

Stirling's approximation and a hidden link between two of Ramanujan's approximations

Cormac O'Sullivan

Abstract

A conjectured relation between Ramanujan's asymptotic approximations to the exponential function and the exponential integral is established. The proof involves Stirling numbers, second-order Eulerian numbers, modifications of both of these, and Stirling's approximation to the gamma function. Our work provides new information about the coefficients in Stirling's approximation and their connection to the coefficients in Ramanujan's approximation to the exponential function.

1 Introduction

From about 1903 to 1914 Ramanujan listed his many mathematical discoveries in two main notebooks. In Entry 48 of Chapter 12 of his second notebook, he considered the Taylor expansion of e^n . Writing

$$1 + \frac{n}{1!} + \frac{n^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{n^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \frac{n^n}{n!} \theta_n = \frac{e^n}{2} \quad (1.1)$$

to define θ_n , he computed an asymptotic expansion that is equivalent to

$$\theta_n = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{135n} - \frac{8}{2835n^2} - \frac{16}{8505n^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right), \quad (1.2)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. His next result, Entry 49, is quite surprising as it uses the reciprocals of the terms on the left of (1.1). It can be expressed as

$$1 + \frac{1!}{n} + \frac{2!}{n^2} + \cdots + \frac{(n-1)!}{n^{n-1}} + \frac{n!}{n^n} \Psi_n = \frac{n \text{Ei}(n)}{e^n}, \quad (1.3)$$

for $\text{Ei}(n)$ the exponential integral, and the asymptotic expansion of Ψ_n is given as

$$\Psi_n = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{135n} + \frac{8}{2835n^2} - \frac{16}{8505n^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

For Berndt's discussion of these entries see [Ber89, pp. 181–184]. The expansions (1.2) and (1.4) may be continued to any order and we label the coefficients as ρ_r and ψ_r respectively:

$$\theta_n \sim \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{\rho_r}{n^r}, \quad \Psi_n \sim \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{\psi_r}{n^r}.$$

Ramanujan in fact found five terms in the expansion (1.2) and three terms in (1.4). He must have noticed the agreement of the coefficients, though only had the evidence of the first three to compare.

Theorem 1.1. *We have $\psi_r = (-1)^{r+1} \rho_r$ for all $r \geq 0$.*

Date: August 4, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A60, 33B15, 11B73

Support for this project was provided by a PSC-CUNY Award, jointly funded by The Professional Staff Congress and The City University of New York.

This relation is the hidden link referred to in the title and does not appear to have been discussed before it recently became Conjecture 8.4 of [O'Sb]. The proof we have found also seemed quite well concealed, and requires a third sequence γ_r from possibly the most important asymptotic expansion of all:

$$\Gamma(n+1) = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(\gamma_0 + \frac{\gamma_1}{n} + \frac{\gamma_2}{n^2} + \cdots + \frac{\gamma_{R-1}}{n^{R-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^R}\right) \right), \quad (1.5)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is Stirling's approximation for the gamma function¹, and in the course of our work we will gain more information about the Stirling coefficients γ_r . This sequence begins

$$\gamma_0 = 1, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{12}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{288}, \quad \gamma_3 = -\frac{139}{51840}.$$

In fact, up to a normalization, the numbers γ_r and ρ_r alternate in the series expansion of Watson's function $U(t)$ from [Wat29], which for $t \geq 0$ satisfies

$$U(0) = 1, \quad U(t) \geq 1, \quad U(t) + U'(t) = U(t)U'(t). \quad (1.6)$$

See section 9 where many properties of the sequences γ_r and ρ_r are assembled.

Other key ingredients we will need are the Bernoulli numbers B_n , the Stirling cycle numbers and the second-order Eulerian numbers $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle_k$. These last may be defined recursively, for integers n and k with $n \geq 0$, by the initial condition $\langle\langle 0 \rangle\rangle_k = \delta_{k,0}$, with the Kronecker delta, and the relation

$$\langle\langle n+1 \rangle\rangle_k = (k+1) \langle\langle n \rangle\rangle_k + (2n+1-k) \langle\langle n \rangle\rangle_{k-1}, \quad (1.7)$$

as in [GKP94, Sect. 6.2]. In 2010, Majer raised the question in [Mat] if

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \langle\langle n \rangle\rangle_k \binom{2n+1}{k+1}^{-1} = 2B_{n+1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0 \quad (1.8)$$

is true. This question was also highlighted in [RU19]. The first proof of (1.8) has only recently been provided by Fu in [Fu], where a connection between Nörlund polynomials and second-order Eulerian numbers is found. One of the steps we use to establish Theorem 1.1 requires (1.8), and we find a different natural proof of it in Theorem 7.2.

2 Initial results

We begin by quoting the results needed from [O'Sb]. The asymptotic expansion of θ_n is established there using Perron's saddle-point method. This is the $v = 0$ case of [O'Sb, Thm. 4.2] with the coefficients ρ_r given explicitly. It is convenient to put $\hat{\rho}_r := \rho_r - \delta_{r,0}$ so that $\hat{\rho}_0 = -2/3$. The asymptotic expansion of $\Gamma(n+1)$ is shown similarly in [O'Sb, Cor. 4.3], and rewriting the formulas slightly produces

$$\hat{\rho}_r = (2r)!! \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \binom{-r-1}{k} 2^k \mathcal{A}_{2r+1,k} \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots \right), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\gamma_r = (2r-1)!! \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \binom{-r-1/2}{k} 2^k \mathcal{A}_{2r,k} \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where the usual double factorial notation has

$$n!! := \begin{cases} n(n-2) \cdots 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ n(n-2) \cdots 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

¹Ramanujan also had his own version of Stirling's approximation; see [Kar01] for example

for $n \geq 1$, with $0!! = (-1)!! = 1$. In general, the De Moivre polynomial $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$ means the coefficient of x^n in the series expansion of

$$(a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 + \dots)^k, \quad (2.4)$$

and here a_j is $1/(j+2)$ for $j \geq 1$. Additionally, the $v=0$ case of [O'Sb, Prop. 8.3] finds

$$\Gamma(n+1)\Psi_n = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(\tau_0 + \frac{\tau_1}{n} + \frac{\tau_2}{n^2} + \dots + \frac{\tau_{R-1}}{n^{R-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^R}\right)\right) \quad (2.5)$$

for

$$\tau_r = (2r-1)!! \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \binom{-r-1/2}{k} 2^k \mathcal{A}_{2r+1,k}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots\right). \quad (2.6)$$

Hence (1.5) and (2.5) imply

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \psi_j \gamma_{r-j} = \tau_r. \quad (2.7)$$

Our next goal is to express Theorem 1.1 in terms of the quantities $\hat{\rho}_r$, γ_r and τ_r that we have formulas for. This is the first of a sequence of equivalences that we will use.

