

TOTAL STABILITY AND AUSLANDER-REITEN THEORY FOR DYNKIN QUIVERS

YARIANA DIAZ, CODY GILBERT, AND RYAN KINSER

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns stability functions for Dynkin quivers, in the generality introduced by Rudakov. We show that relatively few inequalities need to be satisfied for a stability function to be totally stable (i.e. to make every indecomposable stable). Namely, a stability function μ is totally stable if and only if $\mu(\tau V) < \mu(V)$ for every almost split sequences $0 \rightarrow \tau V \rightarrow E \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ where E is indecomposable. These can be visualized as those sequences around the “border” of the Auslander-Reiten quiver.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background and notation	2
3. Proof of main theorem in types \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{D}	5
4. Proof of the main theorem in type \mathbb{E}	13
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and context. This paper concerns stability for quivers in the generality defined by Rudakov [Rud97], following the formalism of stability functions presented in [BST] (see Definition 2.1). These are functions from the collection of isomorphism classes of representations to a partially ordered set which satisfy the see-saw property (2.2). This is more general than Bridgeland’s stability conditions in [Bri07], also called linear stability conditions or central charges. Other notions of stability for quiver representations were also introduced in [Sch91, Kin94]. Stability conditions have found connections across various areas of mathematics such as moduli spaces of representations, semi-invariants, Harder-Narasimhan filtrations, quantum dilogarithm identities, and green sequences and paths.

We are specifically interested in stability functions which make every indecomposable representation of a quiver stable. Such a stability function is called *totally stable*, and in the case of linear stability conditions, the collection of these has received a lot of attention in recent years [Rei03, Qiu15, Igu20, HH20, AI20, KOT21, Qiub, BGMS, Kin22, Qiua, QZ, Mar, CQZ]. In considering total stability, we can immediately restrict our attention to Dynkin quivers, as every non-Dynkin quiver has indecomposable representations with an indecomposable subrepresentation of the same dimension vector, and thus cannot be stable. For Dynkin quivers, the structure of the module category is very combinatorial and nicely encoded by the Auslander-Reiten quiver; this is the main tool we use throughout this paper. We work over an arbitrary base field which does not require its own notation.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G20, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. Dynkin quiver, Auslander-Reiten quiver, stability function, total stability. This work was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (636534, RK).

1.2. Results. An elementary reduction shows that μ is totally stable if and only if $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$ for all pairs of nonzero *indecomposable* representations $W < V$ (see Lemma 2.4). The third column of the table below shows the number of pairs of distinct indecomposables for each Dynkin type, a rough estimate of the number of inequalities which would need to be considered with only this reduction.

Our main theorem gives a criteria for a stability function to be totally stable that significantly reduces the number of pairs which need to be considered. The fourth column of the table below shows the much smaller number of inequalities which need to be considered according to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Q be a Dynkin quiver and $\mu: \text{rep}^*(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a stability function on Q . We have that μ is totally stable if and only if $\mu(\tau V) < \mu(V)$ for all almost-split sequences $0 \rightarrow \tau V \rightarrow E \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ such that E is indecomposable (where τ is the Auslander-Reiten translation).*

Type	Number of Indecomposables	Number of Stability Inequalities	Number of Inequalities using Theorem
\mathbb{A}_n	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$	$o(n^4)$	$n - 1$
\mathbb{D}_n	$n(n - 1)$	$o(n^4)$	$3(n - 2)$
\mathbb{E}_6	36	1260	15
\mathbb{E}_7	63	3906	24
\mathbb{E}_8	120	14280	42

The proof uses combinatorics of Auslander-Reiten quivers (reviewed in §2.3), proceeding in cases by Dynkin type, with type \mathbb{A} having already been completed in [Kin22]. Of course, the combinatorics of Auslander-Reiten quivers is more complicated in types \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{E} , but fortunately the general strategy of our type \mathbb{D} proof can be intuitively extended to type \mathbb{E} .

