

SOME PROPERTIES OF THE p -BERGMAN KERNEL AND METRIC

BO-YONG CHEN AND YUANPU XIONG

ABSTRACT. The p -Bergman kernel $K_p(\cdot)$ is shown to be of $C^{1,1/2}$ for $1 < p < \infty$. An unexpected relation between the off-diagonal p -Bergman kernel $K_p(\cdot, z)$ and certain weighted L^2 Bergman kernel is given for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. As applications, we show that for each $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $K_p(\cdot, z) \in L^q(\Omega)$ for $q < \frac{2pn}{2n-\alpha(\Omega)}$ and $|K_s(z) - K_p(z)| \lesssim |s - p| \log |s - p|$ whenever the hyperconvexity index $\alpha(\Omega)$ is positive. Counterexamples for $2 < p < \infty$ are given respectively. An optimal upper bound for the holomorphic sectional curvature of the p -Bergman metric when $2 \leq p < \infty$ is obtained. For bounded C^2 domains, it is shown that the Hardy space and the Bergman space satisfy $H^p(\Omega) \subset A^q(\Omega)$ where $q = p(1 + \frac{1}{n})$. A new concept so-called the p -Schwarz content is introduced. As applications, upper bounds of the Banach-Mazur distance between p -Bergman spaces are given, and $A^p(\Omega)$ is shown to be non-Chebyshev in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. For planar domains, we obtain a rigidity theorem for the p -Bergman kernel (which is not valid in high dimensional cases), and a characterization of non-isolated boundary points through completeness of the Narasimhan-Simha metric.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. Differentiable properties of $K_p(z)$ | 6 |
| 2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 | 6 |
| 2.2. An application of Theorem 1.1 | 10 |
| 3. The case $1 \leq p \leq 2$ | 12 |
| 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2 | 12 |
| 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4 | 13 |
| 4. The case $2 < p < \infty$ | 15 |
| 5. Curvature properties of $B_p(z; X)$ | 18 |
| 6. Bergman meets Hardy | 22 |
| 7. The p -Schwarz content and its applications | 24 |
| 7.1. Basic properties and upper bounds | 24 |
| 7.2. Non-uniqueness of best approximations | 31 |
| 8. Proofs of Theorem 1.12 and Proposition 1.13 | 33 |
| 9. Proof of Theorem 1.14 | 38 |
| 10. Appendix | 43 |
| References | 47 |

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is continuation of [9], where a general p -Bergman theory, i.e., a theory for the p -Bergman kernel, the p -Bergman metric and the p -Bergman space, is developed. We shall use the same notation and some basic properties in [9] will be frequently used. The p -Bergman space $A^p(\Omega)$ over a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by

$$A^p(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) : \|f\|_p^p := \int_{\Omega} |f|^p < \infty \right\}$$

(throughout this paper the integrals are with respect to the Lebesgue measure). It is known that the following minimizing problem

$$(1.1) \quad m_p(z) := \inf \{ \|f\|_p : f \in A^p(\Omega), f(z) = 1 \}$$

admits at least one minimizer for $0 < p < \infty$ and exactly one minimizer $m_p(\cdot, z)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. The p -Bergman kernel and off-diagonal p -Bergman kernel are given by $K_p(z) = m_p(z)^{-p}$ for $0 < p < \infty$ and $K_p(z, w) = m_p(z, w)K_p(w)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ respectively. Among the basic properties of the p -Bergman theory, the most important is the following reproducing property:

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = \int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} \overline{K_p(\cdot, z)} f, \quad \forall f \in A^p(\Omega).$$

A simple normal family argument yields that $m_p(\cdot)$ and $K_p(\cdot)$ are Lipschitz continuous. It is more difficult to verify whether they are differentiable. The first main result of this paper is the following interior regularity theorem.

Theorem 1.1. $K_p(\cdot) \in C^{1,1/2}(\Omega)$ for $1 < p < \infty$; moreover, for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ and $z \in \Omega$,

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial K_p}{\partial x_j}(z) = p \operatorname{Re} \left. \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z)}{\partial x_j} \right|_z,$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) is the real coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$.

Remark. It is known from [9] that there exist simply-connected pseudoconvex domains on which $K_p(\cdot)$ is not real-analytic whenever p is an even larger than 4. It is of great interest to know the optimal regularity of $K_p(\cdot)$.

Next, we present an unexpected relation between $K_p(\cdot, \cdot)$ and certain weighted L^2 Bergman kernel for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Let φ be a measurable real-valued function on Ω which enjoys the following property: for each $z \in \Omega$ there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that $e^{\alpha\varphi}$ is integrable in a neighborhood of z . Set

$$A^2(\Omega, \varphi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} < \infty \right\}.$$

According to Corollary 3.1 in [28], $A^2(\Omega, \varphi)$ admits a unique Bergman reproducing kernel $K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $p \geq 1$, define

$$A_{p,z}^2(\Omega) := A^2(\Omega, (2-p) \log |m_p(\cdot, z)|) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, \cdot) := K_{\Omega, (2-p) \log |m_p(\cdot, z)|}(\cdot, \cdot).$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then we have*

$$(1.4) \quad K_p(\cdot, z) = K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, z), \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

On the other hand, we have

Proposition 1.3. *For each $2 < p < \infty$, there exist a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a point $z \in \Omega$ such that*

$$K_p(\cdot, z) \neq K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, z).$$

A related question¹ is the following

Problem 1. *Does there exist for each $2 < p < \infty$ and $z \in \Omega$ a weight function φ_z such that $K_p(\cdot, z) = K_{\Omega, \varphi_z}(\cdot, z)$?*

Remark. *We claim that φ_z cannot be chosen independent of z . Otherwise, $K_p(\cdot)$ would be real-analytic since $K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot)$ is, but this is not the case in general (cf. [9]).*

In view of Theorem 1.2, we may apply the powerful L^2 method of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation to the p -Bergman kernel for $1 \leq p < 2$. For instance, we are able to show the following

Theorem 1.4. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. If Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with the hyperconvexity index $\alpha(\Omega) > 0$, then the following properties hold:*

- (1) $K_p(\cdot, z) \in L^q(\Omega)$ for any $z \in \Omega$ and $q < \frac{2pn}{2n-\alpha(\Omega)}$;
- (2) $|K_s(z) - K_p(z)| \lesssim |s - p| \log |s - p|$ as $s \rightarrow p$.

Recall that the hyperconvexity index $\alpha(\Omega)$ of a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined to be the supremum of those $\alpha \geq 0$ such that there exists a negative continuous plurisubharmonic (psh) function ρ on Ω with $-\rho \lesssim \delta^\alpha$, where δ denotes the Euclidean boundary distance (cf. [6]). Note that the case $p = 2$ in (1) has been verified in [6].

In contrast with Theorem 1.4, we have

Proposition 1.5. *For each $2 < p < \infty$, there is a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha(\Omega) > 0$ which enjoys the following properties:*

- (1) *For each $p' > p$ there exists a point $z \in \Omega$ such that $K_p(\cdot, z) \notin L^{p'}(\Omega)$;*
- (2) *There exists a point $z \in \Omega$ such that $K_s(z)$ is not continuous at $s = p$.*

Recall that the p -Bergman metric is defined to be

$$B_p(z; X) := K_p(z)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \sup_f |Xf(z)|$$

where the supremum is taken over all $f \in A^p(\Omega)$ with $f(z) = 0$ and $\|f\|_p = 1$. Let $\text{HSC}_p(z; X)$ denote the holomorphic sectional curvature of $B_p(z; X)$. It is a classical fact that $\text{HSC}_2(z; X) \leq 2$ (see e.g., [21]). Use the calculus of variations, we are able to show the following

¹The authors are indebted to Professor Zbigniew Błocki for proposing this question during the Hayama Symposium 2022.

Theorem 1.6. *For $2 \leq p < \infty$ we have*

$$(1.5) \quad \text{HSC}_p(z; X) \leq \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_p(z; X)}{B_p(z; X)^2} + \frac{p}{2},$$

where $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_p(z; X)$ denotes the generalized Levi form of $\log K_p(z)$.

Remark. *Note that $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_p(z; X) \geq B_p(z; X)^2$ holds for $2 \leq p < \infty$ (cf. [9]). It remains open whether equality holds.*

The Hardy space $H^p(\Omega)$ is closely related to the Bergman space $A^p(\Omega)$. The Hardy norm and the Bergman norm can be compared as follows.

Theorem 1.7. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^2 boundary in \mathbb{C}^n and $q = p(1 + \frac{1}{n})$. Then*

$$(1.6) \quad \|f\|_{A^q(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}, \quad \forall f \in H^p(\Omega).$$

In particular, we have $S_p(z)^{1/p} \lesssim K_q(z)^{1/q}$, where $S_p(z)$ denotes the p -Szegő kernel given by

$$S_p(z) := \sup \{ |f(z)|^p : f \in H^p(\Omega), \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)} \leq 1 \}.$$

Inequality (1.6) has a long history. In 1920, Carleman [4] first proved (1.6) for $p = 2$ with optimal constant in case $\Omega \subset\subset \mathbb{C}$ is smooth and simply-connected, which provides the first complex-analytic approach of the isoperimetric problem. In 1932, Hardy-Littlewood proved (1.6) for the unit disc \mathbb{D} (cf. [17], Theorem 31). Extensions of these theorems can be found in Marković [25] and the references therein.

It is known from [9] that $K_p(z)$ is an exhaustion function for $p < 2(1 + \frac{1}{n})$ when Ω is a bounded C^2 pseudoconvex domain. Theorem 1.7 together with Theorem 1.1 in [7] yield

Corollary 1.8. *If Ω is a δ -regular domain in \mathbb{C}^n , then there exists a number $\alpha > 1$ such that*

$$K_{2(1+\frac{1}{n})}(z) \gtrsim (\delta(z) |\log \delta(z)|^\alpha)^{-(1+\frac{1}{n})}$$

holds for all $z \in \Omega$ with $\delta(z) \leq 1/2$. In particular, $K_{2(1+\frac{1}{n})}(z)$ is an exhaustion function.

Recall that a bounded C^2 domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called a δ -regular domain if there exist a bounded C^2 psh function λ and a C^2 defining function ρ on Ω such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\lambda \geq \rho^{-1}i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho$. It is known from [7] that this class of domains includes bounded domains with defining functions that are psh on $\partial\Omega$ and pseudoconvex domains of D'Angelo finite type.

The Banach-Mazur distance between two Banach spaces is of central importance in the study of local properties of Banach spaces (see e.g., [32], Chapter 9).

Definition 1.1. *The Banach-Mazur distance between two Banach spaces X, Y is defined to be*

$$d_{\text{BM}}(X, Y) := \inf \{ \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| : T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of continuous isomorphisms from X to Y . When X is not isomorphic to Y , then we set $d_{\text{BM}}(X, Y) = \infty$.

Since p -Bergman spaces are Banach spaces for $1 \leq p < \infty$, it is natural to consider the Banach-Mazur distance between them. Suppose $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ are bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n such that every $f \in A^p(\Omega')$ extends to an element in $A^p(\Omega)$. This is satisfied for instance, when $n \geq 2$ and $\Omega \setminus \Omega'$ is compact in Ω , in view of the Hartogs extension theorem. Banach's open mapping theorem implies that the identity mapping $I : A^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A^p(\Omega')$ is an isomorphism, so that $d_{\text{BM}}(A^p(\Omega'), A^p(\Omega)) < \infty$. A new question arises on how to estimate $d_{\text{BM}}(A^p(\Omega'), A^p(\Omega))$ in terms of geometric conditions of Ω' and Ω . For this purpose, we introduce the following concept, which seems to be of independent interest.

Definition 1.2. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and E a measurable subset of Ω . For $0 < p < \infty$, the p -Schwarz content of E relative to Ω is defined to be

$$(1.7) \quad s_p(E, \Omega) := \sup \left\{ \frac{\int_E |f|^p}{\int_\Omega |f|^p} : f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Remark. One may define $s_\infty(E, \Omega) := \sup \{ \|f\|_{L^\infty(E)} / \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} : f \in A^\infty(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \}$, which connects with the Schwarz lemma. Moreover, (1.7) is somewhat similar to the definition of the classical relative capacity. But "capacity" is a well-known name, so we use "content" instead.

Proposition 1.9. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Suppose $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ are bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n such that every $f \in A^p(\Omega')$ extends to an element in $A^p(\Omega)$. Then

$$(1.8) \quad d_{\text{BM}}(A^p(\Omega'), A^p(\Omega)) \leq (1 - s_p(\Omega \setminus \Omega', \Omega))^{-1/p}.$$

We are left to find upper bounds for the p -Schwarz content.

Proposition 1.10. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and E a measurable relatively compact subset in Ω . Set $d := d(E, \partial\Omega)$. Then the following properties hold:

- (1) For $0 < p < \infty$, one has $s_p(E, \Omega) \leq \frac{128/\lambda_1(\Omega)}{128/\lambda_1(\Omega) + d^2}$, where $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on Ω .
- (2) For $1 < p < \infty$, one has $s_p(E, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_{n,p}(\Omega)}{C_{n,p}(\Omega) + d^{p/\tilde{p}}}$, where \tilde{p} stands for the largest integer smaller than p .

The p -Schwarz content has also an unexpected application to best approximations. Recall that for a metric space (X, d) and $Y \subset X$, a point $y_0 \in Y$ is said to be the best approximation of $x \in X$ if $d(x, y_0) = \inf_{y \in Y} d(x, y)$. Let $\mathcal{P}_Y(x)$ denote the set of all best approximations of x . If $\mathcal{P}_Y(x) \neq \emptyset$ (respectively $\mathcal{P}_Y(x)$ is a singleton) for all $x \in X$, then we say that Y is proximal (respectively Chebyshev) (cf. [30]). It was shown in [9] that $A^p(\Omega)$ is proximal in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $0 < p \leq \infty$ and is Chebyshev in $L^p(\Omega)$ when $1 < p < \infty$, so that one may define the p -Bergman projection from $L^p(\Omega)$ to $A^p(\Omega)$ by the metric projection $f \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{A^p(\Omega)}(f)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Using the p -Schwarz content in a crucial way, we are able to show the following

Theorem 1.11. If Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $0 < p \leq 1$, then $A^p(\Omega)$ is not a Chebyshev set in $L^p(\Omega)$. In particular, the p -Bergman projection cannot be defined through the metric projection for $0 < p \leq 1$.

Note that the trivial estimate $K_p(z) \geq 1/|\Omega|$ always holds. It is natural to ask whether the lower bound can be achieved. We give a lovely rigidity result as follows.

Theorem 1.12. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C} . Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If $K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ for some $a \in \Omega$ and some $0 < p < 2$, then Ω is a disc centred at a .*
- (2) *Suppose furthermore $|\overline{\Omega}^\circ \setminus \Omega| = 0$. If $K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ for some $a \in \Omega$ and some $2 \leq p < \infty$, then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a closed disc centred at a .*

Dong and Treuer have proved that if $K_2(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ for some $a \in \Omega$ then Ω is the complement of some closed polar set in a disc, by using some nontrivial results connecting with the Suita conjecture (see [12]). Our approach to Theorem 1.12, which is in big part motivated by a rigidity theorem in terms of the mean-value property of harmonic functions due to Epstein [14], Epstein-Schiffer [15] and Kuran [22], is rather elementary. Note that no analogous rigidity results as Theorem 1.12 exist in high dimensional cases, for instance, if $K_{\Omega,p}(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ holds for some $a \in \Omega$, then $K_{\Omega \setminus E,p}(a) = 1/|\Omega \setminus E|$ also holds when E is a compact set in $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$ with $|E| = 0$, in view of the Hartogs extension theorem. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $K_p(0) = 1/|\Omega|$ when Ω is a bounded complete circular domain in \mathbb{C}^n (compare § 8). Thus it is natural ask whether a bounded fat domain Ω (i.e., $\overline{\Omega}^\circ = \Omega$) has to be a complete circular domain if $K_{\Omega,p}(0) = 1/|\Omega|$ holds for some $0 < p < \infty$. Yet we have

Proposition 1.13. *There exists a bounded non-circular domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with piecewise smooth boundary such that $K_p(0) = 1/|\Omega|$ for all $2 \leq p < \infty$.*

The p -Bergman kernel can be used to produce various biholomorphic invariants. Narasimhan-Simha [26] introduced the following Kähler metric

$$ds_p^2 := \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \log K_{2,p}(z)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} dz_j \otimes d\bar{z}_k,$$

where $K_{2,p}(z) = K_{\Omega, \log K_p}$. It is known that ds_p^2 is invariant under biholomorphic mappings for simply-connected domains and for arbitrary bounded domains when $p = 2/m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (cf. [26], [9]).

