

ON HOLOMORPHIC ISOMETRIES INTO BLOW-UPS OF  $\mathbb{C}^n$ 

ANDREA LOI AND ROBERTO MOSSA

ABSTRACT. We study the Kähler-Einstein manifolds which admits a holomorphic isometry into either the generalized Burns-Simanca manifold  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  or the Eguchi-Hanson manifold  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, g_{EH})$ . Moreover, we prove that  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  and  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, g_{EH})$  are not relatives to any homogeneous bounded domain.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Calabi's diastasis function of $g_S$ and $g_{EH}$	4
3. Proof of the main results	6
References	9

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The blow-up  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at the origin can be equipped with two natural and interesting Kähler metrics: the *Eguchi-Hanson metric*  $g_{EH}$  on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2$  and the *generalized Burns-Simanca metric*  $g_S$  on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . The Kähler form associated to the *Eguchi-Hanson metric* on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \cong \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus H$  given by

$$\omega_{EH} = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \left( \sqrt{\|z\|^4 + 1} + \log \|z\|^2 - \log(1 + \sqrt{\|z\|^4 + 1}) \right), \quad \|z\|^2 = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $H \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$  denotes the exceptional divisor arising by the blow-up construction (one can show that  $\omega_{EH}$ , a priori defined only on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , extends to all  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2$ ). The Kähler metric  $g_{EH}$  is complete Ricci flat (not flat) (see [9]). The reader is also referred to [6] and [15] for other properties of this metric. For  $n \geq 2$  the generalized Burns-Simanca metric  $g_S$  on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  (first considered by [21]) is the Kähler metric whose

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 32Q15, 32T15.

*Key words and phrases.* Kähler metrics, Kähler-Einstein metrics: Burns-Simanca metric; Eguchi-Hanson metric: relatives Kähler manifolds; Calabi's diastasis function.

The first author supported by Prin 2015 – Real and Complex Manifolds; Geometry, Topology and Harmonic Analysis – Italy, by INdAM. GNSAGA - Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e le loro Applicazioni.

Kähler form on  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} \cong \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus H$  is given by

$$\omega_S = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (\|z\|^2 + \log \|z\|^2), \quad \|z\|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $H \cong \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  denotes the exceptional divisor. When  $n = 2$  the metric  $g_S$  is simply called the *Burns-Simanca metric* and it has been discovered by Burns [2] when  $n = 2$  and firstly described by Le Brun [3]. This metric is scalar flat (but not Ricci-flat) and it is also an example (see [7]) of complete and non homogeneous metric admitting a regular quantization (other properties of this metric related to the coefficients of TYZ expansion can be found in [14]). It is also worth mentioning that the Burns-Simanca metric has been an important tool in [1] for the construction of cscK metrics on the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  at a finite number of points.

In this paper we address two problems. The first one is about the existence and uniqueness of Kähler-Einstein (KE in the sequel) submanifolds of either  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  or  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, g_{EH})$ . The second one deals with the study of those Kähler manifolds which are relatives to either  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  or  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, g_{EH})$ . Recall that in [5] the first author of the present paper together with A. J. Di Scala, inspired by Umehara's work [24], have christened two Kähler manifolds  $(S_1, g_1)$  and  $(S_2, g_2)$  to be *relatives* if they share a common (non trivial) Kähler submanifold, i.e. there exist a Kähler manifold  $(M, g)$  of positive dimension and two holomorphic isometries  $\varphi_j : M \rightarrow S_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  (the reader is referred to [12] and references therein for further results on relatives Kähler manifolds).

Our first result is the following theorem dealing with the above mentioned problems when the ambient space is the generalized Burns-Simanca metric.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complex  $m$ -dimensional ( $m \geq 1$ ) Kähler manifold which admits a holomorphic isometry  $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$ . Then the following facts hold true.*

- (i) *If  $g$  is the flat metric then  $m = 1$ ,  $M$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\varphi$  is the restriction of the holomorphic isometry  $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}, g_0) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  given by*

$$\Phi(z) = ((z + \lambda)e, [e]), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad e \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \|e\| = 1, \quad (3)$$

