

# IMMERSIONS OF PUNCTURED 4-MANIFOLDS

MICHAEL FREEDMAN, DANIEL KASPROWSKI, MATTHIAS KRECK, AND PETER TEICHNER,  
WITH AN APPENDIX BY ALAN W. REID

ABSTRACT. Motivated by applications to pulling back quantum cellular automata from one manifold to another, we study the existence of immersions between certain smooth 4-manifolds. We show that they lead to a very interesting partial order on closed 4-manifolds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper manifolds are closed, connected and smooth (ccs), unless otherwise stated. A notable exception is the punctured manifold  $M_* := (M \setminus \text{point})$  of a ccs manifold  $M$ . Given ccs manifolds  $M, N$  of the same dimension, we consider the question whether  $M_*$  immerses into  $N$ . To answer this question, we use a result of Phillips [Phi67] who constructed an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$ , in the spirit of Hirsch-Smale theory, from a fibrewise isomorphism of tangent bundles (that is a priori not the differential of an immersion!). We give a detailed formulation at the end of the introduction. Besides some simple observations in dimensions 2 and 3 (see Section 2) we study this question in dimension 4. In Section 1.3 we give a complete answer in terms of certain invariants. We apply this to exhibit the resulting partial order for 4-manifolds with cyclic fundamental groups in Section 1.1 and - a particularly interesting order - when the fundamental group is  $\mathbb{Z}^4$  in Section 1.2.

An immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  can be used to construct quantum cellular automata (QCA) on  $M$  out of QCA's on  $N$ . The first author will explain QCA's in the appendix and also discuss how to pull them back. This construction, due to Matt Hastings [Has13], motivated our entire study.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $M, N$  be manifolds of the same dimension.

- (1) Write  $M \leq N$  if and only if there is an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$ .
- (2) If  $M \leq N$  and  $N \leq M$  we write  $M \bowtie N$  and say that  $M, N$  are *immersion equivalent*.

The relation  $\leq$  is transitive (Lemma 3.3) and by construction, it becomes a partial order on immersion equivalence classes. Clearly  $S^n \leq M$  for all  $n$ -manifolds  $M$ .

We denote the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the stable *normal bundle*  $\nu(M)$  of  $M$  by  $w_i(M) := w_i(\nu(M)) \in H^i(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . The relevant cases for 4-manifolds are only  $i = 1, 2$  because by the Wu-formula  $w_3(M) = Sq^1 w_2(M) + w_2(M) \cup w_1(M)$  and  $H^i(M_*; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$  for  $i > 3$ . An immersion  $j: M \looparrowright N$  gives  $j^*(w_i(N)) = w_i(M)$  and hence any relation among the  $w_i(N)$  are mirrored among the  $w_i(M)$  via  $j$ , explaining the easy direction in all items below.

**Proposition 1.2.** *If  $M$  is 4-dimensional then*

- (1)  $M \leq S^4 \iff M$  is spin, i.e.  $w_1(M) = w_2(M) = 0$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \leq M \iff M$  is not almost spin, i.e.  $w_2(\widetilde{M}) \neq 0$ .

- (3)  $M \leq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \iff M$  is orientable, i.e.  $w_1(M) = 0$ .  
(4)  $S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3 \leq M \iff w_1(M) \neq 0$ , where  $S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3$  is the non-trivial  $S^3$ -bundle over  $S^1$ .  
(5)  $M \leq S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3 \iff w_2(M) = 0$  and  $w_1(M)$  admits an integral lift.

In particular, every spin manifold is a minimum for this order,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  is a maximum among orientable 4-manifolds, and  $S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3$  is a minimum among non-orientable 4-manifolds. It is an open question whether there is a maximum among all 4-manifolds. However, there are further “local” maxima and minima for certain classes of 4-manifolds. For example,  $M \leq \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4$  if and only if  $M$  (or more precisely  $\nu(M)$ ) is  $\text{pin}^-$ , i.e.  $w_2(M) = (w_1(M))^2$ .

Let  $c: M \rightarrow B\pi_1 M$  be a map that is the identity on fundamental groups. If  $M$  is *almost spin*, i.e.  $w_2(\widetilde{M}) = 0$ , then there is a unique group cohomology class  $w_2^\pi(M) \in H^2(\pi_1 M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  with  $c^*(w_2^\pi(M)) = w_2(M)$ , just like there is always a unique element  $w_1^\pi(M)$  with  $c^*(w_1^\pi(M)) = w_1(M)$ . To have a unified notation, we set  $w_2^\pi(M) := \infty$  if  $M$  is not almost spin and recall that the resulting triple  $(\pi_1 M, w_1^\pi(M), w_2^\pi(M))$  is the *normal 1-type* as introduced in [Kre99] for the purpose of  $S^2 \times S^2$ -stable classification of 4-manifolds.

We let  $\pm[M] \in H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1(M)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  be the (unsigned) twisted fundamental class. Note that a homomorphism  $w: \pi \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  induces an automorphism of the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$  by sending  $g \mapsto w(g) \cdot g$ . For a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module  $P$ , we denote by  $P^w$  the module twisted by this automorphism.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Assume that  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1 N$  is an isomorphism such that*

- (1)  $\varphi^*(w_1^\pi(N)) = w_1^\pi(M)$ ,  
(2)  $\varphi^*(w_2^\pi(N)) = w_2^\pi(M) \in H^2(\pi_1 M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cup \{\infty\}$ , where we set  $\varphi^*(\infty) := \infty$ , and  
(3)  $\varphi_* c_*[M] = \pm c_*[N] \in H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1^\pi(N)})$ .

*Then  $M$  and  $N$  are immersion equivalent with  $M_* \looparrowright N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups.*

**Definition 1.4.** A  $\varphi$ -immersion is an immersion  $j: M_* \looparrowright N$  inducing  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  on fundamental groups, i.e. with  $\pi_1(j) = \varphi$ .

It follows that the question whether  $M_1 \leq M_2$  only depends on the two quadruples  $(\pi_1(M_k), w_1^\pi(M_k), w_2^\pi(M_k), c_*[M_k])$  for  $k = 1, 2$ , up to the actions of  $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M_k))$ . Hence we call

$$(\pi_1 M, w_1^\pi(M), w_2^\pi(M), \pm c_*[M])$$

the *immersion type* of  $M$ . Given a finitely presented group  $\pi$  every pair  $(w_1, w_2)$  can be realised as  $(w_1^\pi(M), w_2^\pi(M))$  for some 4-manifold  $M$ , see Section 5. We’ll also see that a finite index subgroup of  $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$  is realised as images  $c_*[M]$  of 4-manifolds  $M$  with given  $(w_1, w_2)$ . If  $w_2 = \infty$ , this is the entire group but in general the index is an arbitrary power of 2.

**1.1. 4-manifolds with cyclic fundamental group.** To show that the immersion order is indeed interesting, we now describe it for all manifolds with cyclic fundamental group. For this we introduce some *order graphs*, where we use the notation that an arrow  $\longrightarrow$  represents  $<$  which means *strictly* larger in the immersion order. In Section 6, we give representatives with minimal Euler characteristic for each immersion equivalence class that will appear below. In particular, the oriented immersion types  $M(2^m)$  used below will be represented by rational homology 4-spheres with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2^m$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $M(2^m)$  denote an orientable 4-manifold with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2^m$  that is almost spin but not spin. Then immersion equivalence classes of orientable 4-manifolds with cyclic fundamental group form the following infinite chain.*

$$S^4 < M(2) < M(4) < \dots < M(2^m) < \dots < \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$$


*In terms of order graphs this look as follows.*

$$S^4 \longrightarrow M(2) \longrightarrow M(4) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow M(2^m) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$$

*In particular, any orientable 4-manifold  $M$  with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2^m k$ ,  $k$  odd, is immersion equivalent to  $S^4$ ,  $M(2^m)$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  depending on  $w_2^\pi(M)$ . For infinite cyclic fundamental group, there are exactly two immersion equivalence classes represented by  $S^1 \times S^3$  and  $S^1 \times S^3 \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .*

As explained in Remark 6.6, the non-oriented immersion types  $N(2^m, 1, 1)$  used below are represented by rational homology 4-balls with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2^m$ , with  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4$  coming up for  $m = 1$ . All cases with  $w_2 = \infty$  are realized by a connected sum with  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $N(2^m, w_2, c)$  denote a non-orientable 4-manifold with fundamental group  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/2^m$ ,  $w_2 \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$  and image of the fundamental class  $c$  in  $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Such a manifold exists if and only if  $c = 0$  or  $w_2 \neq 0$ . Then immersion equivalence classes of non-orientable 4-manifolds with cyclic fundamental group form the following order graph.*



*Our order graph ends on the right hand side with the four manifolds  $N(2, -, -)$  but repeats infinitely on the left before encountering the manifolds with infinite fundamental group.*

In Section 6 we also determine the combined immersion order for orientable and non-orientable 4-manifolds with cyclic fundamental group.

**1.2. 4-manifolds with free abelian fundamental group.** Another class of 4-manifolds representing an interesting suborder is that of orientable manifolds with free abelian fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}^4$ . For almost spin manifolds,  $w_2^\pi(M)$  lies in

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2)/\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^4) = \{0, e_1 \cup e_2, e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4\},$$

where  $e_i \in H^1(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  are the four pullbacks of the generator in  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $M, N$  be oriented, almost spin 4-manifolds with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}^4$ .*

- (1) *If  $[w_2^\pi(M)] = e_1 \cup e_2$ , then  $M \leq N$  if and only if  $N$  is non-spin.*
- (2) *If  $[w_2^\pi(M)] = e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4$ , then  $M \leq N$  if and only if  $[w_2^\pi(N)] = [w_2^\pi(M)]$  and  $c_*[M]$  is a multiple of  $c_*[N]$  in  $H_4(\mathbb{Z}^4)/\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\{\pm 1\} = \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

Moreover, all immersion types  $(\mathbb{Z}^4, 0, e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4, 2c)$  are realized.

This implies that the order  $(\mathbb{N}_0, \cdot)$  given by  $m \leq n$  iff  $m$  is a multiple of  $n$  is realized in the immersion order on orientable 4-manifolds. It would be interesting to know whether the division order on  $\mathbb{N}$  also arises, where  $m \leq n$  iff  $m$  divides  $n$ .

**1.3. 4-manifolds with arbitrary fundamental groups.** The following result follows from obstruction theory (for basics about obstruction theory see [Bau77]) and a precursor of Hirsch–Smale theory by Phillips [Phi67]. We use the *Postnikov 2-type*  $P_2X$  of a space  $X$ . It is a CW-complex that up to homotopy equivalence is characterized by the existence of a 2-equivalence  $c_2 : X \rightarrow P_2X$  and the vanishing of  $\pi_k(P_2X)$  for  $k > 2$ . The Postnikov 2-type of a CW-complex can be obtained by attaching cells of dimension  $> 3$  to kill all higher homotopy groups.

**Theorem 1.8.**  *$M \leq N$  for 4-manifolds  $M, N$  if and only if there is a continuous map  $f_2 : P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  with  $f_2^*(w_i(N)) = w_i(M), i = 1, 2$ . Moreover, given  $f_2$ , there exists an immersion  $j : M_* \looparrowright N$  such that the induced maps on fundamental groups satisfy  $\pi_1(f_2) = \pi_1(j)$ .*

We give more equivalent conditions in Theorem 4.1. In the easy direction one can just use  $f_2 := P_2(j)$  because  $P_2M_* = P_2M$ . Note that  $w_i(M) \in H^2(P_2M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  are well defined for  $i = 1, 2$  because  $c_2$  induces isomorphisms on the first two (co)homology groups with arbitrary twisted coefficients.

We next study the existence of maps  $f_2 : P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$ , regardless of their behaviour on the Stiefel–Whitney classes. We use the fibration sequence  $K(\pi_2M, 2) \rightarrow P_2M \rightarrow B\pi_1M$  that is classified by the  $k$ -invariant  $k_M \in H^3(\pi_1M; \pi_2M)$ . The  $k$ -invariant can be described as follows. It is given by the exact sequence

$$0 = H_2(\widetilde{M}^{(1)}) \rightarrow \pi_2M \cong H_2(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow H_2(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{M}^{(1)}) \rightarrow C_1(M) \rightarrow C_0(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

which represents an element in  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1M]}^3(\mathbb{Z}, \pi_2M) \cong H^3(\pi_1M; \pi_2M)$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** *There is a continuous map  $f_2 : P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi : \pi_1M \rightarrow \pi_1N$  on fundamental groups if and only if  $\varphi^*(k_N) \cap c_*[M] = 0 \in H_1(\pi_1M; \pi_2N_\varphi^{w_1(M)})$ .*

Here  $P_\varphi$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1M]$ -module structure induced by  $\varphi$  on a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1N]$ -module  $P$ . One important consequence of the above result is that the existence of  $f_2$  only depends on  $(\pi_1M, c_*[M])$ , not on all of  $M$ . In fact, it also just depends on  $(\pi_1N, c_*[N])$  and we spell this out carefully in Theorem 4.10. Here we only mention the most striking special case where  $c_*[N] = 0$ . Note that Theorem 1.9 gives a map  $P_2N \rightarrow P_2N'$  for any  $N'$  with the same normal 1-type as  $N$  (but possibly non-trivial  $c_*[N']$ ) and one can pre-compose it with a map  $P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** *Fix a group  $\pi'$ , a 4-manifold  $M$  and a homomorphism  $\varphi : \pi_1M \rightarrow \pi'$ . A free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as trivial  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi']$ -module,  $C_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ , gives a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1M]$ -resolution  $(C_2)_\varphi^{w_1(M)} \xrightarrow{d_2} (C_1)_\varphi^{w_1(M)} \xrightarrow{d_1} (C_0)_\varphi^{w_1(M)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z}^{w_1(M)} \rightarrow 0$  that induces a shift homomorphism*

$$H_4(\pi_1M; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1(M)}) \xrightarrow{\text{shift}} H_1(\pi_1M; (\ker d_2)_\varphi^{w_1(M)}).$$

*This shift sends  $c_*[M]$  to zero if and only if for all 4-manifolds  $N$  with  $\pi_1N = \pi'$  and  $c_*[N] = 0$  there exists a map  $f_2 : P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups.*

If  $c_*[N] \neq 0$  the vanishing of  $\text{shift}(c_*[M])$  is a sufficient but not necessary condition for the existence of  $f_2$ . We give a more sophisticated if and only if condition in Theorem 4.10. In that Section 4 we will also show how to control the pullbacks of Stiefel-Whitney classes under  $f_2$  and we will derive the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1.11.** *If  $N$  is not almost spin,  $H^1(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 N]) = 0$  and  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  is an isomorphism with  $\varphi^* w_1^\pi(N) = w_1^\pi(M)$  then a  $\varphi$ -immersion exists if and only if  $\varphi_* c_*[M]$  is a multiple of  $c_*[N]$ .*

Note that the assumption  $H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$  is satisfied if  $\pi$  is finite or has one end.

**Corollary 1.12.** *If  $M, N$  are almost spin and  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  satisfies  $\varphi^*(w_i^\pi N) = w_i^\pi M$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and induces a monomorphism  $H_1(\pi_1 M; \pi_2 N_\varphi^{w_1^\pi(M)}) \rightarrow H_1(\pi_1 N; \pi_2 N^{w_1^\pi(N)})$  then a  $\varphi$ -immersion exists if  $H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1^\pi(N)}) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $H^1(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 N]) = 0$  then a  $\varphi$ -immersion exists if and only if  $\varphi_* c_*[M]$  is a multiple of  $c_*[N]$  in  $H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1^\pi(N)})$ .*

In Section 4 we will prove a generalization of this result, Corollary 4.6, implying that a  $\varphi$ -immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  does not force  $\varphi_* c_*[M]$  to be a multiple of  $c_*[N]$ .

We finish the introduction with the announced detailed formulation of Phillips's result. For manifolds  $M, N$ , we write  $\text{Sub}(M, N)$  and  $\text{Imm}(M, N)$  for the spaces of submersions and immersions, respectively. Furthermore, we denote by  $\text{Sur}(TM, TN)$  and  $\text{Mono}(TM, TN)$  the spaces of vector bundle homomorphism that are fibrewise surjective or injective, respectively.

**Theorem 1.13** ([Phi67, Thm.A]). *If  $M$  is open, the differential induces a weak homotopy equivalence  $\text{Sub}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Sur}(TM, TN)$ .*

Since a codimension zero submersion is an immersion, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.14.** *If  $M$  is open and  $\dim N = \dim M$ , the differential induces a weak homotopy equivalence  $\text{Imm}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Mono}(TM, TN)$ .*

By the Smale-Hirsch theorem, the corollary is true for  $\dim M < \dim N$  without the assumption that  $M$  is open. The special case that an open, parallelizable  $n$ -manifold can be immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is due to Poenaru [Poe62, Theorem 5] and Hirsch [Hir61, Theorem 4.7].

**Acknowledgements.** Much of this research was conducted at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics. DK was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy - GZ 2047/1, Projekt-ID 390685813.


## 2. THE IMMERSION ORDER FOR LOWER DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

In dimension 1 the circle  $S^1$  is the only closed connected manifold.

**Proposition 2.1.** *There are two immersion equivalence classes of surfaces,  $S^2 < \mathbb{R}P^2$ .*

To "see" this answer, remove a point from an orientable surface  $M$ . Then  $M_*$  is the interior of a 2-disk with 1-handles trivially attached (such that the result is orientable) and one can draw an immersion into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as in the following Figure.

In particular, all orientable surfaces are immersion equivalent. If one removes a point from a non-orientable surface the resulting manifold is the interior of a 2-disk with trivial 1-handles

FIGURE 1. An immersion of  $T_*^2$  into the plane.

attached plus a single non-trivial 1-handle. One can draw an immersion of this handlebody into a Möbius strip and so one obtains an immersion into  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ . Thus all non-orientable surfaces immerse into  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  and all non-orientable surfaces are immersion equivalent. This implies Proposition 2.1 because if a manifold immerses into an orientable manifold, it is itself orientable.

The situation in dimension 3 is more complex. By the Wu formula  $w_2(M) = 0$  and hence orientable 3-manifolds have trivial normal (and tangent) bundle. Recall that  $w_1(M)$  comes from a unique class  $w_1^\pi(M) \in H^1(\pi_1 M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *In dimension 3,  $M \leq N$  if and only if there is a group homomorphism  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  with  $\varphi^*(w_1^\pi(N)) = w_1^\pi(M)$ . Moreover,*

- (1)  $S^3$  is the minimum and  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$  is the maximum for the immersion order,
- (2)  $S^3 \bowtie N$  if and only if  $N$  is orientable,
- (3)  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1 \bowtie N$  if and only if there exists  $x \in \pi_1 N$  with  $x^2 = 1$  and  $w_1^\pi(N)(x) \neq 0$ ,
- (4)  $S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2 \leq N$  if and only if  $N$  is non-orientable and
- (5)  $M \leq S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2$  if and only if  $w_1^\pi(M)$  admits an integral lift.

