

Complex curves in hypercomplex nilmanifolds with \mathbb{H} -solvable Lie algebras

Yulia Gorginyan

July 27, 2022

Abstract

An operator I on a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called a complex structure operator if $I^2 = -\text{Id}$ and the $\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspace $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ is a Lie subalgebra in the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . A hypercomplex structure on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a triple of complex structures I, J and K on \mathfrak{g} satisfying the quaternionic relations. We call a hypercomplex nilpotent Lie algebra \mathbb{H} -**solvable** if there exists a sequence of \mathbb{H} -invariant subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \mathfrak{g}_k^{\mathbb{H}} = 0,$$

such that $[\mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{H}}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}^{\mathbb{H}}$. We give examples of \mathbb{H} -solvable hypercomplex structures on a nilpotent Lie algebra and conjecture that all hypercomplex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras are \mathbb{H} -solvable. Let (N, I, J, K) be a compact hypercomplex nilmanifold associated to an \mathbb{H} -solvable hypercomplex Lie algebra. We prove that, for a general complex structure L induced by quaternions, there are no complex curves in a complex manifold (N, L) .

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Complex nilmanifolds	2
1.2	\mathbb{H} -solvable Lie algebras	3
1.3	Examples of \mathbb{H} -solvable algebras	4

2 Preliminaries	7
2.1 Nilpotent Lie algebras	7
2.2 A short review of the Maltsev theory	7
2.3 Hypercomplex nilmanifolds	8
2.4 Positive bivectors on a Lie algebra	10
3 Positive bivectors on a quaternionic vector space	11
4 Homology of a leaf of a foliation	13
5 Finale	15

1 Introduction

1.1 Complex nilmanifolds

Recall that a **nilmanifold** N is a compact manifold that admits a transitive action of a nilpotent Lie group G . Any nilmanifold is diffeomorphic to a quotient of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group G by a cocompact lattice Γ [Mal].

A complex nilmanifold could be defined in two different ways. A **complex parallelizable nilmanifold** [W] is a compact quotient of a complex nilpotent Lie group by a discrete, cocompact subgroup. This is not the definition we use. We define a **complex nilmanifold** as a quotient of a nilpotent Lie group with a left-invariant complex structure by a left action of a cocompact lattice.

Nilmanifolds provide examples of non-Kähler complex manifolds. One of the first examples of a non-Kähler complex manifold was given by Kodaira [Has1], see also [Th]. It is a complex surface called **the Kodaira surface** with the first Betti number $b_1 = 3$. It was proven in [BG] that a complex nilmanifold does not admit a Kähler structure unless it is a torus. Moreover, in [Has] Hasegawa proved that a nilmanifold that is not a torus is never homotopically equivalent to any Kähler manifold.

Example 1.1: The Kodaira surface could be obtained as a quotient of the group of matrices of the form

$$G := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z & t \\ 0 & 1 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

by the subgroup Γ of the similar matrices with integer entries.

Example 1.2: The example of a complex nilmanifold that is obtained from a complex Lie group is **an Iwasawa manifold**. It is a compact quotient of the 3-dimensional complex Heisenberg group G by a cocompact, discrete subgroup Γ of the corresponding matrices with the Gaussian integer entries. Unlike the Kodaira surface, the Iwasawa manifold is parallelizable, that is, its tangent bundle is trivial as a holomorphic bundle.

1.2 \mathbb{H} -solvable Lie algebras

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ denote the Lie algebra of a nilpotent Lie group G .

Definition 1.3: A subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \subset \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $[\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ defines a **complex structure operator** $I \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$. Subspaces $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}} = \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$ are $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$ eigenspaces of the operator I respectively.

Let \mathbb{H} be the quaternion algebra. Recall that \mathbb{H} is generated by I, J and K satisfying the quaternionic relations:

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -\text{Id}, \quad IJ = -JI = K. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.4: Let \mathfrak{g} be a nilpotent Lie algebra. A **hypercomplex structure** on \mathfrak{g} is a triple of endomorphisms $I, J, K \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ which satisfies the conditions (1.1) and defines the complex structures in the sense of Definition 1.3.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_i^I := \mathfrak{g}_i + I\mathfrak{g}_i$ the smallest I -invariant Lie subalgebra which contains the commutator subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_i = [\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}, \mathfrak{g}]$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Reformulating

the result of S. Salamon [S, Theorem 1.3], D. Millionschikov in [Mil, Proposition 2.5] has shown that

$$[\mathfrak{g}_k^I, \mathfrak{g}_k^I] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^I$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^I := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] + I[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \neq \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence, a sequence of complex-invariant Lie subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{g}_1^I \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_n^I = 0$$

terminates for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. It is natural to ask a similar question about the hypercomplex nilpotent Lie algebras: is the algebra $\mathbb{H}\mathfrak{g}_1 := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] + I[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] + J[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] + K[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ equal to \mathfrak{g} or not?

We introduce a notion of **an \mathbb{H} -solvable** nilpotent Lie algebra. Define inductively \mathbb{H} -invariant Lie subalgebras: $\mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{H}} := \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}}]$, where $\mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.

