

# EQUIDISTRIBUTION AND INDEPENDENCE OF GAUSS SUMS

ANTONIO ROJAS-LEÓN

ABSTRACT. We prove a general independent equidistribution result for Gauss sums associated to  $n$  monomials in  $r$  variable multiplicative characters over a finite field, which generalizes several previous equidistribution results for Gauss and Jacobi sums. As an application, we show that any relation satisfied by these Gauss sums must be a combination of the conjugation relation  $G(\chi)G(\bar{\chi}) = \pm q$ , Galois conjugation invariance and the Hasse-Davenport product formula.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . For every multiplicative character  $\chi : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  the associated Gauss sum is defined as

$$G(\chi) := - \sum_{t \in k^\times} \chi(t)\psi(t)$$

where  $\psi : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is the additive character given by

$$\psi(t) = \exp(2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(t)/p).$$

It is well known that  $G(\mathbf{1}) = 1$  and, for non-trivial  $\chi$ , the absolute value of  $G(\chi)$  is  $\sqrt{q}$ . Moreover, we have the following elementary properties, for every non-trivial  $\chi$  [BEW98, Theorem 1.1.4]

$$(1) \quad G(\chi)G(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)q$$

$$(2) \quad G(\chi^p) = G(\chi)$$

and, for  $d|q-1$  and  $\epsilon$  a character of order  $d$ , the Hasse-Davenport product formula [BEW98, Theorem 11.3.5]

$$(3) \quad G(\chi^d) = \chi(d^d) \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{G(\chi\epsilon^i)}{G(\epsilon^i)}$$

Any other non-trivial additive character  $\psi' : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is given by  $t \mapsto \psi(\alpha t)$  for some  $\alpha \in k^\times$ , and we can define the associated Gauss sums

$$G(\alpha, \chi) := - \sum_{t \in k^\times} \chi(t)\psi(\alpha t).$$

We have the identity  $G(\alpha, \chi) = \bar{\chi}(\alpha)G(\chi)$  [BEW98, Theorem 1.1.3].

Fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  of  $k$ , and for any  $m \geq 1$  let  $k_m$  be the unique degree  $m$  extension of  $k$  in  $\bar{k}$ . For any character  $\chi : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  we denote by  $G_m(\chi)$  the corresponding Gauss sum in  $k_m$ . Every character  $\chi : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  can be pulled back to a character of  $k_m$  by composing with the norm map, we will also denote this

---

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11L05, 11L07, 11T24

Partially supported by PID2020-114613GB-I00 (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación) and P20-01056 (Consejería de Economía, Conocimiento, Empresas y Universidad de la Junta de Andalucía and FEDER)

character by  $\chi$  if there is no ambiguity. The Hasse-Davenport relation [BEW98, Theorem 11.5.2] states that

$$G_m(\chi) = G(\chi)^m$$

(the lack of sign in this formula is due to the negative sign used in our definition of Gauss sums).

Closely related to Gauss sums are Jacobi sums, defined as

$$J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) := (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{x_1 + \dots + x_n = n} \chi_1(x_1) \cdots \chi_n(x_n)$$

for any characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . If all  $\chi_i$  and their product are non-trivial, we have the identity [BEW98, Theorem 10.3.1]

$$J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = \frac{G(\chi_1) \cdots G(\chi_n)}{G(\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n)}$$

and, in particular,  $|J(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)| = q^{(n-1)/2}$ . We denote by  $J_m$  the corresponding Jacobi sums over  $k_m$ .

The distribution of Gauss sums has been widely studied. It is a consequence of Deligne's bound on Kloosterman sums that, as  $q$  increases, the  $q-2$  normalized Gauss sums  $q^{-1/2}G(\chi)$  for the set of non-trivial characters  $\chi$  of  $k$  become equidistributed on the unit circle for the Haar measure [Kat80, Théorème 1.3.3.1]. In [Kat88, Chapter 9], Katz proves independent equidistribution on  $S^1$  as  $q$  increases of the Gauss sums  $q^{-1/2}G(\chi_1\chi), \dots, q^{-1/2}G(\chi_n\chi)$ , where  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  are fixed characters and  $\chi$  runs through the set of characters different from  $\bar{\chi}_1, \dots, \bar{\chi}_n$ .

Our main result in this article is a general equidistribution result for Gauss sums associated to monomials in multiplicative characters. Let  $n \geq 1$ , fix  $n$  non-zero  $r$ -tuples  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ ,  $n$  multiplicative characters  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $n$  elements  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n \in (k^\times)^r$ . For every  $m \geq 1$ , let  $T_m$  be the set of  $r$ -tuples of multiplicative characters  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  of  $k_m$  (equivalently, the set of characters of  $(k_m^\times)^r$ ) and  $S_m \subseteq T_m$  the subset consisting of the  $\chi$  such that  $\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i} := \eta_i \chi_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots \chi_r^{a_{ir}} \neq \mathbf{1}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For every  $\chi \in S_m$  we get an element  $\Phi_m(\chi) \in (S^1)^n$  given by

$$\Phi_m(\chi) = (q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_1) G_m(\eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}), \dots, q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_n) G_m(\eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n})).$$

We say that a non-zero  $r$ -tuple  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  is *primitive* if its coordinates are relatively prime and its first non-zero coordinate is positive. Any non-zero  $r$ -tuple  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  can be written uniquely as  $\mu \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  is primitive. We write all  $\mathbf{a}_i = \mu_i \mathbf{b}_i$  in that way.

Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{Char}}_k$  be the injective limit of the character groups  $\widehat{k_m^\times}$  for  $m \geq 1$  via the maps  $\widehat{k_m^\times} \rightarrow \widehat{k_{md}^\times}$  given by composition with the norm maps  $k_{md}^\times \rightarrow k_m^\times$  (which can be identified with the set of finite order characters of the tame fundamental group of  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}$ ). We denote by  $V_k$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space with basis the set  $\widehat{\mathbf{Char}}_k$ . For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\mathbf{v}_i \in V_k$  be the element  $\sum_{\xi^{\mu_i} = \eta_i} \xi$ , that is, the sum of all characters  $\xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{Char}}_k$  whose  $\mu_i$ -th power is  $\eta_i$ . There are exactly  $\nu_i$  such characters, where  $\nu_i$  is the prime to  $p$  part of  $\mu_i$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that, for every  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , the set of  $\mathbf{v}_i$  for the  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{b}$  is linearly independent in  $V_k$ . Then the sets  $\{\Phi_m(\chi) | \chi \in S_m\}$  become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^n$  with respect to the Haar measure as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Note that the condition holds, in particular, if all  $\mathbf{b}_i$  are distinct, that is, if no two  $\mathbf{a}_i$ 's are proportional.