Remark 1.2. This project began with a computational investigation of [Rei03, Conjecture 7.1], which considers standard linear stability functions, that is, those of the form $\mu(V) = (\theta \cdot \underline{\dim} V) / \dim V$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ is an integral weight, \cdot is the usual dot product, and $\dim V$ is the total vector space dimension of V . We found that the conjecture is:

- true for all orientations in type \mathbb{A}_n for all n (already proven in citations above);
- true in type \mathbb{D}_n for $n \leq 8$;
- false for certain orientations in type \mathbb{D}_n for all $n \geq 9$;
- true for all orientations in type \mathbb{E}_6 ;
- false for certain orientations in types \mathbb{E}_7 and \mathbb{E}_8 .

Marczinzik recently posted an easily verifiable case of when it is false in [Mar]. In references cited above, a modification of the conjecture using more general linear stability conditions is proposed that replaces $\dim V$ in the denominator with $w \cdot V$ where $w \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a positive weight, whose solution has just been addressed in [CQZ]. \square

Acknowledgements. We thank the QPA team for development of the software [Qt] which was used for many explorations that led to discovering the results of this paper.

2. BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

2.1. Quiver representations. We recall just enough here to establish our notation; an introduction to quiver representations can be found in texts such as [ASS06, Sch14, DW17].

We write Q_0 for the set of vertices of a quiver Q , and Q_1 for its set of arrows, while ta and ha denote the *tail* and *head* of an arrow $ta \xrightarrow{a} ha$. The category of finite dimensional representations of Q is written $\text{rep}(Q)$, and the collection of nonzero objects of $\text{rep}(Q)$ is denoted by $\text{rep}^*(Q)$. The *dimension vector* of a representation V of Q is denoted by $\underline{\dim}V \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$. We denote by P_x the indecomposable projective representation associated to a vertex x (i.e. whose top is the simple representation supported at x with zero maps on the arrows). Similarly, I_x denotes the indecomposable injective representation associated to vertex x .

2.2. Stability. We use the definition of general algebraic stability introduced by Rudakov [Rud97], following the exposition of [BST].

Definition 2.1. Let (\mathcal{P}, \leq) be a totally ordered set. A function $\mu: \text{rep}^*(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ which is constant on isomorphism classes is a *stability function for Q* if, for each short exact sequence of nonzero objects $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{rep}(Q)$, exactly one of the following holds:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{either } \mu(A) < \mu(B) < \mu(C), \\ & \text{or } \mu(A) > \mu(B) > \mu(C), \\ & \text{or } \mu(A) = \mu(B) = \mu(C). \end{aligned}$$

The condition immediately above is called the *see-saw property* for μ and $\mu(X)$ is the *phase* or *slope* of X . \square

A nonzero representation V of Q is called μ -*stable* if $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$ for all nonzero, proper subrepresentations $0 < W < V$. This work investigates stability conditions μ such that every indecomposable representation of Q is μ -stable. This immediately restricts our attention to Q of Dynkin type since the endomorphism ring of a stable representation must be a division ring.

Definition 2.3. A stability condition μ for a quiver Q is *totally stable*, or a *total stability condition*, if every indecomposable representation of Q is μ -stable. \square

The following elementary lemma is presumably well-known to experts, but we state it for the record and refer the reader to [Kin22, §2.3] for a proof.

Lemma 2.4. *Let Q be an arbitrary quiver. A representation V of Q is μ -stable if and only if $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$ for all proper nonzero indecomposable subrepresentations $W < V$.*

2.3. Auslander-Reiten quivers. Given a quiver Q , the Auslander-Reiten quiver of Q is a useful tool for visualizing the structure of the category $\text{rep}(Q)$. When Q is Dynkin, it encodes essentially all of the information about the category $\text{rep}(Q)$ in combinatorics. The reader may wish to consult standard references such as [ASS06, Sch14, DW17] for more detail on Auslander-Reiten theory.

The *Auslander-Reiten quiver* of a quiver Q (denoted $\text{AR}(Q)$, also written AR-Quiver) is the quiver whose vertices correspond to the isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of Q . The arrows of the AR-Quiver correspond to irreducible morphisms with $\dim \text{rad}(V, W) / \text{rad}^2(V, W)$ arrows from V to W . We often use that the duality functor on representations induces an identification $\text{AR}(Q^{\text{op}}) = \text{AR}(Q)^{\text{op}}$.