For orientable  $M, N$  the tangent bundles are trivial and one can thus use the constant map  $M \rightarrow N$ . It pulls back the tangent bundle of  $N$  to the tangent bundle of  $M$  and by Philipps its restriction to  $M_*$  is homotopic to an immersion.

For non-orientable 3-manifolds,  $w_1(M)$  comes into play. If  $j: M_* \looparrowright N$  then the induced map  $\varphi := j_*: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  has the property:  $\varphi^*(w_1^\pi(N)) = w_1^\pi(M)$ . If in turn we have a map  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  with this property then there is a map  $M_* \rightarrow B\pi_1 N$  pulling  $w_1^\pi(N)$  back to  $w_1(M_*)$ . The only obstruction for lifting this map to  $N$  lies in the third cohomology of  $M_*$  which is trivial since this manifold has the homotopy type of a 2-complex. So there is a map  $M_* \rightarrow N$  pulling back  $w_1$  (and hence also  $w_2$ ). But 3-dimensional vector bundles over  $M_*$  are classified by  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . So by Phillips the map is homotopic to an immersion.

For example, if  $M$  is a non-orientable 3-manifold then there is map  $M_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$  fulfilling the conditions. Thus  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$  is a maximum for 3-manifolds. The other statements in Proposition 2.2 follow similarly.

### 3. BACKGROUND ON IMMERSIONS

From now on we study only 4-manifolds. In order to check our immersion criteria in concrete examples, we express one of them in terms of the chain-complex  $(C_* M, d_*^M)$  of the universal cover of  $M$  induced by a handle decomposition of  $M$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]$ -modules  $C_k M$

are free on one generator for each  $k$ -handle and the boundary maps  $d_k^M: C_k M \rightarrow C_{k-1} M$  are an algebraic image of the attaching maps of the  $k$ -handles to (the boundary of) the  $(k-1)$ -skeleton. We can assume that our ccs manifolds have only one 0-handle.

**Remark 3.1.** One can think of  $w_1(M)$  as a 1-cocycle  $C_1 M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ . It measures whether a chosen orientation on the 0-handle extends to a given 1-handle. The central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow Pin^+(n) \longrightarrow O(n) \longrightarrow 1$$

is classified by the universal Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_2 \in H^2(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Therefore,  $w_2(\xi) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is the obstruction to existence of a  $pin^+$ -structure on the vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $X$ . Equivalently a  $pin^+$ -structure on  $\xi$  is a  $Spin$ -structure on  $\xi \oplus 3Det\xi$ , where this vector bundle is equipped with the tautological orientation. For a manifold a  $pin^+$  is such a structure on the normal bundle. So such a structure exists on the 1-skeleton  $M^{(1)}$ , consisting of all 0- and 1-handles and  $w_2(M)$  is represented by the 2-cocycle  $\widehat{w}_2(M): C_2 M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$  measuring whether this  $pin^+$ -structure extends to a given 2-handle. A second  $pin^+$ -structure on  $M^{(1)}$  differs by a class in  $H^1(M^{(1)}; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \text{Hom}_{\pi_1 M}(C_1 M, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  that changes the 2-cocycle by the corresponding coboundary.

**Theorem 3.2.** *The existence of the following types of data are equivalent:*

- (1) An immersion  $j: M_* \looparrowright N$ .
- (2) A smooth map  $f: M_* \rightarrow N$  together with an isomorphism of smooth vector bundles  $TM_* \cong f^*TN$  (not necessarily given by the differential  $Tf$ ).
- (3) A continuous map  $f: M_* \rightarrow N$  with  $f^*(w_i(N)) = w_i(M)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (4) A continuous map  $f_2: P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  with  $f_2^*(w_i(N)) = w_i(M)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (5) A homomorphism  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  with  $\varphi^*(w_1^\pi(N)) = w_1^\pi(M)$ , together with a  $\varphi$ -linear map  $h: C_2 M / \text{im}(d_3^M) \rightarrow C_2 N / \text{im}(d_3^N)$  and a choice of  $C_1(\varphi)$  such that  $d_2^N \circ h_2 = C_1(\varphi) \circ d_2^M$  and  $h^*(\widehat{w}_2(N))$  is a 2-cocycle representative of  $w_2(M)$ .

One can arrange that the maps induced on  $\pi_1$  by  $j, f, f_2$  are the same and agree with  $\varphi$ .

Here a  $\varphi$ -linear map  $P \rightarrow Q$ , for  $P$  a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]$ -module and  $Q$  a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 N]$ -module, is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]$ -linear map  $P \rightarrow Q_\varphi$ . The choices for the  $\varphi$ -linear map  $C_1(\varphi): C_1 M \rightarrow C_1 N$  come about as follows:  $C_1 M$  is determined by a choice of generators  $g_i$  of  $\pi_1 M$  and similarly for  $C_1 N$ . Then  $C_1(\varphi)$  is determined by expressing the group elements  $\varphi(g_i)$  as words in the chosen generators of  $\pi_1 N$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* (1) and (2) are equivalent by Corollary 1.14.

The map  $w_1 \times w_2: BO(4) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)$  given by Stiefel-Whitney classes is 4-connected and since  $M_*$  is homotopy equivalent to a 3-dimensional complex, two 4-dimensional vector bundles over  $M_*$  are isomorphic if and only if  $w_1, w_2$  agree. Using that  $w_1(TM) = w_1(\nu(M))$  and  $w_2(TM) = w_2(\nu(M)) + w_1(\nu(M))^2$ , this shows that (2) and (3) are equivalent.

We can build the Postnikov 2-types  $P_2 M$  and  $P_2 N$  by attaching cells of dimension 4 and higher to  $M_*$  and  $N$ , respectively. Thus by cellular approximation, every map  $f_2: P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  is homotopic to a map that sends the 3-skeleton  $M_*$  to  $N$ . This shows that (4) implies (3). The other direction follows from the functoriality of  $P_2$  and similar functorialities show that (5) is implied by any of the previous statements.

To show that (5) implies (4), we first observe that  $h$  can be extended to a  $\varphi$ -linear chain map  $h_* : C_*(M_*) \rightarrow C_*N$ . For  $* = 0, 1$  this follows from our assumption on the existence of  $C_1(\varphi)$  and for  $* = 2, 3$  it follows from  $C_*$  being free. Composing with  $c_2 : N \rightarrow P_2N$  and observing that for  $* \leq 3$  the chain-complexes of  $M_* \subset M \subset P_2M$  agree (because the cells do), we need to realize a chain map  $C_*(M_*) \rightarrow C_*(P_2N)$  by a continuous map  $f : M_* \rightarrow P_2N$  that is already given on the 1-skeleton  $M^{(1)}$  by a choice of  $(B\varphi)^{(1)}$ . Choose an extension  $(B\varphi)^{(2)}$  on the 2-cells and compare the resulting map  $C_2(\varphi) : C_2M \rightarrow C_2N$  with  $h_2$ . Since both commute with  $C_1(\varphi)$ , their difference is a map

$$h_2 - C_2(\varphi) : C_2M \rightarrow \ker d_2^N \cong \pi_2N^{(2)}.$$

We can change our choice of  $(B\varphi)^{(2)} : M^{(2)} \rightarrow N^{(2)}$  on the 2-cells of  $M$  as follows: Pinch of a 2-sphere from a 2-cell  $e \subset M$  and map it to  $h_2(e) - C_2(\varphi)(e)$ . This new version of  $C_2(\varphi)$  agrees with  $h_2$  by construction. The obstruction for extending  $(B\varphi)^{(2)}$  to a 3-cell  $e : D^3 \rightarrow M_*$  is given by  $h_2(\partial e) \in \pi_2N \cong H_2(C_*(P_2N))$ . This element vanishes because  $h_2$  extends to  $h_3$  on 3-chains and hence any boundary in  $M_*$  becomes a boundary in  $P_2N$ .

By construction of  $f : M_* \rightarrow P_2N$ , we can read off its induced map  $f^*w_2(N)$  by using the chain map  $h_*$  as claimed.  $\square$

The following is a useful observation concerning immersions into  $N$  compared to  $N_*$ . It implies that the immersion order is indeed transitive.

**Lemma 3.3.** *If there is an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$ , then there also is an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N_*$ .*

*Proof.* An immersion  $f : M_* \looparrowright N$  induces an isomorphism  $TM_* \cong f^*TN$ . Since  $M_*$  is homotopy equivalent to a 3-dimensional complex,  $f$  is homotopic to a map  $g$  which misses one point. Then

$$TM_* \cong f^*TN \cong g^*TN \cong g^*TN_*.$$

and hence by Corollary 1.14, there is an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N_*$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 1.2.* using the equivalence of (1) and (3) in Theorem 3.2.

(1) Since  $S_*^4 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ ,  $S^4 \leq M$  holds for all 4-manifolds  $M$ . Since  $w_iS^4 = 0$ , a map  $f : M_* \rightarrow S^4$  with  $f^*w_iS^4 = w_i(M)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  exists if and only if  $M$  is spin.

(2) Since  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_*^2 \simeq S^2$  and  $w_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) \neq 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \leq M$  if and only if there exists an element of  $\pi_2(M)$  on which  $w_2$  is non-trivial. This is the case if and only if  $M$  is not almost spin.

(3) Since  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  is orientable,  $M \leq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  implies that  $M$  is orientable. For the converse, note that every orientable 4-manifold admits an integral lift  $x \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  of  $w_2(M)$  by unpublished work of Vogt and the fourth author, see also [GS99, Remark 5.7.5] where their proof is given. The class  $x$  induces a map  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . By definition of  $x$  we have  $f^*w_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) = w_2(M)$  and thus  $M \leq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .

(4) Since  $w_1(S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3) \neq 0$ ,  $S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3 \leq M$  implies that  $w_1(M) \neq 0$ . Now let  $w_1(M) \neq 0$ . Then there is a map  $g : S^1 \rightarrow M$  such that  $g^*w_1 \neq 0$ . Define  $f : S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3 \rightarrow M$  as the composition of the projection to  $S^1$  with  $g$ . Then  $f^*w_1(M) = w_1(S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3)$  and  $f^*w_2(M) = 0 = w_2(S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3)$ . Hence there exists an immersion  $(S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3)_* \looparrowright M$ .

(5) Let  $g : S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3$  be a map that induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. There exists an integral lift of  $w_1(M)$  if and only if there exists a map  $h : M \rightarrow S^1$  such that



$h^*g^*w_1(S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3) = w_1(M)$ . Since  $f^*w_2(S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3) = f^*0 = 0$  for every map  $f: M \rightarrow S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3$ , it follows that there exists an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright S^1 \widetilde{\times} S^3$  if and only if  $w_1(M)$  admits an integral lift and  $w_2(M) = 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. RESULTS FOR GENERAL FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

In this section we prove the results from Section 1.3 (and Proposition 1.3) as well as additional facts relevant to our computations. We start with a result on the existence of maps between Postnikov towers, regardless of their behaviour on Stiefel-Whitney classes. The equivalence of (1) and (3) is Theorem 1.9, the equivalence of (1), (2) and (4) actually holds for all spaces, not just 4-manifolds.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For a homomorphism  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a continuous map  $f_2: P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups.*
- (2) *There is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]$ -linear map  $\varphi_2: \pi_2 M \rightarrow \pi_2 N_\varphi$  such that  $\varphi^*(k_N) = (\varphi_2)_*(k_M)$ .*
- (3)  *$c_*[M] \cap \varphi^*(k_N) = 0 \in H_1(\pi_1 M; \pi_2 N_\varphi^{w_1})$ , equivalently,  $c^*\varphi^*(k_N) = 0 \in H^3(M; \pi_2 N_\varphi)$ .*
- (4) *There is an  $h: C_2 M / \text{im}(d_3^M) \rightarrow C_2 N_\varphi / \text{im}(d_3^N)$  such that  $d_2^N \circ h = C_1(\varphi) \circ d_2^M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q: P_2 M \rightarrow B\pi_1 M$  induce the identity on fundamental groups. The obstruction for a lift  $f_2: P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  of  $q$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups is  $q^*\varphi^*k_N$ .

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): The fibration  $K(\pi_2 M, 2) \rightarrow P_2 M \rightarrow B\pi_1 M$  induces an exact sequence

$$H^2(K(\pi_2 M, 2); \pi_2 N) \rightarrow H^3(B\pi_1 M; \pi_2 N) \xrightarrow{q^*} H^3(P_2 M; \pi_2 N)$$

as can be seen from the Serre spectral sequence. Thus if  $q^*\varphi^*k_N = 0$ , there exists an element  $\varphi_2 \in H^2(K(\pi_2 M, 2); \pi_2 N)$ , which we can interpret as a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]$ -linear map  $\varphi_2: \pi_2 M \rightarrow \pi_2 N_\varphi$ . It now follows from the following commutative diagram that  $\varphi^*(k_N) = (\varphi_2)_*(k_M)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id} \in H^2(K(\pi_2 M, 2); \pi_2 M) & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_2)^*} & H^2(K(\pi_2 M, 2); \pi_2 N) \ni \varphi_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k_M \in H^3(B\pi_1 M; \pi_2 M) & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_2)^*} & H^3(B\pi_1 N; \pi_2 N) \ni \varphi^*(k_N) \end{array}$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): A  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]$ -linear map  $\varphi_2: \pi_2 M \rightarrow \pi_2 N_\varphi$  such that  $\varphi^*(k_N) = (\varphi_2)_*(k_M)$  gives

$$q^*\varphi^*(k_N) = q^*((\varphi_2)_*(k_M)) = (\varphi_2)_*(q^*(k_M)) = (\varphi_2)_*(0) = 0.$$

Hence there exists a map  $f_2$  as claimed.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): Since  $P_2 N$  is 3-coconnected, a map  $f_2: P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups exists if and only if there is a map  $f: M \rightarrow P_2 N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups. Now let  $c: M \rightarrow B\pi_1 M$  be the identity on fundamental groups. The map  $\varphi$  induces a map  $\varphi \circ c: M \rightarrow B\pi_1 N$  and the obstruction for lifting this map to a map  $f: M \rightarrow P_2 N$  is  $c^*\varphi^*(k_N)$ . This shows that (1) and (3) are equivalent. The equivalence of (4) with the other statements follows just like in the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $f_2: P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  induce  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups and assume that  $\varphi^*w_1^\pi(N) = w_1^\pi(M)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups.*

- (2)  $w_2(M) + f_2^*w_2N$  lifts to  $H^2(M; \pi_2N_\varphi)$  along the  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1N]$ -linear map  $w_2\tilde{N}: \pi_2N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ .
- (3) For every  $f'_2: P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups, the sum  $w_2(M) + (f'_2)^*w_2N$  lifts to  $H^2(M; \pi_2N_\varphi)$  along  $w_2\tilde{N}: \pi_2N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

*Proof.* The theorem follows from obstruction theory which implies that  $H^2(M; \pi_2N_\varphi)$  acts simply transitively on the set  $[P_2M, P_2N]_\varphi$  of homotopy classes of maps inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups. We will give an explicit chain level version of this action to show that it indeed changes the pullback of  $w_2(N)$  as claimed.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): If there exists an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$ , then the induced map  $f_2: P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  satisfies  $f_2^*w_2(N) = w_M$ . It remains to show that for any other map  $f'_2: P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups  $f_2^*w_2(N) + (f'_2)^*w_2(N)$  admits a lift to  $H^2(M; \pi_2N_\varphi)$  along  $w_2\tilde{N}: \pi_2N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Let  $h_*, h'_*: C_*M \rightarrow C_*N$  be the maps of chain complexes induced by  $f_2$  and  $f'_2$ , respectively. Let  $w: C_2N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$  be a cocycle representing  $w_2(N)$ . Since  $w$  is a cocycle, it induces a map  $C_2N/\text{im } d_3^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Restricting this map to  $\ker d_2^N/\text{im } d_3^N = \pi_2N$  yields  $w_2\tilde{N}$ . Since  $f_2$  and  $f'_2$  induce the same map on fundamental group, we can assume that  $h_1 = h'_1$ . Thus  $h_2 - h'_2$  can be viewed as a map  $C_2M \rightarrow \ker d_2^N$ . It follows that  $f_2^*w_2(N) + (f'_2)^*w_2(N)$ , which is represented by  $w \circ (h_2 - h'_2)$ , admits a lift to  $\pi_2N$  as claimed.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obvious.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let  $h_*: C_*M \rightarrow C_*N$  be the map of chain complexes induced by  $f_2$ . Let  $h': \text{coker } d_3^M \rightarrow \pi_2N$  be a  $\varphi$ -linear map representing the given lift. It induces lifts  $h'_2: C_2M \rightarrow \ker d_2^N$  and  $h'_3: C_3M \rightarrow C_3N$  such there is a commutative diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C_3M & \xrightarrow{h_3+h'_3} & C_3N \\
\downarrow d_3^M & & \downarrow d_3^N \\
C_2M & \xrightarrow{h_2+h'_2} & C_2N \\
\downarrow d_2^M & & \downarrow d_2^N \\
C_1M & \xrightarrow{h_1} & C_1N
\end{array}$$

The bottom part can be realized as a map of 2-complexes by starting with  $f_2$  and then changing the map on the 2-cells corresponding to  $h'_2$ . Commutativity of the diagram and the fact that  $\pi_2(N)$  is isomorphic to  $H_2(N; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  implies that it can be extended to a map  $M_* \rightarrow N_*$ . Any such map can be extended a map  $P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  since  $P_2N$  is 3-coconnected. Call the obtained map  $f'_2$ . As in the first part of the proof,  $(f'_2)^*w_2(N)$  differs from  $f_2^*w_2(N)$  by  $w \circ h'_2$ , where  $w: C_2N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$  is a cocycle representing  $w_2(N)$ . Thus  $(f'_2)^*w_2(N) = 0$  by the choice of  $h$ . By Theorem 3.2, there is an immersion  $M_* \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $M, N$  be almost spin and fix a homomorphism  $\varphi: \pi_1M \rightarrow \pi_1N$ . Then there exists an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N \# \mathbb{C}P^2$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups if and only if there exists a map  $f_2: P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups such that  $\varphi^*w_1^\pi(N) = w_1^\pi(M)$  and  $w_2M + c^*\varphi^*w_2^\pi(N)$  admits a lift to  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1N]_\varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that we have maps  $p: P_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) \rightarrow P_2N$  and  $i: P_2N \rightarrow P_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)$  inducing the identity on fundamental groups such that  $i^*w_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) = w_2(N)$  and  $p^*w_2(N) + w_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)$  admits a lift to  $H^2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1N])$ .

Since  $N$  is almost spin, a lift of  $x \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  to  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1N]_\varphi)$  exists if and only if a lift to  $H^2(M; \pi_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)_\varphi)$  along

$$w_2(\widetilde{N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}): \pi_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \pi_2N \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1N] \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1N] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z}/2$$

exists. Here  $\text{pr}_2$  is the projection onto the second summand and  $\epsilon$  is the mod 2 augmentation map.