We recover Katz' result [Kat88, Chapter 9] by taking  $r = 1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_i = (1)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be distinct characters and  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n \in k^\times$ , then the elements*

$$(q^{-m/2}\chi(\mathbf{t}_1)G_m(\eta_1\chi), \dots, q^{-m/2}\chi(\mathbf{t}_n)G_m(\eta_n\chi))$$

*for the  $\chi : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\eta_i\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$  for all  $i$  become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Here  $\mathbf{v}_i = \eta_i$  for all  $i$ , which are clearly linearly independent in  $V_k$  as they are distinct.  $\square$

Another interesting case is when  $r = 1$  and all  $\eta_i$  are trivial:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $0 < d_1 < \dots < d_n$  be prime to  $p$  integers and  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n \in k^\times$ , then the elements*

$$(q^{-m/2}\chi(\mathbf{t}_1)G_m(\chi^{d_1}), \dots, q^{-m/2}\chi(\mathbf{t}_n)G_m(\chi^{d_n}))$$

*for the  $\chi : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\chi^{\text{lcm}(d_1, \dots, d_n)} \neq \mathbf{1}$  become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Now  $\mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{\xi^{d_i}=1} \xi$  are linearly independent, since for all  $i$   $\mathbf{v}_i$  contains a character of order  $d_i$  with non-zero coefficient, so it can not be a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{v}_j$  for  $j < i$ , which are themselves linear combinations of characters of order  $< d_i$ .  $\square$

We can also deduce some equidistribution results for Jacobi sums. The following was proven for two-variable Jacobi sums in [KZ96], and in general in [QLZ18]

**Corollary 3.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , the elements*

$$q^{-m(n-1)/2}J_m(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$$

*for the non-trivial  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n \neq \mathbf{1}$  become equidistributed in  $S^1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By the theorem, the elements

$$(q^{-m/2}G_m(\chi_1), \dots, q^{-m/2}G_m(\chi_n), q^{-m/2}G_m(\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n))$$

become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^{n+1}$  with respect to the Haar measure (since  $\mathbf{a}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \mathbf{a}_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1), \mathbf{a}_{n+1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  are pairwise non-proportional). Then their images by the homomorphism  $\varphi : (S^1)^{n+1} \rightarrow S^1$  given by  $(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}) \mapsto t_1 \cdots t_n / t_{n+1}$ , which are precisely the normalized Jacobi sums, become equidistributed with respect of the image of the Haar measure, which is the Haar measure on  $S^1$ .  $\square$

We can also fix some of the characters, generalizing the two-variable version proven in [Xi, Theorem 1.1, 1.2]

**Corollary 4.** *Fix  $n$   $e$ -tuples of non-trivial characters  $\eta_{i,1}, \dots, \eta_{i,e} : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that the  $\prod_{j=1}^e \eta_{i,j}$  are distinct for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the elements*

$$(q^{-m(d+e-1)/2}J_m(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d, \eta_{1,1}, \dots, \eta_{1,e}), \dots, q^{-m(d+e-1)/2}J_m(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d, \eta_{n,1}, \dots, \eta_{n,e}))$$

*for the non-trivial  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_d : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\chi_1 \cdots \chi_d \eta_{i,1} \cdots \eta_{i,e} \neq \mathbf{1}$  for all  $i$  become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By the theorem, the elements

$$(q^{-m/2}G_m(\chi_1), \dots, q^{-m/2}G_m(\chi_d), q^{-m/2}G_m(\chi_1 \cdots \chi_d \eta_{1,1} \cdots \eta_{1,e}), \dots, q^{-m/2}G_m(\chi_1 \cdots \chi_d \eta_{n,1} \cdots \eta_{n,e}))$$

become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^{d+n}$  with respect to the Haar measure. Then their images by the homomorphism  $\varphi : (S^1)^{d+n} \rightarrow (S^1)^n$  given by

$$(t_1, \dots, t_d, t_{d+1}, \dots, t_{d+n}) \mapsto (q^{-me/2}G_m(\eta_{i,1}) \cdots G_m(\eta_{i,e}) t_1 \cdots t_d / t_{d+i})_{i=1}^n,$$

which are precisely the given  $n$ -tuples of normalized Jacobi sums, become equidistributed with respect of the image of the Haar measure, which is the Haar measure on  $(S^1)^n$  (since  $\varphi$  is the composition of a surjective homomorphism followed by a translation).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  be positive prime to  $p$  integers. Then the elements*

$$q^{-m(n-1)/2} J_m(\chi^{d_1}, \dots, \chi^{d_n})$$

for the  $\chi : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\chi^{d_1 \cdots d_n} \neq \mathbf{1}$  become equidistributed in  $S^1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d_{n_1} < \dots < d_{n_e}$  be the distinct  $d_i$ 's, each  $d_{n_j}$  appearing  $m_j$  times. By Corollary 2, the elements

$$(q^{-m/2} G_m(\chi^{d_{n_1}}), \dots, q^{-m/2} G_m(\chi^{d_{n_e}}), q^{-m/2} G_m(\chi^{d_1 \cdots d_n}))$$

for the  $\chi : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\chi^{d_1 \cdots d_n} \neq \mathbf{1}$  become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^{e+1}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then their images by the map  $\varphi : (S^1)^{e+1} \rightarrow S^1$  given by

$$(t_1, \dots, t_e, t_{e+1}) \mapsto t_1^{m_1} \cdots t_e^{m_e} / t_{e+1},$$

which are precisely the normalized Jacobi sums, become equidistributed with respect of the image of the Haar measure, which is the Haar measure on  $S^1$ .  $\square$

From the main theorem we can easily deduce a more general version where we allow using different additive characters in each coordinate:

**Corollary 6.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, suppose given also elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in k^\times$ , and let*

$$\Phi_m(\chi) = (q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_1) G_m(\alpha_1, \eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}), \dots, q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_n) G_m(\alpha_n, \eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n})).$$

Then the sets  $\{\Phi_m(\chi) | \chi \in S_m\}$  become equidistributed in  $(S^1)^n$  with respect to the Haar measure as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_m(\chi) &= (q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_1) \eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1} (\alpha_1^{-1}) G_m(\eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}), \dots, q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_n) \eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n} (\alpha_n^{-1}) G_m(\eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n})) = \\ &= (q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_1 \alpha_1^{-\mathbf{a}_1}) \eta_1 (\alpha_1^{-1}) G_m(\eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}), \dots, q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_n \alpha_n^{-\mathbf{a}_n}) \eta_n (\alpha_n^{-1}) G_m(\eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n})) \end{aligned}$$

which are the translates by  $(\eta_1 (\alpha_1^{-1}), \dots, \eta_n (\alpha_n^{-1}))$  of the elements

$$(q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_1 \alpha_1^{-\mathbf{a}_1}) G_m(\eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}), \dots, q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_n \alpha_n^{-\mathbf{a}_n}) G_m(\eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n})),$$

which are themselves equidistributed by the theorem.  $\square$

The second main result of the article uses Theorem 1 to show that, in a certain sense, all relations among Gauss sums can be deduced from the identities (1,2,3) above. The following statement will be made precise in section 4:

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose given  $\eta_i, \mathbf{a}_i$  as in Theorem 1 and integers  $\epsilon_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , an element  $\mathbf{t} \in (k^\times)^r$ , a non-zero integer  $N$ , a subset  $U_m \subseteq T_m$  for every  $m \geq 1$  and a sequence of complex numbers  $\{D_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|U_m|}{q^m} = 1$$

and

$$\left( \chi(\mathbf{t}) \prod_{i=1}^n G_m(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i})^{\epsilon_i} \right)^N = D_m$$

for every  $m \geq 0$  and every  $\chi \in U_m$ . Then the expression

$$\prod_{i=1}^n G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i})^{\epsilon_i}$$

is a product of expressions of the form

$$G(\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}})G(\bar{\eta}\chi^{-\mathbf{a}}),$$

$$G(\eta^p\chi^{p\mathbf{a}})^{-1}G(\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}})$$

and

$$G(\eta^d\chi^{d\mathbf{a}})^{-1} \prod_{\xi^d=\mathbf{1}} G(\eta\xi\chi^{\mathbf{a}})$$

for some  $\eta$ ,  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $d|q-1$ .

