Photometric IGM Tomography: Efficiently Mapping Quasar Light Echoes with Deep Narrow Band Imaging
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ABSTRACT

In the standard picture, episodes of luminous quasar activity are directly related to supermassive black hole (SMBH) growth. The ionising radiation emitted over a quasar’s lifetime alters the ionisation state of the surrounding intergalactic medium (IGM), enhancing the Lyα forest transmission – so-called proximity effect – which can be observed in absorption spectra of background sources. Owing to the finite speed of light, the transverse direction of the proximity effect is sensitive to the quasar’s radiative history, resulting in ‘light echoes’ that encode the growth history of the SMBH on Myr-timescales. In this paper, we introduce a new technique to photometrically map this quasar light echoes using Lyα forest tomography by using a carefully selected pair of narrow-band filters. A foreground narrow-band filter is used to measure Lyα forest transmission along background galaxies selected as Lyα emitters by a background narrow-band filter. This novel double narrow-band tomographic technique utilises the higher throughput and wider field of view over spectroscopy to efficiently reconstruct a two-dimensional map of Lyα forest transmission around a quasar. We present a fully Bayesian framework to measure the luminous quasar lifetime of a SMBH from photometric IGM tomography, and examine the observational requirements. This new technique provides an efficient strategy to map a large area of the sky with a modest observing time and to identify interesting regions to be examined by further deep 3D follow-up spectroscopic Lyα forest tomography.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Understanding the origin of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) is a long-standing problem in observational cosmology (e.g. Rees 1978). Recent wide field imaging surveys have discovered a dozen of $z \gtrsim 7$ quasars (Mortlock et al. 2011; Bahados et al. 2018; Matsuoka et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021), which suggests that there is a too short time available to assemble enough SMBH mass of $\gtrsim 10^9 M_\odot$ if we assume the Eddington-limited growth of a stellar-mass black hole from a massive stellar remnant. To explain their existence, theorists postulated the formation of massive seeds from the direct collapse black hole (DCBH) of a supermassive star or dense cluster of Pop III stars (e.g. Woods et al. 2019; Inayoshi et al. 2020, for reviews), or rapid black hole growth with super-Eddington accretion onto stellar-mass seeds (Madau et al. 2014). While the direct search of such enigmatic massive seeds may become possible with future wide-field transients and imaging surveys (Whalen et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014; Moriya et al. 2021) as well as with the future generations of gravitational wave facilities (Shibata et al. 2016; Hartwig et al. 2016, 2018), recent radiation magnetohydrodynamic simulations of accretion disks (McKinney et al. 2014; Jiang et al. 2014, 2019) suggest that a super-Eddington accretion is equally possible provided that there is enough material is being fed into the circum-nuclear environment around the black hole (Anglés-Alcázar et al. 2021; Toyouchi et al. 2021; Inayoshi et al. 2021). Observationally, Davies et al. (2019) argue evidence for the low radiative efficiency in $z > 7$ quasars, consistent with the super-Eddington growth of the early SMBHs. In order to test the various formation scenarios, we ought to both observationally (1) test the existence of massive seeds and (2) to constrain the growth mechanism of the SMBHs.

Luminous quasar activity is closely linked to the growth history of SMBHs, which are believed to be powered by the gas accretion onto a central black hole. A quasar bolometric lightcurve $L(t)$ is related to the gas accretion rate $\dot{M}$,

$$L(t) = \epsilon \dot{M} c^2,$$

where $\epsilon$ is the radiative efficiency which is $\epsilon \approx 0.10$ for the standard thin accretion disc theory in general relativity (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Novikov & Thorne 1973). Comparison of the local total mass density of SMBHs and the total cosmic luminosity of quasars integrated over the age of the Universe, the so-called Sołtan argument (Sołtan 1982; Kulkarni et al. 2019; Shen et al. 2020), indicates that the most of the local SMBH mass is acquired during the luminous quasar phases for an average radiative efficiency of $\epsilon \sim 0.08$ (e.g.
Yu & Tremaine 2002; Shankar et al. 2004; Ueda et al. 2014). This makes the quasar light curve an excellent observational tool to test how SMBHs acquired their masses over their growth history.

The key characteristic timescale for the growth of a SMBH is the Salpeter timescale,

\[ t_{\text{sal}} = 4.5 \times 10^7 \left( \frac{e/(1-e)}{0.1} \right) \left( \frac{L}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right)^{-1} \text{yr}, \]

where \( L_{\text{Edd}} \) is the Eddington luminosity, which is equivalent to the e-folding time of the black hole mass growth \( M_{\text{BH}} = M_{\text{seed}} \exp(t/t_{\text{sal}}) \). The time required for a stellar mass seed of \(~100 \, M_\odot\) to grow \(~10^8 \, M_\odot\) SMBH is approximately 16 e-foldings, \( t \sim 16 t_{\text{sal}} \approx 7 \times 10^8 \, \text{yr} \). If quasars represent the major growth phase of SMBHs as suggested by the Salpeter argument, the quasar lifetime – defined as the duration over which a quasar is active – should be comparable to the Salpeter timescale. Estimates of the quasar lifetime range between \(10^7\) and \(10^8 \, \text{yr} \) (e.g. Martini 2004). The recent observations of the line-of-sight proximity zone size of \( z \sim 3 - 6 \) quasars indicate a short quasar lifetime of \( t_{\text{age}} \sim 10^6 \, \text{yr} \) on average (Morey et al. 2021; Khrykin et al. 2021) and \( \sim 10\% \) of the population shows even shorter lifetime of \( t_{\text{age}} \sim 10^5 \, \text{yr} \) (Eilers et al. 2017, 2020, 2021). This calls the standard picture of SMBH growth into question, meaning that the SMBH mass is too massive to be explained by the gas accretion during the lifetime of the quasar with Eddington-limited growth. However, the line-of-sight proximity effect is only sensitive to the most recent quasar activity. The fast relaxation time of a highly ionized IGM by a quasar radiation to the ionized fraction \( x_{\text{HI}} \sim 10^{-5} \) of the mean IGM is short, \( t_{\text{relax}} \approx x_{\text{HI}}/\Gamma \sim 10^{-5} \, \text{yr} \), comparable to the equilibrium timescale \( t \sim \Gamma^{-1} \) (Davies et al. 2020 see also Khrykin et al. 2017). This means that the line-of-sight proximity effect can only probe the duration of the most recent quasar activity if the quasar-inactive phase is longer than \( t_{\text{relax}} \sim 10^{-5} \, \text{yr} \).

Furthermore, using a statistical argument (e.g. Shen et al. 2009; White et al. 2012; Eftekharzadeh et al. 2015; Laurent et al. 2017; He et al. 2018; Timlin et al. 2018), quasar clustering can constrain the average integrated quasar lifetime over the Hubble time, which is also referred to as the duty cycle. These observations suggest that the integrated quasar lifetime is approximately \( \sim 10^7 - 8 \, \text{yr} \), broadly consistent with the time required to grow SMBHs through quasar activities. As well, the statistical measurement of the transverse proximity effect in He II Ly\(\alpha\) forest by \( z \sim 2 - 3 \) quasars – enhanced He II Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission in a background sightline by the ionization of a foreground quasar in the transverse direction – provides a purely geometrical lower limit on the quasar lifetime of \( t_{\text{age}} > 25 \, \text{Myr} \) (Schmidt et al. 2017, 2018), suggesting that the active phase of a quasar may be long enough to acquire sufficient mass through luminous mass accretion. While these constraints are still weak, in order to reconcile both the line-of-sight/transverse proximity effects and clustering measurements, variable quasar lightcurve of a SMBH is required. While many simulations indicate such episodic quasar phases are common owing to the intermittent gas accretion and the intense radiative and kinetic feedback from the quasar on the scale of host-galaxy and cosmological environment (e.g. Ciotti & Ostriker 1997; Hopkins et al. 2008; Novak et al. 2011), the direct observational evidence still remains elusive.

The variable quasar lightcurve has a distinct impact on the ionization state of the circum- and inter-galactic medium (CGM and IGM) since the immense ionizing radiation from a quasar outshines the host galaxy and its surroundings. Because the speed of light is finite, the ionization state of the gas at a distance \( r \) from the SMBH is sensitive to the ionizing output of the quasar at time \( t = r/c \) in the past. This means the map of the ionization state of the gas at various distances from the SMBH can record light echoes, tracing directly the activity of quasar over the past history of the host galaxy. For example, Lintott et al. (2009) discovered emission from quasar-excited highly ionized gas at the circum-galactic distance \( \sim 10 \, \text{kpc} \), called Hanny’s Voorwerp, from a nearby spiral galaxy, arguing for the recent fading of quasar within \( 10^5 \, \text{yr} \). The further observations of quasar light echoes in circum-galactic emission indicates the recent fading of quasar activity on \( \sim 10^5 \, \text{yr} \) timescale occurred in some nearby galaxies (Keel et al. 2012, 2015, 2017). A similar argument was made by Oppenheimer et al. (2018) who instead used the O VI absorbers in the CGM as an indicator of quasar-ionized gas around \( z \sim 0.2 \) galaxies to demonstrate that variable quasar activities in past \( \lesssim 10^6 \, \text{yr} \) could explain their abundance. Conversely, the ubiquity of quasar-powered Ly\(\alpha\) nebulae at \( z \sim 2 - 3 \) quasars extending to \( r \sim 50 \, \text{kpc} \) argues for lifetimes of \( > 10^7 \, \text{yr} \) (Hennawi & Prochaska 2007; Borisova et al. 2016; Arrigoni Battaia et al. 2016) with the largest such \( \sim 500 \, \text{kpc} \) nebulae (Cantalupo et al. 2014; Hennawi et al. 2015) corresponding to \( 10^8 \, \text{yr} \). Hennawi & Prochaska (2007) came to similar conclusions based on the anisotropic clustering pattern of optically thick H I absorbers around quasars. Searching for the light echoes in the CGM can only provide a short baseline of \( t \sim 10 - 500 \, \text{kpc} / c \sim 10^4 - 8 \, \text{yr} \), which is too short to probe the full quasar lightcurve on the scale of the Salpeter timescale. Furthermore, and perhaps most importantly, these CGM constraints suffer from (i) a degeneracy with the quasar opening angle (we know quasars are obscured in some directions) and (ii) we do not have a first principle model to robustly predict the physical state of CGM. This provides a strong motivation to focus searching for light echoes in the IGM where the physical state of the gas can be predicted ab initio from cosmological simulations.

In order to probe the full quasar lightcurve comparable to the Salpeter timescale, we need to search for quasar light echoes on the scales of \( \sim 1 - 10 \, \text{Mpc} \) around the host galaxy. The tomographic mapping of the IGM around a quasar using Ly\(\alpha\) forest absorption along background sources provides a required technique to constrain the full quasar-active growth history of a SMBH. Adelberger (2004) proposed to constrain the radiative history of quasar activities through the transverse proximity effect around a quasar using Ly\(\alpha\) forest absorption along background galaxies (see also Visbal & Croft 2008; Schmidt et al. 2019). The IGM Ly\(\alpha\) forest tomography (Lee et al. 2014a,b, 2018; Newman et al. 2020; Ravoux et al. 2020) makes it possible to map the impact of quasar’s light echoes on the ionization state of the IGM (Schmidt et al. 2019; Mukea et al. 2020a,b), enabling us to probe the lightcurve on timescales of \( 10^6 \) to \( 10^8 \, \text{yr} \) because of the large Mpc-scale separation between the IGM and the central SMBH.

The method of mapping the quasar light echoes using the deep spectra of background galaxies via Ly\(\alpha\) forest tomography is observationally expensive and time consuming, requiring a dedicated spectroscopic follow-up campaign for each quasar field. Bosman et al. (2020) serendipitously found a Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission in the narrowband (NB) filter along a background galaxy of \( z \approx 5.8 \) quasar. This suggests that the NB photometric search of the enhanced Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission around a quasar may be possible. Similarly, Mawatari et al. (2017) have utilised the NB filter to search for the large-scale excess Ly\(\alpha\) forest absorption in the \( z \approx 3 \) protocluster region along the known background galaxies. If the NB photometric imaging is a viable alternative to spectroscopic Ly\(\alpha\) forest tomography, it pro-
vides an economical strategy to survey a wider and more target fields, capitalizing on higher throughput and wider field of view of imaging than spectroscopy, which allows us to potentially examine the quasar active growth history of SMBHs using a statistically representative sample.

