

SOME EXPLICIT RESULTS ON THE SUM OF A PRIME AND AN ALMOST PRIME

DANIEL R. JOHNSTON AND VALERIIA V. STARICHKOVA

ABSTRACT. Inspired by a classical result of Rényi, we prove that every even integer $N \geq 4$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a number with at most 369 prime factors. We also show, under assumption of the generalised Riemann hypothesis, that this result can be improved to 89 prime factors.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1948, Rényi [Rén48] proved the following theorem as an approximation to Goldbach’s conjecture.

Theorem 1.1 ([Rén48, Theorem 1]). *There exists a natural number K such that every even integer $N \geq 4$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a number with at most K prime factors.*

Namely, the case $K = 1$ is equivalent to Goldbach’s conjecture. If N is sufficiently large, then Chen [Che66; Che73] proved that one could take $K = 2$.

Theorem 1.2 (Chen’s Theorem). *Every sufficiently large even integer can be written as the sum of a prime and a number with at most 2 prime factors.*

There has been little work done however, on determining an explicit value of K that holds for all even $N \geq 4$. One of the reasons for this may be that Rényi and Chen’s original proofs are ineffective, in that a lower bound for N cannot be determined by following their methods.

Despite this, in [BJS22], Bordignon and the authors of this paper recently built upon unpublished work of Yamada [Yam15] to prove an effective and explicit variant of Chen’s Theorem. Namely, they showed [BJS22, Corollary 4] that Chen’s Theorem holds for all even $N \geq \exp(\exp(34.5))$. Using this result, a simple but wasteful argument gives that one can take $K = e^{31.1} \approx 3.2 \cdot 10^{13}$ for all $N \geq 4$.

In this paper, by using a more sophisticated procedure that essentially generalises the work in [BJS22], we improve on this result as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Every even integer $N \geq 4$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a number with at most $K = 369$ (not necessarily distinct) prime factors.*

The main difficulty in lowering the value of $K = 369$ comes from our knowledge of potential Siegel zeros and the error term in the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions. As these problems are mitigated under the assumption of the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis (GRH), we also provide a conditional result.

Date: August 3, 2022.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume GRH. Then every even integer $N \geq 4$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a number with at most $K = 89$ (not necessarily distinct) prime factors.*

It should be noted that obtaining $K = 89$ does not require the full-strength of GRH. Rather, if our knowledge of the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions were to improve (say with significant computation), then the unconditional result would approach the conditional one. We also note that the values of $K = 369$ and $K = 89$ appearing in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 can likely be lowered with further optimisation or a more sophisticated method.

An outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide the main notation and definitions used throughout. In Section 3 we state some preliminary lemmas. In Section 4 we outline the main method of approach, and prove the unconditional result (Theorem 1.3). In Section 5 we prove the conditional result (Theorem 1.4). Finally in Section 6 we detail possible avenues for future improvements.

2. NOTATION AND SETUP

Here and throughout, p denotes a prime number, $0 < \delta < 2$, $\alpha > 0$ and X_2 are parameters we choose later, $N \geq X_2$ is even,

$\gamma = 0.57721 \dots$ (Euler's constant),

$\prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) = \prod_{p>2} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^2} = 0.66016 \dots$ (Twin prime constant), and

$R_1 = 2.0452$ (See [BJS22, Theorem 24]).

As on page 18 of [BJS22], we let $k_0 := k_0(N)$ be the exceptional modulus up to $Q_1(x_2(N))$ (if it exists),

$$k_1 := k_1(N) = \begin{cases} k_0, & \text{if } k_0 \text{ exists and } (k_0, N) = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $q_1 > \dots > q_\ell$ be the prime factors of k_1 provided $k_1 \neq 0$. We also let α_1, α_2, Y_0 and $C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, Y_0)$ be as in [Bor21, Theorem 1.2] which we state here for clarity.

Theorem 2.1 ([Bor21, Theorem 1.2]). *Let $X_1 = \exp(\exp(Y_0))$, α_1, α_2 and C be as in Table 6 of [Bor21]. Let $x > X_1$ and $k < \log^{\alpha_1} x_2$ be an integer. Let $E_0 = 1$ and β_0 denote the Siegel zero modulo k if it exists, and $E_0 = 0$ otherwise. Then for $(k, l) = 1$ we have*

$$\frac{\varphi(k)}{x} \left| \psi(x; k, l) - \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} \right| < \frac{C}{\log^{\alpha_2} x} + E_0 \frac{x^{\beta_0-1}}{\beta_0}.$$

2.1. List of definitions. The following list of definitions is adapted directly from [BJS22]. However, we have made small modifications so that everything is expressed in terms of α_1, α_2, Y_0 and $C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, Y_0)$ rather than the special case $\alpha_1 = 10, \alpha_2 = 8, Y_0 = 10.4, C = 3.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ used in [BJS22]. As in [BJS22] we also take β_0 to be bounded by

$$\beta_0 \leq 1 - \nu(N), \quad \nu(N) = \min \left\{ \frac{100}{\sqrt{K_0(x_2)} \log^2 K_0(x_2)}, \frac{1}{2R_1 \log(Q_1(x_2))} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

However, since it is known that there are no Siegel zeros for moduli less than $4 \cdot 10^5$ [Pla16], we are able to bound β_0 by

$$\beta_0 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2R_1 \log(Q_1(x_2))} \quad (2.2)$$

whenever $K_0(x_2) \leq 4 \cdot 10^5$ (see the proof of [BJS22, Lemma 22] for more details). Moreover, the function $p^*(X_2)$ (not written below) is equal to $p(X_2)$ but with the sharper bound (2.2) used for β_0 for all N . Now, without further ado, we define

$$A = \{N - p : p \leq N, p \nmid N\},$$

$$A_d = \{a \in A : d \mid a\},$$

$$S(A, n) = \left| A - \bigcup_{p|n} A_p \right|,$$

$$P(z) = \prod_{\substack{p < z \\ p \nmid N}} p,$$

$$V(z) = \prod_{p|P(z)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1} \right),$$

$$U_N = 2e^\gamma \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) \prod_{\substack{p>2 \\ p|N}} \frac{p-1}{p-2},$$

$$m_j = q_1 \cdots q_j,$$

$$P^{(j)}(z) = \prod_{\substack{p < z, p \nmid N \\ p \neq q_1, \dots, q_j}} p,$$

$$V^{(j)}(z) = V(P^{(j)}(z)),$$

$$U_N^{(j)} = 2e^{-\gamma} \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) \prod_{\substack{p>2 \\ p|Nm_j}} \frac{p-1}{p-2},$$