Let τ and τ^{-1} be the Auslander-Reiten translations (or AR-translations). We then define the τ -orbit of a representation V of Q to be the set of nonzero AR-translates $\tau^k V$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Standard facts are that both τ and τ^{-1} map indecomposables to indecomposables, and τ maps projectives to 0 while τ^{-1} maps injectives to 0.

As noted in [DW17, Theorem 5.4.8], exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$$

with L, N indecomposable and f, g irreducible are almost split and the converse is also true.


Additionally, when N is indecomposable and not projective, almost split sequences are of the form

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tau N \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

and conversely, every sequence of the above form is almost split. It follows that the AR-Quiver summarizes information about the indecomposable modules, irreducible morphisms, and almost-split sequences in the category $\text{rep}(Q)$.

From [DW17, Lemma 5.2.2], we know irreducible morphisms are either monomorphisms or epimorphisms; furthermore, if $f: L \rightarrow M$ is an irreducible monomorphism, then $\text{coker } f$ is indecomposable [DW17, Corollary 5.2.7]. Similarly, if $g: M \rightarrow N$ is an irreducible epimorphism, then $\ker g$ is indecomposable. This result is particularly useful when working with Dynkin type quivers, as indecomposable representations of such quivers are uniquely determined by their dimension vector. In other words, for every arrow of $\text{AR}(Q)$, the kernel or cokernel (whichever is nonzero) is represented uniquely by a vertex of $\text{AR}(Q)$ when Q is Dynkin. This observation is essential for a number of proofs in this paper.

For Dynkin quivers, almost split sequences are represented in $\text{AR}(Q)$ by three different kinds of meshes [Sch14, §1.5]




with the corresponding almost split sequences having the form

$$0 \rightarrow \tau V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1} U_i \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0.$$

The first two types of meshes are found in Dynkin quiver types $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E}$; however, only Dynkin quivers of type \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{E} have meshes of the third type, which will be referred to as *triple meshes*. Auslander-Reiten quivers for type \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{E} quivers will always have just one triple mesh, up to AR-translates, due to the unique branch point found in both quiver types. Within the middle of the triple mesh, there are two τ -orbits. For instance, one can see that U_2 and V belong to different τ -orbits in the triple mesh above.

Recall that a subquiver Q' of a quiver Q is said to be *convex* in Q if, for any path $x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_l$ in Q with $x_0, x_l \in Q'_0$, we have $x_i \in Q'_0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq l$. A convex region of an Auslander-Reiten quiver of the following form will be called a *ladder of height*

k in $\text{AR}(Q)$ and the arrow labeled a the *top rung* of the ladder.



Lemma 2.7. *For a ladder in $\text{AR}(Q)$ labeled as in (2.6), we have $Z \simeq \ker a$.*

Proof. The case of $k = 1$ follows from diagram chasing with short exact sequences, and then for general k by induction. \square

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM IN TYPES \mathbb{A} AND \mathbb{D}

We introduce some additional terminology for proving our main theorem.

Definition 3.1. Let μ be a stability function on a quiver Q and consider a short exact sequence in $\text{rep}(Q)$:

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0.$$

We say that the sequence is *positive* if $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ (which is equivalent to each of $\mu(A) < \mu(C)$ and $\mu(B) < \mu(C)$ by the seesaw property). We also say in this case that f is positive and g is positive.

We say that an arrow in $\text{AR}(Q)$ is *positive* (with respect to μ , which will always be fixed) if the corresponding irreducible morphism is positive. \square

Definition 3.2. An almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow \tau V \rightarrow E \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ with E indecomposable is called a *border sequence*. \square

This terminology is meant to be intuitive with respect to the standard ways of drawing AR -quivers on the page (see, for example, [Sch14, Ch. 3]). We can more concisely state the setup of Theorem 1.1 as having a stability function μ on a Dynkin quiver Q which is positive on all border sequences.

3.1. Type \mathbb{A} . The case of type \mathbb{A} quivers is equivalent to the first statement in [Kin22, Thm. 1.13]. This theorem is stated for linear stability conditions, because the second part of the main theorem deals with the space of linear total stability conditions, but the proof of the first statement uses only the see-saw property, not the linearity assumption.