In this paper, we examine the observational requirements and feasibility of this “photometric IGM tomography” to map the quasar’s ionizing light echoes in order to constrain the radiative growth history of a SMBH. We first introduce the concept and overall observing strategy in Section 2. Section 3 estimates the expected number of background sources. Section 4 examines the the scope of the photometric IGM tomographic technique using realistic mock observation and the reconstruction of 2D Lyα forest map based on a cosmological hydrodynamic simulation. Section 5 introduces a fully Bayesian inference framework and demonstrates the constraining power for the quasar lifetime. Section 6 discusses caveats, possible extension using Subaru/PFS, VLT/MOONS, and Keck/DEIMOS and other possible applications of photometric IGM tomography. The conclusions are presented in Section 7.

We adopt a ΛCDM cosmology with $H_0 = 67.7\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}\,\text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.693$, and $\Omega_M = 0.307$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016). We use the AB magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983). We denote proper Mpc as pMpc (1 pMpc corresponds to the light crossing time of 3.26 Myr) and comoving Mpc as cMpc.

2 CONCEPTS

2.1 Quasar light echoes: the accretion history of a SMBH

We first illustrate how IGM tomography can be used to recover the quasar light curve. The IGM at physical transverse and line-of-sight distance $r_\perp$ and $r_\parallel$ away from a quasar will be photoionized by the intense ionizing radiation emitted from the accretion disk of the central SMBH with the photoionization rate,

$$\Gamma_{\text{HI}}^{\text{QSO}}(r_\parallel, r_\perp) = \frac{a_Q c \sigma_{012} N_{\text{ion}}^{\text{QSO}}[-\Delta t(r_\parallel, r_\perp)]}{4 \pi (r_\parallel^2 + r_\perp^2)} ,$$

where $N_{\text{ion}}^{\text{QSO}}(t) = L_{\text{ion}}^{\text{QSO}}(t)/(h\nu_L)$ ($L_{\text{ion}}^{\text{QSO}}$ is the quasar luminosity at 912 Å) is the ionizing photon production rate of the quasar emitted at time $t$, $a_Q$ is the power-law index $\propto \nu^{-a_Q}$ at $h\nu > 3.6\,\text{eV}$, and $\sigma_{012}$ is the photoionization cross section evaluated at the Lyman edge ($h\nu_L \approx 13.6\,\text{eV}$). Here we define $t = 0$ to be the cosmic time corresponding to when the radiation emitted by the quasar arrives on Earth (i.e., time at the quasar’s redshift) and $t = -\Delta t$ means $\Delta t$ time in past relative to the time at the quasar’s redshift. In Figure 1 we show an example lightcurve of the quasar ionizing luminosity using a phenomenological stochastic model employed in Bosman et al. (2020).

This effect of quasar photoionization of the IGM can be traced by the Lyα forest absorption along background galaxies. Because the time of a photon to travel from quasar to a point in the IGM at $r_\parallel$ and $r_\perp$ is finite, each position of the IGM is influenced by the quasar activity at different time in past with a time lag,

$$\Delta t = \frac{(r_\parallel^2 + r_\perp^2)^{1/2} - r_\parallel}{c} .$$

This defines the paraboloid surface of constant time lag (Adelberger 2004) as illustrated in Figure 2. For a NB redshift slice centred at the quasar redshift, we can approximately set $r_\parallel \approx 0$. The transverse distance from the quasar thus directly translates into the time of past quasar activity at $\Delta t \approx r_\perp/c$. As the bandwidth of the NB filter integrates a large segment of the IGM averaging over gas density fluctuations, we expect that the NB-integrated Lyα forest transmission $\langle \exp(-\tau_{\alpha}(r_\perp)) \rangle_{\text{NB}}$ follows as

$$\langle \exp(-\tau_{\alpha}(r_\perp)) \rangle_{\text{NB}} = \int_{r_\perp}^{r_{\perp}^2 + L_{\text{NB}}^2} \langle \exp(-\tau_{\alpha}(r_\parallel, r_\perp)) \rangle \, r \, dr / L_{\text{NB}} \sqrt{r^2 - r_\parallel^2} ,$$

where $r = \sqrt{r_\parallel^2 + r_\perp^2}$ is the radial distance from the quasar to a point in the IGM, $L_{\text{NB}} = \sqrt{c \Delta \lambda_{\text{Ly}}} / h \Lambda_{\text{Ly}}$ is a half of the IGM length averaged over the NB filter width with the full width at half maximum $d\Delta L_{\text{NB}}$ and the central wavelength $\Lambda_{\text{Ly}}$ corresponding to Lyα redshift $z_{\alpha}$, and $\langle \exp(-\tau_{\alpha}(r_\parallel, r_\perp)) \rangle$ is the 3D mean Lyα forest transmission around a quasar (Kakiichi et al. 2018; Bosman et al. 2020).

$$\langle \exp(-\tau_{\alpha}(r_\parallel, r_\perp)) \rangle \approx \int d\Delta \rho P_V(\Delta \rho) \exp \left[ -\tau_{\alpha}(\rho_{\perp}, r_\perp) \frac{\Gamma_{\text{HI}}^{\text{QSO}}(r_\parallel, r_\perp)}{\Gamma_{\text{HI}}} \right] ,$$

where $\beta = 2 - 0.72(\gamma - 1)$ with $\gamma$ being the slope of temperature-density relation $T = T_0 \Delta^\gamma_{\rho}$, $P_V(\Delta \rho)$ is the density probability distribution function of the IGM overdensities $\Delta \rho$, $T_0 \approx 2.2(1 + \chi_{\text{He}})(\Gamma_{\text{HI}}/10^{12}\,\text{s}^{-1})^{-1}(7/10^4\,\text{K})^{-0.72} [(1 + z)/5]^{-2}$ is the Gunn-Peterson optical depth of Lyα forest at mean density and mean photoionization rate $\Gamma_{\text{HI}}$, and $\chi_{\text{He}}$ is the fraction of electrons released by singly ionized helium ($\chi_{\text{He}} \approx 0.0789$).

Figure 1 shows the NB-integrated Lyα forest transmission around...
Figure 2. (Left): Schematic illustration of the observing strategy for NB IGM tomography. We use a pair of NB filters. The red NB filter is used to identify the background galaxies using the NB excess due to the Ly$\alpha$ emission line (i.e. LAEs) and the blue NB filter is used to measure the transmitted Ly$\alpha$ forest fluxes around the foreground quasar towards the background galaxies. The time delay surfaces at $\Delta t$ are shown by the paraboloids. (Right): Illustration of how a pair of NB filters of Subaru/HSC covers the foreground Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission at $z \approx 4.4$ (via NB656) and the Ly$\alpha$ emission line (via NB718) of a background LAE at $z \approx 4.9$ (black solid, based on Shapley et al. (2003) galaxy spectrum). An example foreground quasar spectrum at $z \approx 4.4$ is indicated by the blue solid line (based on a SDSS DR16 quasar spectrum from Lyke et al. 2020). The throughputs of BB filters are indicated by the coloured dashed lines. The UV continuum of a background LAE is measured by the $z$-band filter.

a quasar as a function of impact parameter $r_\perp$ and the corresponding quasar lightcurve for a $z \approx 4.4$ quasar with the UV magnitude $M_{1450} = -28.0$, assuming the NB filter width of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{NB}} = 100$ $\AA$ ($L_{\text{NB}} \approx 4.8$ pMpc). The figure demonstrates the correlation between the lightcurve and the NB-integrated Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission around a quasar. The observed Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission profile is the coarse-grained version of the underlying quasar lightcurve smoothed over the scale of the NB filter width and the spatial sampling of the background galaxies. The horizontal errorbars represent an example mean separation between background galaxies, which determines the spatial resolution of the IGM tomography and the temporal resolution of the reconstructed quasar lightcurve. The direct correspondence between impact parameter $r_\perp$ and the time delay $\Delta t = r_\perp/c$ allows us to translate the measurement of the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission at various impact parameters into the lightcurve constraint. The rise of quasar activity from $\Delta t \approx -20$ Myr is seen in the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission profile at $r_\perp \lesssim 6$ pMpc. As well, the quasar burst at $\Delta t \approx -35$ Myr is seen as an extended tail in the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission profile as an excess transmission at $r_\perp \approx 11$ pMpc.

This makes it possible to measure the impact of a variable quasar lightcurve on the IGM using the Ly$\alpha$ forest tomography along background galaxies. As the time sampling reflects the travel time between two points in space, by spatially mapping this ‘light-echo’, one can translate the Mpc-scale spatial information of the IGM into a Myr-timescale time-domain constraint on the quasar lightcurve of an individual SMBH over the baseline of $\sim$ 60 Myr. This provides an observational tool to measure the growth history of a SMBH over the timescale (one e-folding $t_{\text{sal}} = 45$ Myr) required to assemble a substantial fraction of its mass.

2.2 Double narrow-band IGM tomography

To implement the IGM tomography of quasar light-echoes using photometry, we can use a pair of NB filters to map the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission around an individual quasar, which we refer to as the ‘double NB technique’. The experimental configuration is illustrated in Figure 2. In this technique, we select a pair of NB filters: (i) a blue filter corresponds to the redshift of the foreground quasar and (ii) a red filter corresponds to the redshift of the background sources. We first identify the background Ly$\alpha$ emitters (LAEs) using the standard NB technique (e.g. Ono et al. 2021) to be used as background sources for IGM tomography. Along these background LAEs, deep exposures in the foreground blue NB filter measures the transmitted Ly$\alpha$ forest flux within the NB filter width. Broad-band (BB) imaging will be used to measure the UV continuum level of the background LAEs. The flux ratio (or magnitude difference) between the inferred Ly$\alpha$ forest flux and the observed flux within the foreground NB filter provides a measure of the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission at the redshift slice of the foreground quasar.

This double NB technique for IGM tomography provides a couple of advantages over the conventional full spectroscopic tomographic method to quantify the transmission through the sightlines of the background galaxies. First, the double NB method circumvents the need for an expensive spectroscopic follow-up campaign: both to spectroscopically confirm the background galaxy candidates selected by pre-imaging and to obtain the deep spectroscopic data to detect the UV continua and faint Ly$\alpha$ forest transmissions along the background galaxies as required for Ly$\alpha$ forest tomography in the traditional method. Second, imaging can typically go deeper relative to spectroscopy due to the higher throughput. HSC NB filters have the end-to-end throughput of $\sim 60\%$ whereas even the most sensitive multislit spectrographs have throughput below 25\%. The photometric method therefore can be more sensitive to faint Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission along the background galaxies. Although the reconstructed Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission map is 2D for the NB tomographic method and the line-of-sight information is averaged over the width of NB filter, because of the larger field-of-view of wide-field imagers compared to those typical of wide-field multi-object spectrographs, NB IGM tomography can outperform the spectroscopic method by surveying much larger area of sky in a single pointing.

2.3 Filter set

To study quasar light echoes with the double NB IGM tomography, the foreground NB filter needs to cover the rest-frame wavelength of Ly$\alpha$ line of the quasar at redshift $z_Q$. For convenience, we assume the central wavelength of the foreground NB filter coincides with the quasar’s Ly$\alpha$ redshift $\Delta \lambda_{\text{NB}} = \lambda_{\text{ly}} (1 + z_Q)$. Then, to measure the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission around the quasar, the Ly$\alpha$ forest range between Ly$\alpha$ and Ly$\beta$ lines of the background galaxies should be...
Table 1. Possible HSC NB filter combinations for double NB photometric Lyα forest tomographic technique. The checkmark indicates a suitable filter combination so that the Lyα redshift of foreground NB filter (rows) matches with the Lyα forest region of the background LAEs located by the background NB filter (columns).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fg. filter</th>
<th>bg. filter</th>
<th>NB391</th>
<th>NB395</th>
<th>NB400</th>
<th>NB430</th>
<th>NB468</th>
<th>NB497</th>
<th>NB506</th>
<th>NB515</th>
<th>NB527</th>
<th>NB656</th>
<th>NB718</th>
<th>NB816</th>
<th>NB921</th>
<th>NB926</th>
<th>NB973</th>
<th>NB1010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB387</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB391</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB395</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB400</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB430</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB468</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB497</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB506</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB515</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB527</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB656</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB718</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB816</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB921</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB926</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB973</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We highlight the interesting filter pair combinations in Figure 3. Particularly interesting combinations are:

For \( z \sim 2 \),

\[
\text{foreground NB filters} = \begin{cases} 
\text{NB387} \\
\text{NB391} \\
\text{NB395} \\
\text{NB400}
\end{cases} \quad (z = 2.18 - 2.29)
\]

AND

\[
\text{background NB filter} = \text{NB430} \quad (z = 2.54).
\]

For \( z \sim 3 \),

\[
\text{foreground NB filter set} = \begin{cases} 
\text{NB497} \\
\text{NB506} \\
\text{NB515}
\end{cases} \quad (z = 3.09 - 3.24)
\]

AND

\[
\text{background NB filter} = \text{NB527} \quad (z = 3.33).
\]

For \( z \sim 4 \),

\[
\text{foreground NB filter} = \text{NB656} \quad (z = 4.4)
\]

AND

\[
\text{background NB filter} = \text{NB718} \quad (z = 4.9).
\]

For \( z \sim 5 \),

\[
\text{foreground NB filter} = \text{NB816} \quad (z = 5.7).
\]

For \( z \sim 6 \),

\[
\text{foreground NB filter} = \text{NB816} \quad (z = 5.7).
\]
AND background NB filters = \{NB921, NB926\} (z ≈ 6.6).

