

# On the chromatic numbers of 3-dimensional slices

D. D. Cherkashin, A. J. Kanel-Belov\*, G. A. Strukov, V. A. Voronov

August 4, 2022

## Abstract

We prove that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  holds

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \varepsilon]^6) \geq 10,$$

where  $\chi(M)$  stands for the chromatic number of an (infinite) graph with the vertex set  $M$  and the edge set consists of pairs of monochromatic points at the distance 1 apart.

arXiv:2208.02230v1 [math.CO] 3 Aug 2022

## 1 Introduction

We study colorings of a set  $\text{Slice}(n, k, \varepsilon) = \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \varepsilon]^k$  in a finite number of colors with the forbidden distance 1 between monochromatic points; further such sets are called *slices*. Slightly abusing the notation we say that  $n$  is the *dimension* of a slice.

Define graph  $G(n, k, \varepsilon)$ , which vertices are the point of  $\text{Slice}(n, k, \varepsilon)$  and edges connect points at the Euclidean distance 1 apart. Put

$$\chi[\text{Slice}(n, k, \varepsilon)] := \chi[G(n, k, \varepsilon)],$$

where  $\chi(H)$  is the chromatic number of a graph  $H$ . Obviously for every positive  $\varepsilon$  one has

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \chi[\text{Slice}(n, k, \varepsilon)] \leq \chi(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}).$$

Since  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^m) = (3 + o(1))^m$  (see [11]), the chromatic number of a slice is finite. So by the de Bruijn–Erdős theorem it is achieved on a finite subgraph.

### 1.1 Nelson–Hadwiger problem and its planar generalizations

In this notation the classical Nelson–Hadwiger problem is to determine  $\chi[\text{Slice}(2, 0, 0)]$ , but as usual we write  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for this quantity. The best known bounds up to the date are

$$5 \leq \chi(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 7.$$

The upper bound is a classical coloring of a regular hexagon tiling due to Isbell. The lower bound were obtained by de Grey [4] in 2018, breaking a 70 year-old record (another constructions are contained in [7, 6, 17, 13, 14]).

The study of slice colorings started at [10] with the following main result.

**Theorem 1.** *For every positive  $\varepsilon$  holds*

$$6 \leq \chi[\text{Slice}(2, 2, \varepsilon)].$$

Theorem 1 is a strengthening of the result  $\chi_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq 6$  (Currie–Eggleton [3]), where  $\chi_\varepsilon$  stands for the minimal number of colors, for which there is a coloring of plane without a pair of monochromatic points at the distance in the range  $[1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon]$ . Exoo [5] conjectured that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  holds  $\chi_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \geq 7$ .

On the other hand Isbell’ coloring implies inequality

$$\chi_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \leq 7$$

for  $\varepsilon < 0.13\dots$ . As a corollary, for every  $k$  there is  $\varepsilon_k > 0$  such that for every positive  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_k$  holds

$$\chi[\text{Slice}(2, k, \varepsilon)] \leq 7.$$

**Structure of the paper.** The results on real three-dimensional and rational two-dimensional slices are stated in Subsections 1.2 and 1.3, respectively. Section 2 contains some auxiliary lemmas. The proofs are contained in Section 3, 4 and 5. Section 6 is devoted to open questions.

\*The work of A. J. Kanel-Belov is supported by grant RNF 22-11-00177.

## 1.2 The chromatic numbers of real 3-dimensional slices

First recall the best bounds on  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . The best known lower bound  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^3) \geq 6$  is due to Nechushtan [12]. The upper bound  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^3) \leq 15$  is obtained independently by Coulson [2] and by Radoičić and Tóth [15].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *There is  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , such that for every positive  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  holds*

$$10 \leq \chi[\text{Slice}(3, 6, \varepsilon)] \leq 15.$$

The upper bound immediately follows from the coloring of  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^3)$  from [2, 15]. The lower bound requires somewhat more complicated arguments than in two dimensions.

The following theorem is a quantitative strengthening of Theorem 10 from [10] and is of an independent interest.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a regular simplex with the edge length  $a = \sqrt{2n(n+1)}$ . Then every proper coloring of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in a finite number of colors contains a point with the chromaticity at least  $n+1$ , belonging to  $T$ .*

**Corollary 1.** *For every positive  $\varepsilon'$  holds*

$$\chi_{\varepsilon'}(\mathbb{R}^3) \geq 10.$$

Indeed, the orthogonal projection of a unit distance graph from the proof of Theorem 2 for a fixed  $\varepsilon$  has distances between adjacent vertices in the range  $[\sqrt{1-6\varepsilon^2}, 1]$ .

## 1.3 The chromatic numbers of 2-dimensional rational slices

Denote by  $[0, \varepsilon]_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the set of rational numbers from  $[0, \varepsilon]$ . In paper [10] it is shown that  $\chi(\mathbb{Q} \times [0, \varepsilon]_{\mathbb{Q}}^3) = 3$ . Benda and Perles [1] show that  $\chi(\mathbb{Q}^4) = 4$ . Thus the chromatic number of  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \times [0, \varepsilon]_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$  is at most 4.

