

GENERIC HECKE ALGEBRA AND THETA CORRESPONDENCE OVER FINITE FIELDS

JIA-JUN MA, CONGLING QIU, AND JIALIANG ZOU

ABSTRACT. We study the Hecke algebra modules arising from theta correspondence between certain Harish-Chandra series for type I dual pairs over finite fields. For the product of the pair of Hecke algebras under consideration, we show that there is a generic Hecke algebra module whose specializations at prime powers give the Hecke algebra modules and whose specialization at 1 can be explicitly described. As an application, we prove the conservation relation on the first occurrence indices for all irreducible representations. As another application, we generalize the results of Aubert-Michel-Rouquier and Pan on theta correspondence between the Harish-Chandra series.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminary: Parabolic subgroup, Weyl group, and Weil representation	6
3.	Hecke algebra and first occurrence index	11
4.	Multiplicity space as a Hecke module	16
5.	The generic Hecke algebra module and deformation	21
	Appendix A. Explicit computation of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{t}_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$	24
	Appendix B. The explicit computation of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{t}_{-k}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$	26
	References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let F be a finite field of characteristic p and let q be the cardinality of F . Let τ be an element in $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that τ^2 is the identity and F_0 be the fixed field of τ . We fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi: F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of F_0 throughout the paper. When p is odd, let ξ denote the unique quadratic character of F^\times , i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad \xi: F^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}, \quad a \mapsto a^{\frac{1}{2}(q-1)}.$$

1.2. For $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, an ϵ -Hermitian space V (over F) is an F -vector space equipped with a non-degenerate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V: V \times V \rightarrow F$ such that

$$\langle av_1 + v_2, v_3 \rangle_V = a \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle_V + \epsilon \langle v_3, v_2 \rangle_V^\tau, \quad \forall a \in F \text{ and } v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V.$$

Let $n = \dim V$ and let

$$U(V) := \{g \in \text{End}_F(V) \mid \langle gv_1, gv_2 \rangle_V = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_V, \forall v_1, v_2 \in V\}$$

be the isometry group of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$. If $F = F_0$ and $\epsilon = 1$, we take a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V and define the discriminant of V by

$$(1.2) \quad \text{disc}(V) := (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \det((\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_V)_{i,j}) \in F^\times / F^{\times 2}.$$

Note that $\text{disc}(V)$ is independent of the choice of the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ (cf. [22, Chapter 2, §2.1]).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46, 22E47.

Key words and phrases. Generic Hecke algebra, Hecke module, Tits' deformation, Theta correspondence, Finite fields,

1.3. A dual pair (V, V') consists of an ϵ -Hermitian space V and an ϵ' -Hermitian space V' where $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\epsilon\epsilon' = -1$. Given such a dual pair (V, V') , $V \otimes_F V'$ is naturally a (-1) -Hermitian space with

$$\langle v_1 \otimes v'_1, v_2 \otimes v'_2 \rangle_{V \otimes_F V'} := \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_V \langle v'_1, v'_2 \rangle_{V'},$$

and there is a natural map

$$(1.3) \quad \mathrm{U}(V) \times \mathrm{U}(V') \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(V \otimes_F V').$$

According to different choices of F and ϵ , we have the following five cases:

- (A) $F \neq F_0$. In this case, $(\mathrm{U}(V), \mathrm{U}(V'))$ is a unitary dual pair;
- (B) $F = F_0, \epsilon = 1$ and $\dim V$ is odd. In this case, $(\mathrm{U}(V), \mathrm{U}(V'))$ is an odd orthogonal-symplectic dual pair;
- (C) $F = F_0, \epsilon = -1$ and $\dim V'$ is even. In this case, $(\mathrm{U}(V), \mathrm{U}(V'))$ is a symplectic-even orthogonal dual pair;
- (\tilde{C}) $F = F_0, \epsilon = -1$ and $\dim V'$ is odd. In this case, $(\mathrm{U}(V), \mathrm{U}(V'))$ is a symplectic-odd orthogonal dual pair. We view $\mathrm{U}(V)$ as a ‘‘metaplectic group’’ which is a notion borrowed from the local theta correspondence;
- (D) $F = F_0, \epsilon = 1$ and $\dim V$ is even. In this case, $(\mathrm{U}(V), \mathrm{U}(V'))$ is an even orthogonal-symplectic dual pair.

In this paper, we assume that $p \neq 2$ in Case B, C, \tilde{C} and D, where p is the characteristic of F .

1.4. Let $\omega_{V \otimes_F V'}$ be the Weil representation of $\mathrm{U}(V \otimes_F V')$ attached to the additive character ψ constructed in G erardin [10, Theorem 3.3] for Case (A) and in G erardin [10, Theorem 2.4] for other cases ($p \neq 2$ here). The (modified) Weil representation $\omega_{V, V'}$ of $\mathrm{U}(V) \times \mathrm{U}(V')$ is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \omega_{V, V'} := \begin{cases} \omega_{V \otimes_F V'} & \text{in case (A),} \\ \left((\xi \circ \det_{\mathrm{U}(V)})^{\frac{1}{2} \dim_F V'} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{U}(V')} \right) \otimes \omega_{V \otimes_F V'} & \text{in case (B) (D),} \\ \left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{U}(V)} \otimes (\xi \circ \det_{\mathrm{U}(V')})^{\frac{1}{2} \dim_F V} \right) \otimes \omega_{V \otimes_F V'} & \text{in case (C) (\tilde{C}),} \end{cases}$$

where $\omega_{V \otimes_F V'}$ is viewed as a $\mathrm{U}(V) \times \mathrm{U}(V')$ -representation via (1.3), and $\det_{\mathrm{U}(V)}$ (resp. $\mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{U}(V)}$) denotes the determinant (resp. trivial) character of $\mathrm{U}(V)$.

1.5. Let $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ denote the category of representations of G over \mathbb{C} and $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ the set of irreducible representations of G . For each dual pair (V, V') , we define a functor $\Theta_{V, V'}$ from $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{U}(V))$ to $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{U}(V'))$ by

$$\Theta_{V, V'}(\pi) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}(V)}(\pi, \omega_{V, V'}).$$

When $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V))$, the π -isotypic component of $\omega_{V, V'}$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$\pi \otimes \Theta_{V, V'}(\pi).$$

Therefore, our definition agrees with the conventional definition of the big theta lift map.

1.6. The hyperbolic ϵ' -Hermitian plane $\mathrm{H}_{\epsilon'}$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) 2-dimensional ϵ' -Hermitian space containing a non-zero isotropic vector. A set \mathcal{V}' of ϵ' -Hermitian spaces is called a Witt tower if there is an anisotropic ϵ' -Hermitian space V'_{an} and \mathcal{V}' consists of all ϵ' -Hermitian spaces V'^{\dagger} such that

$$V'^{\dagger} \cong V'_{\mathrm{an}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{H}_{\epsilon'} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{H}_{\epsilon'}}_{r\text{-terms}}.$$

The integer r above is called the split rank of V'^{\dagger} and the parity of $\dim V'_{\mathrm{an}}$ is called the parity of \mathcal{V}' . If $F = F_0$ and $\epsilon' = 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{disc}(V'^{\dagger}) = \mathrm{disc}(V'_{\mathrm{an}}) \quad \text{for all } V'^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{V}'.$$

Therefore, we define $\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{V}') := \mathrm{disc}(V'_{\mathrm{an}})$ and call it the discriminant of \mathcal{V}' in this case.

For a dual pair (V, V') , let \mathcal{V}' be the Witt tower containing V' and we define the generalized Witt tower \mathcal{V}'_V to be the collection

$$\mathcal{V}'_V = \left\{ \left(V'^{\dagger}, \omega_{V, V'^{\dagger}} \right) \mid V'^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{V}' \right\},$$

where $\omega_{V, V'^{\dagger}}$ is the modified Weil representation defined in (1.4) (cf. [20, § 3]).

We define the companion generalized Witt tower $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V$ as follows:

- In Case (A), there exists a unique Witt tower $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'$ such that parity of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'$ and \mathcal{V}' are different. We define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V := \left\{ \left(\tilde{V}'^{\dagger}, \omega_{V, \tilde{V}'^{\dagger}} \right) \mid \tilde{V}'^{\dagger} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}' \right\}.$$

- In Case (C) and (\tilde{C}), there exists a unique Witt tower $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'$ and \mathcal{V}' have the same parity and different discriminants. We define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V := \left\{ \left(\tilde{V}'^{\dagger}, \omega_{V, \tilde{V}'^{\dagger}} \right) \mid \tilde{V}'^{\dagger} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}' \right\}.$$

- In Case (B) and (D), there is only one Witt tower of symplectic spaces. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}' := \mathcal{V}'$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V := \left\{ \left(\tilde{V}'^{\dagger}, \omega_{V, \tilde{V}'^{\dagger}} \otimes (\det_{\mathbb{U}(V)} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{U}(\tilde{V}'^{\dagger})}) \right) \mid \tilde{V}'^{\dagger} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}' \right\}.$$

1.7. For a generalized Witt tower $\mathcal{V}'_V \in \{\mathcal{V}'_V, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V\}$ of ϵ' -Hermitian spaces, we define a function $n_{\mathcal{V}'_V}$ from $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{U}(V))$ to the set \mathbb{N} of non-negative integers by sending $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{U}(V))$ to

$$(1.5) \quad n_{\mathcal{V}'_V}(\pi) := \min \left\{ \dim V'^{\dagger} \mid \left(V'^{\dagger}, \omega \right) \in \mathcal{V}'_V \text{ and } \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{U}(V)}(\pi, \omega) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

The number $n_{\mathcal{V}'_V}(\pi)$ is called the first occurrence index of π with respect to \mathcal{V}'_V , which is well-defined by the non-vanishing of theta lift when the split rank of $V'^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{V}'_V$ is greater than $\dim V$, see [16, 3.IV].

In the rest of the introduction, we will retain the notations V, \mathcal{V}'_V etc. defined above. All definitions and notations extend naturally to V' by switching the roles of V and V' .

1.8. Suppose that V has split rank r . We fix a chain of ϵ -Hermitian spaces $\{V_i \mid -r \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in the Witt tower containing V such that $V_i \subset V_{i+1}$ and $\dim V_i = \dim V + 2i$ for each integer $i \geq -r$. Note that $V_0 = V$. For each integer $0 \leq k \leq r$, let $Q_k = M_k N_k$ be a parabolic subgroup of $\mathbb{U}(V)$ with Levi component

$$M_k \cong \text{GL}_k(F) \times \mathbb{U}(V_{-k})$$

unipotent radical N_k . Let $J_{\mathbb{U}(V)}^{M_k}$ be the Jacquet functor from $\mathbb{U}(V)$ to M_k by taking N_k -coinvariants. Set

$$(1.6) \quad \chi_{V, V'} := \begin{cases} \xi & \text{in Case } (\tilde{C}), \\ \mathbb{1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We abbreviate $\chi_{V, V'}$ to be χ if there is no confusion caused. For each $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{U}(V))$, define

$$c(\pi) := \max \left\{ k \mid \text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_k}(J_{\mathbb{U}(V)}^{M_k}(\pi), \chi \circ \det) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Clearly if σ is a cuspidal representation of $\mathbb{U}(V)$, then $c(\sigma) = 0$. In general, we call a representation $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{U}(V))$ *theta cuspidal* (with respect to the cases listed in Section 1.2) when $c(\sigma) = 0$.

The behavior of theta cuspidal representations are similar to cuspidal representations under theta correspondence. Indeed, by the argument in [12] (see also [16, 3.IV]) adapted to our situation, one can see that, when σ is theta cuspidal, $\Theta_{V, V'}(\sigma)$ is irreducible or zero for every $V' \in \mathcal{V}'_V$. Moreover, $\Theta_{V, V'}(\sigma)$ is theta cuspidal if and only if $\dim V' = n_{\mathcal{V}'_V}(\sigma)$.

1.9. We prove the following conservation relation on the first occurrence indices. Let

$$(1.7) \quad \delta = \begin{cases} 1 - \epsilon & \text{if } F = F_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } F \neq F_0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta' = \begin{cases} 1 + \epsilon & \text{if } F = F_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } F \neq F_0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.1. *For $\pi \in \text{Irr}(U(V))$, we have*

$$(1.8) \quad n_{\mathcal{V}'_V}(\pi) + n_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V}(\pi) + c(\pi) = 2 \dim V + \delta.$$

We will first prove Theorem 1.1 for theta-cuspidal representations (Proposition 3.7). The general case will be proved in Section 5.4, using Theorem 1.3 below (whose proof relies on the theta-cuspidal case of Theorem 1.1).

For cuspidal representations, Pan obtained (1.8) by a reduction to the p -adic case [17, Theorem 12.3]. Recently Pan also obtained the general case by a reduction to the unipotent case [19].

1.10. Now we introduce another important player, the generic Hecke algebra. Fix an integer $l \geq 0$. Let W_l be the Coxeter group of type BC_l with simple reflections

$$\Delta_l := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{l-1}, t_l\},$$

where the subgroup S_l of W_l generated by $\{s_1, \dots, s_{l-1}\}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group on l letters. Let $l: W_l \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the length function on W_l .

Let ν be an indeterminate and $R := \mathbb{Z}[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ the ring of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} generated by $\nu^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$. For $\mu \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and a non-negative integer l , the generic Hecke algebra $H_{l,\mu}$ of W_l is the unique associative algebra over R with R -basis $\{T_{w,\mu} \mid w \in W_l\}$ (we abbreviate $T_w := T_{w,\mu}$), such that

- (a) $(T_{s_i} + 1)(T_{s_i} - \nu) = 0, i = 1, \dots, l-1,$
- (b) $(T_{t_l} + 1)(T_{t_l} - \nu^\mu) = 0,$
- (c) $T_{w_1}T_{w_2} = T_{w_1w_2}$ if $l(w_1w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2).$

Let \mathbb{C}_q be the R -module whose underlying \mathbb{Z} -module is \mathbb{C} and $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ acts by \sqrt{q} . Tensoring of an R -algebra or R -module with \mathbb{C}_q is called the *specialization* at $\nu = q$. We write $H_{l,\mu,\nu=q} := H_{l,\mu} \otimes_R \mathbb{C}_q$ for the specialization of an R -algebra $H_{l,\mu}$ at $\nu = q$ and $M_{\nu=q} := M \otimes_R \mathbb{C}_q$ for the $H_{l,\mu,\nu=q}$ -module obtained by specialization of an $H_{l,\mu}$ -module M at $\nu = q$.

1.11. Let $P_l = L_l U_l$ be a parabolic subgroup of $U(V_l)$ with unipotent radical U_l and Levi component

$$L_l \cong \underbrace{\text{GL}_1(F) \times \dots \times \text{GL}_1(F)}_{l\text{-terms}} \times U(V_0).$$

Let σ be an irreducible theta cuspidal representation of $U(V_0)$ and let

$$(1.9) \quad \sigma_l := \chi^{\otimes l} \otimes \sigma$$

be an irreducible representation of L_l . By abuse of notation, we also use σ_l to denote its inflation to P_l . Consider the intertwining algebra

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{H}_l := \text{End}_{U(V_l)} \left(\text{Ind}_{P_l}^{U(V_l)} (\sigma_l^\vee) \right),$$

where σ_l^\vee denotes the contragredient of σ_l .

