

A NOTE ON MINIMAL RESOLUTIONS OF VECTOR-SPREAD BOREL IDEALS

Marilena Crupi, Antonino Ficarra

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, PHYSICS AND EARTH SCIENCES,
UNIVERSITY OF MESSINA, VIALE FERDINANDO STAGNO D'ALCONTRES 31, 98166 MESSINA,
ITALY

E-mail addresses: mcrupi@unime.it; antificarra@unime.it

Abstract

We consider vector–spread Borel ideals. We show that these ideals have linear quotients and thereby we determine the graded Betti numbers and the bigraded Poincaré series. A characterization of the extremal Betti numbers of such a class of ideals is given. Finally, we classify all Cohen–Macaulay vector–spread Borel ideals.

INTRODUCTION

In this note we study the class of vector–spread Borel ideals introduced in [15] as a generalization of the class of t –spread ideals, where t is a non negative integer [13] (see, also, [4, 5, 12] and the reference therein). Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the standard graded polynomial ring over a fixed field K and let $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{d-1}$, with $d \geq 2$, a $(d-1)$ –tuple whose entries are non negative integers. A monomial $u = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_\ell}$ ($1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_\ell \leq n$) of degree $\ell \leq d$ of S is called a *vector–spread monomial of type \mathbf{t}* or simply a *\mathbf{t} –spread monomial* if $j_{i+1} - j_i \geq t_i$, for $i = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$. A *\mathbf{t} –spread monomial ideal* is a monomial ideal generated by \mathbf{t} –spread monomials. For instance, $I = (x_1 x_3^2 x_5, x_1 x_3^2 x_6, x_1 x_4 x_5)$ is a $(2, 0, 1)$ –spread monomial ideal of the polynomial ring $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$, but it is not $(2, 1, 1)$ –spread as $x_1 x_3^2 x_5 \in G(I)$ is not $(2, 1, 1)$ –spread. One can note that any monomial (ideal) is $\mathbf{0}$ –spread, where $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. If $t_i \geq 1$, for all i , a \mathbf{t} –spread monomial (ideal) is a *squarefree* monomial (ideal). A \mathbf{t} –spread monomial ideal I of S is said *vector–spread Borel ideal of type \mathbf{t}* or simply a *\mathbf{t} –spread strongly stable ideal* if for any \mathbf{t} –spread monomial $u \in I$, and all $j < i$ such that x_i divides u and $x_j(u/x_i)$ is \mathbf{t} –spread, then $x_j(u/x_i) \in I$. For $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ($\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$) one obtains the classical notion of strongly stable (squarefree strongly stable) ideal [16].

Keywords: monomial ideals, vector–spread Borel ideals, Cohen–Macaulay ideals, extremal Betti numbers.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B35, 05E40, 13B25, 13D02, 68W30.

We study the graded Betti numbers of a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal I by combinatorial tools and therefore we obtain a formula for their computation. As a consequence we are able to give a characterization of the extremal Betti numbers of such an ideal I . Finally, the Cohen–Macaulay \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideals are classified. Our approach is similar to the one of [13].

In this note we discuss a unified concept to deal with the classes of monomial ideals which are among the most important in Combinatorics (stable, strongly stable ideals) in order to get more general results. Section 1 contains some preliminaries and notions that will be used in the article. In Section 2, we review a result on the graded Betti numbers of a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal stated in [15]. We propose a simpler method for determining a formula for the graded Betti numbers of such a class of monomial ideals (Corollary 2.4). A key result is Theorem 2.2 which states that a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal has linear quotients. The notion of *vector-spread support* (Subsection 1.2) plays an essential role in this context. Indeed, the linear quotients of vector-spread Borel ideals can be expressed in terms of vector-spread supports (Corollary 2.3). Moreover, the bigraded Poincaré series of such ideals is also determined (Corollary 2.5). In Section 3, we obtain a characterization of the extremal Betti numbers of \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideals (Proposition 3.3) by the results in Section 2. Finally, Section 4 contains one of the main result in the article. We analyze the Cohen–Macaulayness of \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideals via the formula of the graded Betti numbers described in Section 2. Indeed, we obtain a classification (Theorem 4.3) by investigating the height and the projective dimension of such ideals.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the article, we denote by $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the standard graded polynomial ring over a field K and by $\text{Mon}(S)$ ($\text{Mon}_d(S)$) the set of all monomials (of degree d) in S .