**Proposition 1.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  holds*

$$\chi(\mathbb{Q}^2 \times [0, \varepsilon]_{\mathbb{Q}}^2) = 4.$$

## 2 Notation and auxiliary lemmas

Here and after we focus on the following  $\text{Slice}(3, 6, \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^9$ .

**Definition 1.** *An attached sphere of a simplex with vertices  $\{v_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ ,  $3 \leq k \leq 4$  is a set of points at the distance 1 from each  $v_i$ :*

$$S(v_1, \dots, v_k; 1) := \bigcap_i S(v_i; 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^9.$$

*Note that if the radius  $r$  of a circumscribed  $(k-2)$ -dimensional sphere  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  is smaller than 1, then  $S(v_1, \dots, v_k; 1)$  is a  $(9-k)$ -dimensional sphere with the radius  $\sqrt{1-r^2}$ .*

**Definition 2.** *A  $t$ -equator of a sphere  $S$  is a subsphere of the dimension  $t$  which radius is equal to the radius of  $S$ .*

As usual,  $\bar{T}$  stands for the closure of a set  $T$ .

**Definition 3.** *Let a metric  $X$  be colored in a finite number of colors; denote these colors by  $C_1, \dots, C_m$ . A chromaticity of a point  $x \in X$  is the number of sets  $\bar{C}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  containing  $x$ .*

**Lemma 1** (Knaster–Kuratowski–Mazurkiewicz). *Suppose that  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex is covered by closed sets  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  in such a way that every face  $I \subset [n]$  is contained in the union of  $X_i$  over  $i \in I$ . Then all sets  $X_i$  have a common point.*

The following lemma is a spherical analogue of the planar lemma from [10]. The proof is also analogues; we provide it in the interest of completeness.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $S_r^2$  be a sphere of radius  $r > \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number, and  $Q \subset S_r^2$  be a  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of a curve  $\xi \subset S_r^2$ , such that*

$$\text{diam } \xi > \frac{\sqrt{4r^2 - 1}}{r}.$$

*Then  $\chi(Q) \geq 3$ .*




Figure 1: A path of length four between  $u$  and  $v_4$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality  $\varepsilon < 1$ . Denote by  $G(Q)$  the corresponding graph; we are going to find an odd cycle in  $G(Q)$ .

Consider a point  $u \in \xi$ . Since  $\text{diam } \xi > \frac{\sqrt{4r^2-1}}{r}$ , the intersection of  $S(u; 1)$  and  $\xi$  is non-empty. Let  $v \in S(u; 1) \cap \xi$ ; consider such points  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in S_r^2$  that  $\|u - v_1\| = 1$ ;  $\|v_i - v_{i+1}\| = 1$ ;  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . If the angles at the vertices of polygonal chain  $vv_1v_2v_3v_4$  are at most  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , then  $\|v - v_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ,  $\|u - v_2\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ,  $\|v - v_3\| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\|u - v_4\| < \varepsilon$ , and hence  $v_i \in Q$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

Note that

$$l_1 = \|u - v_2\| \in \left[0; 2 \sin \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right],$$

$$l_2 = \|v_2 - v_4\| \in \left[0; 2 \sin \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right]$$

can be chosen arbitrarily, and the oriented angle between vectors  $\overrightarrow{v_2u}$  and  $\overrightarrow{v_2v_4}$  can be independently chosen from  $[-\frac{\varepsilon}{4}; \frac{\varepsilon}{4}]$ . Fix the line containing vector  $\overrightarrow{v_2u}$ ; one may choose it orthogonal to  $uv$ . Then a set of all possible  $v_4$  contains a rhombus centered at  $u$  with the side length  $2 \sin \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  and the angle  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Then  $G(Q)$  contains a path of length 4 between  $u$  and an arbitrary point from a  $\gamma$ -neighbourhood of  $u$ , where  $\gamma = \sin \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sin \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ .

Thus one may move from  $u$  to  $v$  along  $\xi$  by steps of size at most  $\gamma$ . Every such step corresponds to a path of length 4 in  $G(Q)$ ; since  $v$  is adjacent to  $u$  we find a desired odd cycle in  $G(Q)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that a sphere  $S_r^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $r > \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$  has a proper coloring a finite number of colors. Then it has a point with the chromaticity at least 3.*

*Proof.* Let us show that there is a spherical ball with an arbitrarily small radius containing points of at least 3 colors. Suppose the contrary: there is a proper coloring of the sphere and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that every spherical ball with the radius  $\varepsilon$  is colored in at most 2 colors. Consider a partition of the sphere onto cells such that every cell contains a ball with the radius  $\delta = \varepsilon_0/100$  and is contained in a ball of the radius  $\varepsilon_0/10$ . Then every cell contains points of at most two colors, moreover all the adjacent cells are colored in the same two colors.