Proposition 2.1. *Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to*

$$\gamma_r + \tau_r + \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \hat{\rho}_j \gamma_{r-j} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Before giving the proof, some properties of the Stirling coefficients are required. Define the formal series

$$g(z) := \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z + \gamma_2 z^2 + \gamma_3 z^3 + \dots. \quad (2.9)$$

Euler-Maclaurin summation may be used to give the well-known asymptotic expansion of $\log \Gamma(z)$, as in [AAR99, Appendix D] for example. This produces

$$\log g(z) = \frac{B_2}{2 \cdot 1} z + \frac{B_4}{4 \cdot 3} z^3 + \frac{B_6}{6 \cdot 5} z^5 + \dots. \quad (2.10)$$

(The Bernoulli numbers B_j for odd $j \geq 3$ vanish.) Therefore

$$\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{B_2}{2} + \frac{B_4}{4} z^2 + \frac{B_6}{6} z^4 + \dots, \quad (2.11)$$

$$r\gamma_r = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{B_{j+2}}{j+2} \gamma_{r-1-j} \quad (r \geq 0). \quad (2.12)$$

Since (2.10) has only odd powers,

$$1/g(z) = \exp(-\log g(z)) = \exp(\log g(-z)) = g(-z).$$

Then $g(-z)g(z) = 1$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \gamma_j \gamma_{r-j} = \delta_{r,0}, \quad (2.13)$$

and indeed, for any sequence a_j ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \gamma_j a_{r-j} = b_r \quad \iff \quad \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \gamma_j b_{r-j} = a_r. \quad (2.14)$$

Together, (2.12) and (2.14) imply an identity we will need in section 7:

$$\sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j (j+1) \gamma_{j+1} \gamma_{r-j} = \frac{B_{r+2}}{r+2} \quad (r \geq 0). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Using (2.13) and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{r+1}\rho_r = \psi_r &\iff \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{j+1}\rho_j\gamma_{r-j} = \sum_{j=0}^r \psi_j\gamma_{r-j} \\ &\iff \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{j+1}\rho_j\gamma_{r-j} = \tau_r, \end{aligned}$$

and this last is equivalent to (2.8). \square

3 Lagrange inversion

A formal power series $F(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ with $a_0 = 0$ has a compositional inverse $G(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$. This means $F(G(x)) = x = G(F(x))$, where $G(x)$ is necessarily unique with no constant term. See [O'Sa, Sect. 3], for example, for these basic results. The following general form of Lagrange inversion is Theorem 2.1.1 and (2.1.7) of [Ges16], and proved there in a variety of ways that include Jacobi's classic short proof. Note that a formal Laurent series takes the form $\sum_{j=n_0}^{\infty} a_j x^j$, for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the operator $[x^n]$ extracts the coefficient of x^n from a Laurent series.

Theorem 3.1 (Lagrange inversion). *Let F be a formal power series with no constant term and compositional inverse G . Then for all integers n and all formal Laurent series ϕ , we have*

$$[x^n]\phi(G(x)) = [x^{-1}]\frac{\phi(x)F'(x)}{F(x)^{n+1}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Taking $\phi(x) = x^r$ and $\phi(x) = F(x)/(xF'(x))$ in (3.1) gives, respectively,

$$n[x^n]G(x)^r = r[x^{n-r}]\left(\frac{x}{F(x)}\right)^n \quad (n, r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \neq 0), \quad (3.2)$$

$$[x^{n-1}]\frac{G'(x)}{G(x)} = [x^n]\left(\frac{x}{F(x)}\right)^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.3)$$

We will use (3.2) and (3.3) below after expressing $\hat{\rho}_r$, γ_r and τ_r in terms of power series. With (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_r &= (2r)!! \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \binom{-r-1}{k} 2^k [x^{2r+1}]S(x)^k \\ &= (2r)!! [x^{2r+1}] \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \binom{-r-1}{k} (2S(x))^k = (2r)!! [x^{2r+1}](1 + 2S(x))^{-r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for the series

$$S(x) := \frac{x}{3} + \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{x^3}{5} + \cdots = \frac{-\log(1-x) - x}{x^2} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Arguing similarly for γ_r , τ_r and letting

$$V(x) := (1 + 2S(x))^{1/2} = \left(\frac{-2}{x^2}(\log(1-x) + x)\right)^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{x}{3} + \frac{7x^2}{36} + \frac{73x^3}{540} + \cdots, \quad (3.4)$$

shows

$$\hat{\rho}_r = (2r)!! [x^{2r+1}]V(x)^{-2r-2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\gamma_r = (2r-1)!! [x^{2r}]V(x)^{-2r-1}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\tau_r = (2r-1)!! [x^{2r+1}]V(x)^{-2r-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

To go further, we express (3.5) – (3.7) using the compositional inverse of $xV(x)$, which may be written as $xV^*(x)$. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (3.2) with $r = 1$ and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} [x^n]V(x)^{-n-1} &= (n+1)[x^n]V^*(x), \\ [x^n]V(x)^{-n} &= \delta_{n,0} + [x^{n-1}]V^*(x)' / V^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$V^*(x) = 1 - \frac{x}{3} + \frac{x^2}{36} + \frac{x^3}{270} + \frac{x^4}{4320} - \dots, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}_r = (2r+2)!! [x^{2r+1}]V^*(x), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\gamma_r = (2r+1)!! [x^{2r}]V^*(x), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\tau_r = (2r-1)!! [x^{2r}]V^*(x)' / V^*(x). \quad (3.11)$$

4 Watson's U and u functions

If $xV(x) = y$ then it follows from (3.4) that $\exp(-y^2/2) = (1-x)\exp(x)$. To get our final simplified form, let

$$t = y^2/2, \quad u = 1 - x, \quad \text{so that} \quad e^{-t} = ue^{1-u}.$$

This means that $u = 1 \pm \sqrt{2t}V^*(\mp\sqrt{2t})$ and we may write these two cases as