Theorem 1.2. *There is an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_l \cong H_{l,\mu(\sigma),\nu=q}$ with*

$$(1.11) \quad \mu(\sigma) := \frac{1}{2}(n_{\mathcal{V}'_V}(\sigma) - n_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V}(\sigma)).$$

The isomorphism in Theorem 1.2 will be explicitly constructed in Section 3.7. Note that we also have $\mathcal{H}_l \cong H_{l,-\mu(\sigma),\nu=q}$ by switching the role of \mathcal{V}'_V and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V$ in (1.11). These two isomorphisms are related by a natural isomorphism $\kappa: H_{l,-\mu(\sigma)} \cong H_{l,\mu(\sigma)}$, see Remark 3.8.

In the case that σ is cuspidal, by Howlett-Lehrer [11, Theorem 3.14], Lusztig [14, 8.6] and Geck [8, Corollary 2], it was already known that $\mathcal{H}_l = H_{l,\mu,\nu=q}$ for some $\mu \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Thus the content of Theorem 1.2 is to relate the parameter of the generic Hecke algebra with the first occurrence indexes of σ .

1.12. Let (V, V', σ, σ') be a quadruple such that (V, V') is a dual pair and $(\sigma, \sigma') \in \text{Irr}(\text{U}(V)) \times \text{Irr}(\text{U}(V'))$ is a pair of theta cuspidal representations that satisfy $\Theta_{V, V'}(\sigma) = \sigma'$. By Theorem 1.1 in the theta-cuspidal case (Proposition 3.7) and Theorem 1.2, we have

$$(1.12) \quad \mu(\sigma) = \dim V' - \dim V - \frac{1}{2}\delta$$

and

$$\mu(\sigma') = \dim V - \dim V' - \frac{1}{2}\delta' = -1 - \mu(\sigma).$$

In view of (1.7) and (1.12), let

$$(1.13) \quad \mu \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} & \text{in Case (A),} \\ 2\mathbb{Z} + 1 & \text{in Case (B) and (C),} \\ 2\mathbb{Z} & \text{in Case (\tilde{C}) and (D).} \end{cases}$$

We say that μ and a quadruple (V, V', σ, σ') as above are *relevant* if

$$(1.14) \quad \mu = \dim V' - \dim V - \frac{1}{2}\delta.$$

We prove that every μ in (1.13) is relevant to some quadruple as above (Proposition 3.11).

1.13. Below in this introduction, we use Hecke algebras to analyze theta lifts. Fix μ in (1.13) and integers $l, l' \geq 0$. Let (V, V', σ, σ') be a quadruple relevant to μ . By [16, §3, IV.4], we know that the irreducible components of the theta lifts of elements in the Harish-Chandra series

$$\mathcal{E}(\text{U}(V_l), \sigma) := \{ \pi \in \text{Irr}(\text{U}(V_l)) \mid \pi \text{ occurs in } \text{Ind}_{P_l}^{\text{U}(V_l)}(\sigma_l) \}$$

to $\text{U}(V_{l'})$ lie in the Harish-Chandra series $\mathcal{E}(\text{U}(V_{l'}), \sigma')$. From the definition (1.10) and Theorem 1.2, $\mathcal{E}(\text{U}(V_l), \sigma)$ is parameterized by simple modules of \mathcal{H}_l . Likewise $\mathcal{E}(\text{U}(V_{l'}), \sigma')$ is parameterized by simple modules of $\mathcal{H}'_{l'}$ which is defined as in (1.10). Thus the decomposition of the multiplicity space

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{M} := \text{Hom}_{\text{U}(V_l) \times \text{U}(V_{l'})} \left(\text{Ind}_{P_l}^{\text{U}(V_l)}(\sigma_l) \otimes \text{Ind}_{P_{l'}}^{\text{U}(V_{l'})}(\sigma'_{l'}), \omega_{V_l, V_{l'}} \right)$$

as a *left* $\mathcal{H}_l \otimes \mathcal{H}'_{l'}$ -module will give rise to the theta correspondence between $\mathcal{E}(\text{U}(V_l), \sigma)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\text{U}(V_{l'}), \sigma')$. We show that \mathcal{M} lifts to a generic Hecke algebra module.

Let $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{l, \mu}$ and $\mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{H}_{l', -1-\mu}$. Fix the isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_l \cong \mathbf{H}_{\nu=q}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_{l'} \cong \mathbf{H}'_{\nu=q}$ from Theorem 1.2. Fix the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{W}_l] \cong \mathbf{H}_{\nu=1}$ by sending $w \in \mathbf{W}_l$ to $\mathbf{T}_w \otimes_R 1$, and likewise $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{W}_{l'}] \cong \mathbf{H}'_{\nu=1}$. Define the “signature” representation of \mathbf{H} by

$$(1.16) \quad \varepsilon_l(\mathbf{T}_{s_i}) = \nu \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, l-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_l(\mathbf{T}_{t_l}) = -1.$$

To ease the notation, we still denote the specialization of ε_l at $\nu = q$ or $\nu = 1$ by the same symbol. The same definition works for \mathbf{H}' and its specializations as well.

For each non-negative integer k , we have natural subgroups $\mathbf{W}_{l-k} \times \mathbf{W}_k \subset \mathbf{W}_l$ and $\mathbf{W}_k \times \mathbf{W}_{l'-k} \subset \mathbf{W}_{l'}$. Let $\Delta \mathbf{W}_k \subset (\mathbf{W}_{l-k} \times \mathbf{W}_k) \times (\mathbf{W}_k \times \mathbf{W}_{l'-k}) \subset \mathbf{W}_l \times \mathbf{W}_{l'}$ be the diagonal embedding.

Theorem 1.3. *There is an $\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H}'$ -module \mathbf{M} , free over R such that*

- (a) as $\mathbf{H}_{\nu=q} \otimes \mathbf{H}'_{\nu=q} \cong \mathcal{H}_l \otimes \mathcal{H}'_{l'}$ -modules, $\mathbf{M}_{\nu=q} \cong \mathcal{M}$;
- (b) as $\mathbf{H}_{\nu=1} \otimes \mathbf{H}'_{\nu=1} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{W}_l] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{W}_{l'}]$ -modules,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu=1} \cong \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_{l-k} \times \Delta \mathbf{W}_k \times \mathbf{W}_{l'-k}}^{\mathbf{W}_l \times \mathbf{W}_{l'}} (\varepsilon_{l-k} \otimes \varepsilon_k \otimes \varepsilon_{l'-k}).$$

We will explicitly construct the module \mathbf{M} in Section 5.1.

Remark. The generic Hecke algebras \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{H}' , and the module \mathbf{M} only depend on the triple (μ, l, l') .

1.14. By Tits' deformation [6, 10.11], we have a natural bijection between simple modules of \mathcal{H}_l and $\text{Irr}(W_l)$ under our fixed isomorphisms $\mathcal{H}_l \cong H_{\nu=q}$ and $\mathbb{C}[W_l] \cong H_{\nu=1}$. Thus we can identify $\mathcal{E}(U(V_l), \sigma)$ with $\text{Irr}(W_l)$. Likewise, we identify $\mathcal{E}(U(V_{l'}), \sigma')$ with $\text{Irr}(W_{l'})$. We now recall some basic facts in the representation theory of S_l and W_l . Let \mathcal{S}_a be the Grothendieck group of finite dimensional representations of S_a . Then $\mathcal{S} := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_a$ is a graded commutative unital ring under the multiplication

$$(1.17) \quad \alpha \cdot \beta := \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{S}_a \times \mathcal{S}_b}^{\mathcal{S}_{a+b}} (\alpha \otimes \beta), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_a, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_b.$$

Let $\mathbf{1}_l$ be the trivial representation of S_l . Define $X_l: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $\alpha \mapsto \mathbf{1}_l \cdot \alpha$ and let $X_l^*: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the adjoint of X_l , under the non-degenerate pairing that assigns $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ (Kronecker delta) if both α and β are irreducible representations. The operators X_l and X_l^* can be computed by Pieri's rule (cf. [7, 4.44]). Similarly, we define $\mathcal{W} := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_a$ with \mathcal{W}_a being the Grothendieck group of finite dimensional representations of W_a . Then \mathcal{W} is also a commutative unital ring under the obvious analog of (1.17). For $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(S_a)$, let $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the inflation of α to W_a via the natural quotient map $W_l \rightarrow S_l$. It is a classical result that the map

$$\text{Irr}(S_a) \times \text{Irr}(S_b) \ni (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \times \beta := \text{Ind}_{W_a \times W_b}^{W_{a+b}} (\tilde{\alpha} \otimes (\tilde{\beta} \otimes \varepsilon_b)) \in \mathcal{W}_{a+b}.$$

extends to a graded ring isomorphism $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, see [24, Section 7.3].

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $\pi \in \mathcal{E}(U(V_l), \sigma)$ and corresponds to $\alpha \times \beta \in \text{Irr}(W_l)$. Then $\Theta(\pi)$ is a multiplicity-free combination of irreducible representations in $\mathcal{E}(U(V_{l'}), \sigma')$ corresponding to*

$$(1.18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} X_{l-k}^*(\beta) \times X_{l'-k}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{W}_{l'}.$$

Theorem 1.4 will be proved in Section 5.3 using Theorem 1.3. This theorem covers the results of Aubert-Michel-Rouquier [2, Theorem 3.10, Conjecture 3.11] and Pan [18, Theorem 3.4] for theta lifts between unipotent representations and quadratic-unipotent representations (called θ -representations in [15, Theorem 3.3]), see Section 5.5.

Acknowledgment. The first author thanks Anne-Marie Aubert, Tomasz Przebinda, and Binyong Sun for helpful discussions. He is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11701364 and Grant No. 11971305) and Xiamen University Malaysia Research Fund (Grant No. XMUMRF/2022-C9/IMAT/0019). The second author is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2000533. The third author thanks Yifeng Liu and Zhiwei Yun for encouraging discussions. He is partially supported by a Singapore government MOE Tier 1 grant R-146-000-320-114.

2. PRELIMINARY: PARABOLIC SUBGROUP, WEYL GROUP, AND WEIL REPRESENTATION

We explicate some parabolic subgroups of $U(V_l)$ and the corresponding relative Weyl groups. We also recall the mixed model of the Weil representation and Kudla's filtration.

2.1. Parabolic subgroup and Weyl group. Recall that for $l \geq 0$, $V_l = V \oplus H_\epsilon^l$. Fix an isotropic basis v_i, v_{-i} of the i -th H_ϵ such that $\langle v_i, v_{-i} \rangle_{V_l} = 1$. For each $0 \leq k \leq l$, we define

$$V_k^+ = \text{Span} \{ v_1, \dots, v_k \}, \quad V_k^- = \text{Span} \{ v_{-1}, \dots, v_{-k} \}, \\ \widehat{V}_{l-k}^+ = \text{Span} \{ v_{k+1}, \dots, v_l \}, \quad \widehat{V}_{l-k}^- = \text{Span} \{ v_{-(k+1)}, \dots, v_{-l} \}.$$

Note that $V_l^+ = V_k^+ \oplus \widehat{V}_{l-k}^+$. We also define

$$(2.1) \quad \widehat{V}_{l-k} = \widehat{V}_{l-k}^+ \oplus V \oplus \widehat{V}_{l-k}^-.$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad V_l = V_k^+ \oplus \widehat{V}_{l-k} \oplus V_k^-.$$

The discussion and notations here and below apply to V and V' in obviously parallel ways.

Using these subspaces, we describe the parabolic subgroup of $U(V_l)$ that appeared in 1.11. For $v \in V_l$, let $\langle v \rangle$ be its span. We identify $GL_1(\langle v_i \rangle) \subset GL(V_l^+) \subset U(V_l^+ \oplus V_l^-)$ and $U(V_0)$ as subgroups of $U(V_l)$ via the above choices of bases and decompositions. Specify the parabolic subgroup $P_l = L_l U_l$ of $U(V_l)$ in 1.11 to be the one stabilizing the flag $0 \subset V_1^+ \subset \cdots \subset V_l^+$, and specify its Levi subgroup L_l to be the one stabilizing the flag $0 \subset V_1^- \subset \cdots \subset V_l^-$. Let

$$T_l = GL_1(\langle v_1 \rangle) \times \cdots \times GL_1(\langle v_l \rangle) \subset GL(V_l^+).$$


It is direct to check the following equality

$$\text{Norm}_{U(V_l)}(L_l) = \text{Norm}_{U(V_l^+ \oplus V_l^-)}(T_l) \times U(V_0)$$

between the normalizers. In particular, the natural embedding yields the following isomorphism

$$\text{Norm}_{U(V_l^+ \oplus V_l^-)}(T_l)/T_l \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Norm}_{U(V_l)}(L_l)/L_l.$$

Now let us consider the Weyl group and mark the simple reflections of W_l on the following Dynkin diagram of type BC_l :



For $k = 1, \dots, l-1$, let

$$(2.3) \quad t_k := s_k \cdots s_{l-1} t_l s_{l-1} \cdots s_k.$$

Recall that S_l is the subgroup of W_l generated by $\{s_1, \dots, s_{l-1}\}$ which is isomorphic to the symmetric group on l letters. Let D_l be the subgroup of W_l generated by $\{t_1, \dots, t_l\}$ which is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^l$. We have

$$(2.4) \quad W_l = D_l \rtimes S_l.$$

We make the following choices of lifts of elements in W_l to $\text{Norm}_{U(V_l^+ \oplus V_l^-)}(T_l)$ according to Tits [23]:

- For $i = 1, \dots, l-1$, the lift of s_i is

$$(2.5) \quad s_i : \begin{cases} v_i & \mapsto -\epsilon v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} & \mapsto \epsilon v_i, \\ v_{-(i+1)} & \mapsto \epsilon v_{-i}, \\ v_{-i} & \mapsto -\epsilon v_{-(i+1)}, \\ v_j & \mapsto v_j \quad \text{if } -l \leq j \leq l \text{ and } j \neq \{i, i+1, -i, -(i+1)\}. \end{cases}$$

- The lift of t_l is

$$(2.6) \quad t_l : \begin{cases} v_l & \mapsto -v_{-l}, \\ v_{-l} & \mapsto -\epsilon v_l, \\ v_j & \mapsto v_j \quad \text{if } -l < j < l. \end{cases}$$

- If $w = w_1 \cdots w_j$ is an reduced expression of $w \in W_l$ with $w_i \in \Delta_l$, take the lift of w to be $w = w_1 \cdots w_j$, where $w_i, 1 \leq i \leq j$ is the lift of w_i in (2.5) and (2.6).

The definition $w \mapsto w$ above does not depend on the choice of reduced expression of w since the lifts in (2.5) and (2.6) satisfy the following braid relation

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} s_i s_j &= s_j s_i, & \text{for all } j \neq i \pm 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq l-1, \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i &= s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} & \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq l-1, \\ s_i t_l &= t_l s_i, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l-2, \\ s_{l-1} t_l s_{l-1} t_l &= t_l s_{l-1} t_l s_{l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The lifts above induce the natural identification

$$W_l = \text{Norm}_{U(V_l^+ \oplus V_l^-)}(T_l)/T_l = \text{Norm}_{U(V_l)}(L_l)/L_l.$$

By (2.3), for $k = 1, \dots, l-1$, the lift of t_k equals

$$t_k : \begin{cases} v_k & \mapsto (-1)^{l-k+1}v_{-k}, \\ v_{-k} & \mapsto \epsilon(-1)^{l-k+1}v_k, \\ v_j & \mapsto -v_j \quad \text{if } j > k \text{ or } j < -k, \\ v_j & \mapsto v_j \quad \text{if } -k < j < k. \end{cases}$$

We end this subsection by introducing some maximal parabolic subgroups. For $1 \leq k \leq l$, by (2.2), we identify $\mathrm{GL}(V_k^+)$ and $\mathrm{U}(\widehat{V}_{l-k})$ as subgroups of $\mathrm{U}(V_l)$ naturally. Let $P(V_k^+, V_l) = L(V_k^+, V_l)N(V_k^+, V_l)$ be the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(V_l)$ stabilizing V_k^+ , where $L(V_k^+, V_l) = \mathrm{GL}(V_k^+) \times \mathrm{U}(\widehat{V}_{l-k})$ is the Levi component of $P(V_k^+, V_l)$ stabilizing V_k^- .