*where  $g_0$  denotes the flat metric.*

- (ii) *If  $g$  is KE with Einstein constant  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\varphi(M) \subseteq H$ , where  $H$  is the exceptional divisor. Consequently, if  $n - m \leq 3$  then  $M$  is either an open subset of the complex quadric or an open subset of a complex projective space totally geodesically embedded into  $H \cong \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ .*
- (iii) *Assume  $n = 2$ . If  $g$  is Ricci flat (i.e. KE with  $\lambda = 0$ ) then  $M$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\varphi$  is given by the restriction of (3) to  $M$ .*

- (iv) *any Kähler submanifold of  $(M, g)$  does not admit a holomorphic isometry into a homogeneous bounded domain.*

Notice that (i) is a sort of rigidity result for flat submanifolds of  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$ . Regarding (ii) observe that, despite the fact that a KE submanifold of a non elliptic complex space form is forced to be totally geodesic [25], the classification of the KE submanifolds of the complex projective space is still missing (the reader is referred to [19, Chapter 3] for an updated material on this subject). In [18] (see also [16] and [17]) the authors have conjectured that these manifolds are indeed open subset of flag manifolds (i.e. compact and simply-connected homogeneous Kähler manifolds). Therefore, in view of (ii) we believe that a KE manifold admitting a holomorphic isometry into  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  is holomorphically isometric to an open subset of a flag manifold. About point (iii) we still do not know if there exist Ricci flat (not flat) Kähler submanifolds of  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Finally (iv) shows that the generalized Burns-Simanca manifold is not relative to any bounded homogeneous domain.

Our second and last result shows the analogous of (ii) and (iv) for the Eguchi-Hanson metric.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a complex  $m$ -dimensional ( $m \geq 1$ ) Kähler manifold which admits a holomorphic isometry into  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, g_{EH})$ . Then the following facts hold true.*

- (a) *If  $g$  is KE with Einstein constant  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $m = 1$  and  $\varphi(M) \subseteq H$ .*
- (b)  *$(M, g)$  does not admit a holomorphic isometry into a homogeneous bounded domain.*

We still do not know if  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, g_{EH})$  admits a flat Kähler submanifold similarly to that of  $(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  given by  $\Phi(\mathbb{C})$  where  $\Phi$  is the map (3). Observe that (v) in Theorem 1.1 and (b) in Theorem 1.2 can be considered extensions of [12, (ii) Theorem 1.1] where the authors of the present paper shows that the definite or indefinite flat space is not relative to any bounded homogenous domain.

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 can be found in Section 3. The main tools in their proofs are a detailed analysis of Calabi's diastasis function of the generalized Burns-Simanca metric and Eguchi-Hanson metric (Proposition 2.1 in the next section) and some trascendental properties of holomorphic Nash algebraic function (Lemma (3.1)) already used in [11] and [12].

2. CALABI'S DIASTASIS FUNCTION OF  $g_S$  AND  $g_{EH}$ 

The blow-up  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at the origin is the closed submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n := \{(z, [t]) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \mid t_\alpha z_\beta - t_\beta z_\alpha = 0, 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n\}.$$

Let  $U_j := \{[z_1 : \dots : z_n] \mid z_j \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  and  $\tilde{U}_j = (\mathbb{C}^n \times U_j) \cap \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$ . A complex atlas for  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is given by  $(\tilde{U}_j, \psi_j)$ , where  $\psi_j : \tilde{U}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$\psi_j((z_1, \dots, z_n), [t_1 : \dots : t_n]) = \left( \frac{t_1}{t_j}, \dots, \frac{t_{j-1}}{t_j}, z_j, \frac{t_{j+1}}{t_j}, \dots, \frac{t_n}{t_j} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

whose inverse is

$$\psi_j^{-1}(w) = ((w_j w_1, \dots, w_j w_{j-1}, w_j, w_j w_{j+1}, \dots, w_j w_n), [w_1 : \dots : w_{j-1} : 1 : w_{j+1} : \dots : w_n]), \quad (4)$$

with  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ . If  $H = \{(0, [t]) \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n\} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  denotes the exceptional divisor, then the map

$$p_r : \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad (z, [t]) \mapsto z \quad (5)$$

is a biholomorphism with inverse  $p_r^{-1}(z) = (z, [z])$ .