The main tool used in the proof of Theorem 1 is Gabber and Loeser's theory of perverse sheaves on tori. In section 2 we review the main results of this theory that we will make use of. In section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 1, and in section 4 we use it to deduce Theorem 2. Finally, in the last section we prove a version on the main theorem in which the fields are allowed to have different characteristics.

We will choose a prime  $\ell \neq p$  and work with  $\ell$ -adic cohomology, and will assume a choice of embedding  $\iota: \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that we will use to identify elements of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  without making any further mention to it. When speaking about purity of  $\ell$ -adic objects, we will mean it with respect to the chosen embedding  $\iota$ .

## 2. HYPERGEOMETRIC PERVERSE SHEAVES ON THE TORUS

The main reference for this section is [GL96]. Let  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$  be the  $r$ -dimensional split torus over  $k$ , and  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$  its extension of scalars to  $\bar{k}$ . Denote by  $D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  the derived category of  $\ell$ -adic sheaves on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$ . We have a (!)-convolution operation  $D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \times D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rightarrow D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  given by

$$\mathcal{K} * \mathcal{L} := \mathcal{K} *_1 \mathcal{L} = R\mu_!(\pi_1^* \mathcal{K} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L})$$

where  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \mu: \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$  are the projections and the multiplication map respectively.

Let  $Perv$  denote the subcategory of  $D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  consisting of the perverse objects. Any object  $\mathcal{K} \in Perv$  has Euler characteristic  $\chi(\mathcal{K}) \geq 0$  [GL96, Corollaire 3.4.4], and  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be *negligible* if  $\chi(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ . The negligible objects form a thick subcategory  $Perv_0$  of  $Perv$ , denote the quotient category by  $\overline{Perv}$ . They also form an ideal for the convolution, and if  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are perverse, the  $i$ -th perverse cohomology objects of  $\mathcal{K} * \mathcal{L}$  are negligible for  $i \neq 0$  [GL96, Proposition 3.6.4], so the convolution gives a well defined operation  $\overline{Perv} \times \overline{Perv} \rightarrow \overline{Perv}$ . With this operation,  $\overline{Perv}$  becomes a Tannakian category, in which the "dimension" of an object is its Euler characteristic.

Let  $\psi: k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the additive character defined in the introduction, and  $\chi: k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  any multiplicative character. Let  $\mathcal{L}_\psi$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  be the corresponding (restriction of) Artin-Schreier and Kummer sheaves on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$  [Del77, 1.7] and  $\mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi) := (\mathcal{L}_\psi \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi)[1]$ . For every embedding of tori  $i: \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$ , the object  $i_*\mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi) = i_*(\mathcal{L}_\psi \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi)[1] \in D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  is perverse with Euler characteristic 1, and so is  $\delta_{\mathbf{t}} * i_*\mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi) = \text{trans}_{\mathbf{t}*} i_*\mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi)$  for any  $\mathbf{t} \in k^\times$ , where  $\text{trans}_{\mathbf{t}}: \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$  is the translation map  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{t}\mathbf{x}$  and  $\delta_{\mathbf{t}}$  is the punctual object  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  supported on  $\mathbf{t}$ .

Any convolution of objects of this form is perverse with Euler characteristic 1 [GL96, Proposition 8.1.3]. Such objects are called *hypergeometric* (not all hypergeometric objects on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$  are of this form though, as they may arise from characters which are not defined over  $k$ ).

The hypergeometric objects of  $\overline{Perv}$  on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$  form an abelian group under convolution [GL96, Corollaire 8.1.6]. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of one-dimensional subtori of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$ . We will identify it with the set of primitive  $r$ -tuples  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , the  $r$ -tuple  $\mathbf{b}$

corresponding to the image of the embedding  $i_{\mathbf{b}} : \mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$  given by  $t \mapsto t^{\mathbf{b}}$ . Let also  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}})$  denote the set of continuous  $\ell$ -adic characters of the tame fundamental group of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}$ . The subset of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}})$  consisting of finite order characters can be identified with the set **Char** via the chosen embedding  $\iota : \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then the group of hypergeometric objects on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$  is isomorphic to  $(\bar{k}^{\times})^r \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}))}$  [GL96, Théorème 8.6.1]. Given a hypergeometric object  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ , we denote by  $\Psi(\mathcal{K}) = (\Psi_1(\mathcal{K}), \Psi_2(\mathcal{K}))$  the element of  $(\bar{k}^{\times})^r \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}))}$  corresponding to (the pullback to  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}$  of) the class of  $\mathcal{K}$  via this isomorphism. Then [GL96, 8.6]  $\Psi_1(\delta_{\mathbf{t}} * i_{\mathbf{b}*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi)) = \mathbf{t}$  and  $\Psi_2(\delta_{\mathbf{t}} * i_{\mathbf{b}*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi)) = 1 \cdot (\mathbf{b}, \chi)$ .

We will need the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a hypergeometric object in  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$  such that  $\Psi_2(\mathcal{K}) \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Perverse objects have finite length, so it suffices to show this for the simple components of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\chi(\mathcal{K}) = 1$  and the Euler characteristic is additive, all but one of the simple components of  $\mathcal{K}$  are negligible, and the other one is a simple hypergeometric object  $\mathcal{K}_0$  such that  $\Psi_2(\mathcal{K}_0) = \Psi_2(\mathcal{K})$ .

All simple perverse objects are of the form  $j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}[d])$  for some  $0 \leq d \leq r$ , where  $j : V \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^r$  is the inclusion of an irreducible smooth subvariety of dimension  $d$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a lisse sheaf on  $V$  [BBD82, Théorème 4.3.1]. If  $d > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^0(j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}[d])) = 0$  by [BBD82, Corollaire 1.4.24]. All negligible simple objects must have  $d > 0$ , since otherwise they would be punctual objects, which have Euler characteristic 1.

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{K}_0) = 0$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{K}_0$  would have  $d = 0$ , that is, it would be a punctual object  $\delta_{\mathbf{t}}$  for some  $\mathbf{t} \in \bar{k}$ , so  $\Psi(\mathcal{K}_0) = (\mathbf{t}, 0)$  and, in particular,  $\Psi_2(\mathcal{K}_0) = \Psi_2(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. Recall that we have fixed a finite field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $n$  non-zero  $r$ -tuples  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ ,  $n$  multiplicative characters  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n : k^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  and  $n$  elements  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n \in (k^{\times})^r$ . For every  $\chi \in S_m$  the element  $\Phi_m(\chi) \in (S^1)^n$  is given by

$$\Phi_m(\chi) = (q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_1) G_m(\eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}), \dots, q^{-m/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_n) G_m(\eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n})).$$

In order to prove the equidistribution of  $\Phi_m(\chi)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we need to show that, for every continuous function  $f : (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |S_m|^{-1} \sum_{\chi \in S_m} f(\Phi_m(\chi)) = \int_{(S^1)^n} f d\mu,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on  $(S^1)^n$ . By the Peter-Weyl theorem, it suffices to show this for the traces of irreducible representations of  $(S^1)^n$ . These irreducible representations are just the characters

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}} : \mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{c}} = t_1^{c_1} \cdots t_n^{c_n}$$

for some  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{c} := (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\Sigma_m(\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}) = |S_m|^{-1} \sum_{\chi \in S_m} \Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\Phi_m(\chi))$ . If  $\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}$  is trivial (that is, if  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ ), then  $\Sigma_m(\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}) = 1 = \int_{(S^1)^n} d\mu$ , so let us assume that  $\mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then, since  $\int_{(S^1)^n} \Lambda_{\mathbf{c}} d\mu = 0$ , we need to show that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_m(\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}) = 0$ . And this is clearly a consequence of the following

