

# GONALITY OF THE MODULAR CURVE $X_0(N)$

FILIP NAJMAN AND PETAR ORLIĆ

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we determine the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonalities of the modular curves  $X_0(N)$  for all  $N < 145$ . We determine the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality of many of these curves and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonalities and  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonalities for many larger values of  $N$ .

Using these results and some further work, we determine all the modular curves  $X_0(N)$  of gonality 4, 5 and 6 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We also find the first known instances of pentagonal curves  $X_0(N)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a field and  $C$  a curve over  $k$  (throughout the paper we assume all curves are geometrically integral). The *gonality*  $\text{gon}_k C$  of  $C$  over  $k$  is defined to be the least degree of a non-constant morphism  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , or equivalently the least degree of a non-constant function  $f \in k(C)$ .

Gonalities of modular curves and their quotients have been the subject of extensive research by many people. The study of gonality of the classical modular curve  $X_0(N)$  started with Ogg [22], who determined the hyperelliptic modular curves  $X_0(N)$ . Hasegawa and Shimura [11] determined both the  $X_0(N)$  that are trigonal over  $\mathbb{C}$  and the  $X_0(N)$  that are trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and Jeon and Park [17] determined the  $X_0(N)$  that are tetragonal over  $\mathbb{C}$ . More generally, Abramovich [1] gave a lower bound for the gonality over  $\mathbb{C}$  for any modular curve (which is usually not sharp).

In this paper we will study the gonality of the modular curves  $X_0(N)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  instead of over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The motivation for this comes from two directions.

Firstly, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of a curve is arguably more interesting from an arithmetical point of view than its  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality. For example, when one wants to determine the modular curves  $X_0(N)$  and  $X_1(M, N)$  with infinitely many degree  $d$  points (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), a question of fundamental arithmetical importance, as these curves parametrise elliptic curves with level structures, then determining all such curves of gonality  $d$  plays a key role.

Using the gonality of the modular curves as one of the main ingredients, all the modular curves  $X_1(M, N)$  with infinitely many degree  $d$  points have been determined for  $d = 2$  by Mestre [20],  $d = 3$  by Jeon, Kim and Schweizer [16], for  $d = 4$  by Jeon, Kim and Park [15] and for  $d = 5, 6$  by Derickx and Sutherland [7]. The same problem has been solved for the modular curves  $X_0(N)$  for  $d = 2$  by Bars [2] and for  $d = 3$  by Jeon [14].

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G18, 14H35, 14H51.

*Key words and phrases.* Modular curves, Gonality.

The authors were supported by the QuantiXLie Centre of Excellence, a project co-financed by the Croatian Government and European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Grant KK.01.1.1.01.0004) and by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project no. IP-2018-01-1313.

The other motivation comes from the database, which is in construction, of modular curves that will be incorporated in the LMFDB [18], which tabulates  $L$ -functions, modular forms, elliptic curves and related objects. At the moment of writing of this paper, there were 115 modular curves  $X_0(N)$ , all with  $N \leq 127$ , for which less than half the exact  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality was listed as known. Our work determines the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality for all the  $N$  in this database.

Although our interest lies primarily in  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonalities, we compute and document the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality wherever possible. Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonalities and  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonalities of  $X_0(N)$  are as listed in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3.*

One immediate consequence of our result is the determination of all  $X_0(N)$  that are tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . A curve that is tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has to have gonality  $\leq 4$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and all curves satisfying this are known by the aforementioned results [22, 11, 17]. As we determine in Theorem 1.1 all  $N$  satisfying this and that have gonality 4 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  the following result immediately follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The modular curve  $X_0(N)$  is tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if*

$$N \in \{38, 42, 44, 51, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 63, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, \\ 77, 78, 79, 80, 83, 85, 87, 88, 89, 91, 92, 94, 95, 96, 98, 100, 101, 103, 104, 107, 111, 119, \\ 121, 125, 131, 142, 143, 167, 191\}.$$

After Theorem 1.2 and the aforementioned results [22, 11, 17] which determine all  $X_0(N)$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality  $\leq 4$ , the question of determining the pentagonal, and after that, hexagonal (both over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) curves  $X_0(N)$  naturally arises. Surprisingly, there seems to have been no known curve that is pentagonal either over  $\mathbb{Q}$  or over  $\mathbb{C}$  (at least to our knowledge); see [11, p.139-140] for a short discussion stating this. As a byproduct of our results and with some additional work, we determine all  $X_0(N)$  that are pentagonal or hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *The modular curve  $X_0(N)$  is pentagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $N = 109$ .*

**Theorem 1.4.** *The modular curve  $X_0(N)$  is hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if*

$$N \in \{76, 82, 84, 86, 90, 93, 97, 99, 108, 112, 113, 115 - 118, 122 - 124, 127 - 129, 135, \\ 137, 139, 141, 144, 146, 147, 149, 151, 155, 159, 162, 164, 169, 179, 181, 215, 227, 239\}.$$

We also show that  $X_0(N)$  is pentagonal over  $\mathbb{C}$  for  $N = 97$  and 169, obtaining the first known such curves.

Our methods are perhaps most similar to the work of Derickx and van Hoeij [8], where they compute the exact  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonalities of the modular curves  $X_1(N)$  for  $N \leq 40$  and give upper bounds for  $N \leq 250$ . Some of our methods will be similar to the ones in [8], but some will be different; the differences arise out of the intrinsic properties of the different modular curves. In particular, on one hand the properties that make  $X_0(N)$  easier to deal with is its lower genus and an abundance of involutions (especially for highly composite  $N$ ). On the other hand,  $X_0(N)$  has much fewer cusps and hence much less modular units, the main tool in [8] for obtaining upper bounds. Another difficulty with  $X_0(N)$ , as opposed to  $X_1(N)$ , is that it is in general hard to obtain reasonable plane models, making computations in function fields much more computationally demanding.

We now give a brief description of our methods, and compare them to the methods of [8]. The way to determine the exact gonality of a modular curve is to give a lower bound and an upper bound for the gonality which match each other. We explain both in more detail and rigor in Section 2 and Section 3.

The authors of [8] were (perhaps surprisingly) able to use a single method to obtain lower bounds and a single method to obtain upper bounds. The lower bounds were obtained using the well-known fact that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) \leq \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$  for a prime of good reduction  $p$  of  $C$  and by then computing  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C)$ , which is a finite computation. This will be one of our main tools too, together with the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality (see Proposition 2.3) and using  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}(C) \leq \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$  together with known results about  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonalities. An especially interesting method, described in Section 5, is Mordell-Weil sieving on the Brill-Noether varieties  $W_d^1(X)$ , which we use to show that  $X_0(97)$  is of gonality 6 and  $X_0(133)$  is of gonality 8 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . To produce lower bounds on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality, we will use computations on Betti numbers and proven parts of the Green conjecture (see e.g. Corollary 2.9). There will be a few instances in which we also use other methods.

Derickx and van Hoeij obtained their upper bounds by constructing *modular units* (functions whose polar divisor is supported only on cusps) of a certain degree. In certain instances we will also obtain upper bounds by explicitly constructing functions of degree  $d$  by searching in Riemann-Roch spaces of sets of divisors with some fixed support. For us the set of divisors through which we will search through will not be supported only on cusps, but will also include CM points and even non-CM non-cuspidal rational points. We will also construct functions on  $X_0(N)$  by finding functions  $g$  of degree  $k$  on  $X_0(N)/w_d$  or  $X_0(d)$  for  $d|N$  and then pulling them back via the quotient map  $f : X_0(N) \rightarrow X_0(N)/w_d$  or  $f : X_0(N) \rightarrow X_0(d)$ , thus obtaining a map  $f^*g$  of degree  $k \cdot \deg f$ . Apart from this we will also use the Tower theorem (see Corollary 3.5) which allows us to determine the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality from the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality under certain assumptions.

A lot of our results rely on extensive computation in `Magma` [4]. To compute models for  $X_0(N)$  and their quotients by Atkin-Lehner involutions, we used the code written by Philippe Michaud-Jacobs as part of an ongoing collaborative project on computing points of low degree on modular curves.

It is natural to wonder why we stopped at the point where we did and whether one can determine  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonalities of  $X_0(N)$  for larger  $N$ . We discuss this, the complexity of the most computationally demanding parts of our computations, and possible further work briefly in Section 8.

The code that verifies all our computations can be found on:

[https://github.com/orlic1/gonality\\_X0](https://github.com/orlic1/gonality_X0).