Definition 1.5: A hypercomplex nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called **\mathbb{H} -solvable** if there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \mathfrak{g}_k^{\mathbb{H}} = 0.$$

Such a filtration corresponds to an iterated hypercomplex toric bundle, see [AV]. Clearly, this holds if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}} \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{H}}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

There are no known examples of hypercomplex Lie algebras which are not \mathbb{H} -solvable.

Conjecture 1.6: All hypercomplex structures on a nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} are \mathbb{H} -solvable.

1.3 Examples of \mathbb{H} -solvable algebras

An example of an \mathbb{H} -solvable Lie algebra is given by **an abelian complex structure**. Let us recall the definition.

Definition 1.7: Let \mathfrak{g} be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a complex structure. Suppose that $[\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}] = 0$. This complex structure is called **an abelian complex structure**.

It was already known that a nilpotent Lie algebra that admits an abelian hypercomplex structure is \mathbb{H} -solvable [AV, Proposition 4.5], see also [Rol, Corollary 3.11].

The main purpose of this article is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.8: Let (N, I, J, K) be a hypercomplex nilmanifold, and assume that the corresponding Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is \mathbb{H} -solvable. Then there are no complex curves in the complex nilmanifold (N, L) , where $L = aI + bJ + cK$, $L^2 = -\text{Id}$ for all $(a, b, c) \in S^2$ except of a countable set $R \subset S^2$.

To give an example of an \mathbb{H} -solvable Lie algebra with a non-abelian hypercomplex structure, we need a construction described below. **The quaternionic double** was introduced in the work [SV]. Let (X, I_X) be a complex manifold which admits a torsion-free flat connection $\nabla : TX \rightarrow TX \otimes \Lambda^1 X$ which also satisfies $\nabla I = 0$. For a fixed point $x \in X$ consider the monodromy group $\text{Mon}(\nabla) \subset \text{GL}(T_x X)$. Suppose that there exists a lattice $\Lambda_x \subset T_x X$ in a fiber which is preserved by the action of the monodromy group: $\text{Mon}(\nabla)\Lambda_x = \Lambda_x$. Then we can construct the set $\Lambda \subset TX$ via all parallel transportation of Λ_x . Define a manifold $X^+ := TX/\Lambda$. It is fibered over X with fibers $T_x X/\Lambda_x$ are compact tori. It makes sense since for each $x \in X$ the intersection $\Lambda \cap T_x X$ is a lattice continuously depending on $x \in X$. The manifold $X^+ = TX/\Lambda$ is called **the quaternionic double**. It was shown in [SV] that there is a pair of almost complex structures

$$I := \begin{pmatrix} I_X & 0 \\ 0 & -I_X \end{pmatrix}, \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\text{Id} \\ \text{Id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on X^+ which are integrable and satisfy the quaternionic relations.

Theorem 1.9: (Soldatenkov, Verbitsky) Let X^+ be the quaternionic double of an affine complex manifold X . If X is non-Kähler, then X^+ does not admit an HKT-metric [SV].

It was shown in [DF], see also [BDV], that any abelian hypercomplex nilmanifold is HKT.

Example 1.10: To provide an example of an \mathbb{H} -solvable Lie algebra with a non-abelian hypercomplex structure, we first define the Kodaira surface, following [Has], see also [AV, Example 1.7]. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} =$

$\langle x, y, z, t \rangle$, such that the only non-zero commutator is $[x, y] = z$. The complex structure is given by $Ix = y$ and $Iz = t$. There exists an operator $\nabla^+ : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ defined by the formula

$$\nabla_a^+ b := \frac{1}{2}([a, b] + I[Ia, b]), a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$$

We extended ∇^+ to a left-invariant connection on the Lie group G , also denoted by ∇^+ . It is easy to see that the connection ∇^+ is complex-linear, torsion-free and flat. Therefore, we could define the quaternionic double of the Kodaira surface. It is a nilmanifold associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^+ = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, with the commutator defined as follows:

$$[(a, b), (c, d)] := ([a, b], \nabla_a^+ d - \nabla_c^+ b).$$

The hypercomplex structure on \mathfrak{g}^+ is defined as follows:

$$I(a, b) = (Ia, -Ib), \quad J(a, b) = (-b, a), \quad K(a, b) = (-Ib, -Ia).$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^+ := [\mathfrak{g}^+, \mathfrak{g}^+] = [\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}] = \langle \lambda z, \mu z \rangle, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{g}_2^+ = 0$ (because there are no non-trivial commutators on the second step), which implies the \mathbb{H} -solvability of \mathfrak{g}^+ . From [Theorem 1.9](#) it is clear that the hypercomplex structure on \mathfrak{g}^+ is non-abelian.

This gives an example of an \mathbb{H} -solvable Lie algebra with a non-abelian complex structure.