Theorem 1.14. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C} . Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If Ω has no isolated boundary points, i.e., for every $w \in \partial\Omega$ there exists a sequence $\{w_j\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ such that $w_j \neq w$ for all j and $w_j \rightarrow w$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then ds_p^2 is complete for all $1 \leq p < 2$.*
- (2) *If Ω admits an isolated boundary point, then ds_p^2 is incomplete for all $0 < p < \infty$.*

Remark. *Thus ds_1^2 provides a conformal invariant which distinguishes between isolated boundary points and cluster boundary points in some sense.*

We also present in appendix a precise result on the asymptotic behavior of $K_p(z)$ for the punctured unit disc \mathbb{D}^* as $z \rightarrow 0$.

2. DIFFERENTIABLE PROPERTIES OF $K_p(z)$

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (Brezis-Lieb). *Let $0 < p < \infty$. If $f_k, f \in L^p(\Omega)$ satisfy $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. and $\|f_k\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$, then $\|f_k - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Note that

$$|f_k - f|^p \leq C_p(|f_k|^p + |f|^p),$$

where $C_p := \min\{1, 2^{p-1}\}$. It suffices to apply Fatou's lemma to the function $C_p(|f_k|^p + |f|^p) - |f_k - f|^p$. \square

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 by using the duality method. Let $1 < p < \infty$ be fixed. Given j and z , the linear functionals

$$L_z(f) := f(z), \quad L_{z,j}(f) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(z), \quad f \in A^p(\Omega)$$

are continuous in view of the mean-value inequality and Cauchy's estimates. The point is

$$\|L_z\| = \sup\{|f(z)| : f \in A^p(\Omega), \|f\|_p \leq 1\} = K_p(z)^{1/p},$$

which motivates us to use functional analysis.

Let e_1, \dots, e_{2n} be the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the following linear functionals

$$\Lambda_t := \frac{L_{z+te_j} - L_z}{t} - L_{z,j}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since for every $f \in A^p(\Omega)$,

$$|\Lambda_t(f)| = \left| \frac{f(z+te_j) - f(z)}{t} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(z) \right| = O(|t|) \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

we have $\|\Lambda_t\| = O(|t|)$ in view of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, so that

$$(2.1) \quad \|L_{z+te_j} - L_z - tL_{z,j}\| = O(|t|^2).$$

Set

$$(2.2) \quad g_z := \frac{|m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} m_p(\cdot, z)}{m_p(z)^p}.$$

Since $\|m_p(\cdot, z)\|_p = m_p(z)$, we have $g_z \in L^q(\Omega)$ and

$$(2.3) \quad \|g_z\|_q = m_p(z)^{-1} = K_p(z)^{1/p} = \|L_z\|,$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Moreover, it follows from (1.2) that

$$(2.4) \quad L_z(f) = f(z) = \int_{\Omega} f \bar{g}_z, \quad \forall f \in A^p(\Omega).$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, $L_{z,j}$ can be extended to a continuous linear functional $\tilde{L}_{z,j} \in (L^p(\Omega))^*$ with $\|L_{z,j}\| = \|\tilde{L}_{z,j}\|$. Let $g_{z,j} \in L^q(\Omega)$ be the unique representation of $\tilde{L}_{z,j}$, so that $\|g_{z,j}\|_q = \|\tilde{L}_{z,j}\|$ and

$$(2.5) \quad L_{z,j}(f) = \tilde{L}_{z,j}(f) = \int_{\Omega} f \bar{g}_{z,j}, \quad \forall f \in A^p(\Omega).$$

From now on we assume that $t \in \mathbb{R}$. (2.4) together with (2.5) yield

$$(L_z + tL_{z,j})(f) = \int_{\Omega} f \overline{g_z + tg_{z,j}}, \quad \forall f \in A^p(\Omega),$$

so that

$$(2.6) \quad \|L_z + tL_{z,j}\| \leq \|g_z + tg_{z,j}\|_q.$$

Analogously, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \|L_{z+te_j} - tL_{z,j}\| \leq \|g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j}\|_q.$$

Use (2.1), (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} K_p(z + te_j)^{q/p} - K_p(z)^{q/p} &= \|L_{z+te_j}\|^q - \|L_z\|^q \\ &= \|L_z + tL_{z,j}\|^q - \|L_z\|^q + O(|t|^2) \\ &\leq \|g_z + tg_{z,j}\|_q^q - \|g_z\|_q^q + O(|t|^2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} K_p(z + te_j)^{q/p} - K_p(z)^{q/p} &= \|L_{z+te_j}\|^q - \|L_z\|^q \\ &= \|L_{z+te_j}\|^q - \|L_{z+te_j} - tL_{z,j}\|^q - O(|t|^2) \\ &\geq \|g_{z+te_j}\|_q^q - \|g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j}\|_q^q - O(|t|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us recall the following inequalities (cf. [9], (4.3) and (4.4)):

$$\begin{aligned} |b|^q &\geq |a|^q + q\operatorname{Re} \{ |a|^{q-2} \bar{a}(b-a) \} + A_q |b-a|^2 (|a| + |b|)^{q-2}, \quad (1 < q \leq 2), \\ |b|^q &\geq |a|^q + q\operatorname{Re} \{ |a|^{q-2} \bar{a}(b-a) \} + \frac{1}{4^{q+3}} |b-a|^q, \quad (q > 2), \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A_q := \frac{q}{2} \min\{1, q-1\}$. Choose $a = g_z + tg_{z,j}$ and $b = g_z$ in both cases and taking integration over Ω , we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g_z + tg_{z,j}\|_q^q - \|g_z\|_q^q &\leq qt \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} |g_z + tg_{z,j}|^{q-2} \overline{(g_z + tg_{z,j})} g_{z,j} \\ &=: qt \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} |g_z|^{q-2} \bar{g}_z g_{z,j} + qt \omega_1(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_1(t) := \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |g_z + tg_{z,j}|^{q-2} \overline{(g_z + tg_{z,j})} - |g_z|^{q-2} \bar{g}_z \right\} g_{z,j}.$$

Since

$$\left| |g_z + tg_{z,j}|^{q-2} \overline{(g_z + tg_{z,j})} g_{z,j} \right| = |g_z + tg_{z,j}|^{q-1} |g_{z,j}| \leq (|g_z| + |g_{z,j}|)^{q-1} |g_{z,j}| \in L^1(\Omega)$$

in view of Hölder's inequality when $|t| < 1$, we infer from the dominated convergence theorem that $\omega_1(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence (2.8) combined with (2.10) gives

$$(2.11) \quad K_p(z + te_j)^{q/p} - K_p(z)^{q/p} \leq qt \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} |g_z|^{q-2} \bar{g}_z g_{z,j} + o(t).$$

Analogously, we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g_{z+te_j}\|_q^q - \|g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j}\|_q^q &\geq qt \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} |g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j}|^{q-2} \overline{(g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j})} g_{z,j} \\ &= qt \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} |g_z|^{q-2} \overline{g_z} g_{z,j} + qt \omega_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_2(t) := \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j}|^{q-2} \overline{(g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j})} - |g_z|^{q-2} \overline{g_z} \right\} g_{z,j}.$$

For the sake of convenience, we set

$$h_t := |g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j}|^{q-2} \overline{(g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j})}, \quad h := |g_z|^{q-2} \overline{g_z}.$$

Since $m_p(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in (ζ, z) (cf. [9], Proposition 2.11), we see that $h_t \rightarrow h$ pointwisely as $t \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_t\|_p &= \|g_{z+te_j} - tg_{z,j}\|_q^{q/p} = \|g_{z+te_j}\|_q^{q/p} + O(|t|) \\ &= K_p(z + te_j)^{q/p^2} + O(|t|) \quad (\text{by (2.3)}) \\ &\rightarrow K_p(z)^{q/p^2} = \|h\|_p \quad (t \rightarrow 0), \end{aligned}$$

we infer from Lemma 2.1 that

$$|\omega_2(t)| \leq \|h_t - h\|_p \|g_{z,j}\|_q \rightarrow 0.$$

This together with (2.9) and (2.12) give

$$(2.13) \quad K_p(z + te_j)^{q/p} - K_p(z)^{q/p} \geq qt \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} |g_z|^{q-2} \overline{g_z} g_{z,j} + o(t).$$

By (2.11) and (2.13), we conclude that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\partial K_p^{q/p}}{\partial x_j}(z) = q \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} |g_z|^{q-2} \overline{g_z} g_{z,j}.$$

In particular, the partial derivative $\partial K_p / \partial x_j$ exists and

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{\partial K_p}{\partial x_j}(z) = \frac{p}{q} K_p(z)^{1-q/p} \frac{\partial K_p^{q/p}}{\partial x_j}(z) = \frac{p}{q} m_p(z)^{q-p} \frac{\partial K_p^{q/p}}{\partial x_j}(z).$$

Substitute (2.2), (2.5) and (2.14) into (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial K_p}{\partial x_j}(z) &= pm_p(z)^{q-p} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|m_p(\cdot, z)|^{(p-1)(q-2)+p-2}}{m_p(z)^{p(q-1)}} \overline{m_p(\cdot, z)} g_{z,j} \\ &= \frac{p}{m_p(z)^p} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} \overline{m_p(\cdot, z)} g_{z,j} \\ &= \frac{p}{m_p(z)^p} \operatorname{Re} L_{z,j}(m_p(\cdot, z)) \\ &= p \operatorname{Re} \left. \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z)}{\partial x_j} \right|_z, \end{aligned}$$

for $(p-1)(q-2) + p - 2 = 0$ and $p(q-1) = q$.

It remains to verify that

$$(2.16) \quad z \mapsto \partial K_p(\cdot, z)/\partial x_j|_z \in C^{1/2}(\Omega).$$

By Theorem 4.7 in [9], we know that for given domains $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$|K_p(w, z) - K_p(w, z')| \leq C|z - z'|^{1/2}, \quad w, z, z' \in \Omega'$$

for some constant $C = C(p, \Omega', \Omega) > 0$. Given two points $z, z' \in \Omega'$, the function

$$\widehat{h} := \frac{K_p(\cdot, z) - K_p(\cdot, z')}{|z - z'|^{1/2}}$$

is holomorphic on Ω and satisfies $\sup_{\Omega'} |\widehat{h}| \leq C$. Thus Cauchy's estimate yields that for each $z' \in \Omega'' \subset\subset \Omega'$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial \widehat{h}}{\partial x_j}(z') \right| \leq MC,$$

where the constant M depends only on Ω', Ω'' and Ω . On the other hand, since $\partial K_p(\cdot, z)/\partial x_j$ is a holomorphic function on Ω , we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z)}{\partial x_j} \Big|_z - \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z)}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{z'} \right| \leq C'|z - z'|,$$

where $C' = C'(\Omega'', \Omega) > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z)}{\partial x_j} \Big|_z - \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z')}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{z'} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z)}{\partial x_j} \Big|_z - \frac{\partial K_p(\cdot, z)}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{z'} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \widehat{h}}{\partial x_j}(z') \right| |z - z'|^{1/2} \\ &\leq C'|z - z'| + MC|z - z'|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (2.16) holds.

2.2. An application of Theorem 1.1. Recall that the *generalized complex Laplacian* of an upper semicontinuous function u on a domain in \mathbb{C} is given by

$$\square u(t) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(t + re^{i\theta}) d\theta - u(t) \right\}.$$

If u is an upper semicontinuous function on a domain in \mathbb{C}^n , then we define the *generalized Levi form* of u to be

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}u(z; X) := \square u(z + tX)|_{t=0},$$

where we identify $X = \sum X_j \partial/\partial z_j$ with (X_1, \dots, X_n) .

Proposition 2.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $F : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be a biholomorphic mapping between bounded simply-connected domains. Then*

$$i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_{\Omega_1, p}(z; X) = i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_{\Omega_2, p}(F(z); F_*X).$$

Given $0 < p < \infty$, define

$$\widehat{B}_p(z; X) = \widehat{B}_{\Omega, p}(z; X) := \sup_{\sigma} \{ \square \log K_p \circ \sigma(0) \},$$

where σ is taken over all holomorphic mappings from some disc $\mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ to Ω such that $\sigma(0) = z$ and $\sigma'(0) = X$. Clearly, it suffices to verify the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *For $1 < p < \infty$ we have*

$$i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_p(z; X) = \widehat{B}_p(z; X).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0 < p < \infty$. Let $F : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be a biholomorphic mapping between bounded simply-connected domains. Then*

$$(2.17) \quad \widehat{B}_{\Omega_1, p}(z; X) = \widehat{B}_{\Omega_2, p}(F(z); F_*X).$$

Moreover, (2.17) holds for arbitrary bounded domains whenever $2/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Fix a holomorphic mapping $\sigma : \mathbb{D}_r \rightarrow \Omega$ with $\sigma(0) = z$ and $\sigma'(0) = X$. Set

$$\tau(t) := \sigma(t) - (\sigma(0) + t\sigma'(0)) = \sigma(t) - (z + tX).$$

Clearly, τ is a holomorphic mapping from \mathbb{D}_r to Ω such that $|\tau(t)| = O(|t|^2)$. Since $K_p(\cdot)$ is C^1 in view of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log K_p \circ \sigma(re^{i\theta}) &= \log K_p(z + re^{i\theta}X + \tau(re^{i\theta})) \\ &= \log K_p(z + re^{i\theta}X) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \log K_p}{\partial \zeta_j}(z + re^{i\theta}X) \tau_j(re^{i\theta}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \log K_p}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(z + re^{i\theta}X) \overline{\tau_j(re^{i\theta})} + o(r^2) \\ &= \log K_p(z + re^{i\theta}X) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \log K_p}{\partial \zeta_j}(z) \tau_j(re^{i\theta}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \log K_p}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j}(z) \overline{\tau_j(re^{i\theta})} + o(r^2) \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_0^{2\pi} \tau_j(re^{i\theta}) d\theta = \tau_j(0) = 0$. It follows that

$$\square \log K_p(\sigma)(0) = i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_p(z; X).$$

Since σ can be arbitrarily chosen, we are done. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Given a holomorphic mapping $\sigma_1 : \mathbb{D}_r \rightarrow \Omega_1$ with $\sigma_1(0) = z$ and $\sigma_1'(0) = X$, define $\sigma_2 := F \circ \sigma_1$. Clearly, σ_2 is a holomorphic mapping from \mathbb{D}_r to Ω_2 satisfying $\sigma_2(0) = F(z)$ and $\sigma_2'(0) = F_*X$. By the transformation rule of K_p (cf. [9], Proposition 2.7), we have

$$\log K_{\Omega_1, p} \circ \sigma_1 = \log K_{\Omega_2, p} \circ \sigma_2 + \log |J_F|^2.$$

Since $\log |J_F|^2$ is pluriharmonic, we infer from the mean-value property that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log K_{\Omega_1, p} \circ \sigma_1(re^{i\theta}) d\theta - \log K_{\Omega_1, p} \circ \sigma_1(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log K_{\Omega_2, p} \circ \sigma_2(re^{i\theta}) d\theta - \log K_{\Omega_2, p} \circ \sigma_2(0), \end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion immediately follows. \square

3. THE CASE $1 \leq p \leq 2$

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first verify that $A_{p,z}^2(\Omega) \subset A^p(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. To see this, simply note that for any $f \in A_{p,z}^2(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f|^p &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|m_p(\cdot, z)|^{\frac{p}{2}(p-2)} |f|^p \right) |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{\frac{p}{2}(2-p)} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} |f|^2 \right)^{p/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p \right)^{1-p/2} \\ &= m_p(z)^{p(1-p/2)} \left(\int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} |f|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(3.1) \quad f(z) = \int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} \overline{K_p(\cdot, z)} f, \quad \forall f \in A_{p,z}^2(\Omega),$$

in view of (1.2). On the other hand, the reproducing formula for $A_{p,z}^2(\Omega)$ gives

$$(3.2) \quad f(z) = \int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} \overline{K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, z)} f, \quad \forall f \in A_{p,z}^2(\Omega).$$

Thus

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} \overline{(K_p(\cdot, z) - K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, z))} f = 0, \quad \forall f \in A_{p,z}^2(\Omega).$$

Since

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^2 = K_p(z)^2 \int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p = K_p(z),$$

we see that $K_p(\cdot, z) \in A_{p,z}^2(\Omega)$. Substitute $f := K_p(\cdot, z) - K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, z)$ into (3.3), we immediately get $f = 0$, i.e., (1.4) holds.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $A^2(\Omega, \varphi)$ and $K_{\Omega, \varphi}$ be given as § 1. We need the following L^2 boundary decay estimate for $K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, z)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudoconvex domain and ρ a negative continuous psh function on Ω . Set*

$$\Omega_t = \{\zeta \in \Omega : -\rho(\zeta) > t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Let $a > 0$ be given. For every $0 < r < 1$, there exist constants $\varepsilon_r, C_r > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{-\rho \leq \varepsilon} |K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, z)|^2 e^{-\varphi} \leq C_r K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(z) (\varepsilon/a)^r$$

for all $z \in \Omega_a$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_r a$.