Hence applying Proposition 4.2 to  $i \circ f_2$  shows the if direction of the statement while the only if direction follows from composing the map  $P_2M \rightarrow P_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)$  induced by an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  with  $p$ .  $\square$

The following result uses in spirit an argument going back to at least C.T.C. Wall, who showed that  $N\#S^2 \times S^2 \cong N\#S^2 \widetilde{\times} S^2$  if and only if  $N$  is not almost spin by utilizing an immersed sphere in  $N$  with odd normal Euler number to guide an ambient isotopy from one surgery on a trivial circle in  $N$  to the other.

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $N$  is not almost spin, then the following are equivalent*

- (1)  $M \leq N$ ,
- (2)  $M\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \leq N$ , and
- (3)  $M \leq N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ .

*Moreover, this holds with control of the fundamental group in the sense that for a given homomorphism  $\varphi: \pi_1M \rightarrow \pi_1N$ , if one item comes from a  $\varphi$ -immersion then so do the other two.*

*Proof.* Since  $M \leq M\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $N \leq N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , inducing the identity on fundamental groups, the implications (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) are immediate. It remains to show that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

An immersion  $j: M_* \looparrowright N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , together with the inclusion  $\iota: \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2_* \rightarrow N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  induce

$$g: P_2(M\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq P_2(M_* \vee \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2_*) \longrightarrow P_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2).$$

Under the isomorphism  $H^i(M\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^i(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus H^i(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we have  $g^*(w_i(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)) = (j^*(w_i(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)), \iota^*(w_i(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2))) = (w_i(M), w_i\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)$ . By Theorem 3.2 this implies  $M\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \leq N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  given by an immersion that induces  $\pi_1(j)$  on fundamental groups.

It remains to show  $N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \leq N$  inducing the identity on fundamental groups. Since  $N$  is not almost spin, there exists a map  $f: S^2 \rightarrow N$  such that  $f^*w_2(N) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2_* \simeq S^2$ , we can consider the map

$$P_2(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq P_2(N \vee \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2_*) \xrightarrow{P_2(\text{id} \vee f)} P_2(N).$$

As above, this map pulls back the Stiefel–Whitney classes correctly and thus leads to an immersion  $(N\#\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)_* \looparrowright N$  as claimed.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 1.3.* We first consider the case where  $M$  and  $N$  are almost spin. Then by Theorem 3.2 we have to show that there exists a map  $f_2: P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on  $\pi_1$  if  $\varphi_*c_*[M] = c_*[N]$ . By Theorem 1.9, such an  $f_2$  exists if and only if  $\varphi^*(k_N) \cap c_*[M] = 0 \in$

$H_1(\pi_1 M; \pi_2 N_\varphi^{w_1})$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a isomorphism, this holds if and only if  $k_N \cap \varphi_* c_* [M] = 0$ . But  $\varphi_* c_* [M] = c_* [N]$  and we have  $k_N \cap c_* [N] = 0$  since  $N$  always admits a map to its Postnikov 2-type that is the identity on fundamental groups.

Now let  $M$  and  $N$  be not almost spin. Using Kreck's modified surgery [Kre99], see also [KPT22, Theorem 1.2], the manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ -stably isomorphic since  $\varphi_* c_* [M] = c_* [N]$ . By Lemma 4.4 this implies that  $M \bowtie N$  with control of the fundamental groups.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $P$  be a 3-coconnected space with fundamental group  $\pi$ , let  $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$  be a homomorphism, let  $x \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$  and let  $c: P \rightarrow B\pi$  be the classifying map. Then  $k_P \cap x = 0$  if and only if  $x$  is in the image of  $c_*: H_4(P; \mathbb{Z}^w) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ .*

*Proof.* There exists a 4-manifold  $M$  with a map  $f: M \rightarrow B\pi$  such that  $x = f_* [M]$  and  $f^* w = w_1(M)$ . This follows from the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for (twisted) oriented bordism. Using surgery, we can assume that  $f$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_1$ . Then  $f$  can be lifted to a map  $\hat{f}: M \rightarrow P$  if and only if  $f^* k_P \in H^3(M; \pi_2(P))$  vanishes. By Poincaré duality, this holds if and only if  $f^* k_P \cap [M]$  vanishes. Since  $f$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_1$ , this holds if and only if  $0 = k_P \cap f_* [M] = k_P \cap x \in H_1(\pi; \pi_2(N)^w)$ .

If a lift  $\hat{f}$  exists, then  $x = f_* [M]$  is in the image of  $c_*: H_4(P; \mathbb{Z}^w) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ .

For the converse, let  $x' \in H_4(P; \mathbb{Z}^w)$  be a preimage of  $x$ . As before, there exists a 4-manifold  $M'$  and a map  $f': M' \rightarrow P$  with  $f'_* [M'] = x'$ ,  $(f')^* c^* w = w_1(M)$  and such that  $f'$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_1$ . Since  $c \circ f': M' \rightarrow B\pi$  lifts to  $P$ ,

$$0 = k_P \cap (c \circ f')_* [M'] = k_P \cap c_* (x') = k_P \cap x$$

as above.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.12.* We abbreviate  $w := w_1^\pi(N)$ . By Theorem 1.9 a  $\varphi$ -immersion exists if and only if  $\varphi^*(k_N) \cap c_* [M] = 0 \in H_1(\pi_1 M; \pi_2 N_\varphi^w)$ . Since  $\varphi_*: H_1(\pi_1 M; \pi_2 N_\varphi^w) \rightarrow H_1(\pi_1 N; \pi_2 N^w)$  is injective by assumption, this holds if and only if

$$k_N \cap \varphi_* c_* [M] = \varphi_*(\varphi^*(k_N) \cap c_* [M]) = 0 \in H_1(\pi_1 N; \pi_2 N^w).$$

By Lemma 4.5,  $k_N \cap \varphi_* c_* [M]$  is trivial if and only if  $\varphi_* c_* [M]$  is in the image of  $H_4(P_2 N; \mathbb{Z}^w) \rightarrow H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ . It remains to show that the image is the subgroup generated by the  $c_* [N]$ .

This is obvious for  $H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}^w) = 0$ . If  $H^1(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 N]) = 0$ , this follows directly from the Leray-Serre spectral sequence applied to the fibrations  $\tilde{N} \rightarrow N \rightarrow B\pi_1 N$  and  $\widetilde{P_2(N)} \rightarrow P_2(N) \rightarrow B\pi_1 N$ , cf. [KPT22, Theorem 4.1] and [McC01, Lemma 8<sup>bis</sup>.27].  $\square$

The following is a generalization of Corollary 1.12 to free products in the orientable case, showing that a  $\varphi$ -immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  does not imply that  $\varphi_* c_* [M]$  is a multiple of  $c_* [N]$ . Recall that a free product decomposition  $G \cong G_1 * \dots * G_k$  induces an isomorphism  $H_4(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H_4(G_i; \mathbb{Z})$  given by the sum of projections  $p_i: H_4(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_4(G_i; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $M, N$  be orientable and almost spin. Assume that  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \rightarrow \pi_1 N$  satisfies  $\varphi^*(w_2^\pi(N)) = w_2^\pi(M)$  and induces a monomorphism*

$$\varphi_*: H_1(\pi_1 M; \pi_2 N_\varphi) \hookrightarrow H_1(\pi_1 N; \pi_2 N).$$

If  $\pi_1 N \cong G_1 * \dots * G_k$  and each  $G_i$  satisfies  $H_4(G_i; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  or  $H^1(G_i; \mathbb{Z}[G_i]) = 0$  then a  $\varphi$ -immersion exists if and only if  $p_i(\varphi_* c_*[M])$  is a multiple of  $p_i(c_*[N])$  in  $H_4(G_i; \mathbb{Z})$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 1.12, we have to show that the image of  $H_4(P_2 N; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H_4(G_i; \mathbb{Z})$  is the subgroup generated by the  $p_i(c_*[N])$ .

Since the images of  $H_4(P_2(N); \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H_4(P_2(N \vee S^2); \mathbb{Z})$  in  $H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z})$  agree, the image only depends on the stable homeomorphism type of  $N$ . By [Hil95, Theorem 1] and [KLT95],  $N$  is stably homeomorphic to a connected sum  $N_1 \# \dots \# N_k$  with  $\pi_1(N_i) \cong G_i$ . We have  $P_2(N_1 \# \dots \# N_k) \simeq P_2(N_1 \vee \dots \vee N_k)$  and the composition  $P_2(N_1 \vee \dots \vee N_k) \rightarrow B\pi_1 N \rightarrow G_i$  factors through  $P_2(N_i)$ . On the other hand we have the composition  $P_2(N_i) \rightarrow P_2(N_1 \vee \dots \vee N_k) \rightarrow B\pi_1 N$ . This implies that the image of  $H_4(P_2(N); \mathbb{Z})$  in  $H_4(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z})$  is the direct sum of the images of  $H_4(P_2(N_i); \mathbb{Z})$  in  $H_4(G_i; \mathbb{Z})$ . We thus have reduced the proof to show that the image of  $H_4(P_2(N_i); \mathbb{Z})$  in  $H_4(G_i; \mathbb{Z})$  is the subgroup generated by  $u_*[N_i]$ , where  $u: N_i \rightarrow BG_i$  is the classifying map. This again follows as in the proof of Corollary 1.12.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Fix a 4-manifold  $N$  and an integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists a 4-manifold  $M$  with fundamental group  $\pi_1 N$  such that  $w_i^\pi M = w_i^\pi N$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $c_*[M] = k \cdot c_*[N]$  and an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  inducing the identity on  $\pi_1$ .*

*Proof.* We consider  $\text{id}_N$  as an element of  $\Omega_4(\nu(N))$ , the bordism group of 4-manifolds  $M$  such that  $\nu(M): M \rightarrow BO$  lifts along  $\nu(N)$ . Similarly we can view  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^k N$  as an element in  $\Omega_4(\nu(N))$ . We can perform surgeries to turn  $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^k N \rightarrow N$  into a 2-connected map  $f: M \rightarrow N$ . By definition of  $\Omega_4(\nu(N))$ , the map  $f$  pulls back  $w_i(N)$  to  $w_i(M)$  and we use  $f$  to identify  $\pi_1 M$  with  $\pi_1 N$ . By Theorem 3.2, there exists an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  that is the identity on  $\pi_1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.11.* Let  $\varphi_* c_*[M]$  be a multiple of  $c_*[N]$ . Since  $M \leq M \# \mathbb{C}P^2$  we can assume that  $M$  is not almost spin. By Lemma 4.7 we can assume that  $\varphi_* c_*[M] = c_*[N]$ . Then a  $\varphi$ -immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  exists by Proposition 1.3.

For the converse assume that there exists a  $\varphi$ -immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$ . As in the proof of Corollary 4.6, we can apply Lemma 4.5 together with the assumption that  $H^1(\pi_1 N; \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 N]) = 0$  to show that  $\varphi_* c_*[M]$  is a multiple of  $c_*[N]$ .  $\square$

We end this section by proving Theorem 4.10 below which is a generalization of Theorem 1.10. Set  $\pi := \pi_1 M$ ,  $\pi' := \pi_1 N$  and let  $(C_* M, d_*^M)$  and  $(C_* N, d_*^N)$  denote the  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ - and  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi']$ -chain complexes of  $M$  and  $N$  for some handle decompositions, respectively, computing the homology of universal coverings.  $P_2 M$  can be constructed from  $M$  by attaching cells of dimensions  $\geq 4$  and hence we may assume that the cellular  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -chain complex  $(C_*(P_2 M), d_*^{P_2 M})$  agrees with that of  $M$  in degrees  $\leq 3$ . By the Hurewicz theorem,  $0 = \pi_3(P_2 M) \rightarrow H_3(P_2 M; \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$  is onto, so  $H_3(P_2 M; \mathbb{Z}[\pi]) = 0$  and we get a free resolution

$$C_4(P_2 M) \xrightarrow{d_4^{P_2 M}} C_3 M \xrightarrow{d_3^M} C_2 M \longrightarrow \text{coker } d_3^M \rightarrow 0$$

of the  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module  $\text{coker } d_3^M$ , cf. [KPT22, p. 91]. It follows that a  $\varphi$ -linear map

$$h: \text{coker } d_3^M \longrightarrow (\text{coker } d_3^N)_\varphi$$

can be lifted by a triple  $(h_4, h_3, h_2), h_i: C_i M \rightarrow C_i N$ . In particular,  $h$  induces a well defined map  $h_A^3: H^3(P_2 N; A) \rightarrow H^3(P_2 M; A_\varphi)$  for every  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi']$ -module  $A$ .

We will mainly use the module  $A := \ker d_2^N$  that fits into the 5-term exact sequence

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker d_2^N \rightarrow C_2 N \xrightarrow{d_2^N} C_1 N \xrightarrow{d_1^N} C_0 N \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence can be split into 3 short exact sequences and the composition of the corresponding Bockstein homomorphisms gives homomorphisms  $H^i(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{shift}} H^{i+3}(X; A)$  for any space with fundamental group  $\pi'$ . Applying this to  $X = B\pi'$  and  $i = 0$ , we see that the generator  $[\epsilon] \in H^0(B\pi'; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is sent by the shift to the  $k$ -invariant  $k_{N^{(2)}}$  of the 2-skeleton  $N^{(2)}$  of  $N$ , noting that  $A \cong \pi_2 N^{(2)}$ . If  $q: P_2 M \rightarrow B\pi, q': P_2 N \rightarrow B\pi'$  induce the identity on  $\pi_1$  then by naturality the generator  $[\epsilon] \in H^0(P_2 N; \mathbb{Z})$  is shifted to

$$\text{shift}([\epsilon]) = (q')^* k_{N^{(2)}} \in H^3(P_2 N; A).$$

Assume there exists an  $h: \text{coker } d_3^M \rightarrow (\text{coker } d_3^N)_\varphi$  so that the induced map  $h_A^3$  makes the upper square in the following diagram commute:

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(P_2 N; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(P_2 M; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \text{shift} & & \downarrow \text{shift} \\ H^3(P_2 N; A) & \xrightarrow{h_A^3} & H^3(P_2 M; A_\varphi) \\ \downarrow p_* & & \downarrow p_* \\ H^3(P_2 N; \pi_2 N) & \xrightarrow{h_{\pi_2 N}^3} & H^3(P_2 M; \pi_2 N_\varphi) \end{array}$$

Here the lower vertical maps are induced by the projection  $p: A \twoheadrightarrow A/\text{im } d_3^N \cong \pi_2 N$  and hence the lower diagram commutes. The composition  $p_* \circ \text{shift}$  sends the generator  $[\epsilon] \in H^0(P_2 N; \mathbb{Z})$  by naturality to  $(q')^* k_N \in H^3(P_2 N; \pi_2 N)$  which vanishes since  $q'$  factors through  $P_2 N$  via the identity. Moreover,  $[\epsilon] \in H^0(P_2 M; \mathbb{Z})$  is sent to  $q^* \varphi^* k_N \in H^3(P_2 M; \pi_2 N)$ , the only obstruction to lifting  $\varphi: P_2 M \rightarrow B\pi'$  over  $P_2 N$ . The commutativity of diagram (4.9) shows that

$$q^* \varphi^* k_N = h_A^3 (q')^* k_N = h_{\pi_2 N}^3(0) = 0$$

and hence there exists a map  $P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups.

Conversely, a map  $f: P_2 M \rightarrow P_2 N$  induces a chain map  $h_*: C_*(P_2 M) \rightarrow C_*(P_2 N)_\varphi$  and thus a map  $h: \text{coker } d_3^M \rightarrow (\text{coker } d_3^N)_\varphi$ . For this choice of lift for  $h$ , diagram (4.9) commutes by naturality of the shift map.

Let  $c: M \rightarrow B\pi$  and  $c': N \rightarrow B\pi'$  be maps inducing the identity on fundamental groups. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(P_2 N; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(N; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{PD} & H_4(N; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1 N}) & \xrightarrow{c'_*} & H_4(\pi'; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1 N}) \\ \downarrow \text{shift} & & \downarrow \text{shift} & & \downarrow \text{shift} & & \cong \downarrow \text{shift} \\ H^3(P_2 N; A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^3(N; A) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{PD} & H_1(N; A^{w_1 N}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{c'_*} & H_1(\pi'; A^{w_1 N}) \end{array}$$

The lower composition  $\psi': H^3(P_2N; A) \rightarrow H_1(\pi'; A^{w_1N})$  is an isomorphism by [KPT22, Lemma 5.3]. Let  $\psi$  be the corresponding composition for  $M$  instead of  $N$ . This is injective but in general not an isomorphism because for reasons of comparison we use coefficients  $A_\varphi$  rather than  $\ker d_2^M$ .

It follows that the diagram (4.9) commutes if and only if  $\psi \circ h_A^3 \circ (\psi')^{-1} \circ \text{shift}$  sends  $c'_*[N]$  to  $\text{shift}(c_*[M])$ . Thus we have shown that the existence of  $f_2$  only depends on the fundamental classes  $c'_*[N], c_*[M]$  in an explicit way. Note that we don't require  $\varphi^*w_1^\pi(N) = w_1^\pi(M)$ , so an induced map  $H_4(\varphi)$  cannot appear in our statement.

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $M, N$  be 4-manifolds and let  $\varphi: \pi_1M \rightarrow \pi_1N$  be a homomorphism. There is a map  $f_2: P_2M \rightarrow P_2N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups if and only if there is a  $\pi_1M$ -linear homomorphism  $h: \text{cok}(d_3^M) \rightarrow \text{cok}(d_3^N)_\varphi$  such that*

$$(\psi \circ h_A^3 \circ (\psi')^{-1} \circ \text{shift})(c'_*[N]) = \text{shift}(c_*[M]) \in H_1(\pi_1M; (A_\varphi)^{w_1(M)}).$$

In particular, if  $c_*[N] = 0$  this is satisfied if and only if  $\text{shift}(c_*[M]) = 0$ . This holds for the shift coming from (4.8) if and only if it holds for the shift for any start of a free resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi']$ -module. Hence Theorem 4.10 implies Theorem 1.10.