To make the connection completely explicit, we need to describe the border sequences for Q . From the shape of the Auslander-Reiten quiver in type \mathbb{A} (see for example [Sch14, §3.1])

for details), we see that these are exactly the almost split sequences with end terms in the τ^{-1} -orbits of P_1 and P_n . Computation of τ^{-1} orbits in type \mathbb{A} can be carried out in many ways, see for example [Sch14, §3.1.2] for three different methods. One obtains that these representations are exactly the maximal uniserial representations of Q (i.e. those which are not a subrepresentation or quotient representation of another uniserial representation). These are exactly the representations appearing in [Kin22, Thm. 1.13], so that theorem implies the type \mathbb{A} case of our Theorem 1.1.

3.2. Type \mathbb{D} . Let Q be a type \mathbb{D} Dynkin quiver and $\mu: \text{rep}^*(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a stability function on Q which is positive on all border sequences. We label the vertices of a type \mathbb{D} quiver $1, 2, \dots, n$ so that 1 and 2 are at the ends of the short branches, 3 is the branch point, and $4, \dots, n$ count outward from the branch point (see the top of Figure 1 for an example). Then an example of an Auslander-Reiten quiver of type \mathbb{D}_8 is shown at the bottom of Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. A quiver of type D_8 and its Auslander-Reiten quiver

To prove that μ is totally positive, we go through various regions of $\text{AR}(Q)$ in a carefully chosen order to show that our assumption implies each arrow is positive.

Lemma 3.3. *All arrows in $\text{AR}(Q)$ with either source or target in the τ -orbit of P_n (i.e. in the bottom row of vertices of $\text{AR}(Q)$) as presented in Figure 1) are positive.*

Proof. Let a be an arrow in the τ -orbit of P_n as described in the statement of the lemma. Then, a is an arrow of the form

$$(3.4) \quad a : \tau^{-i}P_n \rightarrow \tau^{-i}P_{n-1} \quad \text{or} \quad a : \tau^{-i}P_{n-1} \rightarrow \tau^{-i-1}P_n.$$

and represents one of the maps in a short exact sequence:


$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tau^{-i}P_n \rightarrow \tau^{-i}P_{n-1} \rightarrow \tau^{-i-1}P_n \rightarrow 0$$

with all three terms indecomposable. Thus by our hypothesis we have that this sequence is positive. \square


Lemma 3.6. *All arrows with both source and target in the τ -orbits of P_1, P_2, P_3 (i.e. in the top two rows of vertices of $\text{AR}(Q)$ as presented in Figure 1) are positive.*

Proof. All but two such arrows are part of a border sequence and thus positive by assumption. The locations of the remaining two arrows in $\text{AR}(Q)$ depend on the orientation of Q . There are two cases up to duality (replacing Q with Q^{op}), which we consider separately.

Case 1. Assume the arrows incident to vertices 1 and 2 are both oriented towards the branch point, as shown below (unoriented edges can point in either direction).



The τ -orbits of P_1, P_2 , and P_3 in $\text{AR}(Q)$ are arranged as follows:




Here, the arrows which are positive by assumption are solid, and the two remaining arrows which we need to consider, are dashed and labeled α, β .

Now from concrete descriptions of projective and injective representations (see for example [Sch14, §2.1]), we obtain short exact sequences:


$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow P_3 \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_1 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow P_3 \xrightarrow{\beta} P_2 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

To put it another way, in this orientation we have $P_3 \simeq \text{rad } P_1 \simeq \text{rad } P_2$, and both I_1 and I_2 are simple. In any case, we see in (3.8) that there is a path of positive arrows from P_1 to I_1 , so $\mu(P_1) < \mu(I_1)$, and thus from (3.9) we get α is positive. Then β is positive as well by replacing vertex 1 with 2 in this proof.

Case 2. Assume the arrow incident to vertex 1 points towards the branch point, and the arrow incident to vertex 2 points towards that vertex, as shown below by (3.10) (unoriented edges can point in either direction).



The τ -orbits of $P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 in $\text{AR}(Q)$ are arranged as follows:



Here, the arrows which are positive by assumption are solid, and the two remaining arrows which we need to consider, are dashed and labeled α, β .