Proposition 3.1 has been verified in [6] for the case $\varphi = 0$. Although the general case is the same, we still include a proof here for the sake of completeness.

Proof. Let $P_{\Omega, \varphi} : L^2(\Omega, \varphi) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega, \varphi)$ be the Bergman projection. Then we have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} |P_{\Omega, \varphi}(f)|^2 (-\rho)^{-r} e^{-\varphi} \leq C_r \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 (-\rho)^{-r} e^{-\varphi}$$

for any $0 < r < 1$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega, \varphi)$ (cf. [2]). Let χ_E denote the characteristic function of a set E . For $f := \chi_{\Omega_a} K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(\cdot, z)$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{\Omega, \varphi}(f)(\zeta) &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} \chi_{\Omega_a}(\cdot) K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(\cdot, z) \overline{K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, \zeta)}}{\int_{\Omega_a} K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, \zeta) \overline{K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(\cdot, z)}} \\ &= K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\zeta, z) \end{aligned}$$

in view of the reproducing property. By (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, z)|^2 (-\rho)^{-r} e^{-\varphi} &\leq C_r \int_{\Omega_a} |K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(\cdot, z)|^2 (-\rho)^{-r} e^{-\varphi} \\ &\leq C_r a^{-r} K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(z), \end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion immediately follows, for

$$\int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, z)|^2 (-\rho)^{-r} e^{-\varphi} \geq \varepsilon^{-r} \int_{-\rho \leq \varepsilon} |K_{\Omega, \varphi}(\cdot, z)|^2 e^{-\varphi}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (1) For any $0 < \alpha < \alpha(\Omega)$, we take a continuous negative plurisubharmonic function ρ on Ω such that $-\rho \leq C_{\alpha} \delta^{\alpha}$ for suitable constant $C_{\alpha} > 0$. By Theorem 1.2, we

have $K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, z) = K_p(z)m_p(\cdot, z)$. Apply Proposition 3.1 with $\varphi := (2-p)\log|m_p(\cdot, z)|$ and $a = -\rho(z)/2$, we obtain for $\varepsilon \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\rho \leq \varepsilon} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p &= K_p(z)^{-2} \int_{-\rho \leq \varepsilon} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p-2} |K_{2,p,z}(\cdot, z)|^2 \\ &\leq C_r \frac{K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(z)}{K_p(z)^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{-\rho(z)} \right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

Define $d(z) = d(z, \partial\Omega_a)$. Note that the mean-value inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} 1/|\Omega| &\leq K_p(z) \leq C_n \delta(z)^{-2n}, \\ \sup_{B(z, d(z))} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p &\leq C_n d(z)^{-2n} m_p(z)^p \leq C_n d(z)^{-2n} |\Omega|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_{\Omega_a, \varphi}(z) \leq C_n d(z)^{-2n} \sup_{B(z, d(z))} e^\varphi = C_n d(z)^{-2n} \left(\sup_{B(z, d(z))} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}-1}.$$

As $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\delta \leq \varepsilon} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p \lesssim \varepsilon^{r\alpha}$$

where the implicit constant depends only on n, r, α, z . This combined with the mean-value inequality gives

$$|m_p(\zeta, z)|^p \leq C_n \delta(\zeta)^{-2n} \int_{\delta \leq 2\delta(\zeta)} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p \lesssim \delta(\zeta)^{r\alpha-2n}, \quad \forall \zeta \in \Omega.$$

Let $\tau > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p+\tau} &\lesssim 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-k-1} < \delta \leq 2^{-k}} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^{p+\tau} \\ &\lesssim 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k\tau(2n-r\alpha)/p} \int_{\delta \leq 2^{-k}} |m_p(\cdot, z)|^p \\ &\lesssim 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k\tau(2n-r\alpha)/p - k r \alpha} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

provided $\tau(2n-r\alpha)/p < r\alpha$, i.e., $\tau < \frac{pr\alpha}{2n-r\alpha}$. Since r and α can be arbitrarily close to 1 and $\alpha(\Omega)$ respectively, we are done.

(2) Without loss of generality, we assume $s > p$. Since $|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{t}} \cdot K_t(z)^{\frac{1}{t}}$ is nonincreasing in t (cf. (6.3) in [9]), we see that

$$K_p(z) \geq |\Omega|^{\frac{p}{s}-1} \cdot K_s(z)^{\frac{p}{s}} = K_s(z) (|\Omega| K_s(z))^{\frac{p}{s}-1} \geq K_s(z) - C(s-p)$$

when s is sufficiently close to p , where C is a suitable constant depending only on z, Ω . On the other hand, we infer from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\delta \leq \varepsilon} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^s &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-k-1}\varepsilon < \delta \leq 2^{-k}\varepsilon} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^{p+s-p} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{-k}\varepsilon)^{-(s-p)(2n-r\alpha)/p} \int_{\delta \leq 2^{-k}\varepsilon} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^p \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{-k}\varepsilon)^{-(s-p)(2n-r\alpha)/p+r\alpha} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{\alpha(\Omega)/2}
\end{aligned}$$

provided that $1 - r$ and $s - p$ are sufficiently small. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^s &= \int_{\delta > \varepsilon} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^p |K_p(\cdot, z)|^{s-p} + \int_{\delta \leq \varepsilon} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^s \\
&\leq (C_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{p}})^{s-p} \int_{\Omega} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^p + C_2 \varepsilon^{\alpha(\Omega)/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take $\varepsilon = (s - p)^{2/\alpha(\Omega)}$. Since

$$(C_1 \varepsilon^{-\frac{2n}{p}})^{s-p} \leq 1 + C_3 (s - p) |\log(s - p)| \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^{\alpha(\Omega)/2} = s - p,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^s &\leq \int_{\Omega} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^p + C_4 (s - p) |\log(s - p)| \\
&= K_p(z)^{p-1} + C_4 (s - p) |\log(s - p)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
K_s(z) &\geq \frac{K_p(z)^s}{\int_{\Omega} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^s} \geq \frac{K_p(z)^p - C_5 (s - p)}{K_p(z)^{p-1} + C_4 (s - p) |\log(s - p)|} \\
&\geq K_p(z) - C_6 (s - p) |\log(s - p)|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. THE CASE $2 < p < \infty$

Let us first recall the following result on removable singularities.

Theorem 4.1 (cf. Hedberg [19]). *Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C} and E a compact set in D . Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $A^p(D \setminus E) = A^p(D)$ if and only if $C_q(E) = 0$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.*

Here $C_q(E)$ is the q -capacity of E defined by $C_q(E) := \inf_{\phi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\nabla \phi|^q$, where the infimum is taken over all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\phi \geq 1$ on E .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let E be a compact set in \mathbb{C} satisfying $C_q(E) > 0$ and $C_{q'}(E) = 0$ for all $q' < q$. Then there exists $R_0 \gg 1$ such that the following properties hold for all $R \geq R_0$:*

- (1) *Given $p' > p$, there exists a point $z \in \mathbb{D}_R \setminus E$ so that $K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, p}(\cdot, z) \notin L^{p'}(\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E)$;*
- (2) *There exists a point $z \in \mathbb{D}_R \setminus E$ such that $K_s(z)$ is not continuous at $s = p$.*

Proof. Since $A^p(\mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$ for $1 < p < \infty$, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $A^p(\mathbb{C} \setminus E) \neq \{0\}$, which implies $K_{\mathbb{C} \setminus E, p}(z_0) > 0$ for some point z_0 . For the sake of simplicity, we assume $z_0 = 0$. Take R_0 so that $E \subset \mathbb{D}_{R_0}$ and $K_{\mathbb{C} \setminus E, p}(0) > \frac{1}{\pi R_0^2}$. Let $R \geq R_0$ be given. Set $f_0 := K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, p}(\cdot, 0)$. We claim that $f_0 \notin L^{p'}(\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E)$ for any $p' > p$. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that $f_0 \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E)$ for some $p' > p$. Since $C_{q'}(E) = 0$ where $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that f_0 can be extended holomorphically across E , so that $f_0 \in A^p(\mathbb{D}_R)$. By the mean-value inequality, we have

$$K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, p}(0)^p = |f_0(0)|^p \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_R} |f_0|^p = \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E} |f_0|^p = \frac{1}{\pi R^2} K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, p}(0)^{p-1},$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{\pi R_0^2} \geq \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \geq K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, p}(0) \geq K_{\mathbb{C} \setminus E, p}(0),$$

which is absurd.

For $s > p$, we have

$$K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, s}(0) = K_{\mathbb{D}_R, s}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \leq \frac{1}{\pi R_0^2} < K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, p}(0).$$

In particular, $K_{\mathbb{D}_R \setminus E, s}(0)$ is not continuous at $s = p$. □

Recall that a compact subset $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is uniformly perfect (in the sense of Pomerenke [29]) if there exists constants $c > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$E \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : cr \leq |z - a| \leq r\} \neq \emptyset$$

for all $a \in E$ and $0 < r < r_0$. It is known that for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, one has $\alpha(\Omega) > 0$ when $\partial\Omega$ is uniformly perfect (cf. [5], Theorem 1.7).

Proposition 4.3. *For each $1 < q < 2$, there exists a uniformly perfect compact set E in \mathbb{C} such that $C_q(E) > 0$ and $C_{q'}(E) = 0$ for all $q' < q$.*

Proof. Let us recall a construction due to Lindqvist [24] of a compact set E with $C_q(E) > 0$ and $C_{q'}(E) = 0, \forall q' < q$. Given a sequence $\{l_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers with $l_{j+1} < l_j/2$, set $\mathcal{C}_0 = [0, l_0]$ and define \mathcal{C}_j to be a union of 2^j closed intervals inductively, such that \mathcal{C}_j is obtained from \mathcal{C}_{j-1} with an open interval of length $l_{j-1} - 2l_j$ deleted in the center of each 2^{j-1} closed interval in \mathcal{C}_{j-1} . For example, $\mathcal{C}_1 = [0, l_1] \cup [l_0 - l_1, l_0]$, $\mathcal{C}_2 = [0, l_2] \cup [l_1 - l_2, l_1] \cup [l_0 - l_1, l_0 - l_1 + l_2] \cup [l_0 - l_2, l_0]$, etc. Write

$$\mathcal{C}_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^j} I_{j,k},$$

where every $I_{j,k}$ is a closed interval of length l_j , lying on the left of $I_{j,k+1}$. The Cantor-type set

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_j$$

is what we are looking for.

Now take $l_0 = 1$, $0 < l_1 < 1/2$, and

$$l_j = \frac{j^{\frac{q}{2-q}}}{2^{j\frac{2}{2-q}}}, \quad j \geq 2.$$

Since

$$\frac{l_{j+1}}{l_j} = \left(\frac{j+1}{j}\right)^{\frac{q}{2-q}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}},$$

it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} < \frac{l_{j+1}}{l_j} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad j \geq 2.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right)^2 < l_2 = 2^{-\frac{4-q}{2-q}} < \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2,$$

we may choose $l_1 = l_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ so that (4.1) remains valid for all $j \geq 0$. Thus we obtain a Cantor-type set \mathcal{C} in \mathbb{R} . By Lemma 7.1 of [24], we know that the compact set

$$E := \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$$

satisfies $C_q(E) > 0$ and $C_{q'}(E) = 0$ for $q' < q$.

It remains to verify the uniformly perfectness of E . By the definition of E , this is equivalent to find constants $c > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$, such that

$$\mathcal{C} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} : cr < |x - a| < r\} \neq \emptyset$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$ and $0 < r < r_0$. For $0 < r < r_0$, there exists an integer j with $l_{j+1} < r/2 < l_j$. By choosing $r_0 < 2l_2$, we may assume $j \geq 2$. Given j and $a \in \mathcal{C}$, there is an integer k depending on a and j such that $a \in I_{j+1,k}$. We claim that

$$(4.2) \quad I_{j+1,k} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} : cr < |x - a| < r\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Indeed, suppose on the contrary that $I_{j+1,k} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} : cr < |x - a| < r\} = \emptyset$. Since $a \in I_{j+1,k}$, we must have $I_{j+1,k} \subset [a - cr, a + cr]$. Take c so that

$$0 < c < \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

This together with (4.1) imply that

$$2cr < 4cl_j < 4 \cdot 2^{\frac{2}{2-q}} cl_{j+1} < \frac{2}{3} l_{j+1},$$

i.e., the length of $[a - cr, a + cr]$ is less than the length of $I_{j+1,k}$, which is a contradiction.