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) &:= 1 + \sqrt{2t}V^*(-\sqrt{2t}) = 1 + \sqrt{2t}^{1/2} + \frac{2}{3}t + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{18}t^{3/2} - \frac{2}{135}t^2 + \dots, \\ u(t) &:= 1 - \sqrt{2t}V^*(+\sqrt{2t}) = 1 - \sqrt{2t}^{1/2} + \frac{2}{3}t - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{18}t^{3/2} - \frac{2}{135}t^2 + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Label the coefficients as c_j so that

$$U(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j t^{j/2}, \quad u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j c_j t^{j/2}.$$

We will only need $U(t)$ and often just write U . Then

$$e^{-t} = Ue^{1-U} \implies U + U' = UU',$$

and consequently the coefficients of U satisfy the recursive definition

$$c_m = 0 \text{ when } m < 0, \quad c_0 = 1, \quad c_1 > 0, \quad \sum_{x+y=n} x c_x c_y = n c_n + 2 c_{n-2}, \quad (4.1)$$

with summation over nonnegative integers x and y . In this paper U may be considered as a formal series. The properties of $U(t)$ and $u(t)$ as functions of t are developed by Watson in [Wat29], where he proved bounds for θ_n in (1.1) that were claimed by Ramanujan. Also the connection to branches of the Lambert W function is seen in [Vol08, Eq. (3.2)]: for $t \geq 0$,

$$U(t) = -W_{-1}(-e^{-1-t}), \quad u(t) = -W_0(-e^{-1-t}).$$

Next we express everything in terms of the coefficients c_j .

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$\hat{\rho}_r = -\frac{(2r+2)!! c_{2r+2}}{2^{(2r+2)/2}}, \quad \gamma_r = \frac{(2r+1)!! c_{2r+1}}{2^{(2r+1)/2}}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\tau_r = \gamma_r - \frac{(2r-1)!!}{2^{(2r-1)/2}} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x+y=2r+3} x c_x \cdot y c_y. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. A short calculation lets us replace V^* in (3.9)–(3.11) with U as follows:

$$\hat{\rho}_r = 2^{-(2r+2)/2}(2r+2)!! [t^{r+1}]U(t), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\gamma_r = 2^{-(2r+1)/2}(2r+1)!! [t^{r+1/2}]U(t), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\tau_r = 2^{-(2r-1)/2}(2r-1)!! [t^{r-1/2}]U(t)'/(1-U(t)). \quad (4.6)$$

Then (4.4) and (4.5) imply (4.2). Since

$$U' = \frac{U}{U-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{U-1} \implies \frac{1}{U-1} = U' - 1,$$

we can also replace $U'/(1-U)$ in (4.6) by $U' - (U')^2$. This yields (4.3). \square

Proposition 4.2. *Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to*

$$2(n-4)!! \sum_{\substack{x+y=n \\ x \text{ odd}}} x c_x \cdot y c_y = -n!! c_n + 4(n-2)!! c_{n-2} + \sum_{\substack{x+y=n \\ x \text{ odd}}} (-1)^{y/2} x!! c_x \cdot y!! c_y, \quad (4.7)$$

for all odd $n \geq 3$.

Proof. This follows by substituting the formulas of Proposition 4.1 into (2.8). \square

At this point we have reduced things to a clear and seemingly elementary question: how can (4.1) be used to prove (4.7)? Note that (4.7) may also be written more symmetrically as

$$\sum_{x+y=n} c_x c_y \left[(n-4)!! xy - \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi x}{2}) + \cos(\frac{\pi y}{2})}{2} x!! y!! \right] = -n!! c_n + 4(n-2)!! c_{n-2}.$$

5 Stirling cycle numbers

The Stirling cycle numbers $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ may be defined recursively for integers n and k with $n \geq 0$ by the initial condition $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{k,0}$ and the relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

See [GKP94, Sect. 6.1] for more information about them. We also require a variant $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^*$ that satisfies (5.1) but has the different initial conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{bmatrix}^* = 0 \quad \text{for } k \leq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}^* = \frac{n-1}{n} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

These numbers for $n \leq 5$ are displayed in Figures 5.1 and 5.2 with the initial conditions highlighted and zeros omitted. As with the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$, we have that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is zero for $n \geq 0$ if k is outside the range $0 \leq k \leq n$. When manipulating sums containing these terms it is usually simpler to have the index range over all integers k , though the sum is really finite. For $n \geq 1$ we have $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^* = 0$ for $k \leq 1$. As we see in section 10, $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^*$ may be nonzero for $k > n$.

An initially mysterious aspect of (4.7) is the appearance of the double factorials. We will see that double factorials arise in the description of the sums

$$S_n(k) := [t^{n/2}]U(t)^k = \sum_{j_1+j_2+\dots+j_k=n} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_k}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *For all integers $n, k \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{S_n(k+1)}{k+1} = \frac{S_n(k)}{k} + \frac{2}{n} S_{n-2}(k). \quad (5.2)$$

$n = 0$	1					
$n = 1$		1				
$n = 2$		1	1			
$n = 3$		2	3	1		
$n = 4$		6	11	6	1	
$n = 5$		24	50	35	10	1

Figure 5.1: The Stirling cycle numbers $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$

$n = 0$						
$n = 1$						
$n = 2$			$\frac{1}{2}$			
$n = 3$			1	$\frac{2}{3}$		
$n = 4$			3	3	$\frac{3}{4}$	
$n = 5$			12	15	6	$\frac{4}{5}$

Figure 5.2: The modified Stirling cycle numbers $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^*$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} k \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_k = n} (j_1 c_{j_1}) c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_k} &= \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_k = n} \left\{ (j_1 c_{j_1}) c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_k} + \cdots + c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots (j_k c_{j_k}) \right\} \\ &= n \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_k = n} c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_k}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_k = n} (j_1 c_{j_1}) c_{j_2} \cdots c_{j_k} = n \frac{S_n(k)}{k}. \quad (5.3)$$

By (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{k+1} = n} (j_1 c_{j_1} c_{j_2}) c_{j_3} \cdots c_{j_{k+1}} &= \sum_{r + j_3 + \dots + j_{k+1} = n} (r c_r) c_{j_3} \cdots c_{j_{k+1}} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{r + j_3 + \dots + j_{k+1} = n} (c_{r-2}) c_{j_3} \cdots c_{j_{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, using (5.3),

$$n \frac{S_n(k+1)}{k+1} = n \frac{S_n(k)}{k} + 2S_{n-2}(k). \quad \square$$

To simplify the following expressions, let $d_n := n!!c_n$.