1.1. A glimpse to graded Betti numbers. Given a monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ of S , the *support* of u is the set $\text{supp}(u) = \{i : a_i > 0\} = \{i : x_i \text{ divides } u\}$. We denote by $\max(u)$ ($\min(u)$) the maximal (minimal) integer $i \in \text{supp}(u)$.

For a monomial ideal I of S , $G(I)$ denotes the unique minimal set of monomial generators of I and we set $G(I)_j = \{u \in G(I) : \deg(u) = j\}$. It is known that a monomial ideal I of S has a unique minimal graded free S -resolution

$$\mathbb{F} : 0 \rightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{d_p} F_{p-1} \xrightarrow{d_{p-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} I \rightarrow 0,$$

where $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{i,j}(I)}$. The numbers $\beta_{i,j}(I) = \dim_K \text{Tor}(K, I)_j$ are called the *graded Betti numbers* of I . For all i , $\beta_i(I) = \sum_j \beta_{i,j}(I)$ is the *i th total Betti number*

of I . One defines the *projective dimension* and the *regularity* of I as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pd}(I) &= \max\{i : \beta_{i,j}(I) \neq 0, \text{ for some } j\} = \max\{i : \beta_i(I) \neq 0\}, \\ \text{reg}(I) &= \max\{j - i : \beta_{i,j}(I) \neq 0\} = \max\{j : \beta_{i,i+j}(I) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i\}. \end{aligned}$$

These algebraic invariants have been refined in [7] by the notion of *extremal Betti number*. A graded Betti number $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I) \neq 0$ of a monomial ideal I of S is called *extremal* if $\beta_{i,i+j}(I) = 0$ for all $i \geq k$, $j \geq \ell$ such that $(i, j) \neq (k, \ell)$.

Let I be a monomial ideal. It is known that $\text{depth}(S/I) \leq \dim(S/I)$. If the equality holds, we say that S/I is a *Cohen–Macaulay ring* and I is a *Cohen–Macaulay ideal*.

1.2. A glimpse to vector–spread monomial ideals. Let $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{d-1}$, $d \geq 2$, a $(d-1)$ -tuple whose entries are non negative integers. Let us write a monomial $u \in S$ as $u = x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_\ell}$, $1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_\ell \leq n$. We will maintain this convention throughout the article.

Let $T = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$ be the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables. Denote by $\text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{t})$ the set of all \mathbf{t} -spread monomials of T and by $\text{Mon}(S; \mathbf{t})$ the set of all \mathbf{t} -spread monomials of S . Furthermore, for all $0 \leq \ell \leq d$, we define the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mon}_\ell(T; \mathbf{t}) &= \{u \in \text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{t}) : \deg(u) = \ell\}, \\ \text{Mon}_\ell(S; \mathbf{t}) &= \{u \in \text{Mon}(S; \mathbf{t}) : \deg(u) = \ell\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $M_{n,\ell,\mathbf{t}}$ the set of all \mathbf{t} -spread monomials of S having degree ℓ . One may observe that $M_{n,\ell,\mathbf{t}} = \emptyset$ for $\ell > d$. In order to compute the cardinality of the set $M_{n,\ell,\mathbf{t}}$, one can consider a special *shifting operator* (see [6, 13]). More in detail, one defines the map $\sigma_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{t}} : \text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{t})$, by setting $\sigma_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{t}}(1) = 1$, $\sigma_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{t}}(x_i) = x_i$ and

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{t}}(x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_\ell}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} x_{j_k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} t_s},$$

for all monomials $u = x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \in \text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{0})$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq d$. The map $\sigma_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{t}}$ is bijective and its inverse is the map $\sigma_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{0}} : \text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{t}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{0})$ defined as follows: $\sigma_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{0}}(1) = 1$, $\sigma_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{0}}(x_i) = x_i$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sigma_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{0}}(x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_\ell}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} x_{j_k - \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} t_s}$, for all monomials $u = x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \in \text{Mon}(T; \mathbf{t})$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq d$.

In particular, the restriction $\sigma_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{0}}|_{M_{n,\ell,\mathbf{t}}}$ is an injective map and its image is equal to the set $M_{n-(t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\ell-1}),\ell,\mathbf{0}} = \text{Mon}_\ell(K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-(t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\ell-1})}])$. Thus

$$|M_{n,\ell,\mathbf{t}}| = \binom{n + (\ell - 1) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j}{\ell}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \ell \leq d. \quad (1)$$

For more details on this topic see [15].

