Similarity) model, we use \( d(x,y) = 1 - \text{MS-SSIM}(x,y) \) as the distortion function.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. Datasets and Implementation Details

a) Datasets.: To train our model, we adopted the dataset provided by [32], which is collected from the Vimeo and released named Vimeo-90K. The dataset contains 89,800 video clips with various and complex real-world motions. Each sample contains seven consecutive frames with a fixed resolution of 448 × 256. To validate the effectiveness of our model and compare compression performance with other approaches, we test our models on four datasets: the HEVC dataset [33], the UVG dataset [34] and the MCL-JCV dataset [35]. The resolution of these datasets is diverse from 352 × 288 to 1920 × 1080, which can comprehensively evaluate the performance of compression models.

b) Training settings.: As HDCVC requires reconstructed frame \( \hat{I}_{t-1} \) for motion compensation during training, we train our model six times in succession using seven consecutive frames by buffering the previous reconstructed frame as [4].

With regard to I-frame compression, we choose cheng2020-anchor [16] from CompressAI [36] to achieve higher rate-distortion performance.

We train eight models with different \( \lambda \) to control RD performance (\( \lambda \) = 256, 512, 1024, 2048 for the PSNR model and \( \lambda \) = 8, 16, 32, 64 for the MS-SSIM model). The batch size is set to 16, and we randomly crop the training sequences into the resolution of 256 × 256. The Adam optimizer is adopted whose parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are set as 0.9 and 0.999. The learning rate is initialized to \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) and decreased by a factor of 2 when evaluation performance becomes stable. The entire network converges after 500000 iterations. All experiments are conducted using the PyTorch with NVIDIA GTX 3060 GPUs.

B. Experimental setting

Our model’s intra period is set to 10 for the HEVC dataset [33] and 12 for other datasets (the UVG [34] and MCL-JCV [35]) for a fair comparison with other learned video compression methods. Besides, our framework requires the input frame’s width and height to be a multiple of 64, so we pad the frame margin with the minimum number of pixels to meet the model requirements. While evaluating, additional margin is cropped from our reconstructed frames.

In order to compare rate-distortion performance with hybrid video coding standards [1], [2], we refer to the setting in [4], [5] to generate the bitstream of x264 and x265 with FFmpeg [20], [37]. The detailed configurations of x264, x265 are listed as follows:

- **x264 (LDP placebo):**
  ```
  ffmpeg -pix_fmt yuv420p -s Width×Height -r FrameRate -i input.yuv -yframes Num -c:v libx264 -preset placebo -tune zerolatency -x264-params "-crf=Q:keyint=IP" -output mkv
  ```

- **x265 (LDP placebo):**
  ```
  ffmpeg -pix_fmt yuv420p -s Width×Height -r FrameRate -i input.yuv -yframes Num -c:v libx265 -preset placebo
  ```

Fig. 6: The architecture of the proposed multi-frame enhanced reconstruction module.
In above settings, Num, Q, GOP stand for the number of encoded frames, quality and intra period, respectively. In line with our test configuration, Num is set as 100 for the HEVC dataset. Q is set as 15, 19, 23, 27 for the HEVC and MCL-JCV datasets, and set as 11, 15, 19, 23 for the UVG dataset. IP is set as 10 for the HEVC dataset and 12 for other datasets.

We also provide the object results of x264, x265, HM and VTM when intra period is set to 32 in the submitted paper.

For x264 and x265, it is simple to change intra period by changing keyint parameter. As for HM and VTM, we use the configurations as follows:

- **HM:**
  ```
  Encode:
  TAppEncoderStatic -c encoder_lowdelay_P_main.cfg
  -InputFile=input.yuv
  -InputBitDepth=8 -InputChromaFormat=420 -FrameRate=FrameRate -SourceWidth=Width -SourceHeight=Height
  ```

- **VTM:**
  ```
  Encode:
  TAppEncoderStatic -c encoder_lowdelay_P_main.cfg
  -InputFile=input.yuv
  -InputBitDepth=8 -InputChromaFormat=420 -FrameRate=FrameRate -SourceWidth=Width -SourceHeight=Height
  ```

Fig. 7: The rate-distortion performance of our approach compared with H.265 (x265 LDP placebo) and the recent single-reference-frame learned video compression approaches on the HEVC, UVG and MCL-JCV sequences.