For z ~ 2 and z ~ 3 filter combinations, the densely populated HSC NB filters make it possible to perform a pseudo-3D photometric IGM tomography coarsely sampled along the line of sight direction averaged over ~ 35h⁻¹Mpc, corresponding to a typical ~ 100h⁻¹Mpc width across the entire 1.5 deg field of view in diameter (~ 100h⁻¹Mpc). At z ~ 4 – 6, while the mapping is limited to 2D, the imaging’s higher sensitivity to fainter Lyα than that achievable spectroscopically with 8-10m class telescopes. This in turn determines the IGM tomography at higher redshifts than that achievable spectroscopically with 8-10m class telescopes. As the surface number density of background galaxies defines the spatial resolution of the IGM tomographic map, the availability of two background NB filters, NB921 and NB926, for z ≈ 5.7 NB816 tomography allows us to increase the density of background LAEs. This effective increase in the survey volume of background LAEs may be more efficient than going deeper with a single filter, especially at the redshift where the number density of observable LAEs is rapidly diminishing due to the effect of reionization. At z > 6.6, while the filter combination (e.g. NB921 for foreground NB filter and NB973 for background NB filter) permits attempting even higher-redshift IGM tomography, the scarcity of LAEs at z > 7 would make it impractical for LAEs to be used as background sources. One might require alternative selection of background sources such as using the Hβ + [O II] systems selected by JWST NIRCam grism spectroscopy to make IGM tomography in the reionization era possible.

3 BACKGROUND SOURCES

3.1 Requirement for background sources

The spatial resolution of IGM tomography is determined by the number density of background sources. This in turn determines the required survey depth for the IGM tomography at a desired spatial resolution. In double NB background tomography, the background sources need to be LAEs with bright UV continua so that the ratio between the transmitted Lyα forest fluxs and inferred continua, i.e. Lyα forest transmission, can be measured from the foreground NB filter while the mapping is limited to a LAE with Lyα emission allows us to examine the IGM tomography at higher redshifts than that achievable spectroscopically with 8-10m class telescopes. This in turn determines the IGM tomography at higher redshifts than that achievable spectroscopically with 8-10m class telescopes. As the surface number density of background galaxies defines the spatial resolution of the IGM tomographic map, the availability of two background NB filters, NB921 and NB926, for z ≈ 5.7 NB816 tomography allows us to increase the density of background LAEs. This effective increase in the survey volume of background LAEs may be more efficient than going deeper with a single filter, especially at the redshift where the number density of observable LAEs is rapidly diminishing due to the effect of reionization. At z > 6.6, while the filter combination (e.g. NB921 for foreground NB filter and NB973 for background NB filter) permits attempting even higher-redshift IGM tomography, the scarcity of LAEs at z > 7 would make it impractical for LAEs to be used as background sources. One might require alternative selection of background sources such as using the Hβ + [O II] systems selected by JWST NIRCam grism spectroscopy to make IGM tomography in the reionization era possible.

3.2 Model and observations

Observations indicate that LAEs are a subset of star-forming galaxies with young ages, low stellar mass, and little dust (e.g. Ouchi et al. 2020, for recent review). Following the Dijkstra & Wyithe (2012), we construct an empirical model matched to the LAE and LBG luminosity functions across z ~ 2 – 6. The central model quantity is the probability of a galaxy showing a Lyα emission line with a rest-frame equivalent width (REW) at a given UV magnitude $M_{UV}$ (hereafter the REW-PDF), which can be modelled as

$$P(\text{REW}|M_{UV}) = \mathcal{F} \exp\left(-\frac{\text{REW}}{\text{REW}_{\text{cut}}(M_{UV})}\right),$$

where $\text{REW}_{\text{cut}} = 23 + 7(M_{UV} + 21.9) + 6(z - 4)$ according to the best-fit model of Dijkstra & Wyithe (2012). The pre-factor $\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = 0.5(z/5.7)^{\frac{1}{5}} \exp\left[\frac{\text{REW}_{\text{min}}}{\text{REW}_{\text{cut}}(M_{UV})} - \exp\left(\frac{\text{REW}_{\text{min}}}{\text{REW}_{\text{cut}}(M_{UV})}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

where $\text{REW}_{\text{min}} = -20 + 6(M_{UV} + 21.5)\lambda \text{Å} \lambda < 21.5 \lambda \mu \text{m} \leq 19.0, \text{REW}_{\text{min}} = 20.0 \lambda \mu \text{m} \lambda > 21.5, \text{REW}_{\text{min}} = 17.5 \lambda \mu \text{m} \lambda > 19.0$. Since not all galaxies show Lyα emission, we define the REW-PDF to be normalized to the total fraction of galaxies with a UV magnitude $M_{UV}$ showing Lyα line in emission, i.e.

$$\int P(\text{REW}|M_{UV}) d\text{REW} = 0.5(z/5.7)$$

where the numerical factor is a model parameter empirically chosen to match the observations below.

In this model, the Lyα luminosity function of LAEs can be expressed in terms of the REW-PDF and the UV luminosity function of star-forming galaxies $d^n/dM_{UV}$ (for which we use the Bouwens et al. 2021 best-fit Schechter functions at z ~ 2 – 10).

$$\frac{d^n_{\text{LAE}}}{dL_{\alpha}} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{0} P_{\text{obs}}(L_{\alpha}|M_{UV}) d^n_{dM_{UV}}}{dM_{UV}},$$

where $P_{\text{obs}}(L_{\alpha}|M_{UV})$ is the probability of an object with an UV magnitude $M_{UV}$ to be observed as a LAE with Lyα luminosity $L_{\alpha}$, which is given by

$$P_{\text{obs}}(L_{\alpha}|M_{UV}) = \Theta(\text{REW} - \text{REW}_{\text{cut}}) P(\text{REW}|M_{UV}) \left| \frac{d\text{REW}}{dL_{\alpha}} \right|$$

and $\left| \frac{d\text{REW}}{dL_{\alpha}} \right| = \frac{\alpha_{\lambda}}{\nu_{\lambda}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{1600}}{\lambda_{\lambda}} \right)^{\beta + 2} L_{\lambda,1600} \lambda_{1600}$ with $L_{\lambda,1600}$ being the specific UV luminosity at $\lambda_{1600} = 1600 \lambda  \mu \text{m}$ and $\lambda_{\lambda}$ and $\lambda_{\alpha}$ being the rest-frame frequency and wavelength of Lyα line. We assume the UV continuum slope to be $\beta = -1.8$. The effect of NB selection (i.e. REW > REW_{\text{cut}} = 25 \lambda \mu \text{m}) is included with the the heaviside step function $\Theta(\text{REW} - \text{REW}_{\text{cut}})$.

The Lyα fraction $X_{\alpha}(> \text{REW}|M_{UV}^{\text{min}}, M_{UV}^{\text{max}})$ of UV-continuum selected galaxies in a UV magnitudes bin $M_{UV}^{\text{min}} < M_{UV} < M_{UV}^{\text{max}}$ can similarly be expressed in terms of the REW-PDF and UV luminosity function,

$$X_{\alpha}(> \text{REW}|M_{UV}^{\text{min}}, M_{UV}^{\text{max}}) = \frac{1}{n_{\text{UV}}} \int_{M_{UV}^{\text{min}}}^{M_{UV}^{\text{max}}} \int_{\text{REW}}^{\infty} d\text{REW} P(\text{REW}|M_{UV}) d^n_{dM_{UV}}.$$

where $n_{\text{UV}} = \int_{M_{UV}^{\text{min}}}^{M_{UV}^{\text{max}}} d^n_{dM_{UV}}$ is the number density of galaxies with the UV magnitude interval.

We can also express the UV luminosity function of LAEs with Lyα equivalent width (REW > REW_{\text{cut}} = 25 \lambda \mu \text{m}) as

$$\frac{d^n_{\text{LAE}}}{dM_{UV}} = \int_{\text{REW}_{\text{cut}}}^{\infty} P(\text{REW}|M_{UV}) d\text{REW} \times \frac{dn}{dM_{UV}}.$$

In Figure 4, we show the comparison of the empirical model with observations. It confirms that the empirical model agrees well with the measurements of Lyα luminosity functions of LAEs, Lyα fraction of UV-continuum selected galaxies, and the UV luminosity function $^2$ Dijkstra & Wyithe (2012) choose a constant numerical factor 0.44 instead of 0.5(z/5.7). This revision was necessary to better match with the updated measurement of Lyα fraction of LGWs.
of LAEs, justifying the use of the empirical model to estimate a realistic expected number density of background galaxies that satisfy the requirement of the UV and Ly\(\alpha\) luminosities for the NB IGM tomography. At the bright-end of the Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity function (\(L_\alpha \gtrsim 10^{33}\) erg s\(^{-1}\)) and UV luminosity function (\(L_{\text{UV}} \lesssim 21\)) of LAEs, the model appears to deviate from the observations. This is however likely due to AGN contamination to the luminosity functions at the bright-end (Konno et al. 2016; Ono et al. 2018; Bowler et al. 2021). Thus, we do not consider this apparent mismatch to be an obvious shortcoming for estimating the background LAE density. For IGM tomography, any type of background sources (AGN and galaxies) is sufficient. Since the observations indicate a higher density of bright LAEs, the empirical model should give a conservative lower limit for the background LAE density.

### 3.3 Background source counts

In order to use LAEs as background sources for IGM tomography, we require them to also be detected in the UV continuum filter. Thus, the relevant quantity is the surface number density of background LAEs with the UV magnitudes \(< M_{\text{UV}}^\text{lim} \rangle\), which is the integral over the UV luminosity function of LAEs,

\[
\Sigma_{\text{LAЕ}}(< M_{\text{UV}}^\text{lim}) = \int_{z_{\text{min}}}^{z_{\text{max}}} \frac{dP}{dz} \text{d}z (1+z)^{3} \int_{-\infty}^{M_{\text{UV}}^\text{lim}} \frac{dM_{\text{LAЕ}}}{dM_{\text{UV}}} \text{d}M_{\text{UV}},
\]

where \(dP/dz = c/\Omega(z)(1+z)\), and \(z_{\text{min}} \text{ and } z_{\text{max}}\) are defined from the FWHM of NB filter transmission curve of the background filter. The corresponding apparent UV magnitude is assumed to be \(m_{\text{UV}} = M_{\text{UV}} + 5 \log_{10}(d_L(z)/10\text{ pc}) - 2.5 \log_{10}(1+z)\). Note that the sources of interest are selected via their NB excess (i.e. REW > 25 Å, \(m_{\text{UV}} - m_{\text{NB}} > 0.26\)), which sets the required NB depth for a chosen \(m_{\text{UV}}^\text{lim}\) at a given redshift.

Figure 5 shows the surface number density of background LAEs as a function of their apparent UV magnitudes assuming NB selection with REW > 25 Å. As the background LAE surface number density ultimately sets the angular resolution of the IGM tomographic map, it is useful to compute a fitting formula; for \(z = 4.9\) background LAEs, we find

\[
<R_{L_{\alpha}} > \approx \Sigma_{\text{LAЕ}}^{-1/2} \approx 1.63 \times 10^{1[(m_{\text{UV}}/26.66)^{-0.52} - 1]} \text{pMpc}.
\]

This gives the typical spatial tomographic resolution of \(R_{L_{\alpha}} \approx 1.9, 3.0, 5.5\) pMpc for \(m_{\text{UV}} = 26.5, 26.0, 25.5\) mag respectively. The fitting formula for other background LAEs selected via different NB filters are shown in Table 2, which are accurate to \(\sim 10\)% over the apparent UV magnitude range of \(23.5 < m_{\text{UV}} < 28.0\).