## 5. REALIZATION OF FUNDAMENTAL CLASSES, PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

To answer the question which immersion types  $(\pi, w_1, w_2, c)$  are realized, we only have to compute which values of  $c \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$  are realized for given normal 1-type  $(\pi, w_1, w_2)$  because all normal 1-types are realized by *doubles* of 4-dimensional thickenings. These are in fact the boundaries of 5-dimensional thickenings of 2-complexes with normal data given by  $w_1, w_2$ , implying that they have trivial fundamental class  $c$ . For such 5-manifolds, transversality implies that the diffeomorphism type only depends on the presentation of the fundamental group (and  $w_1, w_2$ ). See [Wal66] for more details. If  $w_2^\pi \neq \infty$ , consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{u} & B\pi \\ \downarrow \xi & & \downarrow w_1^\pi \times w_2^\pi \\ BO & \xrightarrow{w_1(\gamma) \times w_2(\gamma)} & K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2) \end{array}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the universal bundle over  $BO$ . If  $w_2^\pi = \infty$ , then consider the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{u} & B\pi \\ \downarrow \xi & & \downarrow w_1^\pi \\ BO & \xrightarrow{w_1(\gamma)} & K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \end{array}$$

In both cases we can assume that  $\xi$  is a fibration and we denote by  $\Omega_4(\xi)$  the 4-dimensional bordism group over  $\xi$ , i.e. the bordism group of manifolds with a lift of the normal bundle along  $\xi$ . The map  $u: B \rightarrow B\pi$  induces a map  $\Omega_4(\xi) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$  given by sending  $[M \xrightarrow{f} B]$  to  $u_*f_*[M]$ . Given  $[M \xrightarrow{f} B] \in \Omega_4(\xi)$  we can apply surgery to obtain a manifold  $M'$  bordant to  $M$  over  $\xi$  with immersion type  $(\pi, w_1, w_2, u_*f_*[M])$ . Conversely, a manifold  $M$  with immersion type  $(\pi, w_1, w_2, c)$  admits a lift of its normal bundle along  $\xi$ . Hence we have to

compute the image of  $\Omega_4(\xi) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$ . For this we apply the James spectral sequence [Tei92, Theorem 3.1.1; Tei93, Section II] with  $E^2$ -page

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\pi; \Omega_q^{\text{Spin}}) \implies \Omega_{p+q}(\xi) \quad \text{for } w_2 \neq \infty$$

respectively

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(\pi; \Omega_q^{\text{SO}}) \implies \Omega_{p+q}(\xi) \quad \text{for } w_2 = \infty.$$

Note that the coefficients in the spectral sequence are twisted via  $w_1$  and that the edge homomorphism is exactly our map  $\Omega_4(\xi) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$ . As a consequence, its image agrees with the kernel of all differentials going out of  $E_{4,0}^r$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $w_2 = \infty$ ,  $\Omega_4(\xi) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$  is surjective. Hence all immersion types  $(\pi, w_1, \infty, c)$  can be realized.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega_q^{\text{SO}} = 0$  for  $q = 1, 2, 3$ , there are no non-trivial differentials out of  $E_{4,0}^2 \cong H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$ . Hence  $\Omega_4(\xi) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$  is surjective as claimed.  $\square$

For  $w_2 \neq \infty$ , we have  $\Omega_1^{\text{Spin}} = \Omega_2^{\text{Spin}} = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Let  $\text{Sq}_{w_1, w_2}^2$  denote the homomorphism  $H^p(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^{p+2}(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  sending  $x$  to  $\text{Sq}^2(x) + \text{Sq}^1(x) \cup w_1 + x \cup w_2$ . The following lemma is [Tei93, Proposition 1] in the case  $w_1 = 0$ . The proof in the case  $w_1 \neq 0$  is similar.

**Lemma 5.2.** *The  $d_2$ -differential  $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1}) \cong E_{4,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{2,1}^2 \cong H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is given by reduction mod 2 composed with the dual of  $\text{Sq}_{w_1, w_2}^2$ .*

*The  $d_2$ -differential  $H_3(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong E_{3,1}^2 \rightarrow E_{1,2}^2 \cong H_1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is given by the dual of  $\text{Sq}_{w_1, w_2}^2$ .*

For example, if  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/2k$ ,  $w_1 \neq 0$ ,  $w_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\infty$  this lemma implies that there exists a 4-manifold with non-trivial fundamental class in  $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$ . In Remark 6.6, we will explicitly construct a rational homology 4-ball in this stable diffeomorphism class.

Recall that for almost spin manifolds with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}^4$ ,  $w_2^\pi(M)$  lies in

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2) / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^4) = \{0, e_1 \cup e_2, e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4\},$$

where  $e_i \in H^1(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  are the four pullbacks of the generator in  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* (1) By Proposition 1.2, we know that  $M \leq N$  and  $N$  spin implies that  $M$  is spin. this shows one direction. For the other let  $[w_2^\pi(M)] = e_1 \cup e_2$  and let  $N$  be non-spin. Then there is a map  $\varphi: \pi_1 M \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \pi_1 N$  with  $\varphi^* w_2^\pi(N) = w_2^\pi(M)$ . The map  $\varphi_1$  is realized by a map  $f_1: M \rightarrow T^2$  and the map  $\varphi_2$  is realized by a map  $f_2: T^2 \rightarrow N$ . Hence there exists a map  $f = f_1 \circ f_2$  from  $M$  to  $N$  with  $f^* w_2(N) = w_2(M)$ . Thus  $M \leq N$  by Theorem 3.2.

(2) Let  $x \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^4$  be a map such that  $\varphi^* x = e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4$ . Then  $x = e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4 \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^4)$  and  $\varphi$  is the inclusion of a finite index subgroup. The latter is true since otherwise  $\varphi$  factors through  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  but  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}^3; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^3)$  consists only of two elements and it would follow that  $\varphi^* x = e_1 \cup e_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^4)$ .

Hence for an immersion to exist,  $w_2^\pi(N)$  has to be equivalent to  $e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4$ . Let  $N'$  be the finite covering of  $N$  corresponding to  $\text{im } \varphi$ . Then  $c_*[N'] = c_*[N]$  and there is an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N$  inducing  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups if and only if there is an immersion  $M_* \looparrowright N'$  that induces the isomorphism determined by  $\varphi$ . By Corollary 1.12, such an immersion exists if and only if  $c_*[M]$  is a multiple of  $c_*[N'] = c_*[N]$  as claimed.



Let  $\xi: B \rightarrow BSO$  be the fibration determined by  $w_2 = e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4$ . We compute the image of  $\Omega_4(\xi)$  in  $H_4(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z})$  using the James spectral sequence. Note that  $\text{Sq}^2: H^2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^4(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is trivial. By Lemma 5.2, the  $d_2$ -differential  $\mathbb{Z} \cong H_4(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is thus given by the reduction mod 2 composed with the dual of  $-\cup w_2$ . This map is non-trivial and hence the kernel is  $2\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z} \cong H_4(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z})$ . The  $d_2$ -differential  $H_3(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is again dual to  $-\cup w_2$  which is an isomorphism for  $w_2 = e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4$ . Hence there are no higher non-trivial differentials going out of  $2\mathbb{Z} \leq H_4(\mathbb{Z}^4; \mathbb{Z})$ . Thus all immersion types  $(\mathbb{Z}^4, 0, e_1 \cup e_2 + e_3 \cup e_4, 2c)$  can be realized.  $\square$

## 6. THE IMMERSION ORDER ON 4-MANIFOLDS WITH CYCLIC FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

We start with the following lemma about the realization of immersion types for cyclic fundamental groups.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $M$  be a 4-manifold with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2k$  and  $w_2(M) = 0$ . Then  $0 = c_*[M] \in H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $w_1 = 0$ , then we have  $H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and the statement is obvious. If  $w_1 \neq 0$  and  $w_2 = 0$ , we can compute the image of  $\Omega_4(\xi)$  in  $H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$  where  $\xi$  is the fibration associated to  $w_1, w_2$ , see Section 5. The  $d_2$ -differential  $H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  in the James spectral sequence is the composition of reduction mod 2 and  $\text{Sq}_{w_1}^2$  by Lemma 5.2. Since  $\text{Sq}^1$  is trivial on  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  while  $\text{Sq}^2: H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^4(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is an isomorphism and also the reduction mod 2 is an isomorphism, it follows that the  $d_2$  differential is non-trivial. Hence it has trivial kernel and thus the image of  $\Omega_4(\xi)$  in  $H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$  is trivial. Hence  $c_*[M] = 0$  as claimed.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* By Proposition 1.2, all spin manifolds are immersion equivalent and all not almost spin manifolds are immersion equivalent. In the orientable case,  $H_4(C; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for every cyclic group  $C$ . Hence remains to show that  $M(2^m k) \bowtie M(2^m)$  for  $k$  odd and that  $M(2^m) \leq M(2^n)$  if and only if  $n \leq m$ .

By Theorem 3.2, for every homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/l_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l_2$  there is a map  $P_2M(n) \rightarrow P_2M(m)$  that induces  $\varphi$  on fundamental groups. The statement now follows since a map  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/l_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l_2$  that is non-trivial on  $H^2(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  exists if  $l_2 = l_1 k$  with  $l_2$  even and if  $l_1 = l_2 k$  with  $k$  odd, but such a map does not exist if  $l_1 = l_2 k$  with  $k$  even.  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** An explicit representative of  $M(n)$  with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is given by a thickening of a 2-complex, with one d-cell in each dimension  $d = 0, 1, 2$ . The normal data are given by  $w_1 = 0, w_2 \neq 0$  and can be realized for example by a handle decomposition (with one d-handle for each index  $d = 0, 1, 2$ ) of a 5-manifold. It has  $M(n)$  as its boundary, or equivalently, we thicken to a 4-manifold and take  $M(n)$  to be the double of this 4-manifold (with boundary).

In [KPT22] the category  $\text{hCh}_2(\pi)$  was considered whose objects are free  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -chain complexes  $C_*$  concentrated in non-negative degrees such that  $H_n(C_*) = 0$  for  $n \neq 0, 2$ , together with a fixed identification  $H_0(C_*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and whose morphisms are chain maps that induce the identity on  $H_0$ , considered up to chain homotopy. Let  $(\mathbb{Z}[\pi])^n[2]$  denote the chain complex given by the free  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module with a rank  $n$  basis, and concentrated in degree 2. We call two

chain complexes  $C_*, C'_*$  stably isomorphic if there exists  $p, q$  such that  $C_* \oplus (\mathbb{Z}[\pi])^p[2]$  and  $C'_* \oplus (\mathbb{Z}[\pi])^q[2]$  are isomorphic in  $\text{hCh}_2(\pi)$ , meaning that they are chain homotopy equivalent. We denote the set of stable isomorphism classes by  $\text{sCh}_2(\pi)$ . To a connected CW-complex  $Y$  with fundamental group  $\pi$  we can associate an element  $J(Y)$  in  $\text{sCh}_2(\pi)$  by taking the cellular  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -chain complex of  $Y$  and replacing it in degrees  $> 3$  by a free resolution of  $\ker(d_3^Y)$ . Note that  $J(Y) = J(P_2(Y))$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $M$  be a non-orientable 4-manifold with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2k$ . If  $c_*[M] \in H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$  is non-trivial, then  $J(M) \in \text{sCh}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2k)$  is represented by the  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k]$ -chain complex  $X$  given by*

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}_w} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{1-a} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k],$$

in degrees  $\leq 3$  and continues with a free resolution of  $\ker \mathbf{N}_w$ . Here  $a$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/2k$ ,  $\mathbf{N} = \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} a^i$  is the norm element and  $\mathbf{N}_w := (1-a) \sum_{i=0}^k a^{2i}$  is the twisted norm element.

*Proof.* The start of a free resolution of  $\text{coker } \mathbf{N}_w$  is given by

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{1+a} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}_w} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \longrightarrow \text{coker } \mathbf{N}_w \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{N}_w = \mathbf{N} \cdot (1-a) \sum_{i=0}^k a^{2i} = 0$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}_w} \ker \mathbf{N}$  determines an element  $x \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k]}^1(\text{coker } \mathbf{N}_w, \ker \mathbf{N})$ . The map  $\Theta$  from [KPT22, (6.4)] sends this element to the class  $\Theta(x)$  in  $\text{sCh}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2k)$  represented by the chain complex given by

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{N}_w, \mathbf{N}_w)} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k]^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}+0} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{1-a} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k]$$

together with a free resolution of  $\ker(\mathbf{N}_w, \mathbf{N}_w)$  in higher degrees.

We will later prove that  $x$  is non-trivial and use it to finish the proof because then  $J(M)$  and  $\Theta(x)$  agree by [KPT22, Proposition 7.1]. By a base change in degree 2, the above chain complex representing  $\Theta(x)$  is isomorphic to the chain complex

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{N}_w, 0)} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k]^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}+0} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{1-a} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k]$$

together with a free resolution of  $\ker(\mathbf{N}_w, 0) \cong \ker(\mathbf{N}_w, \mathbf{N}_w)$  in higher degrees. Thus  $\Theta(x) = X$  and  $J(M) = X$  as claimed.

We now show that  $x$  is non-trivial.  $x$  is trivial if and only if the map  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{\ker(\mathbf{N}_w, \mathbf{N}_w)_w} \ker \mathbf{N}$  factors through  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \xrightarrow{\ker(\mathbf{N}_w, \mathbf{N}_w)_w} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k]$  and this is the case if and only if there exists a map  $f: \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] \rightarrow \ker \mathbf{N}$  that restricts to the identity on  $\ker \mathbf{N}$ . Assume that  $f$  exists. Then we can choose the following lift of the identity on  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow \text{id}-f & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2k] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

This implies  $H_n(\mathbb{Z}/2k; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $n > 2$  and thus gives a contradiction.  $\square$

As in Theorem 1.6, let  $N(k, w_2, c)$  denote the immersion equivalence class represented by non-orientable 4-manifolds with fundamental group  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/k$ ,  $w_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/2 \cup \{\infty\}$  and image of the fundamental class  $c$  in  $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Theorem 1.6 is a consequence of the following two propositions.

**Proposition 6.4.** *We have  $N(k \cdot m, w_2, c) \bowtie N(k, w_2, c)$  if  $m$  is odd.*

*Proof.* First consider  $w_2 \neq \infty$ . Note that the projection and the inclusion pull back  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  correctly. Hence it suffices to show that these are realized as maps between the Postnikov 2-types. This follows from Theorem 3.2 if  $c = 0$ . For  $c = 1$  first note that  $N(k, w_2, 1)$  is an  $m$ -fold cover of  $N(k \cdot m, w_2, 1)$ . This can be seen as follows. Let  $\widehat{N}$  be the  $m$ -fold cover of  $N(k \cdot m, w_2, 1)$ . Then  $\pi_1(\widehat{N}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/k$ . Since  $m$  is odd, the covering map  $\widehat{N} \rightarrow N(k \cdot m, w_2, 1)$  induces isomorphisms on  $H^1(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ ,  $H^2(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  and  $H_4(-; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1})$ . Hence  $\widehat{N}$  is a representative of  $N(k, w_2, 1)$  as claimed. To obtain an immersion  $N(k \cdot m, w_2, 1)_* \rightarrow N(k, w_2, 1)$  we can use the model from Proposition 6.3. Let  $X(km)$  denote the model of  $J(N(k \cdot m, w_2, 1))$  and let  $X(k)$  denote the model of  $J(N(k, w_2, 1))$ . Let  $p: \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/km] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/k]$  be the map induced by the projection  $\mathbb{Z}/km \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k$  and consider the following chain map  $X(km) \rightarrow X(k)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/km] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}_w(km)} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/km] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}(km)} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/km] & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/km] \\ \downarrow m^2 p & & \downarrow mp & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/k] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}_w(k)} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/k] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{N}(k)} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/k] & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/k] \end{array}$$

Here  $\mathbf{N}_w(mk)$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_w(k)$ ,  $\mathbf{N}(mk)$  and  $\mathbf{N}(k)$  refer to the (twisted) norm element for  $\mathbb{Z}/mk$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/k$ , while  $a$  denotes the generator in both groups.

By Theorem 4.1 the existence of such a chain map implies that there is map  $P_2(N(k \cdot m, w_2, 1)) \rightarrow P_2(N(k, w_2, 1))$  that induces  $p$  on fundamental groups. Hence there is an immersion  $N(k \cdot m, w_2, 1)_* \rightarrow N(k, w_2, 1)$  as claimed.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.5.** *For  $n, k > 0$  we have the following.*

- (1)  $N(2^k, w_2, c) \leq N(2^n, 0, 0) \iff w_2(M) = 0$  and  $k \geq n$ .
- (2)  $N(2^k, w_2, c) \leq N(2^n, 1, 0) \iff (w_2 = 0$  and  $k > n)$  or  $N(2^k, w_2, c) = N(2^n, 1, 0)$ .
- (3)  $N(2^k, w_2, c) \leq N(2^n, 1, 1) \iff (w_2 = 0$  and  $k > n)$  or  $(w_2 = 1$  and  $k = n)$ .
- (4)  $N(2^k, w_2, c) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 0) \iff c = 0$  and  $k \geq n$ .
- (5)  $N(2^k, w_2, c) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 1) \iff k \geq n$  and  $(k = n$  or  $c = 0)$ .

*Proof.* First note that every map on fundamental groups is induced by a map of the Postnikov 2-types if  $c = 0$  by Theorem 3.2. We will also use that  $c = 0$  if  $w_2 = 0$  by Lemma 6.1.

(1) A homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^n$  induces an isomorphism on  $H^1(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  if and only if it is surjective. This implies (1).

(2) The argument is similar to that of (1). We also use that  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^n$  is an isomorphism on  $H^2(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  if and only if it is injective. Hence either we have either  $w_2 = 0$  or  $n = k$ . In the latter case, the map on fundamental groups has to be an isomorphism. But  $N(2^n, 1, c) \leq N(2^n, 1, 0)$  implies  $c = 0$  by Corollary 1.12.

(3) The direction  $\Rightarrow$  follows as in (2). For the converse note that  $c$  can only be nontrivial if  $w_2$  is non-trivial in which case the map has to be an isomorphism on fundamental groups. We have  $N(2^n, 1, 0) \leq N(2^n, 1, 1)$  by Corollary 1.12.

(4) Assume  $N(2^k, w_2, c) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 0)$ . As before this implies  $k \geq n$ . For the direction  $\Rightarrow$ , it remains to show that  $c = 0$ . Since  $c = 1$  implies that  $w_2$  is non-trivial, it suffices to show that  $N(2^k, 1, 1)_*$  does not immerse into  $N(2^n, \infty, 0)$  by Lemma 4.4. Since  $N(2^n, \infty, 0) \bowtie N(2^n, 0, 0) \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , this is equivalent by Corollary 4.3 to showing that  $w_2$  does not admit a lift to  $H^2(N(2^k, 1, 1); \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2^n]_\varphi)$  coefficients, where  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^n$  is the projection. The map  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2^n]_\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$  factors through  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2]$  with the action given by the orientation character. Hence it suffices to show that  $w_2$  does not lift to  $H^2(N(2^k, 1, 1); \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2])$ .

This can be computed using the model  $X$  of  $J(N(2^k, 1, 1))$  from Proposition 6.3 since a lift exists if and only if it exists stably. We have  $H^2(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2^k]}(X, \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2])) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{k-1}$ ,  $H^2(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2^k]}(X, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^k$  and  $H^2(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2^k]}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Since every composition  $\mathbb{Z}/2^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$  is trivial,  $w_2$  can not have a lift to  $H^2(N(2^k, 1, 1); \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2])$  as claimed.

For the converse, note that if  $k \geq n$ , then  $N(2^k, 0, 0) \leq N(2^n, 0, 0)$  by (1). This implies  $N(2^k, \infty, 0) \bowtie N(2^k, 0, 0) \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \leq N(2^n, 0, 0) \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \bowtie N(2^n, \infty, 0)$ .