Now we specify the unipotent $N(V_k^+, V_l)$. For $T \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_l)$, we define $T^* \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_l)$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \langle Tv, v' \rangle_{V_l} = \langle v, T^*v' \rangle_{V_l}, \quad \text{for every } v, v' \in V_l.$$

Consider $\mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{V}_{l-k}, V_k^+) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_l)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(V_k^-, V_k^+) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_l)$ via the decomposition (2.2). For each $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{V}_{l-k}, V_k^+)$ and $c \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_k^-, V_k^+)$, we define

$$(2.9) \quad u(b, c) = 1 + b - b^* + c.$$

Then

$$N(V_k^+, V_l) = \{u(b, c) | b \in \mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{V}_{l-k}, V_k^+), c \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_k^-, V_k^+), c + c^* + bb^* = 0\}.$$

In particular, the center of $N(V_k^+, V_l)$ is

$$Z(V_k^+, V_l) := \{u(0, c) | \mathrm{Herm}(V_k^-, V_k^+)\},$$

where

$$\mathrm{Herm}(V_k^-, V_k^+) := \{c \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_k^-, V_k^+) | c^* + c = 0\}.$$

2.2. The Mix Model for Weil representation. We recall some explicit formulas for the Weil representation by Gérardin [10, §2, §3].

Let \mathbb{V} be a (-1) -Hermitian space over F with Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{V}}$. For all $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{V}$, we define

$$\langle\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle\rangle_{\mathbb{V}} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{V}}, & \text{if } F = F_0, \\ \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{V}}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{V}.$$

Note that we assumed $p \neq 2$ if $F = F_0$. The Heisenberg group attached to \mathbb{V} is given by

$$H(\mathbb{V}) := \{(v, t) \in \mathbb{V} \oplus F \mid t - t^\tau = \langle\langle v, v \rangle\rangle_{\mathbb{V}}\}$$

with the group law

$$(v_1, t_1) \cdot (v_2, t_2) = (v_1 + v_2, t_1 + t_2 + \langle\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle\rangle_{\mathbb{V}}).$$

The additive group F_0 is identified with the center of $H(\mathbb{V})$ under the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_0 \xrightarrow{t \mapsto (0, t)} H(\mathbb{V}) \xrightarrow{(v, t) \mapsto v} \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We denote by $\rho_{\mathbb{V}}$ the unique irreducible representation of $H(\mathbb{V})$ with central character ψ , which is called the Heisenberg representation. In particular, if we have a polarization $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}^+ \oplus \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \mathbb{V}^-$, then $\rho_{\mathbb{V}}$ can be realized on the space $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{V}^-] \otimes \rho_{\mathbb{V}_0}$ of $\rho_{\mathbb{V}_0}$ -valued functions on \mathbb{V}^- . For $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{V}^-] \otimes \rho_{\mathbb{V}_0}$ and $x \in \mathbb{V}^-$, the action is given as follows:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (\rho_{\mathbb{V}}(y^+, 0)f)(x) &= \psi(\mathrm{tr}_{F/F_0}(\langle\langle x, y^+ \rangle\rangle_{\mathbb{V}}))f(x) & y^+ \in \mathbb{V}^+ \\ (\rho_{\mathbb{V}}(y^-, 0)f)(x) &= f(x + y^-) & y^- \in \mathbb{V}^- \\ (\rho_{\mathbb{V}}(v_0, t)f)(x) &= \rho_{\mathbb{V}_0}(v_0, t)f(x) & (v_0, t) \in H(\mathbb{V}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{tr}_{F/F_0}: F \rightarrow F_0$ is the trace map.

Recall that $V_l \otimes_F V'_l$ is a -1 -Hermitian vector space. We define $\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l)$ to be the set of all F -conjugate linear maps from V_l to V'_l , i.e.,

$$\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l) = \{T : V_l \rightarrow V'_l \mid T(av) = a^\tau T(v), \text{ for all } a \in F, v \in V_l\}.$$

We can make $\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l)$ an F -vector space by defining $(a \cdot T)(v) = aT(v)$. Then the map

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} V_l \otimes V'_l &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l) \\ v \otimes v' &\mapsto (\cdot \mapsto \langle v, \cdot \rangle_V v') \end{aligned}$$

is a linear isomorphism. Transporting via this isomorphism, the (-1) -Hermitian form on $\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l)$ is given by

$$\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_{\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l)} = \text{tr}(T_1^\dagger T_2) \quad \text{for all } T_1, T_2 \in \text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l).$$

Here for $T \in \text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l)$, we define $T^\dagger \in \text{Hom}(V'_l, V_l)$ by requiring

$$\langle Tv, v' \rangle_{V'_l} = \left\langle v, T^\dagger v' \right\rangle_{V_l}^\tau \quad \text{for all } v \in V_l \text{ and } v' \in V'_l.$$

Taking the partial polarization

$$\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l) = \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_0, V'_l) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_l^-, V'_l),$$

the mixed model of ω_{V_l, V'_l} can be realized on the space

$$(2.12) \quad \omega_{V_l, V'_l} = \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V'_l}$$

of ω_{V_0, V'_l} -valued functions on $\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)$. For $f \in \omega_{V'_l, V_l}$ and $x \in \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)$, the action of $P(V_l^+, V_l) \times U(V'_l)$ is given as follows:

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (\omega_{V_l, V'_l}(g')f)(x) &= \omega_{V_0, V'_l}(g')f((g')^{-1}x) & g' \in U(V'_l), \\ (\omega_{V_l, V'_l}(a)f)(x) &= \chi(\det_{V_l^+}(a))f(xa) & a \in \text{GL}(V_l^+), \\ (\omega_{V_l, V'_l}(g_0)f)(x) &= \omega_{V_0, V'_l}(g_0)f(x) & g_0 \in U(V_0), \\ (\omega_{V_l, V'_l}(u(b, c))f)(x) &= \rho_{V_0, V'_l} \left(x b, -\langle\langle x c, x \rangle\rangle_{\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l)} \right) f(x) & \begin{aligned} b &\in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_l^+), \\ c &\in \text{Hom}(V_l^-, V_l^+). \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

- χ is a quadratic character of F^\times defined in (1.6);
- $u(b, c)$ is defined in (2.9);
- ρ_{V_0, V'_l} is the Heisenberg representation of the group $H(\text{Hom}(V_0, V'_l))$ with central character ψ , see (2.10);

Next, we describe the action of t_k for $1 \leq k \leq l$. Write $x = (x_1, \dots, x_l)$ for $x \in \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)$ and $x_i \in \text{Hom}(\langle v_i \rangle, V'_l)$. Then the action of t_k is given by the partial Fourier transform on $\text{Hom}(\langle v_k \rangle, V'_l)$:

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\omega_{V_l, V'_l}(t_k)f \right) (x) \\ & := \gamma_{V'_0} \int_{\text{Hom}(\langle v_k \rangle, V'_l)} f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_l) \psi \left(\text{tr}_{F/F_0} \left(\langle y, x_k t_k \rangle_{\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_l)} \right) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

- Here and below, we choose the Haar measure on any vector space over F so that the volume of the vector space is the square root of its cardinality.
- $\gamma_{V'_0}$ is defined by

$$(2.15) \quad \gamma_{V'_0} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{\dim V'_0} & \text{in Case } A, \\ 1 & \text{in Case } B \text{ and } D, \\ \xi(\text{disc}(V'_0)) & \text{in Case } C, \\ \gamma_\psi(1)\xi(\text{disc}(V'_0)) & \text{in Case } \tilde{C}, \end{cases}$$

where ξ is the unique quadratic character of F^\times as in (1.1), and in case \tilde{C}

$$\gamma_\psi(1) = \int_F \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) dx$$

is the usual Weil index.

Finally we describe the action of w for $w \in W_l$, where w is the fixed lift of w in Section 2.1. Write $w = ds$ for $d \in D_l, s \in S_l$ by (2.4). Since D_l is the set of distinguished representatives of the right coset W_l/S_l , we have $w = ds$, where d and s are lifts of d and s . We may further write $d = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}$ uniquely for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq l$. It is routine to check that

$$l(d) = l(t_{i_1}) + \cdots + l(t_{i_k}).$$

Therefore, we have $s \in \mathrm{GL}(V_l^+)$, $d = t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k}$, and the action of w can be deduced from (2.13) and (2.14). We describe it explicitly below. Let

$$V_w^+ = w^{-1}V_l^+ \cap V_l^+, \quad V_w^- = w^{-1}V_l^- \cap V_l^+$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \mathbb{X}_w^+ := \mathrm{Hom}(V_w^+, V_l'), \quad \mathbb{X}_w^- := \mathrm{Hom}(V_w^-, V_l').$$

Write an element in $\mathrm{Hom}(V_l^+, V_l')$ as (x^+, x^-) with $x^+ \in \mathbb{X}_w^+$ and $x^- \in \mathbb{X}_w^-$. Then the action of w is given by:

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\omega_{V_l, V_l'}(w)f)(x^+, x^-) \\ &= (\gamma_{V_0'})^{\iota(w)} \int_{\mathbb{X}_w^-} f(x^+ s, y) \psi \left(\mathrm{tr}_{F/F_0} \left(\langle y, x^- w \rangle_{\mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_l')} \right) \right) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.18) \quad \iota(w) := \dim V_w^-.$$

2.3. Kudla's filtration. Using the mixed model (2.12) of $\omega_{V_l, V_l'}$, we compute the Jacquet module of $(\omega_{V_l, V_l'})$ with respect to the parabolic subgroup $P(V_l^+, V_l) = L(V_l^+, V_l)N(V_l^+, V_l)$. Let

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{ x \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_l^+, V_l') \mid \mathrm{Im}(x) \text{ is an isotropic subspace of } V_l' \}.$$

For $0 \leq k \leq l$, let

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{Z}_k := \{ x \in \mathcal{Z} \mid x \text{ has rank } k \}.$$

It is non-zero only if $k \leq \text{split-rank of } V_l'$.

Proposition 2.1 (Kudla's filtration). (1) We have the following decomposition as $(P(V_l^+, V_l)/Z(V_l^+, V_l)) \times U(V_l')$ -representations:

$$\omega_{V_l, V_l'}^{Z(V_l^+, V_l)} = \bigoplus_k \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_k] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V_l'},$$

where the sum is over $0 \leq k \leq \min \{ l, \text{split-rank of } V_l' \}$.

(2) For $0 \leq k \leq \min \{ l, \text{split-rank of } V_l' \}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_k] \otimes \omega_{V_l, V_l'} \right)^{N(V_l^+, V_l)/Z(V_l^+, V_l)} \\ & \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{P(V_{l-k}^+, V_l^+) \times U(V_0) \times P(V_k^+, V_l')}^{\mathrm{GL}(V_l^+) \times U(V_0) \times U(V_l')} \left((\chi \circ \det_{V_{l-k}^+}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k^+)] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V_{l-k}'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as a $\mathrm{GL}(V_l^+) \times U(V_0) \times U(V_l')$ -representation, where

- \widehat{V}_k^+, V_k^+ are defined as in Section 2.1 if $k \leq l'$, and if $k > l'$, V_k^+ is the direct sum of V_{l-k}^+ and a maximal totally isotropic subspace of the orthogonal complement of V_{l-k}^+ in V_0^+ ;
- $P(V_{l-k}^+, V_l^+)$ is the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V_l^+)$ stabilizing V_{l-k}^+ ;
- $P(V_k^+, V_l')$ is the parabolic subgroup of $U(V_l')$ defined in the same way as in the end of Section 2.1;
- $\mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k^+)$ is the set of invertible F -conjugate linear maps from \widehat{V}_k^+ to V_k^+ ;

- $\mathrm{GL}(\widehat{V}_k^+)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(V_k'^+)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k'^+)]$ as

$$((g, h) \cdot f)(x) = \chi(\det_{\widehat{V}_k^+}(g))f(h^{-1}xg)$$

for $(g, h) \in \mathrm{GL}(\widehat{V}_k^+) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_k'^+)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k'^+)]$ and $x \in \mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k'^+)$;

Proof. The proof goes in the same way as [12, Theorem 2.8], see also [16, 3.IV]. \square

Let σ and σ' be irreducible theta cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{U}(V_0)$ and $\mathrm{U}(V_0')$ such that $\Theta_{V_0, V_0'}(\sigma) = \sigma'$. Then (V_0', σ') is the first occurrence of σ (see 1.8). Let $P_{l'}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(V_{l'}')$ defined in the same way as P_l in Section 2.1. For $0 \leq k \leq \min\{l, \text{split-rank of } V_{l'}'\}$, let

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{F}_k := \mathrm{Hom}_{P_l \times P_{l'}}(\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}, \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_k] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V_{l'}'}).$$

By Frobenius reciprocity, \mathcal{M} defined in (1.15) is naturally identified with

$$(2.21) \quad \left(\omega_{V_l, V_{l'}'}\right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}} := \mathrm{Hom}_{P_l \times P_{l'}}(\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}, \omega_{V_l, V_{l'}'})$$

Corollary 2.2. *We have*

$$\left(\omega_{V_l, V_{l'}'}\right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \mathcal{F}_k.$$

Proof. Under the assumption of the first occurrence of σ , we have $\mathcal{F}_k = 0$ for $k > l'$ and the equation follows. \square

3. HECKE ALGEBRA AND FIRST OCCURRENCE INDEX

We related the structure of the Hecke algebra for a theta cuspidal representation to its first occurrence index. This allows us to prove the conservation relation for theta cuspidal representations.

3.1. Hecke algebra and induction. Let G be a reductive group over F_0 . Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G and σ_P be an irreducible representation of P . Following [4], we define the Hecke algebra

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P) \\ &= \mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_P^\vee)) \\ &= \{F : G \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_P^\vee) \mid F(p_1gp_2) = \sigma_P^\vee(p_1)F(g)\sigma_P^\vee(p_2), \forall p_1, p_2 \in P\} \end{aligned}$$

with the product given by the convolution

$$F_1 * F_2(g) = \int_G F_1(h)F_2(h^{-1}g)dh$$

for $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P)$. Here we normalize the Haar measure on G so that P has volume 1. We define the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P^\vee)$ in the same way by identifying $(\sigma_P^\vee)^\vee$ with σ_P . For $T \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_P^\vee)$, we denote by $T^\vee \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_P)$ the adjoint of T . Then we have an anti-isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P) &\cong \mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P^\vee) \\ F &\mapsto F^\vee(g) = F(g^{-1})^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

For a representation π of G , let $\pi_{\sigma_P} = \mathrm{Hom}_P(\sigma_P, \pi)$. Following [5, p 591], we know that π_{σ_P} is a left $\mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P)$ -module via the action

$$(3.2) \quad F \cdot \phi : v \mapsto \int_G \pi(g)\phi(F^\vee(g^{-1})v)dg, \quad \text{for } F \in \mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P), \phi \in \pi_{\sigma_P}, v \in \sigma_P.$$

The following compatibility result of Hecke algebra modules and parabolic induction is well known, see [5, (8.4)].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $P \leq Q$ be two parabolic subgroups in G with corresponding Levi subgroups L, M . Assume that $L \subset M$ and $P_M = P \cap M$ is a parabolic subgroup of M with Levi component L . In particular, we have a natural inclusion of $N_M(L)/L$ to $N_G(L)/L$. Assume that σ is an irreducible representation of L . Let σ_P and σ_{P_M} be the inflations of σ to P and P_M respectively.*

(1) *The natural map*

$$t : \mathcal{H}(M, P_M, \sigma_{P_M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P)$$

that sends $F \in \mathcal{H}(M, P_M, \sigma_{P_M})$ supported on $P_M s P_M$ for $s \in N_M(L)$ to the unique element in $\mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P)$ supported on $P s P$ such that $(t(F))(s) = F(s)$ is an injective ring homomorphism.