In view of (4.2), we see that if $\mathcal{C} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} : cr < |x - a| < r\} = \emptyset$, then

$$(I_{j+1,k} \setminus \mathcal{C}) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R} : cr < |x - a| < r\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus either $(a - r, a - cr)$ or $(a + cr, a + r)$ must be contained in a connected component of $I_{j+1,k} \setminus \mathcal{C}$. By the definition of \mathcal{C} , such a connected component is an open interval of length $l_m - 2l_{m+1}$ for $m \geq j + 1$. In particular, the length is no more than $l_m \leq l_{j+1}$. On the other hand, the length of $(a - r, a - cr)$ or $(a + cr, a + r)$ equals to $(1 - c)r$, which is no less than $r/2 > l_{j+1}$ since $0 < c < 1/2$. This leads to a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.5. The conclusion follows directly from Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.2. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.3. By Proposition 4.3, we have a uniformly perfect compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $C_q(E) = 0$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Take $R > 0$ such that $E \subset \mathbb{D}_R$. Set $\Omega := \mathbb{D}_R \setminus E$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume $R = 1$. By Theorem 4.1, we conclude that for $\zeta, z \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\Omega,p}(\zeta, z) &= m_{\mathbb{D},p}(\zeta, z) = \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - \bar{z}\zeta} \right)^{4/p} \\ K_{\Omega,p}(\zeta, z) &= K_{\mathbb{D},p}(\zeta, z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - \bar{z}\zeta} \right)^{4/p} (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

in view of [9], Proposition 2.9. Fix a domain U such that $E \subset U \subset\subset \mathbb{D}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for $z \in U \setminus E$ and $\zeta \in \Omega$,

$$C^{-1} \leq |m_{\Omega,p}(\zeta, z)| \leq C, \quad C^{-1} \leq |K_{\Omega,p}(\zeta, z)| \leq C,$$

and consequently,

$$C^{-1}K_{\Omega,2}(z) \leq K_{\Omega,2,p,z}(z) \leq CK_{\Omega,2}(z).$$

Since Ω is hyperconvex, so $K_{\Omega,2}(z)$ is an exhaustion function on Ω in view of Ohsawa [27]. Thus $\lim_{z \rightarrow E} K_{\Omega,2,p,z}(z) = +\infty$ while $\limsup_{z \rightarrow E} K_{\Omega,p}(z) < +\infty$, from which the assertion immediately follows. \square

Another consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 is the following

Proposition 4.4. *For each $2 < p < \infty$, there exists a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha(\Omega) > 0$ such that $K_p(z)$ is not an exhaustion function on Ω .*

5. CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF $B_p(z; X)$

Let $B_p(z; X)$ be the p -Bergman metric on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. The holomorphic sectional curvature of the Finsler metric $B_p(z; X)$ is given by

$$\text{HSC}_p(z; X) := \sup_{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{\square \log B_p^2(\sigma; \sigma')(0)}{-B_p^2(z; X)^2} \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all holomorphic mappings $\sigma : \mathbb{D}_r \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\sigma(0) = z$ and $\sigma'(0) = X$. Recall from [9] that $B_p(z; X) = K_p(z)^{-1/p} \mathcal{M}_p(z; X)$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_p(z; X) = \sup \{ |Xf(z)| : f \in A^p(\Omega), f(z) = 0, \|f\|_p = 1 \},$$

$Xf := \sum_j X_j \partial f / \partial z_j$. Note that $\mathcal{M}_p(z; X) = 1/m_p(z; X)$, where

$$m_p(z; X) = \inf \{ \|f\|_p : f \in A^p(\Omega), f(z) = 0, Xf(z) = 1 \}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HSC}_p(z; X) &\leq \sup_{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{\square \log K_p \circ \sigma(0)}{B_p(z; X)^2} \right\} + \sup_{\sigma} \left\{ -\frac{\square \log \mathcal{M}_p^2(\sigma; \sigma')(0)}{B_p(z; X)^2} \right\} \\ (5.1) \quad &= \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{i\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_p(z; X)}{B_p(z; X)^2} + \sup_{\sigma} \left\{ -\frac{\square \log \mathcal{M}_p^2(\sigma; \sigma')(0)}{B_p(z; X)^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

in view of Lemma 2.3. Fix σ for a moment. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} &\square \log \mathcal{M}_p^2(\sigma; \sigma')(0) \\ &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{r^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \mathcal{M}_p^2(\sigma; \sigma')(re^{i\theta}) d\theta - \log \mathcal{M}_p^2(z; X) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $f_0, f_1 \in A^p(\Omega)$ such that

- (1) $f_0(z) = 1$ and $\|f_0\|_p = m_p(z)$;
- (2) $f_1(z) = 0$, $Xf_1(z) = 1$ and $\|f_1\|_p = m_p(z; X)$;

Fix z, r, θ for a moment. Consider the following family of holomorphic functions:

$$\tilde{f}_t = f_1 + tf_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now fix

$$t := -\frac{f_1(\sigma(re^{i\theta}))}{f_0(\sigma(re^{i\theta}))} = -re^{i\theta} + O(r^2).$$

Then we have $\tilde{f}_t(\sigma(re^{i\theta})) = 0$. For $g \in C^1(\Omega)$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$, we define

$$\partial_{\sigma} g(re^{i\theta}) := \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma'_j(re^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \zeta_j}(\sigma(re^{i\theta})).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\sigma} \tilde{f}_t(re^{i\theta})|^2 &= |\partial_{\sigma} f_1(re^{i\theta})|^2 + |t|^2 |\partial_{\sigma} f_0(re^{i\theta})|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re} \left\{ \partial_{\sigma} f_1(re^{i\theta}) \overline{t \partial_{\sigma} f_0(re^{i\theta})} \right\}; \\ |\partial_{\sigma} f_1(re^{i\theta})|^2 &= |1 + a_1 re^{i\theta} + c(re^{i\theta})^2 + O(r^3)|^2 \\ &= 1 + |a_1|^2 r^2 + 2\text{Re} \{ a_1 re^{i\theta} + c(re^{i\theta})^2 \} + O(r^3); \\ |t|^2 |\partial_{\sigma} f_0(re^{i\theta})|^2 &= |Xf_0(z)|^2 r^2 + O(r^3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \partial_\sigma f_1(re^{i\theta}) \overline{t \partial_\sigma f_0(re^{i\theta})} \right\} \\
= & 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 + a_1 re^{i\theta}) \overline{t (Xf_0(z) + a_0 re^{i\theta})} \right\} + O(r^3) \\
= & -2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ a_1 \overline{Xf_0(z)} \right\} r^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ t (Xf_0(z) + a_0 re^{i\theta}) \right\} + O(r^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Here and in what follows a_j, b_j, c_j, c are complex numbers. Thus

$$(5.2) \quad |\partial_\sigma \tilde{f}_t(re^{i\theta})|^2 \geq 1 + \Phi(r, \theta) + |Xf_0(z) - a_1|^2 r^2 + O(r^3),$$

where

$$\Phi(r, \theta) = 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ a_1 re^{i\theta} + c(re^{i\theta})^2 + t (Xf_0(z) + a_0 re^{i\theta}) \right\}.$$

Since

$$t = -\frac{re^{i\theta} + c_1(re^{i\theta})^2 + O(r^3)}{1 + b_1 re^{i\theta} + O(r^2)} = -re^{i\theta} + (b_1 - c_1)(re^{i\theta})^2 + O(r^3),$$

it follows that

$$\Phi(r, \theta) = 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (a_1 - Xf_0(z)) re^{i\theta} \right\} + 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (c + (b_1 - c_1)Xf_0(z) - a_0) (re^{i\theta})^2 \right\} + O(r^3).$$

Thus

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(r, \theta) d\theta = O(r^3),$$

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(r, \theta)^2 d\theta = 2|Xf_0(z) - a_1|^2 r^2 + O(r^3).$$

Use the expansion $\log(1+x) = x - x^2/2 + O(|x|^3)$, we infer from (5.2) \sim (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |\partial_\sigma \tilde{f}_t(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\
& \geq |Xf_0(z) - a_1|^2 r^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(r, \theta) d\theta \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(r, \theta)^2 d\theta + O(r^3). \\
(5.5) \quad & = O(r^3)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$J(t) := \|\tilde{f}_t\|_p^p = J(0) + 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}(0)t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial t^2}(0)t^2 \right\} + \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}(0)|t|^2 + o(r^2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial J}{\partial t}(0) &= \frac{p}{2} \int_{\Omega} |f_1|^{p-2} \overline{f_1} f_0, \\ \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial t^2}(0) &= \frac{p(p-2)}{4} \int_{\Omega} |f_1|^{p-4} \overline{f_1}^2 f_0^2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial t \partial \bar{t}}(0) &= \frac{p^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} |f_1|^{p-2} |f_0|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_1|^{p-2} |f_0|^2 \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_1|^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_0|^p \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} = m_p(z; X)^{p-2} m_p(z)^2$$

in view of Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \|\tilde{f}_t\|_p^p \leq m_p(z; X)^p + \Psi(r, \theta) + \frac{p^2}{4} m_p(z; X)^{p-2} m_p(z)^2 r^2 + o(r^2),$$

where

$$\Psi(r, \theta) = 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}(0)t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial t^2}(0)t^2 \right\}$$

satisfies

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(r, \theta) d\theta = 0$$

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(r, \theta)^2 d\theta = 2 \left| \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}(0) \right|^2 r^2 + O(r^3) \geq O(r^3).$$

Use again the expansion $\log(1+x) = x - x^2/2 + O(|x|^3)$, we infer from (5.6) ~ (5.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}_t\|_p^2 d\theta &\leq \log m_p(z; X)^2 + \frac{pr^2}{2} \frac{m_p(z)^2}{m_p(z; X)^2} + \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Psi(r, \theta)}{m_p(z; X)^p} d\theta \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Psi(r, \theta)^2}{m_p(z; X)^{2p}} d\theta + o(r^2) \\ (5.9) \quad &\leq \log m_p(z; X)^2 + \frac{pr^2}{2} \frac{m_p(z)^2}{m_p(z; X)^2} + o(r^2).\end{aligned}$$

Use \tilde{f}_t as the test mapping, we infer from (5.5) and (5.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \mathcal{M}_p^2(\sigma; \sigma')(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \\ &\geq \log \frac{1}{m_p(z; X)^2} - \frac{p}{2} \frac{m_p(z)^2}{m_p(z; X)^2} r^2 + o(r^2).\end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.1) yield (1.5). □

6. BERGMAN MEETS HARDY

Throughout this section, Ω is always a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary. Let ρ be a C^2 defining function on Ω . Following Stein [31], we define the Hardy space $H^p(\Omega)$ to be the set of $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^p := \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\rho = -\varepsilon} |f|^p dS_\varepsilon < \infty.$$

It is known that the set $H^p(\Omega)$ is independent of the choice of defining functions. In what follows, we fix $\rho = -\delta$, where δ is the boundary distance.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, define $\Omega_\varepsilon := \{z \in \Omega : \delta(z) > \varepsilon\}$ and $M_p(\varepsilon, f) := \|f\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega_\varepsilon)}$. Let π_ε be the normal projection from $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$ to $\partial\Omega$. Without loss of generality, we assume that π_ε is well-defined for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. It is easy to see that

$$M_p(\varepsilon, f) = \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |f \circ \pi_\varepsilon^{-1}|^p |\det(\pi_\varepsilon^{-1})'| dS \right)^{1/p} \asymp \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |f \circ \pi_\varepsilon^{-1}|^p dS \right)^{1/p} =: \widetilde{M}_p(\varepsilon, f).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Step 1. Let $-1 < b < \infty$. Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad \int_0^1 \varepsilon^b \widetilde{M}_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{b+1} \int_0^1 \widetilde{M}_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon^{b+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{b+1} \widetilde{M}_q(1, f)^q - \frac{1}{b+1} \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{b+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \widetilde{M}_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \widetilde{M}_q(\varepsilon, f)^q \right| &= \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \int_{\partial\Omega} |f \circ \pi_\varepsilon^{-1}|^q dS \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} |f \circ \pi_\varepsilon^{-1}|^q \right| dS \\ &\lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega} |f \circ \pi_\varepsilon^{-1}|^{q-1} |\nabla f \circ \pi_\varepsilon^{-1}| dS \\ &\lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{q-1} |\nabla f| dS_\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{b+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \widetilde{M}_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon \right| &\lesssim \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{b+1} \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{q-1} |\nabla f| dS_\varepsilon d\varepsilon \\ &\lesssim \text{small const.} \int_0^1 \varepsilon^b M_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \text{large const.} \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{b+2} \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{q-2} |\nabla f|^2 dS_\varepsilon d\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

in view of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Since $\widetilde{M}_q(\varepsilon, f) \asymp M_q(\varepsilon, f)$, it follows from (6.1) that

$$(6.2) \quad \int_0^1 \varepsilon^b M_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon \lesssim M_q(1, f)^q + \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{b+2} \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{q-2} |\nabla f|^2 dS_\varepsilon d\varepsilon.$$

Step 2. Let $q > p$. Apply the mean-value inequality on suitable polydisc with center z , we obtain

$$(6.3) \quad |f(z)|^p \lesssim \varepsilon^{-n-1} \int_{\varepsilon/2 \leq \delta \leq 2\varepsilon} |f|^p \lesssim \varepsilon^{-n} \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^p,$$

that is

$$(6.4) \quad |f(z)| \lesssim \varepsilon^{-n/p} \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}.$$

Step 3. Let $0 < p < q$. By (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{q-2} |\nabla f|^2 dS_\varepsilon &= \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{q-p} |f|^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 dS_\varepsilon \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{-n(\frac{q}{p}-1)} \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^{q-p} \cdot \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 dS_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (6.2) yield

$$\int_0^1 \varepsilon^b M_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon \lesssim M_q(1, f)^q + \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^{q-p} \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{b+2-n(\frac{q}{p}-1)} \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 dS_\varepsilon d\varepsilon.$$

Take $b = 0$ and $q = p(1 + \frac{1}{n})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |f|^q &= \int_{\Omega_1} |f|^q + \int_0^1 M_q(\varepsilon, f)^q d\varepsilon \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_1} |f|^q + M_q(1, f)^q + \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^{q-p} \int_0^1 \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 dS_\varepsilon d\varepsilon \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_1} |f|^q + M_q(1, f)^q + \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^{q-p} \int_\Omega \delta |f|^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 \\ (6.5) \quad &\lesssim \int_{\Omega_1} |f|^q + M_q(1, f)^q + \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^q, \end{aligned}$$

in view of Proposition 6.1 given below.

To get (1.6), it suffices to show that the identity mapping $I : H^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A^q(\Omega)$ is a continuous linear functional. To see this, take any $\{f_\nu\} \subset H^p(\Omega)$ with $f_\nu \rightarrow f_0$ in $H^p(\Omega)$. By the Bergman inequality, we see that f_ν converges uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}_1$ to f_0 . It follows immediately from (6.5) that $\|f_\nu - f_0\|_{A^q(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proposition 6.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary in \mathbb{C}^n . Then*

$$(6.6) \quad \int_\Omega \delta |f|^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p(\Omega)}^p, \quad \forall f \in H^p(\Omega).$$

Proof. The argument is standard. First of all, it is fairly easy to construct a subharmonic defining function ρ on Ω (see e.g., [8], Lemma 2.1). Let φ be a C^2 subharmonic function on Ω . Green's theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\rho < -\varepsilon} (-\rho - \varepsilon)(\Delta\varphi + |\nabla\varphi|^2)e^\varphi &= \int_{\rho < -\varepsilon} (-\rho - \varepsilon)\Delta e^\varphi \\ &= - \int_{\rho < -\varepsilon} \Delta\rho e^\varphi + \int_{\rho = -\varepsilon} \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\nu_\varepsilon} e^\varphi dS_\varepsilon \\ &\lesssim \int_{\rho = -\varepsilon} e^\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Take first $\varphi = \frac{\rho}{2} \log(|f|^2 + \tau)$ then let $\tau \rightarrow 0+$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, we immediately obtain (6.6). \square

7. THE p -SCHWARZ CONTENT AND ITS APPLICATIONS

7.1. Basic properties and upper bounds. We first list a few trivial properties of s_p for $0 < p < \infty$ as follows:

- (a) $s_p(E, \Omega) \leq 1$ and $s_p(\Omega, \Omega) = 1$;
- (b) $E_1 \subset E_2$ implies $s_p(E_1, \Omega) \leq s_p(E_2, \Omega)$, and $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ implies $s_p(E, \Omega_1) \geq s_p(E, \Omega_2)$;
- (c) **Subadditivity:** $s_p(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j, \Omega) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_p(E_j, \Omega)$;
- (e) $s_p(E, \Omega) \geq |E|/|\Omega|$, where $|\cdot|$ stands for the volume;
- (f) $s_p(E, \Omega) \leq C_n |E|/d^{2n}$ where $d = d(E, \partial\Omega)$; this follows from the Bergman inequality: $|f(z)|^p \leq C_n \delta(z)^{-2n} \int_{\Omega} |f|^p$ for $f \in A^p(\Omega)$.

Example. $s_p(\mathbb{D}_r, \mathbb{D}) = r^2$.