Similar to the last case, we have short exact sequences:

(3.12)

$$0 \rightarrow P_3 \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_1 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow I_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} I_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Following solid paths within $\text{AR}(Q)$ and using these short exact sequences then again shows that both α and β are positive. \square




FIGURE 2. The solid red arrows are positive due to Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6.

Definition 3.13. A vertex x of $\text{AR}(Q)$ is in the *pyramid region* if there exist paths in $\text{AR}(Q)$ from P_n to x and from x to I_n . An arrow is in the pyramid region if both its source and target are in the pyramid. A vertex x is in the *left wall of the pyramid* if there is a unique path from P_n to x in $\text{AR}(Q)$. An arrow a is in the *left wall of the pyramid* if its source and target are. One can define vertices and arrows in the *right wall of the pyramid* in an analogous manner. \square

The pyramid region for our running example is illustrated in blue in Figure 3. Vertices in the pyramid region, besides P_8 and I_8 are labeled with \star here, and arrows in the pyramid region are blue and squiggly. Notice that it always contains exactly one triple mesh at the top, using that $\dim \text{Hom}_Q(P_n, I_n) = 1$ and standard computations in Auslander-Reiten quivers [Sch14, §3.3.4.1].




FIGURE 3. The pyramid region for the running example




FIGURE 4. The solid red arrows are positive by results up to Lemma 3.14.

Lemma 3.14. *With the setup above, every arrow in the pyramid region is positive.*

Proof. We perform induction upwards on the rows of arrows in the pyramid region. Let $X \xrightarrow{a} Y$ be an arrow in the pyramid region. By Lemma 3.6, we may assume X and Y are not both in the τ -orbits of P_1, P_2, P_3 . Replacing Q with Q^{op} if necessary, without loss of generality we may assume X is in the τ -orbit of P_k and Y is in the τ -orbit of P_{k+1} for some $k \geq 3$, meaning that a points downwards (and rightwards) in Figure 1. For the base case, if $k + 1 = n$ then a is positive by Lemma 3.3.

If $k + 1 < n$, then a is the top rung of a ladder as in (2.6), with $X = X_k$ and $Y = Y_k$ in that diagram, and we obtain a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{a} Y \rightarrow 0$ by Lemma 2.7. Since this ladder is contained entirely in the pyramid, we have by induction that the arrows in lower rows are positive, so

$$(3.15) \quad \mu(Z) < \mu(X_0) < \mu(Y_0) < \cdots < \mu(Y_k) = \mu(Y).$$

By the see-saw property, a is positive. □

We next will show that arrows “perpendicular” to the pyramid walls are positive. As before, we just deal with the region to the left of the pyramid, and the region to the right is obtained by duality. We formalize this in the following notation:

Notation 3.16. For $4 \leq i \leq n$, let b_i be the unique arrow in $AR(Q)$ (if such an arrow exists) which is not in the pyramid, but has target on the pyramid wall in the τ -orbit of P_i . We say that an arrow of the form $\tau^k b_i$ for some $i \geq 4$ and $k \geq 0$, is perpendicular to the left wall of the pyramid.

For $4 \leq i \leq n$, let a_i be the unique arrow in $AR(Q)$ which is in left wall of the pyramid with source in the τ -orbit of P_i . An arrow of the form $\tau^k a_i$ for some arrow a_i on the left wall is said to be parallel to the left wall of the pyramid.

To avoid introducing more technical notation for ranges of the indices, when using the notations a_i, b_i we always assume that i ranges over those values for which the corresponding arrow exists, depending on the orientation of Q .

A region of arrows perpendicular and parallel to the pyramid wall is illustrated in Figure 5. The squiggly blue arrows belong to the pyramid (with the arrows a_i belonging to the left pyramid wall), the solid black arrows are either parallel or perpendicular to the pyramid.

The following lemma is key to identifying the cokernel of an arrow perpendicular to the pyramid wall. We remark that for equioriented D_n quivers (i.e. all arrows oriented towards vertex n), there is no arrow b_4 , so following our notation convention above the lemma below is vacuously true, though its dual would be applied to deal with the region to the right of the pyramid.




FIGURE 5. A region of arrows perpendicular and parallel to the pyramid wall

Lemma 3.17. Consider the arrow b_4 defined in Notation 3.16 and the neighboring region of $AR(Q)$ shown below.