Join adjacent cells with the same palette. Then the sphere is divided on 2-colored domains, which are separated by monochromatic domains. Consider an arbitrary domain  $A$  and an arbitrary equator  $\omega$  crossing  $A$ . The diameter of  $A$  is smaller than  $\frac{\sqrt{4r^2-1}}{r}$  otherwise we have a contradiction with Lemma 2. Consider the domain that bounds  $A$  from the exterior. Its diameter is more than the diameter of  $A$  for at least  $\delta$ . Hence at least one of the domains contain the diameter of the sphere 2, since

$$\frac{\sqrt{4r^2-1}}{r} < 2r$$

for  $r > \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

The proof is finished due to compactness of the sphere.  $\square$

The proof of the main result requires several technical statements on stability of circumscribed and attached spheres of a tetrahedron with respect to a perturbation by vectors from the main subspace  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , i.e. vectors of type  $(p, q, r, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

Consider the following orthogonal decomposition  $P = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n = P \times \mathbb{R}^{n-3}$ . Let points  $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \in S^2(r_0; w_0) \subset P$  form a non-degenerate simplex  $T_0$  with the volume  $V_0 = \text{Vol } T_0$  and correspond

$$\|y_i - y_j\| \geq \delta, \quad i \neq j.$$

Consider also points  $z_i$  which coincide with  $y_i$  in the first the coordinates and correspond

$$\|z_i - y_i\| \leq h, \quad h \leq \delta \leq 1.$$

Let  $V$  be the volume of simplex  $T$  with vertices  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ . Denote by  $r, w$  the radius and the center of the outer sphere of  $T$

We need the definition of an  $n$ -dimensional angle between subspaces of Euclidean space in a particular case.

**Definition 4** ([9]). Let  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  be an arbitrary basis in  $P$  and  $e'_1, e'_2, e'_3$  be its orthogonal projection onto  $P'$ ; denote by  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  the exterior product of  $e_1, e_2$ . Then we say that

$$\phi = \arccos \frac{|e'_1 \wedge e'_2 \wedge e'_3|}{|e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3|}.$$

is the angle between subspaces  $P$  and  $P'$ .

Let  $\text{pr}_P x$  be the orthogonal projection of a point  $x$  onto subspace  $P$ . Observe that  $|e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3|$  is the volume of the parallelepiped spanned by  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ . Further, the coefficient by which the three-dimensional volume is multiplied in the projection is certainly not greater than the cosine of the angle between any straight line in  $P$  and its projection onto  $P'$  [9], thus

$$\|\text{pr}_P x - \text{pr}_P y\| \geq \|x - y\| \cos \phi.$$

**Lemma 4.**

$$|V_0^2 - V^2| = O(\delta^6 h^2).$$

*Proof.* Consider Cayley–Menger determinants for simplices  $T_0$  and  $T$ :

$$\det C_0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & d_{0,1,2}^2 & d_{0,1,3}^2 & d_{0,1,4}^2 \\ 1 & d_{0,2,1}^2 & 0 & d_{0,2,3}^2 & d_{0,2,4}^2 \\ 1 & d_{0,3,1}^2 & d_{0,3,2}^2 & 0 & d_{0,3,4}^2 \\ 1 & d_{0,4,1}^2 & d_{0,4,2}^2 & d_{0,4,3}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 288V_0^2,$$

$$\det C = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & d_{1,2}^2 & d_{1,3}^2 & d_{1,4}^2 \\ 1 & d_{2,1}^2 & 0 & d_{2,3}^2 & d_{2,4}^2 \\ 1 & d_{3,1}^2 & d_{3,2}^2 & 0 & d_{3,4}^2 \\ 1 & d_{4,1}^2 & d_{4,2}^2 & d_{4,3}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 288V^2,$$

where  $d_{0,i,j}^2 = (y_i - y_j, y_i - y_j)$ ,  $d_{i,j}^2 = (z_i - z_j, z_i - z_j)$ .

By the orthogonality, one has  $(y_i - y_j, z_j - y_j) = 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i,j}^2 &= (z_i - z_j, z_i - z_j) = \|(z_i - y_i) + (y_i - y_j) + (y_j - z_j)\|^2 = \\ &= (z_i - y_i, z_i - y_i) + (y_i - y_j, y_i - y_j) + (z_j - y_j, z_j - y_j) - 2(z_i - y_i, z_j - y_j); \\ &|d_{i,j}^2 - d_{0,i,j}^2| \leq 4h^2. \end{aligned}$$

The number of nonzero summands in a Cayley–Menger determinant is equal to 44 (i.e. the number of 5-element permutations without fixed points [16]). After evaluating every summand, one has

$$|V_0^2 - V^2| \leq \frac{4 \cdot 44}{288} (4\delta^6 h^2 + 6\delta^4 h^4 + 4\delta^2 h^6 + h^8) = O(\delta^6 h^2).$$