To see this, first note that $s_p(\mathbb{D}_r, \mathbb{D}) \geq |\mathbb{D}_r|/|\mathbb{D}| = r^2$; on the other side, since $M_p(r, f)^p := \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$ is non-decreasing in r , it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_r} |f|^p = \int_0^r t M_p(t, f)^p dt \leq \frac{r^2}{2} M_p(r, f)^p \leq \frac{r^2}{1-r^2} \int_r^1 t M_p(t, f)^p dt = \frac{r^2}{1-r^2} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r} |f|^p,$$

i.e., $\int_{\mathbb{D}_r} |f|^p \leq r^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p$, so that $s_p(\mathbb{D}_r, \mathbb{D}) \leq r^2$.

Proposition 7.1. *If Ω is a simply-connected domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic injective mapping, then*

$$s_p(E, \Omega) = s_p(F(E), F(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, the simply-connected condition can be removed when $p = 2/m$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof. Set $E' = F(E)$ and $\Omega' = F(\Omega)$. Then we have

$$f' \in A^p(\Omega') \iff f := f' \circ F \cdot J_F^{2/p} \in A^p(\Omega),$$

so that

$$\frac{\int_{E'} |f'|^p}{\int_{\Omega'} |f'|^p} = \frac{\int_E |f|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p} \leq s_p(E, \Omega).$$

Take supremum over $f' \in A^p(\Omega')$, we have $s_p(E', \Omega') \leq s_p(E, \Omega)$. Consider F^{-1} instead of F , we obtain the reverse inequality. \square

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ be two bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n . Then we have*

$$\frac{K_{\Omega,p}(z)}{K_{\Omega',p}(z)} \leq s_p(\Omega', \Omega), \quad \forall z \in \Omega'.$$

Proof. For every $z \in \Omega'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\Omega,p}(z) &= \sup_{f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^p}{\int_{\Omega'} |f|^p} \cdot \frac{\int_{\Omega'} |f|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p} \right\} \\ &\leq s_p(\Omega', \Omega) \sup_{f \in A^p(\Omega') \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^p}{\int_{\Omega'} |f|^p} \right\} \\ &= s_p(\Omega', \Omega) K_{\Omega',p}(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 7.3. *Let $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ be bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n with $n \geq 2$, such that $\Omega \setminus \Omega'$ is compact in Ω . Then $s_p(\Omega', \Omega) = 1$ for all $0 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. Since Ω is bounded, there exists at least one peak point z_0 on $\partial\Omega$, i.e., there is $h \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $|h| < 1$, $|h(z)| \rightarrow 1$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$ and $\sup_{\Omega \setminus B(z_0, r)} |h| < 1$ for all $r > 0$; for instance, one may take z_0 to be a point on $\partial\Omega$ which is the farthest to the origin. Since $s_p(\Omega', \Omega) \leq 1$, it suffices to verify that

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{K_{\Omega,p}(z)}{K_{\Omega',p}(z)} = 1$$

in view of Proposition 7.2. To see this, we take for any given $z \in \Omega'$ a function $f_z \in A^p(\Omega')$ with $|f_z(z)|^p = K_{\Omega',p}(z)$ and $\int_{\Omega'} |f_z|^p = 1$. By the Hartogs extension theorem, we see that $f_z \in A^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, it is easy to see from the maximum principle and the Bergman inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} |f_z|^p \leq C \int_{\Omega'} |f_z|^p = C$$

where $C = C(\Omega', \Omega) > 0$. Given $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $z \in \Omega$, define $g_{m,z} := h^m f_z$. Clearly, $g_{m,z}$ is holomorphic on Ω and satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |g_{m,z}|^p = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} |g_{m,z}|^p + \int_{\Omega'} |g_{m,z}|^p \leq C \left(\sup_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} |h| \right)^m + 1 < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

provided $m \geq m_\varepsilon \gg 1$. Thus

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{K_{\Omega,p}(z)}{K_{\Omega',p}(z)} \geq \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{1}{K_{\Omega',p}(z)} \frac{|g_{m_\varepsilon, z}(z)|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |g_{m_\varepsilon, z}|^p} \geq \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{|h(z)|^{m_\varepsilon}}{1 + \varepsilon} = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon}.$$

This together with the trivial inequality $K_{\Omega,p}(z) \leq K_{\Omega',p}(z)$ yield (7.1).

□

Below we give an example of a pair $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n such that $\partial\Omega'$ intersects $\partial\Omega$ at two points while $s_p(\Omega', \Omega) = 1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U &:= \{(z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z_n > |z'|^2\} \\ U' &:= \{(z', z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z_n > |z'|^2 + |z'|^4\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Cayley transformation maps U and U' biholomorphically to the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n and a domain $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ with $\partial\Omega' \cap \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ consisting of two points respectively. In particular, both $K_{U,p}(z)$ and $K_{U',p}(z)$ are positive for each $z \in U'$, in view of the transformation formula for K_p (cf. [9], Proposition 2.7, which naturally generalizes to unbounded cases). Clearly, $F_\varepsilon : (z', z_n) \mapsto (z'/\sqrt{\varepsilon}, z_n/\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is an automorphism of U and we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{U,p}((0', \varepsilon i)) &= K_{U,p}((0', i)) |J_{F_\varepsilon}(0', \varepsilon i)|^2 \\ K_{U',p}((0', \varepsilon i)) &= K_{F_\varepsilon(U'),p}((0', i)) |J_{F_\varepsilon}(0', \varepsilon i)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $F_\varepsilon(U') = \{(z', z_n) : \text{Im } z_n > |z'|^2 + \varepsilon|z'|^4\} \uparrow U$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, a standard normal family argument yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} K_{F_\varepsilon(U'),p}((0', i)) = K_{U,p}((0', i)),$$

so that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K_{U,p}((0', \varepsilon i))}{K_{U',p}((0', \varepsilon i))} = 1.$$

Thus we have $\sup_{z \in \Omega'} \frac{K_{\mathbb{B}^n,p}(z)}{K_{\Omega',p}(z)} \geq 1$, so that $s_p(\Omega', \mathbb{B}^n) \geq 1$ in view of Proposition 7.2. Since $s_p(\Omega', \mathbb{B}^n) \leq 1$, we obtain $s_p(\Omega', \mathbb{B}^n) = 1$.

Proof of Proposition 1.9. By Banach's open mapping theorem, the identity mapping

$$I : A^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A^p(\Omega'), \quad f \mapsto f|_{\Omega'},$$

is a continuous isomorphism, which satisfies $\|I\| \leq 1$ and

$$\|I^{-1}\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega')}} : f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

It follows from the definition of the Banach-Mazur distance that

$$d_{\text{BM}}(A^p(\Omega'), A^p(\Omega)) \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega')}} : f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^p = \int_{\Omega'} |f|^p + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} |f|^p \leq \int_{\Omega'} |f|^p + s_p(\Omega \setminus \Omega', \Omega) \int_{\Omega} |f|^p,$$

we immediately get (1.8). \square

Proposition 7.4. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and E a measurable relatively compact subset in Ω . Then for any $0 < p < \infty$,*

$$(7.2) \quad s_p(E, \Omega) \leq \frac{136/\lambda_1(\Omega)}{136/\lambda_1(\Omega) + d^2}$$

where $d := d(E, \partial\Omega)$ and $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ denotes the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on Ω , i.e. ,

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla\phi|^2}{\int_{\Omega} \phi^2} : \phi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

It follows from Sobolev's inequality that if $n > 1$ then $\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq C_n^{-1} |\Omega|^{-1/n}$ holds for some constant $C_n > 0$ depending only on n . Thus

Corollary 7.5. *For $n > 1$ there exists a constant $C_n > 0$ such that*

$$(7.3) \quad s_p(E, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_n |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{C_n |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{n}} + d^2}.$$

Let us recall the following well-known inequality:

Proposition 7.6 (Caccioppoli inequality). *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and ψ a nonnegative subharmonic function on Ω . Then*

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 |\nabla\psi|^2 \leq 4 \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 |\nabla\phi|^2, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

Proof of Proposition 7.4. Take a cut-off function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\chi|_{(-\infty, 1/2]} = 0$, $\chi|_{[1, \infty)} = 1$ and $\sup |\chi'| \leq 2$. Given $f \in A^p(\Omega)$, set $\phi := \chi(\delta/d) |f|^{p/2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\Omega) \int_E |f|^p &\leq \lambda_1(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\phi|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8}{d^2} \int_{d/2 \leq \delta \leq d} |f|^p + 2 \int_{\delta \geq d/2} |\nabla |f|^{p/2}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f|^{p/2}$ is (pluri)subharmonic on Ω , it follows from (7.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta \geq d/2} |\nabla |f|^{p/2}|^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \chi(2\delta/d)^2 |\nabla |f|^{p/2}|^2 \leq 4 \int_{\Omega} |f|^p |\nabla \chi(2\delta/d)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{64}{d^2} \int_{d/4 \leq \delta \leq d/2} |f|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\Omega) \int_E |f|^p &\leq \frac{8}{d^2} \int_{d/2 \leq \delta \leq d} |f|^p + \frac{128}{d^2} \int_{d/4 \leq \delta \leq d/2} |f|^p \\ &\leq \frac{128}{d^2} \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |f|^p, \end{aligned}$$

from which (7.2) immediately follows. \square

Proposition 7.7. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with $n \geq 2$ and E a measurable relatively compact subset in Ω . Then for any $1 < p < \infty$,*

$$(7.5) \quad s_p(E, \Omega) \leq \frac{C_{n,p}(\Omega)}{C_{n,p}(\Omega) + d^{p/\bar{p}}}$$

where \tilde{p} is for the largest integer smaller than p , and

$$C_{n,p}(\Omega) = \left(\frac{C_n |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2n}}}{p/\tilde{p} - 1} \right)^{p/\tilde{p}}.$$

Since $p/\tilde{p} \rightarrow 1+$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, it is natural to ask

Problem 2. Does there exist for every $\alpha > 1$ a constant $C = C(n, p, \alpha, \Omega) > 0$ such that

$$s_p(E, \Omega) \leq \frac{C}{C + d^\alpha}?$$

To prove Proposition 7.7, we need the following well-known consequence of the L^p -mapping property of the Beurling-Ahlfors operator.

Theorem 7.8 (cf. [1]). *There exists a numerical constant $C_0 \geq 1$ such that*

$$\|\bar{\partial}\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C})} \leq C_0(p^* - 1)\|\partial\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C})}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $1 < p < \infty$ and $p^* = \max\{p, \frac{1}{p-1}\}$.

Proposition 7.9. *Set $C_p^* = C_0(p^* - 1)$. Then for any $1 \leq j \leq n$,*

$$(7.6) \quad \|\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)} \leq C_p^* \|\partial\phi/\partial z_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Proof. Since $\phi(\cdot, z') \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C})$ for given $z' := (z_2, \dots, z_n)$, it follows from Theorem 7.8 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_1(\cdot, z')|^p \leq (C_p^*)^p \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\partial\phi/\partial z_1(\cdot, z')|^p,$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_1|^p \leq (C_p^*)^p \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial\phi/\partial z_1|^p.$$

Analogously, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j|^p \leq (C_p^*)^p \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\partial\phi/\partial z_j|^p, \quad j \geq 2.$$

□

Replace ϕ by $\bar{\phi}$ in (7.6), we have

$$(7.7) \quad \|\partial\phi/\partial z_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)} \leq C_p^* \|\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n, \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

With $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, we have

$$\partial\phi/\partial x_j = \partial\phi/\partial z_j + \partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j, \quad \partial\phi/\partial y_j = i\partial\phi/\partial z_j - i\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j.$$

Thus the Minkowski inequality gives

$$(7.8) \quad \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)} \leq 2(C_p^* + 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Recall the following Sobolev inequality:

$$(7.9) \quad \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \leq C_n \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{C}^n)}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Replace ϕ by $|\phi|^q$ with $q = \frac{2n-1}{2n-p}p$ into (7.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\phi|^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}} \right)^{\frac{2n-1}{2n}} &\leq C_n q \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\phi|^{q-1} |\nabla \phi| \\ &\leq C_n q \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\phi|^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \leq C_n \frac{2n-1}{2n-p} p \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)}.$$

This combined with (7.8) gives

$$(7.10) \quad \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{2np}{2n-p}}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \leq \frac{C_n}{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

when $1 < p \leq 2$ and $n > 1$. Here and in what follows we use the same symbol C_n to denote all positive constants depending only on n .

By (7.10) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(7.11) \quad \|\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)} \leq \frac{C_n |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2n}}}{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n)}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

Proof of Proposition 7.7. Take a cut-off function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\chi|_{(-\infty, 1/2]} = 0$, $\chi|_{[1, \infty)} = 1$ and $\sup |\chi'| \leq 2$. Given $f \in A^p(\Omega)$ with $1 < p < \infty$, set $\phi := \chi(\delta/d) f^{\tilde{p}}$. Since $1 < p/\tilde{p} \leq 2$, (7.11) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_E |f|^p \right)^{\tilde{p}/p} &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^{p/\tilde{p}}(\Omega)}^{\tilde{p}/p} \leq \frac{C_n |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2n}}}{p/\tilde{p}-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z}_j\|_{L^{p/\tilde{p}}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{C_n |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2n}}}{p/\tilde{p}-1} \cdot \frac{1}{d} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E} |f|^p \right)^{\tilde{p}/p}, \end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion immediately follows. \square

Proposition 7.4 and Proposition 7.7 yield the following

Corollary 7.10. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Set $\Omega_\varepsilon := \{z \in \Omega : \delta(z) > \varepsilon\}$. Then*

- (1) $1 - s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega) \gtrsim \varepsilon^2$ for $0 < p < \infty$;
- (2) $1 - s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega) \gtrsim \varepsilon^{p/\tilde{p}}$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

Here the implicit constants depend only on n, p, Ω .

On the other hand, we have

Proposition 7.11. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with $\alpha(\Omega) > 0$. Then for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\alpha < \alpha(\Omega)$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $1 - s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega) \leq C\varepsilon^\alpha$.*

The proof follows directly from (3.8) and the following

Lemma 7.12. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Then*

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} |K_p(\cdot, z)|^p \geq [1 - s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega)] K_p(z)^{p-1}, \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

Proof. For every $f \in A^p(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^p - \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^p \leq s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega) \int_{\Omega} |f|^p,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} |f|^p \geq [1 - s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega)] \int_{\Omega} |f|^p.$$

It suffices to substitute $f = K_p(\cdot, z)$ into the previous inequality. \square

Definition 7.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The (one-sided) upper and lower p -Schwarz dimensions of $\partial\Omega$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\dim}_p(\partial\Omega) &:= 2n - \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log(1 - s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega))}{\log \varepsilon} \\ \underline{\dim}_p(\partial\Omega) &:= 2n - \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log(1 - s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega))}{\log \varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Corollary 7.10 and Proposition 7.11 yield the following

Corollary 7.13. (1) $\underline{\dim}_p(\partial\Omega) \geq 2n - 2, \forall 0 < p < \infty;$
 (2) $\underline{\dim}_p(\partial\Omega) \geq 2n - p/\tilde{p}, \forall 1 < p < \infty;$
 (3) $\overline{\dim}_p(\partial\Omega) \leq 2n - \alpha(\Omega), \forall 1 \leq p \leq 2.$

Recall that the (one-sided) upper and lower Minkowski dimensions of $\partial\Omega$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\dim}_{\mathcal{M}}(\partial\Omega) &:= 2n - \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log(|\Omega| - |\Omega_\varepsilon|)}{\log \varepsilon} \\ \underline{\dim}_{\mathcal{M}}(\partial\Omega) &:= 2n - \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log(|\Omega| - |\Omega_\varepsilon|)}{\log \varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Since $s_p(\Omega_\varepsilon, \Omega) \geq |\Omega_\varepsilon|/|\Omega|$, we immediately get the following

Corollary 7.14.

$$\overline{\dim}_p(\partial\Omega) \leq \overline{\dim}_{\mathcal{M}}(\partial\Omega), \quad \forall 0 < p < \infty.$$

Remark. *By the above corollaries, we have $\overline{\dim}_{\mathcal{M}}(\partial\Omega) \geq 2n - p/\tilde{p}$. Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\overline{\dim}_{\mathcal{M}}(\partial\Omega) \geq 2n - 1$.*

7.2. Non-uniqueness of best approximations.

Lemma 7.15. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n and E a measurable relatively compact subset in Ω . If $s_p(E, \Omega) > 0$, then there exists $f_E \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$(7.12) \quad s_p(E, \Omega) = \frac{\int_E |f_E|^p}{\int_\Omega |f_E|^p}.$$

Proof. Take a sequence $\{f_j\} \subset A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\int_\Omega |f_j|^p = 1$ and

$$\int_E |f_j|^p > (1 - 1/j)s_p(E, \Omega).$$

Clearly, $\{f_j\}$ forms a normal family and by extracting a subsequence we may assume that f_j converges locally uniformly to certain $f_E \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and Fatou's lemma gives

$$\int_\Omega |f_E|^p \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |f_j|^p = 1. \quad \square$$

Since E is relatively compact in Ω , we have

$$\int_E |f_E|^p = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f_j|^p = s_p(E, \Omega) > 0.$$

Thus

$$s_p(E, \Omega) \geq \frac{\int_E |f_E|^p}{\int_\Omega |f_E|^p} \geq s_p(E, \Omega),$$

i.e., (7.12) holds.