This directly translates to the time resolution for the quasar light-echoes,

\[
\Delta t = \frac{<R_{L_{\alpha}} >}{c} \approx 5.32 \times 10^{1[(m_{\text{UV}}/26.66)^{-0.52} - 1]} \text{Myr}.
\]

For an approximately \(\sim 1 – 10\) Myr time resolution, we require UV continuum depth of roughly \(m_{\text{UV}} \approx 25 – 26.5\) mag to map out the quasar light echoes with NB IGM tomography using LAEs as background sources. Thus, the observational requirement for the BB imaging depth covering the UV-continuum of the background LAEs is set by the desired spatial/temporal sampling which relates to the underlying transverse proximity effect/lightcurve structure that experiment can resolve (see Figure 1) as well as the overall extent of the detectable light echo signal.

### 3.4 Figure-of-merit: narrow-band vs spectroscopic tomography

It is interesting to compare the pros and cons of narrow-band and spectroscopic tomographic techniques. We use the mean transverse resolution of background galaxies and the field-of-view as a figure-of-merit. Exact comparison of the two techniques per telescope time is difficult because the instruments (e.g. Subaru/HSC, Keck/DEIMOS or LRIS, Magellan/IMACS) suitable for the two techniques are typically installed on different telescopes. In addition, practical considerations such as mask design and the number of available slits imply that a real-world comparison would need to be instrument specific. For a rough estimate, we assume that for a given amount of telescope time

---

**Figure 4.** (Left): Comparison between the model Ly\(\alpha\) luminosity functions of LAEs (coloured lines) and observations at \(z = 2.2\) (blue), 3.1 (green), 3.7 (yellow), and 5.7 (red) (Ouchi et al. 2008; Konno et al. 2016, 2018). The open symbols indicate the luminosity bins likely contaminated by AGN reported by Konno et al. (2018). (Middle): Comparison between the model Ly\(\alpha\) fractions of UV-continuum selected galaxies (model: UV-bright \(-21.75 < M_{\text{UV}} < -20.25\) (red) and UV-faint \(-21.75 < M_{\text{UV}} < -20.25\) (blue)) and observations (red and blue circles for UV-bright and -faint samples: Stark et al. 2010, 2011; Mallery et al. 2012; Curtis-Lake et al. 2012; Cassata et al. 2015; De Barros et al. 2017; Arrabal Haro et al. 2018; Kusakabe et al. 2020). (Right): Comparison between the model UV luminosity functions of LAEs (solid) and observations at \(z = 2.2\) (blue), 3.1 (green), 3.7 (yellow), 4.8 (salmon), and 5.7 (red) (circles, Ouchi et al. 2008; squares, Santos et al. 2021).

**Table 2.** The best-fit values for the fitting formula \(<R_{L_{\alpha}} > = A \times 10^{1[(m_{\text{UV}}/26.66)^{-0.52} - 1]}\) for various background LAEs. The results are accurate to within \(\sim 10\)%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>(A) [pMpc]</th>
<th>(m_{\text{UV}}) [mag]</th>
<th>(\gamma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB430</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>26.58</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB527</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>-7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB718</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>26.66</td>
<td>-9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB816</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>26.53</td>
<td>-10.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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an imaging survey can reach roughly 1 mag deeper in the continuum than spectroscopy. Lee et al. (2014a); Schmidt et al. (2019) argue that the limiting UV magnitude for spectroscopic tomography achievable with the current 8-10m class telescope is about the apparent magnitude of $m_{UV} \sim 25.0$ after ~ 5 hours exposure. With a similar exposure time, Subaru/HSC imaging can typically reach the limiting magnitude of ~ 26.0 in a broad band (Aihara et al. 2021). For a field-of-view (FoV) of a single pointing of narrow-band and spectroscopic tomographic survey, we assume that we use Subaru/HSC for NB tomography with a FoV of 1.76 deg$^2$ and Keck/DEIMOS for spectroscopic tomography with a FoV of 0.0178 deg$^2$ ($\approx 4 \times 16$ arcmin$^2$).

For spectroscopic tomography, the surface number density of the background galaxies is

$$\Sigma_{\text{LAE}}(z) = \Sigma_{\text{LAE}}^{m_{\text{lim}}(\lambda)} \frac{dM_p}{dz} \left(1 + z\right)^3 \int_{z_{\text{min}}}^{z_{\text{max}}} \frac{dM_{\text{UV}}}{dM_{\text{UV}}} dM_{\text{UV}},$$  

where $z_{\text{max}} = (\lambda_{\alpha}/1040 \text{ Å})(1+z_{Q})$ and $z_{\text{min}} = (\lambda_{\alpha}/1180 \text{ Å})(1+z_{Q})$. The redshift range such that the Ly$\alpha$ forest region of a background LBG can probe the Ly$\alpha$ absorption at the quasar redshift $z_{Q}$ (Lee et al. 2014a; Schmidt et al. 2019). This provides a much larger line-of-sight volume for background galaxies than NB tomography. In addition, if we assume that spectroscopic redshift can be determined by the Lyman break feature, spectroscopic tomography can provide a higher surface density for background galaxies than the NB counterpart. The NB selection is limited within the NB filter width, meaning that while the photometric background sources can be fainter, this is balanced out by a smaller search volume for the background sources. We compare the spatial resolutions and FoV of narrow-band and spectroscopic tomography at various redshifts for a single pointing in Figure 6. Indeed, at $z \sim 2$ spectroscopic tomography can typically achieve a higher spatial resolution than the NB tomography because a larger line-of-sight volume is available to locate suitable background galaxies. At higher redshifts $z \sim 4$, the NB tomography can provide a comparable surface number density, i.e. spatial resolution, of background galaxies to the spectroscopic tomography. This is because at higher redshifts, the increasing fraction of star-forming galaxies shows Ly$\alpha$ emission as they become younger and dust-free at higher redshifts. At $z \sim 2$ only a small fraction ($X_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \sim 10$ – 20%) of star-forming galaxies shows strong (REW > 25 Å) Ly$\alpha$ emission. This fraction increases to $X_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \sim 40\%$ from $z \sim 2$ to 6, making the NB tomographic technique an valuable approach over spectroscopic method at higher redshifts.

One major advantage of NB tomography is the large increase in the field of view. Compared to existing spectroscopic tomographic surveys, CLAMATO (Lee et al. 2018) and LATIS (Newman et al. 2020), NB tomography can achieve a comparable sky coverage to the multi-pointing spectroscopic tomography with a single pointing. This makes it particularly suitable to search for coherent fluctuations in Ly$\alpha$ forest absorption, e.g. by protoclusters or quasar light echoes, in a single redshift slice. NB tomography provides an efficient means to survey a large field of view and identify interesting large-scale structures in the IGM. Furthermore, as the imaging data for the NB tomographic survey naturally allows us to select background galaxies using a dropout technique, a spectroscopic follow-up campaign can boost the number of background galaxies as well as to spectroscopically detect the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission. This enables us to potentially examine the IGM structure at improved spatial resolution and in 3D in detail. For example, NB tomography with a depth of 25 mag for the background UV continuum galaxies will provide a dropout sample suitable for spectroscopic tomography.

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** The expected surface densities of LAEs identified via NB430 (red, $z = 2.5$), NB527 (green, $z = 3.3$), NB718 (yellow, $z = 4.9$), NB816 (red, $z = 5.7$) filters as a function of the limiting UV magnitudes. The right y-axis indicates the corresponding mean spatial separation of the background LAEs, $\langle R_{\perp} \rangle = \Sigma_{\text{LAE}}^{-1/2}$.
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**Figure 6.** Figure of merit of narrow-band and spectroscopic IGM tomography. Each line represents the field-of-view and transverse resolution of a IGM tomography at a given apparent UV magnitude depth for various mean redshift of the background galaxies. A tomographic survey at the upper left corner has a larger field-of-view and high spatial resolution. The diagonal lines indicate the expected number of background galaxies within a field-of-view of a survey. In order for a survey to have a sensible number of background galaxies, it needs to lie at the upper left side of the solid diagonal line (> 1 background galaxy per field of view). The figure illustrates the NB tomography has an advantage of covering a large field-of-view with a modest spatial resolution especially at a higher redshift whereas the spectroscopic tomography is suited to obtain high spatial resolution map in a small portion of the sky.

### 4 PHOTOMETRIC LIGHT-ECHO TOMOGRAPHY

Our estimate for the surface number density of background LAEs set the general requirements for the photometric IGM tomography to map quasar light echoes given a desired spatial/temporal resolu-
tion. In this section, we use cosmological hydrodynamic simulations and a simple quasar emission model to construct mock observations which treat the various sources of noise in the measurement including photometric errors, systematic error in the background galaxy SED template, the Poisson fluctuations in the number of background galaxies, and cosmic variance resulting from the fluctuations in the IGM.

4.1 Cosmological hydrodynamic simulation

We use a cosmological hydrodynamic simulation performed with the Eulerian code NvX (Almgren et al. 2013; Lukić et al. 2015). The simulation was performed in a large box of $100h^{-1}\text{cMpc}$. This is sufficient to cover a major portion of HSC’s 1.78 deg$^2$ FoV corresponding to FoV$^{1/2} \approx 90 - 130h^{-1}\text{cMpc}$ at $z \sim 2 - 6$. The hydrodynamics is computed on a fixed grid of 4096$^3$ resolution elements and the same number of the dark matter particles, corresponding to the uniform spatial resolution of 24 $h^{-1}\text{ckpc}$. This provides numerically converged Ly$\alpha$ forest statistics at the one percent level across the simulation domain suitable for examining the IGM properties in detail (Lukić et al. 2015). The simulation assumes a homogeneous optically thin UV background from Haardt & Madau (2012).

Ly$\alpha$ optical depths are computed according to Schmidt et al. (2018, 2019) using the simulated density, velocity and temperature along the skewers. The mean photoionization rate is rescaled to match the observed effective Ly$\alpha$ optical depth $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 0.00126 \times e^{1.294\ell^2}$ (Otorbe et al. 2017), but the thermal structure is kept unchanged from the original simulation output.

We include the photoionization by a foreground quasar. We assume a quasar emits isotropically and is located in a dark matter halo with mass $\geq 10^{12} M_\odot$ (Shen et al. 2009; White et al. 2012). As the simulation box is periodic, we recenter the simulation box at the position of the quasar for our convenience without loss of generality. We assume the absolute UV magnitude of $M_{1450} = -28.0$ for the quasar and use the Lusso et al. (2015) quasar template for the spectral energy distribution with EUV slope $\alpha = -1.7$ beyond 912 Å. The photoionization rate source by the quasar for radiation emitted at time $t$ is

$$f_{\text{HI}}^{QSO} = \int_{\nu_{\text{HI}}}^{\nu_{\text{QSO}}} \frac{\sigma_{\text{HI}}}{4\pi R^2} \frac{L_{\nu}}{4\pi R^2} e^{-R/\lambda_{\text{mfp}}} d\nu$$

where $L_{\nu}(t)$ is the specific luminosity of the quasar lightcurve, $R$ is the proper 3D distance from the quasar, $\lambda_{\text{mfp}}$ is the mean free path of the ionizing photons, and $\sigma_{\text{HI}} \equiv (v/\nu_{\text{HI}})^{-2}$ is the HI photoionization cross-section. As we work in the optically thin limit and thus ignore self-shielding by Lyman limit systems, we assume the mean free path of infinite length $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = \infty$. Worswick et al. (2014) reports the measured value of the mean free path of $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = 22.2 \pm 2.3$ pMpc at $z = 4.56$ which is larger than the expected size of the quasar light echo and the HSC’s FoV. Thus, assuming $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = \infty$ is appropriate for the scales and redshifts of our interest.

In order to simulate the quasar light-echo effect, we need to set a model for quasar lightcurve. For simplicity we assume a lightbulb model with a quasar age of $t_{\text{age}}$. At each location of the IGM separated by proper transverse $R_\perp$ and line-of-sight $R_\parallel$ distance away from the quasar has quasar photoionization rate according to equation (3). In practice, a more accurate scheme is used including the effect of cosmic expansion used to simulate the quasar light-echoes according to Schmidt et al. (2019). Note that the lightbulb model is clearly an oversimplification of a more realistic variable quasar lightcurve, which may occur as a result of merger or feedback-regulated driven mechanism of gas feeding onto the circumnuclear region, and/or episodic super-Eddington accretion phases of the accretion disk. However, it provides a simple well-defined measure of the characteristic timescale for the quasar activity as $t_{\text{age}}$. Thus, this serves as a useful effective parameter to assess the observational requirements to constrain the quasar-active growth history of a SMBH from light-echo tomography.