Theorem 5.2. For all integers $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$n!! \frac{S_n(k)}{k} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^j \left(\begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} d_{n-2j} - \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}^* \delta_{n-2j,0} \right). \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. We first verify (5.4) when $n = 0$. It reduces to

$$\frac{S_0(k)}{k} = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^*$$

and this is true as $S_0(k) = 1$, $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 1$ and $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^* = (k-1)/k$. To prove (5.4) in general, use induction on k . The case $k = 1$ is easily checked and the induction step when $n \geq 1$ follows from (5.1) and (5.2). \square

Theorem 5.2 will allow us to give an expansion of U' , starting with (5.6), that provides useful information in the next proposition. A similar expansion of $(U')^2$ in (8.2) will give formulas for the left side of (4.7).

Proposition 5.3. *For all integers $n \geq 0$,*

$$\frac{n}{2} \frac{d_n}{2^{n/2}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} \frac{d_{n-2j}}{2^{n/2-j}} \alpha(j) - \alpha^*(n/2), \quad (5.5)$$

where $\alpha(j)$ and $\alpha^*(j)$ are defined in (5.10) and (5.11), and $d_n := n!!c_n$.

Proof. The relation $U = \exp(U - t - 1)$ implies

$$U - t - 1 = \log(U) = \log(1 - (1 - U)) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell} (U - 1)^\ell.$$

Multiply through by $U' = U/(U - 1)$ to find

$$U't = \sum_{\ell \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\ell} U(U - 1)^{\ell-1} = \sum_{\ell \geq 2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (-1)^k \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} U^k. \quad (5.6)$$

Then apply $[t^{n/2}]$. As $(U - 1)^{\ell-1} = (\sqrt{2}t^{1/2} + \dots)^{\ell-1}$, it is apparent that we obtain nonzero contributions only when $\ell \leq n + 1$. For our following arguments it is convenient to take an upper limit of $n + 2$:

$$\frac{n}{2} c_n = \sum_{\ell=2}^{n+2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (-1)^k \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} S_n(k). \quad (5.7)$$

Hence, by Theorem 5.2,

$$\frac{n}{2} d_n = \sum_{\ell=2}^{n+2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (-1)^k \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} k \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} d_{n-2j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}^* \delta_{n,2j} \right\}. \quad (5.8)$$

Interchanging summations finds that (5.8) equals

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} 2^j d_{n-2j} \sum_{\ell=2}^{n+2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (-1)^k k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} 2^j \delta_{n,2j} \sum_{\ell=2}^{n+2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (-1)^k k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}^* \binom{\ell-1}{k-1}. \quad (5.9)$$

We must have $j \leq n/2$ in both sums in (5.9) to have nonzero contributions. Label the components as

$$\alpha(j) := \sum_{\ell=2}^{2j+2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (-1)^k k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \binom{\ell-1}{k-1}, \quad (5.10)$$

$$\alpha^*(j) := \sum_{\ell=2}^{2j+2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (-1)^k k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}^* \binom{\ell-1}{k-1}, \quad (5.11)$$

with $\alpha^*(n/2) := 0$ for n odd. We will see shortly in Proposition 6.1 that the inner sums in (5.10) and (5.11) can only be nonzero for $\ell \leq 2j + 2$, and this is why the upper limit of summation is $2j + 2$ instead of $n + 2$. Then (5.5) follows. \square

With (4.2) and $n = 2m + 1$ we obtain

$$m\gamma_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha(j+1)\gamma_{m-1-j} \quad (m \geq 0), \quad (5.12)$$

from (5.5) since $\alpha(0) = 1/2$. For $n = 2m + 2$ we obtain

$$(m + 1/2)\hat{\rho}_m = \alpha^*(m+1) - \alpha(m+1) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha(j+1)\hat{\rho}_{m-1-j} \quad (m \geq 0). \quad (5.13)$$

6 Simplifying $\alpha(j)$ and $\alpha^*(j)$

For integers n and k , we will need a variant $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle^*$ of the usual second-order Eulerian numbers $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$. They satisfy (1.7), but with the initial condition $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle^* = \delta_{k,-1}$. These second-order Eulerian numbers are shown in Figures 6.1 and 6.2 with the initial conditions highlighted and zeros omitted, as before. For $n \geq 0$ we

$n = 0$	1				
$n = 1$	1				
$n = 2$		1	2		
$n = 3$		1	8	6	
$n = 4$		1	22	58	24
$n = 5$	1	52	328	444	120

$k=0$
 $k=1$
 $k=2$
 $k=3$
 $k=4$

Figure 6.1: The second-order Eulerian numbers $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle$

$n = 0$					
$n = 1$	1				
$n = 2$		3			
$n = 3$		3	12		
$n = 4$		3	42	60	
$n = 5$	3	108	474	360	

$k=0$
 $k=1$
 $k=2$
 $k=3$

Figure 6.2: The modified second-order Eulerian numbers $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle^*$

have $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle = 0$ outside $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $\langle\langle n \rangle\rangle^* = 0$ outside $-1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. The key relations they satisfy are

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ m-n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_k \langle\langle n \rangle\rangle \binom{m+k}{2n} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}), \quad (6.1)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ m-n \end{bmatrix}^* = \sum_k \langle\langle n \rangle\rangle^* \binom{m+k}{2n} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}). \quad (6.2)$$

The identity (6.1) is [GKP94, Eq. (6.44)], and is proved by induction on n . A similar proof gives (6.2), where the induction starts with the easily verified identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix}^* = \binom{m-1}{2} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}).$$

Proposition 6.1. *We have $\alpha(0) = \alpha^*(0) = 1/2$ and for integers $j \geq 1$*

$$\alpha(j) = \frac{-1}{2(j+1)} \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r \langle\langle j+1 \rangle\rangle \binom{2j+1}{r+1}^{-1}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\alpha^*(j) = \frac{-1}{2(j+1)} \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r \langle\langle j+1 \rangle\rangle^* \binom{2j+1}{r+1}^{-1}. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. For the inner sum in (5.10) use

$$k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k+1 \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and recombine to show, for integers ℓ and j with $\ell \geq 1$,

$$\sum_k (-1)^k k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \binom{\ell-1}{k-1} = \sum_k (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} k+1 \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \binom{\ell}{k}. \quad (6.5)$$