All of our computations were performed on the *Euler* server at the Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb with a Intel Xeon W-2133 CPU running at 3.60GHz and with 64 GB of RAM.

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Maarten Derickx for the ideas used in Section 5 that helped resolve the cases  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 97, 133$  and  $145$ , and to Andreas Schweizer for helpful comments.

## 2. LOWER BOUNDS

In this section we give all the results used to obtain lower bounds for the gonality of  $X_0(N)$ . We first mention two obvious lower bounds for a curve  $C$  defined over a number field  $K$ :

$$(1) \quad \text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} C \leq \text{gon}_K C,$$

and

$$(2) \quad \text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} C \leq \text{gon}_K C,$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of good reduction of  $C$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the residue field of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The determination of  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} C$  is a finite task, although often a computationally difficult one. More explicitly,  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}} C$  can be determined by checking the Riemann-Roch spaces of all degree  $d$  effective  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -rational divisors  $D$ ; the smallest  $d$  such that there exists such a divisor  $D$  of degree 2 for which  $l(D) \geq 2$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -gonality of  $C$ .

We will be interested only in the case  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = p$ , a rational prime. The following lemma will be useful in making the computation of  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_p} C$  much quicker.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $C/\mathbb{F}_p$  be a curve such that  $\#C(\mathbb{F}_p) = n$ . Suppose that there exists a function  $f$  of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p(C)$ . Then:*

- a) *There exists a function  $g$  of degree  $d$  such that its polar divisor is supported on at most  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p+1} \rfloor$  points  $P \in C(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .*
- b) *There exists a function  $h$  of degree  $d$  such that its polar divisor is supported on at least  $\lceil \frac{n}{p+1} \rceil$  points  $P \in C(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove a), as b) is proved analogously. As  $f$  maps  $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$  into  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , it follows by the pigeonhole principle that there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$  such that  $f^{-1}(c)$  consists of at most  $\lfloor \frac{n}{p+1} \rfloor$  points. If  $c = \infty$ , then let  $g := f$ , otherwise we define  $g(x) := \frac{1}{f(x)-c}$ . The function  $g$  obviously satisfies the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant morphism of curves over  $k$ . Then  $\text{gon}_k(Y) \leq \text{gon}_k(X)$ .*

*Proof.* This is [23, Proposition A.1 (vii)] or [21, Lemma 1.3].  $\square$

A very useful tool for producing a lower bound on the gonality is the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality (see [25, Theorem 3.11.3] for a proof).

**Proposition 2.3** (Castelnuovo-Severi inequality). *Let  $k$  be a perfect field, and let  $X, Y, Z$  be curves over  $k$ . Let non-constant morphisms  $\pi_Y : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\pi_Z : X \rightarrow Z$  over  $k$  be given, and let their degrees be  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively. Assume that there is no morphism  $X \rightarrow X'$  of degree  $> 1$  through which both  $\pi_Y$  and  $\pi_Z$  factor. Then the following inequality hold:*

$$(3) \quad g(X) \leq m \cdot g(Y) + n \cdot g(Z) + (m-1)(n-1).$$

We now deduce easy corollaries of Proposition 2.3.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $X, Y$  be curves over  $k$  such that there exists a non-constant morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  of degree 2 over  $k$ . Suppose that  $\text{gon}_k(X) \geq 5$  and  $\text{gon}_k(Y) = 3$ . Then if  $g(X) - 2g(Y) \geq 5$ , then  $\text{gon}_k(X) = 6$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  has a degree 6 map to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , it remains to show that  $\text{gon}_k(X) \neq 5$ .

Suppose the opposite, namely  $\text{gon}_k(X) = 5$ . By applying the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality on  $\pi$  and the hypothetical degree 5 map to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , we get

$$g(X) \leq 2g(Y) + 4,$$

which is in contradiction with our assumptions.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $X, Y$  be curves over  $k$  such that there exists a non-constant morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  of degree 2. Suppose that  $\text{gon}_k(X) \geq 5$  and  $\text{gon}_k(Y) = 4$ . Then if  $g(X) - 2g(Y) \geq 7$ , then  $\text{gon}_k(X) = 8$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 2.4. It remains to rule out the possibility that there exists a function  $f \in \overline{k}(Y)$  of degree  $\leq 3$  such that  $\pi^*f \in k(X)$ . Suppose that such an  $f$  exists. Then  $\text{div } f$  is not  $k$ -rational, so there exists a  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$  which acts non-trivially on  $\text{div } f$ . It follows that

$$(4) \quad \text{div}(\pi^*f)^\sigma = \pi^*((\text{div } f)^\sigma) \neq \pi^*(\text{div } f) = \text{div}(\pi^*f).$$

The first equality in (4) holds because  $\pi$  is defined over  $k$ , while the inequality in (4) holds because  $\pi^*$  is an injection and  $\text{div } f \neq \text{div } f^\sigma$ . This is a contradiction since  $\pi^*f$  is by assumption defined over  $k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a curve and  $p$  a prime of good reduction for  $X$ . Suppose  $X(\mathbb{F}_q) > d(q+1)$  for some  $d$ . Then  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) > d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$  be a function of degree  $\leq d$ . Then for any  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  we have  $\#f^{-1}(c) \leq d$ . Since  $f$  sends  $X(\mathbb{F}_q)$  into  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , it follows that  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq d(q+1)$ .  $\square$

**2.1. Lower bounds for gonality over  $\mathbb{C}$ .** Here we use proven parts of Green's conjecture to obtain a lower bound for  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X$ , which in turn gives us a lower bound on  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X$  by (1). We mostly follow the notation of [17], stated in the language of divisors instead of line bundles. A  $g_d^r$  is a subspace  $V$  of  $L(D)$ , for a divisor  $D$  on  $X$ , such that  $\text{deg } D = d$  and  $\dim V = r + 1$ . Clearly  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X$  is the smallest  $d$  such that  $X$  has a  $g_d^1$ .

Let  $D$  be a divisor on  $X$  and  $K$  a canonical divisor on  $X$ . The *Clifford index* of  $D$  is the integer

$$\text{Cliff}(D) := \text{deg } D - 2(\ell(D) - 1),$$

and the *Clifford index* of  $X$  is

$$\text{Cliff}(X) := \min\{\text{Cliff}(D) \mid \ell(D) \geq 2 \text{ and } \ell(K - D) > 0\}.$$

The Clifford index gives bounds for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality of  $X$  (see [5]):

$$(5) \quad \text{Cliff}(X) + 2 \leq \text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X \leq \text{Cliff}(X) + 3.$$

The *Clifford dimension* of  $X$  is defined to be

$$\text{CD}(X) := \min\{\ell(D) - 1 \mid \text{Cliff}(D) = \text{Cliff}(X)\}.$$

Let  $X$  be a non-hyperelliptic curve. It has a canonical embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ . Let  $S := \mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_{g-1}]$ , let  $I_X$  be the ideal of  $X$  and  $S_X$  be  $S$ -module  $S_X := S/I_X$ . Let

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow F_{g-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow S_X \rightarrow 0$$

be the minimal free resolution of  $S_X$ , where

$$F_i = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S(-i-j)^{\beta_{i,j}}.$$

The numbers  $\beta_{i,j}$  are called the *graded Betti numbers*. Green's conjecture relates graded Betti numbers with the existence of  $g_d^r$ . We state it as in [24, p.84] (note that the indices of Betti numbers are defined differently there).

**Conjecture 2.7** (Green [10]). *Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $g$ . Then  $\beta_{p,2} \neq 0$  if and only if there exists a divisor  $D$  on  $X$  of degree  $d$  such that a subspace  $g_d^r$  of  $L(D)$  satisfies  $d \leq g-1$ ,  $r = \ell(D) - 1 \geq 1$  and  $d - 2r \leq p$ .*

The “if” part of the statement has been proved by Green and Lazarsfeld in the appendix of [10].