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & W_1 & & Y_1 & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \nearrow & & \\ V & \longrightarrow & W_2 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & Y_2 & \longrightarrow & Z \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \\ & & W_3 & & Y_3 & & & & \end{array}$$

We have that $\text{coker } b_4 = \text{coker } b'_4 = I_n$.

Proof. Since b_4 and b'_4 are irreducible morphisms, each is either injective with indecomposable cokernel, or surjective with indecomposable kernel [DW17, Cor. 5.2.7]. It follows from the computation below that they are both injective. For a Dynkin quiver, indecomposables are determined by their dimension vectors, so it is enough to show that $\text{coker } b_4$ and $\text{coker } b'_4$ have the same dimension vector. This is illustrated by the following computation which uses basic properties of exact sequences in Auslander-Reiten quivers. At each step, we simply replace terms more towards the left in the AR-quiver with terms appearing more towards the right.

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\dim} \text{coker } b_4 &= \underline{\dim} W_3 - \underline{\dim} V = \underline{\dim} X - \underline{\dim} W_1 - \underline{\dim} W_2 \\ &= \underline{\dim} Y_1 + \underline{\dim} Y_2 - \underline{\dim} X = \underline{\dim} Z - \underline{\dim} Y_3 = \underline{\dim} \text{coker } b'_4. \end{aligned}$$

Now translating down the right wall of the pyramid using the dual version of Lemma 2.7, we find that $\underline{\dim} \text{coker } b'_4 = \underline{\dim} I_n$, so in fact $\text{coker } b'_4 = I_n$. \square

Lemma 3.20. The arrow b_i is positive for $4 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.17 and the fact that $\text{coker } b_i = \text{coker } b_4$ for all i by Lemma 2.7, we get a short exact sequence

$$(3.21) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_i \xrightarrow{b_i} B_i \rightarrow I_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since B_i is on the left wall of the pyramid and I_n is on the right wall of the pyramid, there is a path inside the pyramid from B_i to I_n . This path is positive by Lemma 3.14. Thus $\mu(B_i) < \mu(I_n)$, implying $\mu(A_i) < \mu(B_i)$ by the see-saw property, so b_i is positive. \square

Lemma 3.22. All arrows $\tau^k b_i$, i.e. those perpendicular to the left wall, are positive.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the power of τ . We have already proven the statement for the arrows b_i in Lemma 3.20, so the base case is satisfied. Suppose we know that arrows of the form $\tau^j b_i$ are positive for all $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. We show $\tau^k b_i$ is positive.

If $\tau^k b_i$ gives the short exact sequence

$$(3.23) \quad 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\tau^k b_i} Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0.$$

then $Z = \tau^k \text{coker } b_i$. By the induction hypothesis, we have a positive path of arrows from Y to the wall of the pyramid given by

$$(3.24) \quad (\tau^k b_i) (\tau^{k-1} b_{i+1}) \cdots b_{i+k}$$

as demonstrated in Figure 7. As Z is in the pyramid, Lemma 3.14 gives $\mu(Y) < \mu(Z)$ and thus $\mu(X) < \mu(Y)$ by the see-saw property. It follows that $\tau^k b_i$ is positive. \square

Lemma 3.25. All arrows $\tau^k a_i$, i.e. those parallel to the left wall, are positive.




FIGURE 6. Figure after Lemma 3.22




FIGURE 7. Visualization for proof of Lemma 3.25

Proof. Writing $\tau^k a_i : Y \rightarrow W$ and $V = \text{coker } \tau^k a_i$ we have a short exact sequence

$$(3.26) \quad 0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\tau^k a_i} W \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0.$$

The dual of Lemma 2.7 implies $V \simeq \text{coker } a_{i+k}$ (see Figure 7). As a_{i+k} is in the pyramid, so too is $\text{coker } a_{i+k}$ again from the dual of Lemma 2.7. We then have a path of perpendicular arrows consisting of the first $(k-1)$ terms of (3.24) that begins at Y and ends at $t(a_{i+k})$ on the pyramid wall. This path is positive by Lemma 3.22. As the arrows of the pyramid are increasing by Lemma 3.14, we have $\mu(Y) < \mu(V)$. The see-saw property then allows us to conclude $\mu(Y) < \mu(W)$, so $\tau^k a_i$ is positive. \square

At this point, we have shown every arrow in the Auslander-Reiten quiver for the type \mathbb{D} quiver is positive; therefore, for Q a type \mathbb{D} Dynkin quiver, we may conclude $\mu : \text{rep}^*(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is totally stable whenever $\mu(\tau V) < \mu(V)$ for all non-projective, indecomposable representations of Q such that E is indecomposable in an almost-split sequence $0 \rightarrow \tau V \rightarrow E \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$. As the converse is true by definition, Theorem 1.1 holds for quivers of type \mathbb{D} .