Example 1.11: Let (N, I, J, K) be a hypercomplex manifold. Fix a point $p \in N$ and consider the set $\mathfrak{g}^{(d)} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of smooth vector fields such that $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(d)}$ has zero of order d at p . Notice that the filtration $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}$ is \mathbb{H} -invariant with the commutator $[\mathfrak{g}^{(d)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(k)}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{(d+k-1)}$. Therefore, the quotient $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}/\mathfrak{g}^{(n)}$ is an \mathbb{H} -solvable algebra.

Acknowledgments: I am thankful to Misha Verbitsky for turning my attention to this problem, his support and attention during the preparation of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Nilpotent Lie algebras

Let G be a real nilpotent Lie group, and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. **The descending central series** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the chain of ideals defined inductively:

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathfrak{g}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_k \supset \cdots,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_k = [\mathfrak{g}_{k-1}, \mathfrak{g}]$. It is also called **the lower central series** of \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 2.1: A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called **nilpotent** if $\mathfrak{g}_k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and \mathfrak{g}^* its dual space. Recall that for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential $d : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined as follows

$$d\alpha(\xi, \theta) = -\alpha([\xi, \theta]),$$

where $\xi, \theta \in \mathfrak{g}$. It extends to a finite-dimensional complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

by the Leibniz rule: $d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \alpha \wedge d\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}$. The condition $d^2 = 0$ is equivalent to the Jacobi identity.

2.2 A short review of the Maltsev theory

Following Maltsev’s papers [Mal] and [Mal2] we are going to consider only nilpotent groups without torsion.

Definition 2.2: A **nilmanifold** is a compact manifold which admits a transitive action of a nilpotent Lie group.

Recall that a **lattice** Γ is a discrete subgroup of a topological group G such that there exists a regular finite G -invariant measure on the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$.

The famous **Maltsev’s theorem** states that any nilmanifold N is diffeomorphic to a quotient of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group

G by a cocompact lattice Γ . The group Γ is isomorphic to the fundamental group $\pi_1(N)$ of the nilmanifold N and G is the **Maltsev completion** of the group $\Gamma \approx \pi_1(N)$ [Mal].

Definition 2.3: A group G is called **complete** if for each $g \in G$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that the equation $x^n = g$ has solutions in G .

Definition 2.4: Let G be a subgroup of a complete nilpotent group \hat{G} . Suppose that for any $g \in \hat{G}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $g^n \in G$. Then \hat{G} is called **the Maltsev completion** of a group G .

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . We identify $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with a subspace of a real nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and call $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ **the rational lattice** of \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 2.5: A **rational structure** in a real nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a rational lattice $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.6: Let Γ be a lattice in a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group G . Then its **associated rational structure** is the \mathbb{Q} -span of $\log \Gamma \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ is the Lie algebra. If \mathfrak{g} has a rational structure related to a \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ then there exists a discrete subgroup Γ such that $\log \Gamma \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact [CG, Theorem 5.1.7].

Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of a connected, simply connected Lie group G . By [Theorem 2.7](#) the Maltsev completion $\hat{\Gamma}$ is the unique closed connected subgroup of G such that a left quotient $\Gamma \backslash \hat{\Gamma}$ is compact.

Theorem 2.7: (Maltsev) Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group without torsion. Then there exists a nilpotent, complete and torsion-free group \hat{G} such that \hat{G} is a completion of G . Moreover, all the completions of G are isomorphic [Mal2]. Finally, $\hat{G} = \exp \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the set of rational points in a real nilpotent Lie group G with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admitting a rational lattice $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

■

2.3 Hypercomplex nilmanifolds

Let X be a smooth manifold. Recall that **an almost complex structure** on X is an endomorphism $I \in \text{End}(TX)$ satisfying $I^2 = -\text{Id}$. The Nijenhuis

tensor N_I associated to the almost complex structure I is given by the formula

$$N_I(X, Y) = [X, Y] + I[IX, Y] + I[X, IY] - [IX, IY].$$

An almost complex structure is called **integrable** if its Nijenhuis tensor vanishes.

Remark 2.8: $N_I = 0$ if and only if $[TX^{1,0}, TX^{1,0}] \subset TX^{1,0}$.

Theorem 2.9: (Newlander–Nirenberg) If I is an integrable almost complex structure on X , then X admits the structure of a complex manifold compatible with I .

Definition 2.10: A **complex nilmanifold** is a pair (N, I) , where $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ is a nilmanifold obtained from a nilpotent Lie group G and I an integrable left-invariant almost complex structure on G .

By definition, I is left-invariant if the left translations $L_g : (G, I) \rightarrow (G, I)$ are holomorphic. Notice that the Lie group G does not need to be a complex Lie group, but in the case when it does both left and right translations on G are holomorphic.

Let X be a smooth manifold equipped with three integrable almost complex structures $I, J, K \in \text{End}(TX)$, satisfying the quaternionic relations $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -\text{Id}$ and $IJ = K = -JI$. Such a quadruple (X, I, J, K) is called a **hypercomplex manifold**. Obata [Ob] proved that there exists a unique torsion-free connection ∇^{Ob} preserving the complex structures:

$$\nabla^{Ob} I = \nabla^{Ob} J = \nabla^{Ob} K = 0.$$

The connection ∇^{Ob} is called **the Obata connection**.