**Theorem 2.8** (Green and Lazarsfeld, Appendix to [10]). *Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $g$ . If  $\beta_{p,2} = 0$ , then there does not exist a divisor  $D$  on  $X$  of degree  $d$  such that a subspace  $g_d^r$  of  $L(D)$  satisfies  $d \leq g-1$ ,  $r = \ell(D) - 1 \geq 1$  and  $d - 2r \leq p$ .*

For the ease of the reader we state the direct consequence of this theorem that we are going to use.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $g \geq 6$  with  $\beta_{3,2} = 0$ . Then  $X$  has no  $g_5^1$ .*

### 3. UPPER BOUNDS

In this section we give all the results used to obtain upper bounds for the gonality of  $X_0(N)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a curve of genus  $g$  over a field  $k$ .*

- (i) *If  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{gon}_k(X) \leq g + 1$ . If  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $g \geq 2$ , then  $\text{gon}_k(X) \leq g$ .*
- (ii) *If  $k$  is algebraically closed, then  $\text{gon}_k(X) \leq \lfloor \frac{g+3}{2} \rfloor$ .*

*Proof.* This is [23, Proposition A.1 (iv) and (v)]. □

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant morphism of curves over  $k$ . Then  $\text{gon}_k(X) \leq \deg f \cdot \text{gon}_k(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* This is trivial; see also [23, Proposition A.1 (vi)]. □

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $p$  be a rational prime. There exists a morphism from  $X_0(pN)$  to  $X_0(N)$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is of degree  $p+1$  if  $p \nmid N$  and of degree  $p$  if  $p \mid N$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $\pi_p : X_0(pN) \rightarrow X_0(N)$  sends the point corresponding to  $(E, C_{pN})$ , where  $C_{pN}$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $E$  of order  $pN$ , to  $(E, pC_{pN})$ . Thus the degree of  $\pi_p$  is the number of points  $(E, X)$  that satisfy  $\pi_p((E, X)) = (E, C_N)$  for a given a fixed subgroup  $C_N$  of  $E$ . This is equal to the number of cyclic subgroups  $X$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/pN\mathbb{Z})^2$  which satisfy  $pX = C_N$ , which is easily seen to be as claimed. □

We now state the Tower theorem and two very useful corollaries.

**Theorem 3.4** (The Tower theorem). *Let  $C$  be a curve defined over a perfect field  $k$  and  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a non-constant morphism over  $\bar{k}$  of degree  $d$ . Then there exists a curve  $C'$  defined over  $k$  and a non-constant morphism defined over  $k$  of degree  $d'$  dividing  $d$  such that*

$$g(C') \leq \left( \frac{d}{d'} - 1 \right)^2.$$

*Proof.* This is [21, Theorem 2.1]. For a published proof, see [23, Proposition 2.4].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $C$  be a curve defined over a perfect field  $k$  such that  $C(k) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a non-constant morphism over  $\bar{k}$  of prime degree  $d$  such that  $g(C) > (d-1)^2$ . Then there exists a non-constant morphism  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree  $d$  defined over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* From [11, Corollary 1.7.] it immediately follows that there exists a curve  $C'$  of genus 0 and a non-constant morphism  $C \rightarrow C'$  of degree  $d$  defined over  $k$ . Since  $C(k) \neq \emptyset$ , it follows  $C'(k) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $C'$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  over  $k$ , proving our claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** (i) *Let  $C$  be a curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of genus  $\geq 5$  which is trigonal over  $\mathbb{C}$  and such that  $C(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $C$  is trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $C$  be a curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = 4$  and  $g(X) \geq 10$  and such that  $C(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = 4$ .*

*Proof.* Part (i) follows immediately from Corollary 3.5 by specializing  $C'$  to be  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $d$  to be 3.

To prove part (ii) we note that, by Theorem 3.4,  $C$  will have a map of degree  $d'$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  dividing 4 to a curve of genus  $\leq (4/d' - 1)^2$ , so  $d'$  cannot be 1. If  $d'$  is 2, then  $X$  is bielliptic (and is tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). If  $d'$  is 4, then  $X$  is tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , as required.  $\square$

## 4. RESULTS

In this section we apply the results of Section 2 and Section 3 to the modular curves  $X_0(N)$ . An overview of the results and the location of the proofs can be found in the tables at the end of the paper. The hyperelliptic cases have been settled by Ogg [22]. We start by recalling the results [11], where it was determined which curves  $X_0(n)$  are trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is 3 for  $N = 34, 43, 45, 54, 64, 81$ .*

*Proof.* This is proved in [11, p.138].  $\square$

We move on to tetragonal curves. In two cases we prove the existence tetragonal map over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by searching among Riemann-Roch spaces of effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational degree 4 divisors.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 85$  and 88 is 4.*

*Proof.* The lower bounds come from the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonalities of these curves. To prove the upper bound, we construct a function of degree 4 by looking at the Riemann-Roch spaces of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational divisors of degree 4 whose support is in the quadratic points obtained by the pullbacks of rational points on  $X_0^*(85)$  and  $X_0^+(88)$ , respectively. We note that in the case  $N = 85$  we were unable to obtain such functions by looking at pullbacks from rational points on  $X_0(N)/w_d$ , for any of the Atkin-Lehner involutions.  $\square$

In the next few propositions we again recall the results of [11], splitting them into several propositions, depending on the arguments used.

**Proposition 4.3.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is 4 for  $N = 38, 44, 53, 61$ .*

*Proof.* This follows by [11, p.137] or Proposition 3.1. □

**Proposition 4.4.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is 4 for*

$$N = 78, 94, 104, 111, 119, 131, 142, 143, 167, 191.$$

*Proof.* This is proved in [11, p.139]; it is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.6 (ii) since all these curves have  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality 4 by [17] and genus  $\geq 10$ . □

**Proposition 4.5.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is 4 for*

$$N = 51, 55, 56, 60, 62, 63, 65, 69, 75, 79, 83, 89, 92, 95, 101.$$

*Proof.* This is again proved in [11, p.139]; but as the proof is short and instructive, we repeat it here. All these curves have  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality 4 by Corollary 3.6. Also, by [2] all these curves are bielliptic and have a bielliptic involution  $w$  of Atkin-Lehner type. Hence the maps  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/w$  are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and hence so is the degree 4 function obtained by composing  $\pi$  with a degree 2 rational function on the elliptic curve  $X/w$ . □

**Proposition 4.6.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is 4 for the following values of  $N$ :*

$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$	$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$
42	5	42	2	77	7	77	2
52	5	52	2	80	7	80	2
57	5	57	2	87	9	87	2
58	6	29	2	91	7	91	2
66	9	11	2	98	7	98	2
67	5	67	2	100	7	4	2
68	7	68	2	103	8	103	2
70	9	35	2	107	9	107	2
73	5	73	2	121	6	121	2
74	8	74	2	125	8	125	2

*Proof.* This is proved in [11, p.139] and the argument of the proof is the same as of Proposition 4.5, with the only difference being that the quotients  $X_0(N)/w_d$  are of genus 2 and hence necessarily hyperelliptic. □

Now we produce upper bounds on the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality by considering the degeneracy maps  $X_0(N) \rightarrow X_0(d)$  for  $d|N$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is bounded from above for the following values of  $N$ :*



$N$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_0(N)) \leq$	$d$	$\text{deg}$	$N$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_0(N)) \leq$	$d$	$\text{deg}$
72	4	36	2	144	6	48	3
82	6	41	3	148	8	74	2
90	6	30	3	150	8	50	4
96	4	48	2	156	8	78	2
99	6	33	3	160	8	80	2
108	6	36	3	175	8	25	8
117	6	39	3	176	8	88	2
118	6	59	3	184	8	92	2
132	8	66	2	192	8	96	2
136	8	68	2	196	8	98	2
140	8	70	2	200	8	100	2

*Proof.* There exists a morphism  $f : X_0(N) \rightarrow X_0(d)$  of degree  $\text{deg}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Proposition 3.3. Therefore,  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_0(N)) \leq \text{deg} \cdot \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_0(d))$ .  $\square$

Next we obtain upper bounds on  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(N)$  by considering Atkin-Lehner quotients.