In the proof of our main results we need the following proposition where we describe Calabi's diastasis functions of the generalized Burns-Simanca metric and the Eguchi-Hanson metric and we verify that the restrictions of these metrics to the exceptional divisor has constant positive holomorphic sectional curvature. Recall that given a real analytic Kähler metric  $g$  on a complex manifold  $M$  and a point  $p \in M$ , Calabi's diastasis function  $D_p^g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at  $p$  is defined as

$$D_p^g(z) = \tilde{\psi}(z, \bar{z}) + \tilde{\psi}(p, \bar{p}) - \tilde{\psi}(z, \bar{p}) - \tilde{\psi}(p, \bar{z}), \quad (6)$$

where  $\tilde{\psi} : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a complex analytic extension obtained by duplicating the variables  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  of a Kähler potential  $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for the metric  $g$  and where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  be the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at the origin. Then:*

1. *Calabi's diastasis function of  $g_S$  centered at  $(q, [t]) \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus H$ , with respect to the coordinates (5), is given by*

$$D_{(q, [t])}^{g_S}(z) = \|z - q\|^2 + \log \frac{\|z\|^2 \|q\|^2}{|z \cdot \bar{q}|^2}. \quad (7)$$

2. Calabi's diastasis function of  $g_{EH}$  centered at  $(q, [t]) \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2$  is given by

$$D_{(q, [t])}^{g_{EH}}(z) = \sqrt{\|z\|^4 + 1} + \sqrt{\|q\|^4 + 1} - \sqrt{(z \cdot \bar{q})^2 + 1} - \sqrt{(\bar{z} \cdot q)^2 + 1} \\ + \frac{\|z\|^2 \|q\|^2 \left| 1 + \sqrt{(z \cdot \bar{q})^2 + 1} \right|^2}{|z \cdot \bar{q}|^2 \left( 1 + \sqrt{\|z\|^4 + 1} \right) \left( 1 + \sqrt{\|q\|^4 + 1} \right)} \quad (8)$$

Moreover,  $\left( H, g_S|_H \right)$  (resp.  $\left( H, g_{EH}|_H \right)$ ) is holomorphically isometric to the complex projective space  $(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}, g_{FS})$  (resp.  $(\mathbb{C}P^1, g_{FS})$ ) equipped with the Fubini-Study metric  $g_{FS}$  of holomorphic sectional curvature 4.

*Proof.* Expressions (7) and (8) follow by combining (2) and (1) with the definition of Calabi's diastasis function.

In local coordinates  $(\tilde{U}_j, \psi_j)$ , the metric  $g_S$  has a Kähler potential given by

$$\phi_j^S(w) = \left( |w_j|^2 \left( 1 + \|w\|^2 - |w_j|^2 \right) + \log \left( 1 + \|w\|^2 - |w_j|^2 \right) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (9)$$

Thus the restriction of  $g_S$  to the exceptional divisor reads as

$$\phi_j^S|_{\tilde{U}_j \cap H}(w) = \phi_j^S(w_1, \dots, w_{j-1}, 0, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_n) = \log \left( 1 + \|w\|^2 \right), \quad (10)$$

which is a Kähler potential of the Fubini-Study metric of  $H = \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  of holomorphic sectional curvature 4.

Similarly, in local coordinates  $(\tilde{U}_j, \psi_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  given by (4), the associated Kähler potential reads

$$\phi_{\tilde{U}_1}^{EH}(w) = \sqrt{|w_1|^4 \left( 1 + |w_2|^2 \right)^2 + 1} + \log \left( \frac{1 + |w_2|^2}{1 + \sqrt{|w_1|^4 \left( 1 + |w_2|^2 \right)^2 + 1}} \right) \\ \phi_{\tilde{U}_2}^{EH}(w) = \sqrt{|w_2|^4 \left( 1 + |w_1|^2 \right)^2 + 1} + \log \left( \frac{1 + |w_1|^2}{1 + \sqrt{|w_2|^4 \left( 1 + |w_1|^2 \right)^2 + 1}} \right),$$