4.2 Mock observations

We generate a mock photometric sample of background LAEs in the field of the foreground quasar to forward model a NB light-echo tomographic survey. In this procedure, we first randomly choose $N$ number of background LAEs assuming the Poisson distribution,

$$P(N|\bar{N}) = \frac{\bar{N}^N e^{-\bar{N}}}{N!},$$

where $\bar{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{M_{\text{lim}}} \times \text{FoV}$ is the mean number of background LAEs above the limiting UV magnitude of the survey. We use the empirical model for the surface number density of LAEs as discussed in Section 3. We then distribute the $N$ background LAEs at random transverse positions $\{r_\perp\}_{i=1,...,N}$ within the FoV assuming a uniform random distribution. Since the physical distance between the foreground quasar and background LAEs is large, we expect no spatial correlation with the location of foreground quasar. We ignore the effect of background LAE clustering, which may produce a clustered sampling of Ly$\alpha$ forest sightlines. While this leads to a different window function for IGM tomography, the effect should not significantly modify our result.

For each background LAE, we assume the intrinsic spectrum to follow a power-law SED $f_\nu = f_{1500}(\nu) (\nu/1500)^{-(\beta+2)}$ in the rest-frame wavelength range between 1026 Å and 2000 Å, which is characterised by the normalization $f_{1500}(\nu_{1500}, z) = 1500$ Å and the continuum slope $\beta$. This is a good approximation for a galaxy with little dust extinction whose UV continuum is dominated by the stellar continuum. The power-law spectrum is consistent with the results from stellar population synthesis models (e.g. BPASS, Eldridge et al. 2017) in the UV wavelength range. At a given redshift for the background LAEs, the UV magnitude of each galaxy is drawn randomly from the UV luminosity function of LAEs (equation 11) above the limiting magnitude of the survey. The value of the continuum slope $\beta$ is also randomly drawn assuming a Gaussian distribution of $\beta = -1.8$ and the standard deviation of $\sigma_\beta = 0.68$. These values are determined from the best-fit Gaussian to the distribution of the $\beta$ slopes measured from the Bouwens et al. (2014) sample at $z = 4 - 6$.

Along each transverse coordinate $r_\perp$ of a background LAE, we draw a skewer of Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission $e^{-\tau}$ along the line-of-sight using the cosmological hydrodynamic simulation. Using the intrinsic galaxy spectrum of the LAE and the simulated Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission, we compute the NB photometric flux of the background LAE, which measures the transmitted Ly$\alpha$ forest flux along the background LAEs at the redshift of the foreground quasar,

$$f_{\text{NB}} = \int \frac{e^{-\tau} f_{\text{NB}}(\nu) d\nu}{T_{\text{NB}}(\nu) d\nu} \approx T_{\text{IGM}} \frac{f_{\text{intr}}}{T_{\text{NB}}},$$

where

$$T_{\text{IGM}} = \int \frac{e^{-\tau} T_{\text{NB}}(\nu) d\nu}{T_{\text{NB}}(\nu) d\nu}$$

is the NB-averaged Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission and $T_{\text{NB}}(\nu)$ is the filter...
transmission curve of the foreground NB filter. We assume the central wavelength of the NB filter is exactly matched to the redshift of the foreground quasar. $f_{NB}^{intr}$ denotes the NB-integrated intrinsic flux $f_{NB}^{intr} = \int f_\nu T_{NB}(\nu)d\nu/\int T_{NB}(\nu)d\nu$. We use the realistic filter transmission curve for Subaru/HSC including CCD quantum efficiency and transmittance through the dewar window and the primary focus unit.

We add photometric noise to simulate the observed NB flux as

$$f_{NB}^{obs} = f_{NB} + \delta f_{NB},$$

where $\delta f_{NB}$ is the observational noise of the NB photometry. We assume random Gaussian (background-limited) noise for $\delta f_{NB}$ with the rms level $\sigma_{NB} = 10^{-3}(m_{lim}_{BB}+48.59)/2.5/SNR_{BB}$, which is determined by the limiting NB magnitude $m_{lim}^{BB}$ at a signal-to-noise ratio $SNR_{BB}$.

Similarly for each background LAE, we model the observed BB flux covering redward of Ly$\alpha$ emission as

$$f_{BB}^{obs} = f_{BB} + \delta f_{BB},$$

where $\delta f_{BB}$ is the BB noise at a limiting BB magnitude $m_{BB}$ with signal-to-noise ratio $SNR_{BB}$, which is computed using the same procedure as the NB filter.

This procedure gives a mock sample of $N$ background LAEs with observed BB and NB fluxes at random transverse positions. The simulated mock thus consists of $(f_{BB}^{obs}/f_{BB}, r_\perp, r_\parallel)_{i=1,\ldots,N}$ for each model of lifetime $t_Q$ and UV magnitude $M_{1450}$ of a quasar. This closely mimics the realistic photometric dataset from a NB tomographic survey targeting a quasar field.

### 4.3 Measurement

To estimate the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission from a photometric sample, we need to infer the intrinsic NB flux without the influence of Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission using the information from observed BB fluxes redward of Ly$\alpha$ line. When only a single BB filter is available, we assume a template galaxy (power-law) spectrum $f_\nu = \nu f_\nu^{temp} (\nu/\nu_{1500})^{-\beta_{temp}}$ with an assumed value of continuum slope $\beta_{temp}$. Since we do not know the priori the continuum slope of background LAEs, this need not be the same as our input $\beta$ slope used while generating the mock observation. We then fit the template spectrum to the observed BB flux to determine the normalisation $f_{1500}^{temp}$. Once the best-fit intrinsic galaxy spectrum is determined, we can estimate the intrinsic NB flux as

$$f_{NB}^{intr} = \int f_{1500}^{temp} T_{NB}(\nu)d\nu/\int T_{NB}(\nu)d\nu,$$

Thus the measured Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission $\hat{T}_{IGM}$ along each background LAE is given by the ratio between the observed and inferred intrinsic NB fluxes,

$$\hat{T}_{IGM} = f_{NB}^{obs}/f_{NB}^{intr}.$$

Note that this is a noisy estimate of the true underlying value of the Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission $T_{IGM}$, which we compute from the hydrodynamic simulation for our mock survey. This estimated $\hat{T}_{IGM}$ is is affected by the photometric noises in both NB and BB filters and the systematic error from the difference between the true intrinsic galaxy spectrum and the assumed template. In the following part of Section 4, we adopt this procedure for estimating $\hat{T}_{IGM}$, which is informative to examine the various sources of errors on the 2D tomographic map of a quasar light echo.

If multiple BB fluxes are available, one can better constrain the intrinsic galaxy spectrum by simultaneously fitting both for the normalisation and continuum slope. In fact, one can recast the whole procedure of estimating Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission as a single SED fitting procedure to simultaneously estimate Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission $T_{IGM}$ and UV continuum slope $\beta$, and the normalisation $f_{1500}^{temp}$. This provides a natural framework to propagate both observational photometric noise and systematic error in the assumed intrinsic galaxy spectrum to the final measurement of Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission. Indeed, as we will introduce in Section 5, our statistical inference framework to constrain the quasar lifetime from NB IGM tomography is based on this approach.

### 4.4 2D tomographic map reconstruction

The dense sample of background LAEs permits the reconstruction of the 2D map of the NB-averaged Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission around the foreground quasar. In order to create a 2D reconstructed map, we gaussian interpolate a set of estimated Ly$\alpha$ forest transmissions $\hat{T}_{IGM}$ measured at observed transverse coordinates $r_\perp, r_\parallel$ along the background LAEs $i = 1, \ldots, N$. More specifically, we use a simple non-parametric regression method called the Nadaraya-Watson estimator,

$$\hat{T}_{IGM}^{2D}(r_\perp) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{T}_{IGM,i} K_\sigma(r_\perp - r_{\perp,i})/\sum_{i=1}^{N} K_\sigma(r_\perp - r_{\perp,i})$$

where $K_\sigma(r_\perp - r_{\perp,i}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2}\exp[-(r_\perp - r_{\perp,i})^2/(2\sigma^2)]$ is the 2D Gaussian kernel with the smoothing length (standard deviation) of $\sigma$. We choose the smoothing length based on the expected typical sightline separation of background LAEs at a given limiting UV magnitude of a survey as the full-width-half-maximum, $\sigma = \Sigma_{LAE}^{-1/2}(m_{uv}^{lim})/(2\sqrt{\ln 2})$. More sophisticated methods such as Wiener filtering (Pichon et al. 2001; Caucci et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2014a,b), local polynomial estimator (Ciesielski et al. 2014), and optimisation techniques (Horowitz et al. 2019; Li et al. 2021) were examined and applied for the 3D reconstruction for spectroscopic tomographic maps in the past. Here we only use the 2D tomographic map for visualisation purpose, and do not use it for our statistical inference framework. Thus, the simple estimator suffices for the scope of this paper.

To demonstrate the reconstruction of 2D tomographic map from our mock survey, we assume a survey targeting LAEs background of a $z = 4.4$ quasar with a limiting BB and foreground NB magnitudes of $m_{lim}^{BB} = 26.0$ at $5\sigma$ and $m_{lim}^{NB} = 27.4$ at $3\sigma$. For $z = 4.4$ quasar light-echo tomography with Subaru/HSC, this corresponds to $r_2$ for BB and NB656 for foreground NB with the $z = 4.9$ background LAEs located by NB718. We also assume an isotropically emitting quasar model at $t_Q = 4.4$ with the lifetime of $t_{age} = 25.1$ Myr and UV magnitude $M_{1450} = -28.0$. This ultra-luminous quasar luminosity which can be selected from e.g. SDSS DR16 and/or Pan-STARRS quasar catalogues (Schindler et al. 2019; Lyke et al. 2020) is ideal for the light echo tomographic experiment because it is expected to cause the strongest enhancement in Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission and have the largest proximity zone (Schmidt et al. 2019). Figure 7 shows an example of the 2D reconstructed Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission map around the quasar from our mock survey. As it will be discussed in Section 5.3, this corresponds to approximately a total of 19.6 hours of exposure time for a minimal set of NB656, NB718, $r$, and $i$ imaging required for the NB IGM tomographic survey. It shows that the recon-
Figure 7. (Top panels) Example of the reconstructed 2D H I Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission map around a quasar with $t_{\text{age}} = 25.1$ Myr and $M_{1450} = -28.0$ at $z = 4.4$ via NB tomography with the limiting BB magnitude $m_{\text{BB}} = 26.0$ (5$\sigma$) and the limiting foreground NB magnitude $m_{\text{NB}} = 27.4$ (3$\sigma$). The left panel show the 2D reconstructed map with a smoothing length of 2 cMpc for noiseless photometry and a large number of background galaxies. The right panel show the 2D reconstructed map with a smoothing length of 5 cMpc for a mock survey including the effects of photometric noise, continuum error of the background LAEs, and finite Poisson sampling. The location of background LAEs are indicated by solid circles and the location of the quasar is indicated by star symbol. The dotted circle indicates the region of quasar influence $R = c t_{\text{age}}$. (Bottom panels) The probability distribution function of $T_{\text{IGM}}$, PDF($T_{\text{IGM}}|\tau_{s}, t_{\text{age}}$), at the indicated sightlines A, B, C. This is computed using all random realizations of the quasar-host halo in the simulation at the fixed sightline locations relative to the quasar in order to evaluate the impact of fluctuating IGM densities. The distributions of $T_{\text{IGM}}$ with and without the quasar transverse proximity effect (red: IGM+QSO, blue: IGM only) at $t_{\text{age}} = 25.1$ Myr are shown.

### 4.5 Observational requirement and errors

When searching for the quasar light-echo signal in the NB tomography, there are three other sources of fluctuations in the observed Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission apart from the quasar photoionization: (1) photometric noise, (2) density fluctuations from the IGM, (3) the uncertain UV continuum of the background LAEs. We examine the impact of each source of uncertainty on NB tomography with the aim of understanding the requirements to overcome these sources of noise.