(8) Assume  $N(2^k, w_2, c) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 1)$ . As before this implies  $k \geq n$ . If  $k > n$ , then as in (7)  $c = 1$  can only happen if  $w_2$  admits a lift to  $H^2(N(2^k, 1, 1); \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2])$  which does not exist.

For the converse first note that  $N(2^n, \infty, 0) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 1)$  by Corollary 1.12. Thus if  $c = 0$ , then  $N(2^k, w_2, 0) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 0)$  by (7) and hence also  $N(2^k, w_2, 0) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 1)$ . If  $k = n$  and  $c = 1$ ,  $w_2$  is non-trivial and hence  $N(2^k, w_2, 1) = N(2^n, 1, 1)$  or  $N(2^n, \infty, 1)$  and thus  $N(2^k, w_2, 0) \leq N(2^n, \infty, 1)$  as claimed.  $\square$

**Remark 6.6.** Most immersion classes  $N(k, w_2, c)$  are easy to realize. If  $c = 0$  they come from doubles as in the oriented case. For  $w_2 = \infty$  we can take connected sum of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  with a 4-manifold with  $w_2 \neq 0, \infty$ . The only remaining case is  $N(2k, 1, 1)$  which can be realized by a 4-manifold  $R(2k)$  with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2k$  given as follows:

Start with the easiest 4-dimensional thickening  $X(k)$  with one 0- and one oriented 1-handle, where the attaching circle of the 2-handle goes  $k$  times around the fundamental group generator of  $S^1 \times S^2$  in the easiest possible way, up to isotopy, but has framing  $k - 1$ . It is well known, see for example [LM14, Section 3] for a contact approach, that the boundary of  $X(k)$  is the lens space  $L(k^2, k - 1)$ .

There is a free involution on this lens space, with quotient  $L(2k^2, k - 1)$ , and we define  $R(2k)$  as the quotient space of  $X(k)$  by this free involution on its boundary. It is easy to see that this is a closed 4-manifold with fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}/2k$  and Euler characteristic 1. Thus it must be non-orientable and cannot stably be a double (which have even Euler characteristic) and hence must represent  $N(2k, 1, 1)$ .

Note that  $X(1)$  is a 4-ball because its 1- and 2-handles cancel. As a consequence,  $R(2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4$ , obtained by identifying antipodal points on the boundary of the 4-ball. The  $R(2k)$  are thus analogous of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^4$ , but with larger cyclic fundamental group.


We know also compare the orientable and the non-orientable case. For this the following proposition suffices.

**Proposition 6.7.**  $M(2^k) \leq N(2^n, w_2, c)$  if and only if  $(k > n \text{ and } w_2 = 1)$  or  $w_2 = \infty$ .

*Proof.* For an immersion to exist,  $w_2$  must be non-trivial. If  $w_2 = 1$ , the map  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^n$  on fundamental groups must be injective but not surjective so that  $w_1$  is pulled back trivially while  $w_2$  is pulled back non-trivially. This implies  $k > n$ .

If  $w_2 = \infty$ , then  $M(2^k) \leq \mathbb{C}P^2 \leq N(2^n, \infty, c)$ . Now assume  $k > n$  and  $w_2 = 1$ , then we only have to show that there exists a map  $P_2M(2^k) \rightarrow P_2(2^n, 1, c)$  that induces an inclusion  $\mathbb{Z}/2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^n$ . But since  $H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2^k; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , such a map exists by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

To visualize this, we give the order graph containing exactly one manifold for each immersion equivalence class of 4-manifolds with fundamental group 1,  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ .



## 7. APPENDIX ON QCA, A MOTIVATION FOR THE PARTIAL ORDER

7.1. **Definitions.** Classically, a cellular automaton is a local rule for updating states at some collection of sites, often the points of a lattice or a grid of points on a fixed manifold  $M$ . They are well known through Conway’s game “life” which happens to be Turing complete [Wik21]. In the quantum case a quantum cellular automata (QCA) is again a local update rule, which can be expressed as acting on either (quantum) states or local operators.

The operator point of view is preferable since infinite tensor products of Hilbert spaces are not well defined unless each Hilbert space is equipped with a unit norm *vacuum vector*<sup>1</sup>. In this case a completed colimit tensor product over the lattice of finite subsets can be used. Since the focus of this paper is compact manifolds the reader can imagine all definitions restricted to the case where we tensor over a finite index set; but we give the definitions in general. We use the SWAP maps

$$\sigma_{H_1, H_2} : H_1 \otimes H_2 \cong H_2 \otimes H_1, \quad h_1 \otimes h_2 \mapsto h_2 \otimes h_1$$

to turn complex Hilbert spaces (with morphisms given by *all* linear maps) into a *symmetric monoidal category* under the tensor product operation  $\otimes$ . This implies that there is a well defined tensor product  $\otimes_{i \in \Lambda} H_i$  for any finite set  $\Lambda$ , even if it is not ordered, given by the colimit over all orderings of  $\Lambda$ . For example, if  $\Lambda = \{*, \bullet\}$  is an *unordered* set with two elements, then this colimit is simply given by

$$\otimes_{i \in \Lambda} H_i := ((H_* \otimes H_\bullet) \oplus (H_\bullet \otimes H_*)) / \langle (h \otimes k, 0) - (0, k \otimes h) \forall h \in H_*, k \in H_\bullet \rangle$$

Scalar multiplication gives isomorphisms  $\mathbb{C} \otimes H \cong H \cong H \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and we will identify these Hilbert spaces. For all mathematical purposes, we can replace the large category of Hilbert spaces by any equivalent symmetric monoidal subcategory. The smallest model for the category of finite dimensional (f.d.) Hilbert spaces would have exactly one object  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where the tensor product is defined as  $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n := \mathbb{C}^{m \cdot n}$  and the SWAP maps become non-trivial involutions  $\sigma_{m, n} : \mathbb{C}^{m \cdot n} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n \cdot m} = \mathbb{C}^{m \cdot n}$ . Whenever needed, we assume that in our category of Hilbert spaces,  $\mathbb{C}$  is the only 1-dimensional object.

QCAs live on a metric space which we later take to be a Riemannian manifold  $M$ . In the end we define a covariant functor  $Q$  from the category of Riemannian manifolds  $\mathbf{Riem}$  (with boundary) and smooth proper maps as morphisms, to the category of abelian groups.<sup>2</sup> Our functor takes disjoint union to direct product, so is most interesting on connected spaces (and vanishes on discrete ones).

The theory of thickenings and their spines gives an equivalence of categories  $\mathbf{Riem} \simeq \mathbf{Simp}$ , where the latter consists of locally finite simplicial complexes  $X$  (with at most countably many simplices), together with continuous proper maps as morphisms. This allows us to switch back and forth between these categories, in particular  $Q(X)$  is defined. Note that the dimension of a manifold thickening of  $X$  is not well defined but can be assumed to be just above  $2 \dim(X)$ . Later we will explain a modification  $\tilde{Q}(M)$  whose isomorphism class

<sup>1</sup>Our (finite dimensional) operator algebras have the virtue of being Hilbert spaces with a distinguished element of norm 1, the multiplicative unit, and so fall into this favored category.

<sup>2</sup>A continuous map is *proper* if inverse images of compact sets are compact, i.e. if it preserves the set of sequences that diverge to  $\infty$ . Properness is automatic if the domain is compact.

has the potential to depend on the smooth or PL structure of  $M$ , not just on the proper homotopy type.

**Definition 7.1.** A *geometric system* GS on a topological space  $X$  is a collection

$$h = \{H_x, x \in X\}$$

of f.d. Hilbert spaces  $H_x \neq \{0\}$ , labelled by the points of  $X$ . The *sites*  $J(h)$  of  $h$  are the points  $x \in X$  for which  $\dim H_x \neq 1$ . We require that the sites  $J(h)$  form a locally finite subset of  $X$  and we refer to  $H_x$  as the *degrees of freedom (dof)* at the site  $x$ .

In the small model of the category of f.d. Hilbert spaces  $\{\mathbb{C}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ , a geometric system is simply given by a map  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $H_x := \mathbb{C}^{h(x)}$ , so that  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})$  is locally finite. In this model the geometric systems on  $X$  form a set that one can topologize in a way that allows two sites  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  to collide at  $x \in X$  along a continuous path, as long as the corresponding dofs satisfy  $H_x = H_{x_1} \otimes H_{x_2}$ . Running such a path in the opposite direction in the space of geometric systems, a site  $x$  may split into sites  $x'_1$  and  $x'_2$ , provided  $H_x = H_{x'_1} \otimes H_{x'_2}$ . Note that  $x_i \neq x'_i$  is possible in a concatenation of paths.

**Remark 7.2.** There is a tensor product of geometric systems on  $X$  given by the formula

$$h \otimes h' = \{H_x, x \in X\} \otimes \{H'_x, x \in X\} := \{H_x \otimes H'_x, x \in X\}.$$

The sites  $J(h \otimes h') = J(h) \cup J(h')$  compose by the union of sets and in the small model  $h, h' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  we simply get the pointwise multiplication of dimensions.

We can also push forward geometric systems along a proper map  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  by setting  $f_*(h) := \{H'_{x'}, x' \in X'\}$ , where properness of  $f$  makes  $H'_{x'} := \otimes_{x|f(x)=x'} H_x$  into a finite tensor product. Later we will discuss pull backs of GS along certain types of immersions.

We review some basic facts about endomorphism algebras of complex Hilbert spaces. For a f.d.  $H$ , it is a standard fact [SS08, Rør21] that all automorphisms of  $\text{End}(H)$  are inner: For any algebra automorphism  $\alpha : \text{End}(H) \rightarrow \text{End}(H)$ , there is an invertible operator  $U : H \rightarrow H$  so that for all  $\mathcal{O} \in \text{End}(H)$ ,  $\alpha(\mathcal{O}) = U^{-1}\mathcal{O}U$ . Such a  $U$  is unique up to phase and if  $\alpha$  is a  $*$ -automorphism then  $U$  can be chosen to be unitary.

If  $\wedge$  is finite and  $H := \otimes_{i \in \wedge} H_i$ , then the tensor product of operators induces an algebra isomorphism  $\text{End}(H) \cong \otimes_{i \in \wedge} \text{End}(H_i)$ . Wedderburn theory tells us that among finite dimensional  $*$ -algebras being simple and being  $\text{End}(H)$  for some f.d.  $H$ , are equivalent conditions. It also tells us that all inclusions of one f.d. simple  $*$ -algebra into another,  $A \subset E$ , are *trivial*, meaning there is another simple  $*$ -algebra  $B \subset E$  with  $A \cap B = \{\text{scalars}\}$  and  $A \otimes B \cong A \cdot B = E$ , the isomorphism canonical.  $B$  is the commutant  $A'$  of  $A$  and  $A$  is the commutant  $B'$  of  $B$ .

The algebra we discuss follows quickly from the facts above and the ability to do routine computations in  $\text{End}(H)$  for f.d.  $H$ . With this in mind, we remind the reader of convenient additive bases for  $\text{End}(H_i)$  and  $\text{End}(H)$ , called the generalized-Pauli-word basis. It is helpful to expand the operators, below,  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  in this basis.

For a qubit  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  has a linear basis of four operators  $1 := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $X := \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $Y := \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $Z := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix}$ , the *Pauli matrices*. For a qubit  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is similarly

spanned by  $n^2$  generalized Pauli matrices  $\{C^i P^j\}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , where  $C$  and  $P$  are *clock* and *phase* respectively:

$$C = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & e^{2\pi i/n} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & e^{2\pi i(n-1)/n} \end{vmatrix}$$

Generalized Pauli words are tensor products of  $|\Lambda|$  factors with a (generalized) Pauli in each slot. Such words are typically written without  $\otimes$  symbols and they are a basis for  $\text{End}(\otimes_{i \in \Lambda} H_i)$ . Convention: When an operator in  $\text{End}(H)$  is described as a tensor product of only some factors  $i \in \Lambda$ , we implicitly tensor with the identity on all remaining factors.

**Definition 7.3.** Given a set  $\{H_j, j \in J\}$  of f.d. Hilbert spaces, define

$$\text{End}_J := \text{colim}_{\Lambda \subset J} \text{End}(\otimes_{i \in \Lambda} H_i),$$

where  $\Lambda$  runs through all finite subsets of  $J$ . We equip each endomorphism algebra  $\text{End}(H_j)$  with the inner product  $\langle A, B \rangle = \frac{1}{\dim H_j} \text{tr}(AB^\dagger)$  and extend this to finite tensor products. This normalization has the virtue that  $A$  and  $A \otimes \text{id}_{\text{another system}}$  have equal norms.  $\text{End}_J$  may now be completed to a von Neumann algebra,  $\widehat{\text{End}}_J$ , which is the GNS construction on our normalized tracial state.

For  $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{\text{End}}_J$ , the *support* of  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{O}) \subset J$ , is the set of all  $j \in J$  such that there is an  $\epsilon \in \text{End}(H_j)$  with  $[\mathcal{O}, \epsilon] \neq 0$ . Equivalently,  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{O})$  is

- The unique smallest  $S \subset J$  so that  $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{\text{End}}_S$ , and
- The complement of the unique largest subset  $S \subset J$  so that for all  $\mathcal{E} \in \widehat{\text{End}}_S$ ,  $[\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}] = 0$ .

Finally, a *pre-QCA* is a  $*$ -automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\widehat{\text{End}}_J$ , the easiest example being  $\alpha = \text{identity}$ .

For a geometric system  $h = \{H_x, x \in X\}$  we let  $J(h) \subset X$  be the sites of  $h$ , i.e. those labels  $x \in X$  for which  $\dim H_x \neq 1$ . We note that there is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{End}_X \cong \text{End}_{J(h)}$ , similarly for the completions, because 1-dimensional Hilbert spaces do not contribute to the tensor product. Then the support  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq J(h)$  of an operator  $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{\text{End}}_X$  is a locally finite subset of  $X$ .

**Definition 7.4.** Given a geometric system GS  $h$  on a metric space  $X$ , a pre-QCA  $\alpha$  on  $h$  is a  $*$ -automorphism of  $\widehat{\text{End}}_X$ .  $\alpha$  is said to be a *QCA of range  $\leq r$*  iff for all  $\mathcal{O} \in \widehat{\text{End}}_X$  we have

$$\text{supp}(\alpha(\mathcal{O})) \subset \mathcal{N}_r(\text{supp}(\mathcal{O})).$$

Here  $\mathcal{N}_r$  is the  $r$ -neighborhood of the sites in  $X$  on which “ $\mathcal{O}$  has support.” Using the generalized Pauli-word-basis, one shows that  $\text{range}(\alpha)$  may be computed simply by looking at the action of  $\alpha$  on single site operators. When  $X$  is noncompact it is useful to refine the definition of  $\text{range}(\alpha)$  to a function  $r : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ . We say  $\text{range}(\alpha) \leq r$  if for any operator  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $H_x$  at site  $x$  we have  $\text{supp}(\alpha(\mathcal{O})) \subset \mathcal{N}_{r(x)}(\text{supp}(\mathcal{O}))$ . That is, the function  $r$  must majorize the spread of support.



In the rest of the paper, we will sometimes abuse notation and just write  $\alpha$  or  $(h, \alpha)$  for the triple  $(X, h, \alpha)$  if the context allows it.

**Remark 7.5.** The tensor product of geometric systems on  $X$  from Remark 7.2 extends to QCA's by

$$(h, \alpha) \otimes (h', \alpha') := (h \otimes h', \alpha \otimes \alpha')$$

where we use the natural isomorphism  $\text{End}(H_x \otimes H'_x) \cong \text{End}(H_x) \otimes \text{End}(H'_x)$  of  $*$ -algebras. It is easy to see that  $\text{range}(\alpha \otimes \alpha') \leq \text{range}(\alpha) + \text{range}(\alpha')$ .

**Definition 7.6.** We say  $\alpha \in \text{QCA}(X)$  if  $\alpha$  is an *admissible* QCA on a geometric system  $(X, h)$ . Where we call  $\alpha$  *admissible*, if there is an *admissible deformation*  $\delta$  (see Definition 7.11) of  $\alpha$  to a QCA  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  of arbitrarily small range. For  $X$  noncompact, arbitrarily small means less than any chosen majorant function. So  $\text{QCA}(X)$  may be thought of as the “smallest range” QCAs on  $X$ , but to fully define  $\text{QCA}(X)$  one must wait until Definition 7.11, and in fact the statement of Theorem 7.15; we need to develop the concept of a deformation and its admissibility.

**Definition 7.7.**  $Q(X)$  is the set of equivalence classes within  $\text{QCA}(X)$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  are equivalent if there is an admissible deformation  $\delta$  connecting them. See Definition 7.11 for admissibility of  $\delta$ .

**Definition 7.8.** A gate of *radius*  $\rho$  of a geometric system  $(X, h)$  is a unitary transformation  $U_\rho(x)$  acting on a tensor product  $\otimes_{y \in B_\rho(x)} H_y$ , where  $B_\rho(x)$  is the ball of radius  $\rho$  about some point  $x \in X$ . Since sites  $J(h)$  are locally finite,  $J(h) \cap B_\rho(x)$  is finite and therefore the tensor product is over a finite index set.

**Definition 7.9.** A *quantum circuit* is a composition of gates  $U_i = \widehat{U}_\rho(x_i)$ , where the balls  $B_\rho(x_i)$  are all disjoint. The conjugation action of the  $U_i$  on  $\widehat{\text{End}}_X$  gives a special kind of QCA for which we say that it has *radius*  $\rho$ . One is often interested in quantum circuits with some bound on  $\rho$  and the number of balls involved. Less restrictive is the notion of a finite depth quantum circuit (FDQC) where gate radius  $\rho$  is (usually assumed) fixed and the number of balls finite, but not bounded (making FDQC closed under composition). Notice that range spreads out like a light-cone when a FDQC is applied. FDQC is only a useful concept when  $X$  is noncompact.

**Definition 7.10.** Vitally important are ancillae. Adding ancillae to a QCA  $(h, \alpha)$  on a metric space  $X$  is simply given by the tensor product  $(h, \alpha) \otimes (h', \alpha')$  from Remark 7.5, applied to the case where  $\alpha' = \text{identity}$  (but where  $h'$  is arbitrary).

Very generally, we'll allow the addition of ancillae and its inverse operation: removal of ancillae. Both a mathematical and physical motivation will be given shortly.

**Definition 7.11.** A deformation  $\delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\alpha_n$  is a finite sequence of operations where at each step from  $\alpha_i$  to  $\alpha_{i+1}$  either a collection of ancillae is added or removed, or a quantum circuit is applied. We call  $\delta$  *admissible* if

$$\text{range}(\delta) := \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \text{range}(\alpha_i) < \epsilon_0(X),$$

with  $\epsilon_0(X)$  defined in the wrinkling threshold Theorem 7.15 below.