If u_1, \dots, u_m are \mathfrak{t} -spread monomials of S , we denote by $B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ the smallest \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S containing u_1, \dots, u_m with respect to the inclusion. The monomials u_1, \dots, u_m are called the \mathfrak{t} -spread Borel generators of $B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$. If $m = 1$, $B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u_1) = B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u)$ is called a *principal \mathfrak{t} -spread Borel ideal*. It is clear that for each \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable monomial ideal I of S , one can uniquely determine \mathfrak{t} -spread monomials $u_1, \dots, u_m \in S$ such that $I = B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$. Observe that for $u = x_{n-(t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\ell-1})}x_{n-(t_2+\dots+t_{\ell-1})} \cdots x_{n-t_{\ell-1}}x_n$, the ideal $B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u)$ contains all \mathfrak{t} -spread monomials of degree $\ell \leq d$. $B_{\mathfrak{t}}(u)$ is called the \mathfrak{t} -spread Veronese ideal of degree ℓ and will be denoted by $I_{n,\ell,\mathfrak{t}}$. Note that $I_{n,\ell,\mathfrak{t}} \neq (0)$ if and only if $n \geq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j$.

Now let us recall the pivotal notion of \mathfrak{t} -spread support of a \mathfrak{t} -spread monomial [15, Definition 2.1].

If n is a positive integer n , $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $j \leq k$ positive integers, we define $[j, k] = \{\ell \in \mathbb{N} : j \leq \ell \leq k\}$. We set $[j, k] = \emptyset$ if $j > k$. Let $u = x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \in M_{n,\ell,\mathfrak{t}}$ be a \mathfrak{t} -spread monomial of S . The \mathfrak{t} -spread support of u is the subset of $[n]$ defined as follows

$$\text{supp}_{\mathfrak{t}}(u) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell-1} [j_i, j_i + (t_i - 1)].$$

Note that $\text{supp}_{\mathbf{0}}(u) = \emptyset$. Furthermore, if u is squarefree, $\text{supp}_{\mathbf{1}}(u) = \text{supp}(u/x_{\max(u)}) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\ell-1}\}$, where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{d-1}$.

The notion vector-spread support has been introduced in [11] in order to classify Cohen-Macaulay t -spread lexsegment ideals.

2. THE GRADED BETTI NUMBERS

In [15], the graded Betti numbers of vector-spread strongly stable ideals have been determined by means of the Koszul homology. More precisely, if I is a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S , then the graded Betti numbers of I are given by

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(I) = \sum_{u \in G(I)_j} \binom{\max(u) - 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} t_\ell}{i}, \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

The purpose of this section is to quickly obtain this formula using a different and easier method than the one used in [15]. The vector-spread support will be a key tool.

We need to fix some notations and recall some results from [17]. Let I be a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S . Let $G(I) = \{u_1 > u_2 > \cdots > u_m\}$ be the minimal generating set of I ordered with respect to the *pure lexicographic order* [16]. For $k = 1, \dots, m$, we set $J_k = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ and

$$\text{set}(u_k) = \{i \in [n] : x_i \in (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) : u_k\}.$$

Note that $\text{set}(u_1) = \emptyset$. Our aim is to prove that I has *linear quotients*, i.e.,

$$J_{k-1} : u_k = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}) : u_k,$$

is generated by variables, for all $k = 2, \dots, m$. In such a case, by [17, Lemma 1.5], one has

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(I) = \sum_{u \in G(I)_j} \binom{|\text{set}(u)|}{i}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

We quote the next crucial lemma from [15] (see also [13, Lemma 1.3]). It provides the existence of a *standard decomposition* for a \mathfrak{t} -spread monomial belonging to a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal.

Lemma 2.1 *Let I be a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S , and $w \in I$ a \mathfrak{t} -spread monomial. Then, there exist $u \in G(I)$ and $v \in \text{Mon}(S)$ such that $w = uv$ and $\max(u) \leq \min(v)$.*

Now we are in position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.2 *Let I be a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S . Then I has linear quotients, in particular it is componentwise linear.*