#### 4.5.1 Photometric noise

Once the required limiting BB depth $m_{\text{BB}}^{\text{lim}}$ is determined based on the desired surface number density of background LAEs, the key
remaining observational requirement is the depth in the foreground NB filter. The NB imaging needs to be deep enough $m_{\text{NB}}^{\text{lim}} > m_{\text{BB}}^{\text{lim}}$ (more precisely $\sigma_{\text{NB}} < \sigma_{\text{BB}}$) so that one can place a meaningful constraint ($T_{\text{IGM}} < 1$) on the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission around the foreground quasar. In order to measure the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission $T_{\text{IGM}}$ along a background LAE with a limiting BB magnitude $m_{\text{BB}}^{\text{lim}}$ which covers the UV continuum, the limiting NB magnitude needs to be

$$m_{\text{NB}}^{\text{lim}} = m_{\text{BB}}^{\text{lim}} - 2.5 \log_{10} T_{\text{IGM}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{BB}}}{\lambda_{\text{NB}}} \right)^{-\beta} (\beta+2),$$

(25)

where the factor of $(\lambda_{\text{BB}}/\lambda_{\text{NB}})^{-\beta} (\beta+2)$ comes from the required extrapolation of observed BB flux to estimate the intrinsic NB flux for a galaxy with UV continuum slope $\beta$ ($\lambda_{\text{BB}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{NB}}$ are the central wavelengths of the BB and foreground NB filters). The most conservative choice is to require $T_{\text{IGM}} = e^{-\tau_{\text{BB}}(z)}$ so that we detect the mean Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission at a redshift of the foreground NB filter. This guarantees the direct detection of mean Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission along individual background LAEs and ensures that the contrast between the mean and enhanced Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmissions by the quasar light echoes is detected. Based on this consideration, assuming $e^{-\tau_{\text{BB}}(z)} \approx 0.28$ and $\beta = -1.8$ for $z = 4.4$ NB tomography, the limiting NB magnitude needs to be deeper by

$$m_{\text{NB}}^{\text{lim}} - m_{\text{BB}}^{\text{lim}} \approx 1.4,$$

(26)

compared to the limiting BB magnitude.

This sets quite a stringent requirement on the foreground NB depth if we require a 5\(\sigma\) detection of mean Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission along the individual LAEs, e.g. $m_{\text{NB}}^{\text{lim}} = 27.4$ (5\(\sigma\)) for $m_{\text{BB}}^{\text{lim}} = 26.0$ (5\(\sigma\)). However, because our goal is a statistical detection of the quasar light-echo signal in the tomography, we do not necessarily need a 5\(\sigma\) detection of NB flux for each background LAE. We can statistically average over the NB fluxes along many background LAE sightlines. Thus the signal-to-noise ratio of the limiting magnitude for the foreground NB filter can be small than 5. Indeed, we can set a minimal requirement on the NB limiting magnitude such that it is smaller than the typical enhanced fluctuations of the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission by the quasar light echoes.

Figure 8 illustrates the effect of photometric noise on the tomographic map with varying signal-to-noise ratios of the NB imaging. At low signal-to-noise ratios, one can see the fictitious transparent region due to the large photometric noise. The estimated Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmissions take values outside the physically meaningful range of $0 \leq T_{\text{IGM}} \leq 1$. Increasing the signal-to-noise ratio minimises the effect of fictitious fluctuations in the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission. More quantitatively, Figure 9 compares the fluctuations in $T_{\text{IGM}}$ caused by the quasar light echo (red) with those by the photometric noise (orange) as well as by other sources of uncertainties (IGM fluctuations: blue, UV continuum error: green). The quasar light echoes produce a tail of highly transmissive regions of the IGM above $T_{\text{IGM}} > 0.4$. When the signal-to-noise ratio of the foreground NB imaging is small SNR$_{\text{NB}} \leq 2$, the dominant source of fluctuations in the IGM tomographic map is the photometric noise. However, already at SNR$_{\text{NB}} \geq 3$, the quasar light echo signal becomes a dominant source of fluctuations. This means that a modest signal-to-noise ratio of the NB imaging is sufficient to detect the quasar light echo with NB tomographic method. The effect of photometric fluctuations are limited to $\sigma_{\text{NB}} T_{\text{IGM}} \leq 0.10$ at SNR$_{\text{NB}} \geq 3 \leq 5$ at the NB limiting magnitude and 5\(\sigma\) for the BB limiting magnitude. While the photometric fluctuations are typically larger than the IGM fluctuations, the quasar light echo imprints high Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission regions of the IGM with $T_{\text{IGM}} > 0.4$, corresponding to $\sigma_{\text{NB}} T_{\text{IGM}} > 0.12$ relative to the mean IGM transmission. Thus for light-echo tomographic experiment, the minimal requirement is set by detecting the enhanced Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission by the quasar light echo. This relaxes the required depth of the NB imaging. As photometric noise becomes sub-dominant, we choose SNR$_{\text{NB}} \geq 3$ at the NB limiting magnitude for our fiducial value.

4.5.2 Density fluctuations of the IGM

As we are interested in the transverse proximity effect due to the quasar light-echo signal, the density fluctuations of the IGM acts as a source of uncertainty in the tomographic mapping of the quasar transverse proximity effect. In Figures 9 and 10 we quantify the fluctuations in the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission $T_{\text{IGM}}$ due to the IGM density fluctuations and compare them with those due to the quasar light echoes. The IGM density fluctuations cause a nearly Gaussian fluctuations in $T_{\text{IGM}}$ with the standard deviation of $\sigma_{\text{IGM}} / T_{\text{IGM}} \sim 0.45 \%$ corresponding to $\sigma_{\text{IGM}} / T_{\text{IGM}} \sim 17 \%$ relative to the mean IGM transmission at $z = 4.4$. The quasar’s photoionization produces a large coherent transmission within the width of NB filter ($\approx 56$ cmPc for NB656 filter), whereas the IGM fluctuations tend to be averaged out within the length of NB filter owing to the short correlation length. The quasar light echoes produce a regions with $T_{\text{IGM}} \geq 0.4$. This is $\geq 3\sigma$ away from the IGM fluctuations. Thus, it is unlikely to confuse the IGM fluctuations with quasar light echoes.

Note that the effect of the gas overdensity around a quasar is small. In the simulation we selected a region with central quasar-host halo of mass $M_h > 10^{12} M_\odot$. While they reside in biased region, the quasar - gas density correlation length is small. Therefore the effect
of gas overdensity around the host halo is averaged out within the NB filter width unless it resides in a rare extreme protocluster region.

4.5.3 UV continuum slope

The uncertainty in the UV continuum slope $\beta$ in the background galaxies introduces fictitious fluctuations in the estimated Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission map. Figure 9 and 10 show that the UV continuum uncertainty is the sub-dominant source of error for the NB light echo tomography. Using the observed distribution of the $\beta$ slope from Bouwens et al. (2014), the error around the mean IGM transmission is $\sigma_{T_{\text{IGM}}} \approx 0.03$. This is smaller than the IGM fluctuations and the photometric noise.

The small fluctuations of the UV continuum uncertainty are somewhat surprising. To understand this, we consider the error associated to the uncertain $\beta$ slopes in the limit of infinite signal-to-noise for NB and BB filters. The error in the template galaxy spectrum enters as a multiplicative noise to the estimated Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission. We find that the multiplicative noise is approximately given by

$$T_{\text{IGM}} \approx e_{\beta} T_{\text{IGM}}, \ e_{\beta} \approx \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{BB}}}{\lambda_{\text{NB}}} \right)^{\beta - \beta_{\text{avg}}}$$

(27)

For $\sigma_{\beta} = 0.68$ based on the Bouwens et al. (2014) measurement, this estimates approximately $\sim 10\%$ fluctuations on $T_{\text{IGM}}$. This small UV continuum slope uncertainty arises because for $z = 4.4$ NB tomographic setup with NB656 ($\lambda_{\text{NB}} = 6570$ Å) and BB ($\lambda_{\text{BB}} = 7998$ Å) filters, the small wavelength separation ensures only small extrapolation of UV continuum to Ly$\alpha$ forest region is needed to minimize the uncertainty due to the unknown galaxy SED shape.

An incorrect assumption for $\beta$ slope introduces a slight bias in the estimated IGM transmission by a factor of $(\lambda_{\text{BB}}/\lambda_{\text{NB}})^{\beta_{\text{true}} - \beta_{\text{avg}}}$. Since the NB and BB filters for the $z = 4.4$ NB tomography are placed close in wavelength, the bias is a negligible contribution to the total error budget. Furthermore, $\beta_{\text{true}}$ can be corrected posteriori to match the $T_{\text{IGM}}$ at large distance from the central quasar to the known $t_{\text{age}}$ or using a reference tomographic observation in a blank field. Thus, UV continuum uncertainty results in a nearly negligible source of noise in our tomographic map.

5 STATISTICAL INFERENCE

5.1 Bayesian inference framework

A visual inspection of the reconstructed 2D Ly$\alpha$ forest map as shown in Figure 7 gives a first impression of the quality of NB IGM tomography of quasar light echoes. In this section, we quantify the ability of NB tomography to constrain the properties of the quasar lightcurve. Here we introduce a Bayesian inference framework to examine how well a NB tomographic survey constrains the quasar lifetime. For simplicity, we use a quasar lightbulb model where the quasar is turned on with the UV magnitude $M_{1450}$ for a duration of $t_{\text{age}}$. As mentioned above, while this is a simplification, this acts as a useful figure-of-merit to quantify the constraining power of the NB tomography. We will examine the application of the NB tomography for more complex lightcurves in the future work.

The NB tomography delivers data comprising a set of observed NB and BB fluxes of background LAEs. The probability that one can observe a NB flux $f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}$ and BB flux $f_{\text{BB}}^{\text{obs}}$ for an $i$-th background LAE at a given projected location $r_\perp$ relative to the foreground quasar with lifetime $t_{\text{age}}$ under the observational noises on the NB and BB fluxes, $\sigma_{\text{NB}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{BB}}$, can be written as

$$P_i(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}/f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{true}}, r_\perp, t_{\text{age}}, f_{1500}^{\text{true}}, \beta_{\text{true}}, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB}}) =$$

$$\mathcal{N}(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}/f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{true}}) \int \mathcal{N}(f_{\text{BB}}^{\text{obs}}/f_{\text{BB}}^{\text{true}}, \sigma_{\text{BB}}) P(T_{\text{IGM}}(r_\perp, t_{\text{age}})) dT_{\text{IGM}},$$

(28)

where $f_{\text{true}} = T_{\text{IGM}} r_{\text{int}}$ and $P(T_{\text{IGM}}(r_\perp, t_{\text{age}}))$ is the probability distribution function (PDF) of the NB-integrated Ly$\alpha$ forest transmis-
where we have defined a posterior probability of the quasar lifetime as,

\[
m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{BB}} = 26.0(5\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{NB}} = 27.4(3\sigma).
\]

The assumption of the statistically independent sightlines is reasonable since the transverse correlation of the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission is expected to be smaller than the typical sightline separation of the background galaxies achievable with the NB tomography on the exiting instruments and 8-10m telescopes.

By the Bayes’ theorem, we can express the posterior probability of the quasar lifetime given the data as (posterior) \(\propto\) (prior) \(\times\) (likelihood). By marginalising over the UV continuum slope and flux normalization of the background LAEs, we find the posterior probability of the quasar lifetime as,

\[
P(t_{\text{age}}|f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB}}^{\text{obs}}, r_\perp, f_{1500}^{\text{obs}}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB}}) \propto \\
\prod_{i=1}^{N} P_1(t_{\text{age}}|f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB}}^{\text{obs}}, r_\perp, f_{1500}^{\text{obs}}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB}}),
\]

where we have defined a posterior probability of \(t_{\text{age}}\) from a single background LAE as,

\[
P_1(t_{\text{age}}|f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB}}^{\text{obs}}, r_\perp, f_{1500}^{\text{obs}}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB}}) \propto \\
\int_0^\infty df_{1500}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\beta P(\beta)P_1(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB}}^{\text{obs}}|t_{\text{age}}, r_\perp, f_{1500}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB}}).
\]

We assume a flat prior \(P(f_{1500})\) and a Gaussian prior \(P(\beta)\) for \(\beta\) with mean \(\bar{\beta} = -1.8\) and variance \(\sigma_\beta = 0.68\).

This Bayesian inference framework tries to simultaneously estimate both the quasar lifetime (via its impact on the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission) and the intrinsic continuum level of background LAEs using the available NB and BB flux measurements, which results in a constraint on the quasar lifetime after marginalizing over the intrinsic galaxy properties (i.e. UV continuum level \(f_{1500}\) and slope \(\beta\)). This framework permits a straightforward generalisation for multiple BB filters to reduce the uncertainties in the intrinsic galaxy spectrum (Appendix A). Furthermore, this inference framework directly operates on the fluxes at the observed background LAEs instead of summary statistics such as azimuthally-averaged Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission around a quasar or on reconstructed 2D Ly\(\alpha\) forest map. This allows us to utilize the full information, and avoid interpreting the processed data, which may lead to a loss of information or possible artificial correlations in the data.