$$x_2 = x_2(N) := \frac{N}{\log^5 N},$$

$$c_{\alpha, N} = K \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - \frac{2\alpha_1 \log \log N}{\log N} \right),$$

$$K_0(N) = \log^\delta N,$$

$$Q_1(N) = \log^{\alpha_1} N,$$

$$r(d) = |A_d| - \frac{|A|}{\varphi(d)},$$

$$h(s) = \begin{cases} e^{-2}, & 1 \leq s \leq 2, \\ e^{-s}, & 2 \leq s \leq 3, \\ 3s^{-1}e^{-s}, & s \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(y) = \frac{4y \log^{\frac{9}{2}} y}{\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(y)} + \frac{4y}{\log^{\alpha_1 - \frac{9}{2}} y} + \frac{18y^{\frac{11}{12}}}{\log^{\frac{\alpha_1 - 9}{2}} y} + \frac{5}{2} y^{\frac{5}{6}} \log^{\frac{11}{2}} y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2(X_2) &= \max_{y \geq x_2(X_2)} \left[\frac{\log^2 y}{y} \left(1.1 \log(Q_1(x_2)) \left(\frac{C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, Y_0)y}{\log^{\alpha_2} y} + \frac{y^{\beta_0}}{\beta_0} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 27 \cdot \mathcal{E}(y) + \frac{\sqrt{y}}{2(\log 2) \log^{\alpha_1-2} y} + 0.4 \log^3 y \right) \right] \\
p_1(X_2) &= p_2(X_2) + \frac{1}{\log^{\alpha_1-2} x_2(X_2)} \left(0.67 + \frac{2}{x_2(X_2)^{\frac{1}{6}}} \right), \\
p(X_2) &= p_1(X_2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log^2 X_2 \log^3 x_2(X_2)} + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{4}{\log x_2(X_2)}\right) \log X_2} \right) + \frac{2.2}{\log^2 X_2}, \\
c(X_2) &= c_1(X_2) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log^2(X_2) \log^3 x_2(X_2)} + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{4}{\log x_2(X_2)}\right) \log X_2} \right) + \frac{1}{\log^2 X_2}, \\
c_1(X_2) &= \max_{y \geq x_2(X_2)} \left[\frac{C(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, Y_0)}{\log^{\alpha_2-2} y} + \log^2 y \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2R_1 \log Q_1(y)}\right)^{-1} y^{1 - \frac{1}{2R_1 \log Q_1(y)}} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + Q_1(y) \left(\frac{1.02}{\sqrt{y}} + \frac{3}{y^{2/3}} \right) + 34(\log y)^{1.52} \exp(-0.8\sqrt{\log y}) \right) \right], \\
c_2(X_2) &= c(X_2) + \frac{1.3841 \log^4 X_2}{X_2 \log \log X_2}, \\
c_3(X_2) &= \max_{N \geq X_2} \left[\frac{1}{\log \log \log N} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2 \log N} + \frac{\log(N \log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N))}{\log(N / \log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N))} \right) \frac{\log^\delta N}{\log^\delta x_2(N)} \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \left(e^\gamma \log \log \log^\delta x_2(N) + \frac{5}{2 \log \log \log^\delta x_2(N)} \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1.3841 \log^{2+\delta} N}{N \log \log N \log \log \log N} \right], \\
c_4(X_2) &= p(X_2) + \frac{0.9 \sqrt{x_2(X_2)} \log^4 X_2}{X_2 \log^{\alpha_1}(x_2(X_2)) \log \log X_2}, \\
c_4^*(X_2) &= p^*(X_2) + \frac{0.9 \sqrt{x_2(X_2)} \log^4 X_2}{X_2 \log^{\alpha_1}(x_2(X_2)) \log \log X_2}. \quad (\text{See discussion above}) \\
a_1(X_2) &= \max_{N \geq X_2} \left[\frac{c_2(X_2)}{\log^{2-\delta} N \log \log \log N} \cdot \frac{1.3841 \log(\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N))}{\log \log(\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N))} \right] + c_3(X_2), \\
a(X_2) &= a_1(X_2) \max_{N \geq X_2} \left[\frac{\log \log \log N}{\log^\delta N} \cdot \prod_{p > 2} \frac{(p-1)^2}{p(p-2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left(e^\gamma \log \log(\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N)) + \frac{2.5}{\log \log(\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N))} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

For our application of the explicit linear sieve in [BJS22, §2] we also need to work with the functions $f(s)$ and $F(s)$ defined by the differential difference equation

$$\begin{aligned}
F(s) &= \frac{2e^\gamma}{s}, \quad f(s) = 0, \quad 0 < s \leq 2, \\
(sF(s))' &= f(s-1), \quad (sf(s))' = F(s-1), \quad s \geq 2.
\end{aligned}$$

From this definition, explicit expressions for $F(s)$ and $f(s)$ can be produced, getting more complicated as s gets larger. In [Cai08, p. 1340–1341] some of these expressions are listed. For example, for $5 \leq s \leq 7$,

$$F(s) = \frac{2e^\gamma}{s} \left(1 + \int_2^{s-1} \frac{\log(t-1)}{t} dt + \int_2^{s-3} \frac{\log(t-1)}{t} \left(\int_{t+2}^{s-1} \frac{1}{u} \log \frac{u-1}{t+1} du \right) dt \right)$$

and for $4 \leq s \leq 6$,

$$f(s) = \frac{2e^\gamma}{s} \left(\log(s-1) + \int_3^{s-1} \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_2^{t-1} \frac{\log(u-1)}{u} du \right) dt \right).$$

Note that $F(s)$ monotonically decreases towards 1 and $f(s)$ monotonically increases towards 1 [HR74, p. 227]. Thus, for $s \geq 6$, we can bound $F(s)$ and $f(s)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) - 1 &\leq F(6) - 1 = 1.049 \dots \cdot 10^{-4}, \\ 1 - f(s) &\leq 1 - f(6) = 1.056 \dots \cdot 10^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

We also set

$$\bar{m}_{\alpha, X_2} = \max\{(1 - f(c_{\alpha, X_2}), F(c_{\alpha, X_2}) - 1)\}.$$

Finally, for $K \geq 1$ we write $\pi_K(N)$ for the number of ways to write N as the sum of a prime and a number with at most K prime factors.

3. SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Here we provide some preliminary lemmas required for sieving, most of which are variants of lemmas from [BJS22]. All notation is as in Section 2.