Proof. Let $G(I) = \{u_1 > u_2 > \dots > u_m\}$ ordered with respect to the pure lexicographic order. Fix $k \in \{2, \dots, m\}$. A set of generators for $J_{k-1} : u_k = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) : u_k$ is $\{u_s / \gcd(u_k, u_s) : s = 1, \dots, k-1\}$ [16, Proposition 1.2.2]. Hence, it suffices to show that for each s , there exists a variable $x_i \in J_{k-1} : u_k$ with x_i dividing $u_s / \gcd(u_k, u_s)$. Let $u_s = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_p}$, $u_k = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_q}$, with $u_s >_{\text{lex}} u_k$. Hence, there exists an integer $\ell \in [q]$ such that

$$i_1 = j_1, \quad i_2 = j_2, \quad \dots, \quad i_{\ell-1} = j_{\ell-1}, \quad i_\ell < j_\ell. \quad (3)$$

Set $v = x_{i_\ell}(u_k/x_{j_\ell})$. Then $v = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_{\ell-1}} x_{i_\ell} x_{j_{\ell+1}} \dots x_{j_q}$. We need to show that v is \mathfrak{t} -spread. This is the case, as $i_\ell - j_{\ell-1} = i_\ell - i_{\ell-1} \geq t_{\ell-1}$ and $j_{\ell+1} - i_\ell > j_{\ell+1} - j_\ell \geq t_\ell$. On the other hand, I is a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal. Therefore $v \in I$ and, furthermore, $v >_{\text{lex}} u_k$. Now we show that $v \in J_{k-1}$. By Lemma 2.1, $v = u_r w$ with $u_r \in G(I)$ and $w \in \text{Mon}(S)$ such that $\max(u_r) \leq \min(w)$. If $v \notin J_{k-1} = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$, then $u_r \in G(I) \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$, and consequently $u_r \leq_{\text{lex}} u_k$. As $v = u_r w$, then $v \leq_{\text{lex}} u_k$, a contradiction. Therefore, $v \in J_{k-1}$ and $x_{i_\ell} u_k = v x_{j_\ell} \in J_{k-1}$. Thus $x_{i_\ell} \in J_{k-1} : u_k$ and, by (3), x_{i_ℓ} divides $u_s / \gcd(u_k, u_s)$. It follows that I has linear quotients. Finally, it is well known that ideals with linear quotients are componentwise linear [16, Theorem 8.2.15]. \square

The following corollary highlights the role of the vector-spread supports.

Corollary 2.3 *In the previous setting, for all $k = 2, \dots, m$, we have*

$$\text{set}(u_k) = [\max(u_k) - 1] \setminus \text{supp}_{\mathfrak{t}}(u_k).$$

Proof. Let $u_k = x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_d}$. We have $\text{supp}_{\mathbf{t}}(u_k) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d-1} \left(\bigcup_{q=0}^{t_i-1} \{j_i + q\} \right)$. Let $\ell \in [n]$. To determine if $\ell \in \text{set}(u_k)$, we consider two cases.

CASE 1. Let $\max(u_k) \leq \ell \leq n$, then $x_\ell u_k = x_{j_1}x_{j_2}\cdots x_{j_d}x_\ell$. First, observe that the ideal $J_{k-1} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1})$ is \mathbf{t} -spread strongly stable. If for absurd $\ell \in \text{set}(u_k)$, then $x_\ell u_k \in J_{k-1}$. Hence u_r divides $x_\ell u_k$, for some $r \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Since $\deg(u_r) \leq \deg(u_k)$, then u_r divides u_k . An absurd, since u_k is a minimal generator of I .

CASE 2. Let $\ell \in \text{supp}_{\mathbf{t}}(u_k)$, then $\ell = j_r + s$, for some $r \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, $0 \leq s \leq t_r - 1$, $t_r \geq 1$. If $x_\ell u_k \in J_k = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$, then u_p divides $x_\ell u_k$, for some $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. As $j_r + s - j_r = s < t_r$, and u_p is \mathbf{t} -spread, necessarily u_p divides u_k . An absurd, since u_k is a minimal generator of I .

The above cases imply that if $\ell \in \text{set}(u_k)$, then $\ell \notin [\max(u_k), n]$ and $\ell \notin \text{supp}_{\mathbf{t}}(u_k)$.