Next use (6.1) and change the order of summation to see that, when $j \geq -1$, (6.5) equals

$$\sum_r \left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{k+r+1}{2j+2} \binom{\ell}{k} = \sum_r \left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle (-1)^\ell \binom{r+1}{2j+2-\ell},$$

where we used the identity [GKP94, Eq. (5.24)]. It is now clear that the inner sum in (5.10) is zero for $\ell > 2j+2$, as claimed earlier. Therefore

$$\alpha(j) = \sum_r \left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle \sum_{\ell \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\ell} \binom{r+1}{2j+2-\ell} \quad (j \geq 0). \quad (6.6)$$

Note that in (6.6) we need $r \leq j$ to have $\left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle \neq 0$ and $2j+2-\ell \leq r+1 \leq j+1$ to have $\binom{r+1}{2j+2-\ell} \neq 0$. Therefore we may assume that $\ell \geq j+1$. This agrees with the condition $\ell \geq 2$ in (6.6) provided that $j \geq 1$. Hence

$$\alpha(j) = \sum_{r=0}^j \left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{2j+2} \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\ell} \binom{r+1}{\ell+r-1-2j} \quad (j \geq 1). \quad (6.7)$$

One last required identity, from [GKP94, Eqns. (5.40), (5.41)], is

$$\sum_m \frac{(-1)^m}{x+m} \binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{x(x+1) \cdots (x+n)}.$$

Applying this to the inner sum in (6.7) yields (6.3).

The same steps prove (6.4), using (6.2) instead of (6.1). \square

We next express $\alpha(j)$ and $\alpha^*(j)$ as integrals. To do this, define

$$E_n(x) := \sum_k \left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle x^k, \quad F_n(x) := \frac{E_n(x)}{(x-1)^{2n}}.$$

Then it may be seen that $F_0(x) = 1$ and

$$\left(\frac{x}{1-x} F_n(x) \right)' = F_{n+1}(x) \quad (6.8)$$

conveniently encode the recursive definition of the second-order Eulerian numbers $\left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle$. For example,

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2}, \quad F_2(x) = \frac{1+2x}{(1-x)^4}, \quad F_3(x) = \frac{1+8x+6x^2}{(1-x)^6}.$$

Proposition 6.2. *For integers n, a, b with $n \geq 1, a \geq 0$ and $n+b+1 \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 t^a (1-t)^{-a-b-2} F_n(t) dt = \frac{(-1)^a}{2n+a+b+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle \binom{2n+a+b}{k+a}^{-1}. \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. Starting with

$$\int_0^1 x^a (1-x)^b F_n \left(\frac{x}{x-1} \right) dx = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \left\langle\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle\right\rangle \int_0^1 x^{a+k} (1-x)^{b-k+2n} dx, \quad (6.10)$$

and evaluating the beta integral shows (6.10) equals $(-1)^a$ times the right of (6.9). The change of variables $t = x/(x-1)$ on the left of (6.10) completes the proof. \square

With this proposition, (6.3) becomes

$$\alpha(n) = \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-1} F_{n+1}(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-1} F_0(t) F_{n+1}(t) dt, \quad (6.11)$$

for $n \geq 1$. As in Majer's work in [Mat], integrating by parts using (6.8) shows

$$\alpha(n) = (-1)^j \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-1} F_j(t) F_{n+1-j}(t) dt, \quad (6.12)$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n+1$.

To find similar formulas for $\alpha^*(n)$, define

$$E_n^*(x) := \sum_k \left\langle \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle^* x^k, \quad F_n^*(x) := \frac{E_n^*(x)}{(x-1)^{2n}}, \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then $F_n^*(x)$ satisfies (6.8) but with the initial condition $F_1^*(x) = 1/(x(x-1)^2)$ since $E_1^*(x) = 1/x$.

Proposition 6.3. For integers $n \geq 1$,

$$\alpha^*(n) = \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-1} F_{n+1}^*(t) dt \quad (6.13)$$

$$= (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^0 (1-t)^{-3} F_n(t) dt \quad (6.14)$$

$$= (-1)^n \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \left\langle \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle \binom{2n+1}{k}^{-1}. \quad (6.15)$$

Proof. The equality (6.13) follows by the same reasoning as (6.11), with Proposition 6.2 valid when $F_n(t)$ and $\left\langle \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle$ are replaced by their starred versions provided $n \geq 2$. Including $F_0(t)$ in (6.13) and integrating by parts gives

$$\alpha^*(n) = (-1)^j \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-1} F_j(t) F_{n+1-j}^*(t) dt,$$

for $0 \leq j \leq n$. Then (6.14) is the case $j = n$ and (6.15) follows from (6.14) by Proposition 6.2. \square

7 Bernoulli numbers

Let B_n be the n th Bernoulli number, using the convention $B_1 = 1/2$. In this section we exploit the connection to these numbers given by the asymptotic expansion of $\log \Gamma(n)$ we saw in (2.10) in section 2. The next result is suggested by comparing (2.12) and (5.12).

Proposition 7.1. For $j \geq 0$,

$$\alpha(j) = B_{j+1}/(j+1). \quad (7.1)$$

Proof. Use (2.14) with (5.12) to show

$$\sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j (j+1) \gamma_{j+1} \gamma_{r-j} = \alpha(r+1), \quad (7.2)$$

for $r \geq 0$. Then (2.15) and (7.2) imply (7.1) for $j \geq 1$. Also $\alpha(0) = 1/2 = B_1$. \square

It follows now from (5.13) that, for integers $m \geq 0$,

$$(m+1/2)\hat{\rho}_m = \alpha^*(m+1) - \frac{B_{m+2}}{m+2} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{B_{j+2}}{j+2} \hat{\rho}_{m-1-j}. \quad (7.3)$$

Equation (1.8) may also be proved next.