### 5.2 Constraint on quasar lifetime

We evaluate the posterior constraints on the quasar lifetime using the mock realisations of NB tomography with varying survey depths. Figure 11 shows the posterior probability of quasar lifetime \(t_{\text{age}}\) from several mock realisations of a photometric survey with the depth of \(m_{\text{NB}} = 27.4\) (5\(\sigma\)) in NB656 and \(m_{\text{BB}} = 26.0\) (5\(\sigma\)) in \(i_2\) targeting a \(z = 4.4\) quasar. It shows that our Bayesian framework successfully recovers the quasar lifetime within 68\% confidence interval.

The accuracy on the lifetime constraint corresponds to the typical inter-sightline separation of the background galaxies. The typical width of the posteriors matches with the temporal resolution of \(\Delta t \approx \ldots\)
10 Myr at $m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{BB}} = 26.0$, consistent with equation (14). At small quasar lifetime, the probability of finding a background galaxy within the quasar transverse proximity zone is small. Therefore, for $\tau_{\text{age}} < \frac{\langle R_c \rangle}{c\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 3.0 \times 10^4 (m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{BB}}/26.66)^{-0.52} \, \text{Myr} (\approx 5.6 \, \text{Myr at } m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{BB}} = 26.0)$, we can only place an upper limit to the quasar lifetime in agreement with our $\tau_{\text{age}} = 1 \, \text{Myr}$ case. On the other hand, at a large quasar lifetime, the size of quasar transverse proximity zone exceeds that of the field of view of the assumed instrument. As the quasar proximity zone size approaches the size of the field of view, only lower limit can be placed since there is no background galaxy sightline probing the region outside the transverse proximity zone. Note that in our mock survey field of view is limited by the size of our cosmological simulation (146 cMpc on side, corresponding to light crossing time of 44 Myr from the centre to the edge of the box). The same trend is expected for the field of view of Subaru/HSC, giving a sensitivity to quasar lifetime below $\tau_{\text{age}} < D_A(z)\theta_{\text{FoV}}/c \approx 60(\theta_{\text{FoV}}/45') \, \text{Myr}$ at $z = 4.4$ where $D_A(z)$ is the angular diameter distance and $\theta$ is the angular radius of the field of view. In summary, the range of quasar lifetime that can be constrained by the photometric light-echo tomography is

$$3.0 \times 10^4 (m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{BB}}/26.66)^{-0.52} \, \text{Myr} < \tau_{\text{age}} < 60 \frac{\theta_{\text{FoV}}}{45'} \, \text{Myr}, \quad (32)$$

at $z = 4.4$. The precision on $\tau_{\text{age}}$ is set by the inter-sightline separation of background galaxies (equation 14).

Before discussing how the lifetime constraint depends on the survey depth, it is worthwhile to understand how the posterior probability is determined from the NB IGM tomography. The formation of the full posterior constraint on quasar lifetime from a collection of many individual background galaxy sightlines is illustrated in Figure 12. The final posterior from multiple background galaxies is determined by the combination of upper and lower limits on the quasar lifetime from single background galaxies. If a background galaxy (e.g. sightline A) passes through a highly transmissive region of the IGM ($\tau_{\text{IGM}} \approx 0.4$), then it sets a lower limit to the quasar lifetime according to the projected distance between the background galaxy and the foreground quasar, $\tau_{\text{age}} > R_c/c$. On the other hand, if the background galaxy sightline (e.g. B or C) passes through an opaque region of the IGM ($\tau_{\text{IGM}} \approx 0.4$) close to the mean IGM transmission, it sets an upper limit $\tau_{\text{age}} < R_c/c$. Collectively, when using multiple background sightlines, the final posterior peaks at the true value. Note that when the background sightline passes through a rare fictitious transmissive region due to the IGM density or photometric fluctuations, the single galaxy posterior sets a bound on the quasar lifetime at the wrong value. However, this will be automatically corrected by many other sightlines which effectively averages out the error coming from these sources of uncertainties, placing a final posterior at the right value.

5.3 Impact of survey depths on lifetime constraint

The BB magnitude depth is critical as it sets the number of background galaxies, determining the spatial and temporal resolution for the photometric light-echo tomographic experiment. Figure 13 shows the mean posteriors as a function of different BB magnitude depths for two different quasar lifetimes. The fiducial NB magnitude is set such that it gives the $3\sigma$ sensitivity to the mean IGM transmission at a given BB magnitude depth. The 68 % confidence interval on the quasar lifetime scales with the BB magnitude depth. This agrees with the expectation from our estimate in Section 3,

$$\Delta_{\text{age}} = \pm 3.0 \times 10^4 (m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{NB}}/26.66)^{-0.32} \, \text{Myr}. \quad (33)$$
Figure 13. The mean posteriors as a function of BB (i2) magnitude limits for two different quasar lifetime (tage = 25 Myr (left), tage = 10 Myr (right)) from NB tomography at z = 4.4. The dashed lines indicate the true input value of the lifetime. The width of blue shaded regions indicates the probability at the corresponding tage constraint. The errorbar and the dark blue shaded region indicate 68% confidence interval. The dark gray shaded regions show the expected precision from the simple analytic estimate, and the light gray region indicate the upper bound due to the field-of-view. The total exposure time including NB565, NB718, r2, and i2 is shown in top x-axis. Note that the apparent bias to lower tage value at shallower BB magnitude depth simply reflects the prior, indicating that there is no constraining power.

for the NB565 filter, and

\[ m_{r_2}^{\lim}(5\sigma) = 26.56 + 2.5 \times \frac{1}{2} \log_{10} \left( \frac{t_{\exp}}{0.5 \text{ hr}} \right) \]

(37)

for the r2 filter.

The minimal requirement including NB718, r2, i2 to select the background LAEs at z = 4.9 and NB565 to measure the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission at z = 4.4, the total exposure time required is \(\geq 13\) hrs for NB tomography per field. This is a modest cost compared to the spectroscopic tomography (Schmidt et al. 2019), making it a viable alternative to examine the ionizing lightcurve of a high-redshift quasar, i.e. the luminous growth history of the central SMBH.

6 DISCUSSION

6.1 Photometric or spectroscopic background sources

We have examined the concept of NB tomography using photometrically-identified LAEs as background sources. An alternative approach would be to use spectroscopic background galaxies, and measure the transmitted Ly\(\alpha\) forest fluxes towards these sightlines using a NB filter. For example, this hybrid approach was employed by Mawatari et al. (2017) for the study of protoclusters at z = 3.1 in the SSA22 field using publicly available catalogues of spectroscopic galaxies. For quasar light-echo tomography, as we are likely targeting a new quasar field without a prior spectroscopic sample, in order to compare the two approaches, we need to estimate the observational costs required to assemble background spectroscopic sample.

We consider a case for Subaru/Prime Focus Spectrograph (PFS) scheduled to be operational in 2023. The spectroscopic redshift range suitable for NB tomography with NB565 is \(z_1 = 4.50 < z < z_2 = 5.30\) where the Ly\(\alpha\) forest range of the background galaxies is covered by the NB565 filter. Confirming the galaxy redshifts via Lyman break feature is hard at these redshifts. The spectroscopic confirmation of dropout candidates thus relies on detecting Ly\(\alpha\) emission lines. Applying the formalism discussed in Section 3, the expected background sightline surface density of background galaxies spectroscopically confirmed by Ly\(\alpha\) emission lines is given by

\[ \Sigma_{\text{specz}} = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \frac{d\ln \Sigma_{\text{LAE}}}{d\Delta z} |(1+z)^3 \int_{-\infty}^{m_{\text{UV}}^{\lim}} \frac{dM_{\text{LAE}}}{dM_{\text{UV}}} \cdot dM_{\text{UV}}| \approx 0.12 \text{ pMpc}^{-2} \]

(38)

where the Ly\(\alpha\) equivalent width is \(\text{REW} > 25\) Å corresponding the value typically identified by a spectroscopic follow-up campaign. This gives a higher spatial resolution of

\[ \langle R_\perp \rangle = \Sigma_{\text{specz}}^{-1/2} \approx 2.58 \times 10^{11} \text{pMpc}^{-1} \]

(39)

This is approximately a factor of 2-3 times better than the original resolution using photometrically-identified background LAEs. This increase in the spatial resolution comes from the increased line-of-sight volume of the spectroscopic background galaxies compared to that of background LAEs. As a result, one can achieve a high spatial resolution of NB IGM tomography with bright spectroscopic background galaxies comparable to that using faint background LAEs. For example, the surface number density of spectroscopic background galaxies with \(m_{\text{UV}} < 25.2\) is \(\Sigma_{\text{specz}} \approx 0.12\) pMpc\(^{-2}\), which is comparable with that obtained by a deep LAE sample with \(m_{\text{UV}} < 26.0\) (\(\Sigma_{\text{LAE}} \approx 0.11\) pMpc\(^{-2}\)).

The Ly\(\alpha\) luminosities of the spectroscopic galaxies with \(\text{REW} > 25\) Å and \(m_{\text{UV}} < 25.2\) at redshift \(4.5 < z < 5.3\) is \(L_{\alpha} \geq 6.2 \times 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}\) corresponding to the observed flux of \(\geq 2.4 \times 10^{-17} \text{erg cm}^{-2}\). Given the expected 5\(\sigma\) line sensitivity of Subaru/PFS of \(\approx 1.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{erg cm}^{-2}\) for 6300 – 7500 Å range with \(R \sim 3000\) and 1 hour on-source exposure\(^4\) for the line width of 70 km s\(^{-1}\), assuming a typical Ly\(\alpha\) line width of 240 km s\(^{-1}\), we

\(^4\) https://pfs.ipmu.jp/research/performance.html
We assumed that $\tau = 5$ Myr and BB imaging is used to measure the continuum and transmitted PFS to confirm the redshifts of background galaxies. This drastically reduces the required observational cost. The high throughput of NB and BB imaging is used to measure the continuum and transmitted Ly$\alpha$ forest fluxes towards the spectroscopic background galaxies.

6.2 Selection function and contamination

In this paper, we assumed the zero contamination rate of background LAEs. However in reality there would be a finite contamination in the LAE sample because of the foreground interlopers such as low-$z$ [O II] or H$\alpha$ line emitters. The contamination rate of the LAE selection technique is estimated to be $\sim 10 - 20\%$ (Ono et al. 2008; Shibuya et al. 2018). A low-$z$ interloper could introduce a fictitious Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission. However, as we require a detection in the UV continuum band for background sources, it additionally requires the Lyman break feature in the background galaxies. This may improve the purity of our background LAE sample. Furthermore, as we use multiple background LAEs to search for the quasar light echo signal, the impact of the contamination is expected to be minimal. Clearly the spectroscopic confirmation of the transmissive IGM sightlines along the background LAE candidates in the NB tomography is desirable. We reserve the detail examination of the impact of the contamination in future work. Nonetheless, this should be considered as a show-stopper. NB tomography clearly provides an efficient method to map out a large region of the sky at once, which is difficult or observationally very expensive to achieve with traditional spectroscopic tomography.

6.3 Emission geometry: position of foreground NB filter

The anisotropic emission of ionizing photons from the quasar impacts the structure of the light echoes in the NB tomography. Our fiducial model in this paper assumes isotropic emission from the quasar, which maximises the region of influence of the ionizing light echoes on the IGM. The studies of the observed fraction of AGN ($F_{\text{obs}}$) indicates that the opening angle of the quasar emission is statistically $\theta = 2\arccos F_{\text{obs}} \approx 120^\circ$. This means that some fraction of NB window may be obscured from the impact of quasar’s ionizing radiation. Assuming that a quasar is located exactly at the centre of the filter and is directly pointing towards us, a simple geometric consideration finds the fraction of obscured segment of a line-of-sight passing through the NB filter is

$$m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{NB}}(3\sigma) = m_{\text{lim}}^{\text{BB}}(5\sigma) + 1.4$$
given by
\[
\tilde{f}_{\text{obs}} = \frac{2}{\tan \theta/2} \frac{R_\perp}{L_{\text{filter}}},
\]
(41)
where \(L_{\text{filter}}\) is the comoving length of the NB filter. For NB656, the length corresponding to the FWHM is \(L_{\text{filter}} = 56.6\text{ cMpc}\), meaning that \(\tilde{f}_{\text{obs}} \approx 0.41(\theta_c/20\text{ cMpc})\) for \(\theta = 120^\circ\). This will reduce the excess NB-integrated Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission as \(\tilde{f}_{\text{obs}}\) fraction of a background sightline is obscured, given the net observed NB transmission \(T_{\text{NB}}\) to be \(T_{\text{NB}} = T_{\text{IGM}}f_{\text{obs}} + T_{\text{IGM+QSO}}(1 - f_{\text{obs}})\) where \(T_{\text{IGM+QSO}}\) is the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission of the IGM with (without) the impact of the quasar.