□

**Lemma 5.** Put  $Q = \{q_{ij}\} = C^{-1} - C_0^{-1}$ . Then

$$|2r^2 - 2r_0^2| = |q_{11}| = O(h^2).$$

*Proof.* Equality  $|2r^2 - 2r_0^2| = |q_{11}|$  is proved, for instance, in [8]. Using Lemma 4 and Cramer's rule, one gets

$$|q_{11}| = \left| \frac{A_{11}}{288V_0^2} - \frac{A'_{11}}{288V^2} \right| = \frac{|A_{11}V^2 - V_0^2 A'_{11}|}{288V^2 V_0^2} \leq \frac{|(V_0^2 \pm c_1 \delta^6 h^2)A_{11} - (A_{11} \pm c_2 \delta^6 h^2)V_0^2|}{c_3 \delta^6} = O(h^2),$$

where  $A_{11}, A'_{11}$  — are the minors of the high-left corner element in matrices  $C_0, C$ , respectively. □

**Lemma 6.** The following inequality holds

$$|\phi| = O(h).$$

*Proof.* Indeed,

$$|\phi| = \arccos \frac{V_0}{V} = \arcsin \left( \frac{V^2 - V_0^2}{V^2} \right)^{1/2} = \arcsin \left( \frac{O(\delta^6 h^2)}{\Omega(\delta^6)} \right)^{1/2} = O(h).$$

□

### 3 Proof of Theorem 3

Suppose the contrary. Let  $C_i$  — be a set of points  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of color  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Define

$$C_i^* := \overline{\text{Int } \overline{C_i}} \quad (\text{the closure of the interior of the closure}).$$

Split every  $C_i^*$  into connected components (with respect to the standard topology):

$$C_i^* = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A_i} D_\alpha.$$

Put also  $\{D_\alpha\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{\alpha \in A_i} D_\alpha$ .

(i) *Sets  $C_i^*$  cover  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .* Suppose the contrary, i.e.  $\exists v : \forall i \ v \notin C_i^*$ . Then there is an open ball  $B(v; \eta)$  such that

$$B(v; \eta) \cap C_i^* = \emptyset; \quad B(v; \eta) \subset \bigcup C_i.$$

Consider an arbitrary ball

$$B^1 \subset B(v; \eta) \setminus \overline{C_1}.$$

Then  $B^1$  cannot be a subset of  $\overline{C_i}$ , otherwise the intersection of the interior of  $\overline{C_i}$  and  $B(v; \eta)$  is nonempty. Define a sequence of balls

$$B^{k+1} \subset B^k \setminus \overline{C_k}.$$

Note that points of  $B^{m+1}$  do not belong to any  $\overline{C_i}$ , which is a contradiction.

(ii) *Suppose that a point  $v$  belongs to exactly  $k$  sets  $C_i^*$  (without loss of generality  $1 \leq i \leq k \leq n$ ). Then for every  $\mu_0 > 0$  there is  $\mu < \mu_0$  such that sphere  $S(v; 1 - \mu)$  does not intersect at least one of those  $k$  sets.*




Figure 2: Illustration to item (ii).

Suppose the contrary, i.e. there is such a  $\mu_0 > 0$  that for every  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$  holds

$$S(v; 1 - \mu) \cup C_i^* \neq \emptyset, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

By the definition of  $C_i^*$  any neighbourhood of an arbitrary point  $x \in C_i^*$  contains a point from  $\text{Int } \overline{C_i}$ . Hence, the set

$$\mathcal{M}_0 := \{\mu \in (0, \mu_0) \mid S(v; 1 - \mu) \cap \text{Int } \overline{C_i} = \emptyset, \ 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

is at most countable.

Fix some  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0) \setminus \mathcal{M}_0$ . One may choose points  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  in such a way that

$$x_i \in S(v; 1 - \mu) \cap \text{Int } \overline{C_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k;$$

and  $\{v, x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  are in a general position (i.e. all the simplices are non-degenerate). Consider any  $\eta > 0$  such that  $B(x_i; \eta) \subset C_i^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

For an arbitrary choice of  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  the color of point

$$w := w(y_1, \dots, y_k) = \underset{u \in U}{\text{Arg min}} \|u - v\|, \quad U = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} S(y_i; 1)$$

differs from the colors of  $y_1, \dots, y_k$ . Put

$$z \in B(0; \eta); \quad y_i = x_i + z.$$

In a small neighbourhood of  $\{y_i\}$  function  $w(\cdot)$  is properly defined and continuous. For every

$$y'_i \in C_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

from the neighbourhood holds

$$w(y'_1, \dots, y'_k) \in \bigcup_{j=k+1}^m C_j.$$

At the same time quantity

$$\delta(y'_1, \dots, y'_k) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|y'_i - y_i\|$$

can be chosen arbitrarily small and hence

$$w(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \bigcup_{j=k+1}^m \bar{C}_j.$$

Since  $z \in B(0; \eta)$  was chosen arbitrarily

$$B(w; \eta) \subset \bigcup_{j=k+1}^m \bar{C}_j.$$

Hence an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $w$  has an inner point of at least one set  $\bar{C}_j$ ,  $k+1 \leq j \leq m$ . Note that  $w(y_1, \dots, y_k) \rightarrow v$  with  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ , so  $v$  belongs to at least one of sets  $\bar{C}_j$ ,  $k+1 \leq j \leq m$ , which contradicts to the initial assumption.