Wrinkling is a technique, much like  $h$ -principle methods [EM02] for constructing admissible deformations  $\delta$  and therefore admissible QCA  $\alpha$ . It is an algebraic analog of folding and wrinkling space; it will be explained shortly, and culminates in Theorem 7.15 below. The paired concepts (admissibility, wrinkling) lead to  $Q$ 's functorial properties.

**7.2. Background, motivation, and informal arguments.** In traditional developments [GNVW12], one treats a noncompact  $X$ , like the integers or real line. There, the simple, unquantified condition of *finite range* is imposed on  $\alpha \in \text{QCA}(X)$ . The resulting set  $\text{QCA}^{\text{fr}}(X)$  forms a group<sup>3</sup> under composition. This group has a well-studied subgroup, the finite depth quantum circuits<sup>4</sup> (FDQC). In [FHH19] it was shown that: 1)  $\text{FDQC}(X) \triangleleft \text{QCA}^{\text{fr}}(X)$  is normal and  $Q(X) := \overline{\text{QCA}^{\text{fr}}(X)} / \overline{\text{FDQC}(X)}$  is abelian. The over-bar notation (not in the original references) means that the systems for both group and subgroup are stabilized by allowing *ancillae*. Even lacking our formal framework, [GNVW12] succeeded in a full calculation of  $Q(\mathbb{R})$ ; it is a countably generated abelian group, generated by left (or right) shifts of Hilbert spaces of various finite dimensions. Even more remarkable is the physics-level proof in [HFH18] that  $Q(T^3)$  contains 2 and 4 torsion,  $T^3$  the 3-torus. The argument is grounded in algebraic  $K$ -theory but relies on an understanding of thermal currents in conformal field theories (CFT) not yet mathematically rigorous. In [FHH20] use a covariant, local-implantation, to produce related (torsion) QCAs on any 3-manifold  $M$ , compact or noncompact.

Ancilla play a role similar to hyperbolic forms,  $\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ , in  $L$ -theory, or trivial bundles in  $K$ -theory. “Allowing ancilla” means that at any time the domain of the site labeling function  $s$  may be extended and the QCA  $\alpha$  similarly extended to act as identity on the new Hilbert spaces (or sites). Conversely, the inverse operation is also permitted; if  $\alpha$  acts trivially on a Hilbert space factor it may be removed from  $\text{domain}(s)$ . The physical motivation for ancilla is that any physical system can (or cannot) be considered to contain additional, initially passive, degrees of freedom (dof) which may later interact. For example, in a metal there will be *valence band* electrons not relevant to transport. However, a change in chemical potential may bring some of these into the *conduction band*. Adding and removing ancilla amounts to varying the potential.

In this section we codify, in mathematical terms, developments (chiefly *wrinkling*) from [FHH19] to [FH20], which allow the definition of  $Q(X)$  to be made. At the level of physical rigor, one could skip wrinkling, and ask the reader simply to rely on *separation of scale*. If one thinks of a “lattice” of say  $10^{10} \times 10^{10}$  sites, perhaps on a torus  $T^2$ , and typical circuits having depth  $\approx 10$ , one can indeed form very long compositions before the scales mix and the forward light cone begins to wrap around the space. A mathematician will not be entirely satisfied; she may say “if FDQC form a group, why should I be limited to taking compositions of length merely one billion?” Wrinkling can address this question by establishing a range threshold  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  on a given metric space  $X$  below which any  $\alpha \in \overline{\text{QCA}}(X)$  of range  $< \epsilon_0$  can

<sup>3</sup>Point (2) below verifies  $\text{range}(\alpha^{-1}) = \text{range}(\alpha)$  so the inverse is also a QCA.

<sup>4</sup>A FDQC is a composition of finitely many *rounds*, each of which applies *gates*  $U_j$  to pairwise disjoint collections of  $n_j = O(1)$  local Hilbert spaces  $\{H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_{n_j}}\}$ . A gate  $U_j$  is any unitary acting on  $H_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes H_{i_{n_j}}$ .

be replaced by an equivalent  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  of arbitrarily smaller range. This ability to, at any point, pause and reduce range, prevents worrisome range build-up under composition.<sup>5</sup>

Let us continue our informal survey of ideas with four key ingredients that make the definition of  $Q(X)$  work: normality, triviality of  $\alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1}$ , abelianness, and wrinkling.

- (1) **Normality of FDQC  $\triangleleft$  QCA:**  $H$  is normal in  $G$  if we can push  $h \in H$  through  $g \in G$  (perhaps changing  $h$  to  $h^{\text{pr}}$ ),  $gh = h^{\text{pr}}g$ . A FDQC is a (locally finite) composition of gates. It turns out to be sufficient to show how to push a single gate  $h$  through a QCA  $\alpha$  to arrive at  $\alpha h = h^{\text{pr}}\alpha$ , where  $h^{\text{pr}}$  will be a finite composition of gates.  $\alpha$  following  $h$  differs from  $\alpha$  only within a certain *light cone*, the  $r$ -neighborhood of  $\text{supp}(h)$ . Any unitary on  $\mathcal{N}_r(\text{supp}(h))$  may be decomposed as a product of gates. So a single gate  $h$  can be pushed through  $\alpha$ . The cost has been some expansion of support, so two originally disjoint gates  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  may push through to overlapping compositions  $h_1^{\text{pr}}$  and  $h_2^{\text{pr}}$ . This appear at first to be a problem: which should be applied first? It actually does not matter:  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  commuted by disjointness, since  $\alpha$  is a homeomorphism  $h_1^{\text{pr}} = \alpha h_1 \alpha^{-1}$  and  $h_2^{\text{pr}} = \alpha h_2 \alpha^{-1}$  still commute and can be applied in either order. Similarly with ancilla  $\overline{\text{FDQC}} \triangleleft \overline{\text{QCA}}$ .  $\square$
- (2) **Triviality of  $\alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1}$  (in the presence of ancilla):** First note that from Definition 7.1  $\text{range}(\alpha^{-1}) = \text{range}(\alpha)$ , so the inverse of a QCA is a QCA. Indeed, since  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism,  $[\alpha(\mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}^{\text{pr}}] = 0 \iff [\mathcal{O}, \alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}^{\text{pr}})] = 0$  so the maximal expansion of support must be the same for  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$ .

Given any geometric system GS on  $X$  (now perhaps noncompact) double it by now having two isomorphic (with a chosen isomorphism) Hilbert spaces mapping to each labeled site. In illustrations we think of the sites (old and new) in separate “parallel” copies of  $X$ . Actually in the illustration (Figure 2) of compositions,  $X$  is compressed to a point but think of it, say, as a line going into the page. As a matter of algebra we may write:

$$(7.12) \quad \alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1} = ((\alpha \otimes 1) \circ \text{SWAP} \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes 1)) \circ \text{SWAP}$$

But SWAP is a depth = 1 quantum circuit, and so by normality:

$$(7.13) \quad (\alpha \otimes 1) \circ \text{SWAP} \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes 1) = \text{SWAP}^{\text{pr}} \circ (\alpha \otimes 1) \circ (\alpha^{-1} \otimes 1) = \text{SWAP}^{\text{pr}}$$

Thus by (7.12) and (7.13),

$$(7.14) \quad \alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1} = \text{SWAP}^{\text{pr}} \circ \text{SWAP}$$

a FDQC.

Running this argument backwards, starting with pair of ancilla at each site and applying the FDQC  $\text{SWAP}^{\text{pr}} \circ \text{SWAP}$  we obtain  $\alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1}$ , which we call “trivial” because it is produced by a FDQC from ancilla. FDQC are generally thought of as “trivial” since they cannot produce long-range entangled states such as topological ground states from an initially unentangled state.

- (3)  $Q(X) := \overline{\text{QCA}}(X)/\overline{\text{FDQC}}(X)$  is **abelian**: The argument is strongly reminiscent of the Eckmann-Hilton theorem. Figure 3 shows (in the presence of ancilla) that

---

<sup>5</sup>We wonder, but do not know, if nonstandard analysis offers a simpler, although inevitably less concrete, resolution to the problem of range build-up under composition




FIGURE 2

composition multiplication is equivalent, modulo SWAP, to tensor product, from which abelianness follows.




FIGURE 3

- (4) **Wrinkling:** The method described next has antecedents in the controlled  $h$ -cobordism theorems of Ferry [Fer79] and Quinn [Qui79, Qui82] and also in the  $h$ -principle [EM02]. The context is a GS on a manifold  $M$  (say a thickening of  $X$ ) and a QCA  $\alpha$  on that GS with a sufficiently small range  $r(\alpha) > 0$ . The goal is to produce a deformation  $\delta$  of  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  with a much smaller range. Below we will state a threshold theorem. However, strange as it sounds, is helpful to sketch the proof before giving a detailed statement: seeing the method demystifies the required quantifications.

Begin by picturing some short range  $\alpha$  on  $S^1$  (i.e. on a GS on  $S^1$ ) and as explained in 2, additional copies of  $\alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1}$  may be “pulled from the vacuum,” i.e. created by a small depth quantum circuit from ancilla. This is pictured in Figure 4 for two such pairs, followed by small depth quantum circuits implementing various partial cancellations (back into the vacuum of ancillae) indicated by dotted lines. The final compression was a low depth quantum circuit to implement a homotopy of sites in  $S^1$ .




FIGURE 4. The result is an  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  of much smaller range.

When  $\dim(M) > 1$  a similar approach is possible by wrinkling, inductively over handles of increasing index. Some ground is lost while running the partial cancellation circuits, so the threshold epsilon  $\epsilon_0$  will be exponentially small in  $\dim(M)$ , it also has a modest dependence on sectional curvatures  $K$ , and if there is a boundary to the eigenvalues,  $e$ , of its second fundamental form which control the local combinatorics of the handle decomposition. If  $M$  is rescaled so that  $-1 \leq K, e \leq +1$  and  $\text{inj. rad.}(M) \geq 1$ , then  $\epsilon_0$  depends only on dimension. Figures 5 and 6 are meant to suggest how wrinkling proceeds in dimension two:

This completes our informal tour of key geometric constructions from [FH20] and [FHH19]. A comprehensive wrinkling theorem (slightly extending [FHH19]) can be stated as soon as we extend the concept of range of a QCA to range of a deformation of QCAs.

Recall that a deformation  $\delta$  of a QCA  $\alpha$  is a sequence of QCAs  $\alpha := \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  where at each step a *gate* is applied or ancillae are added/removed. (In [FHH19] also permitted a homotopy<sup>6</sup> of a site map  $s$ , but this is redundant as it can be simulated by adding ancillae applying SWAPs and removing ancillae.) We define  $\text{range}(\delta) = \max_i \text{range}(\alpha_i)$ . But the

<sup>6</sup>We actually said “isotopy” in that paper.




FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6

following example highlights a small risk. Consider a circle with a GS with three sites located at  $\theta = 0, \frac{2\pi}{3},$  and  $\frac{4\pi}{3}$ , and say a qubit at each site (with specified isomorphisms to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ). Any permutation  $p$  of sites induces an endomorphism  $\alpha(p) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 3}$ . Let  $p_0 = (0, \frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})$ ,  $p_1 = (0, \frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3})$  and  $p_2 = (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3})$ , the SWAP gate. We might consider all three permutations to have “small range” since sites are moved less than the injectivity radius of  $S^1$ . But the deformation  $\alpha_0 = \alpha(p_0)$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \text{SWAP} \circ \alpha_0 = \alpha(p_1)$  takes counterclockwise to clockwise rotation. This is a problem, deformation classes of QCA should, according to [GNVW12], distinguish the direction of flow. Motivated by the example, we make a blanket requirement that all gates  $g$  occurring in a deformation  $\delta$  must have  $\text{diam}(\text{supp}(g)) \leq \text{inj. rad.}(X, d)/100$ . This will prevent a quantum circuit taking a “small” range QCA to another small range QCA of a different topological character; clockwise vs. counterclockwise rotation in the preceding example. (For a simplicial complex inj. radi. is the supremum of radii  $r$  so that all metric balls of radius  $r$  in  $X$  are collapsible.) This completes our sketch of the proof techniques for Theorem 7.15 below.

Let us make a comment going beyond our quoted references: define a 2-complex,  $\mathbb{QCA}(X)$ , to model  $\text{QCA}(X)$ . Build  $\mathbb{QCA}(X)$  as a 2-complex, the 0-cells should be admissible QCA on  $X$  and 1-cells admissible deformations. Let us propose that 2-cells be an *admissible* 2-deformation  $\Delta$ , a deformation of deformations. Since we may implant all the ancillae we will ever need at the start (and remove them at the end), we only need to define a 2-deformation over a sequence of gates, where  $\Delta$  may be defined to be any portion of a square grid of gates where each box commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \alpha_{i-1}^1 & \longrightarrow & \alpha_i^1 & \longrightarrow & \alpha_{i+1}^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & \curvearrowright & \downarrow & \curvearrowright & \downarrow & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \alpha_{i-1}^2 & \longrightarrow & \alpha_i^2 & \longrightarrow & \alpha_{i+1}^2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & 
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 7. Arrows are gates and squares commute.

We define the range of  $\Delta$ ,  $r(\Delta) = \max_{\text{grid}}(\text{range}(\alpha_p^q))$ , and  $\Delta$  is admissible if  $r(\Delta) < \epsilon_0$ . Thus,  $\pi_0(\mathbb{QCA}(X)) \cong Q(X)$ , and  $\pi_1(\mathbb{QCA}(X))$  is some other abelian group (since composition makes  $\mathbb{QCA}(X)$  into an  $H$ -space), possible the other grading of some  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -periodic spectrum.

The 2-deformation  $\Delta$  in the proof of Theorem 7.16, below, will be of a very simple type: a triangular array,  $q \geq p$ , and all horizontal arrows identity maps.

**Theorem 7.15** (Wrinkling Threshold [FHH19]). *Let  $X$  be a locally finite simplicial complex. The largest scale we consider is the majorant function  $i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $i := \text{inj. rad.}/2$ . We now use variously subscripted  $\epsilon$  to denote smaller majorant functions to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $\epsilon \leq i$  be any majorant (in our applications  $\epsilon = i$ ). Then there is an  $\epsilon_0$  so that any QCA on  $X$  of range  $\leq \epsilon_0$  can be deformed ( $\delta$ ) to arbitrarily small range so that every QCA in the deformation has range  $\leq \epsilon$  (and substituting  $\epsilon_0$  for  $\epsilon$ , there is an  $\epsilon_{-1}$  so that any QCA of range  $\leq \epsilon_{-1}$  can be deformed to arbitrarily small range with the QCA in that deformation having range  $\leq \epsilon_0$ ).*

Addendum: *If  $\epsilon = i$ ,  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\epsilon_{-1}$  depend only on the local combinatorics of  $X$ . Because the language of handlebodies is very convenient to construct wrinkling procedures, if  $X$  is not a manifold we would generally thicken it to a Riemannian manifold  $M$  to produce the claimed wrinklings (i.e. shrinking of ranges). In the case  $X = M$ , if  $M$  is rescaled so that  $-1 \leq K, e \leq 1$  for all sectional curvatures and eigenvalues of the II-fundamental form (when  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ ) and also inj radius  $M \geq 1$ , then  $\epsilon_0$  depends only on  $\dim(M)$ ;  $\epsilon_0 = c^{-d}$  for some constant  $c > 1$ .  $\square$*

Recall we have defined geometric systems  $\text{GS}(X, h)$ , thickened  $X$  to a Riemannian manifold  $M$  (to conveniently use handle theory), defined a QCA  $\alpha$  on a GS on  $M$ , defined  $\text{range}(\alpha)$ , defined a deformation  $\delta$  from  $\alpha_1$  to  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\text{range}(\delta)$ , and the constant or majorant function  $\epsilon_o(M) > 0$ .

Using the wrinkling threshold theorem, one may verify that  $Q$  depends only on the proper homotopy type of  $X$  and indeed is a covariant proper homotopy functor. Ultimately the choice of path metric  $d$  drops out. Of course, when  $X$  is compact,  $Q$  is a homotopy functor.

**Theorem 7.16.**  *$Q$  is a covariant function from the category of locally finite simplicial complexes and continuous, proper maps to the category of abelian groups.*

*Proof sketch, focusing on the compact case.* Wrinkling is a powerful method for shrinking size scales, but like so many “(U, V) arguments”<sup>7</sup> ranges may expand a bit before shrinking. Figure 8 illustrates how this makes the equivalence of admissible QCAs on  $X$  subtle. The answer may depend on the existence of an inobvious admissible deformation, the dotted line in Figure 8. □




FIGURE 8

The three solid arcs in 8 represent deformations with range their largest vertical value. They imply  $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1^{\text{pr}}$  and  $\alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_2^{\text{pr}}$  but by themselves do not imply  $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2$ . If dashed arc is also a deformation then all four QCAs are equivalent.

Suppose  $\alpha_1, \alpha_1^{\text{pr}}, \alpha_2,$  and  $\alpha_2^{\text{pr}}$  from 8 are QCAs on  $X$  and we have homotopy equivalences  $X \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} Y$ . Let us suppose we are aware of the three solid deformations on  $X$  but unaware of the dotted one. So at this point we see two possibly distinct elements,  $[\alpha_1], [\alpha_2] \in Q(X)$ . Now let us map to  $Q(Y)$ . Because  $f$  may stretch distance (and/or  $Y$  may have a smaller  $\epsilon_0(Y)$ )  $f(\alpha_1)$  and  $f(\alpha_2)$  might fail to be admissible QCAs on  $Y$ . But for very small range  $\alpha_1^{\text{pr}}$  and  $\alpha_2^{\text{pr}}$  (respectively in the equivalence classes of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  on  $X$ ) we will have  $f(\alpha_1^{\text{pr}})$  and  $f(\alpha_2^{\text{pr}})$  admissible QCA on  $Y$ . Now suppose that on  $Y$  we are aware of a “dotted” admissible deformation, call it  $\delta^{\text{pr}}$ , from  $f(\alpha_1^{\text{pr}})$  to  $f(\alpha_2^{\text{pr}})$ . Because  $\delta^{\text{pr}}$  is admissible (in  $Y$ ) we may wrinkle it as extravagantly as we like to produce an extremely small range deformation  $\delta^{\text{prpr}}$  from  $(f(\alpha_1^{\text{pr}}))^{\text{pr}}$  to  $(f(\alpha_2^{\text{pr}}))^{\text{pr}}$ . If one likes,  $\delta^{\text{prpr}}$  is one of four “boundary arcs” of a 2-deformation  $\Delta$  carrying  $\delta^{\text{pr}}$  to  $\delta^{\text{prpr}}$  (see Figure 9).  $\Delta$  may have dangerously<sup>8</sup> large range

<sup>7</sup>Physicists be warned: U and V here refer to nested open sets, not the ultraviolet.

<sup>8</sup>Dangerous in the sense of being too large to be reduced by the Wrinkling Theorem.