-tune zerolatency -x265-params
"crf=Q:keyint=IP" output.mkv
TABLE I: BDBR(%) Results with the anchor of H.265 (x265 LDP placebo). Red and Blue indicates the best and the second-best performance, respectively. All RD results of DVC, DVC_Pro, HLVC, Lu, Hu and FVC are provided by their authors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEVC Class B</td>
<td>25.55</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>10.09</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>-5.99</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>-7.13</td>
<td>-22.36</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>-24.86</td>
<td>-44.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEVC Class C</td>
<td>40.08</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>24.01</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>11.93</td>
<td>-4.54</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>-7.93</td>
<td>-13.45</td>
<td>-10.89</td>
<td>-18.86</td>
<td>-40.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEVC Class D</td>
<td>39.70</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>-24.05</td>
<td>-9.40</td>
<td>-16.01</td>
<td>-43.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL-JVC (1080p)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34.86</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>-6.73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.36</td>
<td>-25.94</td>
<td>-43.45</td>
<td>-47.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FramesToBeEncoded=96
-qp QP -b enc_output.bin -ip intraperiod -o enc_output.yuv

Decode:
TAppDecoderStatic -b dec_input.bin -o dec_output.yuv

VTM: Encode:
EncoderAppStatic -c encoder_lowdelay_P_vtm.cfg -InputFile=enc_output.yuv
-InputBitDepth=8 -InputChromaFormat=420 -FrameRate=FrameRate
-SourceWidth=Width -SourceHeight=Height -FramesToBeEncoded=96
-qp QP -b enc_output.bin -ip intraperiod -o enc_output.yuv

Decode:
DecoderAppStatic -b dec_input.bin -o dec_output.yuv
We use ffmpeg to convert the output yuv generated by the two methods losslessly into PNG format.

C. Comparison with Other Methods
We compare our method with recent single-reference-frame video compression methods: DVC [4], DVC_Pro [22], HLVC [5], SSF [25], Lu [38], Hu [23] and FVC [9]. As for the traditional method H.265 [2], we refer to the command line in [4], [23] and use x265 [37] with the LDP (Low Delay P) placebo mode. To be consistent with the test condition on [4], [5], [23], we test HEVC on the first 100 frames and test
UVG, MCL-JCV sequences on all frames, and intra period is set to 10 for the JCT-VC dataset and 12 for other datasets. To compare with VTM and HM in more reasonable conditions, we also provide the RD results of HDCVC when intra period is set to 32 (see Figure-8e and 8f).

a) Rate-distortion performance: Figure-7 shows the experimental results on the HEVC, UVG and MCL-JCV sequences while taking PSNR and MS-SSIM as quality measurements. When compressing high-resolution sequences, the RD performance of our PSNR model surpasses other learned methods and H.265 (x265 LDP placebo) significantly. As for the results measured by MS-SSIM, our model still achieves comparable performance among recent video compression approaches on all test datasets.

b) BDBR results: To show quantitative performance comparisons with current advanced methods, we provide Bʃøntegaard Delta Bit-Rate (BDBR) [39] with the anchor of x265 (LDP placebo). BDBR represents the calculation of average PSNR or other evaluation metrics differences between RD-curves, and it also denotes the bits saving at the same quality compared to the anchor. DVC [4], DVC_Pro [22], HLVC [5], Lu [38], Hu [23] and FVC [9] are compared with HDCVC on the HEVC, UVG and MCL-JCV datasets.