We begin with three lemmas which are modifications on Lemmas 15, 16 and 17 of [BJS22]. The lemmas and their proofs are essentially identical to those in [BJS22] but with different ranges of x , z and u considered.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $x \geq 200$ there exists a prime in the interval $[0.93x, x)$, and for all $x \geq 400$, there exists a prime in the interval $[0.96x, x)$.*

Proof. For $200 \leq x \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ we use the results on gaps between primes in [OHP14, Table 8]. For $x > 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, we use [KL14, Table 2]. \square

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \geq \log \log x + M - \frac{3.404 \cdot 10^{-4}}{\log x}, \quad x > 2 \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \leq \log \log x + M + \frac{3.909 \cdot 10^{-3}}{\log x}, \quad x > 10^6 \tag{3.2}$$

$$\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \leq \log \log x + M + \frac{2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}}{\log x}, \quad x > \exp(29). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. To prove (3.1) we split into the cases $2 < x \leq 10^8$ and $x > 10^8$. In the first case, the result holds by [RS62, Theorem 20]. In the second case, we follow the proof of [BJS22, Lemma 16] with 10^{10} replaced with 10^8 .

The bounds (3.2) and (3.3) are proved in an analogous way. Namely, in the proof of the lower bound for [BJS22, Lemma 16], each error term is bounded in absolute value, so that the same reasoning also applies for an upper bound. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $z > 10^6$. Then for all $200 < u < z$, we have*

$$\prod_{u \leq p < z} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{-1} < \left(1 + \frac{0.0595}{\log u}\right) \frac{\log z}{\log u}.$$

Now suppose $z > \exp(29)$. Then for all $400 < u < z$, we have

$$\prod_{u \leq p < z} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{-1} < \left(1 + \frac{0.03109}{\log u}\right) \frac{\log z}{\log u}.$$

Proof. Proceed as in the proof of [BJS22, Lemma 17], using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in place of Lemmas 15 and 16 respectively. \square

We now recall [BJS22, Lemma 37], giving additional examples that we require.

Lemma 3.4 ([BJS22, Lemma 37]). *For $z \geq 285$ and $j = 0, \dots, \ell$, we have*

$$V^{(j)}(z) = \frac{U_N^{(j)}}{\log z} \left(1 + \frac{\theta}{2 \log^2 z}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\theta}{z}\right) \left(1 + \frac{8\theta \log N}{z}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\theta}{z-1}\right),$$

where $|\theta| \leq 1$. In particular, for a choice of positive integer M we set $z = N^{1/M}$ and $z \geq z_0$, allowing us to write

$$\frac{U_N^{(j)}}{\log z} \left(1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right) < V^{(j)}(z) < \frac{U_N^{(j)}}{\log z} \left(1 + \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right)$$

for some constant $\xi(z_0, M) > 0$. For our purposes, we compute that $\xi(\exp(20), 40) \leq 809$ and $\xi(\exp(13), 40) \leq 3352$.

Finally we give a result that follows directly from [HJ21, Theorem 1.5]. This improves on an earlier result of Dudek [Dud17] that was used in [BJS22].

Lemma 3.5. *Let p_i denote the i^{th} prime and suppose $X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Then every even integer $2 < N < X_2$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a square-free number $\eta > 1$ with at most K prime factors, where $K \geq 1$ is the largest integer such that*

$$\theta(p_{K+6}) - \theta(13) < \log(X_2).$$

Proof. For $2 < N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, the result is true since Goldbach's conjecture holds in this range [OHP14]. For $4 \cdot 10^{18} < N < X_2$ we then have by [HJ21, Theorem 1.5] that $N = p + \eta$ where p is a prime and η is a square-free number coprime to the first 6 primes 2, 3, 5, 7, 11 and 13. Since $\eta < N \leq X_2$, the number of prime factors of η is at most

$$K = \max_m \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m p_{i+6} < X_2 \right\}$$

and if $\prod_{i=1}^K p_{i+6} < X_2$ then $\theta(p_{K+6}) - \theta(13) = \sum_{i=1}^K \log(p_{i+6}) < \log(X_2)$. \square

Remark. The condition $X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ can be weakened to $X_2 \geq 40$. However, here we wish to highlight the usefulness of the Goldbach verification [OHP14].

4. THE UNCONDITIONAL RESULT

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. Namely, that every even integer $N \geq 4$ can be expressed as the sum of a prime and a number with at most $K = 369$ prime factors. The general idea will be to set $z = N^{1/M}$ for some positive integer M satisfying $5 \leq M \leq K + 1$. We then have $\pi_{M-1}(N) \geq S(A, P(z))$ so that, if one can prove $S(A, P(z)) > 0$ for all $N \geq X_2$, then $\pi_K(N) \geq \pi_{M-1}(N) > 0$ for all $N \geq X_2$. Since we will be taking X_2 to be quite large, the case when $4 \leq N < X_2$ must be treated separately. This will be done using Lemma 3.5.

To bound $S(A, P(z))$ from below, we generalise Theorem 43 of [BJS22]. This is done by parameterising¹ α_1, α_2, Y_0 and M and making some other small changes.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M \geq 5$, and $\alpha, \delta, X_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ and Y_0 be parameters as in Section 2. Also, let $\log \log x_2(X_2) \geq Y_0, \alpha > 0, N \geq X_2$ be even, $z = N^{1/M}, z_0 = X_2^{1/M}$ such that*

$$\frac{\sqrt{x_2}}{\log^{\alpha_1} x_2} \geq \log^{\alpha_1} N \geq 10^9, \quad 1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N} \geq 0, \quad X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\xi(z_0, M)$ is as in Lemma 3.4, and

$$\frac{N^\alpha}{\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N) \log^{2.5} N} \geq \exp\left(u \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \log u}\right)\right), \quad \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}}{\log^{2\alpha_1} N} \geq z^2, \quad K_0(x_2) \geq 3022$$

with $u > 400$ so that

$$\epsilon = \frac{0.03109}{\log u} < \frac{1}{192.72}.$$

If $k_1 < K_0(x_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(A, P(z)) &> M \frac{U_N N}{\log^2 N} \left(1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(f\left(M \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right)\right) - C_1(\epsilon) \epsilon e^2 h\left(M \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right)\right)\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{M} \left(1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right)^{-1} \left(2e^\gamma \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)\right)^{-1} \frac{c_4(X_2)}{\log N}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $k_1 \geq K_0(x_2)$, we have

$S(A, P(z)) >$

$$\begin{aligned} &M \frac{U_N N}{\log^2 N} \left(1 + \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right) \left\{ f(c_{\alpha, X_2}) - \epsilon_0(X_2, \delta)(1 - f(c_{\alpha, X_2})) \right. \\ &\quad - (1 + \epsilon_0(X_2, \delta)) \epsilon C_2(\epsilon) e^2 h(c_{\alpha, X_2}) \\ &\quad - (3\epsilon_0(X_2, \delta) + a(X_2)) \cdot (\overline{m}_{\alpha, X_2} + \epsilon C_1(\epsilon) e^2 h(c_{\alpha, X_2})) - a(X_2) - \frac{2\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{M} \left(1 + \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right)^{-1} \left(2e^\gamma \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)\right)^{-1} \frac{c_4^*(X_2)}{\log^2 N} \frac{1.3841 \log(\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N))}{\log \log(\log^{\alpha_1} x_2(N))} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

¹To avoid confusion with notation, we remark that α_1 means something different in [BJS22]. Namely, it corresponds to our variable α .

where $C_1(\epsilon)$ and $C_2(\epsilon)$ are the values in [BJS22, Table 1], $\epsilon_0(X_2, \delta) = \frac{1}{\bar{p}-2}$ with \bar{p} the largest prime such that

$$\log^\delta x_2(X_2) \geq \prod_{2 < p \leq \bar{p}} p$$

and all other notation is as in Section 2.