Now assume $\ell \in [\max(u_k) - 1] \setminus \text{supp}_{\mathbf{t}}(u_k)$. Then $\ell = j_r + s$, for some $r \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and $s \geq t_r$. Let $j_q = \min \{j \in \text{supp}(u_k) : j > \ell\}$. Then $x_\ell(u_k/x_{j_q})$ is a \mathbf{t} -spread monomial that belongs to the ideal $(J_{k-1}, u_k) = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k) = J_k$, as $\ell < j_q$ and (J_{k-1}, u_k) is a \mathbf{t} -spread strongly stable ideal. By Lemma 2.1, $x_\ell(u_k/x_{j_q}) = u_p w$ with $p \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $w \in \text{Mon}(S)$ such that $\max(u_p) \leq \min(w)$. Clearly, $p \neq k$ and so $x_\ell(u_k/x_{j_q}) \in J_{k-1} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1})$. Finally $x_\ell u_k = x_\ell(u_k/x_{j_q})x_{j_q} \in J_{k-1}$ and $\ell \in \text{set}(u_k)$. The assertion follows. \square

As a consequence of the above result, one has

$$J_{k-1} : u_k = (x_i : i \in [\max(u_k) - 1] \setminus \text{supp}_{\mathbf{t}}(u_k)), \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, m.$$

On the other hand, for each k

$$|[\max(u_k) - 1] \setminus \text{supp}_{\mathbf{t}}(u_k)| = \max(u_k) - 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\deg(u_k)-1} t_\ell.$$

Thus, formula (2) yields the result we are looking for.

Corollary 2.4 *Let I be a \mathbf{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S . Then,*

$$\beta_{i,i+j}(I) = \sum_{u \in G(I)_j} \binom{\max(u) - 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} t_\ell}{i}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq 0.$$

As a consequence, we obtain:

Corollary 2.5 *Let I be a \mathbf{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S . Then*

$$P_{S/I}(y, z) = 1 + \sum_{u \in G(I)} (1 + y)^{\max(u)-1 - \sum_{s=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_s} y z^{\deg(u)}.$$

Proof. Let $P_{S/I}(y, z) = \sum_{i,j} \beta_{i,j}(I) y^i z^j$ be the bigraded Poincaré series of S/I . From [17, Corollary 1.6], for an ideal I with linear quotients, one has

$$P_{S/I}(y, z) = 1 + \sum_{u \in G(I)} (1 + y)^{|\text{set}(u)|} y z^{\deg(u)}.$$

The assertion follows from Corollary 2.4. \square

3. EXTREMAL BETTI NUMBERS

In this section we characterize the extremal Betti numbers of the class of \mathfrak{t} -spread Borel ideals. Our results generalizes some statements in [1] (see also [2, 3, 9, 10] and the reference therein).

First, one can note that Corollary 2.4 implies

$$\text{reg}(I) = \max \{ \deg(u) : u \in G(I) \}, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{pd}(I) = \max \{ \max(u) - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_j : u \in G(I) \}. \quad (5)$$

For our aim, the next lemma will play a crucial role.

Lemma 3.1 *Let I be a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S . If $\beta_{i,i+j}(I) \neq 0$, then $\beta_{k,k+j}(I) \neq 0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, i$.*

Proof. Let $\beta_{i,i+j}(I) \neq 0$. From Corollary 2.4, there exists a monomial $u \in G(I)_j$ such that $\max(u) - 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} t_\ell \geq i$. Hence, $\max(u) - 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} t_\ell \geq k$, for all $k = 0, \dots, i$. This implies that $\beta_{k,k+j}(I) \neq 0$, for all $k = 0, \dots, i$. \square

The previous lemma and the definition of extremal Betti number imply the next result.

Corollary 3.2 *Let I be a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I)$ is extremal;
- (ii) $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I) \neq 0$, and $\beta_{i,i+\ell}(I), \beta_{k,k+j}(I) = 0$, for all $i > k$ and all $j > \ell$.

The following characterization generalizes a result in [1, Theorem 1].

Proposition 3.3 *Let I be a \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I)$ is extremal;
- (ii) $\max \{ \max(u) : u \in G(I)_\ell \} = k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1$, and $\max(u) < k + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} t_r + 1$, for all $u \in G(I)_j$ and all $j > \ell$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Since I is \mathfrak{t} -spread strongly stable, Corollary 2.4 implies that $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I)$ is non zero if and only if there exists a monomial $u_0 \in G(I)_\ell$ such that $\max(u_0) \geq k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1$. Therefore,

$$\max \{ \max(u) : u \in G(I)_\ell \} \geq \max(u_0) \geq k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1. \quad (6)$$

Suppose that for a monomial $u_1 \in G(I)_\ell$, $\max(u_1) = j + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1 > k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1$, for some $j > k$. Then $\beta_{j,j+\ell}(I) \neq 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I)$ is

extremal (Corollary 3.2). Hence, $k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1 = \max \{ \max(u) : u \in G(I)_\ell \}$. Assume there exists a monomial $v \in G(I)_j$ such that $\max(v) \geq k + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} t_r + 1$, for some $j > \ell$. Then $\beta_{k,k+j}(I) \neq 0$. A contradiction, since $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I)$ is extremal (Corollary 3.2). Hence, condition (ii) holds.

