

Notes on planar semimodular lattices. VI. On the structure theorem of planar semimodular lattices

G. GRÄTZER

ABSTRACT. In a recent paper, G. Czédli and E. T. Schmidt present a structure theorem for planar semimodular lattices. In this note, we present an alternative proof.

1. Introduction

G. Czédli and E. T. Schmidt [2] present two structure theorems for planar semimodular lattices (Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.5) and a structure theorem for patch lattices, the building stones (Theorem 3.4). The first structure theorem for planar semimodular lattices is based on a new construction, patchwork systems, and the second on the classic gluing over a chain. Although our line of reasoning would simplify the proof of all three theorems, we discuss only the second structure theorem for planar semimodular lattices because patchwork systems are technically complicated to introduce.

We start with a few concepts from G. Grätzer and E. Knapp [5] and [6].

Let L be a planar lattice. A *left weak corner* (resp., *right weak corner*) of the lattice L is a doubly-irreducible element in $L - \{0, 1\}$ on the left (resp., right) boundary of L . We define a *rectangular lattice* L as a planar semimodular lattice which has exactly one left weak corner, u_l , and exactly one right weak corner, u_r , and they are complementary, that is

$$\begin{aligned}u_l \vee u_r &= 1, \\ u_l \wedge u_r &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

G. Czédli and E. T. Schmidt [2] define a *patch lattice* as a rectangular lattice in which the weak corners are dual atoms; in this note we allow C_2 as a patch lattice.

Let the lattice L be a gluing of the lattices A and B over I (I is a filter of A and an ideal of B). If I is a chain, then we say that L is a gluing of the lattices A and B over a chain.

So here is the Czédli-Schmidt [2] result we reprove in this note:

Theorem (A Structure Theorem of Planar Semimodular Lattices). *Let L be a planar semimodular lattice with more than one element. Then there is a sequence*

$$L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n = L$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 06C10. Secondary: 06B15.
Key words and phrases: semimodular lattice; planar lattice; slim; rectangular.

of lattices such that each L_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, is a patch lattice or L_i is the gluing over a chain of L_j and L_k for some $j, k < i$.

An equivalent form of the Theorem is the following statement:

Let L be a planar semimodular lattice with more than one element. If L is not a patch lattice, then L has an ideal A and a filter B (also planar semimodular lattices) such that $C = A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ is a chain and $L = A \cup B$ is the gluing of the lattices A and B over the chain C .

We will refer to this statement also as the Theorem.

We make one more modification: we assume that L be slim. (This concept was introduced in [5]; a planar semimodular lattice is *slim* if it contains no M_3 , equivalently, no cover-preserving M_3 .) We can do this because if L is not slim, then we can “slim” it by dropping the “eyes”, the middle elements in cover-preserving M_3 -s. We find the A_s and B_s for the slimmed L and then put the eyes back into A_s and B_s to obtain the A and B for L .

We call slim, planar, semimodular lattices SPS lattices.

2. Reduction to slim rectangular lattices

We prove now that in the Theorem we may assume that L is slim and rectangular.

We start with an easy result from the Appendix of G. Grätzer and E. Knapp [6, Lemma 7], which we state in a simplified form:

Lemma 1. *Let L be an SPS lattice with more than one element. Let $E = \{a \prec b \prec c\}$ be on the left boundary of L . Let a be meet-irreducible and let c be join-irreducible in L . Order $L[E] = L \cup \{t\}$, $t \notin L$, so that L be a suborder and $a \prec t \prec c$; place t to the left of E , above a and below c (for an illustration, see Figure 1).*

Then $L[E]$, called a one-step extension of L , is an SPS lattice.

Now we can state the reduction step.