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $a = \sum_i \min_{j: a_{ij} \neq 0} |a_{ij}|$ . There exists a constant  $A(\mathbf{c})$  such that, for every  $m > \log_q(1 + a)$ ,*

$$|\Sigma_m(\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}})| \leq \frac{A(\mathbf{c})(q^m - 1)^r q^{-m/2} + a(q^m - 1)^{r-1}}{(q^m - 1)^{r-1}(q^m - 1 - a)}$$

*Proof.* For the sake of notation simplicity, let us assume  $m = 1$  and denote  $\Sigma_1, S_1, T_1$  and  $\Phi_1$  by  $\Sigma, S, T$  and  $\Phi$  respectively. Write  $\epsilon_i = c_i/|c_i|$  for every  $i$  such that  $c_i \neq 0$ ,  $|\mathbf{c}| = \sum_i c_i$  and  $\|\mathbf{c}\| = \sum_i |c_i| = \sum_i \epsilon_i c_i$ . Since  $|G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i})| = \sqrt{q}$  for every  $\chi \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S| \cdot \Sigma(\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}) &= \sum_{\chi \in S} \Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\Phi(\chi)) = q^{-|\mathbf{c}|/2} \sum_{\chi \in S} (\chi(\mathbf{t}_1) G(\eta_1 \chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}))^{c_1} \cdots (\chi(\mathbf{t}_n) G(\eta_n \chi^{\mathbf{a}_n}))^{c_n} = \\ &= q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{\chi \in S} \prod_{i:c_i > 0} (\chi(\mathbf{t}_i) G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))^{c_i} \prod_{i:c_i < 0} \overline{(\chi(\mathbf{t}_i) G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))}^{-c_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We split this as

$$(4) \quad |S| \cdot \Sigma(\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}) = \Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2,$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{\chi \in T} \prod_{i:c_i > 0} (\chi(\mathbf{t}_i) G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))^{c_i} \prod_{i:c_i < 0} \overline{(\chi(\mathbf{t}_i) G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))}^{-c_i}$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 = q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{\chi \in T \setminus S} \prod_{i:c_i > 0} (\chi(\mathbf{t}_i) G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))^{c_i} \prod_{i:c_i < 0} \overline{(\chi(\mathbf{t}_i) G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))}^{-c_i}.$$

We will start by evaluating the first sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{\chi \in T} \prod_{i:c_i > 0} \left( \chi(\mathbf{t}_i) \sum_{x \in k^\times} \psi(x) \eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}(x) \right)^{c_i} \prod_{i:c_i < 0} \left( \overline{\chi(\mathbf{t}_i)} \sum_{x \in k^\times} \overline{\psi(x)} \overline{\eta_i} \overline{\chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}}(x) \right)^{-c_i} = \\ &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{\chi \in T} \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \chi^{\epsilon_i}(\mathbf{t}_i) \sum_{x \in k^\times} \psi^{\epsilon_i}(x) \eta_i^{\epsilon_i} \chi^{\epsilon_i \mathbf{a}_i}(x) \right)^{|c_i|} = \\ &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{x_{ij} \in k^\times, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq |c_i|} \psi\left(\sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i x_{ij}\right) \sum_{\chi \in T} \prod_{i=1}^n \chi^{c_i}(\mathbf{t}_i) \eta_i^{\epsilon_i} \chi^{\epsilon_i \mathbf{a}_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) = \\ &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{x_{ij} \in k^\times} \psi\left(\sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i x_{ij}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{\epsilon_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) \sum_{\chi \in T} \prod_{i=1}^n \chi^{c_i}(\mathbf{t}_i) \chi^{\epsilon_i \mathbf{a}_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) = \\ &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{x_{ij} \in k^\times} \psi\left(\sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i x_{ij}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{\epsilon_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) \sum_{\chi \in T} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^r \chi_l^{c_i}(\mathbf{t}_{il}) \chi_l^{\epsilon_i \mathbf{a}_{il}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) = \\ &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{x_{ij} \in k^\times} \psi\left(\sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i x_{ij}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{\epsilon_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) \prod_{l=1}^r \sum_{\chi_l \in \widehat{k^\times}} \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_l^{c_i}(\mathbf{t}_{il}) \chi_l^{\epsilon_i \mathbf{a}_{il}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) = \\ &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{x_{ij} \in k^\times} \psi\left(\sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i x_{ij}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{\epsilon_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) \prod_{l=1}^r \sum_{\chi_l \in \widehat{k^\times}} \chi_l \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} t_{il}^{\epsilon_i} x_{ij}^{\epsilon_i \mathbf{a}_{il}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The inner sum vanishes unless  $\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} t_{il}^{\epsilon_i} x_{ij}^{\epsilon_i \mathbf{a}_{il}} = 1$ , in which case it is equal to  $q - 1$ , so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} (q - 1)^r q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \psi\left(\sum_{i,j} \epsilon_i x_{ij}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{\epsilon_i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} x_{ij}\right) = \\ &= (-1)^{\|\mathbf{c}\|} (q - 1)^r q^{-\|\mathbf{c}\|/2} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} \psi^{\epsilon_i}(x_{ij}) \eta_i^{\epsilon_i}(x_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $X \subseteq (k^\times)^{|\mathbf{c}|}$  is the subset consisting of the  $(x_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq |c_i|}$  such that  $\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} t_{ij}^{\epsilon_i} x_{ij}^{\epsilon_i a_{ij}} = 1$  for every  $l = 1, \dots, r$ . We can rewrite this sum as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{|\mathbf{c}|} (q-1)^r q^{-|\mathbf{c}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{ij} \in (k^\times)^r \\ \prod_{ij} \lambda_{ij} = \mathbf{1}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{t}_i^{\epsilon_i} x_{ij}^{\epsilon_i a_{ij}} = \lambda_{ij} \\ \prod_{ij} \lambda_{ij} = \mathbf{1}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} \psi^{\epsilon_i}(x_{ij}) \eta_i^{\epsilon_i}(x_{ij}) = \\ & = (q-1)^r q^{-|\mathbf{c}|/2} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_{ij} \in (k^\times)^r \\ \prod_{ij} \lambda_{ij} = \mathbf{1}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{|c_i|} \sum_{\substack{x \in k^\times \\ x^{\epsilon_i a_{ij}} = \lambda_{ij} \mathbf{t}_i^{-\epsilon_i}}} -\psi^{\epsilon_i}(x) \eta_i^{\epsilon_i}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha_i : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^r$  be the morphism of tori given by  $t \mapsto t^{\mathbf{a}_i} := (t^{a_{i1}}, \dots, t^{a_{ir}})$ . Then  $\alpha_i$  factors as  $\beta_i \circ [\mu_i]$ , where  $\beta_i : t \mapsto t^{\mathbf{b}_i}$  is a closed embedding and  $[\mu_i] : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  is the  $\mu_i$ -th power map. For every  $i, j$ , the function

$$\lambda_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{\substack{x \in k^\times \\ x^{\epsilon_i a_{ij}} = \lambda_{ij} \mathbf{t}_i^{-\epsilon_i}}} -\psi^{\epsilon_i}(x) \eta_i^{\epsilon_i}(x) = \sum_{\substack{x \in k^\times \\ x^{\mathbf{a}_i} = \lambda_{ij} \mathbf{t}_i^{-\epsilon_i}}} -\psi^{\epsilon_i}(x) \eta_i^{\epsilon_i}(x)$$