(ii) \implies (i). Since $\max \{ \max(u) : u \in G(I)_\ell \} = k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1$, then $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I) \neq 0$ and $\beta_{j,j+\ell}(I) = 0$, for all $j > k$. Moreover, $\max(u) < k + \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} t_r + 1$, for all $u \in G(I)_j$ and all $j > \ell$, implies that $\beta_{k,k+j}(I) = 0$, for all $j > \ell$. Finally, by Corollary 3.2, $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I)$ is extremal. \square

The previous result yields the following useful corollary.

Corollary 3.4 *Let I be a \mathbf{t} -spread strongly stable ideal of S , and let $\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I)$ be an extremal Betti number of I . Then*

$$\beta_{k,k+\ell}(I) = \left| \left\{ u \in G(I)_\ell : \max(u) = k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1 \right\} \right| \leq \binom{k+\ell-1}{\ell-1}.$$

Proof. The equality follows immediately from Proposition 3.3. For the inequality, it suffices to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ u \in M_{n,\ell,\mathbf{t}} : \max(u) = k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1 \right\} \right| = \\ & = \binom{k + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j + 1 + (\ell - 1) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} t_j - 1}{\ell - 1} = \binom{k + \ell - 1}{\ell - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Example 3.5 Let $I = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_1x_4^2)$ be a $(1,0)$ -spread strongly stable ideal of $S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$

The Betti table of I is [14]:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{total:} & & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 2: & & 2 & 1 & - \\ 3: & & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{array}$$

Hence, $\text{pd}(I) = 2$, $\text{reg}(I) = 3$. Moreover, $\beta_{2,2+3}(I)$ is the unique extremal Betti number of I and by Corollary 3.4,

$$\beta_{2,2+3}(I) = \left| \left\{ u \in G(I)_3 : \max(u) = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{3-1} t_j + 1 = 4 \right\} \right| = \left| \left\{ x_1x_4^2 \right\} \right| = 1.$$

4. COHEN–MACAULAY VECTOR–SPREAD BOREL IDEALS

In this section we investigate the Cohen–Macaulayness of vector–spread Borel ideals by tools from [11, 13]. To obtain a characterization, we only need to investigate the height of a vector–spread Borel ideal. Indeed, from Corollary 2.4 and the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula, one has that

$$\text{depth}(I) = n - \max \left\{ \max(u) - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_j : u \in G(I) \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.1 *Let $I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}$ be the \mathbf{t} –spread Veronese ideal of degree d of S . Then*

$$\text{height}(I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) = n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j.$$

Proof. First, observe that $I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}} \neq (0)$ if and only if $n \geq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j$. The monomial prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (x_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j)$ is a minimal prime of $I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}$, as each monomial generator $u \in G(I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) = M_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}$ has minimum belonging to the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j\}$. Therefore

$$\text{height}(I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) \leq n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j.$$

Suppose $\text{height}(I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) = k < n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j$. Then, there would be a minimal prime $\mathfrak{q} = (x_{i_j} : j = 1, \dots, k)$ of $I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}$ of height $k \leq n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j - 1$, with all i_j distinct. The set $A = [n] \setminus \{i_j : j = 1, \dots, k\}$ has cardinality

$$|A| = n - k \geq n - (n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j - 1) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j.$$

We set $\ell_1 = \min(A)$ and $\ell_j = \min\{\ell \in A : \ell \geq \ell_{j-1} + t_j\}$, for all $j \geq 2$. The sequence $\ell_1 \leq \ell_2 \leq \dots \leq \ell_k$ has at least d terms, otherwise $|A| < 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j$. So, the monomial $w = x_{\ell_1} x_{\ell_2} \cdots x_{\ell_d}$ is \mathbf{t} –spread of degree d , but $w \in G(I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) \setminus \mathfrak{q}$, an absurd. Finally, we have $\text{height}(I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) = n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j$, as desired. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Let I be a \mathbf{t} –spread strongly stable ideal of S . Then*