We omit a full proof of Theorem 4.1 as it follows by essentially the same reasoning as the proof of [BJS22, Theorem 43]. However, we do make a few remarks.

Firstly, we note that the restriction $M \geq 5$ is so that the condition $\frac{N^{1/2-\alpha}}{\log^{2\alpha_1} N} \geq z^2$ is satisfied. This also means that our approach works for at best $K = 4$ prime factors. Next, we note that the definition of ϵ comes from using the second part² of Lemma 3.3 in place of [BJS22, Lemma 17]. We also have some new conditions in (4.1). These conditions were always satisfied in [BJS22, Theorem 43] where $\log \log x_2 \geq 10.4$ (which is not necessarily true here). Primarily, the condition $\sqrt{x_2}/\log^{\alpha_1} x_2 \geq \log^{\alpha_1} x_2 \geq 10^9$ is required so that one can use [BJS22, Lemma 22] in the proof of [BJS22, Lemma 28]. The condition $1 - \xi(z_0, M)/\log^2 N \geq 0$ is required to prevent any sign problems when applying Lemma 3.4. Finally, the condition $X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ is chosen as for $N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ we always have $\pi_{M-1}(N) > 0$ by [OHP14]. Certainly, these conditions can be weakened if desired, but they are easily satisfied in all the scenarios we consider.

The condition $8\alpha_1 + \frac{160 \log \log N}{\log N} < 1$ was also removed as this was only required in [BJS22, Theorem 43] to give an exact expression for $f(s)$ and ensure that the lower bound for $S(A, P(z))$ was asymptotically large enough to prove Chen's theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From [Bor21, Table 6] we have that

$$(Y_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, C) = (7.8, 7, 1, 0.16), \text{ and} \quad (4.2)$$

$$(Y_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, C) = (7.9, 7, 2, 3.98) \quad (4.3)$$

are valid choices of parameters. We cannot use (4.2) directly, as this would cause $p_2(X_2)$ to diverge. However, with the notation of Theorem 2.1, (4.2) means that

$$\frac{\varphi(k)}{x} \left| \psi(x; k, l) - \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} \right| < \frac{0.16}{\log x} + E_0 \frac{x^{\beta_0-1}}{\beta_0}$$

for all $x \geq \exp(\exp(7.8))$ and $k \leq \log^7 x$. Thus, for $\exp(\exp(7.8)) \leq x \leq \exp(\exp(7.9))$ and $k \leq \log^7 x$, we have

$$\frac{\varphi(k)}{x} \left| \psi(x; k, l) - \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} \right| < \frac{0.16 \cdot \exp(7.9)}{\log^2 x} + E_0 \frac{x^{\beta_0-1}}{\beta_0}.$$

Combining this with (4.3) means that³ $(Y_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, C) = (7.8, 7, 2, 431.57)$ is also a valid choice of parameters, and these are the choices we use for our computation.

We now set $X_2 = \exp(\exp(7.816))$, $\delta = 1.3$, $\alpha = 0.25$, $M = 40$ and $\epsilon = 1/193$. Then for $N \geq X_2$, we have by Theorem 4.1 that

$$S(A, P(z)) > \frac{37U_N N}{\log^2 N}, \quad k_1 < K_0(x_2),$$

$$S(A, P(z)) > \frac{0.19U_N N}{\log^2 N}, \quad k_1 \geq K_0(x_2).$$

²The first part will be used in the conditional case in Section 5.

³Note $0.16 \cdot \exp(7.9) = 431.5651\dots$

This tells us that every even integer $N \geq X_2$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a number with at most $M = 40$ prime factors. For the range $2 < N < X_2$ we then apply Lemma 3.5 and obtain the final value $K = 369$. \square

Remark. Although it may seem that taking M larger than 40 would lead to a better result, this is not necessarily the case. In particular, as M gets larger, so does $\xi(z_0, M)$ to the point where it negatively affects the second condition in (4.1) and the bounds on $S(A, P(z))$. Moreover, as M gets large, $z = N^{1/M}$ decreases and worse bounds must be used in Lemma 3.3.

5. THE CONDITIONAL RESULT

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4. As assuming GRH allows for many improvements to the unconditional result, this section is quite large and has been split into three parts. To begin with, we will use some recent results of Ernvall-Hytönen and Palojärvi [EHP22] to obtain conditional bounds for the error terms $\left| \pi(x; q, a) - \frac{\text{li}(x)}{\varphi(q)} \right|$ and $\left| \theta(x; q, a) - \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \right|$ appearing in the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions. Next, we will extend Lemma 3.5 under assumption of GRH. Finally, we will prove a conditional lower bound on $S(A, P(z))$ and use this to prove Theorem 1.4.

We note that in Sections 5.1 and 5.3 there are some similarities with upcoming work due to Bordignon and the second author [BS22]. However, we have still included all the details here to make this paper self-contained.

5.1. Conditional bounds on $\pi(x; q, a)$ and $\theta(x; q, a)$. First we give a bound on $\left| \pi(x; q, a) - \frac{\text{li}(x)}{\varphi(q)} \right|$ which will later be used in Section 5.3 as part of the lower bound on $S(A, P(z))$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $x \geq X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, and q and a be integers such that $3 \leq q \leq x$ and $(a, q) = 1$. Then, assuming GRH,*

$$\left| \pi(x; q, a) - \frac{\text{li}(x)}{\varphi(q)} \right| \leq c_\pi(X_2) \sqrt{x} \log x,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_\pi(X_2) &= \frac{1}{16\pi} + \frac{1}{6\pi} + 0.092 + \frac{12.683}{\log X_2} + \frac{254.9795}{\log^2 X_2} + \frac{2607.854}{\log^3 X_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{11605.056}{\log^4 X_2} + \frac{(0.092 \log X_2 + 8.250) \log \log X_2}{X_2^{1/4} \log X_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1.3135 \log^2 X_2 + 60.8825 \log X_2 + 939.260}{X_2^{1/4} \log X_2} - \frac{273.934}{\sqrt{X_2} \log X_2} \\ &\leq 0.640. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows directly from [EHP22, Theorem 1] upon using the bounds on x and q . Note that we have also used $\varphi(q) \geq 2$ since $q \geq 3$. \square