A hypercomplex structure induces a complex structure $L = aI + bJ + cK$ for each $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$ and the set of such structures is identified in a natural way with $S^2 \approx \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Consider the product $X \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, where X is a hypercomplex manifold. **The twistor space** $\text{Tw}(X)$ of the hypercomplex manifold X is a complex manifold where the complex structure is defined as follows. For any point $(x, L) \in X \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ the complex structure on $T_{(x,L)} \text{Tw}(X)$ is L on $T_x X$ and the standard complex structure $I_{\mathbb{C}P^1}$ on $T_L \mathbb{C}P^1$. This almost complex structure

on the twistor space of a hypercomplex manifold is always integrable [K], [Besse, Theorem 14.68]. The space $\text{Tw}(X)$ is equipped with the canonical holomorphic projection $\pi : \text{Tw}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$. The fiber $\pi^{-1}(L)$ at a point $L \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ is biholomorphic to the complex manifold (X, L) .

Definition 2.11: Let Γ be a cocompact lattice in a nilpotent Lie group G with a left-invariant hypercomplex structure. Then the manifold $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ is called a **hypercomplex nilmanifold**.

2.4 Positive bivectors on a Lie algebra

Consider a nilpotent Lie group G with a left-invariant complex structure $I \in \text{End}(TG)$. Recall that a **complex structure operator** on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} can be given by a decomposition of the complexification $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}}$, where $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} = \{X \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, I(X) = \sqrt{-1}X\}$ and $[\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ by Definition 1.3.

Denote the k -th exterior power of $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$) by $\Lambda^{k,0}\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\Lambda^{0,k}\mathfrak{g}$). Consider the graded algebra of (p, q) -multivectors $\Lambda^*\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p,q} \Lambda^{p,q}\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 2.12: The elements of the space $\Lambda^{1,1}\mathfrak{g} \subset \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}$ are called **(1,1)-bivectors** or just **bivectors**.

A non-zero real bivector $\xi \in \Lambda^{1,1}\mathfrak{g}$ is called **positive** if for any non-zero $\alpha \in \Lambda^{1,0}\mathfrak{g}^*$ one has $\xi(\alpha, I\alpha) \geq 0$.

The Lie bracket gives a linear mapping $\delta_1 : \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, defined as $\delta_1 : x \wedge y \mapsto [x, y]$. Such a mapping extends by the formula (2.2) below to the finite-dimensional complex of k -**multivectors**, i.e. $\delta_m : \Lambda^{m+1}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \Lambda^m\mathfrak{g}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2n}\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\delta_{2n-1}} \dots \longrightarrow \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} 0$$

and it is dual to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex (2.1). The boundary operator δ_{k-1} can be written as follows

$$\delta_{k-1}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k) = \sum_{r < s} (-1)^{r+s+1} [x_r, x_s] \wedge x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_r \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_s \wedge \dots \wedge x_k. \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.13: A **complex curve** in a complex manifold (X, I) is a 1-dimensional compact complex subvariety $C_I \subset X$.

Let $C_I \subset N$ be a complex curve in a complex nilmanifold (N, I) and $\omega \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ a two-form. We identify $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ with the space of left-invariant 2-forms on the Lie group G , which descends to the space of 2-forms $\Lambda^2(N)$ on the nilmanifold $N = \Gamma \backslash G$.

Consider a functional ξ on the space of 2-forms $\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$:

$$\xi_{C_I}(\omega) := \int_{C_I} \omega. \quad (2.3)$$

Such a functional defines a bivector $\xi \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^1$.

3 Positive bivectors on a quaternionic vector space

We start with a sequence of linear-algebraic lemmas. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} and V^* its dual. Denote by (V, I) the pair of a vector space V with a complex structure $I \in \text{End}(V)$ on it.

Recall that **the kernel** of a bivector $\xi \in \Lambda^{1,1}V$ is the following set:

$$\ker \xi = \{x \in V^* \mid \xi(x, \cdot) = 0\} \subset V^*. \quad (3.1)$$

We denote the space of positive bivectors with respect to the complex structure I on a vector space V by $\Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1}V$.

Lemma 3.1: Let (V, I) be a vector space with a complex structure I and $\xi \in \Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1}V$ a non-zero positive bivector. Let $V_1^* := \{x \in V^* \mid \xi(x, Ix) = 0\}$. Then $V_1^* = \ker \xi$.