FIGURE 8. Completed figure after Lemma 3.25

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM IN TYPE \mathbb{E}

Fortunately the overall strategy is the same as type \mathbb{D} . The only significant difference is modifying Lemma 3.17 to identify cokernels of certain morphisms in the triple meshes, which requires case-by-case consideration. We use the numbering of type \mathbb{E} vertices from Bourbaki:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{cccccccc} & & & 2 & & & & \\ & & & | & & & & \\ 1 & \text{---} & 3 & \text{---} & 4 & \text{---} & 5 & \text{---} & 6 & \text{---} & 7 & \text{---} & 8 \end{array}$$

We first establish the type \mathbb{E} analogue of Lemma 3.6. It is a little simpler than the type \mathbb{D} case because there is only one short branch in type \mathbb{E} instead of the two in type \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 4.2. *All arrows with both source and target in the τ -orbits of P_1 and P_4 , i.e. those through the middle of the triple meshes, are positive.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume we have the orientation $1 \rightarrow 4$ in our quiver. The case where we have $1 \leftarrow 4$ follows by duality. The key point is that we still have a short exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow P_4 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

As in the type D case, there is still a path of positive arrows from P_1 to I_1 , each positive by assumption since they are in a border sequence. So by the see-saw property, the arrow under consideration is positive as well. \square

There are two analogues of the pyramid region we defined for type \mathbb{D} . With this in mind, in type E_n ($n = 6, 7, 8$), we define the *double pyramid region* as the full subquiver of $\text{AR}(Q)$ containing those vertices x such that either:

- there exists a path from P_1 to I_1 through x , or
- there exists a path from P_n to I_n through x .

The left wall of the double pyramid region is the full subquiver of $\text{AR}(Q)$ containing those vertices x such that there is either:


- a unique path from P_1 to x , or
- a unique path from P_n to x .

The following analogue of Lemma 3.14 holds by a similar proof.

Lemma 4.4. *With the setup above, every arrow in the double pyramid region is positive.*

Proof. Lemma 4.2 and the hypothesis of border sequences being positive give that all arrows through the center of the triple meshes are positive. Then the rest of the arrows in the double pyramid region are positive following the same proof as Lemma 3.14. \square

From here, Notation 3.16 readily generalizes to the double pyramid, so that we may refer to arrows perpendicular and parallel to the left wall. We need to identify the cokernels of these arrows, which are done separately in the cases E_6, E_7, E_8 . We label arrows in sequences of triples meshes as follows.



We assume $\text{AR}(Q)$ is drawn in the plane so that, with the standard identification of Q^{op} as a subquiver of $\text{AR}(Q)$ whose vertices are the projectives, the short branch 1 – 3 – 4 is towards the top. In other words, either the c_i or c'_i arrows are τ -translates of the irreducible morphism $P_4 \rightarrow P_3$ or $P_3 \rightarrow P_4$ (depending on the orientation of Q).

Now tedious but straightforward calculation as in (3.19) yields the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Consider a sequence of triple meshes in $\text{AR}(Q)$ as shown in (4.5). Then we have that:*

$$(4.7) \quad \text{coker } c_1 = \begin{cases} d'_4 & Q \text{ of type } E_6 \\ c'_7 & Q \text{ of type } E_7 \\ c'_{13} & Q \text{ of type } E_8 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{coker } d_1 = \begin{cases} c'_4 & Q \text{ of type } E_6 \\ d'_6 & Q \text{ of type } E_7 \\ d'_{11} & Q \text{ of type } E_8 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.8. *Each arrow perpendicular to the left pyramid wall is positive.*

Proof. The analogue of Lemma 3.20 holds by a similar proof, except that in type E_6 the cokernel is located on the opposite side of the triple mesh within the double pyramid (and is still an injective representation). But since every arrow in the double pyramid is positive by Lemma 4.4, we still have a positive path from the middle term of the short exact sequences analogous to those in (3.21) to the corresponding injective cokernel. This handles the first layer of arrows perpendicular to the pyramid wall, those whose targets are in the wall.