(2) *For a representation π of M , let π_Q be the inflation of π to Q . Identify π with the sections in $\text{Ind}_Q^G \pi$ supported on Q . This identification is P_M -equivariant and so induces a natural embedding $\pi_{\sigma_{P_M}} \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_Q^G \pi_Q)_{\sigma_P}$. Then the naturally induced map*

$$\mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(M, P_M, \sigma_{P_M})} \pi_{\sigma_{P_M}} \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_Q^G \pi_Q)_{\sigma_P}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}(G, P, \sigma_P)$ -modules.

3.2. Hecke algebras of type BC_l . Let $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(U(V_0))$ be theta cuspidal and σ_l be as in (1.9). Let

$$\mathcal{H}_l := \mathcal{H}(U(V_l), P_l, \sigma_l).$$

We study the structure of \mathcal{H}_l . For $\mathfrak{w} \in \mathcal{W}_l$, let w be the lift of \mathfrak{w} fixed in Section 2.1 and define the unnormalized Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{w}} \in \mathcal{H}_l$ by

$$(3.3) \quad T_{\mathfrak{w}}(g) := \begin{cases} \sigma_l^\vee(p_1) \sigma_l^\vee(p_2) & \text{if } g = p_1 w p_2 \text{ for some } p_1, p_2 \in P_l, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin P_l w P_l. \end{cases}$$

Here, since $\sigma_l^\vee(w p w^{-1}) = \sigma_l^\vee(p)$ for $p \in P_l \cap w^{-1} P_l w$, it is easy to check that $T_{\mathfrak{w}}(g)$ is well defined and does not depend on the choice of p_1, p_2 if $g \in P_l w P_l$.

Lemma 3.2. *The set $\{T_{\mathfrak{w}} \mid \mathfrak{w} \in \mathcal{W}_l\}$ forms a basis of \mathcal{H}_l (as a vector space over \mathbb{C}).*

Proof. This follows by the argument [21, pp. 635-636] adapted to our situation, together with the analog of ‘‘basic geometric lemma’’ in [3, §3, 1.2]. \square

It is easy to check that

$$(3.4) \quad T_{\mathfrak{w}_1} T_{\mathfrak{w}_2} = T_{\mathfrak{w}_1 \mathfrak{w}_2} \quad \text{if } l(\mathfrak{w}_1 \mathfrak{w}_2) = l(\mathfrak{w}_1) + l(\mathfrak{w}_2).$$

Moreover, for every $\mathfrak{w} \in \Delta_l$, $T_{\mathfrak{w}}^2$ is a linear combination of $T_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and $T_{\mathfrak{e}}$, i.e., we have a quadratic relation

$$T_{\mathfrak{w}}^2 = C_{\mathfrak{w}} T_{\mathfrak{w}} + A_{\mathfrak{w}} T_{\mathfrak{e}}$$

for some $C_{\mathfrak{w}}, A_{\mathfrak{w}} \in \mathbb{C}$. The following lemma gives the quadratic relation for $T_{\mathfrak{s}_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l-1$.

Lemma 3.3. *For $1 \leq i < l-1$, we have*

$$(T_{\mathfrak{s}_i} + 1)(T_{\mathfrak{s}_i} - q) = 0.$$

Proof. This follows by a GL_2 -calculation, see [13, Proposition 5.8]. \square

We will compute the quadratic relation for $T_{\mathfrak{t}_i}$ using theta lifts in Section 3.5.

3.3. Explicit formula of $T_{\mathfrak{w}}$ actions. Fix a BN -pair of $U(V_l)$ such that $B \subseteq P_l$. Let Σ be the root system and $\Sigma^+ \subseteq \Sigma$ the set of positive roots. For $\mathfrak{w} \in \mathcal{W}_l$, let

$$U_{\mathfrak{w}} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+, \mathfrak{w}^{-1} \alpha < 0, U_{\alpha} \in U_l} U_{\alpha}$$

where U_{α} is the one parameter subgroup of $U(V_l)$ corresponds to α . Then the map

$$(3.5) \quad U_{\mathfrak{w}} \times P_l \rightarrow P_l \mathfrak{w} P_l, \quad (u, p) \mapsto u \mathfrak{w} p$$

is a bijection. For a representation π of $U(V_l)$ and $\phi \in \pi_{\sigma_l} = \text{Hom}_{P_l}(\sigma_l, \pi)$, it follows directly by (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad T_w \cdot \phi = \sum_{u \in U_w} \pi(uw)\phi.$$

3.4. First occurrence. Assume that the first occurrence of σ with respect to generalized Witt tower \mathcal{V}'_V (see 1.6 and recall that $V_0 = V$) is achieved on V'_0 . Let $\sigma' = \Theta_{V_0, V'_0}(\sigma)$. By the argument in [16, 3.IV] adapted to our situation, one can see that σ' is irreducible and theta cuspidal. In particular, the multiplicity space

$$(3.7) \quad \left(\omega_{V_0, V'_0} \right)_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'} = \text{Hom}_{U(V_0) \times U(V'_0)}(\sigma \otimes \sigma', \omega_{V_0, V'_0})$$

is 1-dimensional and we fix a non-zero element ι_0 inside it. We use the mixed model (2.12) of ω_{V_l, V'_0} and view the multiplicity space

$$\left(\omega_{V_l, V'_0} \right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'} = \text{Hom}_{P_l \times U(V'_0)}(\sigma_l \otimes \sigma', \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_0)] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V'_0})$$

as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_0)] \otimes \left(\omega_{V_0, V'_0} \right)_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'}$. For a subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_0)$, let $I_{\mathcal{S}}$ be the characteristic function on \mathcal{S} . If $\mathcal{S} = \{v\}$ is a singleton, we abbreviate $I_{\mathcal{S}}$ to I_v .

Lemma 3.4. *The multiplicity space $\left(\omega_{V_l, V'_0} \right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'}$ is spanned by $I_0 \otimes \iota_0$. Moreover,*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{s_i} \cdot (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) &= q(I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \quad i = 1, \dots, l-1, \\ T_{t_l} \cdot (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) &= \gamma_{V'_0} q^{\dim V_0 - \frac{1}{2} \dim V'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta} (I_0 \otimes \iota_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_{V'_0}$ is defined in (2.15).

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, $\left(\omega_{V_l, V'_0} \right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'} = \mathcal{F}_0$. Then the first part of the lemma is a consequence of the fact that ι_0 spans $\left(\omega_{V_0, V'_0} \right)_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'}$.

Next, we compute the action of T_{s_i} on $I_0 \otimes \iota_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{s_i} \cdot (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) &= \sum_{u \in U_{s_i}} \omega_{V_l, V'_0}(us_i)(I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \quad \text{by (3.6)} \\ &= \sum_{u \in U_{s_i}} \chi(\det_{V_l^+}(us_i))(I_0 us_i \otimes \iota_0) \quad \text{by (2.12) and } U_{s_i} \subseteq \text{GL}(V_l^+) \\ &= q(I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \quad \text{by } |U_{s_i}| = q \text{ and } \det_{V_l^+}(us_i) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda_{t_l} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the scalar such that $T_{t_l} \cdot (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) = \lambda_{t_l}(I_0 \otimes \iota_0)$. To compute λ_{t_l} , it is sufficient to compute $(T_{t_l} \cdot (I_1 \otimes \iota_0))(0)$. Note that $U_{t_l} \subseteq N(V_l^+, V_l)$ and we have

$$(3.9) \quad U_{t_l} = \{u(b, c) \mid b \in \text{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_l \rangle), c \in \text{Hom}(\langle v_{-l} \rangle, \langle v_l \rangle), c + c^* + bb^* = 0\},$$

and $|U_{t_l}| = q^{\dim V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta}$ by (2.9). Then it follows from (2.13), (2.14), (3.6) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} &(T_{t_l} \cdot (I_0 \otimes \iota_0))(0) \\ &= \sum_{u(b, c) \in U_{t_l}} \left(\omega_{V_l, V'_0}(u(b, c) t_l)(I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \right)(0) \\ &= \gamma_{V'_0} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \dim V'_0} \sum_{u(b, c) \in U_{t_l}} \left(\omega_{V_l, V'_0}(u(b, c))(I_{\text{Hom}(\langle v_l \rangle, V'_0)} \otimes \iota_0) \right)(0) \\ &= \gamma_{V'_0} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \dim V'_0} \sum_{u(b, c) \in U_{t_l}} \rho_{V_0, V'_0}(\langle 0b, \langle 0c, c \rangle_{\text{Hom}(V_l, V'_0)} \rangle) \iota_0 \\ &= \gamma_{V'_0} q^{\dim V_0 - \frac{1}{2} \dim V'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta} \iota_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then the equation for the action of T_{t_l} follows. □

3.5. The quadratic relation of T_{t_l} . Suppose that $(\tilde{V}'_0, \tilde{\omega})$ is the first occurrence of σ in the companion generalized Witt tower \tilde{V}'_0 (see Section 1.6). Then $\tilde{\sigma}' := \text{Hom}_{U(V)}(\sigma, \tilde{\omega})$ is theta cuspidal. In case B and D , let $\gamma_{\tilde{V}'_0} = -\gamma_{V'_0}$. Otherwise, let $\gamma_{\tilde{V}'_0}$ be defined as in (2.15). By the definition of companion generalized Witt tower, a straightforward computation shows that

$$(3.10) \quad \gamma_{V'_0}/\gamma_{\tilde{V}'_0} = -1.$$

Set

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda_{t_l} := \gamma_{V'_0} q^{\dim V_0 - \frac{1}{2} \dim V'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{t_l} := \gamma_{\tilde{V}'_0} q^{\dim V_0 - \frac{1}{2} \dim \tilde{V}'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta}.$$

The same proof of Lemma 3.4 gives the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *The multiplicity space $\tilde{\omega}_{\sigma_l \otimes \tilde{\sigma}'}$ is one-dimensional and T_{t_l} acts on it by the scalar $\tilde{\lambda}_{t_l}$.*

By (3.10), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{t_l}/\lambda_{t_l} = -q^{\frac{1}{2}(\dim V'_0 - \dim \tilde{V}'_0)}.$$

In particular, $\lambda_{t_l} \neq \tilde{\lambda}_{t_l}$. Combining Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *The quadratic relation of T_{t_l} is*

$$(3.13) \quad (T_{t_l} - \lambda_{t_l})(T_{t_l} - \tilde{\lambda}_{t_l}) = 0.$$

3.6. Conservation relation for theta cuspidal representations. By (3.11), we have

$$(3.14) \quad \lambda_{t_l} \tilde{\lambda}_{t_l} = \gamma_{V'_0} \gamma_{\tilde{V}'_0} q^{2 \dim V_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\dim V'_0 + \dim \tilde{V}'_0) + \delta}.$$

On the other hand, by evaluating (3.13) at the identity $e \in U(V_l)$ and using (3.3), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda_{t_l} \tilde{\lambda}_{t_l} \text{id}_{\sigma_l^\vee} &= T_{t_l}^2(e) = \int_{U(V_l)} T_{t_l}(h) T_{t_l}(h^{-1}) dh \\ &= \int_{U_{t_l}} \int_{P_l} T_{t_l}(ut_l p) T_{t_l}(p^{-1} t_l t_l^{-2} u^{-1}) dudp \\ &= |U_{t_l}| \sigma_l^\vee(t_l^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$t_l^2 = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } \epsilon = 1, \\ \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, -1, 1, \dots, 1) & \text{if } \epsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\sigma_l^\vee(t_l^{-2}) = \chi(-1) \text{id}_{\sigma_l^\vee}$. By the above calculations and (3.9), we deduce

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda_{t_l} \tilde{\lambda}_{t_l} = -\chi(-1) |U_{t_l}| = -\chi(-1) q^{\dim V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta}.$$

Note that $\gamma_{V'_0}$ and $\gamma_{\tilde{V}'_0}$ are roots of unity. Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we get

$$(3.16) \quad \gamma_{V'_0} \gamma_{\tilde{V}'_0} = -\chi(-1).$$

and the conservation for theta cuspidal representations:

Proposition 3.7. *If σ is theta cuspidal, then Theorem 1.1 holds, i.e.,*

$$n_{\mathcal{V}'_V}(\sigma) + n_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V}(\sigma) = 2 \dim V + \delta.$$

3.7. Normalization of \mathcal{H}_l . Define the normalized Hecke operators

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{s_i} &:= T_{s_i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, l-1, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{T}_{t_i} &:= -\lambda_{t_i}^{-1} T_{t_i} = -\gamma_{V'_0}^{-1} q^{-\dim V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \dim V'_0 - \frac{1}{2} \delta} T_{t_i}. \end{aligned}$$

For a general $w \in W_l$, let $w = w_1 \cdots w_r$ be a reduced expression of $w \in W_l$ ($w_1, \dots, w_r \in \Delta_l$) and we define the normalized Hecke operator

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{T}_w := \mathcal{T}_{w_1} \cdots \mathcal{T}_{w_r}.$$

By (3.4), we know that the definition does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression of w and

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{T}_{w_1 w_2} = \mathcal{T}_{w_1} \mathcal{T}_{w_2} \quad \text{if } l(w_1 w_2) = l(w_1) + l(w_2).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.3, Proposition 3.6, (3.12) and (3.17), we have $(\mathcal{T}_{s_i} + 1)(\mathcal{T}_{s_i} - q) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, l-1$, and $(\mathcal{T}_{t_i} + 1)(\mathcal{T}_{t_i} - q^{\mu(\sigma)}) = 0$. Combining with (3.19), the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{H}_l \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{l, \mu(\sigma), \nu=q}.$$

is given by $\mathcal{T}_w \mapsto \mathbb{T}_w \otimes_R 1$ for $w \in W_l$. \square

Remark 3.8. (1) There is a natural isomorphism κ from $\mathbf{H}_{l, -\mu}$ to $\mathbf{H}_{l, \mu}$ defined by

- (a) $\kappa(\mathbb{T}_{s_i, -\mu}) = \mathbb{T}_{s_i, \mu}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, and
- (b) $\kappa(\mathbb{T}_{t_i, -\mu}) = -\nu^{-\mu} \mathbb{T}_{t_i, \mu}$.

- (2) Switching the role of \tilde{V}'_0 and V'_0 , we get another normalization of T_{t_i} by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{t_i} = -\tilde{\lambda}_{t_i}^{-1} T_{t_i}$ and the quadratic relation

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{t_i} + 1)(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{t_i} - q^{-\mu(\sigma)}) = 0.$$

Then we have an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{H}_l \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{l, -\mu(\sigma), \nu=q}$ by sending \mathcal{T}_{s_i} to the specialization of $\mathbb{T}_{s_i, -\mu}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l-1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{t_i}$ to the specialization of $\mathbb{T}_{t_i, -\mu}$ at $\nu = q$.