Proof: From the definition (3.1) it is obvious that $\ker \xi \subset V_1^*$. Suppose that $x \in V_1^*$ and $x \notin \ker \xi$. Then $0 \neq [x] \in V/\ker \xi$. On the space $V/\ker \xi$ the bivector ξ is positive definite because it has no kernel and it is diagonalizable. Hence, $\xi(x, Ix) > 0$, which is a contradiction. ■

Let W_1 be a subspace of a vector space (W, I) and consider two maps: $p : W \rightarrow W/W_1$ and $\tilde{p} : \Lambda^2 W \rightarrow \Lambda^2(W/W_1)$. There are two subspaces of

¹Since the homology $H_*(N) = H_*(\mathfrak{g})$ by [Theorem 4.1](#) a complex curve C_L corresponds to the bivector ξ_{C_L}

$\Lambda^2 W$: $\Lambda^2 \ker p = W_1 \wedge W_1$ and $\ker \tilde{p} = W \wedge W_1$. It is obvious that $\Lambda^2 \ker p \subset \ker \tilde{p}$. We are going to show that $\Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1} W_1 \cap \ker \tilde{p} = \Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1} W_1 \cap \Lambda^2 \ker p$.

Lemma 3.2: Let W_1 be a subspace of a vector space (W, I) and $\xi \in \Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1} W$ a positive $(1,1)$ -bivector. Assume that $\xi \in \ker \tilde{p}$. Then $\xi \in \Lambda^2 W_1$.

Proof: Denote by $W_1^\perp \subset W^*$ the annihilator of the subspace W_1 ; it is isomorphic to the dual of the quotient $(W/W_1)^*$. Since $\xi \in W \wedge W_1$, we have $\xi(W_1^\perp, W_1^\perp) = 0$. Therefore, $W_1^\perp \subset \ker \xi$ by Lemma 3.1. So, $\xi|_{W^* \wedge W_1^\perp} = 0$, which implies that $\xi \in \Lambda^2 W_1$. ■

Recall that for any pair of orthogonal complex structures $I, J \in \mathbb{H}$, one has

$$J(\Lambda_I^{p,q} V) = \Lambda_I^{q,p} V, \quad (3.2)$$

where the action of the complex structures I and J extended from $\Lambda^{1,0} V$ and $\Lambda^{0,1} V$ to (p, q) -bivectors by a multiplicativity. Indeed, I and J anticommute on $\Lambda^1(V)$ which implies $J(\Lambda_I^{1,0}) = \Lambda_I^{0,1}$ and $J(\Lambda_I^{0,1}) = \Lambda_I^{1,0}$

Consider the operator $W_I : \Lambda^* V_I \rightarrow \Lambda^* V_I$ defined by the formula $W_I(\xi) = \sqrt{-1}(p-q)\xi$, where $\xi \in \Lambda_I^{p,q} V$. Notice that the elements W_I, W_J and W_K generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and the complex structures I, J and K are the elements of the Lie group $SU(2)$ related to them, $I = \exp \frac{\pi W_I}{2}$, $J = \exp \frac{\pi W_J}{2}$ and $K = \exp \frac{\pi W_K}{2}$ [V2].

Lemma 3.3: Let V be a quaternionic vector space and $\Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1} V \subset \Lambda^2 V$ the space of positive $(1,1)$ -bivectors on (V, I) . Then $\Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1} V \cap \Lambda_{I', \text{pos}}^{1,1} V = 0$ for distinct complex structures I and I' .

Proof: Denote the intersection $R_{I, I'} := \Lambda_{I, \text{pos}}^{1,1} V \cap \Lambda_{I', \text{pos}}^{1,1} V$. First, suppose that $I' = -I$, the non-zero bivector $\xi \in R_{I, -I}$, and let $\alpha \in V^*$. Then $\xi(\alpha, I\alpha) > 0$ and $\xi(\alpha, -I\alpha) < 0$, so there is no such a bivector ξ .

Assume that $I' \neq -I$. Then suppose that $J \in \mathbb{H}$ is orthogonal to I and $IJ = -JI$, $J^2 = -\text{Id}$. It is clear that as $I \neq \pm I'$, I is not proportional to I' , then I' can be written in a form $I' = aI + bJ$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \neq 0$.

Let $\xi \in R_{I, I'}$. Since ξ is a $(1,1)$ -bivector, $W_I(\xi) = W_{I'}(\xi) = 0$. Hence, $W_K(\xi) = 0$ because W_I and W_{aI+bJ} generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ [V2]. We obtain that ξ is an $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -invariant bivector. It is therefore invariant under the multiplicative action $J(\xi)(\alpha, \beta) := \xi(J\alpha, J\beta)$ of $J \in SU(2)$. Consider

$$0 \leq \xi(\alpha, I\alpha) = \xi(J\alpha, JI\alpha) = -\xi(J\alpha, IJ\alpha) = -\xi(\beta, I\beta), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\beta = J\alpha$. However, $-\xi(\beta, I\beta) \leq 0$, hence $\xi = 0$. ■

Corollary 3.4: The intersection of the set of positive bivectors and $SU(2)$ -invariant bivectors contains only zero bivector.

Proof: Follows from the formula (3.3). An invariant bivector has to be positive for different complex structures, which is impossible by Lemma 3.3.