**(iii)** *There is a cover of  $T$  by sets from  $\{D_\alpha\}$ , such that every set from the cover is contained in a closed unit ball.* By (ii) every point is covered by at least one set that satisfies the condition. Axiom of choice finishes the proof of the item. For every color  $i$  denote by  $\{D_\beta^{(i)}\}$  the chosen sets.

**(iv)** *There is a finite cover of  $T$  by closed sets  $D'_{ik}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq K_i$ , such that every set from the cover is the union of some sets from  $\{D_\alpha\}$  and also is contained in a closed unit ball.*

Fix a color  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and consider a sequence  $v_1^i, v_2^i, \dots \in \bigcup D_\beta^{(i)}$  such that

$$v_{s+1}^i \in \bigcup D_\beta^{(i)} \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s} B(v_j^i; 1).$$

Let the sequence be maximal (with respect to inclusion). The pairwise distances  $v_j^i$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  are at least 1, so the sequence is finite because  $T$  is bounded. Now let us define

$$D'_{ik} = \overline{B(v_k^i; 1)} \cap \left( \bigcup D_\beta^{(i)} \right).$$

Every set  $D'_{ik}$  is separated from other connected components of  $C_i^*$  by a neighbourhood of a sphere, without points from  $C_i^*$  (see Fig. 3). Thus these sets are closed.

Come back to the main construction and note that every set  $D'_{ik}$  cannot intersect every face of simplex  $T$ , because it is contained in an open unit ball while the inner radius of  $T$  is equal to 1. Split the cover  $\mathcal{D}' = \bigcup_i \{D'_{ik}\}$  into  $n+1$  subfamilies, in the way that every set subfamily consists of sets that do not intersect a face of  $T$ . Clearly there is a bijection between subfamilies and the vertices of  $T$ . Let  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  be the unions of sets over corresponding subfamilies. By Lemma 1 sets  $X_i$  have a common point  $x_*$ , and thus an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $x_*$  intersects with at least  $n+1$  sets from  $\{D_\alpha\}$ . They belong to at least  $n+1$  different  $\{C_i^*\}$  (because they are connected components). Hence,  $x^*$  has the chromaticity at least  $n+1$ .




Figure 3: Illustration to item (iv). The construction of sets  $D'_{ik}$

## 4 Proof of the main result

**Outline of the proof.** Suppose the contrary to the statement. First, we find points  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  of different colors, such that the intersection  $I$  of attached sphere  $S(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, 1)$  and the slice contains 2-equator  $S^2$  of the sphere and we also require the radius of the sphere to be close to 1.

Then  $I$  is close (in the sense of Hausdorff distance) to  $S_{1-\eta}^2 \times [0, \varepsilon]^3$ , where  $\eta$  is small enough. Then one can follow the arguments from [10], that were applied in the case of 2-dimensional slices. Note that the sets of colors of  $I$  and  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  are disjoint.

Let us find points  $v_5, v_6, v_7 \in I$ , such that an equator of the corresponding attached sphere belongs to the slice. The attached sphere of  $v_1, \dots, v_7$  has an equator belonging to the slice, so the intersection of  $v_1, \dots, v_7$  contains a spherical neighbourhood of a circle. It requires 3 additional colors in addition to the colors of points  $v_1, \dots, v_7$ .




Figure 4: Construction of a rainbow 10-point set.

**Step 1.** Finding of points  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ , which attached sphere has the radius closed to 1 and the great circle belonging to the slice.

This requires the 3-dimensional subspace  $U$  spanned by  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ , to be “almost orthogonal” to the main subspace  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and the circumradius of the simplex  $v_1v_2v_3v_4$  in  $U$  to be small enough.

Consider the standard Cartesian coordinate system in slice  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \varepsilon]^6$ :

$$v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, \dots, y_6), \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y_i \in [0, \varepsilon].$$

For a given point  $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, \dots, y_6)$  define projections

$$p_R(v) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, 0, \dots, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad p_\varepsilon(v) = (0, 0, 0, y_1, \dots, y_6).$$

Consider sphere  $S := S_{\varepsilon_1}^5$  of the radius  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon/2$  centered at  $(0, 0, 0, \varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2, \dots, \varepsilon/2)$ ; note that  $S \subset (0, 0, 0) \times [0, \varepsilon]^6$ . Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an arbitrary regular tetrahedron with the edge length  $2\sqrt{6}$  and the center at the origin and  $u$  be an arbitrary point of sphere  $S$ . By Lemma 3 every set  $T \times \{u\} \subset T \times S$  has a point with chromaticity at least 4.

Fix the parameters  $\delta, h > 0$ , which values will be chosen later.