is the trace function, on  $\mathbb{G}_m^r$ , of the complex

$$\delta_{\mathbf{t}_i} * \alpha_{i*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i) = \delta_{\mathbf{t}_i} * \beta_{i*} [\mu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)$$

if  $\epsilon_i = 1$ , and of

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{inv}_* (\delta_{\mathbf{t}_i} * \alpha_{i*} \mathcal{H}(\bar{\psi}, \bar{\eta}_i)) = \delta_{\mathbf{t}_i^{-1}} * \text{inv}_* \alpha_{i*} D(\mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i))(-1) = \\ & = \delta_{\mathbf{t}_i^{-1}} * \text{inv}_* D(\alpha_{i*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i))(-1) = \delta_{\mathbf{t}_i^{-1}} * \text{inv}_* D(\beta_{i*} [\mu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i))(-1) \end{aligned}$$

if  $\epsilon_i = -1$ , since  $\alpha_{i*}$  commutes with duality (being a finite map) and the Verdier dual of  $\mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)$  is  $\mathcal{H}(\bar{\psi}, \bar{\eta}_i)(1)$ . The object  $\text{inv}_* D(\alpha_{i*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i))$  is (geometrically) the Tannakian inverse of  $\alpha_{i*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)$  [GL96, Corollaire 3.7.6], so let us denote it by  $\alpha_{i*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)^{*(-1)}$ . Arithmetically, it is pure of weight  $-1$ , since  $\mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)$  is pure of weight 1. By the Lefschetz trace formula, we conclude that  $\Sigma_1$  is  $(q-1)^r q^{-|\mathbf{c}|/2} q^{\sum_{c_i < 0} |c_i|} = (q-1)^r q^{-|\mathbf{c}|/2}$  times the Frobenius trace at  $\mathbf{1}$  of the !-convolution:

$$\mathcal{K} := *_{i=1}^n *_{j=1}^{|c_i|} \delta_{\mathbf{t}_i}^{\epsilon_i} * \alpha_{i*} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)^{* \epsilon_i} = *_{i=1}^n *_{j=1}^{|c_i|} \delta_{\mathbf{t}_i}^{\epsilon_i} * \beta_{i*} [\mu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)^{* \epsilon_i} \in D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_m^r, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

which is a hypergeometric object as seen in the previous section. The class of its pull-back to  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}^r$  in the group  $(\bar{k}^\times)^r \times \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}))}$  of hypergeometric objects in  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}^r$  (which we denote additively) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathcal{K}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{|c_i|} \Psi(\delta_{\mathbf{t}_i}^{\epsilon_i} * \beta_{i*} [\mu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)^{* \epsilon_i}) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i |c_i| \Psi(\delta_{\mathbf{t}_i} * \beta_{i*} [\mu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i [(\mathbf{t}_i, 0) + \Psi(\beta_{i*} [\mu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i))]. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\mu_i = p^{\pi_i} \nu_i$ , where  $\nu_i$  is prime to  $p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i) &= [\nu_i]_* [p^{\pi_i}]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i) \cong [\nu_i]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i^{p^{-\pi_i}}) \cong \\ &\cong \delta_{\nu_i^{-\nu_i}} * \left( *_{\xi^{\nu_i} = \eta_i^{p^{-\pi_i}}} \mathcal{H}(\psi, \xi) \right) \end{aligned}$$



by [Kat88, 5.6.2], so

$$\beta_{i*}[\mu_i]_*\mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i) \cong \delta_{\nu_i^{-\nu_i \mathbf{b}_i}} * \left( *_{\xi^{\nu_i = \eta_i^p} - \pi_i} \beta_{i*}\mathcal{H}(\psi, \xi) \right)$$

by [Kat90, 8.1.10], and its class in the group of hypergeometric objects is

$$\Psi(\beta_{i*}[\mu_i]_*\mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta_i)) = \left( \nu_i^{-\nu_i \mathbf{b}_i}, \sum_{\xi^{\nu_i = \eta_i^p} - \pi_i} (\mathbf{b}_i, \xi) \right)$$

where, as explained in the previous section, we identify the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of one-dimensional subtori of  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}^r$  with the set of primitive  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ .

Therefore, we have

$$\Psi_2(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sum_{\xi^{\nu_i = \eta_i^p} - \pi_i} (\mathbf{b}_i, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sum_{\xi^{\mu_i = \eta_i}} (\mathbf{b}_i, \xi).$$

For every  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbf{Char}$ , the coefficient of  $(\mathbf{b}, \xi)$  in this sum is

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \xi^{\mu_i = \eta_i}, \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_i}}^n c_i.$$

Suppose that  $\Psi_2(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \xi^{\mu_i = \eta_i}, \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_i}}^n c_i = 0$$

for every non-zero  $\mathbf{b}$  and every  $\xi \in \mathbf{Char}$ , so

$$0 = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbf{Char}} \left( \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \xi^{\mu_i = \eta_i}, \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_i}}^n c_i \right) \xi = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_i}}^n c_i \sum_{\xi^{\mu_i = \eta_i}} \xi$$

in the vector space  $V_k$  for every non-zero  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , which contradicts the hypothesis that the elements  $\sum_{\xi^{\mu_i = \eta_i}} \xi$  for  $i$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{b}$  are linearly independent (since at least one  $c_i$  is non-zero). Therefore  $\Phi_2(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ , and then lemma 1 implies that  $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ . We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_1| &= (q-1)^r q^{-|\mathbf{c}|/2} |\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_1 | \mathcal{K}_{\bar{1}})| \leq \\ &\leq (q-1)^r q^{-|\mathbf{c}|/2} \sum_{i=-r}^{-1} |\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_1 | \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{1}})| \leq (q-1)^r A_{\mathcal{K}} q^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{\mathcal{K}} := \sum_i \dim \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{K})_{\bar{1}}$ , since  $\mathcal{K}$  is mixed of weights  $\leq |\mathbf{c}|$ , being the convolution of  $|\mathbf{c}|$  pure objects,  $\sum_{i:c_i > 0} c_i$  of them of weight 1 and  $\sum_{i:c_i < 0} -c_i$  of them of weight  $-1$ , and then  $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{K})$  is mixed of weights  $\leq |\mathbf{c}| + i \leq |\mathbf{c}| - 1$  for every  $i \leq -1$ .

We now proceed to estimate the second summand of (4). We have

$$T \setminus S = \{\chi \in T | \eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i} = \mathbf{1} \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, n\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{\chi \in T | \eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i} = \mathbf{1}\}$$

and  $|G(\eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i})| \leq \sqrt{q}$  for every  $\chi \in T$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so

$$|\Sigma_2| \leq |T \setminus S| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\{\chi \in T | \eta_i \chi^{\mathbf{a}_i} = \mathbf{1}\}|$$

and  $|\{\chi|\eta_i\chi^{\mathbf{a}_i} = \mathbf{1}\}| \leq a_i(q-1)^{r-1}$ , where  $a_i = \min_j\{a_{ij} \mid \text{for } j \text{ such that } a_{ij} \neq 0\}$  (if  $a_i = a_{ij_0}$ , for every choice of  $\chi_j$  for  $j \neq j_0$  there are at most  $a_i$  choices for  $\chi_{j_0}$  such that  $\eta_i\chi^{\mathbf{a}_i} = \mathbf{1}$ ). Therefore

$$|\Sigma_2| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)(q-1)^{r-1} = a(q-1)^{r-1}.$$

In particular, we have  $|S| = |T| - |T \setminus S| \geq (q-1)^r - a(q-1)^{r-1}$ . By (4) we conclude that, for  $q > 1 + a$  (which, in particular, implies  $S \neq \emptyset$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma(\Lambda_{\mathbf{c}})| &= \frac{|\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2|}{|S|} \leq \frac{|\Sigma_1| + |\Sigma_2|}{(q-1)^{r-1}(q-1-a)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{A_{\mathcal{K}}(q-1)^r q^{-1/2} + a(q-1)^{r-1}}{(q-1)^{r-1}(q-1-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. INDEPENDENCE OF GAUSS SUMS

In this section, we will apply the equidistribution theorem 1 to show that all (monomial) relations between Gauss sums that hold for “almost all” multiplicative characters are a combination of the Hasse-Davenport relation, the conjugation relation  $G(\chi)G(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)q$  and the Galois invariance relation  $G(\chi^p) = G(\chi)$ .

Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field as in the previous sections. Let  $r$  be a positive integer, and  $\mathcal{G}$  the free abelian (multiplicative) group with basis the set  $\{\mathbf{e}_{\eta, \mathbf{a}}\}$  indexed by the pairs  $(\eta, \mathbf{a})$  where  $\eta : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is a multiplicative character and  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  a non-zero  $r$ -tuple. Every  $r$ -tuple  $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r)$  of multiplicative characters of  $k$  induces a group homomorphism  $ev_\chi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  that maps  $\mathbf{e}_{\eta, \mathbf{a}}$  to the Gauss sum  $G(\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}})$ . More generally, for every  $m \geq 1$  and every  $r$ -tuple  $\chi$  of multiplicative characters of  $k_m$ , we get a homomorphism  $ev_{m, \chi} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  that maps  $\mathbf{e}_{\eta, \mathbf{a}}$  to  $G_m(\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}})$ . We define the following elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ :

- (1) Given a character  $\eta : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  and a non-zero  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , let  $P(\eta, \mathbf{a}) := \mathbf{e}_{\eta, \mathbf{a}}\mathbf{e}_{\bar{\eta}, -\mathbf{a}}$ .
- (2) Given a character  $\eta : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  and a non-zero  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ , let  $Q(\eta, \mathbf{a}) := \mathbf{e}_{\eta^p, p\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{e}_{\eta, \mathbf{a}}$ .
- (3) Given a character  $\eta : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , a non-zero  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  and a positive  $d|q-1$ , let  $R(\eta, \mathbf{a}, d) := \mathbf{e}_{\eta^d, d\mathbf{a}} \prod_{\xi^d=1} \mathbf{e}_{\eta\xi, \mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{e}_{\eta^d, d\mathbf{a}} \prod_{\xi^d=\eta^d} \mathbf{e}_{\xi, \mathbf{a}}$ .

For every  $r$ -tuple  $\chi$  of multiplicative characters of  $k_m$  such that  $\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}} \neq \mathbf{1}$ , we have

$$ev_{m, \chi}(P(\eta, \mathbf{a})) = G_m(\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}})G_m(\bar{\eta}\bar{\chi}^{\mathbf{a}}) = \eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}}(-1)q^m = \chi((-1)^{\mathbf{a}})\eta(-1)^m q^m$$

and

$$ev_{m, \chi}(Q(\eta, \mathbf{a})) = G_m((\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}})^p)^{-1}G_m(\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}}) = 1,$$

and for every positive  $d|q-1$  and every  $r$ -tuple  $\chi$  such that  $\eta^d\chi^{d\mathbf{a}} \neq \mathbf{1}$ , we have

$$ev_{m, \chi}(R(\eta, \mathbf{a}, d)) = G_m((\eta\chi^{\mathbf{a}})^d)^{-1} \prod_{\xi^d=1} G_m(\eta\xi\chi^{\mathbf{a}}) = \chi(d^{-d\mathbf{a}})\eta(d^{-d})^m \prod_{\xi^d=1} G_m(\xi)$$

by the Hasse-Davenport product formula (3).

Let  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  be the subgroup generated by the  $P(\eta, \mathbf{a})$ ,  $Q(\eta, \mathbf{a})$  and  $R(\eta, \mathbf{a}, d)$  for every  $\eta : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , non-zero  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  and  $d|q-1$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}$ , from the previous paragraph we deduce that there exists some constant  $D$  and some  $\mathbf{t} \in (k^\times)^r$  such that for every  $m \geq 1$  and generic  $\chi$ ,  $\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m, \chi}(\mathbf{x}) = D^m$ . The main result of this section is a converse of this.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{G}$  and assume that there exist an element  $\mathbf{t} \in (k^\times)^r$ , a non-zero integer  $N$ , a subset  $U_m \subseteq T_m$  for every  $m \geq 1$  and a sequence of complex numbers  $\{D_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|U_m|}{q^m} = 1$$

and  $(\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N = D_m$  for every  $m \geq 1$  and every  $\chi \in U_m$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}$ .

The theorem says that any relation satisfied by Gauss sums associated to monomials for “almost all”  $r$ -tuples of multiplicative characters must be a combination of the identities (1,2,3). Note that, by the Hasse-Davenport relation  $G_m(\chi) = G(\chi)^m$ , if there is such a sequence  $\{D_m\}$  then there must be some  $D \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $D_m = D^m$ , at least for sufficiently large  $m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{\eta_i, \mathbf{a}_i}^{\epsilon_i}$  with  $(\eta_i, \mathbf{a}_i)$  distinct and  $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $D_m$  must have absolute value  $q^{m\epsilon N/2}$  for sufficiently large  $m$ , where  $\epsilon = \sum_i \epsilon_i$ , since the Gauss sums associated to non-trivial characters of  $k_m$  have absolute value  $q^{m/2}$ . Write  $\mathbf{a}_i = \mu_i \mathbf{b}_i$  for all  $i$ , where  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  is primitive.

We claim that there exists some  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that the elements  $\sum_{\xi^{\mu_i = \eta_i}} \xi \in V_k$  for the  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{b}$  are linearly dependent. Otherwise, let  $\mathbf{u} \in (k_{m_0}^\times)^r$  be an element with coordinates in some finite extension  $k_{m_0}$  of  $k$  such that  $\mathbf{u}^{\epsilon_1} = \mathbf{t}$ . By theorem 1 the elements  $(q^{-m/2}\chi(\mathbf{u})G_m(\eta_1\chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}), q^{-m/2}G_m(\eta_2\chi^{\mathbf{a}_2}), \dots, q^{-m/2}G_m(\eta_n\chi^{\mathbf{a}_n}))$  for  $\chi \in S_m$  would become equidistributed on  $(S^1)^n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  ( $m$  being a multiple of  $m_0$ ). Since the homomorphism  $(S^1)^n \rightarrow S^1$  given by  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{\epsilon_i}$  maps the Haar measure of  $(S^1)^n$  to the Haar measure of  $S^1$ , we conclude that the elements

$$\begin{aligned} & (q^{-m/2}\chi(\mathbf{u})G_m(\eta_1\chi^{\mathbf{a}_1}))^{\epsilon_1} \prod_{i=2}^n (q^{-m/2}G_m(\eta_i\chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))^{\epsilon_i} = \\ & = q^{-m\epsilon/2}\chi(\mathbf{t}) \prod_{i=1}^n (G_m(\eta_i\chi^{\mathbf{a}_i}))^{\epsilon_i} = q^{-m\epsilon/2}\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

become equidistributed on  $S^1$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, using the test function  $t \mapsto t^N$ , we would have