Theorem 7.2. For all integers $n \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \left\langle \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle \binom{2n+1}{k+1}^{-1} = 2B_{n+1}. \quad (7.4)$$

Proof. Equality (7.4) is true for $n = 0$. When $n \geq 1$, by (6.12) for $j = 1$ and (7.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-1} F_1(t) F_n(t) dt \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-3} F_n(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Proposition 6.2 with $a = 1$ and $b = 0$ finishes the argument. \square

Another proof of Theorem 7.2 is provided in [Fu]. For more related identities see [Mat, RU19, Fu].

8 Proof of the main theorem

Proposition 8.1. Let $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer. Then (4.7) is true if and only if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(n-3)/2} \beta(j) 2^j d_{n-2-2j} = \frac{n-2}{2} \left(2d_{n-2} - \frac{d_n}{2} + \sum_{\substack{x+y=n \\ x \text{ odd}}} \frac{(-1)^{y/2}}{2} d_x d_y \right), \quad (8.1)$$

for $\beta(j)$ defined in (8.3) and d_n our shorthand for $n!!c_n$.

Proof. Multiply (5.6) by $U' = U/(U-1)$ to find

$$(U')^2 t = \sum_{\ell \geq 2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} (-1)^k \binom{\ell-2}{k-2} U^k, \quad (8.2)$$

and applying $[t^{n/2}]$ then shows

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{x+y=n+2} x c_x \cdot y c_y = \sum_{\ell=2}^{n+3} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} (-1)^k \binom{\ell-2}{k-2} S_n(k).$$

Copying the treatment of the right side of (5.7) requires, for integers $j \geq 0$,

$$\beta(j) := \sum_{\ell=2}^{2j+3} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} (-1)^k k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \binom{\ell-2}{k-2}, \quad (8.3)$$

$$\beta^*(j) := \sum_{\ell=2}^{2j+3} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} (-1)^k k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}^* \binom{\ell-2}{k-2}, \quad (8.4)$$

with $\beta^*(n/2) := 0$ for n odd, and we find

$$\frac{n!!}{4} \sum_{x+y=n+2} x c_x \cdot y c_y = \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} 2^j d_{n-2j} \beta(j) - 2^{n/2} \beta^*(n/2). \quad (8.5)$$

Solving for the left sides of (4.7) and (8.5) completes the proof. \square

Proposition 8.2. The equality (8.1) is true for all odd $n \geq 3$ if and only if

$$(m+1/2)\hat{\rho}_m = (-1)^m \beta(m) + 2 \frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{B_{j+2}}{j+2} \hat{\rho}_{m-1-j}, \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1. \quad (8.6)$$

Proof. We will use the following generating functions,

$$A(x) := \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta(j) 2^j x^j, \quad C(x) := \sum_{j \geq 0} d_{2j+1} x^j, \quad D(x) := \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{(-1)^j}{2} d_{2j} + 2\delta_{j,1} \right) x^j.$$

Then it is straightforward to check that (8.1) being true for all odd $n \geq 3$ is equivalent to

$$x^{-1/2} C(x) A(x) = \left(x^{-1/2} C(x) D(x) \right)',$$

which we may rewrite as

$$A(x) = -\frac{D(x)}{2x} + D'(x) + \frac{C'(x)}{C(x)} D(x). \quad (8.7)$$

Recalling (4.2) and $g(x)$ in (2.9), we have

$$C(x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \gamma_j 2^{j+1/2} x^j = \sqrt{2} g(2x).$$

Hence, by (2.11),

$$\frac{C'(x)}{C(x)} = 2 \frac{g'(2x)}{g(2x)} = \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j+1} \frac{B_{j+2}}{j+2} x^j.$$

Using this in (8.7) and comparing powers of x completes the proof. \square

Following the steps in the proof of Proposition 6.1 lets us express $\beta(j)$ and $\beta^*(j)$ similarly:

Proposition 8.3. *We have $\beta(0) = 2/3$, $\beta^*(0) = 1/6$ and for integers $j \geq 1$*

$$\beta(j) = \frac{-1}{2j+3} \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r \left\langle \left\langle \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle \binom{2j+2}{r+2}^{-1}, \quad (8.8)$$

$$\beta^*(j) = \frac{-1}{2j+3} \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r \left\langle \left\langle \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle^* \binom{2j+2}{r+2}^{-1}. \quad (8.9)$$

Applying Proposition 6.2 to (8.8) also gives

$$\beta(m) = - \int_{-\infty}^0 t^2 (1-t)^{-2} F_{m+1}(t) dt \quad (m \geq 1). \quad (8.10)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Propositions 4.2, 8.1 and 8.2, we must prove (8.6). Subtracting (7.3) from it gives the goal

$$\alpha^*(m+1) = (-1)^m \beta(m) + \frac{B_{m+2}}{m+2} + 2 \frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1} \quad (m \geq 1), \quad (8.11)$$

which no longer involves the sequences ρ_j and γ_j . Combine the identity

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^3} = \frac{t^2}{(1-t)^2} + \frac{t}{(1-t)^3} + \frac{2t}{1-t} + 1$$

with the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 (1-t)^{-3} F_{m+1}(t) dt &= (-1)^{m+1} \alpha^*(m+1), \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 t^2 (1-t)^{-2} F_{m+1}(t) dt &= -\beta(m), \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-3} F_{m+1}(t) dt &= -\frac{B_{m+2}}{m+2}, \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 t(1-t)^{-1} F_{m+1}(t) dt &= \frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which are (6.14), (8.10), (7.5) and (6.11) respectively, and

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 F_{m+1}(t) dt = \left. \frac{t}{1-t} F_m(t) \right]_{-\infty}^0 = 0.$$

Then (8.11) follows. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1, showing that the asymptotic expansion coefficients of θ_n and Ψ_n agree up to an alternating sign. Based on the similarity of (1.1) and (1.3) we might have expected a shorter proof, and perhaps there is one. We can also ask if there are any similar examples, linking a Taylor expansion like (1.1) to a sum of its reciprocals.