**Proposition 4.8.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality is bounded from above for the following values of  $N$  as listed in the table below, with  $X := X_0(N)$  and  $Y := X_0(N)/w_d$ :*

$N$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \leq$	$d$	$g(Y)$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} Y \leq$	$N$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \leq$	$d$	$g(Y)$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} Y$
76	6	76	3	3	145	8	29	4	4
86	6	86	3	3	149	6	149	3	3
97	6	97	3	3	151	6	151	3	3
105	6	35	3	3	161	8	161	4	4
110	8	55	4	4	169	6	169	3	3
113	6	113	3	3	173	8	173	4	4
123	6	41	3	3	177	8	59	4	4
124	6	31	3	3	179	6	179	3	3
127	6	127	3	3	188	8	47	4	4
128	6	128	3	3	199	8	199	4	4
133	8	19	4	4	215	6	215	4	3
135	6	135	4	3	239	6	239	3	3
139	6	139	3	3	251	8	251	4	4
141	6	47	3	3	311	8	311	4	4

*Proof.* In all of the cases above  $Y$  is known to not be hyperelliptic. As there exists a morphism of degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $X_0(N)/w_d$ , it follows that

$$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_0(N)) \leq 2 \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y) \leq 2g(Y).$$

In the cases  $N = 135$  and  $215$  in the table above where we have  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} Y \leq 3 < g(Y) = 4$ , this was obtained by explicitly computing the trigonal map  $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  and observing that it is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality is  $\leq 8$  for the following values of  $N$ :*

$N$	$d_1, d_2$	$g(Y)$	$N$	$d_1, d_2$	$g(Y)$
102	2, 51	2	171	9, 19	3
106	2, 53	2	190	19, 95	2
114	3, 38	2	195	5, 39	3
120	8, 15	2	205	5, 41	2
126	2, 63	2	206	2, 103	2
130	10, 26	2	209	11, 19	2
134	2, 67	2	213	3, 71	2
138	3, 69	2	221	13, 17	2
153	9, 17	2	279	9, 31	5
158	2, 79	2	284	4, 71	2
165	11, 15	3	287	7, 41	2
168	24, 56	4	299	13, 23	2

*Proof.* There exists a morphism of degree 4 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $X_0(N)/\langle w_{d_1}, w_{d_2} \rangle$ . All these quotients are hyperelliptic by [9]. Therefore,

$$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_0(N)) \leq 4 \cdot 2 = 8.$$

□

As mentioned in Section 2, an important technique for obtaining a lower bound for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality is by computing the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -gonality. We will use certain tricks to greatly reduce the computational time needed to give a lower bound for the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -gonality. The following two propositions explain how we do this in more detail.

**Proposition 4.10.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 99$  is 6.*

*Proof.* Let  $X := X_0(99)$ . We compute  $X(\mathbb{F}_5) = 6$ . Suppose  $f$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational function of degree  $\leq 5$ . By Lemma 2.1 a), it follows that either there is a point  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_5)$  such that  $f^{-1}(c)$  contains no  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational points, or  $\#f^{-1}(c) = 1$  for every  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_5)$  and every non-constant  $f \in \mathbb{F}_5(C)$  of degree  $\leq 5$ .

Suppose the former and let  $g(x) := 1/(f(x) - c)$ . Hence  $g^{-1}(\infty)$  has no  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational points. Hence  $g$  lies in the Riemann-Roch space of a divisor of one of the following forms:  $D_5$ ,  $D_4$ ,  $D_3 + D_2$  or  $D_2 + D'_2$ , where  $D_i$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -rational effective divisor of degree  $i$ . Searching among the Riemann-Roch spaces of such divisors, we find that there are no non-constant functions.

Suppose now the latter. Now we can fix a  $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_5)$  and suppose without loss of generality that  $g^{-1}(\infty)(\mathbb{F}_5) = P$ . Hence  $g$  will be found in the Riemann-Roch spaces of  $P + D_4$ ,  $P + D_2 + D'_2$  or  $P + D_3$ , where the notation is as before. Searching among the Riemann-Roch spaces of such divisors, we find that there are no non-constant functions.

□

**Proposition 4.11.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 130$  is 8.*

*Proof.* Let  $X := X_0(130)$ . We compute  $X(\mathbb{F}_3) = 8$ . Suppose  $f$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -rational function of degree  $\leq 7$ . By Lemma 2.1 a), it follows that either there is a point  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_3)$  such that  $f^{-1}(c)$  contains at most one  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -rational point or  $\#f^{-1}(c) = 2$  for every  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

Suppose the former and let  $g(x) := 1/(f(x) - c)$ . Hence  $g^{-1}(\infty)$  has one  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -rational point. Hence  $f$  lies in the Riemann-Roch space of an effective degree 7 divisor supported on at

most 1 rational point. Searching among the Riemann-Roch spaces of such divisors, we find that there are no non-constant functions.

Suppose now the latter. Now we can fix a  $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and suppose without loss of generality that  $g^{-1}(\infty) = \{P, Q\}$  for some  $Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Hence  $g$  will be found in the Riemann-Roch space of an effective degree 7 divisor for which the set of rational points in the support is exactly  $\{P, Q\}$ , with  $Q$  varying through all  $Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Searching among the Riemann-Roch spaces of such divisors, we find that there are no non-constant functions.  $\square$

We apply a similar approach by producing a lower bound for the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -gonality to obtain a lower bound for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality for a large number of  $N$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** *A lower bound  $d$  for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is given in the following table:*

$N$	$d$	$p$	time	$N$	$d$	$p$	time	$N$	$d$	$p$	time	$N$	$d$	$p$	time
38	4	5	2 sec	115	6	3	56 sec	151	6	5	94 sec	181	6	3	9 sec
44	4	5	4 sec	116	6	3	10 sec	152	8	3	20.5 min	187	8	2	1.5 hrs
53	4	5	9 sec	117	6	5	10 sec	153	8	5	2 hrs	189	8	2	3 min
61	4	3	1 sec	118	6	3	12 sec	154	8	5	2 days	192	8	5	4 days
76	6	5	8 sec	122	6	3	55 sec	157	8	3	37 sec	193	6	3	28 sec
82	6	5	62 sec	127	6	3	24 sec	160	8	7	173 sec	196	8	5	2.9 hrs
84	6	5	67 min	128	6	3	4 sec	162	6	5	53 sec	197	6	3	36 min
86	6	3	135 sec	130	8	3	20 min	163	7	5	3 min	198	8	5	7 days
93	6	5	4 sec	132	8	6	22.2 hrs	169	6	5	2.5 min	200	8	3	1.6 hrs
99	6	5	94 sec	134	8	3	1.2 hrs	170	8	3	100.5 hrs	201	8	2	4 hrs
102	8	5	3.3 hrs	136	8	5	13.4 hrs	172	8	3	3.3 hrs	217	8	2	2 min
106	8	7	35 hrs	137	6	3	4 sec	175	2	2	18.7 sec	229	8	3	6.5 min
108	6	5	27 min	140	8	3	25.6 hrs	176	8	3	12 min	233	8	2	2 hrs
109	5	3	83 sec	144	6	5	56 sec	178	8	3	4.5 hrs	241	8	2	2.5 min
112	6	3	10 hrs	147	6	5	4.5 min	179	6	5	10 min	247	8	2	4 hrs
113	6	3	4 sec	148	8	6	3.2 hrs	180	7	7	9 days	277	8	6	7 days
114	8	5	53.2 hrs	150	8	7	34.5 hrs								

*Proof.* In all the cases we compute that there are no functions of degree  $< d$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p(X_0(N))$ , where  $p$  is as listed in the table. In computationally more demanding cases, i.e. when  $d$ ,  $p$  and the genus of  $X_0(N)$  are larger, we use techniques as in Propositions 4.10 and 4.11. All the **Magma** computations proving this can be found in our repository.  $\square$

In the next several propositions we look at Atkin-Lehner quotients, compute their gonality, and apply several other methods as necessary, to obtain the gonality of  $X_0(N)$ .

**Proposition 4.13.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  is equal to 6 for  $N$  in the table below. Out of these, for  $N \neq 122, 147, 162, 181$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality is also equal to 6.*

$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$	$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$
105	13	35	3	147	11	3	5
118	14	59	3	149	12	149	3
122	14	122	5	162	16	162	7
123	13	41	3	164	19	164	6
124	14	31	3	181	14	181	5
139	11	139	3	227	19	227	5
141	15	47	3	239	20	239	3
146	17	146	5				

*Proof.* For  $N = 105, 118, 123, 124, 139, 141, 149, 239$  the quotients  $Y = X_0(N)/w_d$  are trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since they are of genus 3. For  $N = 122, 146, 147, 162, 164, 181, 227$ , the quotients are trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since they are trigonal over  $\mathbb{C}$  of genus  $\geq 5$  by [12] and we can apply Corollary 3.6 (i). It follows that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(N) \leq 6$ . For  $N \neq 122, 147, 162, 181$  by Corollary 3.6 (ii) (to conclude  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X_0(n) \geq 5$ ) and Corollary 2.4, it follows that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X_0(N) \geq 6$ . For  $N = 122, 147, 162, 181$ ,  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(N) \geq 6$  follows from Proposition 4.12.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.14.** *The genus 4 quotients  $X_0^+(N)$  are trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for*

$$N = 84, 93, 115, 116, 129, 137, 155, 159.$$

*Proof.* We explicitly find degree 3 functions in  $\mathbb{Q}(X_0^+(N))$  by searching the Riemann-Roch spaces of divisors of the form  $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ , where  $P_i \in X_0^+(N)(\mathbb{Q})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.15.**  *$X_0(N)$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality 6 for  $N = 84, 93, 115, 116, 129, 135, 137, 155, 159, 215$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality 6 for  $N = 129, 135, 155, 159, 215$ .*