We now provide a similar style result for $\left| \theta(x; q, a) - \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \right|$ which will be useful in Section 5.2.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $x \geq X_3 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, and q and a be integers such that $1 \leq q \leq \sqrt{x}$ and $(a, q) = 1$. Then, assuming GRH,*

$$\left| \theta(x; q, a) - \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \right| < c_\theta(X_3) \sqrt{x} \log^2 x,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_\theta(X_3) &= \frac{1}{16\pi} + \frac{1}{6\pi} + 0.092 + \frac{12.169}{\log X_3} + \frac{179.105}{\log^2 X_3} + \frac{725.316}{\log^3 X_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{0.007}{X_3^{1/4} \log X_3} + \frac{0.034}{X_3^{1/4} \log^2 X_3} + \frac{1.0075}{\sqrt{X_3}} + \frac{2.3395}{\sqrt{X_3} \log X_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{263.886}{\sqrt{X_3} \log^2 X_3} + \frac{(1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8})}{\log^2 X_3} + \frac{1.04320}{X_3^{1/6} \log^2 X_3} \quad (5.1) \\ &\leq 0.557. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The result for $q = 1$ follows immediately from [Sch76, Theorem 10]. Then, since all primes are odd (except 2), the case $q = 2$ follows similarly. We thus assume $q \geq 3$ from here onwards.

We first obtain bounds for $|\psi(x; q, a) - x/\varphi(q)|$. So, using $3 \leq q \leq \sqrt{x}$ and [EHP22, Theorem 3],

$$\left| \psi(x; q, a) - \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \right| < c_\psi(X_3) \sqrt{x} \log^2 x,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_\psi(X_3) &= \frac{1}{16\pi} + \frac{1}{6\pi} + 0.092 + \frac{12.169}{\log X_3} + \frac{179.105}{\log^2 X_3} + \frac{725.316}{\log^3 X_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{0.007}{X_3^{1/4} \log X_3} + \frac{0.034}{X_3^{1/4} \log^2 X_3} + \frac{1.0075}{\sqrt{X_3}} + \frac{2.3395}{\sqrt{X_3} \log X_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{263.886}{\sqrt{X_3} \log^2 X_3} \\ &\leq 0.556. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by [Bro+21, Corollary 5.1], we have for all $x \geq X_3 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$

$$\psi(x; q, a) - \theta(x; q, a) \leq \psi(x) - \theta(x) \leq (1 + 1.93378 \cdot 10^{-8}) \sqrt{x} + 1.04320 x^{1/3}.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \theta(x; q, a) - \frac{x}{\varphi(q)} \right| \leq c_\theta(X_3) \sqrt{x} \log^2 x,$$

with $c_\theta(X_3)$ as in (5.1). \square

5.2. An extension of Lemma 3.5. Lemma 3.5 is based off a result of Hathi and the first author [HJ21, Theorem 1.5] which gives that any even integer $N \geq 40$ can be expressed as the sum of a prime and a square-free number that is coprime to the primorial $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 = 30030$. As this result is quite useful in obtaining our final value for K , here we provide an extension which is conditional under GRH and involves larger primorials and values of N .

We begin with a variation of [HJ21, Lemma 5.1] and then bound some terms for ease of computation.

Lemma 5.3 (cf. [HJ21, Lemma 5.1]). *Define $\overline{R}_k(N)$ to be the logarithmically-weighted number of representations of N as $N = p + \eta$ where p is a prime, η is a square-free number coprime to k and $\eta \neq 1$. Now, assume GRH and let $N \geq X_3 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ be even. Then for any $C \in (0, 1/2)$ and $B < \sqrt{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\overline{R}_k(N)}{N} &> 2c \prod_{q|k/2} \left(1 - \frac{q-1}{q^2 - q - 1}\right) - \frac{c_\theta(X_3) \log^2(N)}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{d|k/2} \sum_{e|d} \sum_{\substack{a \leq B\sqrt{e/d} \\ (a,d)=e}} \mu^2(a) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1+2C}{1-2C}\right) \sum_{d|k/2} \sum_{e|d} \frac{1}{\varphi(d/e)} \sum_{\substack{a > B\sqrt{e/d} \\ (a,d)=e}} \frac{\mu^2(a)}{\varphi(a^2)} \\ &\quad - \log(N) \left(\sum_{d|k/2} \sum_{e|d} \left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{d} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{de}} N^{-C} + N^{-2C} \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\log(k)}{N} - \frac{\log(N)}{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Here, $c = 0.37395\dots$ is Artin's constant, μ is the Möbius function, and $c_\theta(X_3)$ is as in Lemma 5.2.

Proof. This is essentially identical to that of [HJ21, Lemma 5.1] with two main differences. First, we have introduced a parameter B which replaces the choice of $\sqrt{10^5}$ used in [HJ21]. Secondly, we have replaced “ $c_\theta(da^2/e)/\log n$ ” with $\frac{c_\theta(X_3) \log^2(N)}{\sqrt{N}}$ as a result of the stronger bounds we have under GRH. Note that there is also a slight notation clash with [HJ21, Lemma 5.1]. Namely, N and c_θ mean something different in [HJ21] and we have accounted for this accordingly. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Keep the notation and conditions of Lemma 5.3, and let k be the product of the first $L+1$ primes. We then have, for $B \geq \max\{45, 8\sqrt{k/2}\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\overline{R}_k(N)}{N} &> 2c \prod_{q|k/2} \left(1 - \frac{q-1}{q^2 - q - 1}\right) - \frac{0.65B \cdot 2^L \cdot c_\theta(X_3)}{\sqrt{N}} \log^2(N) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1+2C}{1-2C}\right) \cdot 2^L \cdot G \left(\left\lfloor \frac{B}{\sqrt{k/2}} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &\quad - \log(N) \left(\frac{7^L}{3^L \sqrt{N}} + \frac{(4+\sqrt{3})^L}{3^L N^C} + \frac{3^L}{N^{2C}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\log(k)}{N} - \frac{\log(N)}{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(x) = e^\gamma \left(\frac{\log \log x}{x^2} - \text{li} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right) + \frac{3}{x}.$$