9 Properties of ρ_r and γ_r

We gather known formulas and recurrences for Ramanujan's coefficients ρ_r and Stirling's coefficients γ_r in this section, and display the new relations we have uncovered. As discussed in [O'Sa], the De Moivre polynomials $\mathcal{A}_{n,k}(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$ from (2.4) are zero when $n < k$, and for $n \geq k$ then they have the explicit form

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,k}(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) = \sum_{\substack{1j_1+2j_2+\dots+mj_m=n \\ j_1+j_2+\dots+j_m=k}} \binom{k}{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} a_1^{j_1} a_2^{j_2} \dots a_m^{j_m}. \quad (9.1)$$

Here $m = n - k + 1$ and the sum is over all possible $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

9.1 Formulas

The first closed formula for γ_r seems to be due to Perron in [Per17, p. 210]. He stated it in terms of coefficients of power series and it is equivalent to

$$\gamma_r = \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \frac{(2r+2k-1)!!}{(-1)^k k!} \mathcal{A}_{2r,k} \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots \right), \quad (9.2)$$

which is really the same as (2.2). This result is also equivalent to [BM11, Thm. 2.7]. Brassesco and Méndez gave another formulation in [BM11, Thm. 2.1], essentially derived by a change of variables $z \rightarrow e^z$,

$$\gamma_r = \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \frac{(2r+2k-1)!!}{(-1)^k k!} \mathcal{A}_{2r,k} \left(\frac{1}{3!}, \frac{1}{4!}, \frac{1}{5!}, \dots \right). \quad (9.3)$$

The corresponding formulas for ρ_r are shown in [O'Sb, Eqns. (7.1), (7.2)]:

$$\rho_r = \delta_{r,0} + \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \frac{(2r+2k)!!}{(-1)^k k!} \mathcal{A}_{2r+1,k} \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots \right) \quad (9.4)$$

$$= - \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \frac{(2r+2k)!!}{(-1)^k k!} \mathcal{A}_{2r+1,k} \left(\frac{1}{3!}, \frac{1}{4!}, \frac{1}{5!}, \dots \right). \quad (9.5)$$

These results (9.2) – (9.5) may be regarded as the basic expressions for γ_r and ρ_r . Variations are possible with further expansions for the De Moivre polynomials as in Nemes [Nem10] and [O'Sb, Sect. 7]. The simplest variant uses r -associated Stirling numbers. For integers n, k and r with $n, k \geq 0, r \geq 1$, write $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq r}$ for the number of ways to partition n elements into k subsets, each with at least r members. Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_{\geq r}$ denote the number of ways to arrange n elements into k cycles, each of length at least r . We also set $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq r} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\geq r} = \delta_{k,0}$. These generalize the usual Stirling numbers in the $r = 1$ case. The following formulas are due to Comtet [Com74, p. 267] and Brassesco and Méndez [BM11, Thm. 2.4] respectively,

$$\gamma_r = \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2r+2k)!!} \left[\begin{matrix} 2r+2k \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{\geq 3}, \quad \gamma_r = \sum_{k=0}^{2r} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2r+2k)!!} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 2r+2k \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 3}. \quad (9.6)$$

Correspondingly, from [O'Sb, Eqns. (7.26), (7.27)],

$$\rho_r = \delta_{r,0} + \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2r+2k+1)!!} \left[\begin{matrix} 2r+2k+1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_{\geq 3}, \quad (9.7)$$

$$= - \sum_{k=0}^{2r+1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2r+2k+1)!!} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 2r+2k+1 \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\geq 3}. \quad (9.8)$$

See [O'Sb] for proofs of all of the identities (9.2) – (9.8) as well as generalizations.

Exponentiating (2.10) produces another formula for γ_r , as given in [O'Sa, Eq. (7.13)],

$$\gamma_r = \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{A}_{r,k} \left(\frac{B_2}{2 \cdot 1}, 0, \frac{B_4}{4 \cdot 3}, 0, \dots \right). \quad (9.9)$$

There are hints from (9.11) below that there could be a similar identity for ρ_r .

9.2 Recurrences and relations

We have seen in (2.12) the simple recurrence

$$\gamma_0 = 1, \quad r\gamma_r = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{B_{j+2}}{j+2} \gamma_{r-1-j} \quad (r \geq 1). \quad (9.10)$$

This was used by Wrench in [Wre68] to tabulate values of γ_r . The corresponding result for ρ_r appears in (7.3) and it is convenient to state it in terms of $\hat{\rho}_r := \rho_r - \delta_{r,0}$:

$$(r+1/2)\hat{\rho}_r = \alpha^*(r+1) - \frac{B_{r+2}}{r+2} + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{B_{j+2}}{j+2} \hat{\rho}_{r-1-j} \quad (r \geq 0). \quad (9.11)$$

The numbers $\alpha^*(n)$ are given in (6.15), for example. Perhaps they have a simpler Bernoulli number-like formulation.

The coefficients c_n give another route to obtaining ρ_r and γ_r . Their definition in (4.1) is equivalent to the recursion

$$c_0 = 1, \quad c_1 = 2^{1/2}, \quad c_{n+1} = \frac{2^{1/2}}{n+2} c_n - \frac{2^{1/2}}{4} \sum_{j=2}^n c_j c_{n+2-j} \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (9.12)$$

Then as in (4.2),

$$\hat{\rho}_r = -\Gamma(r+2)c_{2r+2}, \quad \gamma_r = 2^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma(r+3/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} c_{2r+1}. \quad (9.13)$$

This method is given by Marsaglia in [Mar86] for calculating ρ_r and given by Marsaglia and Marsaglia in [MM90] for calculating γ_r . (They use the similar coefficients of $V^*(-x) = (U(x^2/2) - 1)/x$ in (3.8) instead of the coefficients c_n of $U(t)$.)

Define the following convenient extension of the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m}$ for some non-integral m values. With integers $0 \leq k \leq n$:

$$\binom{n}{k - \frac{1}{2}} := \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})^2}{\Gamma(k + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n - k + \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{(2n)!!}{(2k-1)!!(2n-2k-1)!!}.$$

Then substituting (9.13) in (4.1) for odd $n = 2r + 3$ and even $n = 2r + 2$, provides the relations

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r+3/2}{j+1} \hat{\rho}_j \gamma_{r-j} = -\gamma_r, \quad (9.14)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r+1}{j+1} \hat{\rho}_j \hat{\rho}_{r-1-j} + \frac{1}{2(r+2)} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r+2}{j+\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_j \gamma_{r-j} = -2\hat{\rho}_{r-1}, \quad (9.15)$$

respectively, for all $r \geq 0$.