■

4 Homology of a leaf of a foliation

Recall that a CW-space X with the fundamental group $\pi_1(X) = \pi$ and the higher homotopy groups $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for $i > 1$ is called a $K(\pi, 1)$ -space of **Eilenberg–MacLane** or just $K(\pi, 1)$ -space. Since the universal covering of a nilpotent Lie group is contractible, the nilmanifold $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ with the fundamental group $\pi_1(N) \approx \Gamma$ is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space. **The cohomology** of the group Γ is defined as $H^*(K(\Gamma, 1), \mathbb{Q})$.

In [N] Nomizu showed that the de Rham cohomology of a nilmanifold $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ can be computed using the left-invariant differential forms on the Lie group G .

Theorem 4.1: (Nomizu, [N, Theorem 1]) Let $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a nilmanifold and $(\Lambda^* \mathfrak{g}^*, d)$ is the Chevalley–Eilenberg complex. The natural inclusion of the complex of the left-invariant differential forms $\Omega^{inv}(G)$ on the nilpotent Lie group G into the de Rham algebra on the nilmanifold $\Omega^{inv}(N)$ induces the isomorphism of the corresponding cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \approx H^*(N, \mathbb{R})$. ■

Since the nilmanifold N is $K(\Gamma, 1)$, the homology $H_*(N, \mathbb{R}) \approx H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$, hence $H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \approx H_*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$. Pickel showed that instead of real coefficients we can take the rational ones, i.e. $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \approx H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{Q})$ as well [P].

Consider a nilpotent Lie group G and let Γ be its discrete subgroup, $\hat{\Gamma} \subset G$ the Maltsev completion of Γ , and define the Lie group $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}} := \exp(\log(\hat{\Gamma}) \otimes \mathbb{R})$. By Theorem 2.7, Γ is a lattice in $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since the quotients $\Gamma \backslash G$ and $\Gamma \backslash \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are both $K(\Gamma, 1)$, we have $H_*(\Gamma \backslash G) = H_*(\Gamma \backslash \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}})$. From Theorem 4.1 follows that $H_*(\Gamma \backslash \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}}) = H_*(\text{Lie } \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}})$, where $\text{Lie } \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $H_*(\text{Lie } \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{R}})$ denotes the cohomology of the Chevalley–Eilenberg homology complex (2.1).

Let $N = \Gamma \backslash G$ be a nilmanifold, \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of the Lie group G and $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a Lie subalgebra. Let $F := \exp \mathfrak{f} \subset G$ be the corresponding Lie group. For each $x \in G$ define a subgroup of the lattice Γ as follows:

$$\Gamma_x = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid x\gamma x^{-1} \in F\}. \quad (4.1)$$

In other words, $\Gamma_x = \Gamma \cap x^{-1}Fx$.

Recall that a **distribution** on a smooth manifold X is a sub-bundle $\Sigma \subset TX$. The distribution called **involutive** if it is closed under the Lie bracket. A **leaf** of the involutive distribution Σ is the maximal connected, immersed submanifold $L \subset X$ such that $TL = \Sigma$ at each point of L . The set of all leaves is called a **foliation**.

The algebra \mathfrak{f} defines a left-invariant foliation Σ on G . The leaves \mathfrak{L}_x of the corresponding foliation on $\Gamma \backslash G$ are diffeomorphic to $\Gamma_x \backslash xF$ for each $x \in G$.

Definition 4.2: A subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be **rational** with respect to a given rational structure $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on \mathfrak{g} if $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathfrak{f}$ is a rational structure for \mathfrak{f} , i.e. $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 4.3: The rational homology of the leaf \mathfrak{L}_x of the foliation Σ is equal to

$$H_*(\mathfrak{L}_x, \mathbb{Q}) := H_*(\Gamma_x \backslash xF, \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbb{Q}}), \quad (4.2)$$

where $H_*(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is the homology of the complex dual to the rational Chevalley–Eilenberg complex (2.1). The last equality makes sense because of [Theorem 4.1](#) and [\[P\]](#).

Consider the natural map of homology

$$j : H_*(\mathfrak{L}_x, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_*(N, \mathbb{Q}), \quad (4.3)$$

associated with the immersion $\mathfrak{L}_x \longrightarrow N$. Notice that j does not have to be injective.

Claim 4.4: Let N be a nilmanifold and $X \subset N$ a subvariety tangent to the foliation Σ generated by the left translates of a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Then the fundamental class $[X] \in H_*(N, \mathbb{Q})$ belongs to the image of $H_*(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbb{Q}})$

in $H_*(N, \mathbb{Q})$, where the map $\tau : H_*(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \longrightarrow H_*(N, \mathbb{Q})$ is obtained from (4.2) and (4.3).

Proof: Let X be a subvariety in a leaf of the foliation $\Sigma \subset TN$ and let $F := \exp \mathfrak{f}$. A leaf of Σ is diffeomorphic to $\Gamma_x \backslash xF$, hence $[X] \in \tau(H_*(\Gamma_x \backslash xF, \mathbb{Q}))$, where we identified $H_*(\Gamma_x \backslash xF, \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. ■

5 Finale

Let (\mathfrak{g}, I, J, K) be a hypercomplex nilpotent Lie algebra. Define inductively

$$\mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{H}} := \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}}],$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and let $\mathfrak{a}_i := \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}} / \mathbb{H}[\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}}]$ be the corresponding commutative quotient algebra, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Observe that for any commutative Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} its second homology group coincides with the space of all bivectors, $H_2(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R}) = \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{a}$. Denote by $\Lambda_{L, pos}^{1,1} \mathfrak{a}$ the set of positive $(1,1)$ -bivectors with respect to the complex structure L .