Lemma 7.16. *For any bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^n , there exists an relatively compact open subset E in Ω such that $s_p(E, \Omega) = 1/2$.*

Proof. By property (e) in § 7.1, there exists a compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ such that

$$s_p(K, \Omega) \geq \frac{|K|}{|\Omega|} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

For fixed $0 < \delta_0 < d(K, \partial\Omega)$, there are a finite number of balls $B(z_1, \delta_0), \dots, B(z_N, \delta_0)$ such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^N B(z_k, \delta_0).$$

We define

$$\Omega_t := \bigcup_{k=1}^N B(z_k, t), \quad 0 < t < \delta_0.$$

Clearly, $K \subset \Omega_{\delta_0}$ and $|\Omega_t| \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, for $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \delta_0$, we have

$$(7.13) \quad |\Omega_{t_2} \setminus \Omega_{t_1}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^N |B(z_k, t_2) \setminus B(z_k, t_1)| = N|\mathbb{B}^n|(t_2^{2n} - t_1^{2n}),$$

where \mathbb{B}^n is the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n .

We claim that

$$t \mapsto s_p(\Omega_t, \Omega), \quad 0 < t \leq \delta_0$$

is a continuous function. First, we show the left continuity at $t_0 \in (0, \delta_0]$. By Lemma 7.15, there exists $f_{t_0} \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |f_{t_0}|^p / \int_{\Omega} |f_{t_0}|^p$. Hence for $0 < t < t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) &\geq s_p(\Omega_t, \Omega) \geq \frac{\int_{\Omega_t} |f_{t_0}|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f_{t_0}|^p} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |f_{t_0}|^p - \int_{\Omega_{t_0} \setminus \Omega_t} |f_{t_0}|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f_{t_0}|^p} \\ &= s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) - \frac{\int_{\Omega_{t_0} \setminus \Omega_t} |f_{t_0}|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f_{t_0}|^p}. \end{aligned}$$

The Bergman inequality asserts that

$$(7.14) \quad |f(z)|^p \leq C_{\delta_0} \int_{\Omega} |f|^p, \quad z \in \Omega_{\delta_0}, \quad f \in A^p(\Omega).$$

Thus

$$s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) \geq s_p(\Omega_t, \Omega) \geq s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) - C_{\delta_0} |\Omega_{t_0} \setminus \Omega_t|,$$

which together with (7.13) imply $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^-} s_p(\Omega_t, \Omega) = s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega)$.

On the other hand, for $0 < t_0 < t < \delta_0$ and $f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, one has

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega_t} |f|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p} = \frac{\int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |f|^p + \int_{\Omega_t \setminus \Omega_{t_0}} |f|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p} \leq s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) + \frac{\int_{\Omega_t \setminus \Omega_{t_0}} |f|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p}.$$

Again, the Bergman inequality (7.14) gives

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega_t} |f|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f|^p} \leq s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) + C_{\delta_0} |\Omega_t \setminus \Omega_{t_0}|.$$

Since $f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ can be arbitrarily chosen, we have

$$s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) \leq s_p(\Omega_t, \Omega) \leq s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega) + C'_{t_0} |\Omega_t \setminus \Omega_{t_0}|,$$

so that $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0^+} s_p(\Omega_t, \Omega) = s_p(\Omega_{t_0}, \Omega)$ in view of (7.13).

Note that $s_p(\Omega_{\delta_0}, \Omega) \geq s_p(K, \Omega) > 1/2$, and property (f) in § 7.1 yields $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} s_p(\Omega_t, \Omega) = 0$. By the intermediate value theorem, there exists some $\Omega_{t_0} =: E$ with $s_p(E, \Omega) = 1/2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By Lemma 7.15 and Lemma 7.16, there exists a relative compact open subset E in Ω and $f_E \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\frac{\int_E |f_E|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f_E|^p} = s_p(E, \Omega) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence

$$(7.15) \quad \int_E |f_E|^p = \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |f_E|^p =: I.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2} = s_p(E, \Omega) \geq \frac{\int_E |f|^p}{\int_\Omega |f|^p} = \frac{\int_E |f|^p}{\int_E |f|^p + \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |f|^p}$$

for all $f \in A^p(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that

$$(7.16) \quad \int_E |f|^p \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |f|^p, \quad \forall f \in A^p(\Omega).$$

Given $h_1 \in A^p(\Omega)$, define $h_2 := h_1 + f_E \in A^p(\Omega)$ and

$$g(z) := \begin{cases} h_1(z), & z \in E, \\ h_2(z), & z \in \Omega \setminus E. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $g \in L^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, since $|a - b|^p \leq |a|^p + |b|^p$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, we infer from (7.16) that for $h \in A^p(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |g - h|^p &= \int_E |h_1 - h|^p + \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |h_2 - h|^p \\ &\geq \int_E |h_1 - h|^p + \int_E |h_2 - h|^p \\ &\geq \int_E |h_1 - h_2|^p = I. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (7.15) implies

$$\int_\Omega |g - h_1|^p = \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |h_2 - h_1|^p = \int_{\Omega \setminus E} |f_E|^p = I$$

and

$$\int_\Omega |g - h_2|^p = \int_E |h_1 - h_2|^p = \int_E |f_E|^p = I.$$

Thus $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{A^p(\Omega)}(g)$. Clearly, $h_1 \neq h_2$. □

8. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.12 AND PROPOSITION 1.13

We first give a simple fact as follows.

Lemma 8.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ for some $a \in \Omega$ if and only if*

$$(8.1) \quad f(a) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega f, \quad \forall f \in A^p(\Omega).$$

Proof. Only if part. Since

$$m_p(a) = K_p(a)^{-1/p} = |\Omega|^{1/p} = \left(\int_\Omega 1^p \right)^{1/p},$$

it follows from the uniqueness of the minimizer in (1.1) that $m_p(\cdot, a) = 1$, i.e., $K_p(\cdot, a) = K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$. This together with the reproducing formula (1.2) yield (8.1).

If part. Note that

$$K_p(a) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} K_p(\cdot, a) \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |K_p(\cdot, a)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{p}} K_p(a)^{1-\frac{1}{p}},$$

i.e., $K_p(a) \leq 1/|\Omega|$. On the other hand, we naturally have $K_p(a) \geq 1/|\Omega|$. Thus $K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.12/(1). We first deal with the case $1 \leq p < 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$a = 0, \delta(a) := d(a, \partial\Omega) = 1 \text{ and } 1 \in \partial\Omega.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{D} \subset \Omega$, thus it suffices to show that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$. Set

$$h(z) = \frac{z+1}{z-1} + 1, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

It is easy to verify that $h \in A^p(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq p < 2$; moreover,

$$(8.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} h(z) = \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z - 1|^2} + 1,$$

is an integrable harmonic function on Ω , so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re} h = 0,$$

in view of the mean-value property. On the other hand, Lemma 8.1 implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Re} h = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\Omega} h = |\Omega| \cdot \operatorname{Re} h(0) = 0.$$

Thus

$$(8.3) \quad \int_{\Omega \setminus \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re} h = 0$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} h \geq 1$ holds on $\Omega \setminus \mathbb{D}$ in view of (8.2), it follows from (8.3) that $\Omega \setminus \mathbb{D}$ is of zero measure, which has to be empty, for Ω is a domain. Thus $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$.

Now suppose $0 < p < 1$. By (6.3) in [9], we have

$$1 = |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot K_p(a)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq |\Omega| \cdot K_1(a) \geq 1,$$

so that $K_1(a) = 1/|\Omega|$. By the previous argument, we conclude that Ω is a disc centred at a . \square

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.12, we need the following

Theorem 8.2 (cf. [18], Theorem 1). *Let E be a bounded measurable set in \mathbb{C} and $1 \leq q < 2$. Then for every function $f \in L^q(E) \cap \mathcal{O}(E^\circ)$, there exists a sequence of rational functions $\{f_k\}$ with poles in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k - f\|_{L^q(E)} = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.12/(2). Let $p > 2$ and set $\Omega_1 = \overline{\Omega}^\circ$. Apply Theorem 8.2 with $q = 1$ and $E = \overline{\Omega}$, we see that every $f \in A^1(\Omega_1)$ can be approximated in the L^1 -norm by a sequence of rational functions $\{f_k\}$ with poles in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. In particular, $f_k \in A^p(\Omega)$, f_k converges locally uniformly to f and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_k = \int_{\Omega} f.$$

Thus it follows from Lemma 8.1 that

$$f(a) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(a) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f_k = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f = \frac{1}{|\Omega_1|} \int_{\Omega_1} f,$$

for $|\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega| = 0$. The proof of Theorem 1.12/(1) yields that Ω_1 is a disc. \square

Remark. *In particular, if Ω is fat, then the condition $K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ for some $a \in \Omega$ and some $2 \leq p < \infty$ implies that Ω is a disc.*

Corollary 8.3. *Let $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n$, where Ω_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, are bounded planar domains. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If $K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ for some $a \in \Omega$ and some $0 < p < 2$, then Ω is a polydisc centred at a .*
- (2) *Suppose furthermore that all Ω_j are fat. If $K_p(a) = 1/|\Omega|$ for some $a \in \Omega$ and some $2 \leq p < \infty$, then Ω is a polydisc centred at a .*

Proof. (1) By the product rule of the p -Bergman kernel (cf. [9], Proposition 2.8), we have

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega_1|} \cdots \frac{1}{|\Omega_n|} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} = K_{\Omega,p}(a) = K_{\Omega_1,p}(a_1) \cdots K_{\Omega_n,p}(a_n),$$

i.e.,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n [|\Omega_j| \cdot K_{\Omega_j,p}(a_j)] = 1.$$

Since $|\Omega_j| \cdot K_{\Omega_j,p}(a_j) \geq 1$ for all j , we have $|\Omega_j| \cdot K_{\Omega_j,p}(a_j) = 1$, so that Ω_j has to be a disc centred at a_j for every j , i.e., Ω is a polydisc centred at a .

(2) The argument is similar. \square

If Ω is a bounded complete circular domain in \mathbb{C}^n , then $K_{\Omega,2}(0) = 1/|\Omega|$ (cf. [3, § 4]). The same conclusion actually holds for general p . To see this, it suffices to verify that if φ is psh on Ω , then the following mean-value inequality holds:

$$(8.4) \quad \varphi(0) \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi,$$

for $|f(0)|^p \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f|^p$ would imply $K_p(0) \leq 1/|\Omega|$. To get (8.4), first apply the coarea formula (cf. [10, § 13.5], see also [16, Theorem 3.2.22]) to the natural map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, that is,

$$(8.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \int_{F^{-1}(\xi)} \varphi(z) |z|^{2n-2} d\sigma(z) dV_{FS}(\xi),$$

where $F^{-1}(\xi)$ is the intersection of Ω with the complex line ξ , $d\sigma$ is the area measure on the complex line ξ , and dV_{FS} denotes the Fubini-Study volume element on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Since Ω is complete circular, $F^{-1}(\xi)$ can be identified with a disc in \mathbb{C} , so that the mean-value inequality implies

$$\int_{F^{-1}(\xi)} \varphi(z) |z|^{2n-2} d\sigma(z) \geq C(\xi) \varphi(0),$$

where

$$C(\xi) = \int_{F^{-1}(\xi)} |z|^{2n-2} d\sigma(z).$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi \geq \varphi(0) \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} C(\xi) dV_{FS}(\xi).$$

Apply (8.5) with $\varphi \equiv 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} C(\xi) dV_{FS}(\xi) = |\Omega|,$$

which in turn gives (8.4).

We are left to give the example in Proposition 1.13. Let φ be a bounded continuous function on \mathbb{D} . Consider the following Hartogs domain

$$\Omega_{\varphi} := \{(z_1, z_2) : |z_2|^2 < e^{-\varphi(z_1)}, z_1 \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

By Ligocka's formula (cf. [23]), we have

$$K_{\Omega_{\varphi}, 2}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} K_{\mathbb{D}, \varphi}(0),$$

where $K_{\mathbb{D}, \varphi}$ denotes the reproducing kernel of the weighted L^2 Bergman space $A^2(\mathbb{D}, \varphi)$. Since

$$|\Omega_{\varphi}| = \pi \int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\varphi},$$

we conclude that $K_{\Omega_{\varphi}, 2}(0) = 1/|\Omega_{\varphi}|$ if and only if

$$(8.6) \quad K_{\mathbb{D}, \varphi}(0) = \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\varphi}}.$$

Analogously to Lemma 8.1, one may show that (8.6) is equivalent to

$$f(0) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{D}} f e^{-\varphi}}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\varphi}}, \quad \forall f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}, \varphi).$$

Since φ is bounded, this is equivalent to

$$(8.7) \quad f(0) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{D}} f e^{-\varphi}}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\varphi}}, \quad \forall f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}).$$

Note that (8.7) holds whenever φ is radial, i.e., $\varphi(z) = \varphi(|z|)$. We are going to construct a non-radial weight φ which still verifies (8.7).

First, fix a smooth radial function ψ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that $e^{-\psi(0)} > \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\psi}$. Then the real-valued function

$$\eta := e^{-\psi} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\psi}$$

verifies

$$(8.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}} f \eta = 0, \quad \forall f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}),$$

i.e., $\eta \in A^2(\mathbb{D})^\perp \subset L^2(\mathbb{D})$. Given $a \in \mathbb{D}$, take $F_a(w) := (w - a)/(1 - \bar{a}w)$. It follows that $f \circ F_a \cdot F'_a \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$ for $f \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, since $F'_a(w) = (1 - |a|^2)/(1 - \bar{a}w)^2$ is bounded on \mathbb{D} , we see that $f \circ F_a \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$. Thus (8.8) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} f \circ F_a \cdot \eta = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f \cdot (\eta \circ F_a^{-1}) |(F_a^{-1})'|^2 = 0, \quad \forall f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}),$$

that is,

$$(8.9) \quad \tilde{\eta} := (\eta \circ F_a^{-1}) |(F_a^{-1})'|^2 \in A^2(\mathbb{D})^\perp.$$

Note that $F_a^{-1}(z) = (z + a)/(1 + \bar{a}z)$ and $(F_a^{-1})'(z) = (1 - |a|^2)/(1 + \bar{a}z)^2$. Thus

$$\tilde{\eta}(a) = \eta \left(\frac{2a}{1 + |a|^2} \right) \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^2}{(1 + |a|^2)^4}, \quad \tilde{\eta}(-a) = \frac{\eta(0)}{(1 - |a|^2)^2}.$$

Letting $|a| \rightarrow 1$, we have $\tilde{\eta}(a) \rightarrow 0$ and $\tilde{\eta}(-a) \rightarrow +\infty$, for η is a bounded function with $\eta(0) > 0$. Thus there exists $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\tilde{\eta}(a) \neq \tilde{\eta}(-a)$, i.e., $\tilde{\eta}$ is not a radial function. Moreover, since $\tilde{\eta}$ is a bounded on \mathbb{D} , there exists $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ such that

$$e^{-\psi} + \varepsilon \tilde{\eta} > 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

Set $\varphi := -\log(e^{-\psi} + \varepsilon \tilde{\eta})$. From (8.9) we know that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{D}} f e^{-\varphi}}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\varphi}} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{D}} f e^{-\psi} - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}} f \tilde{\eta}}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\psi} - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}} \tilde{\eta}} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{D}} f e^{-\psi}}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} e^{-\psi}} = f(0), \quad \forall f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}),$$

which implies

$$K_{\Omega_\varphi, 2}(0) = 1/|\Omega_\varphi|.$$

For $2 < p < \infty$, we have

$$1 = |\Omega_\varphi|^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{\Omega_\varphi, 2}(0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq |\Omega_\varphi|^{\frac{1}{p}} K_{\Omega_\varphi, p}(0)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq 1,$$

so that $K_{\Omega_\varphi, p}(0) = 1/|\Omega_\varphi|$.