$$(5) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{-m\epsilon N/2}}{|S_m|} \sum_{\chi \in S_m} (\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N = \int_{S^1} t^N d\mu_{Haar} = 0.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\chi \in S_m} (\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N &= \sum_{\chi \in U_m} (\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N + \sum_{\chi \in S_m \setminus U_m} (\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N = \\ &= D_m |U_m| + \sum_{\chi \in S_m \setminus U_m} (\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N$  has absolute value  $q^{m\epsilon N/2}$  for  $\chi \in S_m$ , we have

$$\frac{q^{-m\epsilon N/2}}{|S_m|} \left| \sum_{\chi \in S_m \setminus U_m} (\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N \right| \leq \frac{|S_m \setminus U_m|}{|S_m|} = 1 - \frac{|S_m \cap U_m|}{|S_m|} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{-m\epsilon N/2}}{|S_m|} \sum_{\chi \in S_m} (\chi(\mathbf{t})ev_{m,\chi}(\mathbf{x}))^N &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{-m\epsilon N/2}}{|S_m|} D_m |U_m| = \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|U_m|}{|S_m|} D_m q^{-m\epsilon N/2} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D_m q^{-m\epsilon N/2}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $D_m q^{-m\epsilon N/2}$  has absolute value 1 for sufficiently large  $m$ , so this limit can not be zero, which contradicts (5).

Multiplying by elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  of the form  $\mathbf{e}_{\eta, \mathbf{a}} \mathbf{e}_{\bar{\eta}, -\mathbf{a}}$ , we may assume that  $\mu_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . We now proceed by induction on  $\mu := \sum_i (\mu_i - 1) = \sum_i \mu_i - n$ .

If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $\mu_i = 1$  for all  $i$ . By the claim, there must be some  $\mathbf{b}$  such that the elements  $\eta_i \in V_k$  for  $i$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{b}$  are linearly dependent. But that can only happen if two of them coincide, which contradicts the distinctness of the  $(\eta_i, \mathbf{a}_i)$ .

Let  $\mu > 0$ . If some  $\mu_i$  is a multiple of  $p$ , we can multiply  $\mathbf{x}$  by an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  of the form  $\mathbf{e}_{\eta^p, p\mathbf{a}}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{\eta, \mathbf{a}}$ , which decreases  $e$  by  $p - 1$ , and proceed by induction. So we may assume that all  $\mu_i$  are prime to  $p$ . By the claim, there is some  $\mathbf{b}$  such that the elements  $\sum_{\xi^{\mu_i} = \eta_i} \xi \in V_k$  for the  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{b}$  are linearly dependent. Pick some non-trivial dependency relation, and let  $i$  be such that  $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\sum_{\xi^{\mu_i} = \eta_i} \xi$  appears with non-zero coefficient in it, and  $\mu_i$  is the largest among the  $i$ 's with these properties.

Suppose that, for some  $d|\mu_i$ , there were two different  $d$ -th roots of  $\eta_i$  defined over  $k$ . Then their ratio is a non-trivial character of order  $e$  for some  $e|d$  which is defined over  $k$ . We deduce that all  $e$ -th roots of  $\eta_i$  are defined over  $k$  (one is obtained by raising a  $d$ -th root to the  $d/e$ -th power, and then all others by multiplying by powers of the character of order  $e$ ). We can then multiply  $\mathbf{x}$  by the element  $\mathbf{e}_{\eta_i, \mu_i \mathbf{b}_i}^{-1} \prod_{\xi^e = \eta_i} \mathbf{e}_{\xi, (\mu_i/e)\mathbf{b}_i} \in \mathcal{H}$  or its inverse, which decreases  $\sum_i (\mu_i - 1)$  by  $e - 1$ , and proceed by induction.

So we may assume that, for every  $d|\mu_i$ , there is at most one  $d$ -th root of  $\eta_i$  defined over  $k$ . If there is a  $d$ -th root and a  $d'$ -th root, then there is a  $\text{lcm}(d, d')$ -th root by Bézout, so there is some maximal  $d|\mu_i$  such that  $\eta_i$  has a (unique)  $d$ -th root  $\theta$  defined over  $k$  and, for every  $e|\mu_i$ ,  $\eta_i$  has an  $e$ -th root defined over  $k$  if and only if  $e|d$ , in which case the  $e$ -th root in question is  $\theta^{d/e}$ .

Pick a character  $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{Char}$  such that  $\xi_0^{\mu_i/d} = \theta$  (in particular,  $\xi_0^{\mu_i} = \eta_i$ ) and a character  $\epsilon \in \mathbf{Char}$  of order  $\mu_i$ . Then  $(\xi_0 \epsilon)^{\mu_i} = \eta_i$ , so  $\xi_0 \epsilon$  appears with non-zero coefficient in  $\sum_{\xi^{\mu_i} = \eta_i} \xi$ . By the linear dependence relation, it must appear in  $\sum_{\xi^{\mu_j} = \eta_j} \xi$  for some other  $j \neq i$  with  $\mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{b}$ . By the distinctness of the  $(\eta_i, \mathbf{a}_i)$  we can not have  $\mu_i = \mu_j$ , so by the maximality of  $\mu_i$  we must have  $\mu_j < \mu_i$ . Since  $(\xi_0 \epsilon)^{\mu_i} = \eta_i$  and  $(\xi_0 \epsilon)^{\mu_j} = \eta_j$  are defined over  $k$ , so is  $(\xi_0 \epsilon)^{\mu_0}$  where  $\mu_0 = \text{gcd}(\mu_i, \mu_j) < \mu_i$ . Then  $(\xi_0 \epsilon)^{\mu_0}$  is a  $(\mu_i/\mu_0)$ -th root of  $\eta_i$  defined over  $k$ , so  $(\mu_i/\mu_0)|d$  and  $(\xi_0 \epsilon)^{\mu_0} = \theta^{d/(\mu_i/\mu_0)} = (\xi_0^{\mu_i/d})^{d/(\mu_i/\mu_0)} = \xi_0^{\mu_0}$ . Therefore  $\epsilon^{\mu_0}$  is trivial, which contradicts the fact that  $\epsilon$  has order  $\mu_i$ .  $\square$

## 5. INDEPENDENCE OF $p$

In this final section we will prove a version of Theorem 1 where we allow the fields over which the characters  $\chi$  are defined to have different characteristics.

Let  $\mathcal{K} \in D_c^b(\mathbb{P}_k^r, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ , the *complexity*  $c(\mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  is defined in [SFFK, Definition 3.2]. Roughly speaking, it is the maximum, for  $0 \leq s \leq r$ , of the sum of the (geometric) Betti numbers of the restriction of  $\mathcal{K}$  to a generic linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}_k^r$  of dimension  $s$ . More generally, for a quasi-projective variety  $X$  with an embedding  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^r$ , the *complexity* of an object  $\mathcal{K} \in D_c^b(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is  $c_u(\mathcal{K}) := c(\mathbb{P}_k^r, u_! \mathcal{K})$  [SFFK, Definition 6.4]. We will consider  $X = \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$  embedded in  $\mathbb{P}_k^r$  in the natural way.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\eta : k^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a character,  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  an  $r$ -tuple and  $\mathbf{t} \in (k^\times)^r$ . Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r$  be the homomorphism of tori given by  $t \mapsto t^{\mathbf{a}}$ . Then the complexity of  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}, \eta} := \delta_{\mathbf{t}} * \alpha_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \eta) \in D_c^b(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is bounded by  $2 \max_i |a_i|$ .*

*Proof.* Since taking convolution with  $\delta_{\mathbf{t}}$  is just applying a translation, which preserves the set of generic linear subspaces, we may assume  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{1}$ .