Lemma 2. *Let L be an SPS lattice with more than one element and let $L[E]$ be a one-step extension of L , as in Lemma 1. If $L[E]$ can be obtained as a gluing of two lattices over a chain, then the same holds for L .*

Proof. Let $L[E]$ have an ideal A and a filter B such that $L[E] = A \cup B$ and $C = A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ is a chain. Set $A' = A \cap L = A - \{t\}$, $B' = B \cap L = B - \{t\}$, $C' = C \cap L = C - \{t\}$. Since $0 \in A'$ and t is doubly irreducible, it follows that $A' \neq \emptyset$ is an ideal of L ; similarly, $B' \neq \emptyset$ is a filter of L .

If $C' = \emptyset$, then $C = \{t\}$, implying that $A = \downarrow t$ and $B = \uparrow t$. Since

$$b \notin \downarrow t \cup \uparrow t = A \cup B = L[E],$$

this is a contradiction, proving that $C' \neq \emptyset$.




FIGURE 1. One-step extension

We conclude that $L = A' \cup B'$ is the gluing of the lattices A' and B' over the chain $C' = A' \cap B'$. \square

By G. Grätzer and E. Knapp [6, Theorem 7], applying the one-step extension as many times as necessary we obtain a rectangular lattice. So we get the following result:

Lemma 3 (Reduction step). *Let L be an SPS lattice with more than one element. Then there exists a slim rectangular extension \widehat{L} satisfying the following condition: if \widehat{L} can be obtained as a gluing of two lattices over a chain, then the same holds for L .*

3. Proving the Theorem for slim rectangular lattices

For a rectangular lattice L , let x be on the upper left boundary of L with $x \notin \{u_l, 1\}$. Define (see Figure 2):

$$L_{\text{top}} = [x \wedge u_r, 1],$$

$$L_{\text{bottom}} = [0, x].$$

We now present a result of G. Grätzer and E. Knapp [7, Lemma 14 and Theorem 18] in a simplified form:

Theorem 4 (Decomposition Theorem). *Let L be a slim rectangular lattice and let $x \notin \{u_l, 1\}$ be on the upper left boundary of L . From the lattice L ,*




FIGURE 2. Decomposing a rectangular lattice L

we construct the slim rectangular lattices L_{top} and L_{bottom} . Then L can be reconstructed from these two lattices by gluing them over a chain $[x \wedge u_r, x]$. In addition, $x = u_l \vee (x \wedge u_r)$.

Now we are ready to prove the Theorem for a slim rectangular lattice L . We proceed by induction on the size of L . If L is a patch lattice, there is nothing to prove. If L is not a patch lattice, then by symmetry, we can assume that u_l is not a dual atom. Take an element $x \in L$ with $u_l < x < 1$.

By Theorem 4, we obtain L by gluing the smaller rectangular lattices L_{top} and L_{bottom} over a chain. By the induction hypothesis, there is a sequence of lattices $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n = L_{\text{top}}$ for L_{top} as required by the Theorem, and another $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n = L_{\text{bottom}}$ for L_{bottom} . Merging the two sequences and appending L to the end, we get the sequence for L , concluding the proof of the Theorem for rectangular lattices.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Czédli and E. T. Schmidt, *Slim semimodular lattices. I. A visual approach*, Order.
- [2] G. Czédli and E. T. Schmidt, *Slim semimodular lattices. II. A description by patchwork systems*, Order.
- [3] G. Grätzer, *The Congruences of a Finite Lattice, A Proof-by-Picture Approach*. Birkhäuser Boston, 2006. xxiii+281 pp. ISBN: 0-8176-3224-7
- [4] G. Grätzer, *Lattice Theory: Foundation*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2011. xxix+613 pp. ISBN: 978-3-0348-0017-4
- [5] G. Grätzer and E. Knapp, *Notes on planar semimodular lattices. I. Construction*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **73**, 445–462 (2007)
- [6] G. Grätzer and E. Knapp, *Notes on planar semimodular lattices. III. Rectangular lattices*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **75**, 29–48 (2009)
- [7] G. Grätzer and E. Knapp, *Notes on planar semimodular lattices. IV. The size of a minimal congruence lattice representation with rectangular lattices*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **76**, 3–26 (2010)

G. GRÄTZER

Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada
e-mail, G. Grätzer: gratzer@me.com