(the maximum of its QCAs) near  $\text{inj. rad}(Y)/2$ , but this is irrelevant. The three of the four boundary arcs of  $\Delta$ ,  $\delta_1 :=$  the arc joining  $f(\alpha_1^{\text{pr}})$  to  $(f(\alpha_1^{\text{pr}}))^{\text{pr}}$ ,  $\delta_2 :=$  the arc joining  $f(\alpha_2^{\text{pr}})$  to  $(f(\alpha_2^{\text{pr}}))^{\text{pr}}$ , and  $\delta^{\text{prpr}}$  all have range less than a quantity  $\epsilon_{-1}(Y)$  which, by the last sentence of Theorem 7.15, we can choose as small as we like by making  $\alpha_1^{\text{pr}}$  and  $\alpha_2^{\text{pr}}$  to make arbitrarily small. Thus we can arrange  $\text{range}(g(\delta_1 \cup \delta^{\text{prpr}} \cup \delta_2)) < \epsilon_0(X)$ , verifying that  $[\alpha_1] = [\alpha_2]$  in  $Q(X)$ .  $\square$




FIGURE 9

Warning to physicists: While our definitions respect the concept of *finite information density* FID,  $\dim(H_i) < \infty$ , the site map  $s$  is finite-to-one, and sites are locally finite. We have *not* enforced bounded information density BID. For example, under our definition  $Q(\mathbb{R}^3) \cong Q(H^3)$ , even though any map from hyperbolic to Euclidean space involves an exponentially increasing compression of volume, hence increasing compression of information, as one approaches infinity. Similarly for a punctured sphere  $Q(S^3_-) \cong Q(\mathbb{R}^3) \cong Q(H^3)$ , since all these spaces are proper homotopy equivalent (in fact homeomorphic), and the groups are nontrivial by [FHH20]. Whereas under a BID hypothesis all three might well be distinct. In one lower dimension it was proven [FH20] that  $Q(S^2) = 0$  and those methods also imply<sup>9</sup> that  $Q(S^2_-) \cong Q(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong Q(H^2)$  are trivial.

Particularly in the case where  $X$  is a smooth 4-manifold  $M$ , it would be of interest to define a more refined functor  $\tilde{Q}(M)$  which would have the potential to depend on the smooth structure. To do this, modify the definition of *geometric system* to place the (finite) Hilbert space dof on piecewise smooth oriented top cells within a piecewise smooth cell structure on  $M$ . Adding (removing) an ancilla would correspond to subdividing (fusing) top cells. Because of the orientation condition on top cells, a diffeomorphism, but not a general map,

<sup>9</sup>Private communication from Jeongwan Haah.

induces a homomorphism  $\tilde{Q}(M) \rightarrow \tilde{Q}(M^{\text{pr}})$ . But notice that cells structures can be pulled back by covering maps and codimension zero immersions, so the partial order explored in the main paper may be relevant to such a  $\tilde{Q}(M)$ . Also, pleasantly,  $\tilde{Q}$  would restore *cells* to the concept of a *quantum cellular automata*.

Returning to  $Q(X)$ , we pose the question: Is  $Q(X)$  classified by a spectrum, and if so, which one? In this appendix we have taken a *sharp* definition of range with an abrupt cut-off. Better motivated physically would be to consider QCAs with exponentially decaying tails (corresponding to partially screened electron-electron interactions). Recently, the results of [GNVW12] have been recovered in one such context<sup>10</sup> [RWW20]. But curiously, even more recent unpublished work of Kitaev suggests that the 1D classification of QCA with tails may depend on the exact choice of operator norms under which they are measured. So perhaps several related spectra should be sought.

To build a little intuition for  $Q(X)$ , we suggest that it be thought of as a kind of quantized first homology,  $H_1(X)$ . For example, suppose we look at a QCA consisting of discrete qubit dof acted on only by *small permutations*  $p$ , i.e. if  $p(x) = y$  then  $\text{dist}_X(x, y) < \epsilon \ll \text{inj. rad.}(X)$ . Permutation whose cycles all have diameter  $O(\epsilon) \ll \text{inj. rad.}(X)$ , are equivalent to ancilla and should be divided out. It is a pleasant exercise to verify:  $\{\text{small permutations}\} / \{\text{permutations with all-small cycles}\} \cong H_1^{\text{loc. fin.}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Allowing qunits complicates the coefficients slightly, see [GNVW12], but a similar statement holds with qunit dof.

The body of the paper plays on this theme. The forward (functional map)  $Q(X) \rightarrow Q(Y)$ , given  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , is apparent (although some epsilons must be thought through, as we saw in our sketch of Theorem 7.16) and was exploited in [FHH20]. More interesting are the *wrong direction* maps associated with coverings and, even more subtly, codimension-0 immersions. To continue the analogy with homology, these should be thought of as *transfer* maps. A goal of this paper is to develop tools, such as this transfer, to put interesting QCAs on a variety of manifolds, and, perhaps, eventually use these to study or classify the manifolds themselves.

To link QCA to interesting, topological states of matter, we may consider that each local Hilbert space  $H_i$  is provided with a distinguished vacuum vector<sup>11</sup>  $|\uparrow\rangle$ . Then any QCA  $\alpha$  provides a state  $\psi = \alpha(\otimes_i |\uparrow\rangle)$ . It is proven in [HFH18], modulo accepted physical properties of central charge and edge currents, that there are nontrivial topological ground states  $\psi_{\text{top}}$  on a 3-torus  $T^3$  that can be disentangled via  $\alpha^{-1}$ , i.e.  $\psi_{\text{top}} = \alpha \otimes_i |\uparrow\rangle$ . In contrast, as a matter of definition, a finite depth quantum circuit cannot disentangle a topological ground state  $\psi_{\text{top}} \neq \text{FDQC}(\otimes_i |\uparrow\rangle)$ . Thus,  $Q(M)$ , essentially QCA / FDQC( $M$ ), may produce topological ground states on a manifold  $M$ . In [HFH18] these topological ground states, torsion elements of  $Q(T^3)$ , are detected via algebraic  $K$ -theory. This should not be surprising since  $K_1$  is all about whether automorphisms of rings and algebras can be decomposed in terms of the simplest representatives, analogous to attempting to write a QCA as a FDQC.

<sup>10</sup>The permissible tails in this work are actually algebraic.

<sup>11</sup>Something we did not wish to do at the outset, a choice that drove us away from Hilbert spaces and towards their endomorphism algebras.

In this paper, the transfer map is studied with an eye towards understanding which topological states can live on which manifolds. A trivial example, well known in physics, is that topological phases with point-like Fermionic excitations (which by the spin-statistics theorem, can be regarded as a point-like excitation with a  $(-1)$  twist factor under  $\pi_1(\text{SO}(d))$ ) must reside on manifolds with a spin structure.

Given a QCA  $\alpha$  on some manifold  $M$ , we study a partial order based on Kirby’s torus trick (see [Kir69], and for its appearance in physics [Has13, Has18]) so that if  $\alpha$  can be defined on  $M$  then a closely related  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  can be defined on any  $M^{\text{pr}} < M$  in that partial order.

It is worth comparing this proposal with statistical physics. Percolation, for example (see [Gri99]), can be studied on random Voronoi tessellation of any Riemannian manifold  $M$ , so there is no question of the existence of the model on  $M$ . However, the topology of  $M$  will effect which observables are present e.g. which cycles may or may not percolate [Fre97]. Beyond topology, the geometry will also inform the generic behavior, e.g. almost surely there is giant cluster on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  but not on  $H^d$ . As the zoo of topology phases expands [SSC20], it seems reasonable to look for phases tightly linked to a manifold’s (proper) homotopy type using  $Q(M)$  and eventually its smooth structure using some version of  $\tilde{Q}(M)$  described above.

**7.3. QCA pullback under immersion.** In this subsection,  $X$  will be a compact  $d$ -manifold. Manifolds are treated to exploit immersion theory. Compactness ensures that all algebras are finite-dimensional so subfactor inclusions are *trivial* and Wedderburns Theorem may be applied.<sup>12</sup>

Suppose  $i : M^d \looparrowright N^d$  is an immersion between manifolds, with  $s(h) := G \subset N^d$  a set of points labeled by finite dimensional Hilbert spaces  $H_k$ . (It is harmless to assume the site map  $s$  is one-to-one.) Let  $\alpha : \text{End} \rightarrow \text{End}$ ,  $\text{End} := \otimes_k \text{End}(H_k)$  be an admissible QCA on  $N$ . ( $\text{End}$  is already complete as we are in a finite dimensional context.)

We turn now to Hastings’s adaptation of the “torus trick” [Kir69]:  $M_-$  is the non-compact manifold obtained by deleting a point from a compact  $M$ .  $N$  is also compact. Let  $G^{\text{pt}}$  be the pullback in the category of spaces,  $\text{pt}$  indicating *puncture*.

$$(7.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G^{\text{pt}} & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_- & \looparrowright i & N \end{array}$$

In [Has13] a *pullback*  $\alpha^{\text{pt}} : \text{End}_-^{\text{pt}} \rightarrow \text{End}^{\text{pt}}$  over  $G^{\text{pt}}$  is defined provided  $r := \text{range}(\alpha)$  is small w.r.t. the geometry of the immersion  $i$ ,  $\text{End}^{\text{pt}} = \otimes_{k^{\text{pr}} \in G^{\text{pt}}} \text{End}(H_k)$ , and  $\text{End}_-^{\text{pt}}$  is specified below. The relevant scalar measure of the geometry of  $i$  is *loop diameter*,  $ld(i) = \inf_{\gamma} \text{diam}_N(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a double point loop in  $N$ , that is  $\gamma = i(\beta)$ ,  $\beta$  an arc in  $M_-$  with  $i(\partial_+ \beta) = i(\partial_- \beta)$ . Let us explain how  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  is defined, and then how the puncture is *healed* to result in a “pulled back” QCA  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  on the compact  $M$ .

---

<sup>12</sup>To obtain our immersions we are willing (like Kirby) to remove a point; the resulting non-compactness will not interfere with the finite dimensionality.

$\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  will actually just be a  $*$ -homomorphism, not a  $*$ -isomorphism, but the healed<sup>13</sup>  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  over  $G^{\text{pr}} := G^{\text{pt}}$  will be a  $*$ -isomorphism.  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  is only defined to act on operators  $\mathcal{O}$  supported on unions of sites  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}} \in G^{\text{pt}}$ ,  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}}$  covering  $v_i \in G$ , which are “far away” from the puncture. We have denoted this  $*$ -algebra by  $\text{End}_-^{\text{pt}}$ . “Far away” means that if  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}} \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{O})$ , then any path  $\gamma$  in  $N$  of length  $\leq r$  starting at  $v_i$  has a lift to a path  $\gamma^{\text{pr}}$  in  $M$  starting from  $v_i$ ,  $r = \text{range}(\alpha)$ .  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism from the  $*$ -algebra generated by such operators into the  $*$ -algebra  $\otimes_{k^{\text{pr}} \in G^{\text{pt}}} \text{End}(H_{k^{\text{pr}}})$ .

To define  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$ , begin with what it does to operators  $\mathcal{O}$  supported at a single site  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}} \in G^{\text{pt}}$ . The site  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}}$  maps (under the immersion  $i$ ) to  $v_i$  and there is an obvious way to pull back the action  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  acting on  $\mathcal{O}$ . For example, if  $\alpha$  takes Pauli  $X$  at  $v_i$  to, say, (Pauli  $Y$  at  $v_i$ )  $\otimes$  (Pauli  $Z$  at some nearby  $v_j$ ) then  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  should be defined to take Pauli  $X$  at  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}}$  to (Pauli  $Y$  at  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}}$ )  $\otimes$  (Pauli  $Z$  at  $v_{j^{\text{pr}}}$ ). The condition on paths guarantees that exactly on one  $v_{j^{\text{pr}}}$ , at radius  $\leq r$  in  $G^{\text{pt}}$  will cover  $v_j$ , and we use Pauli  $Z$  at that site, and similarly for site  $v_{i^{\text{pr}}}$ . Now extend to products of such local  $\mathcal{O}$  to keep  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  a  $*$ -homomorphism:

$$(7.18) \quad \alpha^{\text{pt}}(\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \cdots \mathcal{O}_n) := \alpha^{\text{pt}}(\mathcal{O}_1) \alpha^{\text{pt}}(\mathcal{O}_2) \cdots \alpha^{\text{pt}}(\mathcal{O}_n)$$

Hastings checks that the order of the products is irrelevant, making  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  well-defined. The interesting case is when  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  are supported at nearby sites of  $G^{\text{pt}}$ . In this case, the commutator  $[\alpha^{\text{pt}} \mathcal{O}_{1^{\text{pr}}}, \alpha^{\text{pt}} \mathcal{O}_{2^{\text{pr}}}]$  vanishes since it factors through  $[\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2] = 0$  due to the disjointness of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ :

$$(7.19) \quad 0 = \alpha[\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2] = [\alpha \mathcal{O}_1, \alpha \mathcal{O}_2] \implies [\alpha^{\text{pt}} \mathcal{O}_{1^{\text{pr}}}, \alpha^{\text{pt}} \mathcal{O}_{2^{\text{pr}}}] = 0$$

The final step is to truncate the range of  $\alpha^{\text{pt}}$  so it becomes an isomorphism  $\alpha_-^{\text{pt}}$  and then to complete  $\alpha_-^{\text{pt}}$  to the desired  $*$ -automorphism  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  over all of  $M$ . This is done using Wedderburn’s Theorem: A simple subalgebra  $S$  of a finite dimensional complex matrix  $*$ -algebra  $\text{End}(V)$  is itself a complex matrix algebra and in the vector representation, the sub-matrix  $*$ -algebra  $S$  is realized as  $S = \text{End}(V^{\text{pr}})$  for some  $V^{\text{pr}}$  a tensor factor of  $V$ . Clearly,  $\text{End}_-^{\text{pt}}$  has trivial center, so its (injective) image  $S$  in  $\text{End}_-^{\text{pt}}$  is a (simple) factor of a tensor decomposition:  $S \otimes T \cong \text{End}_-^{\text{pt}}$  (corresponding to, say,  $H^{\text{pr}} \otimes H^{\text{prpr}} \cong H$ ). Define  $\alpha^{\text{pr}} := \alpha_-^{\text{pt}} \otimes \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is induced from an arbitrary  $*$ -isometry on the  $H^{\text{prpr}}$  factor,  $\theta : H^{\text{prpr}} \longrightarrow H^{\text{prpr}}$ . This *heals* the puncture and constitutes an algebraic analog of Kirby’s torus trick.<sup>14</sup>  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  is arbitrary near the puncture but retains the global features of  $\alpha$  and how these features interact with the underlying manifold topology.

Notice that the truncation to  $H^{\text{pr}}$  and hence the tensor decomposition

$$(7.20) \quad H^{\text{pr}} \otimes H^{\text{prpr}} \cong H$$

is *not* necessarily geometric.  $H^{\text{pr}}$  may fail to have the form  $H^{\text{pr}} = \otimes_{i^{\text{pr}} \in Z} H_{i^{\text{pr}}}$ , for  $Z$  some subset of vertices of  $G^{\text{pt}}$ . Thus, the algebraic torus trick has this additional subtlety: The splitting of the algebra over  $M$  into tensor product of algebras over a compact portion of  $M_-$

<sup>13</sup>We use a distinct symbol  $G^{\text{pr}}$  for the sites of the healed  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  because, in the end, we think of  $G^{\text{pr}}$  as sites of  $M$  not  $M_-$ .

<sup>14</sup>Kirby used the “push-pull” technology of Morton Brown developed in the early 1960’s to “heal” his puncture. Hastings devised a remarkable algebraic substitute, how it might play out in less trivial von Neumann algebras is an intriguing problem.

and over a neighborhood of the puncture  $\mathcal{N}(\text{puncture})$  is not always possible on the spatial level.

**7.4. The pullback partial order.** The construction  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\text{pr}}$  motivates the partial orderings studied in the body of this paper. For  $d$ -dimensional manifolds, we say  $M^d \leq N^d$  if there is a smooth immersion of punctured  $M$ ,  $i : M_- \looparrowright N$ . What we have just seen is that if  $M \leq N$  and  $N$  admits a QCA  $\alpha$  then  $M$  admits a pulled back QCA  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$ . The pullback, though arbitrary near one point of  $M$ , is functorial and acts, in particular, on the GNVW-index  $[\text{GNVW12}] \in H^1(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$  and also the torsion elements of  $Q(T^3)$  and other 3-manifolds, constructed in [\[HFH18\]](#) and [\[FHH20\]](#).

If  $\alpha$  may be regarded as an entangling tool, capable in one shot of creating long range entanglement, then if  $M \leq N$  this tool can port QCA and thus certain entangled states from  $N$  back to  $M$ . Among such entangled states are ground states of nontrivial TQFTs.

Our partial order is designed merely to illuminate an existence question: given a QCA  $\alpha$  on  $N$ , can a similar  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  be constructed on  $M$ ? Finer questions regarding the *different* ways  $\alpha^{\text{pr}}$  might be situated on  $M$ , for example, pulled back by distinct immersions  $i_1, i_2 : M_- \looparrowright N$ , are also of physical interest but will not be addressed here. In the future, one might also investigate immersions with additional properties e.g. restrict to those that pull back certain tangent bundle reductions.

**7.5.  $X$  noncompact.** As we have seen, the noncompact case permits a sharp distinction of scales: infinite vs. finite making wrinkling (in some applications) redundant. This would allow us to define a quotient  $Q_{\text{noncompact}}(X)$  of  $Q(X)$  as:

**Definition 7.21.**  $Q_{\text{noncompact}}(X) = \{\text{finite range } \alpha \text{ on } X\} / \{\text{FDQC}(X), \text{ addition/removal of ancilla}\}$ .

Under this definition, compact components of  $X$  behave as if crushed to points, much as in the study of coarse geometry. But, in some cases nothing is lost. For example, by [\[GNVW12\]](#),  $Q_{\text{noncompact}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong Q(\mathbb{R})$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Bau77] Hans J. Baues, *Obstruction theory on homotopy classification of maps*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 628, Springer, Cham, 1977.
- [EM02] Yakov Eliashberg and Nikolai Mishachev, *Introduction to the h-Principle*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 48, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [Fer79] Steve Ferry, *Homotoping  $\varepsilon$ -maps to homeomorphisms*, Am. J. Math. **101** (1979), no. 3, 567–582.
- [FH20] Michael Freedman and Matthew B. Hastings, *Classification of quantum cellular automata*, Commun. Math. Phys. **376** (2020), 1171–1222.
- [FHH19] Michael Freedman, Jeongwan Haah, and Matthew B. Hastings, *The group structure of quantum cellular automata* (2019), available at [arXiv:1910.07998](#).
- [FHH20] Lukasz Fidkowski, Jeongwan Haah Haah, and Matthew B. Hastings, *An exactly solvable model for a 4+1d beyond-cohomology symmetry protected topological phase* (2020), available at [arXiv:1912.05565](#).
- [Fre97] Michael Freedman, *Percolation on the projective plane*, Math. Res. Lett. **4** (1997), no. 6, 889–894.

