

An Upper Bound on the Number of Classes of Perfect Unary Forms in Totally Real Number Fields

Christian Porter*, Andrew Mendelsohn†

August 8, 2022

Abstract

Let K be a totally real number field of degree n over \mathbb{Q} , with discriminant and regulator Δ_K, R_K respectively. In this paper, using a similar method to van Woerden, we prove that the number of classes of perfect unary forms, up to equivalence and scaling, can be bounded above by $O(\Delta_K \exp(2n \log(n) + f(n, R_K)))$, where $f(n, R_K)$ is a finite value, satisfying $f(n, R_K) = \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} R_K^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + \frac{4}{n-1} \log(\sqrt{|\Delta_K|})^2$ if $n \leq 11$. Moreover, if K is a unit reducible field, the number of classes of perfect unary forms is bound above by $O(\Delta_K \exp(2n \log(n)))$.

1 Introduction

Let K be a totally real algebraic number field of degree n over \mathbb{Q} , with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . We associate to K the embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ into \mathbb{R} , that necessarily fix \mathbb{Q} . A quadratic form $f : K^m \rightarrow K$ is defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{k,l=1}^m f_{kl} x_k x_l.$$

We say that f is positive-definite if

$$\sigma_i(f)(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{k,l=1}^m \sigma_i(f_{k,l}) x_k x_l$$

is positive definite for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Quadratic forms of the form ax^2 , where $a \in K$, are called unary forms. We say that a is a totally positive element of K if the form ax^2 is positive definite, and we denote $K_{>>0}$ the set of totally positive elements of K . Note that for all $a \in K_{>>0}$, $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2)$ corresponds to

*Imperial College London, United Kingdom. Corresponding author, c.porter17@imperial.ac.uk

†Imperial College London, United Kingdom. andrew.mendelsohn18@imperial.ac.uk

a positive-definite quadratic form in n variables with rational coefficients. This is known as the trace-form of the unary form ax^2 . For each $a \in K_{>>0}$, we will use the notation

$$\mu(a) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\}} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(a) = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K : \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2) = \mu(a)\}.$$

If $a' = au^2$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, we say that the unary form $a'x^2$ is equivalent to the form ax^2 , and we denote this relation by $a \sim a'$. Note that since the action of \mathcal{O}_K^\times fixes \mathcal{O}_K , we have $\mu(a) = \mu(a')$, $|\mathcal{M}(a)| = |\mathcal{M}(a')|$ and each element of $\mathcal{M}(a)$ is equivalent to an element of $\mathcal{M}(a')$, and vice versa. Finally, we say that K is a A -reducible if for every $a \in K_{>>0}$, if $x \in \mathcal{M}(a)$ then $|\text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)| \leq A$. In particular, if $A = 1$ we say that K is a unit reducible field.

A unary form ax^2 is said to be perfect if it is uniquely determined by $\mu(a)$ and $\mathcal{M}(a)$. It is immediately clear that if ax^2 is a perfect unary form, then any unary form of the type $\lambda a'x^2$ is a perfect unary form, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $a' \sim a$. In this way, we may classify perfect unary forms up to scaling and equivalence, or in other words, by their homothety classes. It is known that for number fields of fixed dimension, the number of classes of perfect forms can grow arbitrarily large (see e.g. [7], in which it is shown that the number of classes of perfect unary forms for real quadratic fields can become arbitrarily large).

In this paper, we follow a similar argument to van Woerden in [6] in order to determine an upper bound on the number of homothety classes of perfect unary forms for an arbitrary totally real number field. Our result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let K be a totally real number field with field discriminant Δ_K , regulator R_K and degree n over \mathbb{Q} . Let n_K denote the number of homothety classes of perfect unary forms in K . Then*

$$n_K \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K + \theta_K}} |\Delta_K| \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2n} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^4,$$

where

- $\eta_K = 0$ if K is a unit reducible field,
- $\eta_K = \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} R_K^{\frac{1}{n}}$ if K is not unit reducible and $2 \leq n \leq 11$,
- $\eta_K = \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n-1} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{1000} \left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)^3\right)\right)^{2-n} R_K$ otherwise,

and

- $\theta_K = \frac{4 \log(A)^2}{n-1}$, if K is A -reducible.