respectively. By restricting them to the exceptional divisor, we get

$$\phi_{\tilde{U}_j}^{EH}|_{\tilde{U}_j \cap H}(w) = 1 + \log \left( \frac{1 + |w_j|^2}{2} \right) \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (11)$$

and so  $\left( H, g_{EH}|_H \right)$  is holomorphically isometric to the complex projective space  $(\mathbb{C}P^1, g_{FS})$  equipped with the Fubini-Study metric  $g_{FS}$  of holomorphic sectional curvature 4.  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\mathcal{N}^m$  be the set of real analytic functions  $\xi : V \subset \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined in some open neighbourhood  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ , such that its real analytic extension  $\tilde{\xi}(z, w)$  in a neighbourhood of the diagonal of  $V \times \text{Conj} V$  is a holomorphic Nash algebraic function (for background material on Nash functions, we refer the readers to [10] and [23]). We define

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\xi(f_1, \dots, f_m) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{N}^m, f_j \in \mathcal{O}_0, j = 1, \dots, m, m > 0\}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_0$  denotes the germ of holomorphic functions around  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{F} \mid \psi \text{ is of diastasis-type}\}$$

Here we say (see also [11]) that a real analytic function defined on a neighborhood  $U$  of a point  $p$  of a complex manifold  $M$  is of diastasis-type if in one (and hence any) coordinate system  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  centered at  $p$  its expansion in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  does not contain non constant purely holomorphic or anti-holomorphic terms (i.e. of the form  $z^j$  or  $\bar{z}^j$  with  $j > 0$ ). Clearly the diastasis  $D_p^g$  is a function of diastasis-type.

In the proofs of our main results we need the following lemmata.

**Lemma 3.1.** ([12, Theorem 2.1]) *Let  $\psi_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Then for every  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$$e^{\psi_0} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu_1} \dots \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu_\ell} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu_1} \dots \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu_\ell} = \{\psi_1^{\mu_1} \dots \psi_\ell^{\mu_\ell} \mid \psi_1, \dots, \psi_\ell \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\}$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(N, h)$  be a Kähler manifold and let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  be a system of coordinates for  $N$  around  $q \in N$ . Assume that the diastasis  $D_q^h$  associated to  $h$  and centred in  $q$  is of the form*

$$D_q^h(z) = \Psi(z) + \log(\psi_1^{\gamma_1}(z) \dots \psi_r^{\gamma_r}(z))$$

where  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Psi \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is strictly plurisubharmonic at  $q$ . Then any KE submanifold  $(M, g)$  of  $(N, h)$  passing through  $q$ , is Ricci flat.

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that  $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$  is a holomorphic isometry passing through  $q$  and that  $g$  is KE non Ricci flat. Let  $q = f(p)$ . Fixed a system of coordinates  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  for  $M$  centered at  $p$ , the diastasis associated to  $g_M$  and centered at  $p$  is given by:

(12)

$$D_p^g(w) = D_q^h(f(w)) = \Psi(f(w)) + \log(\psi_1^{\gamma_1}(f(w)) \dots \psi_r^{\gamma_r}(f(w))).$$

From the uniqueness of the diastasis function, we see that the Einstein condition  $-i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det(\partial\bar{\partial} D_p^g) = \lambda \frac{i}{2} \partial\bar{\partial} D_p^g$  is equivalent to the following equation (see e.g.

[12, Proof of Proposition 4.1])

$$\det(\partial\bar{\partial}D_p^g) = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}D_p^g},$$

By substituting (12) in the previous equation we get

$$\det(\partial\bar{\partial}D_p^g) = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}\Psi(f(w))} \psi_1^{-\frac{\lambda\gamma_1}{2}}(f(w)) \cdots \psi_r^{-\frac{\lambda\gamma_r}{2}}(f(w)).$$