As φ is smooth on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we see that Ω_φ has piecewise smooth boundary ($\partial\Omega_\varphi$ is non-smooth only when $|z_1| = 1$ and $|z_2|^2 = e^{-\varphi(z_1)}$).

Ω_φ is non-circular. To see this, first take $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\lambda \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $e^{-\varphi(z)} > e^{-\varphi(\lambda z)}$, then take $r > 0$ with

$$e^{-\varphi(z)} > r^2 > e^{-\varphi(\lambda z)}.$$

It follows that $(z, r) \in \Omega_\varphi$, while $(\lambda z, \lambda r) \notin \Omega_\varphi$.

Problem 3. Does there exists a bounded non-circular fat domain $\Omega \ni 0$ on which $K_p(0) = 1/|\Omega|$ holds for all $0 < p < 2$?

9. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.14

We shall make use of the following two results, whose proofs are the same as the standard cases.

Proposition 9.1 (Localization Principle). *Let Ω be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n and $V \subset\subset U$ two given neighborhoods of $w \in \partial\Omega$. Then there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $p > 0$,*

$$C_1 ds_{\Omega,p}^2 \leq ds_{\Omega \cap U,p}^2 \leq C_2 ds_{\Omega,p}^2 \quad \text{on } \Omega \cap V.$$

Proposition 9.2 (Kobayashi's Criterion). *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Suppose there exists a dense subset \mathcal{S} in $A^2(\Omega, \log K_p)$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and every discrete sequence $\{z_k\}$ in Ω there is a subsequence $\{z_{k_j}\}$ such that*

$$\frac{|f(z_{k_j})|^2}{K_{2,p}(z_{k_j})} \rightarrow 0 \quad (j \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then ds_p^2 is complete.

Corollary 9.3. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n which satisfies*

- (1) $K_{2,p}(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$;
- (2) for every $w \in \partial\Omega$, $A^\infty(\Omega, w)$ lies dense in $A^2(\Omega, \log K_p)$, where

$$A^\infty(\Omega, w) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) : \limsup_{z \rightarrow w} |f(z)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Then ds_p^2 is complete.

Proof. Let $\{z_k\}$ be a discrete sequence in Ω . We may choose a subsequence $z_{k_j} \rightarrow z_0 \in \partial\Omega$. For every $f \in A_p^2(\Omega)$ there exists a sequence $\{f_m\} \subset A^\infty(\Omega, z_0)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|f_m - f|^2}{K_p} \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(z_{k_j})|^2}{K_{2,p}(z_{k_j})} &\leq \frac{2|f(z_{k_j}) - f_m(z_{k_j})|^2}{K_{2,p}(z_{k_j})} + \frac{2|f_m(z_{k_j})|^2}{K_{2,p}(z_{k_j})} \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f_m - f|^2}{K_p} + \frac{2|f_m(z_{k_j})|^2}{K_{2,p}(z_{k_j})}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (1) that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(z_{k_j})|^2}{K_{2,p}(z_{k_j})} \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f_m - f|^2}{K_p} \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty),$$

and Kobayashi's Criterion applies. □

It turns out that condition (1) in Corollary 9.3 is superfluous for $p < 2$ at least for the case $n = 1$:

Lemma 9.4. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C} and $0 < p < 2$. Then*

$$(9.1) \quad \frac{2-p}{2\pi R^{2-p}} \delta(z)^{-p} \leq K_p(z) \leq \pi^{-1} \delta(z)^{-2},$$

$$(9.2) \quad K_{2,p}(z) \geq C_0 \left(\frac{2-p}{2\pi} \right) R^{p-4} \cdot \delta(z)^{-p},$$

where C_0 is a universal constant and R means the diameter of Ω .

Proof. Given $z \in \Omega$, take $z_* \in \partial\Omega$ so that $|z - z_*| = \delta(z)$. Set $f_*(\zeta) = (\zeta - z_*)^{-1}$. Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_*|^p \leq \frac{2\pi R^{2-p}}{2-p},$$

we see that

$$K_p(z) \geq \frac{|f_*(z)|^p}{\int_{\Omega} |f_*|^p} \geq \frac{2-p}{2\pi R^{2-p}} \cdot \delta(z)^{-p}.$$

The second inequality in (9.1) follows directly from the Bergman inequality.

Since $\log K_p$ is subharmonic, it follows from Demailly's approximation [11] that

$$K_{2,p}(z) \geq \frac{C_0}{R^2} \cdot K_p(z).$$

The proof is complete. \square

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C} . Let w be a non-isolated boundary point, i.e., there exists a sequence $\{w_j\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ such that $w_j \neq w$ for all j and $w_j \rightarrow w$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$\Omega_w^j := \Omega \cup \{z : |z - w| < |w_j - w|\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{|w_j - w|\}$ is strictly decreasing, so that Ω_w^j is also decreasing. Set $\delta_j := \delta_{\Omega_w^j}$. Since $w_j \in \partial\Omega_w^j$, we have

$$(9.3) \quad \delta_j(z) \leq |z - w| + \delta_j(w) \leq 2|w_j - w|$$

for all $z \in \Omega_w^j \setminus \Omega$, and

$$(9.4) \quad \delta_j(z) \rightarrow \delta(z) \quad \text{uniformly on } \bar{\Omega}.$$

Lemma 9.5. *If $1 \leq p < 2$, then $K_{\Omega_w^j,p}(z) \uparrow K_{\Omega,p}(z)$ for $z \in \Omega$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Given $z \in \Omega$, choose $f \in A^p(\Omega)$ such that $\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ and $|f(z)|^p = K_{\Omega,p}(z)$. Set $E = \Omega \cup \{w\}$. Since w is a non-isolated boundary point, we see that $E^\circ = \Omega$. Apply Theorem 8.2 to the set E , we get a sequence $\{f_k\} \subset \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{O}_w$, where \mathcal{O}_w is the germ of holomorphic functions at w , such that

$$\|f_k - f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

We also have

$$(9.5) \quad |f_k(z) - f(z)|^p \leq \frac{1}{\pi \delta(z)^2} \|f_k - f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \rightarrow 0$$

in view of the mean-value inequality. Clearly, for every k there exists $j_k \gg 1$ such that $f_k \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_w^{j_k})$ and $\|f_k\|_{L^p(\Omega_w^{j_k} \setminus \Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\|f_k\|_{L^p(\Omega_w^{j_k})} \rightarrow \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

It follows that

$$\varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} K_{\Omega_w^{j_k}, p}(z) \geq \varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f_k(z)|^p}{\|f_k\|_{L^p(\Omega_w^{j_k})}^p} = |f(z)|^p = K_{\Omega, p}(z).$$

On the other hand, we have $K_{\Omega_w^{j_k}, p}(z) \leq K_{\Omega, p}(z)$, so that $K_{\Omega_w^{j_k}, p}(z) \rightarrow K_{\Omega, p}(z)$. As the sequence $\{K_{\Omega_w^{j_k}, p}(z)\}$ is increasing, we see that $K_{\Omega_w^{j_k}, p}(z) \rightarrow K_{\Omega, p}(z)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.14/(1). By Corollary 9.3 and (9.2), it suffices to show that $A^\infty(\Omega, w)$ lies dense in $A^2(\Omega, \log K_p)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $K_{\Omega_w^j, p}(z) > e$. Set

$$\varphi_j(z) = \log K_{\Omega_w^j, p}(z), \quad \psi_j(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \varphi_j(z).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi_j + \psi_j) &= i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_j - \frac{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_j}{2\varphi_j} + \frac{i\partial\varphi_j \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi_j}{2\varphi_j^2} \\ &\geq 2i\partial\psi_j \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi_j \end{aligned}$$

on Ω_w^j . Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function satisfying $\chi|_{(-\infty, -\log 2]} = 1$ and $\chi|_{[0, \infty)} = 0$. Given $f \in A^2(\Omega, \log K_p)$ and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, define

$$\eta_{j, \varepsilon} := f\chi(-2\psi_j - \log \log 1/\varepsilon).$$

Since

$$-2\psi_j - \log \log 1/\varepsilon \leq 0 \iff K_{\Omega_w^j, p} \leq 1/\varepsilon,$$

it follows from (9.1) that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ (depending only on p and R) such that

$$\text{supp } \eta_{j, \varepsilon} \subset \{z \in \Omega_w^j : \delta_j(z) \geq (C_1\varepsilon)^{1/p}\}.$$

By (9.3) and (9.4), we see that for some $j_\varepsilon \gg 1$

$$\text{supp } \eta_{j, \varepsilon} \subset \{z \in \Omega : \delta(z) \geq (C_1\varepsilon/2)^{1/p}\}, \quad \forall j \geq j_\varepsilon.$$

Analogously, as

$$-2\psi_j - \log \log 1/\varepsilon \leq -\log 2 \iff K_{\Omega_w^j, p} \leq 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

it follows again from (9.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\eta_{j, \varepsilon} = 1\} &\supset \{z \in \Omega_w^j : \delta_j(z) \geq \varepsilon^{1/4}/\pi^{1/2}\} \\ &\supset \{z \in \Omega : \delta(z) \geq \varepsilon^{1/4}/\pi^{1/2}\} \end{aligned}$$

since $\delta_j \geq \delta$ on Ω . By the Donnelly-Fefferman estimate (cf. [2]), there is a solution $u_{j,\varepsilon}$ of the equation $\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}\eta_{j,\varepsilon}$ together with the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega_w^j} |u_{j,\varepsilon}|^2 e^{-\varphi_j} &\leq C_0 \int_{\Omega_w^j} |\bar{\partial}\eta_{j,\varepsilon}|_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}(\varphi_j+\psi_j)}^2 e^{-\varphi_j} \\
 &\leq C_2 \int_{(C_1\varepsilon/2)^{1/p} \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon^{1/4}/\pi^{1/2}} \frac{|f|^2}{K_{\Omega_w^j,p}} \\
 (9.6) \qquad &\leq 2C_2 \int_{(C_1\varepsilon/2)^{1/p} \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon^{1/4}/\pi^{1/2}} \frac{|f|^2}{K_{\Omega,p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \geq j_\varepsilon \gg 1$, in view of Lemma 9.5 and the dominated convergence theorem. Here C_0 is a universal constant and C_2 is a constant depending only on p, R . It follows that

$$f_{j,\varepsilon} := f\chi(-2\psi_j - \log \log 1/\varepsilon) - u_{j,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_w^j) \subset A^\infty(\Omega, w)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f_{j,\varepsilon} - f|^2}{K_{\Omega,p}} &\leq 2 \int_{\delta \leq \varepsilon^{1/4}/\pi^{1/2}} \frac{|f|^2}{K_{\Omega,p}} + 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{j,\varepsilon}|^2}{K_{\Omega,p}} \\
 &\leq (2 + 4C_2) \int_{\delta \leq \varepsilon^{1/4}/\pi^{1/2}} \frac{|f|^2}{K_{\Omega,p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

in view of (9.6), since $K_{\Omega_w^j,p} \leq K_{\Omega,p}$ on Ω . The proof is complete. \square

Let $\mathbb{D}^* := \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ be the punctured unit disc. We have the following elementary fact.

Lemma 9.6. *Set $k_p := \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : k < 2/p\}$, $0 < p < 2$. Then*

$$A^p(\mathbb{D}^*) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{k_p} c_k z^{-k} + \tilde{f}(z) : c_k \in \mathbb{C}, \tilde{f} \in A^p(\mathbb{D}) \right\}.$$

Proof. Given $f \in A^p(\mathbb{D}^*)$, write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where f_1 is the principle part. Since f_2 is holomorphic on \mathbb{D} , it follows that $f_1 \in A^p(\mathbb{D}_{1/2}^*)$, where $\mathbb{D}_r^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < r\}$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{1/2}^*} |f_1|^p = \int_{|\zeta|>2} |f_1(1/\zeta)|^p \cdot |\zeta|^{-4}.$$

Note that $\tilde{f}_1(\zeta) := f_1(1/\zeta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \zeta^k$ is an entire function which satisfies

$$\int_{|\zeta|>2} |\tilde{f}_1(\zeta)|^p \cdot |\zeta|^{-4} < \infty.$$

The mean-value inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}_1(\zeta_0)|^p &\leq \frac{1}{\pi(|\zeta_0|/2)^2} \int_{|\zeta-\zeta_0|<|\zeta_0|/2} |\tilde{f}_1|^p \\ &\leq C_0|\zeta_0|^2 \int_{|\zeta-\zeta_0|<|\zeta_0|/2} |\tilde{f}_1|^p \cdot |\zeta|^{-4} \\ &\leq C_0|\zeta_0|^2 \int_{|\zeta|>2} |\tilde{f}_1|^p \cdot |\zeta|^{-4} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|\zeta_0| > 4$. Thus

$$|c_k| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|\zeta|=r} \frac{\tilde{f}_1(\zeta)}{\zeta^{k+1}} d\zeta \right| \leq Cr^{2/p-k} \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

whenever $k > 2/p$, so that

$$\tilde{f}_1(\zeta) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_p+1} c_k \zeta^k, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad f_1(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_p+1} c_k z^{-k}.$$

If $2/p \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $k_p + 1 > 2/p$, so that $c_{k_p+1} = 0$. If $2/p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $k_p = 2/p - 1$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{1/2}^*} |z^{-(k_p+1)}|^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}_{1/2}^*} |z|^{-2} = \infty.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{1/2}^*} |z^{-k}|^p < \infty, \quad k \leq k_p,$$

we conclude that $c_{k_p+1} = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.14/(2). In view of Proposition 9.1, we may assume without loss of generality that Ω is the punctured unit disc \mathbb{D}^* . By Proposition 10.1 we have

$$K_p(z) \asymp |z|^{-pk_p}$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$, where $k_p = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : k < 2/p\}$. For every $f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}^*, \log K_p)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_{1/2}^*} |f|^2 \cdot |z|^{pk_p} < \infty,$$

so that

$$f(z) = c/z + h(z),$$

where $h \in A^2(\mathbb{D}, \log K_p)$, since $pk_p < 2$. Thus

$$K_{2,p}(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{2k}$$

where all $a_k > 0$. Note that

$$\left| \frac{\partial K_{2,p}(z)}{\partial z} \right| = |z|^{-3}(a_{-1} + O(|z|^2))$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$, and

$$K_{2,p}(z) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 K_{2,p}(z)}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} = a_{-1}^2 |z|^{-6} + O(|z|^{-4}).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log K_{2,p}(z)}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} = K_{2,p}(z)^{-2} \cdot \left(K_{2,p}(z) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 K_{2,p}(z)}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} - \left| \frac{\partial K_{2,p}(z)}{\partial z} \right|^2 \right)$$

is uniformly bounded as $z \rightarrow 0$, i.e., ds_p^2 is incomplete at 0. \square

10. APPENDIX

In this appendix, we study the asymptotic behavior of $K_p(z)$ for \mathbb{D}^* and $0 < p < 2$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Let k_p be as in Lemma 9.6. We are going to show the following

Proposition 10.1.

$$(10.1) \quad K_p(z) = \frac{2 - pk_p}{2\pi} |z|^{pk_p} + \frac{4 - pk_p}{2\pi} |z|^{2-pk_p} + o(|z|^{2-pk_p}), \quad z \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark. If $p = 2/m$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), then $k_p = m - 1$, $pk_p = 2 - 2/m$, and (10.1) becomes

$$K_{2/m}(z) = \frac{1}{m\pi} |z|^{2-2/m} + \frac{m+1}{m\pi} |z|^{2/m} + o(|z|^{2/m}), \quad z \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathbb{D}^* is invariant under rotations and $K_p(z)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, it follows from the transformation rule (cf. [9, Proposition 2.7]) that $K_p(z) = \phi_p(|z|)$, where $\phi_p : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Lipschitz continuous function. Moreover, since $\log K_p(z)$ is subharmonic, it follows from a classical theorem of Hardy that $\log \phi_p$ is convex with respect to $\log r$.