$$\text{height}(I) = \max \left\{ \min(u) : u \in G(I) \right\}.$$

Proof. First we prove that $\text{height}(I) \leq \max\{\min(u) : u \in G(I)\}$. Indeed, let $u_0 \in G(I)$ such that $\min(u_0) = \max\{\min(u) : u \in G(I)\}$. In such a case the monomial prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{[\min(u_0)]} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\min(u_0)})$ is a minimal prime of I , thus proving the inequality. For the other inequality, write $u_0 = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_d}$ and consider the monomial $v_0 = x_{j_1} x_{j_1+t_1} \cdots x_{j_1+(t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{d-1})}$. Then $v_0 \in B_{\mathbf{t}}(u_0) \subseteq I$. Moreover, $I' = B_{\mathbf{t}}(v_0) = I_{j_1+t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{d-1},d,\mathbf{t}}$. Hence, by Proposition 4.1

$$\text{height}(I) \geq \text{height}(I') = j_1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{d-1} t_\ell - \sum_{\ell=1}^{d-1} t_\ell = j_1 = \min(v_0) = \min(u_0).$$

□

Using what we have shown thus far, we are able to classify all Cohen–Macaulay vector–spread strongly stable ideals of S .

Theorem 4.3 *Let $I \subseteq S$ be a \mathbf{t} –spread strongly stable ideal of S , $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{d-1})$. Then S/I is a Cohen–Macaulay ring if and only if there exists $u \in G(I)$ of degree $\ell \leq d$ such that*

$$u = x_{n-(t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\ell-1})} x_{n-(t_2+t_3+\dots+t_{\ell-1})} \cdots x_{n-t_{\ell-1}} x_n \in G(I).$$

In particular, if I is equigenerated in degree ℓ then S/I is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $I = I_{n,\ell,\mathbf{t}}$ is a vector–spread Veronese ideal.

Proof. Firstly, we may suppose $n \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \text{supp}(u)$. On the contrary, setting $\tilde{n} = \max \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \text{supp}(u)$, we may replace S with $\tilde{S} = K[x_1, \dots, x_{\tilde{n}}]$ and consider $I \cap \tilde{S}$, instead. Since $\text{pd}(S/I) = \text{pd}(I) + 1$, by (5), we have

$$\text{pd}(S/I) = \max \left\{ \max(u) - \sum_{j=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_j : u \in G(I) \right\}.$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 4.2,

$$\dim(S/I) = n - \max \left\{ \min(u) : u \in G(I) \right\}.$$

By the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula, $n - \text{pd}(S/I) = \text{depth}(S/I)$. Since S/I is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $\text{depth}(S/I) = \dim(S/I)$, by the previous formulas, S/I is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if

$$\max \left\{ \max(u) - \sum_{j=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_j : u \in G(I) \right\} = \max \left\{ \min(u) : u \in G(I) \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Let $u_0 \in G(I)$ such that $\min(u_0) = \max \left\{ \min(u) : u \in G(I) \right\}$. Clearly, for all $u \in G(I)$, $\min(u) \leq \max(u) - \sum_{j=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_j$. Hence, equation (7) holds if and only if

$$\min(u_0) = \max(u_0) - \sum_{j=1}^{\deg(u_0)-1} t_j.$$

Thus, S/I is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if there exist a monomial $u_0 \in G(I)$ and an integer $\ell \leq d$ such that

$$\min(u_0) = \max \left\{ \min(u) : u \in G(I) \right\}, \quad u_0 = x_{j_1} x_{j_1+t_1} \cdots x_{j_1+(t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\ell-1})}.$$

It remains to show that $j_1 = n - \sum_{r=1}^{\ell-1} t_r$. Since $n \in \bigcup_{u \in G(I)} \text{supp}(u)$, it follows the existence of a monomial $u \in G(I)$ with $\max(u) = n$. Moreover, $\min(u) \leq \min(u_0)$, as $\min(u_0) = \max \left\{ \min(u) : u \in G(I) \right\}$. Note that

$$\max(u) - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_r \leq j_1 = \min(u_0).$$

Hence, as $\max(u) = n$, we have $j_1 \geq n - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_r$. If $\deg(u) \leq \deg(u_0)$, then $j_1 \geq n - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_r \geq n - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u_0)-1} t_r$. On the other hand, $j_1 \leq n - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u_0)-1} t_r$. So, in such a case, $j_1 = n - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u_0)-1} t_r$, as desired.