It is not hard to see that  $\det(\partial\bar{\partial}D_p^g) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  (see [12, Proof of Proposition 4.1]), so that

$$e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}\Psi(f(w))} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-\frac{\lambda\gamma_1}{2}} \cdots \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-\frac{\lambda\gamma_r}{2}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we see that  $\Psi(f(w))$  is forced to be zero, By hypothesis,  $\Psi$  is strictly plurisubharmonic at  $q$ , in particular  $\Psi$  must be a diastasis function for a Kähler metric  $g_\Psi$  defined in a neighbourhood of  $q$ . By [4, Proposition 5] we know that if  $\rho(q, q_0)$  is the geodesic distance induced by  $g_\Psi$ , between  $q$  and  $q_0$ , then

$$\Psi(q_0) = \rho(q, q_0)^2 + O(\rho(q, q_0)^4),$$

in a neighbourhood of  $q$ . Therefore, (??) implies that  $f(w) = f(p)$  on a neighbourhood of  $p$ . In particular  $f$  can not be a Kähler immersion, contradicting the hypothesis. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem (1.1). Proof of (i)* Notice that the generalized Simanca metric  $g_S$  is projectively induced, i.e. there exists a holomorphic isometry  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  into the infinite dimensional complex projective space equipped with the Fubini-Study metric (see [7] for a proof). Thus by [4, Theorem 13]  $M$  is forced to be an open subset  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  equipped with the flat metric. Hence one needs to show that if  $\varphi : (V, g_0) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n, g_S)$  is a holomorphic isometry then  $m = 1$  and  $\varphi$  is the restriction of the map (3). We claim that  $\varphi(V)$  pass through a point  $q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus H$ . Assume by contradiction that  $\varphi(V) \subset H$ . From (10) we know that the metric induced by  $g_S$  on  $H \equiv \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  is the Fubini-Study metric metric  $g_{FS}$  hence  $g_0 = \varphi^*g_{FS}$  in constrast with Calabi's result [4], proving our claim.

It is not restrictive to assume that  $V$  is a neighbourhood of the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and that  $\varphi(0) = q$ . From Calabi diastasis's hereditary property, in a neighbourhood  $W \subset V$  of the origin, we have  $D_0^{g_0}(z) = D_{\varphi(0)}^{g_S}(\varphi(z))$ . Assume also  $\varphi(W) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus H$ . From (7), we deduce that

$$\|z\|^2 = \|\varphi(z) - \varphi(0)\|^2 + \log \frac{\|\varphi(z)\|^2 \|\varphi(0)\|^2}{|\varphi(z) \cdot \overline{\varphi(0)}|^2}, \quad z \in W.$$

We can apply Lemma 3.1 to conclude that

$$\|z\|^2 - \|\varphi(z) - \varphi(0)\|^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\|\varphi(z)\|^2 \|\varphi(0)\|^2}{|\varphi(z) \cdot \overline{\varphi(0)}|^2} = 1. \quad (13)$$

Since the isometric action of  $U(n)$  on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  (given by  $U \cdot (z, [t]) = (U \cdot z, [U \cdot t])$ ) is the standard isometric action on  $(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}, g_S)$  we can assume that  $\varphi(0) = (0, \dots, 0, \lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . By the second equality in (13), we see that  $\varphi(z)$  is proportional to  $\varphi(0)$ , that is

$$\varphi(z) = (0, \dots, 0, \varphi_n(z)), \quad z \in W, \quad (14)$$

where  $\varphi_n : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a holomorphic function. Since  $\varphi$  is an immersion, the previous equation proves that  $\dim W = m = 1$ . Moreover, we see that in the coordinates charts (4),  $\varphi(W) \subset \tilde{U}_n$  and  $\varphi(W) \cap \tilde{U}_j = \emptyset$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Since, with respect to the coordinates  $\psi_n$  one has

$$\varphi(z) = \psi_n \circ p_r^{-1}(0, \dots, 0, \varphi_n(z)) = (0, \dots, 0, \varphi_n(z)),$$

equality  $D_0^{g_0}(z) = D_{\varphi(0)}^{g_S}(\varphi(z))$  yields

$$|z|^2 = |\varphi_n(z) - \lambda|^2, \quad z \in W \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Deriving this relation with respect  $\bar{z}$ , we get

$$z = \varphi_n(z) \overline{\varphi_n'(z)} - \lambda \overline{\varphi_n'(z)} = \overline{\varphi_n'(z)} (\varphi_n(z) - \lambda)$$

yielding  $\overline{\varphi_n'(z)} = \mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\varphi_n(z) = \lambda + \mu^{-1}z$ . On the other hand if  $\omega_S$  and  $\omega_0$  are the Kähler forms associated to  $g_S$  and  $g_0$ , from (9) and (14) we see that

$$\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} |z|^2 = \omega_0 = \varphi^* \omega_S = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} |\lambda + \mu^{-1}z|^2.$$