With (9.13), our main theorem is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \hat{\rho}_j \gamma_{r-j} \left(\binom{r-1/2}{j} + \frac{(-1)^j}{2} \right) = -\gamma_r \quad (r \geq 0), \quad (9.16)$$

by Proposition 4.2 with odd $n = 2r + 3$. For an even analog of (9.16), we have by (8.5) with $n = 2r$,

$$r \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} \hat{\rho}_j \hat{\rho}_{r-1-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_j \gamma_{r-j} = \beta(r) - \beta^*(r) - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \beta(j) \hat{\rho}_{r-1-j}, \quad (9.17)$$

for all $r \geq 0$ with the numbers $\beta(j)$ and $\beta^*(j)$ given in Proposition 8.3.

10 More on the modified Stirling cycle numbers

Using the recursive relation (5.1), the range of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^*$ in Figure 5.2 may be extended above and to the right of the initial conditions to find

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}^* = -\frac{5}{12}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}^* = \frac{7}{18}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}^* = -\frac{1631}{4320}, \quad \dots$$

A clear way to compute these numbers is as follows. On row $n = 0$ start with the sequence $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$. On the next row, with $n = 1$, make the sequence $(1 - \frac{1}{2})/1, (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3})/2, (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4})/3$, and so on. For the next row, repeat the procedure by taking differences and dividing by $1, 2, 3, \dots$ again. In general, with the numbers in row n labelled $a_{n,m}$ for $m \geq 0$, we have $a_{0,m} = 1/(m+1)$ and

$$a_{n+1,m} = (a_{n,m} - a_{n,m+1})/(m+1) \quad (n, m \geq 0). \quad (10.1)$$

If we define $\omega_n := a_{n,0}$, the number at the start of the n th row, then it is straightforward to verify that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{bmatrix}^* = (-1)^{k-1} \omega_k \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Figure 10.1 displays the initial part of the array $a_{n,m}$. We see now that the modified Stirling cycle numbers

1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{5}$...
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{1}{80}$
$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{11}{2160}$
$\frac{7}{18}$	$\frac{49}{4320}$
$\frac{1631}{4320}$

Figure 10.1: Computing the sequence ω_n

may be expressed as linear combinations of the usual Stirling cycle numbers: for $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^* &= \sum_{j=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ j \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \omega_j \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-j \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.2)$$

The Akiyama-Tanigawa algorithm for Bernoulli numbers, as described in [Kan00], is very similar to the construction in Figure 10.1. With the same starting sequence $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$, it applies the recursion

$$a_{n+1,m} = (a_{n,m} - a_{n,m+1}) \cdot (m + 1) \quad (n, m \geq 0), \quad (10.3)$$

multiplying by $m + 1$ instead of dividing. The result is that $a_{n,0}$ is equal to the n th Bernoulli number B_n . As these numbers have well-known important number-theoretic properties, the similarly constructed sequence ω_n should also be of interest. Exploring numerically, we find that the denominator of ω_n factors into primes less than $n + 1$. The numerators of ω_n are (up to a sign) the integer sequence A176599 in the OEIS [S⁺22]. These numerators have factorizations containing unusually large primes with, for example,

$$\text{numerator}(\omega_{20}) = 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 41^2 \cdot p, \quad p \text{ prime} \approx 4.91473 \times 10^{73}.$$

Lastly we note that it appears to be the case that all the numbers $a_{n,m}$ in the array used to construct ω_n are positive with $\omega_n \rightarrow 1/e \approx 0.36788$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

References

- [AAR99] George E. Andrews, Richard Askey, and Ranjan Roy. *Special functions*, volume 71 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [Ber89] Bruce C. Berndt. *Ramanujan's notebooks. Part II*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [BM11] Stella Brassesco and Miguel A. Méndez. The asymptotic expansion for $n!$ and the Lagrange inversion formula. *Ramanujan J.*, 24(2):219–234, 2011.
- [Com74] Louis Comtet. *Advanced combinatorics*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, enlarged edition, 1974. The art of finite and infinite expansions.
- [Fu] Amy M. Fu. Some identities related to the second-order Eulerian numbers. arXiv: 2104.09316.
- [Ges16] Ira M. Gessel. Lagrange inversion. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 144:212–249, 2016.
- [GKP94] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. *Concrete mathematics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, second edition, 1994. A foundation for computer science.
- [Kan00] Masanobu Kaneko. The Akiyama-Tanigawa algorithm for Bernoulli numbers. *J. Integer Seq.*, 3(2):Article 00.2.9, 6, 2000.
- [Kar01] Ekatherina A. Karatsuba. On the asymptotic representation of the Euler gamma function by Ramanujan. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 135(2):225–240, 2001.
- [Mar86] John C. W. Marsaglia. The incomplete gamma function and Ramanujan's rational approximation to e^x . *J. Stat. Comput. Simul.*, 24:163–168, 1986.
- [Mat] Mathoverflow. <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/45756>.
- [MM90] George Marsaglia and John C. W. Marsaglia. A new derivation of Stirling's approximation to $n!$. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 97(9):826–829, 1990.
- [Nem10] Gergő Nemes. On the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of $n!$. *J. Integer Seq.*, 13(6):Article 10.6.6, 5, 2010.
- [O'Sa] Cormac O'Sullivan. De Moivre and Bell polynomials. To appear in *Expo. Math.*, arXiv:2203.02868.
- [O'Sb] Cormac O'Sullivan. Ramanujan's approximation to the exponential function and generalizations. arXiv: 2205.08504.
- [Per17] Oskar Perron. Über die näherungsweise Berechnung von Funktionen großer Zahlen. *Sitzungsber. Bayr. Akad. Wissensch. (Münch. Ber.)*, pages 191–219, 1917.
- [RU19] Grzegorz Rządowski and Małgorzata Urlińska. Some applications of the generalized Eulerian numbers. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 163:85–97, 2019.
- [S⁺22] N. J. A. Sloane et al. *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Published electronically at <https://oeis.org>, 2022.

- [Vol08] Hans Volkmer. Factorial series connected with the Lambert function, and a problem posed by Ramanujan. *Ramanujan J.*, 16(3):235–245, 2008.
- [Wat29] G. N. Watson. Theorems stated by Ramanujan (V): Approximations connected with e^x . *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 29(4):293–308, 1929.
- [Wre68] John W. Wrench, Jr. Concerning two series for the gamma function. *Math. Comp.*, 22:617–626, 1968.

DEPT. OF MATH, THE CUNY GRADUATE CENTER, 365 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10016-4309, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `cosullivan@gc.cuny.edu`