We will also prove that any totally real field K is A -reducible, where

$$A \leq n^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right).$$

This leads us to the following more general theorem.

Theorem 2. Let K be a totally real number field with field discriminant Δ_K , regulator R_K and degree n over \mathbb{Q} . Let n_K denote the number of homothety classes of perfect unary forms in K . Then

$$n_K \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \rho_K}} |\Delta_K| \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2n} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^4,$$

where η_K is as defined before, and

- $\rho_K = 0$ if K is unit reducible,
- $\rho_K = \frac{4}{n-1} \log\left(n^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)\right)^2 \approx \frac{4}{n-1} \log(\sqrt{|\Delta_K|})^2$ otherwise.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We will define the set $K_{>>0}^1$ as the subset of elements in $K_{>>0}$ such that $\mu(a) = 1$. Moreover, denote \mathcal{F}_K the fundamental region of $K_{>>0}$, that is, the set of elements such that for all $a \in K_{>>0}$, there exists an $a' \in \mathcal{F}_K$ such that $a \sim a'$. For any perfect unary form ax^2 , we will use the notation

$$\mathcal{V}(a) = \left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}(a)} \lambda_i x_i^2 : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \right\},$$

which is called the Voronoi cone of a . Denote P_K the set of $a \in K_{>>0}$ such that ax^2 determine all the distinct homothety classes of perfect unary forms. It was proven by the work of Koecher [4] that

$$\mathcal{F}_K = \bigcup_{a \in P_K} \mathcal{V}(a),$$

From now on, we will use the notation $\mathcal{V}^1(a) = \mathcal{V}(a) \cap K_{>>0}^1$ for any perfect unary form ax^2 . First, we want to prove the following useful lemma.

Lemma 3. Suppose that ax^2 and bx^2 are perfect unary forms in K , and a, b belong to distinct homothety classes. Then $\text{Int}(\mathcal{V}^1(a)) \cap \text{Int}(\mathcal{V}^1(b)) = \emptyset$, where Int means the interior of the cone generated by $\mathcal{V}(a)$ or $\mathcal{V}(b)$.

Proof. Suppose that $c \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{V}^1(a)) \cap \text{Int}(\mathcal{V}^1(b))$, and $c \neq 0$. We want to show that if this holds, then $a = b$, which is a contradiction. Since $c \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{V}^1(a))$, there exist $\lambda_x \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that

$$c = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}(a)} \lambda_x x^2,$$

so

$$\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(bc) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}(a)} \lambda_x \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(bx^2) \geq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}(a)} \lambda_x = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}(a)} \lambda_x \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2) = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ac).$$

Similarly, since $c \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{V}(b))$ we can deduce that $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(bc) \leq \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ac)$, so it must hold that $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ac) = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(bc)$. Then

$$\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}((a-b)c) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{M}(b)} \lambda_x(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2) - 1) = 0.$$

Given that $\lambda_x > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}(b)$, it holds that $\mathcal{M}(b) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(a)$. By a similar argument, we deduce that $\mathcal{M}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(b)$, and so $\mathcal{M}(a) = \mathcal{M}(b)$. Since $\mu(a) = \mu(b)$, by the perfectness of ax^2 , it must hold that $a = b$, which is a contradiction. \square

Denote $\mathcal{F}_K^1 = \mathcal{F}_K \cap K_{>>0}^1$. Then by the lemma above,

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{F}_K^1) = \text{Vol}\left(\bigcup_{a \in P_K} \mathcal{V}^1(a)\right) = \sum_{a \in P_K} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^1(a)) \geq n_K \min_{a \in P_K} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^1(a)),$$

(here by volume, we mean the volume of the geometric object attained after canonically embedding into the space \mathbb{R}^n). First, we will determine an upper bound for $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{F}_K^1)$. Let T_n denote the set of elements x in \mathbb{R}^{+n} such that $|x|_1 \leq 1$. Then

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{F}_K^1) \leq \text{Vol}(K_{>>0}^1) \leq \text{Vol}(T_n) = \frac{1}{n!},$$

(since every element $a \in K_{>>0}$ will be mapped to \mathbb{R}^{+n} under the canonical embedding, by the definition of totally positive elements).