In that case,  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}, \eta}$  is supported on a one-dimensional subtorus, so its restriction to a generic linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}_k^r$  of dimension  $s$  is empty except for  $s = r, r - 1$ . For  $s = r$ , since  $\alpha$  is a finite map, we have  $H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^r, u_! \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}, \eta}) = H_c^i(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}^r, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}, \eta}) = H_c^i(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}, \mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi))$  which is one-dimensional for  $i = 0$ , and vanishes for all other  $i$ .

Write  $\mathbf{a} = \mu \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  is primitive. We have  $\alpha = \beta \circ [\mu]$ , where  $\beta : t \mapsto t^{\mathbf{b}}$  is an immersion and  $[\mu]$  is the  $\mu$ -th power map. Then  $[\mu]_* \mathcal{H}(\psi, \chi)$  has rank  $\leq |\mu|$  (the exact rank is its prime to  $p$  part). A generic hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}_k^r$  intersects the image of  $\alpha$  (or, equivalently, of  $\beta$ ) in  $B$  points, where  $B$  is the number of solutions in  $\bar{k}^\times$  of

$$\gamma_1 t^{b_1} + \dots + \gamma_r t^{b_r} = \gamma_0$$

for generic  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ , which is  $\leq \max_i b_i - \min\{0, \min_i b_i\} \leq 2 \max_i |b_i|$ . At each of these points, the restriction of  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}, \eta}$  is a single object in degree  $-1$ , of dimension  $\leq |\mu|$ . So the sum of the Betti numbers of this restriction is bounded by  $2|\mu| \max_i |b_i| = 2 \max_i |a_i|$ .  $\square$

By [SFFK, Theorem 6.9(5,8,9)], there is an absolute constant  $N = N(r)$  such that the convolution of  $d$  objects on  $\mathbb{G}_{m, k}^r$  of this form with  $\max_i |a_i| \leq A$  has complexity  $\leq N^{d-1} A^d$ . By [SFFK, Theorem 6.20] applied to the projections and the multiplication map  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Z}[\ell-1]}^r \times \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Z}[\ell-1]}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Z}[\ell-1]}^r$  this constant can be taken to be the same for every finite field  $k$  (by varying the  $\ell$  if necessary). In particular, if  $\mathcal{K}$  is such a convolution, we have by [SFFK, Theorem 6.9] and [SFFK, Theorem 6.20] applied now to the closed immersion  $\iota_{\mathbf{1}} : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}[\ell-1]}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Z}[\ell-1]}^r$  mapping the only point of  $\mathbb{P}^0$  to  $\mathbf{1}$ :

$$A_{\mathcal{K}} := \sum_i \dim(\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{K})_{\mathbf{1}}) = \sum_i H_c^i(\{\mathbf{1}\}, \iota_{\mathbf{1}}^* \mathcal{K}) = c(\iota_{\mathbf{1}}^* \mathcal{K}) \leq CN^{d-1} A^d.$$

for some absolute constant  $C = C(r)$ . Applying this to the proof of Theorem 1 given in section 3, we get

**Theorem 4.** *Fix some  $A > 0$ . For every  $m \geq 1$ , let  $q_m$  be a prime power such that  $\lim_m q_m \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $k_m$  be the finite field with  $q_m$  elements, and pick  $n$  multiplicative characters  $\eta_{m,1}, \dots, \eta_{m,n} : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $n$   $r$ -tuples  $\mathbf{a}_{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{m,n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  such that  $\max_j |a_{mij}| \leq A$  for every  $i$ , and  $n$  points  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n \in (k_m^\times)^r$ . Let  $S_m$  be the set of  $r$ -tuples of multiplicative characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r : k_m^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\eta_{m,i} \chi^{\mathbf{a}_{m,i}} \neq \mathbf{1}$  for all  $i$ , and assume that the linear independency hypothesis of Theorem 1 holds for all  $m \geq 1$ . Then the elements*

$$\Phi_m(\chi) = (q_m^{-1/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_{m,1}) G(\eta_{m,1} \chi^{\mathbf{a}_{m,1}}), \dots, q_m^{-1/2} \chi(\mathbf{t}_{m,n}) G(\eta_{m,n} \chi^{\mathbf{a}_{m,n}}))$$

for  $\chi \in S_m$  become equidistributed on  $(S^1)^n$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1, we need to show that for every non-zero  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |S_m|^{-1} \sum_{\chi \in S_m} \Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\Phi_m(\chi)) = 0.$$

And, as in proposition 1, we get for  $q_m > 1 + a_m$ , where  $a_m := \sum_i \min_{j: a_{mij} \neq 0} |a_{mij}| \leq nA$ :

$$|S_m|^{-1} \left| \sum_{\chi \in S_m} \Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\Phi_m(\chi)) \right| \leq \frac{(q_m - 1)^r A_{\mathcal{K}} q_m^{-1/2} + a_m (q_m - 1)^{r-1}}{(q_m - 1)^{r-1} (q_m - 1 - a_m)}$$

so

$$|S_m|^{-1} \left| \sum_{\chi \in S_m} \Lambda_{\mathbf{c}}(\Phi_m(\chi)) \right| \leq \frac{CN^{||\mathbf{c}||-1} A^{||\mathbf{c}||} (q_m - 1)^r q_m^{-1/2} + nA (q_m - 1)^{r-1}}{(q_m - 1)^{r-1} (q_m - 1 - nA)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

$\square$

Note that the condition  $\max_j |a_{mij}| \leq A$  is necessary: if we take  $n = r = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\eta_{m,1}$  any non-trivial character of  $k_m$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{m,1} = (q_m - 1)$  for every  $m$ , then  $\Phi_m(\chi) = (q_m^{-1/2} G(\eta_{m,1}))$  is constant for every  $m \geq 1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BBD82] Alexander Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and Pierre Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers, analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers (I)*, Astérisque, vol. 100, 1982.
- [BEW98] Bruce C. Berndt, Ronald J. Evans, and Kenneth S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi sums*, Wiley-Interscience, 1998.
- [Del77] Pierre Deligne, *Application de la formule des traces aux sommes trigonométriques, dans Cohomologie Etale, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie, SGA 4 1/2*, Lecture Notes in Math **569** (1977), 168–232.
- [GL96] Ofer Gabber and François Loeser, *Faisceaux pervers  $l$ -adiques sur un tore*, Duke Mathematical Journal **83** (1996), no. 3, 501–606.
- [Kat80] Nicholas M. Katz, *Sommes exponentielles*, Astérisque, vol. 79, Société Mathématique de France, 1980.
- [Kat88] ———, *Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums, and monodromy groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 116, Princeton University Press, 1988.
- [Kat90] ———, *Exponential sums and differential equations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 124, Princeton University Press, 1990.
- [KZ96] Nicholas M. Katz and Zhiyong Zhang, *On the uniform distribution of Gauss sums and Jacobi sums*, Analytic number theory, volume 2, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 139, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [QLZ18] Weizhe Zheng Qing Lu and Zhiyong Zheng, *On the distribution of Jacobi sums*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **741** (2018), 67–86.
- [SFFK] Will Sawin, Arthur Forey, Javier Fresán, and Emmanuel Kowalski, *Quantitative sheaf theory*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society (to appear).
- [Xi] P. Xi, *Equidistributions of Jacobi sums*, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.04286>.