- (3) Clearly, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{H}_l & \\ \mathcal{I} \swarrow & & \searrow \tilde{\mathcal{I}} \\ \mathbf{H}_{l, \mu(\sigma), \nu=q} & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \mathbf{H}_{l, -\mu(\sigma), \nu=q} \end{array}$$

The rest of this section consists of several results which will be used later. By Lemma 3.4 and (3.17), we have the following:

Lemma 3.9. The action of \mathcal{H}_l on $(\omega_{V_l, V'_0})_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'}$ is by the character ε_l defined in (1.16). \square

By (2.3), (3.17) and (3.19), we have the normalized Hecke operators

$$(3.20) \quad \mathcal{T}_{t_k} = -\gamma_{V'_0}^{-1} q^{-\dim V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \dim V'_0 - \frac{1}{2} \delta} T_{t_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, l.$$

Changing the role of (V_0, σ, l) and (V'_0, σ', l') , we have the normalized Hecke operators

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{T}'_{t_k} = -\gamma_{V_0}^{-1} q^{-\dim V'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \dim V_0 - \frac{1}{2} \delta'} T'_{t_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, l,$$

where $\delta' = 2 - \delta$, see (1.7).

3.8. Abundance of theta cuspidal dual pairs. In this section, we fix a case listed in Section 1.3 but allow the spaces V and V' to vary. We say that μ in (1.13) is *relevant* (with respect to our fixed case) if it is relevant to some quadruple (V, V', σ, σ') (see (1.14)).

Lemma 3.10. If μ is relevant, $-\mu$ and $-\mu - 2$ are also relevant (in the same case).

Proof. We only give the prove for Case (D) and the proofs of other cases are similar. Let (V, V', σ, σ') be a quadruple relevant to μ . Suppose the first occurrence index of σ with respect to the companion generalized Witt tower $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}'_V$ is achieved on \widetilde{V}' . Then $(V, \widetilde{V}', \det \otimes \sigma, \Theta_{V, \widetilde{V}'}(\det \otimes \sigma))$ is relevant to $-\mu$ by (1.11). In particular, $-\mu$ is relevant.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be the Witt tower of even dimensional quadratic spaces which does not contain V . Suppose that \widetilde{V} is the first occurrence of σ' with respect to the generalized Witt tower $\{(\widetilde{V}^\dagger, \omega_{V', \widetilde{V}^\dagger}) \mid \widetilde{V}^\dagger \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\}$. Set $\widetilde{\sigma} := \Theta_{V', \widetilde{V}^\dagger}(\sigma')$. Recall δ and δ' defined in (1.7). According to Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\dim \widetilde{V} + \dim V = 2 \dim V' + \delta'.$$

Combining the above equation with (1.14), we have

$$\mu(\widetilde{\sigma}) = \dim V' - \dim \widetilde{V} - \frac{1}{2}\delta = -\mu - 2.$$

Therefore, $(\widetilde{V}, V', \widetilde{\sigma}, \sigma')$ is relevant to $-\mu - 2$ which proves the lemma. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Every μ in (1.13) is relevant.*

Proof. We only prove Case (A) and other cases are similar. By Lemma 3.10, it is enough to show that $\frac{1}{2}$ is relevant in Case (A). Consider the dual pair (V, V') for $\dim V = 0$ and $\dim V' = 1$. Then $\Theta_{V, V'}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{U}(V)}) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{U}(V')}$. In other word, $(V, V', \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{U}(V)}, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{U}(V')})$ is relevant to $\dim V' - \dim V - \frac{1}{2}\delta = \frac{1}{2}$. This finishes the proof. \square

4. MULTIPLICITY SPACE AS A HECKE MODULE

We have related Hecke algebras and theta lifts in the last section. Retrieve the set-up in 1.13. In the rest of the paper, we study the $\mathcal{H}_l \times \mathcal{H}_{l'}$ -module $\mathcal{M} = \left(\omega_{V_l, V_{l'}}\right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}}$ (see (2.21)).

In this section, we first show that $\left(\omega_{V_l, V_{l'}}\right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}}$ is an induced module for the product of $\mathcal{H}_{l'}$ and the parabolic subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_l generated by \mathcal{T}_{s_i} 's, $i = 1, \dots, l-1$, using Kudla's filtration in Proposition 2.1. Then we use the induced structure to find a basis \mathfrak{G} of $\left(\omega_{V_l, V_{l'}}\right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}}$ and realize the basis explicitly in the mixed model. Finally, we compute the action of \mathcal{T}_{t_i} on \mathfrak{G} , and thus we get a complete description of $\left(\omega_{V_l, V_{l'}}\right)_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma_{l'}}$ as an $\mathcal{H}_l \times \mathcal{H}_{l'}$ -module.

4.1. Action of $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{l'}$. Retrieve the notations in Section 2.1. For each $1 \leq k \leq l$, let $B(V_{l-k}^+)$ and $B(\widehat{V}_k^+)$ be the Borel subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(V_{l-k}^+)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(\widehat{V}_k^+)$ stabilizing the flag

$$V_1^+ \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{l-k}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{V}_1^+ \subseteq \dots \subseteq \widehat{V}_k^+ \quad \text{respectively.}$$

Let $T_{l-k} = \mathrm{GL}_1(\langle v_1 \rangle) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\langle v_{l-k} \rangle)$ and $\widehat{T}_k = \mathrm{GL}_1(\langle v_{l-k+1} \rangle) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\langle v_l \rangle)$ be the maximal tori inside $B(V_{l-k}^+)$ and $B(\widehat{V}_k^+)$. We denote by $\chi_{B(V_{l-k}^+)}$ and $\chi_{B(\widehat{V}_k^+)}$ the inflations of $\chi^{\otimes l-k}$ and $\chi^{\otimes k}$ on T_{l-k} and \widehat{T}_k . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{V_{l-k}^+} := \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}(V_{l-k}^+), B(V_{l-k}^+), \chi_{B(V_{l-k}^+)})$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+} := \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}(\widehat{V}_k^+), B(\widehat{V}_k^+), \chi_{B(\widehat{V}_k^+)}).$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_{V_{l-k}^+}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+}$ are Hecke algebras of type A_{l-k} and A_k respectively with parameter q and we identify them as sub-algebras of \mathcal{H}_l by Proposition 3.1. We define the relevant Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+}$ for $\mathrm{GL}(V_k'^+)$ similarly. Using the basis $\{v_{l-k+1}, \dots, v_l\}$ of \widehat{V}_k^+ and the basis $\{v'_1, \dots, v'_k\}$ of $V_k'^+$ (see 2.1), we identify $\mathrm{GL}(\widehat{V}_k^+), \mathrm{GL}(V_k'^+)$ with $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. It induces unique isomorphisms

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+} \cong \mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_k} := \mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_k(F), B_k(F), \mathbb{1})$$

preserving the support. Here $B_k(F)$ is the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ and $\mathbb{1}$ is the trivial character of $B_k(F)$. Via the isomorphisms (4.1), we define an action of $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+} \times \mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_k}$ by

$$(4.2) \quad (\mathcal{T}_{w_1}, \mathcal{T}'_{w_2}) \cdot \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}'_{w_2} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}_{w_1}^{-1},$$

where $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_k}$, $\mathcal{T}_{w_1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+}$, $\mathcal{T}'_{w_2} \in \mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+}$. For each $0 \leq k \leq \min\{l, l'\}$, recall that we have defined \mathcal{F}_k in (2.20). It is easy to check that each \mathcal{F}_k is stable under the action of $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{l'}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{l'}$ -module \mathcal{F}_k is isomorphic to*

$$(4.3) \quad \left(\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{l'} \right) \otimes \left(\mathcal{H}_{V_{l-k}^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+} \right) \otimes \left(\mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{l'-k} \right) \left(\mathbb{1}_{V_{l-k}^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_k} \otimes \varepsilon_{l'-k} \right),$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{V_{l-k}^+}$ is the trivial character of $\mathcal{H}_{V_{l-k}^+}$ and $\varepsilon_{l'-k}$ is the character of $\mathcal{H}_{l'-k}$ defined in (1.16).

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\mathcal{F}_k \cong \left(\mathrm{Ind}_{P(V_{l-k}^+, V_l^+) \times U(V_0) \times P(V_k'^+, V_l')}^{\mathrm{GL}(V_l^+) \times U(V_0) \times U(V_l')} \left((\chi \circ \det_{V_{l-k}^+}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k'^+)] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V_{l-k}'} \right) \right)_{\chi_{B(V_l^+)} \otimes \sigma \otimes \sigma_{l'}}.$$

We now analyze the $\left(\mathcal{H}_{V_{l-k}^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+} \right) \otimes \left(\mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{l'-k} \right)$ -module

$$\left((\chi \circ \det_{V_{l-k}^+}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k'^+)] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V_{l-k}'} \right) \left(\chi_{B(V_{l-k}^+)} \otimes \chi_{B(\widehat{V}_k^+)} \otimes \sigma \right) \otimes \left(\chi_{B(V_k'^+)} \otimes \sigma_{l'-k} \right).$$

Note that

- $\left(\chi \circ \det_{V_{l-k}^+} \right)_{\chi_{B(V_{l-k}^+)}}$ corresponds to the trivial character $\mathbb{1}_{V_{l-k}^+}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{V_{l-k}^+}$;
- $\left(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Isom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, V_k'^+)] \right)_{\chi_{B(\widehat{V}_k^+)} \otimes \chi_{B(V_k'^+)}}$ corresponds to $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_k)$ as a module of $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+}$ defined in (4.2);
- Since (V', σ') is the first occurrence of σ , we know that $\left(\omega_{V_0, V_{l-k}'} \right)_{\sigma \otimes \sigma_{l'-k}}$ is nonzero if and only if $k \leq l'$. Moreover, for $k \leq \min\{l, l'\}$, it follows from Lemma 3.9 that $\left(\omega_{V_0, V_{l-k}'} \right)_{\sigma \otimes \sigma_{l'-k}}$ corresponds to the character $\varepsilon_{l'-k}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{l'-k}$.

The lemma follows by Proposition 3.1. \square

Fix an nonzero vector $\mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k \in \mathcal{F}_k$ in the following one dimensional subspace of (4.3)

$$(4.4) \quad \left(\mathbf{1}_{V_l^+} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{l'} \right) \otimes \left(\mathbb{1}_{V_{l-k}^+} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}_k} \otimes \varepsilon_{l'-k} \right),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{V_l^+}$, $\mathbf{1}_{l'}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}_k}$ are the vector spaces generated by the identity operators in $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+}$, $\mathcal{H}_{l'}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_k}$ respectively. Let D_k and D'_k be the set of distinguished representatives of the left cosets $S_l / (S_{l-k} \times S_k)$ and $W_{l'} / (S_k \times W_{l-k})$. For $(d_1, d_2, x) \in D_k \times D'_k \times S_k$, let \mathcal{T}_{d_1} , \mathcal{T}'_{d_2} , \mathcal{T}_x and \mathcal{T}'_x be the normalized Hecke operators in $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+}$, $\mathcal{H}_{l'}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+}$ respectively. Here we identify $\mathcal{H}_{\widehat{V}_k^+}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{V_k'^+}$ with $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{GL}_k}$ via (4.1). For each $(d_1, d_2, x) \in D_k \times D'_k \times S_k$, we define

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k := \mathcal{T}_{d_1} \mathcal{T}'_{d_2} \mathcal{T}'_x \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k = \mathcal{T}'_{d_2} \mathcal{T}_{d_1} \mathcal{T}_{x^{-1}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k.$$

Corollary 4.2. *The following set is a basis of \mathcal{F}_k*

$$\{\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k \mid (d_1, d_2, x) \in D_k \times D'_k \times S_k\}.$$

In particular, we get a basis of $(\omega_{V_l, V'_l})_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'_l}$:

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{G} := \bigcup_{k \leq \min\{l, l'\}} \mathfrak{G}_k, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{G}_k := \left\{ \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k \mid (d_1, d_2, x) \in D_k \times D'_k \times S_k \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.3. *The action of $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+} \otimes \mathcal{H}'_{V'_l}$ on \mathfrak{G} is given as follows:*

(1) For $i = 1, \dots, l-1$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{s_i} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}_{s_i d_1, d_2, x}^k & \text{if } s_i d_1 \in D_k \text{ and } l(s_i d_1) > l(d_1), \\ q \mathcal{I}_{s_i d_1, d_2, x}^k + (q-1) \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k & \text{if } s_i d_1 \in D_k \text{ and } l(s_i d_1) < l(d_1), \\ q \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) For $i = 1, \dots, l'-1$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{s'_i} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}_{d_1, s'_i d_2, x}^k & \text{if } s'_i d_2 \in D'_k \text{ and } l(s'_i d_2) > l(d_2), \\ q \mathcal{I}_{d_1, s'_i d_2, x}^k + (q-1) \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k & \text{if } s'_i d_2 \in D'_k \text{ and } l(s'_i d_2) < l(d_2), \\ q \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) Finally

$$\mathcal{T}_{t'_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}_{d_1, t'_l d_2, x}^k & \text{if } t'_l d_2 \in D'_k \text{ and } l(t'_l d_2) > l(d_2), \\ q^{-1-\mu} \mathcal{I}_{d_1, t'_l d_2, x}^k & \\ \quad + (q^{-1-\mu} - 1) \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k & \text{if } t'_l d_2 \in D'_k \text{ and } l(t'_l d_2) < l(d_2), \\ -\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. According to Proposition 4.1, the proposition follows from the quadratic and braid relations of the Hecke algebras and Deodhar's lemma stated below. \square

Lemma 4.4 ([9, Lemma 2.1.2]). (1) Let $d_1 \in D_k$. For $i = 1, \dots, l-1$, either $s_i d_1 \in D_k$ or $s_i d_1 = d_1 s_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq l-1, j \neq l-k$.

(2) Let $d_2 \in D'_k$.

(a) For $i = 1, \dots, l'-1$, either $s'_i d_2 \in D_k$ or $s'_i d_2 = d_2 s'_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq l-1, j \neq k$;

(b) Either $t'_l d_2 \in D_k$ or $t'_l d_2 = d_2 t'_l$.

4.2. Realization of $\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k$ in the mixed model. Via the mixed model $\omega_{V_l, V'_l} = \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V'_0}$ (see (2.12)), we view $(\omega_{V_l, V'_l})_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'_l}$ as a subspace of

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)] \otimes (\omega_{V_0, V'_0})_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'}.$$

By (2.13), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $f \in (\omega_{V_l, V'_l})_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'_l}$ is supported on a single $B(V_l^+) \times P'_l$ -orbit \mathcal{O} in $\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)$ via (4.7). Then f is determined by its value on any point $A \in \mathcal{O}$.*

Applying the mixed model twice, we identify ω_{V_l, V'_l} with

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V'_l, V_0)] \otimes \omega_{V_0, V'_0}.$$

and view $(\omega_{V_l, V'_l})_{\sigma_l \otimes \sigma'_l}$ as a subspace of

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}(V'_l, V_0)] \otimes (\omega_{V_0, V'_0})_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'}.$$

For $(d_1, d_2, x) \in D_k \times D'_k \times S_k$, we denote by d_1, d_2 and x the lifts of d_1, d_2 and x in $\text{GL}(V_l^+) \subset \text{U}(V_l)$, $\text{U}(V'_l)$ and $\text{GL}(V_k^+) \subset \text{U}(V'_l)$ respectively, as fixed in Section 2.1. From now on, we identify

$$(4.10) \quad \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l) \cong V_l^- \otimes V'_l$$

via (2.11). Let

$$(4.11) \quad A_k := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} v_{-(l-k+i)} \otimes v'_i \in \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k := P'_l (d_2 x A_k d_1^{-1}) B(V_l^+) \subset \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l).$$

For $w \in W_{l'}$, we define

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} V_w'^- &:= w^{-1} V_l'^- \cap V_l'^+, \\ \iota(w) &:= \dim V_w'^-, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{Y}_{d_2}^- &:= \text{Hom}(V_w'^-, V_0) \subseteq \text{Hom}(V_l'^+, V_0) \end{aligned}$$

similarly to (2.16) and (2.18).