This issue can be circumvented by placing a foreground NB filter slightly foreground of the quasar redshift. This allows the NB filter to cover more fraction of the IGM impacted by the quasar ionizing radiation emitted towards the unobscured region with a minimal impact of the geometric \(\propto r^{-2}\) dilution. For example, by probing the IGM with the NB filter just at the foreground of a quasar whose redshift matches the upper 50\% transmission wavelength of the NB filter, we can reduce the impact of obscuration by a factor of 2, finding \(\tilde{f}_{\text{obs}} = \frac{1}{\tan \theta/2} \frac{R_\perp}{L_{\text{filter}}}.\) Indeed, in the serendipitous NB detection of the (diagonally) transverse proximity effect around a \(z \approx 5.8\) quasar along the sightline of a bright background galaxy by Bosman et al. (2020), the NB filter is located slightly in the foreground of the quasar.

The emission geometry introduces a source of degeneracy in the constraints on the quasar lightcurve. Note however that any positive detection of a transmissive sightline at an impact parameter \(r_\perp\) immediately implies that the quasar was active at \(\Delta t = r_\perp/c\) time in past. The obscuration introduces complications for the interpretation of the null detection of sightlines with excess transmission, which can either be interpreted as quasar inactive phase or obscuration. This degeneracy can be lifted by obtaining deep spectroscopic follow-up of background galaxies. As spectra give multiple anchor points along a single lines-of-sight, it has a more constraining power on the emission geometry. This would break the degeneracy between emission geometry and radiative history of a quasar. Quantitative constraints combining both NB and spectroscopic tomographies need to be examined in future work.

6.4 Past AGN activity of high-redshift quasars and galaxies

The recent studies of quasar lifetime using the line-of-sight proximity effect indicate that the quasar lifetime is short on average \(t_{\text{age}} \sim 10^8\) yr (Morey et al. 2021; Krykin et al. 2021) with a fraction of the population having even shorter lifetime (Eilers et al. 2017, 2020, 2021). As noted in Section 1, this is shorter than the Salpeter timescale and poses a challenge to grow SMBHs at \(z \geq 6\). The shortest lifetime that can be probed by the photometric light-echo tomography is limited by the mean sightline separation of background galaxies; for example, the sensitivity is \(t_{\text{age}} \approx 10\) Myr for \(m_{\text{BB}} < 25.5\) background sources. However, the real advantage of the photometric light-echo tomography is its ability to probe all past quasar activities in the last \(\sim 10^8\) Myr limited by the field of view of the tomographic experiment. Each background sightline separated by \(r_\perp\) from the quasar is sensitive to the light-echo produced at \(t_{\text{age}} = r_\perp/c\). A detection of transmissive sightline in a short lifetime quasar would indicate that the SMBH underwent another episode of luminous quasar activity in the past. This could potentially resolve the question of how \(\sim 10^9\) M\(_{\odot}\) black holes can be present in the ‘young’ quasars uncovered in the recent work (Eilers et al. 2017, 2020, 2021), implying that photometric light-echo tomography around these objects is an exciting direction for future work.

While we consider targeting a (type I) quasar to probe the light curve and its past AGN activity in this paper, in principle we can generalise light-echo tomography around type II quasars and star-forming or quiescent galaxies at high redshifts. The black hole mass - stellar mass relation indicates that massive galaxies with stellar mass of \(M_\star > 10^{10}\) M\(_{\odot}\) host a supermassive black hole of mass \(M_{\text{BH}} > 10^9\) M\(_{\odot}\). It has been argued that the Milky Way went through a period of luminous AGN activity in the past (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2019). Some local galaxies show evidence for past AGN activity through the light echo emission from the CGM (Keel et al. 2012, 2015, 2017), and in addition, observed high ionization absorption lines in the CGM of massive galaxies may require flickering AGN activity of the central SMBH (Oppenheimer et al. 2018). If quasar feedback is responsible for quenching high-redshift galaxies, then these objects might show evidence for AGN activity in the past. Light-echo tomography can probe the AGN ionising radiation emitted in all directions in past several tens of Myr. One could apply the proposed light-echo tomography in a well-studied extragalactic field to search for enhanced Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission as a sign of past AGN activity on the time baseline of \(\sim 10^8\) Myr in past.

7 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we examined the capability of photometric IGM tomography to map the coherent spatial fluctuations of Ly\(\alpha\) forest on the scale of \(\sim 10^{-1}\) cMpc. We applied this technique to study the impact of quasar light echoes on the IGM to constrain the luminous growth history of individual SMBHs in ~ Myr timescale. This photometric technique uses a pair of NB filters carefully selected in order to measure the transmitted Ly\(\alpha\) forest flux with the foreground NB filter along the background LAEs. The technique provides an economical observational strategy to create a two-dimensional map of the Ly\(\alpha\) forest transmission, taking advantage of the high throughput and wide field of view of imaging compared to spectroscopy to detect the faint transmitted Ly\(\alpha\) forest flux over a large area of sky. We examined the observational requirements of this photometric IGM tomography in detail. For double NB tomography, we require background LAEs with bright enough UV continua such that the Ly\(\alpha\) forest flux decrements can be measured as the ratio of the foreground NB and the BB flux. Using an observationally-calibrated model for the LAE number density, we find a photometric NB survey with UV continuum depth of \(m_{\text{UV}} \sim 25 - 26\) can achieve the mean inter-sightline separation of \(\sim 1 - 10\) pMpc at \(z \sim 2 - 6\), which sets the spatial resolution of the tomographic map. We summarised the result in terms of the analytic fit to the expected mean inter-sightline separation of background LAEs at \(z \sim 2.5 - 5.7\) (Table 2). When applied to map quasar light echoes, this translates into a temporal resolution of \(\sim 3 - 30\) Myr for the quasar lightcurve. Thus, an NB imaging survey with NB and BB depths of \(\sim 25 - 26\) is sufficient to examine and search for the quasar light echo signal using photometric IGM tomography.

Besides the standard NB and BB filter combinations required to identify background LAEs, the foreground NB depth is critical to detect the transmitted Ly\(\alpha\) forest flux along the background LAEs. As a rule-of-thumb, we find that the required limiting magnitude of the foreground NB filter is set by
\[
m_{\text{NB}}^{\text{lim}} \approx m_{\text{BB}}^{\text{lim}} - 2.5\log_{10} T_{\text{IGM}},
\]
where \(T_{\text{IGM}}\) is the mean IGM transmission at the redshift of interest. We examined the required foreground NB depth more carefully using...
mock observations based on cosmological simulations. We find that a modest signal-to-noise of $\sim 3$ at the limiting NB depth is sufficient to recover the 2D Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission map of the quasar light echo at $z = 4.4$. The other sources of noise in light-echo tomography such as the UV continuum error and IGM fluctuations are sub-dominant compared to the photometric error; they pose no obstacle to our capability to detect the light-echo signal in the NB tomography.

We then introduced a fully Bayesian framework to infer the quasar lifetime from a NB tomographic survey. The framework captures the full information of the survey since it acts directly on the data (i.e. photometric dataset of background LAEs) instead of the processed data such as the azimuthally-averaged Ly$\alpha$ forest transmission profile around a quasar or reconstructed 2D tomographic map. The framework is designed to simultaneously constrain both the quasar lifetime and the intrinsic SED of background LAEs and to rigorously propagate the uncertainties from photometric noise, IGM fluctuations, and intrinsic SED shape into the final measurement. This is possible because we can forward model the expected quasar light-echo signal using cosmological simulations including realistic noise. The framework is generalisable to include multiple BB filters to reduce, for example, the error from the UV continuum slope uncertainty if necessary.

Applying the Bayesian framework to mock observations, we find that a NB tomographic survey of background NB and BB depth of $\approx 26.0(5\sigma)$ and foreground NB depth of $\approx 27.4(3\sigma)$ can constrain the quasar lifetime to $\sim 20\%$ accuracy at $z = 4.4$ for the range of $t_{\text{age}} \sim 10 - 50$ Myr. The precision of the lifetime constraint depends on the number of background LAEs with a continuum detection. Thus it scales with the BB depth. Including all the required NB and BB filters for a NB tomographic survey, we find that for Subaru/HSC a total exposure time of $\sim 13$ hrs per field is required to tomographically map the quasar light-echoes. While this is relatively large investment of telescope time, it is modest compared to spectroscopic tomography which would require a significantly more expensive deep spectroscopic follow-up campaign end pre-imaging. Future synergy with Subaru/PFS will significantly increase the number of background galaxies suitable for NB tomography, improving the accuracy and reducing the observational cost of the tomographic survey. We emphasise that light-echo tomography is currently the only viable technique to probe the quasar lightcurve over the timescale comparable to the Salpeter timescale, providing an indispensable tool to measure the luminous growth history of a SMBH at high redshift.
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When we have two or more BB flux measurement of background galaxies, it is straightforward to generalise the Bayesian inference framework to simultaneously constrain the continuum slopes $\beta$ (i.e intrinsic SED shape) of background galaxies and the quasar lifetime $t_{\text{age}}$. In this case, we will have multiple BB fluxes (e.g. $i$- and $z$-bands),

\[
\frac{f_{\text{BB1}}^{\text{obs}}}{f_{\text{BB1}}} = f_{\text{BB1}} + \delta f_{\text{BB1}}, \quad f_{\text{BB1}} = \frac{\int f_{\nu} T_{\text{BB1}}(v) dv}{T_{\text{BB1}}(v) dv},
\]

(A1)

and

\[
\frac{f_{\text{BB2}}^{\text{obs}}}{f_{\text{BB2}}} = f_{\text{BB2}} + \delta f_{\text{BB2}}, \quad f_{\text{BB2}} = \frac{\int f_{\nu} T_{\text{BB2}}(v) dv}{T_{\text{BB2}}(v) dv}.
\]

(A2)

Then the probability of observing NB and two BB fluxes for an $i$-th background galaxy is written as

\[
P_i(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB1}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB2}}^{\text{obs}} | t_{\text{age}}, \gamma, f_{1500}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB1}}, \sigma_{\text{BB2}}) =
\]

\[
N(f_{\text{BB1}}^{\text{obs}} | f_{\text{BB1}}, \sigma_{\text{BB1}}) \times N(f_{\text{BB2}}^{\text{obs}} | f_{\text{BB2}}, \sigma_{\text{BB2}}) \times \int N(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}} | f_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{NB}}) P(T_{\text{IGM}} | \gamma, t_{\text{age}}) dT_{\text{IGM}}.
\]

(A3)

The likelihood of observing a set of fluxes from $N$ background galaxies is then given by

\[
L(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB1}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB2}}^{\text{obs}} | t_{\text{age}}, \gamma, f_{1500}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB1}}, \sigma_{\text{BB2}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_i(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB1}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB2}}^{\text{obs}} | t_{\text{age}}, \gamma, f_{1500}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB1}}, \sigma_{\text{BB2}})
\]

(A4)

The posterior of the quasar lifetime is therefore given by

\[
P(t_{\text{age}} | f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB1}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB2}}^{\text{obs}}, \gamma, f_{1500}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB1}}, \sigma_{\text{BB2}}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} df_{1500} P(f_{1500}) \int_{0}^{\infty} d\beta P(\beta) P_i(f_{\text{NB}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB1}}^{\text{obs}}, f_{\text{BB2}}^{\text{obs}} | t_{\text{age}}, \gamma, f_{1500}, \beta, \sigma_{\text{NB}}, \sigma_{\text{BB1}}, \sigma_{\text{BB2}}).
\]

(A5)

We can generalise this Bayesian framework for multi-BB photometry and more general intrinsic SED model. This replaces the power-law spectrum parameterised by $f_{1500}$ and $\beta$ with those from the SED library of a stellar population synthesis model and we can use e.g. stellar age, metallicity, and star formation rate as an alternative parametrisation.
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