Hence  $\mu = e^{i\theta}$  which, up to the  $U(n)$ -action on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  we can assume  $\mu = 1$ . From the analyticity of  $\varphi$ , we see that  $\varphi(z) = (0, \dots, 0, \lambda + z)$  for every  $z \in V$ , i.e.  $\varphi = \Phi|_V$ . *Proof of (ii)* Fix  $q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus H \equiv \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $|z - q|^2$  is strictly plurisubharmonic at  $q$  the diastasis of  $g_S$  given by (7) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.2. We conclude that if  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi(M) \subset H \equiv \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ . If  $\varphi(M)$  is open in  $H$ , by (10) we see that  $g$  is the Fubini-Study metric. While, if  $\varphi(M)$  is a submanifold of  $H$  of codimension 1 or 2, due to S.-S. Chern [8] and K. Tsukada [22] results respectively,  $M$  is either an open subset of the complex quadric or an open subset of a complex projective space holomorphically and totally geodesically embedded in  $H$ .

*Proof of (iii)* It follows by combining (i) to the fact that a Ricci flat metric on a complex one-dimensional manifold is flat.

*Proof of (iv)* We need to show that it can not exist a neighbourhood  $V \subset \mathbb{C}$  of the origin and maps  $\eta : V \rightarrow M$  and  $\zeta : V \rightarrow \Omega$  such that

$$\eta^* g = \zeta^* g_\Omega. \quad (15)$$

Let us suppose by contradiction that such maps exist. We claim that  $\varphi(\eta(V))$  is not contained in  $H$ . Indeed from (10) we know that the metric induced by  $g_S$  on  $H \equiv \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  is the Fubini-Study metric  $g_{FS}$ , hence  $\eta^*(\varphi^* g_{FS}) = \zeta^* g_\Omega$  in contrast



with [20, Theorem 1.2], claiming that a projective manifold is not relative to a homogeneous bounded domain. Hence, we can assume that  $\varphi(\eta(0)) = q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \setminus H$ .

From [12, Theorem 3.1] (see also [13]), we now that there exists rational (and hence Nash) functions  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  and positive numbers  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^s \delta_k \log F_k(z)$  is a Kähler potential of  $g_\Omega$ . Hence, by (7) in a neighbourhood of 0, (15) yields the following equation in terms of diastasis functions

$$\|\varphi(\eta(z)) - q\|^2 = \log \left[ \prod_{k=1}^s \left( \frac{F_k(\zeta(z), \bar{\zeta}(z)) F_k(\zeta(0), \bar{\zeta}(0))}{F_k(\zeta(z), \bar{\zeta}(0)) F_k(\zeta(0), \bar{\zeta}(z))} \right)^{\delta_k} \left( \frac{\|\varphi(\eta(z))\|^2 \|q\|^2}{|\varphi(\eta(z)) \cdot \bar{q}|^2} \right)^{-1} \right] \quad (16)$$

By Lemma 3.1, we see that  $\|\varphi(\eta(z)) - q\|^2$  is forced to be a constant. Since  $\varphi(\eta(0)) = q$  we see that  $\varphi(\eta(z)) = q$  for all  $z$  in a neighbourhood of 0. In particular  $\eta$  cannot be an immersion, contradicting the hypothesis.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem (1.2). Proof of (a)* Let  $q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus H$ . By a straightforward computation, we can see that

$$\sqrt{|z|^4 + 1} + \sqrt{|q|^4 + 1} - \sqrt{(z \cdot \bar{q})^2 + 1} - \sqrt{(\bar{z} \cdot q)^2 + 1} - \log \left( 1 + \sqrt{|z|^4 + 1} \right) \quad (17)$$

is strictly plurisubharmonic at  $q$ . Hence, the diastasis of  $g_{EH}$  given by (8) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.2. We conclude that if  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi(M) \subset H \equiv \mathbb{C}P^1$ .