Let

$$(4.13) \quad \mathcal{A}_k := \mathcal{O}_{1,1,1}^k = P'_l A_k B(V_l^+),$$

and let $I_{\mathcal{A}_k}, I_0$ be characteristic functions as in Section 3.4. Keeping track of the proof of Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 4.1, it follows that the non-zero vector in (4.4) can be set to

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k := I_{\mathcal{A}_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0.$$

Here ι_0 is a fixed non-zero vector in the one-dimensional space $\left(\omega_{V_0, V'_0}\right)_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'}$, see (3.7). Recall the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k$ by (4.5). The rest of this subsection is devoted to proving the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *Via (4.9), $\mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k$ is supported on $\mathcal{O}_{d_1, d_2, x}$ and determined by*

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{I}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k (d_2 x A_k d_1^{-1}) = \left(-q^{-\dim V_0' - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta}\right)^{\iota(d_2)} I_{\mathbb{Y}_{d_2}^-} \otimes \iota_0,$$

according to Lemma 4.5.

Remark 4.7. *Note that $\mathcal{O}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k \subset \mathcal{Z}_k \subset \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l)$ as predicted by Proposition 2.1.*

In the proof of Proposition 4.6, we need the following description of $\mathcal{O}_{d_1, d_2, x}$.

Lemma 4.8. *The following map is an injection with image $\mathcal{O}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k$:*

$$\begin{aligned} U'_{d_2 x} \times U_{d_1} \times \mathcal{A}_k &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l), \\ (u_2, u_1, A) &\mapsto u_2 d_2 x A (u_1 d_1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here d_1, d_2 and x are lifts of d_1, d_2 and x .

Proof. The proof is routine by using Bruhat decomposition and the fact that D_k and D'_k are the set of distinguished representatives. We omit the details. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.6. The key is to compute $T_{d_1} T'_{d_2} T'_x \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$. Since $d_2 \in D'_k$, $l(d_2 x) = l(d_2) + l(x)$ and $d_2 x$ is the lift of $d_2 x$, it follows from the mixed model formula (2.13) and (3.6) that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{d_1} T'_{d_2} T'_x \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k &= T_{d_1} T'_{d_2 x} \cdot (I_{\mathcal{A}_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \sum_{u_2 \in U'_{d_2 x}} \sum_{u_1 \in U_{d_1}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_k} \omega_{V_l, V'_l}(u_1 d_1 u_2 d_2 x) (I_A \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \sum_{u_2 \in U'_{d_2 x}} \sum_{u_1 \in U_{d_1}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_k} I_{u_2 d_2 x \cdot A \cdot (u_1 d_1)^{-1}} \otimes \omega_{V_0, V'_0}(u_2 d_2 x) (I_0 \otimes \iota_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 4.8 and (2.17), $T_{d_1} T'_{d_2} T'_x \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$ is supported on $\mathcal{O}_{d_1, d_2, x}^k$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(T_{d_1} T'_{d_2} T'_x \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k\right) (d_2 x A_k d_1^{-1}) &= \omega_{V_0, V'_0}(d_2 x) (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \left(\gamma_{V_0} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \dim V_0}\right)^{\iota(d_2)} I_{\mathbb{Y}_{d_2}^-} \otimes \iota_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we also use the fact that $\iota(\mathbf{d}_2 \times) = \iota(\mathbf{d}_2)$ and $\mathbb{Y}_{\mathbf{d}_2 \times}^- = \mathbb{Y}_{\mathbf{d}_2}^-$. Taking care of the normalizations

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} = T_{\mathbf{d}_1}, \quad \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{d}_2} = \left(-\gamma_{V_0}^{-1} q^{-\dim V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \dim V_0 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \delta} \right)^{\iota(\mathbf{d}_2)} T'_{\mathbf{d}_2}, \quad \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{x}} = T'_{\mathbf{x}}$$

given by (3.17) and (3.21), we deduce the proposition from (4.5). \square

4.3. The action of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l}$. For $(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{D}_k \times \mathbf{D}'_k \times \mathbf{S}_k$, by (4.5) and (4.14), we have

$$(4.17) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^k = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{d}_2} \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k \right) = \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{d}_2} \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{x}} (\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k).$$

We compute $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1}$ as follows. Note that we have the following double coset decomposition

$$\mathbf{S}_l = \coprod_{i=1,2} (\mathbf{S}_{l-1} \times \mathbf{S}_1) w_i (\mathbf{S}_{l-k} \times \mathbf{S}_k),$$

where $w_1 := 1$ and $w_2 := \mathbf{s}_{l-1} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{l-k}$ are two distinguished double coset representatives. For each i , let \mathbf{D}_k^i be the set of distinguished left coset representatives of $w_i (\mathbf{S}_{l-k} \times \mathbf{S}_k) w_i^{-1} \cap (\mathbf{S}_{l-1} \times \mathbf{S}_1)$ in $\mathbf{S}_{l-1} \times \mathbf{S}_1$.

Lemma 4.9. *We have $\mathbf{D}_k = \coprod_{i=1,2} \mathbf{D}_k^i w_i$. In particular, each $\mathbf{d}_1 \in \mathbf{D}_k$ has a unique decomposition*

$$\mathbf{d}_1 = \mathbf{y}_i w_i, \text{ where } i \in \{1, 2\}, \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbf{D}_k^i \text{ and } l(\mathbf{d}_1) = l(\mathbf{y}_i) + l(w_i).$$

Proof. See [9, Lemma 2.1.9]. \square

- (1) Suppose $\mathbf{d}_1 \in \mathbf{D}_k^1 w_1 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{l-1} \times \mathbf{S}_1$. Then $\mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{t}_l = \mathbf{t}_l \mathbf{d}_1$ and $l(\mathbf{t}_l \mathbf{d}_1) = l(\mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{t}_l) = l(\mathbf{d}_1) + 1$. Therefore,

$$(4.18) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l}.$$

- (2) Suppose $\mathbf{d}_1 \in \mathbf{D}_k^2 w_2$. Write $\mathbf{d}_1 = \mathbf{y} w_2$ with $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{D}_k^2 \subseteq \mathbf{S}_{l-1} \times \mathbf{S}_1$. Then $l(\mathbf{d}_1) = l(\mathbf{y}) + l(w_2)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathcal{T}_{w_2}$. We have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathcal{T}_{w_2} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{w_2} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathcal{T}_{w_2}^{-1} (\mathcal{T}_{w_2} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{w_2}).$$

Note that $w_2^{-1} \mathbf{t}_l w_2 = \mathbf{t}_{l-k}$, and $l(\mathbf{t}_{l-k}) = 2l(w_2) + 1$. Hence $\mathcal{T}_{w_2} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{w_2} = \mathcal{T}_{w_2} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{w_2} = \mathcal{T}_{w_2} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{w_2} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{l-k}}$ and we deduce

$$(4.19) \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{d}_1} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathcal{T}_{w_2}^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{l-k}}.$$

By (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) and Proposition 4.3, the computation of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^k$ can be reduced to the computations of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{l-k}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$. We present the formulas, whose proofs will be given in Appendix A and Appendix B. For each $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l$, we define $\mathbf{s}_{i,j} \in W_l$ by

$$(4.20) \quad \mathbf{s}_{i,j} := \mathbf{s}_{j-1} \cdots \mathbf{s}_i.$$

Here $\mathbf{s}_{i,i} = 1$ by convention. The notation naturally extends to W_l .

Proposition 4.10. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k \\ &= -q^{k-l'+\mu} \mathcal{I}_{1, \mathbf{t}'_k, 1}^k \\ & \quad - (1-q) q^{k-l'} \left(q^\mu \sum_{i=k+1}^{l'} \mathcal{I}_{1, \mathbf{t}'_i \mathbf{s}'_{k,i}, 1}^k - q^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{l-k+1, l, 1, 1}^{k-1} - q^{-1} \sum_{i=k}^{l'} \mathcal{I}_{1, \mathbf{s}'_{k,i}, 1}^k \right), \\ & \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{l-k}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k \\ &= -q^{2k-l'} \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k \\ & \quad - q^{k-l'} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{l'} \mathcal{I}_{1, \mathbf{s}'_{k,i}, \mathbf{s}'_{1,k}}^{k+1} - q^{\mu+1} \sum_{i=k+1}^{l'} \mathcal{I}_{1, \mathbf{t}'_i \mathbf{s}'_{k,i}, \mathbf{s}'_{1,k}}^{k+1} + (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^k q^{k-i} \mathcal{I}_{l-k, l-k+i, 1, \mathbf{s}'_{1,i}}^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.18), (4.19) and Proposition 4.10, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *For each $(\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{D}_k \times \mathbf{D}'_k \times \mathbf{S}_k$, there are Laurent polynomials $h_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}'}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and in the indeterminate $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}$, i.e., $h_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}'}(\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$, such that*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_i} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^k = \sum_{(\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}')} h_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}'}(q) \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}'}^i,$$

where $(\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}')$ runs over $\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\min(l, l')} \mathbf{D}_i \times \mathbf{D}'_i \times \mathbf{S}_i$.

5. THE GENERIC HECKE ALGEBRA MODULE AND DEFORMATION

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 by explicitly constructing the generic Hecke algebra module \mathbf{M} , using the explicit formulas in the last section.

5.1. The construction of \mathbf{M} . Retrieve the set-up in 1.13. Let \mathbf{H}^\diamond be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{l, \mu}$ generated by $\{\mathcal{T}_{s_i} \mid i \in 1, \dots, l-1\}$ so that \mathbf{H} is generated by \mathbf{H}^\diamond and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l}$. Then \mathbf{H}^\diamond is isomorphic to a generic Hecke algebra of type A_l over R with parameter ν , and the specialization of \mathbf{H}^\diamond at $\nu = q$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+}$. For $1 \leq k \leq \min\{l, l'\}$, Let \mathbf{M}_k be generic version of the induced module \mathcal{F}_k in (4.3) with $\mathcal{H}'_{l'}$ replaced by \mathbf{H}' and so on. Explicitly, \mathbf{M}_k is a free R -module with basis

$$(5.1) \quad \{\text{symbol } \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^k \mid (\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{D}_k \times \mathbf{D}'_k \times \mathbf{S}_k\},$$

where the action of $\mathbf{H}^\diamond \times \mathbf{H}'$ is given by the formulas in Proposition 4.3 with “ \mathcal{T} ”, “ \mathcal{I} ” and “ q ” replaced by “ \mathcal{T} ”, “ \mathcal{I} ” and “ ν ” respectively. By the compatibility of specialization with induction (see [9, §9.1.5]), we know that the specialization of \mathbf{M}_k at $\nu = q$ is identified with \mathcal{F}_k as an $\mathcal{H}_{V_l^+} \times \mathcal{H}'_{l'}$ -module.

The module \mathbf{M}_k leads to an R -algebra homomorphism:

$$\Psi_k : \mathbf{H}^\diamond \times \mathbf{H}' \rightarrow \text{End}_R(\mathbf{M}_k).$$

Let

$$\mathbf{M} := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \mathbf{M}_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \Psi_k.$$

We now extend Ψ to $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}'$ by defining the image of the $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l}$. Recall there are the Laurent polynomials $h_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}'} \in R$ determined by Corollary 4.11 for all $(\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}'), (\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}) \in \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\min(l, l')} \mathbf{D}_k \times \mathbf{D}'_k \times \mathbf{S}_k$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \in \text{End}_R(\mathbf{M})$ be the matrix with entries $h_{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{d}'_1, \mathbf{d}'_2, \mathbf{x}'}$ under the basis (5.1). We define

$$(5.2) \quad \Psi(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l}) := \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l}.$$

Proposition 5.1. *Under the definition (5.2), Ψ extends to an R -algebra homomorphism:*

$$\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}' \rightarrow \text{End}_R(\mathbf{M}).$$

Proof. Since the Hecke algebras can be equivalently defined using the quadratic relations and the braid relations for generators, it is enough to prove $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} + 1)(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} - \nu^\mu) = 0$, $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \Psi(\mathcal{T}_{s_{l-1}}))^4 = 1$ and $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \Psi(\mathcal{T}_{s_i}))^2 = 1$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, l-2\}$) in $\text{End}_R(\mathbf{M})$. These equations form a system of finite many equations of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Proposition 3.11 and Corollary 4.11, the equations hold at $\nu = q^n$ for every positive integer n . Therefore, the equations hold. \square

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. The desired property (a) on the specialization of M at $\nu = q$ follows from the construction. Now we study the specialization of M at $\nu = 1$.

Lemma 5.2. *For $1 \leq k \leq \min\{l, l'\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{t}_l} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{1,1,1}^k\right)_{\nu=1} &= - \left(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{t}'_k} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{1,1,1}^k\right)_{\nu=1}, \\ \left(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{t}_{l-k}} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{1,1,1}^k\right)_{\nu=1} &= - \left(\mathbb{I}_{1,1,1}^k\right)_{\nu=1} \pmod{\bigoplus_{i>k} M_{i,\nu=1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. These follow from Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.10. \square

By the construction of M_k , as an $H_{\nu=1}^\diamond \otimes H'_{\nu=1} = \mathbb{C}[S_l] \times \mathbb{C}[W_{l'}]$ -module, $M_{k,\nu=1}$ is naturally identified with $\text{Ind}_{S_{l-k} \times \Delta S_k \times W_{l'-k}}^{S_l \times W_{l'}}(\mathbb{1}_{l-k} \otimes \mathbb{1}_k \otimes \varepsilon_{l'-k})$, which is the restriction of the desired module. Lemma 5.2 implies that

$$M_{\geq k, \nu=1} / M_{\geq k+1, \nu=1} \cong \text{Ind}_{W_{l-k} \times \Delta W_k \times W_{l'-k}}^{W_l \times W_{l'}}(\varepsilon_{l-k} \otimes \varepsilon_k \otimes \varepsilon_{l'-k}).$$

Since $M_{\nu=1}$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{C}[W_l] \times \mathbb{C}[W_{l'}]$ -module, property (b) follows.