*Proof.* For  $N = 84, 93, 115, 116, 137$  the curve  $X_0(N)$  has no functions of degree  $\leq 5$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Proposition 4.12. For  $N = 129, 135, 155, 159, 215$  there are no functions of degree  $\leq 5$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  by Corollary 3.6 (ii) and Corollary 2.4. The upper bound for the gonality follows from Proposition 4.14.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.16.** *The quotients  $X_0^+(N)$  are tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for*

$$N = 152, 157, 163, 183, 185, 197, 203, 211, 223, 263, 269, 359.$$

*Proof.* All these quotients have genus 5 or 6 and are not of gonality  $\leq 3$  by [12]. We explicitly find degree 4 functions in  $\mathbb{Q}(X_0^+(N))$  using the Magma functions `Genus5GonalMap(C)` and `Genus6GonalMap(C)`.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.17.** *The following genus 4 quotients  $X_0(N)/w_d$  are **not** trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ :*

$N$	$d$	$p$	$N$	$d$	$p$
110	55	3	177	59	5
145	29	11	188	47	3
161	161	5	199	199	5
173	173	5			

*Proof.* We find that the quotients  $X_0(N)/w_d$  have no functions of degree 3 over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for  $d$  and  $p$  listed in the table above.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.18.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality is equal to 8 and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality is equal to 6 for  $N = 110, 161, 173, 177, 188, 199$ .*

*Proof.* Since all the curves have genus 4 quotients as in Proposition 4.17, we know that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} \leq 6$  from Proposition 3.1. We can now apply Corollary 2.4 to prove  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} = 6$ .

For  $N \neq 173$ , since the quotients are not trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we can apply Corollary 2.5 to prove  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} = 8$  (we already know that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq 8$  from Proposition 4.8).

For  $N = 173$ , since the quotient is not trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we can apply the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality similarly as in Corollary 2.5 and conclude that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_0(173)) \geq 7$ . Now we explicitly compute that there are no degree 7 functions over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  as in Proposition 4.12 (the computation takes 26 seconds).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.19.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality are equal to 8 for the following  $N$ :*

$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$	$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$
120	17	15	5	203	19	203	6
126	17	63	5	205	19	41	6
138	21	23	5	206	25	206	8
156	23	39	6	209	19	209	5
158	19	79	5	213	23	71	5
165	21	11	7	221	19	221	6
166	20	83	6	263	22	263	5
168	25	56	9	269	22	269	6
171	17	171	5	279	29	279	9
183	19	183	6	284	34	71	7
184	21	23	5	287	27	287	7
185	17	185	5	299	27	299	6
190	27	95	6	359	30	359	6
195	25	39	9				

*Proof.* Proposition 4.7, Proposition 4.9 and Proposition 4.16 give us the functions of degree 8 on  $X_0(N)$ . Since the quotients  $Y = X_0(N)/w_d$  are not trigonal over  $\mathbb{C}$  [12], they are not trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , either, and by applying Corollary 2.5 the result follows.  $\square$

We now deal with several  $X_0(N)$  which needed to be treated somewhat differently.

**Corollary 4.20.** *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality is at most 6 for  $N = 145$ .*

*Proof.* The quotient  $X_0(145)/w_{29}$  has genus 4. Therefore it is trigonal over  $\mathbb{C}$  by Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.21.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality and  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 109$  are 5.*

*Proof.* We construct a function of degree 5 by looking at the Riemann-Roch spaces of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational divisors of degree 5 whose support is in the quadratic points obtained by the pullbacks of rational points on  $X_0^+(109)$ .

We compute that there are no degree 4 functions over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  so the gonality cannot be  $\leq 4$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.22.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality and  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 90$  are 6.*

*Proof.* Let  $X := X_0(90)$ . We first note that the degree 3 map to  $X_0(30)$  gives an upper bound on the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality by Proposition 3.2. From [17, Theorem 0.1], it follows that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X > 4$ . By [15, Table 1], we see that  $\beta_{3,2} = 0$ , and hence we conclude by Corollary 2.9 that  $X$  has no  $g_5^1$ . It follows that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X \geq 6$  and hence  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X = \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X = 6$ . □

**Proposition 4.23.** *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality is equal to 6 for  $N = 215, 251, 311$ . The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality is equal to 8 for  $N = 251$  and  $311$  and is equal to 6 for  $N = 215$ .*

*Proof.* Since the quotients  $X_0^+(N)$  have genus 4 for these  $N$ , we know that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} \leq 6$  from Proposition 3.1. We can now apply Corollary 2.4 to prove  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X_0(N) = 6$ .

For  $N = 251, 311$  the quotients are not trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by [3] and we can apply the Corollary 2.5 to conclude that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(N) = 8$ . For  $N = 215$ , we have already seen in Proposition 4.8 that the  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(N) \leq 6$ , and hence  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(N) = 6$ . □

**Proposition 4.24.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 112$  is 6.*

*Proof.* The lower bound of 6 for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(112)$  has been proved in Proposition 4.12. To prove that the upper bound is equal to 6, we explicitly find a modular unit of degree 6 (after 10 hours of computation; see the accompanying **Magma** code for). □

**Proposition 4.25.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(N)$  for  $N = 271$  is 10 and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality is 8.*

*Proof.* The quotient  $X_0^+(271)$  is of genus 6 and pentagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (we found a function of degree 5 using the **Magma** function `Genus6GonalMap(C)`). Further, the quotient is not tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since it is not tetragonal over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ , but it is tetragonal over  $\mathbb{C}$  because of Proposition 3.1. We can now use Proposition 2.3 to prove that there are no functions  $f : X_0(271) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree  $\leq 7$  and that there are no rational functions  $f : X_0(271) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree  $\leq 9$ . □

Finally, we prove that the lower bound for  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X_0(N)$  where we can.

**Proposition 4.26.** *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality is at least 6 for*

$$N = 114, 132, 134, 135, 140, 145, 150, 151, 152, 160, 165, 166, 168, 170, 171, 172, \\ 174, 175, 176, 178, 182, 183, 185, 186, 189, 192, 194, 195, 196 \text{ and } N \geq 198.$$

*Proof.* This is [11, Proposition 4.4]. □

**Proposition 4.27.** *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality for  $N = 102, 150, 152, 153, 157, 175, 211, 223$  is bounded from below for the following values of  $N$ :*

$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} \geq$	$N$	$g(X_0(N))$	$d$	$g(Y)$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} \geq$
102	15	51	5	6	210	41	35	15	8
150	19	75	7	6	211	17	211	6	6
152	17	152	6	6	214	26	107	9	8
174	27	87	8	8	219	23	219	8	7
175	15	175	5	6	223	18	223	6	7
186	29	62	11	8	257	21	257	7	7
194	23	97	7	8	281	23	281	7	8
202	24	101	9	7	293	24	293	8	8
204	31	68	12	8					

*Proof.* Using the degree 2 maps to  $X_0(N)/w_d$ , we can apply the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality similarly as in Corollary 2.5 and get the lower bound for  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_0(N))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.28.** *The  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality for  $N = 84, 86, 93, 106, 115, 127, 128, 133, 137$  is greater or equal to 6.*

*Proof.* By [17],  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X_0(N) \geq 5$ . By [15, Table 1], we see that  $\beta_{3,2} = 0$  for all  $N \neq 86, 127$ , while for  $N = 86, 127$  we compute  $\beta_{2,2} = \beta_{3,2} = 0$  in **Magma** (the computations take 3.5 and 1.5 hours, respectively). We conclude by Corollary 2.9 that  $X_0(N)$  has no  $g_5^1$ , hence  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X_0(N) \geq 6$ .  $\square$

## 5. MORDELL-WEIL SIEVING ON BRILL-NOETHER VARIETIES

The only cases that remain unsolved for  $N < 145$  are  $N = 97$  and  $133$  for which we have  $5 \leq \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(97) \leq 6$  and  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(133) \leq 8$ . In this section we show that the upper bound is correct in both cases and also prove that  $7 \leq \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X_0(145)$ .