Suppose now $\deg(u) > \deg(u_0)$. If $u = x_{k_1}x_{k_2} \cdots x_{k_\ell}x_{k_{\ell+1}} \cdots x_{k_{\deg(u)}}$, where $\ell = \deg(u_0)$, we set $u_1 = x_{k_1}x_{k_2} \cdots x_{k_\ell}$. If $k_\ell \leq j_1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\ell-1} t_r$, then u_1 is \mathbf{t} -spread and $k_1 \leq j_1, k_2 \leq j_2, \dots, k_\ell \leq j_\ell$, where $j_i = j_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} t_j$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$). Since I is \mathbf{t} -spread strongly stable, then $u_1 \in I$. A contradiction, as u_1 divides u and u is a minimal generator. Therefore, we must have $k_\ell > j_1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\ell-1} t_r$ and consequently

$$\max(u) - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u)-1} t_r \geq \max(u_1) - \sum_{r=1}^{\deg(u_1)-1} t_r > j_1,$$

which contradicts (7). □

Remark 4.4 From Theorem 4.3, the vector-spread Veronese ideal $I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay. Moreover, $\text{pd}(S/I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) = n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j$. So $I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal with pure resolution of type (d_1, \dots, d_p) , with $d_j = d + j - 1$, for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Therefore, by [8, Theorem 4.1.15], we have for all $i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(S/I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) &= (-1)^{i+1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i} = (-1)^{i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{d+j-1}{j-i} \prod_{j=i+1}^p \frac{d+j-1}{j-i} \\ &= \binom{d+i-2}{d-1} \binom{n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j + d - 1}{d+i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $i = 1$, we obtain $\mu(I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) = \beta_1(S/I_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}) = \binom{n - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_j + d - 1}{d} = |M_{n,d,\mathbf{t}}|$ and we get again formula (1).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. AMATA, M. CRUPI, *Extremal Betti numbers of t -spread strongly stable ideals*, Mathematics **7**(695) (2019).
- [2] L. AMATA, M. CRUPI, A. FICARRA, *Upper bounds for extremal Betti numbers of t -spread strongly stable ideals*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie **65** (113), No. 1 (2022), 13–34.
- [3] L. AMATA, A. FICARRA, M. CRUPI, *A numerical characterization of the extremal Betti numbers of t -spread strongly stable ideals*, J. Algebr. Comb. **55**, 891–918 (2022)
- [4] L. AMATA, M. CRUPI, A. FICARRA, *Projective dimension and Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of t -spread ideals*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput **32** No. 4 (2022), 837–858

- [5] C. ANDREI, V. ENE, B. LAJMIRI, *Powers of t -spread principal Borel ideals*, Arch. Math. **112**(6) (2019), 587–597.
- [6] A. ARAMOVA, J. HERZOG, T. HIBI, *Shifting Operations and Graded Betti Numbers*, J. Algebr. Comb. **12** (2000), 207–222.
- [7] D. BAYER, H. CHARALAMBOUS, S. POPESCU, *Extremal Betti numbers and applications to monomial ideals*, J. Algebra **221** (1999), 497–512.
- [8] W. BRUNS, J. HERZOG, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised Ed., Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [9] M. CRUPI, *Extremal Betti numbers of graded modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), 2277–2288.
- [10] M. CRUPI, C. FERRÒ, *Squarefree monomial modules and extremal Betti numbers*, Algebra Colloq. **23** (2016), 519–530.
- [11] M. CRUPI, A. FICARRA, *Classification of Cohen–Macaulay t -spread lexsegment ideals via simplicial complexes*, to appear in Illinois J. Math, 2022.
- [12] R. DINU, J. HERZOG, A. A. QURESHI, *Restricted classes of veronese type ideals and algebras*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **31**(01) (2021), 173–197.
- [13] V. ENE, J. HERZOG, A. A. QURESHI, *t -spread strongly stable monomial ideals*, Comm. Algebra **47**(12) (2019), 5303–5316.
- [14] D. R. GRAYSON, M. E. STILLMAN, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2>.
- [15] A. FICARRA, *Vector-spread monomial ideals and Eliahou–Kervaire type resolution*, arXiv preprint (2022).
- [16] J. HERZOG, T. HIBI, *Monomial ideals*, Graduate texts in Mathematics **260**, Springer–Verlag, 2011.
- [17] J. HERZOG, Y. TAKAYAMA, *Resolutions by mapping cones*, in: The Roos Festschrift volume Nr.2(2), Homology, Homotopy and Applications **4** (2002), 277–294.