Remark 5.3. *We emphasize that $\bigoplus_{i \geq k} M_i$ is not stable under the action of $H \times H'$ since $\bigoplus_{i \geq k} M_{i,\nu=q} = \bigoplus_{i \geq k} \mathcal{F}_i$ coming from Kudla's filtrations is not stable under the action of $\mathcal{H}_l \otimes \mathcal{H}'_{l'}$ (see Proposition 4.10).*

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Retrieve the notations in Section 1.14. Note that

$$\text{Ind}_{\Delta W_k}^{W_k \times W_k}(\varepsilon_k) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(W_k)} \pi \otimes (\pi^\vee \otimes \varepsilon_k)$$

and $\pi \cong \pi^\vee$ for any representation of W_k . We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\nu=1} &\cong \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \text{Ind}_{W_{l-k} \times \Delta W_k \times W_{l'-k}}^{W_l \times W_{l'}}(\varepsilon_{l-k} \otimes \varepsilon_k \otimes \varepsilon_{l'-k}) \\ &\cong \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(W_k)} \text{Ind}_{W_{l-k} \times W_k}^{W_l}(\varepsilon_{l-k} \otimes \pi) \otimes \text{Ind}_{W_k \times W_{l'-k}}^{W_{l'}}((\pi \otimes \varepsilon_k) \otimes \varepsilon_{l'-k}) \\ &\cong \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \sum_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(W_k)} \text{Ind}_{W_{l-k} \times W_k}^{W_l}(\varepsilon_{l-k} \otimes \pi) \otimes \left(\text{Ind}_{W_k \times W_{l'-k}}^{W_{l'}}(\pi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{l'-k}) \otimes \varepsilon_{l'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{l'-k}$ is the trivial character of $W_{l'-k}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that $\gamma \times \eta \in \text{Irr}(W_k)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{W_{l-k} \times W_k}^{W_l}(\varepsilon_{l-k} \otimes (\gamma \times \eta)) &= \gamma \times \mathbf{X}_{l-k}(\eta), \\ \text{Ind}_{W_k \times W_{l'-k}}^{W_{l'}}((\gamma \times \eta) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{l'-k}) &= \mathbf{X}_{l'-k}(\gamma) \times \eta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad (\gamma \times \eta) \otimes \varepsilon_k \cong \eta \times \gamma.$$

Proof. See [2, Proposition 3.5]. \square

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\nu=1} &\cong \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \sum_{\gamma \times \eta \in \text{Irr}(W_k)} \text{Ind}_{W_{l-k} \times W_k}^{W_l}(\varepsilon_{l-k} \otimes (\gamma \times \eta)) \\ &\quad \otimes \left(\text{Ind}_{W_k \times W_{l'-k}}^{W_{l'}}((\gamma \times \eta) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{l'-k}) \otimes \varepsilon_{l'} \right) \\ &\cong \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \sum_{\gamma \times \eta \in \text{Irr}(W_k)} (\gamma \times \mathbf{X}_{l-k}(\eta)) \otimes (\eta \times \mathbf{X}_{l'-k}(\gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha \times \beta$ is an irreducible component of $\gamma \times \mathbf{X}_{l-k}(\eta)$ if and only if $\gamma = \alpha$ and

$$\langle \beta, \mathbf{X}_{l-k}(\eta) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{X}_{l-k}^*(\beta), \eta \rangle \neq 0,$$

which must equal to 1 by Pieri's rule (cf. [7, 4.44]). Then the theorem follows.

5.4. Conservation relation: general cases. Recall that we proved Theorem 1.1 for theta cuspidal representations in Proposition 3.7. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1 in the general cases.

The following lemma is easy to verify and will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 5.5. *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{H}_{l,\mu}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} & \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{H}_{l,-\mu}) \\ \downarrow \otimes_R \mathbb{C}_1 & & \downarrow \otimes_R \mathbb{C}_1 \\ \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{W}_l) & \xrightarrow{\otimes \varepsilon_l} & \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{W}_l). \end{array}$$

Here κ is defined in Remark 3.8 and κ^* denotes the pull-back via κ .

Retrieve the notations in Section 1.8 and Section 1.14. For each $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{S}_k)$, we define

$$(5.4) \quad r_1(\gamma) := \max \{ \text{non-negative integer } i \mid \mathbf{X}_i^*(\gamma) \neq 0 \}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We may assume $\pi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{U}(V_l), \sigma)$ for a theta cuspidal representation σ of $\mathrm{U}(V_0)$. We want to show

$$n_{\mathcal{V}'_l}(\pi) + n_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_l}(\pi) + c(\pi) = 2 \dim V_l + \delta.$$

Let (V'_0, σ') and $(\tilde{V}'_0, \tilde{\sigma}')$ be the first occurrences of σ in the generalized Witt towers \mathcal{V}'_{V_0} and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_{V_0}$ respectively. Then both σ' and $\tilde{\sigma}'$ are theta cuspidal. Suppose π corresponds to $\alpha \times \beta \in \mathbf{W}_l$ for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Irr} \mathbf{S}_c$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Irr} \mathbf{S}_{l-c}$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_l \cong \mathbf{H}_{l, \frac{1}{2}(\dim V'_0 - \dim \tilde{V}'_0), \nu=q}$. Then $n_{\mathcal{V}'_l}(\pi) = \dim V'_{l-r_1(\beta)}$ by Theorem 1.4. As for the generalized Witt tower $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_l$, by Lemma 5.5 and (5.3), π corresponds to $\beta \times \alpha \in \mathbf{W}_l$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_l \cong \mathbf{H}_{l, \frac{1}{2}(\dim \tilde{V}'_0 - \dim V'_0), \nu=q}$. Similarly, $n_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}'_l}(\pi) = \dim \tilde{V}'_{l-r_1(\alpha)}$ by Theorem 1.4. Since we have

$$\dim V'_0 + \dim \tilde{V}'_0 = 2 \dim V_0 + \delta$$

by the theta cuspidal case of Theorem 1.1, the proof is completed by the following lemma. \square

Lemma 5.6. *We have $r_1(\alpha) + r_1(\beta) = c(\pi)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V_{l-d}))$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}(V_l)} \left(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_{Q_d}^{\mathrm{U}(V_l)} ((\chi \circ \det) \otimes \pi_0) \right) \neq 0,$$

then we have $\pi_0 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{U}(V_{l-d}), \sigma)$. Assume that π_0 corresponds to $\alpha_0 \times \beta_0 \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_{l-d})$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{l-d} \cong \mathbf{H}_{l-d, \frac{1}{2}(\dim V' - \dim \tilde{V}'), \nu=q}$. By Proposition 3.1 and Tits' deformation, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{W}_l} \left(\alpha \times \beta, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{S}_d \times \mathbf{W}_{l-d}}^{\mathbf{W}_l} (\mathbb{1}_d \otimes (\alpha_0 \times \beta_0)) \right) \neq 0.$$

By the Frobenius reciprocity and dimension counting, we have the following branching formula

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{S}_d}^{\mathbf{W}_d} (\mathbb{1}_d) \cong \bigoplus_{a+b=d} \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_a \times \mathbf{W}_b}^{\mathbf{W}_d} (\mathbb{1}_a \otimes \varepsilon_b) = \bigoplus_{a+b=d} \mathbb{1}_a \times \mathbb{1}_b.$$

From this, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{S}_d \times \mathbf{W}_{l-d}}^{\mathbf{W}_l} (\mathbb{1}_d \otimes (\alpha_0 \times \beta_0)) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{a+b=d} \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_a \times \mathbf{W}_{l-d}}^{\mathbf{W}_l} ((\mathbb{1}_a \times \mathbb{1}_b) \otimes (\alpha_0 \times \beta_0)) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{a+b=d} \mathbf{X}_a(\alpha_0) \times \mathbf{X}_b(\beta_0). \end{aligned}$$

The rest then follows. \square

5.5. The comparison with Aubert-Michel-Rouquier [2] and Pan [18]. Theorem 1.4 covers the results of Aubert-Michel-Rouquier [2, Theorem 3.10] and Pan [18, Corollary 3.5] for theta lifts between unipotent representations and quadratic-unipotent representations (called θ -representations in [15, Theorem 3.3]). The formulation of Theorem 1.4 is slightly different from loc. cit. We will explain these differences in Case A and other cases are similar.

Let us first recall the results in [1] on theta lifts of cuspidal unipotent representations in Case A. By Lusztig (see [13, Section 8] and [1, Theorem 5.1]), if $G = \mathrm{U}(V)$ is a unitary group, then G has a cuspidal unipotent representation if and only if $\dim V = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ for some non-negative integers m . In this case, there is a unique irreducible cuspidal unipotent representation and we denote it by $\sigma(m)$. In [1], it is proved that the first occurrence of $\sigma(m)$ in two different Witt towers are $\sigma(m-1)$ and $\sigma(m+1)$ respectively. Combining these with Theorem 1.2, we know that $|\mu(\sigma(m))| = m + \frac{1}{2}$. This coincides with Lusztig's computation of $|\mu(\sigma(m))|$ in [13, §4.6].

Let π be a unipotent representation of a unitary group. By the classification of unipotent representations ([14], also see [2, Section 3]), there are some non-negative integers l and m such that $\pi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{U}(V_l), \sigma(m))$ with $\dim V_0 = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$. Let V' be an ϵ' -Hermitian spaces of dimension $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ and set $(\sigma, \sigma') := (\sigma(m), \sigma(m+1))$. Consider the theta lifts of π to the generalized Witt tower \mathcal{V}'_V containing V' . We know that $\Theta_{V_l, V'_l}(\pi)$ lies in the Harish-Chandra series $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{U}(V'_l), \sigma(m+1))$. Applying Theorem 1.4, Lemma 5.5 and (5.3), we have the following theorem due to Aubert-Michel-Rouquier [2, Theorem 3.10] (cf. [18, Corollary 3.5]).

Theorem 5.7. *Adopt Lusztig's normalization (see [13, §4.6]): $\mathcal{H}_l \cong \mathcal{H}_{l, m+\frac{1}{2}, \nu=q}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{l'} \cong \mathcal{H}'_{l', m+\frac{3}{2}, \nu=q}$. Suppose $\pi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathrm{U}(V_l), \sigma(m))$ and π corresponds to $\alpha \times \beta \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_l)$. Then $\Theta_{V_l, V'_l}(\pi)$ is a multiplicity free combination of representations in $\mathcal{E}(\mathrm{U}(V'_l), \sigma(m+1))$, and corresponds to*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l, l'\}} \mathbf{X}_{V'_l - k}(\alpha) \times \mathbf{X}_{l-k}^*(\beta).$$

APPENDIX A. EXPLICIT COMPUTATION OF $\mathcal{T}_{t_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$

We prove the first equation in Proposition 4.10. It suffices to compute the action of unnormlized Hecke operator T_{t_l} on $\mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$. By (3.6), we have

$$(A.1) \quad T_{t_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k = T_{t_l} \cdot (I_{A_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) = \sum_{u \in U_{t_l}} \omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l}(ut_l) (I_{A_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0).$$

By (2.9) and (3.9), we have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Herm}(\langle v_{-l} \rangle, \langle v_l \rangle) \xrightarrow{c \rightarrow u(0, c)} U_{t_l} \xrightarrow{u(b, c) \rightarrow b} \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_l \rangle) \longrightarrow 1.$$

For each $b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_l \rangle)$, we choose an element $u(b, \lambda_b) \in U_{t_l}$. Then we may write

$$(A.2) \quad U_{t_l} = \{u(b, \lambda_b) u(0, c) | b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_l \rangle), c \in \mathrm{Herm}(\langle v_{-l} \rangle, \langle v_l \rangle)\}.$$

It follows by (A.1) and (A.2) that

$$T_{t_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k = \sum_{b \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_l \rangle)} \sum_{c \in \mathrm{Herm}(\langle v_{-l} \rangle, \langle v_l \rangle)} \omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l}(u(b, \lambda_b) u(0, c) t_l) (I_{A_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0).$$

A.1. First, we compute $\omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l}(t_l) (I_{A_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0)$. Since $\omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l}(t_l)$ acts by the partial Fourier transform on $\mathrm{Hom}(\langle v_l \rangle, V'_l)$ as in (2.14), to simplify the computation, we write \mathcal{A}_k as the difference of two ‘‘hyperplanes’’ as follows. Via the isomorphism (4.10), define

$$A'_{k-1} := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} v_{-(l-k+i)} \otimes v'_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_l^+, V'_l).$$

(Compare with A_k defined in (4.11)). Let $\mathcal{A}'_{k-1} := P'_{l'} A'_{k-1} B(V_l^+)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k &:= \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes V_k'^+, & \partial \mathcal{A}_k &:= \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes V_{k-1}'^+, \\ \bar{\mathcal{A}}_k^\perp &:= \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes (V_k'^+)^{\perp}, & \partial \mathcal{A}_k^\perp &:= \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes (V_{k-1}'^+)^{\perp}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_k = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_k - \partial \mathcal{A}_k$ and $I_{\mathcal{A}_k} = I_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k} - I_{\partial \mathcal{A}_k}$. Applying (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{V_l \otimes V_{l'}'}(t_l) (I_{\mathcal{A}_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \gamma_{V_0'} q^{\dim V_k'^+ - \frac{1}{2} \dim V_{l'}'} (I_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k^\perp} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) - \gamma_{V_0'} q^{\dim V_{k-1}'^+ - \frac{1}{2} \dim V_{l'}'} (I_{\partial \mathcal{A}_k^\perp} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \gamma_{V_0'} q^{k-l' - \frac{1}{2} \dim V_0'} \left((I_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k^\perp} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) - q^{-1} (I_{\partial \mathcal{A}_k^\perp} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \right).\end{aligned}$$

A.2. We now consider the action of $u(0, c)$. Let

$$(A.3) \quad \mathcal{N}' := \{ v' \in V_{l'}' \mid \langle v', v' \rangle_{V_{l'}'} = 0 \}.$$

It follows from (2.13) that

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned}\sum_{c \in \text{Herm}(\langle v_{-l} \rangle, \langle v_l \rangle)} u(0, c) \left(I_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_k^\perp} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right) \\ = q^{\frac{1}{2}\delta} \left(I_{\mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes ((V_k'^+)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}')} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{aligned}\sum_{c \in \text{Herm}(\langle v_{-l} \rangle, \langle v_l \rangle)} u(0, c) \left(I_{\partial \mathcal{A}_k^\perp} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right) \\ = q^{\frac{1}{2}\delta} \left(I_{\mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes ((V_{k-1}'^+)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}')} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right).\end{aligned}$$

A.3. Note that the action of $u(b, \lambda_b)$ on a general $f \in \left(\omega_{V_{l'}', V_l} \right)_{\sigma_l \times \sigma_{l'}}$ will not enlarge the support of f as a function on $\text{Hom}(V_l^+, V_{l'}')$ by (2.13). In view of Lemma 4.5, we now classify the $B_{V_l^+} \times P_{l'}'$ -orbits in $\mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes ((V_{k-1}'^+)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}')$. Recall that we have a partial flag

$$0 = V_0^+ \subseteq V_1^+ \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_{l'}^+ \subseteq (V_{l'}^+)^{\perp} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (V_1^+)^{\perp} \subseteq (V_0^+)^{\perp} = V_{l'}'.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, l'$, let

$$(A.6) \quad \mathcal{N}'_i := (V_i^+ \setminus V_{i-1}^+) \cap \mathcal{N}' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}'_{-i} := \left((V_{i-1}^+)^{\perp} \setminus (V_i^+)^{\perp} \right) \cap \mathcal{N}'.$$

For each $i = k, \dots, l'$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,i} := \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{k,-i} := \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'_{-i}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{k,k} = \mathcal{A}_k$ by (4.13). We also define

$$\mathcal{N}'_{\emptyset} := \left((V_{l'}^+)^{\perp} \setminus V_{l'}^+ \right) \cap \mathcal{N}', \quad \mathcal{A}_{k,\emptyset} := \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'_{\emptyset}.$$

The following lemma is routine to check.