For a curve  $X$ , denote by  $W_d^r(X)$  the closed subvariety of  $\text{Pic}^d(X)$  classifying divisor classes of effective divisors  $D$  of degree  $d$  which are contained in linear systems of dimension  $\geq r$ , or equivalently, for which  $\ell(D) \geq r + 1$ . Obviously, a curve  $X$  has a function of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $X = X_0(N)$ ,  $J_0(N)$  the Jacobian of  $X_0(N)$ ,  $J_0(N)^- := (1 - w_N)J_0(N)$  and  $w = w_N$  be the Fricke involution on  $X$  and denote also by  $w$  the induced involutions on  $\text{Div } X$  and  $\text{Pic}^d X$ . Let  $\mu : \text{Pic}^d X \rightarrow J(X)$  be the map defined by  $\mu(D) := D - w(D)$ . We obviously have that the  $\mu(J_0(N)) \subset J_0(N)^-$ . For  $N = 97$  and  $133$ , we compute that  $J_0(N)^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is of rank 0 by computing that its analytic rank is 0 (see e.g. [6, Section 3]).

Suppose  $D \in W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $p > 2$  is a prime of good reduction for  $X$ . We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & J_0(N)^-(\mathbb{Q}) , \\ \downarrow \text{red}_p & & \downarrow \text{red}_p \\ W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & J_0(N)^-(\mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are reduction modulo  $p$ . Suppose now that there exists a  $D \in W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{Q})$ . The set  $W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{F}_p)$  can be computed in practice by simply finding all the effective degree  $d$  divisors whose Riemann-Roch spaces have dimension  $\geq 2$ . Note that in our cases  $J_0(N)^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is a torsion group and  $\text{red}_p$  is injective on the torsion of  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})$ . Hence we have that  $\mu(D)$  lies in  $\text{red}_p^{-1}(\mu(W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{F}_p)))$ . The same procedure can be applied for a set  $S$  of multiple primes  $p > 2$  of good reduction, in which case we get

$$\mu(D) \in \bigcap_{p \in S} \text{red}_p^{-1}(\mu(W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{F}_p))).$$

If

$$\bigcap_{p \in S} \text{red}_p^{-1}(\mu(W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{F}_p))) = \emptyset$$

it follows that  $W_d^1(X)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$  and indeed this is what we will show. In our cases it will be enough to take  $S$  consisting of a single prime.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(97)$  is 6 and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(133)$  is 8. The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(145)$  is  $\geq 7$ .*

*Proof.* By [19, Theorem 4] we know that  $J_0(97)^-(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$  and is generated by  $D_0 = [0 - \infty]$ , where 0 and  $\infty$  are the two cusps of  $X_0(97)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{red}_3^{-1}(\mu(W_5^1(X_0(97)(\mathbb{F}_3)))) &= \{0\}, & \text{red}_5^{-1}(\mu(W_5^1(X_0(97)(\mathbb{F}_5)))) &= \{D_0, 7D_0\}, \\ \text{red}_7^{-1}(\mu(W_5^1(X_0(97)(\mathbb{F}_7)))) &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Hence sieving with either  $\{3, 5\}$  or just the prime 7 proves that  $W_5^1(X_0(97))(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ . Hence it follows that  $X_0(97)$  is of gonality 6 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The cases of  $X_0(133)$  and  $X_0(145)$  are more involved than  $X_0(97)$  because we cannot compute the torsion group exactly. The rank of  $J_0(N)^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is 0 in both cases, so  $J_0(N)^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is contained in  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ .

First we solve the case  $N = 133$ . Using the methods of [6, Section 4], we obtain that  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/360\mathbb{Z}$ . We find cuspidal divisors (i.e. divisors supported on cusps of  $X_0(N)$ )  $A, B$  which generate a subgroup  $T := \langle A, B \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/180\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus it follows that for any  $x \in J_0(N)^-(\mathbb{Q})$ , we have  $2x \in T$ . Hence we use the map  $2\mu$ , sending a divisor  $D$  to  $2(D - w(D))$  instead of  $\mu$  (which we used for  $X_0(97)$ ). For sieving, we will just need to use the prime 3. We observe that  $\#X_0(133)(\mathbb{F}_3) = 8$ , so if there exists a function of degree 7 on  $X_0(133)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then there has to exist a function of degree 7 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose reduction modulo 3 has a polar divisor that is supported on at most 2  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -rational points, using the same arguments as in Lemma 2.1. Thus we need only search effective divisors supported on at most 2  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -rational points; if  $R \subset W_7^1(X_0(133))(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is the set of all divisors classes in  $W_7^1(X_0(133))(\mathbb{F}_3)$  represented by divisors supported on at most 2  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -rational points, then

$$\text{red}_3^{-1}(2 \cdot \mu(R)) = \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad \text{red}_3^{-1}(2 \cdot \mu(W_7^1(X_0(133))(\mathbb{F}_3))) = \emptyset.$$

This is exactly what we obtain, proving the result.

Now we solve the case  $N = 145$ . Using the methods of [6, Section 4] again, we obtain that  $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/14\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/140\mathbb{Z}$ . We find cuspidal divisors  $A, B$  which generate a subgroup  $T := \langle A, B \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/14\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/140\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence we use the map  $2\mu$  as in the case  $N = 133$ . For sieving, we will again just need to use the prime 3. Using the same techniques as for the  $N = 133$  we obtain

$$\text{red}_3^{-1}(2 \cdot \mu(R)) = \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad \text{red}_3^{-1}(2 \cdot \mu(W_6^1(X_0(145))(\mathbb{F}_3))) = \emptyset$$

which proves that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of  $X_0(145)$  is  $\geq 7$  as desired.  $\square$

For  $N = 97$ , the program described in Proposition 5.1 terminates after 7.6 minutes, for  $N = 133$  after 6.3 hours, and for  $N = 145$  after 1.6 minutes.

## 6. PENTAGONAL CURVES

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Ogg [22] determined the hyperelliptic curves  $X_0(N)$ . Jeon [14, Theorem 3.2] determined all  $X_0(N)$  that are trigonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; note that Proposition 4.1 reproves this. In Theorem 1.2, which follows from Propositions 4.1 - 4.8, we determined all curves tetragonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Proposition 4.21 tells us that for  $N = 109$  the curve is pentagonal.



Hasegawa and Shimura [11] have proved that the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality (and therefore the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality) is  $\geq 6$  for  $N \geq 198$ . In Propositions 4.7 - 4.24 we proved that for the remaining (i.e. those not of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality  $\leq 4$ )  $X_0(N)$  with  $N \leq 197$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality is  $\geq 6$ . Hence it follows that for  $N \neq 109$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality is either smaller or larger than 5, proving the result.  $\square$

## 7. HEXAGONAL CURVES

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 by showing that there are no curves  $X_0(N)$  which are hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  besides those that we will list in Theorem 7.5. An important tool used here is the following inequality of Ogg. It originally appeared as [22, Theorem 3.1], but we state it in the simpler form as in [11, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 7.1.** *For a prime  $p \nmid N$ , let*

$$L_p(N) := \frac{p-1}{12}\psi(N) + 2^{\omega(N)},$$

where  $\psi(N) = N \prod_{q|N} (1 + \frac{1}{q})$  and  $\omega(N)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of  $N$ . Then

$$L_p(N) \leq \#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}).$$

This allows us to get a finite and moreover a relatively small list of possible values  $N$  for which  $X_0(N)$  is hexagonal.