With the merit of the proposed schemes, our PSNR model shows competitive RD results with other learned video compression methods, especially in high-resolution sequences. Our MS-SSIM model also surpasses other learning-based approaches on most datasets. Table I shows the detailed BDBR results calculated by PSNR and MS-SSIM.

D. Ablation Studies and Performance Analysis

a) HetDeform Compensation: To verify the effectiveness of the HetDeform Compensation, we conduct an experiment by replacing the HetDeform compensation in our model with standard $3 \times 3$ kernel deformable compensation on HEVC Class B (denoted by w/o HetDeformComp, the orange curve in Figure-8a). In the absence of the introduced compensation method, the PSNR will decrease by 0.4 to 0.8 dB at the same bpp.

We also conduct experiments by changing the kernel size of the deformable compensation module in our Baseline (HDCVC w/o HetDeformConv and SNCDN) model. As shown in Figure-8b, deformable-compensation-based methods cannot achieve globally optimal performance. The visualization comparison in Figure-9 can further demonstrate the superiority of our compensation scheme. Visually, it can be observed that the compensation results of our scheme achieve better quality and can reduce obvious compensation inaccuracies.

b) SNCDN: To evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed normalization method, we replace the SNCDN and MixDN in the transformation network by GDN (denoted by w/o SNCDN, the green curve in Figure-8a). When compared with our complete model, we can observe that using the SNCDN and MixDN provides nearly 0.25 dB in PSNR at the same bit rate.

To further explore the effectiveness of the proposed SNCDN, we conduct a series of experiments on variants of the transformation network. w/ MixDN (the blue curve in Figure-8c) is our default arrangement as Figure-III-C shows. We replace the first one, first two, and all ResGDN in the baseline with SNCDN (denoted by w/ SNCDN, w/ TSNCDN, and w/ FullSNCDN). From the comparison result, we infer that SNCDN can play a better transformation effect when the feature is at a higher resolution, and a suitable location will yield higher performance.

c) MFER: To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed MFER scheme, we compare the compression performance of the HDCVC with or without using the reconstruction module. In the absence of this module, the PSNR will decrease by 0.2dB at the same bpp. Besides, with MFER, HDCVC can surpass HM and VTM in terms of MS-SSIM in the high bit rate range when intra period is set to 32, as shown in Figure-8f.

d) Different I frame: In order to compare with other learning-based methods in a more similar situation, we conduct extra experiments with BPG as the I frame compression method. As shown in Figure-8d, without cheng2020-anchor as I frame compression, HDCVC still achieves the highest RD performance when compared with other SOTA methods. More
Fig. 10: The visual results of traditional hybrid codecs H.264, H.265 and our HDCVC (MS-SSIM model).

detailed and comprehensive experimental results are given in the supplementary material.

E. Visualization results

This section presents the visual quality comparison of H.264, H.265 and our proposed models. We select four representative sequences in the HEVC dataset, including BasketballDrive and Cactus. Figure-11 and Figure-10 shows the visual quality comparison of the H.264 (x264 LDP placebo), H.265 (x265 LDP default), H.265 (x265 LDP placebo) and our HDCVC. With fewer bits consuming, the proposed model produces fewer compression artifacts and achieves higher subjective quality than the traditional hybrid codecs H.264 and H.265. The supplementary material also provides decoded videos of our eight models (four models for PSNR and four models for MS-SSIM) on several sequences of the test datasets for visual quality assessment.
V. Conclusion

In this paper, we present a learned video compression scheme via a novel heterogeneous deformable compensation network HDCVC. Specifically, the proposed model can generate content-adaptive offsets and apply the heterogeneous deformable convolution to accomplish motion compensation. The proposed approach addresses the problem of non-globally optimal performance caused by single-size-kernel deformable compensation in downsampled feature domain. The SNCDN is designed to assist in compressing motion and residual into latent representations, using a set of strongly correlated neighborhoods for Gaussianization. Furthermore, the MFER module is also proposed for exploiting context and temporal information from decoded features and frames. The experimental results can demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed framework.
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