- [GNVW12] David Gross, Vincent Nesme, Holger Vogts, and Reinhard F. Werner, *Index theory of one dimensional quantum walks and cellular automata*, Commun. Math. Phys. **310** (2012), no. 2, 419–454.
- [Gri99] Geoffrey Grimmett, *Percolation*, 2nd ed., Springer, 1999.
- [GS99] Robert E. Gompf and András I. Stipsicz, *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Has13] Matthew B. Hastings, *Classifying quantum phases with the kirby torus trick*, Phys. Rev. B **88** (2013), no. 16, 165114.
- [Has18] Matthew B. Hastings, *Classifying quantum phases with the torus trick* (2018), available at [arXiv:1305.6625](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.6625).
- [HFH18] Jeongwan Haah, Lukasz Fidkowski, and Matthew Hastings, *Nontrivial quantum cellular automata in higher dimensions* (2018), available at [arXiv:1812.01625](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.01625).
- [Hil95] Jonathan A. Hillman, *Free products and 4-dimensional connected sums*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **27** (1995), no. 4, 387–391.
- [Hir61] Morris W. Hirsch, *On imbedding differentiable manifolds in euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. (2) **73** (1961), 566–571.
- [Kir69] Robion C. Kirby, *Stable homeomorphisms and the annulus conjecture*, Ann. Math. **89** (1969), no. 3, 575–582.
- [KLT95] Matthias Kreck, Wolfgang Lück, and Peter Teichner, *Counterexamples to the Kneser conjecture in dimension four*, Comment. Math. Helv. **70** (1995), no. 3, 423–433.
- [KPT22] Daniel Kasprowski, Mark Powell, and Peter Teichner, *Four-manifolds up to connected sum with complex projective planes*, Am. J. Math. **144** (2022), no. 1, 75–118.
- [Kre99] Matthias Kreck, *Surgery and duality*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), no. 3, 707–754.
- [LM14] Yanki Lekili and Maksim Maydanskiy, *The symplectic topology of some rational homology balls*, Comment. Math. Helv. **89** (2014), no. 3, 571–596.
- [McC01] John McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, Second, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [Phi67] Anthony Phillips, *Submersions of open manifolds*, Topology **6** (1967), 171–206.
- [Poe62] Valentin Poenaru, *Sur la théorie des immersions*, Topology **1** (1962), 81–100.
- [Qui79] Frank Quinn, *Ends of maps, I*, Ann. Math. **110** (1979), no. 2, 275–331.
- [Qui82] Frank Quinn, *Ends of maps, II*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), no. 3, 353–424.
- [Rør21] Mikael Rørdam, *Irreducible inclusions of simple  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -algebras* (2021), available at [arXiv:2105.11899](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.11899).
- [RWW20] Daniel Ranard, Michael Walter, and Freek Witteveen, *A converse to Lieb-Robinson bounds in one dimension using index theory* (2020), available at [arXiv:2012.00741](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.00741).
- [SS08] Allan Sinclair and Roger Smith, *Finite von Neumann Algebras and Masas*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 351, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [SSC20] Wilbur Shirley, Kevin Slagle, and Xie Chen, *Twisted foliated fracton phases*, Phys. Rev. B **102** (2020), no. 11, 115103.
- [Tei92] Peter Teichner, *Topological 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group*, Ph.D. Thesis, 1992. Shaker Verlag, ISBN 3-86111-182-9.
- [Tei93] Peter Teichner, *On the signature of four-manifolds with universal covering spin*, Math. Ann. **295** (1993), no. 4, 745–759.
- [Wal66] C. T. C. Wall, *Classification problems in differential topology. IV: Thickenings*, Topology **5** (1966), 73–94.
- [Wik21] Wikipedia, *Conway's Game of Life*, 2021. [Online; accessed 20-Dec-2021].

## 8. APPENDIX ON 3-MANIFOLDS AND INTEGRAL LIFTS

by Alan W. Reid

In [4], the authors introduce the notion of *immersion equivalence* of closed connected smooth manifolds of the same dimension. Although their focus is mainly in dimension 4, they also discuss the situation in dimensions 2 and 3 and [4, Propositions 2.1 & 2.2] describes what is known in these settings. In particular, in dimension 3, all closed connected orientable 3-manifolds are immersion equivalent to  $S^3$ , with the case of closed connected non-orientable 3-manifolds admitting at least two equivalence classes: these are represented by  $S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2$  (the twisted product of  $S^1$  and  $S^2$ ) and  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$ , and are distinguished by whether  $w_1(M) \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  (the first Stiefel-Whitney class of  $M$ ) has an *integral lift* (i.e. whether there is a class in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  whose reduction modulo 2 is  $w_1(M)$ ) or not (see Lemma 8.3).

As part of their investigation into immersion equivalence of non-orientable closed connected 3-manifolds, the authors of [4] asked the following question. To state this we recall that a finitely generated group  $G$  has *rank*  $d$ , if the minimal cardinality of a generating set for  $G$  is  $d$ . When  $G = \pi_1(M)$  where  $M$  is a closed connected 3-manifold, then we abuse notation and also say that  $M$  has rank  $d$ .

**Question 1:** *Does there exist a closed connected non-orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  of rank 2 for which  $w_1(M)$  does not admit an integral lift?*

Note that  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$  is 2-generator. In this Appendix we answer Question 1, namely we show.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Question 1 has an affirmative answer.*

More details on the manifold  $M$  used to answer Question 1 are given below. For now we simply note that  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , and so from [4, Proposition 2.2], and in the notation of [4],  $M \leq \mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$  but  $M$  is not immersion equivalent to  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$ . In addition, [4, Proposition 2.2(4)]  $S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2 \leq M$ , however using Theorem 8.1 and [4, Proposition 2.2(5)] it follows that  $M$  is not immersion equivalent to  $S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2$ . Summarizing we have the following.

**Corollary 8.2.** *The manifold  $M$  constructed above is not immersion equivalent to  $S^1 \tilde{\times} S^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$ , and hence represents a distinct immersion equivalence class of closed connected non-orientable 3-manifolds.*

Further motivation for Question 1 is given in §8.2.

**Acknowledgement:** *The author gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the N.S.F. and the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, for its financial support and hospitality during the preparation of this work. He also wishes to thank M. Freedman and P. Teichner for helpful correspondence and conversations on topics related to this Appendix.*

**8.1. Proof of Theorem 8.1.** We begin by proving a lemma that provides an easy way (in principle) to construct closed connected non-orientable 3-manifolds  $Y$  for which  $w_1(Y)$  does not admit an integral lift (note this applies to  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times S^1$ ).

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $Y$  be a closed non-orientable 3-manifold satisfying the following properties:*

- (1)  $Y$  is fibered over the circle with fiber a closed non-orientable surface;
- (2)  $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \times T$ , where  $T$  is finite.

Then  $w_1(Y)$  does not admit an integral lift.

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is non-orientable  $w_1(Y) \in H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is non-trivial. Furthermore, since  $Y$  is fibered over the circle with fiber  $F$ , the normal bundle of  $F \subset Y$  is trivial and so standard properties of Stiefel-Whitney classes shows that  $w_1(Y)|_F = w_1(F) \neq 0$ .

On the other hand,  $b_1(Y) = 1$  and so the fiber surface  $F$  is dual to the unique epimorphism  $\varphi : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and in particular  $\varphi(\pi_1(F)) = 0$ . Hence the induced epimorphism  $\bar{\varphi} \in H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  satisfies  $\bar{\varphi}(\pi_1(F)) = 0$ . Putting this together with the previous paragraph, we conclude that  $\varphi$  (which is unique) cannot be an integral lift of  $w_1(Y)$ , since  $w_1(Y)|_F \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Theorem 8.1 will follow from Lemma 8.3 once we exhibit a closed non-orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  of rank 2 that satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 8.3. There should be many such examples obtained by taking the mapping tori of “suitable” pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms on closed non-orientable hyperbolic surfaces. However, our approach here is more concrete and computational, and makes use of a closed non-orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold arising in the SnapPy census [2].

**The example:** Let  $M$  denote the manifold `m313(1,0)` of the SnapPy census. Then  $M$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 8.3.

We will make considerable use of SnapPy [2] in our analysis, and using SnapPy we find that  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , and a presentation for  $\pi_1(M)$  is given by:

$$\pi_1(M) = \langle a, b \mid aabAABbaababb, aaabAABbaaaBABAAAB \rangle,$$

where  $X$  denotes  $x^{-1}$ . Note that to find  $M$  we used the SnapPy command:

```
for M in NonorientableClosedCensus[:20]: print(M, M.volume())
```

to list small volume closed non-orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Our manifold  $M$  is eighth on this list and has volume approximately 3.17729327860...

**Remark 8.4.** Note that out of the first eight manifolds in the list of small volume closed non-orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds we generated from SnapPy, six have first homology group  $\mathbb{Z}$  and so could not be fibered over the circle with fiber a non-orientable surface, the other example had first homology group  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Using the methods we describe below, we were able to check that this example is fibered with fiber an orientable surface. This is how we were led to  $M$  as an appropriate candidate.

That  $M$  has the desired properties follows from Claim 1:

**Claim 1:**  $M$  is fibered with fiber a closed non-orientable surface.

To prove Claim 1 we first prove:

**Claim 2:** The non-orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold  $N = \text{m313}$  has one cusp which has a Klein Bottle cross-section and is fibered with fiber a non-orientable punctured surface.



Before commencing with the proof of Claim 2, we include some relevant pre-ambles. To show that  $N$  is fibered we will use a criterion of Brown [1] (see also [3] for a discussion aimed at low-dimensional topologists) which provides an algorithm to decide if a 2-generator, 1-relator group  $G$  equipped with an epimorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  has  $\ker(\varphi)$  finitely generated (which by a result of Stallings [7] in the 3-manifold setting is equivalent to the manifold being fibered). Below we state a version of Brown's theorem (see [1, Theorem 4.3]) that we shall use. We refer the reader to [1] and [3] for further details.

We begin by fixing some notation. Suppose that  $G = \langle a, b \mid R \rangle$  is a 1-relator group, with  $R$  a nontrivial cyclically reduced word in the free group on  $\{a, b\}$ . Let  $R_i$  denote the initial subword consisting of the first  $i$  letters of  $R$ , and assume that all the initial subwords of  $R$  are given by  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$  with  $R_n = R$ . Following [3, Theorem 5.1] we have:

**Theorem 8.5** (Brown). *In the notation above, let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be an epimorphism and assume that  $\varphi(a)$  and  $\varphi(b)$  are both non-zero, then  $\ker(\varphi)$  is finitely generated if and only if the sequence  $\varphi(R_1), \varphi(R_2), \dots, \varphi(R_n)$  has a unique minimum and maximum.*

**Proof of Claim 2:** Using the SnapPy command `M.cusp_info()` shows that  $N$  has a single cusp with cusp cross-section a Klein Bottle (which we denote by  $\mathcal{K}$ ). Also using SnapPy we get  $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  and a presentation:

$$\pi_1(N) = \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{aaaBAAAbbaaababb} \rangle.$$

We will apply Brown's algorithm to the unique epimorphism  $\varphi : \pi_1(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . One can check that  $\varphi(a) = -1$  and  $\varphi(b) = 1$ , and so to apply Theorem 8.5 we need to check the values on the initial subwords of the relator  $R := \mathbf{aaaBAAAbbaaababb}$ .

We identified 16 initial subwords:

$$R_1 = a, R_2 = a^2, R_3 = a^3, R_4 = a^3b^{-1}, \dots, R_9 = a^3b^{-1}a^{-3}b^2, \dots, R_{16} = R.$$

The values of  $\varphi(R_i) \in \{-4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1\}$  with  $\varphi(R_4) = -4$  a unique minimum and  $\varphi(R_9) = 1$  a unique maximum. Hence Theorem 8.5 applies to show that  $\ker(\varphi)$  is finitely generated, and so we conclude that  $N$  is fibered using [7].

To show that the fiber is non-orientable we use the SnapPy commands `G = M.fundamental_group()` together with `G.031('a')` and `G.031('b')` to exhibit matrices for  $a$  and  $b$  whose determinants can then be checked to be (approximately)  $+1$  and  $-1$  respectively. In particular the element  $b$  is orientation-reversing, as is the element  $ab \in \ker(\varphi)$  (from above). Hence the fiber is non-orientable and the proof of Claim 2 is complete.  $\square$

**Completing the proof of Claim 1:** Since  $N$  is non-orientable with cusp cross-section  $\mathcal{K}$ , there is a unique way to compactify  $N$  by gluing a solid Klein Bottle to  $\mathcal{K} \times [0, 1)$ , which by definition gives  $M$ . Indeed, there is a unique essential simple closed curve (slope)  $\alpha \subset \mathcal{K}$  that is the core of the solid Klein Bottle that can be attached to  $\mathcal{K} \times [0, 1)$  which compactifies  $N$  via Dehn filling and for which  $\alpha$  is killed. Now the slope  $\alpha$  is the boundary slope of the fiber surface of  $N$  (this is made explicit in Remark 8.6 below), and so in particular the fibering of  $N$  extends after Dehn filling along  $\alpha$  to get  $M$ . Hence  $M$  is fibered with fiber a closed non-orientable surface as required.  $\square$

**Remark 8.6.** Using SnapPy the filling curve  $\alpha$  can be identified as the peripheral element  $\text{BAAAbaaabAAbbaaaBA} \in \pi_1(N)$  which can easily be seen to be a re-ordering of the second relation in  $\pi_1(M)$ . It can also be readily checked that this element of  $\pi_1(N)$  maps trivially under the map to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**8.2. Compatibility.** One motivation for Question 1 is the following result which needs some additional terminology. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be closed connected non-orientable 3-manifolds and for which  $w_1(M)$  and  $w_1(N)$  both do not admit an integral lift. Say that a homomorphism  $\theta : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$  is  $w_1$ -compatible if and only the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(M) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \pi_1(N) \\ & \searrow w_1 & \swarrow w_1 \\ & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \end{array}$$

**Theorem 8.7.** *Assume that  $M$  and  $N$  are closed non-orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds for which  $w_1(M)$  and  $w_1(N)$  both do not admit an integral lift. Assume that  $M$  has rank 2 and  $b_1(N) \geq 3$ . Then there is no  $w_1$ -compatible homomorphism  $\theta : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof given below is essentially that described to the author by M. Freedman. We begin by proving a lemma which is well known in the orientable case (see [5, Theorem VI.4.1]). As in the proof of Theorem 8.1,  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the Klein Bottle.

**Lemma 8.8.** *Let  $X$  be a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold and  $H < \pi_1(X)$  a subgroup of rank  $\leq 2$ . Then, either  $H$  is free of rank  $\leq 2$ , or  $H$  has finite index in  $\pi_1(X)$ .*

*Proof.* As stated above, the case when  $X$  is orientable follows from [5, Theorem VI.4.1]. We now handle the case when  $X$  is non-orientable, and to that end let  $X^+$  denote the orientation double cover of  $X$  and  $H^+ = H \cap \pi_1(X^+)$ .

If  $H$  is not free then by [6, Corollary 4],  $\chi(H) = 0$ . If  $H$  does not have finite index in  $\pi_1(X)$ , then  $H^+$  is an infinite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(X^+)$  with  $\chi(H^+) = 0$ . If  $C^+$  denotes a compact core for  $\mathbb{H}^3/H^+$ , then a standard argument shows that:

$$\chi(\partial C^+)/2 = \chi(C^+) = \chi(H^+) = 0.$$

Hence  $\partial C^+$  consists of a union of tori ( $\mathcal{K}$  is excluded since  $C^+$  is orientable), and since  $H^+$  has infinite index in  $\pi_1(X^+)$ , and  $X^+$  is a closed orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold, it follows that the only possibility for  $H$  is that it is virtually abelian. Since  $X$  is hyperbolic,  $H$  cannot be free abelian of rank 2, or  $\pi_1(\mathcal{K})$ , hence  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

With this lemma in hand, we complete the proof of Theorem 8.7. To that end assume that there is a  $w_1$ -compatible homomorphism  $\theta : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$ , and let  $H = \theta(\pi_1(M))$ . Compatibility and non-orientability of  $M$  imply that  $H \neq 1$ , and so  $H$  is a non-trivial subgroup of  $\pi_1(N)$  of rank  $\leq 2$ .

We now consider  $H$  in the context of Lemma 8.8. If  $H$  is a free group of rank 2, we obtain a sequence of epimorphisms  $F_2 \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow H \cong F_2$ . However free groups are Hopfian, and so these epimorphisms are all isomorphisms. However, the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold is not free (since they are irreducible). The case of finite index is ruled

out by the hypothesis that  $b_1(N) \geq 3$ , so that every subgroup  $G$  of finite index in  $\pi_1(N)$  also has  $b_1(G) \geq 3$  (by the transfer homomorphism), which  $H$  clearly cannot.

Thus we are reduced to  $H = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\theta$  factors through a homomorphism onto  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But this is a contradiction to  $M$  not having an integral lift since  $w_1$ -compatibility ensures that any orientation-reversing element of  $\pi_1(M)$  must map to an odd integer.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. S. Brown, *Trees, valuations, and the Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant*, Invent. Math. **90** (1987), 479–504.
- [2] M. Culler, N. M. Dunfield, M. Goerner, and J. R. Weeks, *SnapPy, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, <http://snappy.computop.org>, version 2.6 (12/29/2017).
- [3] N. M. Dunfield and D. P. Thurston, *A random tunnel number one 3-manifold does not fiber over the circle*, Geometry and Topology **10** (2006), 2431–2499.
- [4] M. Freedman, D. Kasprowski, M. Kreck and P. Teichner, *Immersion of punctured 4-manifolds*, preprint.
- [5] W. Jaco and P. B. Shalen, *Seifert Fibered Spaces in 3-Manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **21**, no. 220 (1979), 192pp.
- [6] J. G. Ratcliffe, *Euler characteristics of 3-manifold groups and discrete subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$* , J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **44** (1987), 303–314.
- [7] J. Stallings, *On fibering certain 3-manifolds*, in Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Institute, 1961), Prentice-Hall (1962) 95–100.

MICHAEL FREEDMAN, MICROSOFT RESEARCH, STATION Q, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106  
*Email address:* [michaelf@microsoft.com](mailto:michaelf@microsoft.com)

DANIEL KASPROWSKI, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF BONN, 53115 BONN, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [kasprowski@uni-bonn.de](mailto:kasprowski@uni-bonn.de)

MATTHIAS KRECK, HAUSDORFF CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, 53115 BONN, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [kreck@math.uni-bonn.de](mailto:kreck@math.uni-bonn.de)

PETER TEICHNER, MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, 53111 BONN, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [teichner@mpim-bonn.mpg.de](mailto:teichner@mpim-bonn.mpg.de)

ALAN W. REID, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, HOUSTON, TX 77005, USA.,  
MAX-PLANCK-INSITITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, D-53111 BONN, GERMANY.  
*Email address:* [alan.reid@rice.edu](mailto:alan.reid@rice.edu), [areid@mpim-bonn.mpg.de](mailto:areid@mpim-bonn.mpg.de)