**Proposition 7.2.** *The curve  $X_0(N)$  is not hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for  $N > 335$  and*

$$N \in \{220, 222, 224 - 226, 228, 230 - 232, 234, 236 - 238, 242, 244 - 246, 248, 250, 252, \\ 254 - 256, 258, 260 - 262, 264 - 268, 270, 272 - 276, 278, 280, 282, 285, 286, 288, \\ 290, 292, 294 - 298, 300 - 306, 308 - 310, 312, 314 - 316, 318 - 330, 332 - 335\}.$$

*Proof.* If the curve  $X_0(N)$  is hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we must have  $\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \leq 6(p^2 + 1)$  by setting  $q = p^2$  in Lemma 2.6. Therefore, the inequality  $L_p(N) \leq 6(p^2 + 1)$  must hold for every prime  $p \nmid N$ . Now using the same technique as in [11, Lemma 3.2.] we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.3.** *The curve  $X_0(N)$  is not hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for the following  $N$ :*

$N$	$p$	$\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$	$N$	$p$	$\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$
182	3	64	253	2	32
207	2	32	259	2	34
208	3	68	283	3	64
216	5	168	289	2	32
218	3	64	307	3	68
235	2	32	313	3	68
240	7	312	317	2	35
243	2	33	331	2	37

*Proof.* For each of these  $N$  we have that  $\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) > 6(p^2 + 1)$  from which it follows by Lemma 2.6 that  $X_0(N)$  is not hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.4.** *The curve  $X_0(212)$  is not hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* The curve  $X_0(106)$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality equal to 8 by Proposition 4.9 and Proposition 4.12. Therefore, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality of the curve  $X_0(212)$  must be at least 8 by Proposition 2.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.5.** *The modular curve  $X_0(N)$  is hexagonal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if*

$$N \in \{76, 82, 84, 86, 90, 93, 97, 99, 108, 112, 113, 115 - 118, 122 - 124, 127 - 129, 135, \\ 137, 139, 141, 144, 146, 147, 149, 151, 155, 159, 162, 164, 169, 179, 181, 215, 227, 239\}$$

*Proof.* This follows from Propositions 4.7 - 4.24 and 7.2 - 7.4. □

## 8. LIMITS OF OUR METHODS AND FUTURE WORK

In this section we briefly discuss why our methods can't be pushed much further for  $X_0(N)$ , and how they could be applied to other modular curves, or even more generally, to arbitrary curves.

**8.1. Complexity and obstacles to pushing further.** It is a natural question what stopped us from going further, i.e. determining the gonality for larger  $N$ . Unfortunately, as  $N$  gets larger, computations get much harder. As the genus of  $X_0(N)$  becomes larger, computing models, their quotients, and computations in Riemann-Roch spaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$  all become much more difficult. Furthermore, as the gonality gets larger, the degrees of divisors and the sheer number of divisors needed to be considered (as in Proposition 4.12) makes computations of the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -gonality far more difficult. In particular, the most computationally demanding computations that we do are the ones to determine a lower bound for the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -gonality. This requires computing the dimension of a huge number of Riemann-Roch spaces. While the complexity of computing a single Riemann-Roch space is polynomial in the size of the input (see [13]), the number of Riemann-Roch spaces that need to be computed to give a lower bound of  $d$  for the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -gonality is  $O(p^d)$ . By [1, Theorem 0.1] we can expect the gonality of  $X_0(N)$  to grow linearly in  $N$ , which suggests that the number of Riemann-Roch spaces grows that need to be checked exponentially with  $N$  (and doubly exponentially with the size of  $N$ ). The necessity of choosing (very) small  $p$  when computing the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -gonality is clear from the complexity discussion above.

It should be clear that our methods do not give an algorithm for computing the gonality of  $X_0(N)$ . They produce a lower bound and an upper bound, but there is no guarantee that they will be equal. In practice this (the bounds not matching) is exactly what happens for  $N$  larger than the ones that we list. It often happens that for a curve  $X$ , one has  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_p} X < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X$ . For example this happens when  $n = \text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}} X < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}} X$ , and a degree  $n$  map to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is defined over a number field  $K$  in which  $p$  splits completely. Then it follows that  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_p} X \leq n$ , and hence the lower bound obtained by computing  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_p} X$  will not be sharp.

In practice, for the  $N$ -s where we started encountering difficulties and were unable to compute the exact gonality, the bounds not matching was the more common problem than the computations being too demanding.

**8.2. Applications and future work.** As has been mentioned in the introduction, the LMFDB will contain modular curves  $X_{\Gamma}$  for all congruence subgroups  $\Gamma \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  up to some level. Since the methods we use to obtain lower and upper bounds for the gonality in Section 2 and Section 3, respectively, work for any curve, the same methods should be, in principle, able to determine the gonality of many other  $X_{\Gamma}$ . However, there are several properties of  $X_0(N)$  that make them particularly amenable to our methods. The first is the existence of Atkin-Lehner involutions on  $X_0(N)$ . Their existence makes us, on one hand

able to construct explicit functions, giving upper bounds, and on the other hand to use the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality to obtain lower bounds. Another useful property of  $X_0(N)$  is the existence of rational points, namely cusps. This is a necessary assumption in some of the methods that we use, e.g. Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5. While other modular curves have rational cusps, many interesting ones, such as  $X_{ns}^+(p)$  (the modular curves corresponding to the normalizer of the non-split Cartan subgroup) don't.

As an application of our results, the second author has been working on determining the  $N$  for which  $X_0(N)$  have infinitely many quartic points. The main obstacle to solving the problem is determining whether a given  $X_0(N)$  has a degree 4 map to an elliptic curve.

## 9. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

We summarize our results in the following tables. For each value of  $N$ , there are 7 entries, listed in the order that they appear in: the genus  $g$ , the gonality of  $X_0(N)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (denoted by  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), references to how the lower and upper bound for the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality were obtained (denoted by LB and UB, respectively), the gonality of  $X_0(N)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  (denoted by  $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) and finally references to how the lower and upper bound for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -gonality were obtained (again denoted by LB and UB, respectively).

For larger  $N$ , we show only those whose  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gonality we have determined and skip the others.

$N$	$g$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	LB	UB	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	LB	UB	$N$	$g$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	LB	UB	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	LB	UB
$\leq 10$	0	1			1			11	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
12	0	1			1			13	0	1			1		
14	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	15	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
16	0	1			1			17	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
18	0	1			1			19	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
20	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	21	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
22	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	23	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
24	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	25	0	1			1		
26	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	27	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
28	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	29	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
30	3	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	31	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
32	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	33	3	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
34	3	3	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.1	3	[11]	[11]	35	3	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
36	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	37	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
38	4	4	4.12	4.3	3	[11]	[11]	39	3	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
40	3	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	41	3	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
42	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	43	3	3	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.1	3	[11]	[11]
44	4	4	4.12	4.3	3	[11]	[11]	45	3	3	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.1	3	[11]	[11]
46	5	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	47	4	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
48	3	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	49	1	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
50	2	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]	51	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
52	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	53	4	4	4.12	4.3	3	[11]	[11]
54	4	3	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.1	3	[11]	[11]	55	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
56	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]	57	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
58	6	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	59	5	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
60	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	61	4	4	4.12	4.3	3	[11]	[11]
62	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]	63	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
64	3	3	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.1	3	[11]	[11]	65	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
66	9	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	67	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
68	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	69	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
70	9	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	71	6	2	[22]	[22]	2	[22]	[22]
72	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.7	4	[17]	[17]	73	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
74	8	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	75	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
76	8	6	4.12	4.8	5	[17]	3.1	77	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
78	11	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.4	4	[17]	[17]	79	6	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
80	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	81	4	3	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.1	3	[11]	[11]
82	9	6	4.12	4.7	[5, 6]	[17]	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	83	5	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
84	11	6	4.12	4.15	6	4.28	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	85	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.2	4	4.28	[17]
86	10	6	4.12	4.8	6	[17]	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	87	9	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
88	9	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.2	4	[17]	[17]	89	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
90	11	6	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.22	6	4.22	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	91	7	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
92	10	4	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.5	4	[17]	[17]	93	9	6	4.12	4.15	6	4.28	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$

TABLE 1. Gonality of  $X_0(N)$ , for  $N \leq 93$ .