Proposition 5.1: Let \mathfrak{a} be a commutative hypercomplex Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{s} \subset \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{a}$ a countable set of non-zero bivectors. Then for all $L \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ except at most a countable number, the intersection $\Lambda_{L, pos}^{1,1} \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{s} = \emptyset$.

Proof: By Lemma 3.3 for any non-zero $\xi \in \mathfrak{s}$ there exists at most one complex structure $L_{\xi} \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\xi \in \Lambda_{L_{\xi}, pos}^{1,1} \mathfrak{a}$. The union $\bigcup_{\xi \in \mathfrak{s}} L_{\xi}$ is at most countable, hence for any $L \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathfrak{s}} L_{\xi}$ the intersection $\Lambda_{L, pos}^{1,1} \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{s}$ is empty. ■

Let Σ_i be the foliation on a nilmanifold N generated by the left-translates of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{H}} \subset \mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{x,i} \subset N$ a leaf of the foliation Σ_i . The leaf $\mathfrak{L}_{x,i}$ is diffeomorphic to the left quotient $\Gamma_x \backslash xF_i$, where $F_i = \exp \mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathbb{H}} \subset G$.

Consider the natural projection

$$p_i : \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathbb{H}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_i.$$

Let r_i be the corresponding map of the second homology:

$$r_i : H_2(\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathfrak{H}}) \longrightarrow H_2(\mathfrak{a}_i) = \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{a}_i.$$

Then the image of a homology class in $H_2(\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathfrak{H}})$ defines a bivector on the commutative Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_i .

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{i,\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathfrak{H}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_i := r_i(H_2(\mathfrak{g}_{i-1,\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathfrak{H}})) \subset \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{a}_i$ and $R \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ be a union of

$$R_i := R[\mathfrak{s}_i] \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \tag{5.1}$$

the set of complex structures L such that there exists a positive bivector $\xi \in \mathfrak{s}_i \cap \Lambda_{L, \text{pos}}^{1,1} \mathfrak{a}$. By [Proposition 5.1](#), the set R_i is countable.

Definition 5.2: Let Σ_k be a holomorphic foliation obtained from the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_k^I = \mathfrak{g}_k + I\mathfrak{g}_k$. **A transversal Kähler form** ω_k with respect to the holomorphic foliation Σ_k is a closed positive (1,1)-form, such that $\ker \omega_k$ is precisely the tangent space of the foliation, i.e. $\omega_k(\Sigma_k) = 0$.

Proposition 5.3: Let C_L be a complex curve in a complex nilmanifold (N, L) , where $L \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus R_i$ and the set $R_i \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is defined in (5.1). Suppose that C_L is tangent to the foliation Σ_{i-1} defined by $\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathfrak{H}}$ as above. Then it is also tangent to Σ_i .

Proof: From [Claim 4.4](#) it follows that the fundamental class $[C_L] \in H_2(N, \mathbb{Q})$ of the curve C_L belongs to $j(H_2(\mathfrak{L}_{x,i-1}, \mathbb{Q})) \subset H_2(N, \mathbb{Q})$, where j is the standard map on the rational second homology (4.3). [Theorem 4.1](#) allows us to identify the fundamental class $[C_L] \in H_2(N, \mathbb{Q})$ with the bivector (2.3) $\xi_{C_L} =: \xi$. Under the projection r_i the fundamental class $[C_L]$ is mapped to the bivector $r_i(\xi) \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{a}_i$. From the definition of the set R_i we know that $\xi \in \ker r_i$ and from [Lemma 3.2](#) follows that $\xi \in \Lambda_{L, \text{pos}}^{1,1} \ker p_i = \Lambda_{L, \text{pos}}^{1,1} \mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Suppose that $\omega_{i-1} \in r_i^*(\Lambda_L^{1,1} \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ is a transversal Kähler form of the foliation Σ_{i-1} . Then $\int_{C_L} \omega_{i-1} > 0$ unless C_L lies in the leaf of the foliation Σ_{i-1} . However, $\int_{C_L} \omega = 0$ because ω_{i-1} is closed (otherwise, referring to the analogue of Stokes' theorem, we obtain that the volume of a compact manifold is equal zero). Since $\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathfrak{H}} \supset \mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathfrak{H}}$ we have $\omega_{i-1} \in \Lambda_{L, \text{pos}}^{1,1} (\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^{\mathfrak{H}})^* \subset \Lambda_{L, \text{pos}}^{1,1} (\mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathfrak{H}})^*$. Hence, $\mathfrak{g}_i^{\mathfrak{H}} \subset \ker \omega_{i-1}$. Hence, ω_{i-1} is a transversal Kähler form with respect to the foliation Σ_i and C_L lies in a leaf of Σ_i . ■

Assume that for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the following sequence terminates:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}^{\mathbb{H}} \supset \mathfrak{g}_k^{\mathbb{H}} = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

i.e. the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is \mathbb{H} -solvable, see also [Definition 1.5](#).