*Proof of (b)* The proof follows the same line of the proof of (iv) in Theorem 1.1 by using in (16) the function (17) instead of  $\|\varphi(z) - q\|^2$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Arezzo, C., Pacard, F. *Blowing up Kähler-manifolds with constant scalar curvature. II.* Ann. of Math. (2) 170, no. 2, 685-738 (2009)
- [2] D. Burns, *Twistors and harmonic maps*, Talk in Charlotte, N.C., 1986.
- [3] C. Le Brun, *Counter-Examples to the Generalized Positive Action Conjecture*, Commun. Math. Phys., 118(2):591-596, 1988.
- [4] E. Calabi, *Isometric Imbedding of Complex Manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 58 (1953), 1-23.
- [5] A. J. Di Scala, A. Loi, *Kähler manifolds and their relatives*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 9 (2010), no. 3, 495-501.
- [6] F. C. Aghedu, *On the balanced condition for the Eguchi-Hanson metric*, J. Geom. Phys. 137 (2019), 35-39
- [7] F. C. Aghedu, A. Loi, *The Simanca metric admits a regular quantization*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 56 (2019), no. 3, 583-596
- [8] S. S. Chern, *On Einstein hypersurfaces in a Kähler manifold of constant sectional curvature*, J. Differ. Geom. 1 (1967), 21-31.
- [9] T. Eguchi, A.J. Hanson, *Self-dual solutions to Euclidean gravity* Ann. Physics, 120 (1) (1979), pp. 82-106
- [10] X. J. Huang, *On the mapping problem for algebraic real hypersurfaces in the complex spaces of different dimensions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 44 (1994), no. 2, 433-463.

- [11] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *Kähler immersions of Kähler-Ricci solitons into definite or indefinite complex space forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (2021), no. 11, 4931-4941.
- [12] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *Holomorphic isometries into homogeneous bounded domains*, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.11297>.
- [13] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *Some remarks on homogeneous Kähler manifolds*, Geometriae dedicata, 179 (2015), no. 1, 377-383
- [14] A. Loi, F. Salis, F. Zuddas, *On the third coefficient of TYZ expansion for radial scalar flat metrics*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 133, 210-218 (2018).
- [15] A. Loi, F. Salis, F. Zuddas, *Two conjectures on Ricci-flat Kähler metrics* Math. Z., 1 (2018), pp. 1-15
- [16] A. Loi, F. Salis, F. Zuddas, *Extremal Kähler metrics induced by finite or infinite dimensional complex space forms*, J. Geom. Anal. 31 (2021), no. 8, 7842-7865.
- [17] A. Loi, F. Salis, F. Zuddas, *Kähler-Ricci solitons induced by infinite dimensional complex space forms*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 316 (2022), 183-205.
- [18] A. Loi, M. Zedda, *Kähler-Einstein submanifolds of the infinite dimensional projective space*, Math. Ann. 350 (2011), 145-154.
- [19] A. Loi, M. Zedda, *Kähler Immersions of Kähler Manifolds into Complex Space Forms*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana **23**, Springer, (2018).
- [20] R. Mossa, *A bounded homogeneous domain and a projective manifold are not relatives*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma 4 (2013), no. 1, 55-59.
- [21] S. R. Simanca. *Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature on bundles over  $CP^{n-1}$* . Math. Ann., 291:239-246, 1991.
- [22] K. Tsukada, *Einstein-Kähler Submanifolds with codimension two in a Complex Space Form*, Math. Ann. 274 (1986), 503-516.
- [23] P. Tworzewski, *Intersections of analytic sets with linear subspaces*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 17 (1990), no. 2, 227-271
- [24] M. Umehara, *Kähler submanifolds of complex space forms*, Tokyo J. Math. 10 (1987), no. 1, 203-214.
- [25] M. Umehara, *Einstein Kähler submanifolds of a complex linear or hyperbolic space*, Tôhoku Math. J., 39 (1987), 385-389.

(ANDREA LOI) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI (ITALY)  
Email address: [loi@unica.it](mailto:loi@unica.it)

(ROBERTO MOSSA) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI (ITALY)  
Email address: [roberto.mossa@unica.it](mailto:roberto.mossa@unica.it)