Consider a set of points  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\} \subset S$  such that  $|u_i - u_j| \geq \delta$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $m$  is maximal. Obviously  $m = \Omega(\delta^{-5})$ . Match every point  $u_i \in U$  with an arbitrary point  $u_i^* \in T \times \{u_i\}$  with chromaticity at least 4.

Consider how  $T$  is cut by a cubic mesh with edge length  $h$ :

$$T_{i,j,k} := \bigsqcup_{i,j,k} T \cap Z_{i,j,k}; \quad Z_{i,j,k} := [ih, (i+1)h) \times [jh, (j+1)h) \times [kh, (k+1)h),$$

where  $i, j, k$  are integers. Since  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is bounded, one has

$$\#\{(i, j, k) : T \cap Z_{i,j,k} \neq \emptyset\} = O(h^{-3}).$$

Consider points  $w_i = p_R(u_i^*) \in T$ . Put  $h = \delta^{3/2}$ . There is a cell  $T_{a,b,c}$  such that it contains at least

$$\frac{\Omega(\delta^{-5})}{O(\delta^{-9/2})} = \Omega(\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

points  $\{w_i\}$ . Hence for  $\delta$  small enough a cell contains at least 3 points; let  $v'_1, v'_2, v'_3$  be arbitrary points, which projections belong to  $T_{a,b,c}$ .

Substituting  $h$ , one has

$$\max_{i \neq j} \|v'_i - v'_j\| \leq \sqrt{3}h = \sqrt{3}\delta^{3/2} = o(\delta).$$

Let  $v$  be an arbitrary point in  $T_{a,b,c}$ . We proved that  $v'_1, v'_2, v'_3$  lie in a  $o(\delta)$ -neighbourhood of  $S^5(v)$ , and have pairwise distances at least  $\delta$ . Let  $v_4$  be a point in  $S^5(v)$  at the largest distance from the plane spanned by  $v'_1, v'_2$  and  $v'_3$ . Put  $u_i = p_\varepsilon(v'_i)$  and evaluate from below the volume of simplex  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$ . First, evaluate the area of triangle  $u_1u_2u_3$ . If the triangle is acute or right it has the area  $\Omega(\delta^2)$ , otherwise without loss of generality  $\angle u_1$  is obtuse. Note that the area is at least  $\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 \sin \angle u_1$ . Clearly

$$\angle u_2u_1u_3 \leq \angle Ou_1u_2 + \angle Ou_1u_3 = \arccos \frac{\|u_1 - u_2\|}{2\varepsilon_1} + \arccos \frac{\|u_1 - u_3\|}{2\varepsilon_1} \leq 2 \arccos \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_1},$$

since triangles  $u_1u_2O$  and  $u_1u_3O$  are isosceles. Then

$$2 \arccos \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_1} = \pi - \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon_1} + O(\delta^3).$$

Hence  $\sin \angle u_2u_1u_3 = \sin(\pi - \angle u_2u_1u_3) = \Omega(\delta)$  so

$$S(\Delta u_1u_2u_3) = \Omega(\delta^3).$$

Finally, note that the distance from  $u_4$  to the plane spanned by  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  is at least  $\varepsilon_1$ .

Let us find in small neighbourhoods of  $v'_1, v'_2$  and  $v'_3$  points  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$ , respectively, such that colors of points  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  and  $v_4$  are pairwise different. Then by Lemmas 5 and 6 the attached sphere of simplex  $v_1v_2v_3v_4$  can be arbitrarily close to the attached sphere of simplex  $v'_1v'_2v'_3v_4$  and hence belongs to the slice.

**Step 2.** Finding in sphere  $S(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4; 1)$  points  $v_5, v_6, v_7$  of different colors such that attached sphere  $S(v_1, \dots, v_7, 1)$  has a 2-equator belonging to the slice. Note that  $S(v_1, \dots, v_7, 1)$  is the intersection of  $S(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4; 1)$  and  $S(v_5, v_6, v_7; 1)$ . A proper choice of  $\varepsilon_1, h$  makes radii of the spheres and the distance between its centers close to 1. Then the radius of  $S(v_1, \dots, v_7, 1)$  tends to  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Suppose the intersection of an attached sphere with the slice

$$M := S(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4; 1) \cap \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \varepsilon]^6 = S_{1-\eta}^5 \cap \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \varepsilon]^6$$



is properly colored and the equator  $M_E = S^2_{1-\eta}$  belongs to the slice.

Let  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^9$  be the 6-dimensional subspace containing  $S^2_{1-\eta}$ . Consider a coordinates in  $H$  such that  $M_E$  belongs to the subspace spanned by the first 3 coordinates. For every point  $u \in M_E$ ,  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, 0, 0, 0)$  consider a sphere

$$S^2(u; \nu) = \{\sqrt{1 - \nu^2}u + \xi \mid \xi = (0, 0, 0, \xi_4, \xi_5, \xi_6); \|\xi\| = \nu\}.$$

Note that  $S^2(u; \nu)$  is a subset of  $M$ .