Corollary 5.4: Let $L \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus R$, where $R = \bigcup R_i$ is the countable subset defined in (5.1), and assume that the sequence (5.2) terminates to zero. Then the complex nilmanifold (N, L) contains no complex curves.

Proof: Suppose that the sequence (5.2) vanishes on the k -th step, i.e. $\Sigma_k = \{0\}$. Then [Corollary 5.4](#) follows from the [Proposition 5.3](#) and the induction on i . ■

Theorem 5.5: Let (N, I, J, K) be a hypercomplex nilmanifold and assume that the corresponding Lie algebra is \mathbb{H} -solvable. Then there are no complex curves in the general fiber of the holomorphic twistor projection $\text{Tw}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Proof: Follows from [Corollary 5.4](#). ■

References

- [AV] Abasheva A., Verbitsky M., *Algebraic dimension and complex subvarieties of hypercomplex nilmanifolds*, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.05528> (Cited on pages 4 and 5.)
- [BDV] Barberis M. L., Dotti I. G., Verbitsky M. *Canonical bundles of complex nilmanifolds, with applications to hypercomplex geometry*, *Math. Res. Lett.* 16 (2009), no. 2, 331–347. (Cited on page 5.)
- [BG] Benson C., Gordon C. S., *Kähler and symplectic structures on nilmanifolds*, *Topology*, 27(4), 513–518, 1988 (Cited on page 2.)
- [Besse] Besse A. L., *Einstein manifolds*, *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Reprint of the 1987 edition. (Cited on page 10.)
- [CG] Corwin L., Greenleaf F. P., *Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups and Their Applications. Part I, Basic Theory and Examples*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990 (Cited on page 8.)

- [DF] Dotti I., Fino A., *Hyperkähler torsion structures invariant bdy.tex by nilpotent Lie groups*, Class. Quantum Gravity, 2002 (Cited on page 5.)
- [Has1] Hasegawa, K., *Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds*, (English summary) Conference on Symplectic Topology. J. Symplectic Geom. 3 (2005), no. 4, 749–767 (Cited on page 2.)
- [Has] K. Hasegawa. *Minimal models of nilmanifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 106(1): 65-71, 1989. (Cited on pages 2 and 5.)
- [K] Kaledin D., *Integrability of the twistor space for a hypercomplex manifold*, Selecta Math. New Series, 4 (1998), 271–278 (Cited on page 10.)
- [Mal] Maltsev A. I., *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. Armyan. SSSR Ser. Mat. 13 (1949), 201-212. (Cited on pages 2, 7, and 8.)
- [Mal2] Maltsev A. I., *Nilpotent torsion-free groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 13:3 (1949), 201–212 (Cited on pages 7 and 8.)
- [Mil] Millionschikov D. V., *Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras and descending central series*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 74 (2016), no. 1, 163–182. (Cited on page 4.)
- [N] Nomizu K., *On the cohomology of compact homogeneous space of nilpotent Lie group*, Ann. of Math. (2) 59 (1954), 531-538. (Cited on page 13.)
- [Ob] Obata M., *Affine connections on manifolds with almost complex, quaternionic or Hermitian structure*, Jap. J. Math., 26 (1955), 43-79. (Cited on page 9.)
- [P] Pickel P. F., *Rational cohomology of nilpotent groups and Lie algebras*, Comm. Algebra 6 (1978), no. 4, 409–419. (Cited on pages 13 and 14.)

- [Rol] Rollenske S., *Dolbeault cohomology of nilmanifolds with left-invariant complex structure*, Complex and differential geometry, 369-392, Springer Proc. Math., 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. (Cited on page 5.)
- [S] Salamon S. M., *Complex Structures on Nilpotent Lie Algebras*, J.Pure Appl. Algebra, 157 (2001), 311–333. (Cited on page 4.)
- [SV] Soldatenkov A., Verbitsky M., *Holomorphic Lagrangian fibrations on hypercomplex manifolds*, International Mathematics Research Notices 2015 (4), 981-994 (Cited on page 5.)
- [Th] Thurston W. P., *Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), 467-468. (Cited on page 2.)
- [V2] Verbitsky M., *Quaternionic Dolbeault complex and vanishing theorems on hyperkahler manifolds*, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), no. 6, 1576–1592 (Cited on page 12.)
- [W] Winkelmann J., *Complex analytic geometry of complex parallelizable manifolds*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) No. 72-73, 1998 (Cited on page 2.)

YULIA GORGINYAN

INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA (IMPA)

ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA, 110

JARDIM BOTÂNICO, CEP 22460-320

RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ - BRASIL

ALSO:

LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY,

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY (HSE),

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 6 USACHEVA STR.

MOSCOW, RUSSIA

ygorginyan@hse.ru