Proof. We write $k' = k/2$ and note that $d(k')$, the number of divisors of k' , is equal to 2^L . We now bound each of the sums from Lemma 5.3. To begin with,

$$\sum_{d|k'} \sum_{e|d} \sum_{\substack{a \leq B\sqrt{e/d} \\ (a,d)=e}} \mu^2(a) \leq \sum_{a \leq B} \mu^2(a) \sum_{e|(a,k')} \sum_{\substack{(a,d)=e \\ d|k'}} 1 = \sum_{a \leq B} \mu^2(a) \sum_{d|k'} 1 \leq 0.65B \cdot 2^L,$$

where in the last step we used that $\sum_{a \leq B} \mu^2(a) \leq 0.65B$ ([BJS22, Lemma 21]) and $d(k') = 2^L$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|k/2} \sum_{e|d} \frac{1}{\varphi(d/e)} \sum_{\substack{a > B\sqrt{e/d} \\ (a,d)=e}} \frac{\mu^2(a)}{\varphi(a^2)} &\leq \sum_{a > B/\sqrt{k'}} \frac{\mu^2(a)}{\varphi(a^2)} \sum_{e|(a,k')} \sum_{\substack{(a,d)=e \\ d|k'}} 1 \\ &\leq 2^L \sum_{a > B/\sqrt{k'}} \frac{\mu^2(a)}{\varphi(a^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, by [RS62, Theorem 15],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a > B/\sqrt{k'}} \frac{\mu^2(a)}{\varphi(a^2)} &\leq \sum_{a > B/\sqrt{k'}} \left(\frac{e^\gamma \log \log a^2}{a^2} + \frac{2.5}{a^2 \log \log a^2} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{a > B/\sqrt{k'}} \left(\frac{e^\gamma \log \log a^2}{a^2} + \frac{1.76}{a^2} \right) \quad (\text{Since } B/\sqrt{k'} \geq 8) \\ &\leq \int_{\lfloor B/\sqrt{k'} \rfloor}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^\gamma \log \log x}{x^2} + \frac{3}{x^2} \right) dx \\ &= G \left(\left\lfloor \frac{B}{\sqrt{k'/2}} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we want to bound

$$\sum_{d|k/2} \sum_{e|d} \left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{d} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{de}} N^{-C} + N^{-2C} \right). \quad (5.3)$$

To bound each term in this sum we first note that k' (and each $d | k'$) is square-free and odd. Thus, if any $x | k'$ has m prime divisors, we have $x \geq 3^m$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|k'} \sum_{e|d} \left(\frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{d} \right) &\leq \sum_{d|k'} \sum_{e|d} \frac{1}{e} \\ &\leq \sum_{d|k'} \sum_{m=0}^{\omega(d)} \frac{1}{3^m} \binom{\omega(d)}{m} \\ &= \sum_{d|k'} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \right)^{\omega(d)} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^L \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^m \binom{L}{m} \\ &= \left(\frac{7}{3} \right)^L, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(d)$ is the number of unique prime factors of d . By analogous reasoning,

$$\sum_{d|k'} \sum_{e|d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{de}} \leq \left(\frac{4 + \sqrt{3}}{3} \right)^L$$

and

$$\sum_{d|k'} \sum_{e|d} 1 = 3^L.$$

As a result, (5.3) is bounded above by

$$\frac{7^L}{3^L \sqrt{N}} + \frac{(4 + \sqrt{3})^L}{3^L N^C} + \frac{3^L}{N^{2C}}$$

as desired. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Assume GRH. Then every even integer $N \geq \exp(462)$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a square-free number coprime to the product of the first 51 primes. In addition, every even integer $N \geq \exp(550)$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a square-free number coprime to the product of the first 60 primes.*

Proof. In Theorem 5.4, we set k to be the product of the first 51 primes, $N \geq \exp(462)$, $C = 0.1$, and $B = 10^{79}$ to get $\overline{R}_k(N)/N > 0.012$. Next we set k to be the product of the first 60 primes, $N \geq \exp(550)$, $C = 0.1$, and $B = 10^{95.3}$ to get $\overline{R}_k(N)/N > 0.0022$. All the computations are provided by Sage 9.3. \square

We finish this section with a generalised version of Lemma 3.5 for which Corollary 5.5 can be directly applied to.

Proposition 5.6. *Let p_i denote the i^{th} prime and $X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Suppose every even integer $N \geq X_3$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a square-free number coprime to the product of the first $L + 1$ primes. Then every even integer $X_3 \leq N < X_2$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a square-free number η with at most K prime factors, where $K \geq 1$ is the largest integer such that*

$$\theta(p_{K+L+1}) - \theta(p_{L+1}) < \log(X_2).$$

Proof. Direct generalisation of the proof of Lemma 3.5. \square

5.3. A conditional lower bound for $S(A, P(z))$. We now prove an analogue of Theorem 4.1 assuming GRH. For this we will first need a variant of the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem (cf. [BJS22, Lemmas 29 and 30]).

Lemma 5.7. *Assume GRH and suppose $N \geq X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ is even and $H := \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log^{A+1} N} \geq 45$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq H \\ (d, N)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \pi(N; d, N) - \frac{\pi(N)}{\varphi(d)} \right| \leq \frac{p_G(X_2)N}{\log^A N},$$

where

$$p_G(X_2) = 0.65 \left(c_\pi(X_2) + \frac{1}{16\pi} \right) \leq 0.429$$

with $c_\pi(X_2)$ as defined in Lemma 5.1.

Proof. First note that we may assume $d \geq 3$ since for $d = 1$, we have $|E_\pi(N; d, N)| = 0$, and $d \neq 2$ since N is even. Now, by the triangle inequality

$$\left| \pi(N; d, N) - \frac{\pi(N)}{\varphi(d)} \right| \leq \left| \pi(N; d, N) - \frac{\text{li}(N)}{\varphi(d)} \right| + \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} |\text{li}(N) - \pi(N)|.$$

We bound the first term using Lemma 5.1, and by [Sch76, Corollary 1] the second term is bounded above by

$$\frac{1}{8\pi\varphi(d)} \leq \frac{1}{16\pi}\sqrt{N}\log N.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \pi(N; d, N) - \frac{\pi(N)}{\varphi(d)} \right| \leq \left(c_\pi(X_2) + \frac{1}{16\pi} \right) \sqrt{N}\log N$$

so that, by [BJS22, Lemma 21]

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d \leq H \\ (d, N)=1}} \mu^2(d) \left| \pi(N; d, N) - \frac{\pi(N)}{\varphi(d)} \right| &\leq 0.65H \left(c_\pi(X_2) + \frac{1}{16\pi} \right) \sqrt{N}\log N \\ &\leq \frac{p_G(X_2)N}{\log^A N}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 5.8 (cf. [BJS22, Lemma 42]). *Keeping the notation and conditions from Lemma 5.7, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq H \\ d|P(z)}} |r(d)| < \frac{c_{4,G}(X_2)N}{\log^A N}, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$c_{4,G}(X_2) = p_G(X_2) + \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{X_2}\log\log X_2} \leq 0.429.$$

Proof. Follows by the same reasoning as the proof of [BJS22, Lemma 41]. \square

We now give a lower bound for $S(A, P(z))$ assuming GRH.