Lemma A.1. (1) We have $\mathcal{A}'_{k-1} = \mathcal{O}_{s_{i-k+1,l,1,1}}^{k-1}$. For $i = k, \dots, l'$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,i} = \mathcal{O}_{1,s'_{k,i},1}^k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{k,-i} = \mathcal{O}_{1,t'_i s'_{k,i},1}^k.$$

(2) The set $\mathcal{A}_{k,\emptyset} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\dim V_0^+ \neq 0$, in which case $\mathcal{A}_{k,\emptyset}$ is a single $B_{V_l^+} \times P_{l'}'$ -orbit. Moreover $\mathcal{A}_{k,\emptyset}$ is not of the form $\mathcal{O}_{d_1, d_2, x}^i$ for any $0 \leq i \leq \min\{l, l'\}$ and $(d_1, d_2, x) \in D_i \times D'_i \times S_i$.

(3) We have the following decompositions:

$$(A.7) \quad \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes \left((V'_{k-1})^\perp \cap \mathcal{N}' \right) = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=k}^{l'} \mathcal{A}_{k,i} \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=k}^{l'} \mathcal{A}_{k,-i} \right) \sqcup \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{k,\emptyset};$$

$$(A.8) \quad \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} + \langle v_{-l} \rangle \otimes \left((V'_k)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}' \right) = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=k}^{l'} \mathcal{A}_{k,i} \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=k+1}^{l'} \mathcal{A}_{k,-i} \right) \sqcup \mathcal{A}'_{k-1} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{k,\emptyset}.$$

A.4. The right-hand sides of (A.4) and (A.5) decomposes as constant functions supported on $B_{V_l^+} \times P_{l'}^+$ -orbits appearing in (A.7) and (A.8). The following lemma computes the average over $\sum u(b, \lambda_b)$ on each term in the decomposition.

Lemma A.2. *We have*

$$(A.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{b \in \text{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_l \rangle)} \omega_{V_l, V_{l'}}(u(b, \lambda_b)) (I_\star \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \begin{cases} q^{\dim V_0} \mathcal{I}_{1, s'_{k,i}, 1}^k & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{A}_{k,i} \text{ and } i \in \{k, \dots, l'\}, \\ -q^{\dim V_0 + 1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta} \mathcal{I}_{1, t'_i s'_{k,i}, 1}^k & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{A}_{k,-i} \text{ and } i \in \{k, \dots, l'\}, \\ q^{\dim V_0} \mathcal{I}_{s_{l-k+1, l, 1, 1}}^{k-1} & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{A}'_{k-1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{A}_{k,\emptyset}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove the first case and the proofs for the rest are similar. Since the $u(b, \lambda_b)$ action does not enlarge the support, it follows from Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 that the left-hand side of (A.9) must be a scalar multiple of $\mathcal{I}_{1, s'_{k,i}, 1}^k$. To find the scalar, we evaluate both sides of the equation at the representative element $A_{k,i} := s'_{k,i} A_k$. By (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{V_l, V_{l'}}(u(b, \lambda_b)) (I_{A_{k,i}} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) (A_{k,i}) \\ &= \rho_{V_0, V_{l'}} \left(\left(A_{k,i} b, -\langle A_{k,i} \lambda_b, A_{k,i} \rangle_{\text{Hom}(V_l, V_{l'})} \right) \right) (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \rho_{V_0, V_{l'}}((A_{k,i} b, 0)) (I_0 \otimes \iota_0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A_{k,i} b \in \text{Hom}(V_0, \langle v'_i \rangle) \cong V_0 \otimes \langle v'_i \rangle$. Then by (2.10), we have

$$\rho_{V_0, V_{l'}}((A_{k,i} b, 0)) (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) = \psi \left(\text{tr}_{F/F_0} \left(\langle A_{k,i} b, 0 \rangle_{V_0 \otimes V_{l'}} \right) \right) (I_0 \otimes \iota_0) = I_0 \otimes \iota_0.$$

So the value of the left-hand side of (A.9) at $A_{k,i}$ is $q^{\dim V_0} (I_0 \otimes \iota_0)$. For the right-hand side of (A.9), it follows from Proposition 4.6 that

$$\mathcal{I}_{1, s'_{k,i}, 1}(A_{k,i}) = I_0 \otimes \iota_0$$

since $\iota(s'_{k,i}) = 0$ and $\mathbb{Y}_{s'_{k,i}}^- = 0$. This finished the proof of the first case. \square

A.5. Finally, the previous discussion and our normalization of \mathcal{T}_{t_l} in (3.20) give the formula of $\mathcal{T}_{t_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$ in Proposition 4.10.

APPENDIX B. THE EXPLICIT COMPUTATION OF $\mathcal{T}_{t_{l-k}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$

We prove the second equation in Proposition 4.10. It suffices to compute the action of the unnormalized Hecke operator $T_{t_{l-k}}$. By (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t_{l-k}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k &= T_{t_{l-k}} \cdot (I_{A_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ &= \sum_{u \in U_{t_{l-k}}} \omega_{V_l \otimes V_{l'}}(u t_{l-k}) (I_{A_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $U_{t_{l-k}} \subseteq N(V_{l-k}^+, V_l)$ and we can write

$$U_{t_{l-k}} = \left\{ u(b, c) \left| \begin{array}{l} b \in \text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle), \\ c \in \text{Hom}(\langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle), \\ c + c^* + bb^* = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

according to (2.9). Using the decomposition

$$\widehat{V}_k = \widehat{V}_k^+ \oplus V_0 \oplus \widehat{V}_k^-$$

as in (2.1), we may also write

$$U_{t_{l-k}} = \left\{ u(b_2, \lambda_{b_2}) u(b_1, 0) u(b_3, 0) u(0, c) \left| \begin{array}{l} b_1 \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{V}_k^+, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle), \\ b_2 \in \text{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle), \\ b_3 \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{V}_k^-, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle), \\ c \in \text{Herm}(\langle v_{l-k} \rangle, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle) \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $u(b_2, \lambda_{b_2})$ is a fixed element in $U_{t_{l-k}}$ for each $b_2 \in \text{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{t_{l-k}} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k \\ &= \sum_{b_2 \in \text{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \sum_{b_1 \in \text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \sum_{b_3 \in \text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k^-, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \\ & \quad \sum_{c \in \text{Herm}(\langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l} (u(b_2, \lambda_{b_2}) u(b_1, 0) u(b_3, 0) u(0, c) t_{l-k}) (I_{\mathcal{A}_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0). \end{aligned}$$

B.1. First, we compute $\omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l} (t_{l-k}) (I_{\mathcal{A}_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0)$. Since $I_{\mathcal{A}_k}$ is supported on 0 when restricted to $v_{-(l-k)} \otimes V'_l$, it follows from (2.14) that

$$\omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l} (t_{l-k}) (I_{\mathcal{A}_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) = \gamma_{V_0'} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \dim V'_l} \left(I_{\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes V'_l} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right).$$

B.2. It follows from (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{c \in \text{Herm}(\langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l} (u(0, c)) \left(I_{\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes V'_l} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right) \\ &= q^{\frac{1}{2} \delta} \left(I_{\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here \mathcal{N}' is defined in (A.3).

B.3. By a routine computation, we deduce from the fourth equation of (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b_3 \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{V}_k^-, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l} (u(b_3, 0)) \left(I_{\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right) \\ &= q^k \left(I_{\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes ((V_k^+)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}')} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

B.4. The action of $u(b_1, 0)$ is more complicated. It follows from the second equation of (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b_1 \in \text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \omega_{V_l \otimes V'_l} (u(b_1, 0)) \left(I_{\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes ((V_k^+)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}')} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0 \right) \\ \text{(B.1)} \quad &= \sum_{b_1 \in \text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes ((V_k^+)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}')} (I_{B \cdot u(b_1, 0)^{-1}} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0). \end{aligned}$$

To compute (B.1), we consider $B(V_l^+) \times P'_l$ -orbits in the image of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(B.2)} \quad & \text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle) \times \left(\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes ((V_k^+)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}') \right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z} \\ & (b_1, B) \mapsto B \cdot u(b_1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, l'$, define

$$\mathcal{B}_{k,i}^\circ := \mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_{k,-i}^\circ := \mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'_{-i},$$

where \mathcal{N}'_i and \mathcal{N}'_{-i} are defined in (A.6). We also define $\mathcal{B}_{k,0}^\circ := \mathcal{A}_k$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_{k,\emptyset}^\circ := \mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}'_\emptyset.$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}_{k,\emptyset}^\circ$ is non-empty if and only if $\dim V'_0 \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{A}_k + \langle v_{-(l-k)} \rangle \otimes \left((V'_k)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{N}' \right) = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{l'} \mathcal{B}_{k,i}^\circ \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=k+1}^{l'} \mathcal{B}_{k,-i}^\circ \right) \sqcup \mathcal{B}_{k,\emptyset}^\circ.$$

The following proposition is easy to check.

Proposition B.1. *The restriction of (B.2) to $\text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle) \times \mathcal{B}_{k,i}^\circ$ is*

$$\begin{cases} a q^{k-i}(q-1) \text{ to } 1 \text{ map} & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, k, \\ a q^k \text{ to } 1 \text{ map} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \text{an injection} & \text{if } i = \pm(k+1), \dots, \pm l' \text{ or } \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

For each i above, we define $\mathcal{B}_{k,i}$ to be the image of $\text{Hom}(\widehat{V}_k^+, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle) \times \mathcal{B}_{k,i}^\circ$. Then a standard calculation shows that

$$\mathcal{B}_{k,i} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{s}_{l-k, l-k+i, 1, \mathfrak{s}'_{1,i}}}^k & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, k, \\ \mathcal{O}_{1, \mathfrak{s}'_{k,i}, \mathfrak{s}'_{1,k}}^{k+1} & \text{if } i = k+1, \dots, l', \\ \mathcal{O}_{1, \mathfrak{t}'_i \mathfrak{s}'_{k,i}, \mathfrak{s}'_{1,k}}^{k+1} & \text{if } i = -(k+1), \dots, -l' \\ \mathcal{O}_{1,1,1}^k & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}_{k,\emptyset}$ is not of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2, \mathfrak{x}}^i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq \min\{l, l'\}$ and $(\mathfrak{d}_1, \mathfrak{d}_2, \mathfrak{x}) \in \mathbb{D}_i \times \mathbb{D}'_i \times \mathbb{S}_i$.

Now (B.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & q^k (I_{\mathcal{A}_k} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k q^{k-i}(q-1) (I_{\mathcal{B}_{k,i}} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ \text{(B.3)} \quad & + \sum_{i=k+1}^{l'} (I_{\mathcal{B}_{k,i}} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{l'} (I_{\mathcal{B}_{k,-i}} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) + (I_{\mathcal{B}_{k,\emptyset}} \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \end{aligned}$$

B.5. The final computation is to average the action of $u(b_2, \lambda_{b_2})$ to each term in (B.3). Similar to Lemma A.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{b_2 \in \text{Hom}(V_0, \langle v_{l-k} \rangle)} \omega_{V_i \otimes V'_i}(u(b_2, \lambda_{b_2})) (I_\star \otimes I_0 \otimes \iota_0) \\ & = \begin{cases} q^{\dim V_0} \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{s}_{l-k, l-k+i, 1, \mathfrak{s}'_{1,i}}}^k & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{B}_{k,i} \text{ and } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \\ q^{\dim V_0} \mathcal{I}_{1, \mathfrak{s}'_{k,i}, \mathfrak{s}'_{1,k}}^{k+1} & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{B}_{k,i} \text{ and } i \in \{k+1, \dots, l'\}, \\ -q^{\dim V'_0 + 1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta} \mathcal{I}_{1, \mathfrak{t}'_i \mathfrak{s}'_{k,i}, \mathfrak{s}'_{1,k}}^{k+1} & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{B}_{k,-i} \text{ and } i \in \{k+1, \dots, l'\}, \\ q^{\dim V_0} \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{B}_{k,0} = \mathcal{A}_k, \\ 0 & \text{if } \star = \mathcal{B}_{k,\emptyset}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

B.6. Combining all these computations and our normalization of \mathcal{T}_{t_l-k} in (3.20), we deduce the formula of $\mathcal{T}_{t_l} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{1,1,1}^k$ in Proposition 4.10 by keeping track of the scalars.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adams and A. Moy, *Unipotent representations and reductive dual pairs over finite fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **340** (1993), no. 1, 309–321, DOI 10.2307/2154558. MR1173855
- [2] A.-M. Aubert, J. Michel, and R. Rouquier, *Correspondance de Howe pour les groupes réductifs sur les corps finis*, Duke Math. J. **83** (1996), no. 2, 353–397, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-96-08312-X.
- [3] J Bernstein, *Representations of p -adic groups, Fall 1992*, Lectures by Joseph Bernstein, Written by Karl E. Rumelhart.
- [4] C. J. Bushnell and P. C Kutzko, *The admissible dual of $GL(N)$ via compact open subgroups*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [5] C. Bushnell and P. Kutzko, *Smooth representations of reductive p -adic groups: structure theory via types*, Proc. LMS **77** (1998), 582–634.
- [6] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1993. Conjugacy classes and complex characters; Reprint of the 1985 original; A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR1266626
- [7] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: a first course*, Vol. 129, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [8] M. Geck, *A note on Harish-Chandra induction*, Manuscripta Math. **80** (1993), no. 4, 393–401, DOI 10.1007/BF03026560.
- [9] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 21, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [10] P. Gérardin, *Weil representations associated to finite fields*, Journal of Algebra **46** (1977), no. 1, 54–101.
- [11] R. B. Howlett and G. I. Lehrer, *Induced cuspidal representations and generalised Hecke rings*, Inuent. Math. **58** (1980), no. 1, 37–64, DOI 10.1007/BF01402273. MR570873
- [12] S. S. Kudla, *On the local theta-correspondence*, Inventiones Math. **83** (1986), no. 2, 229–255.
- [13] G. Lusztig, *Irreducible representations of finite classical groups*, Inuent. Math. **43** (1977), no. 2, 125–175, DOI 10.1007/BF01390002. MR463275
- [14] G. Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 107, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [15] D. Liu and Z. Wang, *Remarks on the theta correspondence over finite fields*, Pacific J. Math. **306** (2020), no. 2, 587–609, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2020.306.587. MR4129413
- [16] C. Moeglin, M. F. Vigneras, and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p -adique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1291, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [17] S.-Y. Pan, *Local theta correspondence of depth zero representations and theta dichotomy*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **54** (2002), no. 4, 793–845, DOI 10.2969/jmsj/1191591993.
- [18] ———, *Howe Correspondence of Unipotent Characters for a Finite Symplectic/Even-orthogonal Dual Pair* (2019), available at 1901.00623.
- [19] ———, *Generalized Preservation Principle in Finite Theta Correspondence*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.06688 (2022).
- [20] B. Sun and C.-B. Zhu, *Conservation relations for local theta correspondence*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 4, 939–983, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-2014-00817-1.
- [21] T. A. Springer, *On the characters of certain finite groups*, Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), Halsted, New York, 1975, pp. 621–644. MR0396779
- [22] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [23] J. Tits, *Normalisateurs de tores. I. Groupes de Coxeter étendus*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 96–116, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(66)90053-6.
- [24] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Representations of finite classical groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 869, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981. A Hopf algebra approach. MR643482

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN CHINA
 Email address: `hoxide@xmu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, USA
 Email address: `congling.qiu@yale.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE
 Email address: `zoujialiang@u.nus.edu`