$N$	$g$	gon <sub>Q</sub>	LB	UB	gon <sub>C</sub>	LB	UB	$N$	$g$	gon <sub>Q</sub>	LB	UB	gon <sub>C</sub>	LB	UB
94	11	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.4	4	[17]	[17]	95	9	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
96	9	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.7	4	[17]	[17]	97	7	6	5.1	4.8	5	[17]	3.1
98	7	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	99	9	6	4.10	4.7	4	[17]	[17]
100	7	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.6	4	[17]	[17]	101	8	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.5	4	[17]	[17]
102	15	8	4.12	4.9	[6, 8]	4.27	gon <sub>Q</sub>	103	8	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
104	11	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.4	4	[17]	[17]	105	13	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	gon <sub>Q</sub>
106	12	8	4.12	4.9	[6, 7]	4.28	3.1	107	9	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
108	10	6	4.12	4.5	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	109	8	5	4.12	4.21	4	[17]	[17]
110	15	8	4.18	4.18	6	4.18	4.18	111	9	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.4	4	[17]	[17]
112	11	6	4.12	4.24	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	113	9	6	4.12	4.8	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>
114	17	8	4.12	4.9	[6, 8]	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>	115	11	6	4.12	4.15	6	4.28	gon <sub>Q</sub>
116	13	6	4.12	4.15	6	4.15	gon <sub>Q</sub>	117	11	6	4.12	4.7	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>
118	14	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	4.13	119	11	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.4	4	[17]	[17]
120	17	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	121	6	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
122	14	6	4.13	4.13	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	123	13	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	gon <sub>Q</sub>
124	14	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	4.13	125	8	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.6	4	[17]	[17]
126	17	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	127	10	6	4.12	4.8	6	4.28	gon <sub>Q</sub>
128	9	6	4.12	4.8	6	4.28	gon <sub>Q</sub>	129	13	6	4.12	4.15	6	4.15	4.15
130	17	8	4.11	4.9	[6, 8]	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>	131	11	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.4	4	[17]	[17]
132	19	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>	133	11	8	5.1	4.8	6	4.28	4.20
134	16	8	4.12	4.8	[6, 8]	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>	135	13	6	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.8	6	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>
136	15	8	4.12	4.7	[5, 8]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	137	11	6	4.12	4.15	6	4.28	gon <sub>Q</sub>
138	21	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	139	11	6	4.13	4.8	6	4.13	4.13
140	19	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>	141	15	6	4.13	4.8	6	4.13	4.13
142	17	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.4	4	[17]	[17]	143	13	4	gon <sub>C</sub>	4.4	4	[17]	[17]
144	13	6	4.12	4.7	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	145	13	[7, 8]	5.1	4.8	6	4.26	4.20
146	17	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	4.13	147	11	6	4.12	4.13	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>
148	17	8	4.12	4.7	[5, 8]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	149	12	6	4.13	4.8	6	4.13	4.13
150	19	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.27	gon <sub>Q</sub>	151	12	6	4.12	4.8	6	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>
152	17	8	4.12	4.16	[6, 8]	4.27	gon <sub>Q</sub>	153	15	8	4.12	4.9	[5, 8]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>
154	21	[8, 12]	4.12	4.7	[5, 12]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	155	15	6	4.15	4.15	6	4.15	4.15
156	23	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	157	12	8	4.12	4.16	[5, 7]	[17]	3.1
158	19	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	159	17	6	4.15	4.15	6	4.15	4.15
160	17	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.26	gon <sub>Q</sub>	161	15	8	4.18	4.18	6	4.18	4.18
162	16	6	4.12	4.13	[5, 6]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>	163	13	[7, 8]	4.12	4.16	[5, 8]	[17]	gon <sub>Q</sub>
164	19	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	4.13	165	21	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
166	20	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	167	14	4	4.4	4.4	4	[17]	[17]
168	25	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	169	8	6	4.12	4.8	5	[17]	3.1

TABLE 2. Gonality of  $X_0(N)$ , for  $94 \leq N \leq 169$ .

$N$	$g$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	LB	UB	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	LB	UB	$N$	$g$	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	LB	UB	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	LB	UB
171	17	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	173	14	8	4.18	4.18	6	4.18	4.18
175	15	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.27	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	176	19	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.26	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$
177	19	8	4.18	4.18	6	4.18	4.18	179	15	6	4.12	4.8	[5, 6]	[17]	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$
181	14	6	4.13	4.13	[5, 6]	[17]	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	183	19	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
184	21	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	185	17	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
188	22	8	4.18	4.18	6	4.18	4.18	190	27	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
191	16	4	4.4	4.4	4	[17]	[17]	192	21	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	[11]	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$
195	25	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	196	17	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.26	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$
197	16	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	199	16	8	4.18	4.18	6	4.18	4.18
200	19	8	4.12	4.7	[6, 8]	4.26	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	203	19	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
205	19	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	206	25	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
209	19	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	211	17	8	4.12	4.16	[6, 8]	4.27	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$
213	23	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	215	21	6	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}$	4.8	6	4.23	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$
221	19	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	223	18	8	4.12	4.16	[7, 8]	4.27	$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}$
227	19	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	4.13	239	20	6	4.13	4.13	6	4.13	4.13
251	21	8	4.23	4.23	6	4.23	4.23	263	22	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
269	22	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	271	22	10	4.25	4.25	8	4.25	4.25
279	29	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	284	34	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
287	27	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19	299	27	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19
311	26	8	4.23	4.23	6	4.23	4.23	359	30	8	4.19	4.19	8	4.19	4.19

TABLE 3. Gonalities of some  $X_0(N)$ , for  $N > 170$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] D. ABRAMOVICH, *A linear lower bound on the gonality of modular curves*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (1996), pp. 1005–1011.
- [2] F. BARS, *Bielliptic modular curves*, J. Number Theory, 76 (1999), pp. 154–165.
- [3] F. BARS AND T. DALAL, *Infinitely many cubic points for  $X_0^+(N)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$* . preprint, available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.03727>.
- [4] W. BOSMA, J. CANNON, AND C. PLAYOUST, *The Magma algebra system. I: The user language*, J. Symb. Comput., 24 (1997), pp. 235–265.
- [5] M. COPPENS AND G. MARTENS, *Secant spaces and Clifford’s theorem*, Compos. Math., 78 (1991), pp. 193–212.
- [6] M. DERICKX, A. ETROPOLSKI, M. VAN HOEIJ, J. S. MORROW, AND D. ZUREICK-BROWN, *Sporadic cubic torsion*, Algebra Number Theory, 15 (2021), pp. 1837–1864.
- [7] M. DERICKX AND A. V. SUTHERLAND, *Torsion subgroups of elliptic curves over quintic and sextic number fields*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 145 (2017), pp. 4233–4245.
- [8] M. DERICKX AND M. VAN HOEIJ, *Gonality of the modular curve  $X_1(N)$* , J. Algebra, 417 (2014), pp. 52–71.
- [9] M. FURUMOTO AND Y. HASEGAWA, *Hyperelliptic Quotients of Modular Curves  $X_0(N)$* , Tokyo Journal of Mathematics, 22 (1999), pp. 105 – 125.
- [10] M. L. GREEN, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties. Appendix: The nonvanishing of certain Koszul cohomology groups (by Mark Green and Robert Lazarsfeld)*, J. Differ. Geom., 19 (1984), pp. 125–167, 168–171.
- [11] Y. HASEGAWA AND M. SHIMURA, *Trigonal modular curves*, Acta Arith., 88 (1999), pp. 129–140.

- [12] ———, *Trigonal modular curves  $X_0^{+d}(N)$* , Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A, 75 (1999), pp. 172–175.
- [13] F. HESS, *Computing Riemann-Roch spaces in algebraic function fields and related topics.*, J. Symb. Comput., 33 (2002), pp. 425–445.
- [14] D. JEON, *Modular curves with infinitely many cubic points*, J. Number Theory, 219 (2021), pp. 344–355.
- [15] D. JEON, C. H. KIM, AND E. PARK, *On the torsion of elliptic curves over quartic number fields*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 74 (2006), pp. 1–12.
- [16] D. JEON, C. H. KIM, AND A. SCHWEIZER, *On the torsion of elliptic curves over cubic number fields*, Acta Arith., 113 (2004), pp. 291–301.
- [17] D. JEON AND E. PARK, *Tetragonal modular curves*, Acta Arith., 120 (2005), pp. 307–312.
- [18] LMFDB COLLABORATION, *The L-functions and modular forms database*. <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2022. [Online; accessed 30 June 2022].
- [19] B. MAZUR, *Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (1977), pp. 33–186 (1978).
- [20] J.-F. MESTRE, *Corps euclidiens, unites exceptionnelles et courbes elliptiques*, J. Number Theory, 13 (1981), pp. 123–137.
- [21] K. V. NGUYEN AND M.-H. SAITO, *d-gonality of modular curves and bounding torsions*. preprint, arXiv:alg-geom/9603024.
- [22] A. P. OGG, *Hyperelliptic modular curves*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 102 (1974), pp. 449–462.
- [23] B. POONEN, *Gonality of modular curves in characteristic  $p$* , Math. Res. Lett., 14 (2007), pp. 691–701.
- [24] F.-O. SCHREYER, *A standard basis approach to syzygies of canonical curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 421 (1991), pp. 83–123.
- [25] H. STICHTENOTH, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, vol. 254 of Grad. Texts Math., Berlin: Springer, 2nd ed. ed., 2009.

FILIP NAJMAN, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA CESTA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA  
*Email address:* fnajman@math.hr  
*URL:* <http://web.math.pmf.unizg.hr/~fnajman>

PETAR ORLIĆ, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA CESTA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA  
*Email address:* petar.orlic@math.hr