Theorem 5.9. *Assume GRH. Let $\log\log X_2 \geq Y_0$, $M \geq 5$, $\alpha > 0$, $N \geq X_2$ be even, $z = N^{1/M}$, $z_0 = X_2^{1/M}$ such that*

$$\frac{\sqrt{X_2}}{\log^{A+1} X_2} \geq 45, \quad 1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N} \geq 0, \quad X_2 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18} \quad (5.5)$$

where $\xi(z_0, M)$ is as in Lemma 3.4, and

$$\frac{N^\alpha}{\log^{A+1} N} \geq \exp\left(u \left(1 + \frac{9 \cdot 10^{-7}}{\log u}\right)\right), \quad N^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} \geq z^2,$$

with $u > 200$ so that

$$\epsilon = \frac{0.0595}{\log u} < \frac{1}{89.05}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(A, P(z)) &> M \frac{U_N N}{\log^2 N} \left(1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(f\left(M \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right)\right) - C_1(\epsilon)\epsilon e^2 h\left(M \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right)\right) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{M} \left(1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N}\right)^{-1} \left(2e^\gamma \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)\right)^{-1} \frac{c_{4,G}(X_2)}{\log^{A-2} N}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(\varepsilon)$ is from [BJS22, Table 1], $c_{4,G}(X_2)$ is defined in Lemma 5.8, and all other notation is as in Section 2.

Proof. We argue similarly to the case $k_1 < K_0(x_2)$ in the proof of [BJS22, Theorem 43]. So, set

$$D = N^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}, \quad s = \frac{\log D}{\log z} = M \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(u) = \prod_{\substack{p \leq u \\ p \nmid N}} p.$$

Since $D \geq z^2$, we have by [BJS22, Theorem 6]

$$S(A, P(z)) > \frac{M|A|U_N}{\log N} \left(1 - \frac{\xi(z_0, M)}{\log^2 N} \right) (f(s) - C_1(\varepsilon)\varepsilon e^2 h(s)) - \sum_{\substack{d|P(z) \\ d < QD}} |r(d)|. \quad (5.6)$$

We now remark that the condition

$$\frac{N^\alpha}{\log^{A+1} N} \geq \exp \left(u \left(1 + \frac{9 \cdot 10^{-7}}{\log u} \right) \right)$$

implies that

$$Q(u) \leq \frac{N^\alpha}{\log^{A+1} N} \quad (5.7)$$

by [BJS22, Lemma 23]. As a result, $QD \leq H := \frac{\sqrt{X_2}}{\log^{A+1} N}$ so that we may apply Lemma 5.8 to (5.6). This gives the desired result upon noting that $|A| > N/\log N$ ([BJS22, Lemma 41]). \square

Equipped with this conditional lower bound on $S(A, P(z))$, we now finally prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of 1.4. We set $X_2 = \exp(\exp(6.325))$, $\alpha = 0.414$, $A = 2.01$, $\varepsilon = 1/90$ and $M = 40$. Note that in this case,

$$f \left(M \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right) \right) = f(3.44) = \frac{2e^7 \log(2.44)}{3.44} = 0.9236 \dots \quad (\text{See [Cai08, p. 1340].})$$

Applying Theorem 5.9 we then obtain

$$S(A, P(z)) > \frac{0.34U_N N}{\log^2 N} > 0.$$

This means that, assuming GRH, every even $N \geq \exp(\exp(6.325))$ can be written as the sum of a prime and a number with at most 40 prime factors.

For $\exp(550) \leq N < \exp(\exp(6.325))$, we then apply Corollary 5.5 and Proposition 5.6 with $L + 1 = 60$ to prove that $K = 89$ works in this range. Then, for the range $\exp(462) \leq N < \exp(550)$ we again use Corollary 5.5 and 3.5 with $L + 1 = 51$. Finally, for $2 < N \leq \exp(462)$ we use Lemma 3.5. \square

6. POSSIBLE IMPROVEMENTS

With more work, it should be possible to improve our main results (Theorems 1.3 and 1.4). There are many avenues to do this, so in the following subsections we detail what the authors believe are some of the most impactful approaches. If the reader is interested in pursuing any of these avenues, the authors are very open to correspondence on the matter.

Before we begin a general point is that we expect many of the explicit results that go into our proof to improve naturally in line with increased computational power. So in this regard, we remark that extending the computations of Platt [Pla16] regarding zeros of Dirichlet L -functions, would be a sure-fire way to improve the ingredients used for the unconditional result (Theorem 1.3).

6.1. Bounds on primes in arithmetic progressions. The main bottleneck to improving the unconditional result is our existing bounds on the error term in the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions. In our approach, we used the recent bounds obtained by Bordignon in [Bor21]. Certainly, one could get a small improvement in our results by extending Table 6 in [Bor21] to give more optimal parameters. However, on inspection, it appears that there are several other aspects of Bordignon's work that can be improved.

To begin with, in [Bor21] the error term in the explicit formula [Bor21, (1)] is obtained using a method due to Goldston [Gol83]. However, an asymptotically better error term can be obtained from the work of Wolke [Wol83] and Ramaré [Ram16]. An explicit form of such an error term was obtained recently by Cully-Hugill and the first author [CHJ21].

Moreover, the zero-free regions for Dirichlet L -functions could be improved. Namely, there is recent work of Kadiri [Kad18] which could be built upon to give better bounds on Siegel/exceptional zeros compared to [Bor21, Theorem 1.1]. This would also lead to a better (i.e. lower) value of R_1 that could be used in this work.

6.2. Explicit bounds on Siegel zeros. In addition to the bounds one can obtain on Siegel zeros described in Section 6.1, we also seek to improve bounds of the form

$$\beta \leq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{q} \log^2 q} \quad (6.1)$$

where λ is a positive constant, and β is a (potential) Siegel zero mod q . This bound is that which appears in (2.1) and is an important component in the proof of Theorem 1.3. For $q > 4 \cdot 10^5$, Bordignon [Bor19; Bor20] shows that one can take $\lambda = 100$ and this is what we use. Here, we note that for $q \leq 4 \cdot 10^5$ there are no Siegel zeros by a computation due to Platt [Pla16]. In fact, the relevant computation in [Pla16] was only a side result of the main computation, meaning a more targeted approach could pay dividends.