Given $f \in A^p(\mathbb{D}^*)$, write $f(z) = g(z)/z^{k_p}$ for some $g \in A^p(\mathbb{D})$ in view of Lemma 9.6. Hence

$$(10.2) \quad \frac{|f(z)|^p}{\int_{\mathbb{D}^*} |f|^p} = \frac{|g(z)|^p}{\int_{\mathbb{D}^*} |g(w)|^p / |w|^{pk_p}} \frac{1}{|z|^{pk_p}}.$$

Set $\varphi_p(z) := pk_p \log |z|$ and define

$$A^p(\mathbb{D}, \varphi_p) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) : \|g\|_{p, \varphi_p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g|^p e^{-\varphi_p} < \infty \right\},$$

$$(10.3) \quad K_{p, \varphi_p}(z) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|g(z)|^p}{\|g\|_{p, \varphi_p}^p} : g \in A^p(\mathbb{D}), g \neq 0 \right\}.$$

By (10.2) we have

$$(10.4) \quad K_p(z) = \frac{K_{p, \varphi_p}(z)}{|z|^{pk_p}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^*.$$

For any zero-free $h \in A^2(\mathbb{D})$, we have $h^{2/p} \in A^p(\mathbb{D})$, so that

$$(10.5) \quad K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) \geq \frac{|h(z)|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(w)|^2 / |w|^{pk_p}} = \frac{|h(z)|^2}{\|h\|_{2,\varphi_p}^2}.$$

On the other hand, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$h_j(z) = a_j z^j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad a_j = \left(\frac{2j + 2 - pk_p}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2},$$

is a complete orthonormal basis of $A^2(\mathbb{D}, \varphi_p)$, so that

$$(10.6) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{2,\varphi_p}(w, z) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2j + 2 - pk_p}{2\pi} (w\bar{z})^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j+1}{\pi} (w\bar{z})^j - \frac{pk_p}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (w\bar{z})^j \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1-w\bar{z})^2} - \frac{pk_p}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1-w\bar{z}} \\ &= \frac{2 - pk_p + pk_p w\bar{z}}{2\pi(1-w\bar{z})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $K_{2,\varphi_p}(\cdot, z)$ is zero-free when $|z| < \frac{2-pk_p}{pk_p}$, so that

$$(10.7) \quad K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) \geq \frac{|K_{2,\varphi_p}(z)|^2}{\|K_{2,\varphi_p}(\cdot, z)\|_{2,\varphi_p}^2} = K_{2,\varphi_p}(z), \quad |z| < \frac{2-pk_p}{pk_p}.$$

This together with (10.4), (10.5) and (10.6) yield

$$(10.8) \quad K_p(z) \geq \frac{2 - pk_p + pk_p |z|^2}{2\pi |z|^{pk_p} (1 - |z|^2)^2}, \quad |z| < \frac{2 - pk_p}{pk_p}.$$

Note that $K_{p,\varphi_p}(z)$ can be also defined at $z = 0$. The mean-value inequality gives

$$\|g\|_{p,\varphi_p}^p = \int_0^1 r^{1-pk_p} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta dr \geq 2\pi |g(0)|^p \int_0^1 r^{1-pk_p} dr = \frac{2\pi}{2-pk_p} |g(0)|^p,$$

with equality if and only if g is a constant. Hence

$$K_{p,\varphi_p}(0) = \frac{2 - pk_p}{2\pi}.$$

A standard normal family argument yields that given $z \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists $g_z \in A^p(\mathbb{D}, \varphi_p)$ such that $g_z(z) = 1$ and $K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) = 1/\|g_z\|_{p,\varphi_p}^p$. The above argument yields that $g_0 \equiv 1$.

Lemma 10.2. (1) $K_{p,\varphi_p}(z)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on \mathbb{D} .

(2) g_z converges locally uniformly on \mathbb{D} to g_0 when $z \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. (1) Let S be a compact set in Ω and $z, w \in S$. Take $f := g_z / \|g_z\|_{p,\varphi}$, we have

$$K_{p,\varphi_p}(z)^{1/p} = f(z) \leq |f(w)| + C_S |z - w| \leq K_{p,\varphi_p}(w)^{1/p} + C_S |z - w|,$$

which implies that $K_{p,\varphi_p}^{1/p}$, and hence K_{p,φ_p} , are locally Lipschitz continuous in z .

(2) Take any sequence $\{z_j\}$ with $z_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have

$$\|g_{z_j}\|_{p,\varphi_p} = K_{p,\varphi_p}(z_j)^{-1/p} \rightarrow K_{p,\varphi_p}(0)^{-1/p} = \|g_0\|_{p,\varphi_p}.$$

In particular, $\|g_{z_j}\|_{p,\varphi_p}$ is bounded, so that $\{g_{z_j}\}$ forms a normal family, and there is a subsequence $\{g_{z_{j_k}}\}$ converging locally uniformly to some $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$.

We claim that $h = g_0$. Indeed, Fatou's lemma gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|h(w)|^p}{|w|^{pk_p}} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|g_{z_{j_k}}(w)|^p}{|w|^{pk_p}} = \frac{1}{K_{p,\varphi_p}(0)}.$$

Moreover, since

$$|h(0) - 1| = |h(0) - g_{z_{j_k}}(z_{j_k})| \leq |h(0) - h(z_{j_k})| + |h(z_{j_k}) - g_{z_{j_k}}(z_{j_k})| \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty),$$

we have $h(0) = 1$. Thus

$$K_{p,\varphi_p}(0) \leq \frac{|h(0)|^p}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} |h(w)|^p / |w|^{pk_p}}.$$

Since g_0 is the unique maximizer of (10.3) at $z = 0$, we conclude that $h = g_0$. Since the sequence $\{z_j\}$ can be chosen arbitrarily, we conclude the proof. \square

Lemma 10.2 implies that for any $0 < \rho < 1$, g_z is zero-free on $\mathbb{D}_\rho := \{w : |w| < \rho\}$ for $|z| \ll 1$. In particular, there exists $h_z \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_\rho)$ such that $h_z^{2/p} = g_z$.

Lemma 10.3. *For any $g \in A^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $0 < \rho < 1$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}_\rho} \frac{|g(w)|^p}{|w|^{pk_p}} \leq \rho^{2-pk_p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|g(w)|^p}{|w|^{pk_p}}.$$

Proof. If we set

$$M(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} |g(te^{i\theta})|^p d\theta,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}_\rho} \frac{|g(w)|^p}{|w|^{pk_p}} &= \int_0^\rho t^{1-pk_p} M(t) dt = \frac{1}{2-pk_p} \int_0^\rho M(t) d(t^{2-pk_p}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2-pk_p} \int_0^{\rho^{2-pk_p}} M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and analogously,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|g(w)|^p}{|w|^{pk_p}} = \frac{1}{2-pk_p} \int_0^1 M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds.$$

Since $M(t)$ is an increasing function, we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \rho^{2-pk_p}} \int_{\rho^{2-pk_p}}^1 M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds \geq \frac{1}{\rho^{2-pk_p}} \int_0^{\rho^{2-pk_p}} M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds &= \int_0^{\rho^{2-pk_p}} M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds + \int_{\rho^{2-pk_p}}^1 M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds \\ &\geq \left(1 + \frac{1 - \rho^{2-pk_p}}{\rho^{2-pk_p}}\right) \int_0^{\rho^{2-pk_p}} M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^{2-pk_p}} \int_0^{\rho^{2-pk_p}} M\left(s^{\frac{1}{2-pk_p}}\right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 10.3 implies that for $|z| \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) &= \frac{|g_z(z)|^p}{\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g_z(w)|^p / |w|^{pk_p}} \leq \rho^{2-pk_p} \frac{|g_z(z)|^p}{\int_{\mathbb{D}_\rho} |g_z(w)|^p / |w|^{pk_p}} \\ (10.9) \quad &= \rho^{2-pk_p} \frac{|h_z(z)|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{D}_\rho} |h_z(w)|^2 / |w|^{pk_p}} \leq \rho^{2-pk_p} K_{\rho,2,\varphi_p}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\rho,2,\varphi_p}$ is the kernel of $A^2(\mathbb{D}_\rho, \varphi_p)$. Similar as (10.6), we obtain

$$(10.10) \quad K_{\rho,2,\varphi_p}(z) = \frac{1}{\rho^{2-pk_p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2j+2-pk_p}{2\pi\rho^{2j}} |z|^{2j} = \frac{1}{\rho^{2-pk_p}} \frac{2-pk_p+pk_p|z|^2/\rho^2}{2\pi(1-|z|^2/\rho^2)^2}.$$

This together with (10.9) yield

$$K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) \leq \frac{2-pk_p+pk_p|z|^2/\rho^2}{2\pi(1-|z|^2/\rho^2)^2}, \quad |z| \ll 1,$$

so that

$$(10.11) \quad K_p(z) \leq \frac{2-pk_p+pk_p|z|^2/\rho^2}{2\pi|z|^{pk_p}(1-|z|^2/\rho^2)^2}, \quad |z| \ll 1.$$

Proof of Proposition 10.1. We infer from (10.6), (10.8), (10.10) and (10.11) that

$$\frac{2-pk_p}{2\pi} + \frac{4-pk_p}{2\pi}|z|^2 + \dots \leq K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) \leq \frac{2-pk_p}{2\pi} + \frac{4-pk_p}{2\pi\rho^2}|z|^2 + \dots$$

for $|z| \ll 1$. For fixed $0 < \rho < 1$, we have

$$\frac{4-pk_p}{2\pi} \leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) - \frac{2-pk_p}{2\pi}}{|z|^2} \leq \limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) - \frac{2-pk_p}{2\pi}}{|z|^2} \leq \frac{4-pk_p}{2\pi\rho^2}.$$

Letting $\rho \rightarrow 1$, we conclude that

$$K_{p,\varphi_p}(z) = \frac{2 - pk_p}{2\pi} + \frac{4 - pk_p}{2\pi}|z|^2 + o(|z|^2) \quad (z \rightarrow 0),$$

which implies (10.1). \square

We know that $K_p(z) = \phi_p(|z|)$, where ϕ_p is convex with respect to $\log r$. Since $A^p(\mathbb{D}^*) \subset A^p(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$K_{\mathbb{D}^*,p}(z) \geq K_{\mathbb{D},p}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(1 - |z|^2)^2}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D},$$

so that $\phi_p(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 1-$. On the other hand, Proposition 10.1 implies $\phi_p(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0+$ for $0 < p < 2$. Hence for $0 < p < 2$, there exists some $r_p \in (0, 1)$ such that ϕ_p is decreasing on $(0, r_p)$ and increasing on $(r_p, 1)$. In particular, $K_p(z)$ attains the minimum $\phi_p(r_p)$ when $|z| = r_p$. A natural question is

Problem 4. *What are the precise values of r_p and $\phi_p(r_p)$?*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Liangying Jiang for catching a big error in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors, *Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1966.
- [2] B. Berndtsson and Ph. Charpentier, *A Sobolev mapping property of the Bergman kernel*, *Math. Z.* **235** (2000), 1–10.
- [3] H. P. Boas, *Lu Qi-Keng's problem*, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **37** (2000), 253–267.
- [4] T. Carleman, *Zur Theorie der Minimalflächen*, *Math. Z.* **9** (1921), 154–160.
- [5] L. Carleson and V. Totik, *Hölder continuity of Green's functions*, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **70** (2004), 557–608.
- [6] B. Y. Chen, *Bergman kernel and hyperconvexity index*, *Analysis & PDE* **10** (2017), 1429–1454.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen and S. Fu, *Comparison of the Bergman and Szegő kernels*, *Adv. Math.* **228** (2011), 2366–2384.
- [8] B.-Y. Chen and X. Xing, *H^2 -Corona problem on δ -regular domains*, arXiv: 2206.04455.
- [9] B. Y. Chen and L. Zhang, *On the p -Bergman theory*, *Adv. Math.*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108516>.
- [10] E. M. Chirka, *Complex Analytic Sets*, Translated from the Russian by R. A. M. Hoksbergen, *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*, 46, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [11] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1** (1992), 361–409.
- [12] R. X. Dong and J. Treuer, *Rigidity theorem by the minimal point of the Bergman kernel*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **31** (2021), 4856–4864.
- [13] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Dover Publications, New York, 1970.
- [14] B. Epstein, *On the mean-value property of harmonic functions*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 830.
- [15] B. Epstein and M. M. Schiffer, *On the mean-value property of harmonic functions*, *J. d'Analyse Math.* **14** (1965), 109–111.
- [16] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 153, Springer-Verlag New York, 1969.
- [17] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals*, *Math. Z.* **34** (1932), 403–439.
- [18] L. I. Hedberg, *Approximation in the mean by analytic functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **163** (1972), 157–171.
- [19] L. I. Hedberg, *Removable singularities and condenser capacities*, *Ark. Mat.* **12** (1974), 181–201.
- [20] L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator*, *Acta Math.* **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [21] S. Kobayashi, *Geometry of bounded domains*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1959), 267–290.

- [22] Ü. Kuran, *On the mean-value property of harmonic functions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 311–312.
- [23] E. Ligočka, *On the Forelli-Rudin construction and weighted Bergman projections*, Studia Math. **94** (1989), 257–272.
- [24] P. Lindqvist, *On non-linear Rayleigh quotients*, Potential Anal. **2** (1993), 199–218.
- [25] M. Marković, *A sharp inequality for holomorphic functions on the polydisc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 1693–1704.
- [26] M. S. Narasimhan and R. R. Simha, *Manifolds with ample canonical class*, Invent. Math. **5** (1968), 120–128.
- [27] T. Ohsawa, *On the Bergman kernel of hyperconvex domains*, Nagoya Math. J. **129** (1993), 43–52.
- [28] Z. Pasternak-Winiarski, *On weights which admit the reproducing kernel of Bergman type*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **15** (1992), 1–14.
- [29] C. Pommerenke, *Uniformly perfect sets and Poincaré metric*, Arch. Math. **32** (1979), 192–199.
- [30] I. Singer, *The Theory of Best Approximation and Functional Analysis*, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics **13**, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1974.
- [31] E. M. Stein, *Boundary Behavior of Holomorphic Functions of Several Complex Variables*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1972.
- [32] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Banach-Mazur Distances and Finite-Dimensional Operator Ideals*, Harlow, Longman and Wiley, New York, 1989.

(Bo-Yong Chen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA

Email address: boychen@fudan.edu.cn

(Yuanpu Xiong) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA

Email address: ypxiong18@fudan.edu.cn