For the remaining perpendicular arrows, the τ -translates of those considered above, the same proof as Lemma 3.22 works exactly as written there. \square

The analogue of Lemma 3.25, that all arrows parallel to the left wall of the double pyramid are positive, holds by the same proof since it only uses ladder regions. Thus we have completed the proof in the type \mathbb{E} cases.

REFERENCES

- [AI20] P. J. Apruzzese and Kiyoshi Igusa. Stability conditions for affine type A . *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 23(5):2079–2111, 2020.
- [ASS06] Ibrahim Assem, Daniel Simson, and Andrzej Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Techniques of representation theory.
- [BGMS] Emily Barnard, Emily Gunawan, Emily Meehan, and Ralf Schiffler. Cambrian combinatorics on quiver representations (type A). arXiv:1912.02840.
- [Bri07] Tom Bridgeland. Stability conditions on triangulated categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 166(2):317–345, 2007.
- [BST] Thomas Brüstle, David Smith, and Hipolito Treffinger. Stability conditions and maximal green sequences in abelian categories. To appear in *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*. arXiv:1805.04382.
- [CQZ] Wen Chang, Yu Qiu, and Xiaoting Zhang. Geometric model for module categories of dynkin quivers via hearts of total stability conditions. arXiv:2208.00073.
- [DW17] Harm Derksen and Jerzy Weyman. *An introduction to quiver representations*, volume 184 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [HH20] Pengfei Huang and Zhi Hu. Stability and indecomposability of the representations of quivers of A_n -type. *Communications in Algebra*, 48(7):2905–2919, 2020.
- [Igu20] Kiyoshi Igusa. Linearity of stability conditions. *Comm. Algebra*, 48(4):1671–1696, 2020.
- [Kin94] A. D. King. Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 45(180):515–530, 1994.
- [Kin22] Ryan Kinser. Total Stability Functions for Type A Quivers. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 25(4):835–845, 2022.
- [KOT21] Kohei Kikuta, Genki Ouchi, and Atsushi Takahashi. Serre dimension and stability conditions. *Math. Z.*, 299(1-2):997–1013, 2021.
- [Mar] Rene Marczinzik. On total stability conditions for dynkin quivers. arXiv:2205.00947.
- [Qiua] Yu Qiu. Contractible flow of stability conditions via global dimension function. arXiv:2008.00282.
- [Qiu b] Yu Qiu. Global dimension function on stability conditions and Gepner equations. arXiv:1807.00010.
- [Qiu15] Yu Qiu. Stability conditions and quantum dilogarithm identities for Dynkin quivers. *Adv. Math.*, 269:220–264, 2015.
- [Qt] The QPA-team. QPA - quivers, path algebras and representations - a GAP package. Version 1.29; 2018 (<https://folk.ntnu.no/oyvinso/QPA/>).
- [QZ] Yu Qiu and Xiaoting Zhang. Geometric classification of total stability spaces. arXiv:2202.00092.
- [Rei03] Markus Reineke. The Harder-Narasimhan system in quantum groups and cohomology of quiver moduli. *Invent. Math.*, 152(2):349–368, 2003.
- [Rud97] Alexei Rudakov. Stability for an abelian category. *J. Algebra*, 197(1):231–245, 1997.
- [Sch91] Aidan Schofield. Semi-invariants of quivers. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 43(3):385–395, 1991.
- [Sch14] Ralf Schiffler. *Quiver representations*. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer, Cham, 2014.

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IOWA CITY, USA
Email address, Yariana Diaz: yariana-diaz@uiowa.edu

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IOWA CITY, USA
Email address, Cody Gilbert: cody-gilbert@uiowa.edu

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IOWA CITY, USA
Email address, Ryan Kinser: ryan-kinser@uiowa.edu