For every  $u$  consider the following regular pentagon belonging to  $S^2(u; \nu)$ :

$$w_{u,k} = \left( u_1, u_2, u_3, \cos \frac{2\pi k}{5} \nu, \sin \frac{2\pi k}{5} \nu, 0 \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, 5.$$

Let  $u$  be a point. If one can find among  $w_{u,1}, \dots, w_{u,5}$  points of three different colors, then they can be taken as  $v_5, v_6, v_7$ . Otherwise vertices of every pentagon are colored in at most 2 different colors, i.e. there is a color with at least three representatives. Call this color *dominating* at  $u$ .

Consider an auxiliary coloring  $\pi$  in which every point of  $M_E$  has its dominating color. Let us show that  $\pi$  is proper. Indeed if the distance between  $p, q \in M_E$  is equal to 1, then  $\|w_{p,k} - w_{q,k}\| = 1$  for every  $k$ , so by the pigeonhole principle dominating colors at  $p$  and  $q$  are different.

By Lemma 3 sphere  $M_E$  has a point  $u^*$  with chromaticity at least 3, i.e. an arbitrary neighbourhood of  $u^*$  has three points of different dominating colors. Then one may choose from corresponding pentagons 3 points of different colors in a way that chosen points lie in three small neighbourhoods of points  $w_{u^*,1}, \dots, w_{u^*,5}$ . Every triangle with vertices in these points is non-degenerate, and have sides of length at least  $\nu$ .

**Step 3.** Recall that every point from  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  and every point from  $v_5, v_6, v_7$  lie at the distance 1 apart. Moreover,  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  have pairwise different colors; the same holds for  $v_5, v_6, v_7$ . Moreover, by Lemma 2 the intersection of attached sphere  $S(v_1, \dots, v_7; 1)$  and the slice has the chromatic number at least 3. Hence we show that a proper coloring of the slice requires at least  $4+3+3=10$  colors, as desired.

## 5 Proof of Proposition 1

Consider the following 4 points in  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \times [0, \varepsilon]_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (0, 0, 0, 0), \\ B &= (q, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha, \beta), \quad C = (q, -\frac{1}{2}, \alpha, \beta), \\ D &= (2q, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$|AB|^2 = |AC|^2 = |BD|^2 = |CD|^2 = q^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \alpha^2 + \beta^2. \quad (1)$$

Our goal is to choose numbers  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \varepsilon]_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that expression (1) is equal to 1. Let  $q = a/2b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are some integers. Then we need

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{a^2}{(2b)^2} = \frac{3b^2 - a^2}{4b^2}.$$

It is enough for  $(a, b)$  to satisfy

$$3b^2 - a^2 = 2, \quad (2)$$

so if  $b$  is large enough, we can put  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2b}$ .

Let us construct a series of solutions to (2) as follows. Given the solution  $(a_n, b_n)$ , we build next pair as

$$(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}) = (7a_n + 12b_n, 4a_n + 7b_n). \quad (3)$$

One can check that  $(a_{n+1}, b_{n+1})$  is a solution to (2) by straightforward computation and use of assumption that so is  $(a_n, b_n)$ . Now by taking  $(a_0, b_0) = (1, 1)$  we get an infinite sequence of solutions with  $b_n$  strictly increasing without limit. So for any given  $\varepsilon$  there is some  $n_\varepsilon$  such that for  $n > n_\varepsilon$

$$\frac{3b_n^2 - a_n^2}{4b_n^2} = \frac{1}{2b_n^2} < \varepsilon.$$

Now we are going to find such integers  $x$  and  $y$  that

$$x \cdot \frac{a_n}{b_n} + y \cdot \frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}} = 1$$

or

$$x \cdot a_n b_{n+1} - y \cdot a_{n+1} b_n = b_n b_{n+1}.$$

So existence of such  $x$  and  $y$  is equivalent to

$$\gcd(a_n b_{n+1}, a_{n+1} b_n) \mid b_n b_{n+1}.$$

It is sufficient to show that  $\gcd(\dots) = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \gcd(a_n b_{n+1}, a_{n+1} b_n) \mid (a_n b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_n), \\ a_n b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_n &= a_n(4a_n + 7b_n) - b_n(7a_n + 12b_n) = 4a_n^2 - 12b_n^2 = -4(3b_n^2 - a_n^2) = -8. \end{aligned}$$

And from (3) it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &\equiv a_n \equiv \dots \equiv a_0 = 1 \pmod{2}, \\ b_{n+1} &\equiv b_n \equiv \dots \equiv b_0 = 1 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\gcd(\dots) = 1$  as required.

Finally, let  $\chi(\mathbb{Q}^2 \times [0, \varepsilon]_{\mathbb{Q}}^2) = 3$ . Then points  $A$  and  $D$  have the same color. Hence, each point at the distance  $k \cdot a_n/b_n + l \cdot a_{n+1}/b_{n+1}$  (where  $1/2b_n^2 < \varepsilon$  and  $k, l$  are integers) from 0 has the same color. Taking  $k = x$  and  $l = y$ , one can obtain that  $(1, 0, 0, 0)$  has the same color. A contradiction.