Before finding a lower bound for $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^1(a))$, we will prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. *For all totally real, A -reducible fields K with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and unit group \mathcal{O}_K^\times respectively,*

$$\max_{a \in K_{>>0}} \left(\frac{\min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(au^2)}{\mu(a)} \right) \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}},$$

where η_K and θ_K are defined as in Theorem 1.

Proof. When K is unit reducible, $\eta_K = 0$ follows by definition. For non-unit reducible fields, suppose that $b \in K_{>>0}$ is such that

$$\max_{a \in K_{>>0}} \left(\frac{\min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(au^2)}{\mu(a)} \right) = \frac{\min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(bu^2)}{\mu(b)}.$$

Suppose that $x \in \mathcal{M}(b)$, and associate to K the embeddings $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ that send K to \mathbb{R} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(bu^2)}{\mu(b)} &= \frac{\min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{u^2}{x^2}bx^2\right)}{\mu(b)} \leq \frac{\min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \max_i \sigma_i\left(\frac{u^2}{x^2}\right) \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(bx^2)}{\mu(b)} \\ &= \min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times} \max_i \sigma_i\left(\frac{u^2}{x^2}\right) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K} \max_i e^{|\log(\sigma_i(u^2/x^2))|} \leq \min_{u \in \mathcal{O}_K} e^{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\log(|\sigma_i(u^2)|) - \log(|\sigma_i(x^2)|))^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the embedding $\text{Log} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{Log}(y) = (\log(|\sigma_1(y)|), \log(|\sigma_2(y)|), \dots, \log(|\sigma_n(y)|))$. Then $\text{Log}(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) \triangleq \Lambda_K$ generates a lattice of rank $n - 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n (which is known as the log-unit lattice), such that, for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Lambda_K$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$. Hence, any element of Λ_K lies in the plane $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$.

Given that K is A -reducible by assumption, $\text{Log}(x^2)$ lies in the plane $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = C$, where $0 \leq C \leq 2 \log(A)$. Let m denote the plane $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ that lies closest to $\text{Log}(x^2)$. Then the maximum possible distance between m and $\text{Log}(x^2)$ is $\frac{2 \log(A)}{\sqrt{n-1}}$, in terms of the l_2 norm in the space \mathbb{R}^n .

The distance between m and any point of Λ_K , in terms of the l_2 norm in \mathbb{R}^n , cannot be greater than the covering radius of Λ_K in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , which we denote $\mu(\Lambda_K)$. Then, using Pythagoras's theorem, we get

$$e^{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\log(|\sigma_i(u^2)|) - \log(|\sigma_i(x^2)|))^2}} \leq e^{\sqrt{\mu(\Lambda_K)^2 + \theta_K}}.$$

It remains to find a bound on $\mu(\Lambda_K)$. In [2], it was proven that for any lattice Λ of rank d , $\mu(\Lambda) \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} |\det(\Lambda)|^{\frac{1}{d}}$ for $d \leq 10$. Since $|\det(\Lambda_K)| = R_K$, this yields the result stated in the lemma for $n \leq 11$.

Finally, we need to consider the case $d > 11$. Denote λ_i the i th successive minima of Λ_K , for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. It is easily shown that

$$\mu(\Lambda_K) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \lambda_{n-1}. \quad (1)$$

In [3], it was proven that

$$\lambda_i \geq \lambda_1 \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \frac{1}{1000} \left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)^3. \quad (2)$$

By Minkowski's theorem, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \leq \gamma_{n-1}^{n-1} R_K,$$

where γ_n denotes Hermite's constant of rank n . By Blichfeldt [1], it is known that for any $n \geq 2$,

$$\gamma_n \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \Gamma \left(2 + \frac{n}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

so combined with 2,

$$\lambda_{n-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \frac{1}{1000} \left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)^3 \right)^{n-2} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n-1} \Gamma \left(2 + \frac{n-1}{2} \right)^2 R_K,$$

which yields the required result after rearranging the inequality above and using inequality 1. \square

Lemma 5. *Suppose that $a \in K_{>>0}$. Then there exists an $a' \in K_{>>0}$ such that $a \sim a'$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{M}(a)$,*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2) \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \frac{4}{\pi^2} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n}} |\Delta_K|^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

where η_K, θ_K are defined identically as in theorem 1.