It also appears that the factor of $\log^2 q$ can be removed from (6.1) by using an approach due to Goldfeld and Schinzel [GS75]. This has already been done for odd characters in [RR20] but a version that also works for even characters would be useful in our setting.

6.3. Bounds on $\sum 1/p$. Another key component which goes into our results is bounds on $\sum_{p < x} 1/p$ (see Lemma 3.2). There are several ways in which one could sharpen such bounds, but we draw particular attention to a method for improving the lower bound. Namely, as explored in [Büt15], it appears that for some quite large value of x_0 (possibly around 10^{215}) we have

$$\sum_{p < x} \frac{1}{p} \geq \log \log x + M, \quad 2 < x < x_0. \quad (6.2)$$

In our calculations we only used that the above inequality holds up to $x_0 = 10^8$, working with the old computations of Rosser and Schoenfeld [RS62, Theorem 20].

With the power of modern computing, this computation could definitely be extended. In fact, through private correspondence, Oliveria e Silva has claimed to have verified (6.2) up to $x_0 = 10^{12}$, and it is likely one could go much higher. It should be noted that such a computation concerning $\sum 1/p$ will have a minimal effect on the conditional result (Theorem 1.4). However, there will be a non-trivial impact on the unconditional result, and even more so on the results in [BJS22].

6.4. Further exploration of sieve methods. Throughout recent history there have been numerous sieve-theoretic approaches to the problem of expressing even numbers as the sum of a prime and an almost prime. The overarching sieve used in this paper is the linear sieve, and we use the explicit version from [BJS22, §2]. One could further explore the existing literature on linear sieves (e.g. [HR74; FI10]) and likely find an approach that is superior to the one here.

In this direction we also remark, as discussed in Section 4, that our approach works for at best $K = 4$ prime factors. It would be interesting to explore simpler methods, such as those using Brun's sieve (e.g. [HR74, §2.4]), which fail asymptotically for such low values of K , but might give better explicit results than those in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

REFERENCES

- [Bor19] M. Bordignon. “Explicit bounds on exceptional zeroes of Dirichlet L -functions”. *J. Number Theory* 201 (2019), pp. 68–76.
- [Bor20] M. Bordignon. “Explicit bounds on exceptional zeroes of Dirichlet L -functions II”. *J. Number Theory* 210 (2020), pp. 481–487.
- [Bor21] M. Bordignon. “Medium-sized values for the prime number theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions”. *New York J. Math.* 27 (2021), pp. 1415–1438.
- [BJS22] M. Bordignon, D. R. Johnston, and V. Starichkova. “An explicit version of Chen’s theorem”. *preprint available at arXiv:2207.09452* (2022). Submitted.
- [BS22] M. Bordignon and V. Starichkova. “An explicit version of Chen’s theorem assuming the generalised Riemann hypothesis”. *preprint* (2022).
- [Bro+21] S. Broadbent, H. Kadiri, A. Lumley, N. Ng, and K. Wilk. “Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ ”. *Math. Comp.* 90.331 (2021), pp. 2281–2315.
- [Büt15] J. Büthe. “On the first sign change in Mertens’ theorem”. *Acta Arith.* 171.2 (2015), pp. 183–195.
- [Cai08] Y. Cai. “On Chen’s theorem (II)”. *J. Number Theory* 128.5 (2008), pp. 1336–1357.
- [Che66] J. R. Chen. “On the representation of a large even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes”. *Kexue Tongbao* 17 (1966), pp. 385–386.
- [Che73] J. R. Chen. “On the representation of a large even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes”. *Sci. Sinica* 16 (1973), pp. 157–176.
- [CHJ21] M. Cully-Hugill and D. R. Johnston. “On the error term in the explicit formula of Riemann–von Mangoldt”. *preprint available at arXiv:2111.10001* (2021). Submitted.
- [Dud17] A. Dudek. “On the sum of a prime and a square-free number”. *Ramanujan J.* 42.1 (2017), pp. 233–240.
- [EHP22] A. Ernvall-Hytönen and N. Palojärvi. “Explicit bound for the number of primes in arithmetic progressions assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis”. *Math. Comp.* 91 (2022), pp. 1317–1365.
- [FI10] J.B. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec. *Opera de cribro*. Vol. 57. American Mathematical Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2010.
- [GS75] D. M. Goldfeld and A. Schinzel. “On Siegel’s zero”. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa* 2.4 (1975), pp. 571–583.
- [Gol83] D. A. Goldston. “On a result of Littlewood concerning prime numbers. II”. *Acta. Arith.* 43.1 (1983), pp. 49–51.
- [HR74] H. Halberstam and H. E. Richert. *Sieve methods*. Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [HJ21] S. Hathi and D. R. Johnston. “On the sum of a prime and a square-free number with divisibility conditions”. *preprint available at arXiv:2109.11883* (2021).
- [Kad18] H. Kadiri. “Explicit zero-free regions for Dirichlet L -functions”. *Mathematika* 64.2 (2018), pp. 445–474.

- [KL14] H. Kadiri and A. Lumley. “Short effective intervals containing primes”. *Integers* A61 (2014), pp. 1–18.
- [OHP14] T. Oliveira e Silva, S. Herzog, and S. Pardi. “Empirical verification of the even Goldbach conjecture and computation of prime gaps up to $4 \cdot 10^{18}$ ”. *Math. Comp.* 83.288 (2014), pp. 2033–2060.
- [Pla16] D. Platt. “Numerical computations concerning the GRH”. *Math. Comp.* 85.302 (2016), pp. 3009–3027.
- [RR20] D. Ralaivaosaona and F. B. Razakarinoro. “An explicit upper bound for Siegel zeros of imaginary quadratic fields”. *preprint available at arXiv:2001.05782* (2020).
- [Ram16] O. Ramaré. “Modified truncated Perron formulae”. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal* 23.1 (2016), pp. 109–128.
- [Rén48] A. A. Rényi. “On the representation of an even number as the sum of a prime and an almost prime”. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* 12 (1948), pp. 57–78.
- [RS62] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld. “Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers”. *Illinois J. Math.* 6.1 (1962), pp. 64–94.
- [Sch76] L. Schoenfeld. “Sharper Bounds for the Chebyshev Functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$. II”. *Math. Comp.* 30.134 (1976), pp. 337–360.
- [Wol83] D. Wolke. “On the explicit formula of Riemann–von Mangoldt, II”. *J. London Math. Soc.* 2.3 (1983), pp. 406–416.
- [Yam15] T. Yamada. “Explicit Chen’s Theorem”. *preprint available at arXiv:1511.03409* (2015).

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA
Email address: daniel.johnston@adfa.edu.au

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA
Email address: v.starichkova@adfa.edu.au