**Note 1.** Recursion formula (3) was obtained the following way. Consider a ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ . It has the norm

$$N(a + b\sqrt{3}) = (a + b\sqrt{3})(a - b\sqrt{3}) = a^2 - 3b^2.$$

Then (2) transforms to an equation  $N(\alpha) = -2$ . Norm is multiplicative:  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ . So if  $N(\alpha) = -2$  and  $N(\varepsilon) = 1$ , then  $N(\alpha\varepsilon) = -2$ . For (3) one can take  $\varepsilon = 7 + 4\sqrt{3}$ .

## 6 Further questions

We are not able to solve the following problem with a small enough function  $\delta(\varepsilon)$ , which is an objection to generalization of the result to an arbitrary dimension.

**Problem 1.** Consider sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $m \geq n + 1$ . It turns out that every choice of  $(n + 1)$  points from pairwise different sets form a simplex with volume at least  $\varepsilon$ . Show that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  lie in a  $\delta(\varepsilon)$ -neighbourhood of some hyperplane.

**Problem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be a family of compact convex set  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that a proper coloring of any  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have 0 point of chromaticity at least  $n + 1$  in every  $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . Evaluate  $V_n^* = \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}_n} \text{Vol } M$  from above.

$$\text{Theorem 3 gives the bound } V_n^* \leq \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{n! \sqrt{2^n}} \cdot \left( \sqrt{2n(n+1)} \right)^n = \frac{\sqrt{n^n(n+1)^{n+1}}}{n!}.$$

## References

- [1] Miro Benda and Micha Perles. Colorings of metric spaces. *Geombinatorics*, 9(3):113–126, 2000.
- [2] David Coulson. A 15-colouring of 3-space omitting distance one. *Discrete mathematics*, 256(1):83–90, 2002.
- [3] James D. Currie and Roger B. Eggleton. Chromatic properties of the Euclidean plane. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.03667*, 2015.
- [4] Aubrey D. N. J. de Grey. The chromatic number of the plane is at least 5. *Geombinatorics*, 25(1):18–31, 2018.
- [5] Geoffrey Exoo.  $\varepsilon$ -unit distance graphs. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 33(1):117–123, 2005.
- [6] Geoffrey Exoo and Dan Ismailescu. The chromatic number of the plane is at least 5: A new proof. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, pages 1–11, 2018.
- [7] Marijn J. H. Heule. Computing small unit-distance graphs with chromatic number 5. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.12181*, 2018.
- [8] Vladimir F. Ivanoff. The circumradius of a simplex. *Mathematics Magazine*, 43(2):71–72, 1970.
- [9] Sheng Jiang. Angles between Euclidean subspaces. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 63(2):113–121, 1996.

- [10] A. Kanel-Belov, V. Voronov, and D. Cherkashin. On the chromatic number of an infinitesimal plane layer. *St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal*, 29(5):761–775, 2018.
- [11] David G. Larman and Ambrose C. Rogers. The realization of distances within sets in Euclidean space. *Mathematika*, 19(01):1–24, 1972.
- [12] Oren Nechushtan. On the space chromatic number. *Discrete mathematics*, 256(1):499–507, 2002.
- [13] Jaan Parts. The chromatic number of the plane is at least 5 — a human-verifiable proof. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.12661*, 2020.
- [14] Jaan Parts. Graph minimization, focusing on the example of 5-chromatic unit-distance graphs in the plane. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.12665*, 2020.
- [15] Radoš Radoičić and Géza Tóth. Note on the chromatic number of the space. In *Discrete and computational geometry, Algorithms and Combinatorics book series*, volume 25, pages 695–698. Springer, 2003.
- [16] OEIS Foundation Inc. Subfactorial or rencontres numbers, or derangements: number of permutations of  $n$  elements with no fixed points. <http://oeis.org/A000166>, 2019.
- [17] Vsevolod Voronov, Anna Neopryatnaya, and Eugene Dergachev. Constructing 5-chromatic unit distance graphs embedded in the Euclidean plane and two-dimensional spheres. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11824*, 2021.

**Danila Cherkashin:** Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Sofia 1113, 8 Acad. G. Bonchev str.

Email address: [jiocb.orlangyr@gmail.com](mailto:jiocb.orlangyr@gmail.com)

**Alexei Kanel-Belov:** Department of Discrete Mathematics, Moscow institute of physics and technology, Dolgoprudny 141700, Russia.

Email address: [kanelster@gmail.com](mailto:kanelster@gmail.com)

**Georgii Strukov** The Euler International Mathematical Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia

**Vsevolod Voronov:** Caucasus Mathematical Center of Adyghe State University, Maikop 385000, Russia.

Email address: [v-vor@yandex.ru](mailto:v-vor@yandex.ru)