Proof. By theorem 6 in [5], and by definition of the algebraic Hermite's constant γ_K , for any $a \in K_{>>0}$ it holds that

$$\mu(a) \leq \gamma_K \mathrm{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \gamma_n |\Delta_K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \mathrm{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} |\Delta_K|^{\frac{1}{n}} \mathrm{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Given that a is totally positive, clearly a^{-1} is also totally positive, and so repeating this argument, we get

$$\mu(a)\mu(a^{-1}) \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n}} |\Delta_K|^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Assume without loss of generality that $\mu(a) = 1$, so

$$\mu(a^{-1}) \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n}} |\Delta_K|^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

We may also assume without loss of generality that a is such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a^{-1}) \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \mu(a^{-1}),$$

as otherwise, by Lemma 4, there exists an a' such that this inequality holds and $a \sim a'$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a^{-1}) \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \frac{4}{\pi^2} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n}} |\Delta_K|^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

Let A denote the diagonal n -dimensional matrix with entries $\sigma_1(a), \sigma_2(a), \dots, \sigma_n(a)$, and denote e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n the eigenvalues of A . Then

$$\min_i e_i = \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}} \leq \frac{\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (\sigma_1(x), \sigma_2(x), \dots, \sigma_n(x))$, and $x \in \mathcal{M}(a)$. Note that $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2) = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2) &\leq \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2) \max_i \frac{1}{e_i} \leq \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{e_i} = \mu(a) \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a^{-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a^{-1}) \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \frac{4}{\pi^2} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n}} |\Delta_K|^{\frac{2}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

We are now ready to find a lower bound for $\mathcal{V}(a)$. Note that we can pick n elements in $\mathcal{M}(a)$ (call this set M_a) such that their \mathbb{Q} -span is equal to K , and so the determinant of the polytope with edges $(\sigma_1(x^2), \dots, \sigma_n(x^2))$ is greater than or equal to $|\Delta_K|$, where $x \in M_a$. Say $M_a = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. We may assume without loss of generality that a is such that $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2) \leq e^{\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \frac{4}{\pi^2} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{4}{n}} |\Delta_K|^{\frac{2}{n}}$, for all $x \in \mathcal{M}(a)$, by Lemma 5. Then

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^1(a)) \geq \text{Vol}\left(\text{conv}\left(\{0\} \cup \left\{\frac{\sigma(x_i^2)}{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_i^2)}\right\}_{i=1}^n\right)\right) = \frac{1}{n!} |\det(U)|,$$

where

$$U = \left\{ \frac{\sigma(x_i^2)}{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_i^2)} \right\}_{i=1}^n,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(U)| &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_i^2)} \cdot |\det(\sigma(x_i^2))|_{i=1}^n \geq \frac{\Delta_K}{e^{n\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2n} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^4 |\Delta_K|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{n\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2n} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^4 |\Delta_K|}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} n_K &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{F}_K^1)}{\min_{a \in P_K} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{V}^1(a))} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{n!}}{\frac{1}{n! e^{n\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2n} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^4 |\Delta_K|}} \\ &= e^{n\sqrt{\eta_K^2 + \theta_K}} |\Delta_K| \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{2n} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^4, \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

The only result we need to prove Theorem 2 is the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let K be a totally real field and suppose that $a \in K_{\gg 0}$. Then*

$$\text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x) \leq n^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right).$$

Proof. Suppose that $x \in \mathcal{M}(a)$. Then, using the arithmetic-geometric inequality, the definition of the algebraic Hermite's constant and Leibak/Blichfeldt's bounds,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a) \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2) &= \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2) \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(ax^2)\right)^n \leq \frac{1}{n^n} \left(\gamma_K \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n \\ &\leq n^{-n} \gamma_n^n |\Delta_K| \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a) \leq n^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{|\Delta_K|} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(2 + \frac{n}{2}\right) \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by dividing through by $\text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)$. \square

Theorem 2 follows immediately, as we now have an upper bound for θ_K .

References

- [1] Blichfeldt, H.F.: “The minimum value of quadratic forms, and the closest packing of spheres”. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 101, pp. 605–608 (1929).
- [2] Kathuria, L., Raka, M.: “On conjectures of Minkowski and Woods for $n = 10$ ”. *Proceedings in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 132, no. 45 (2022).
- [3] Kessler, V.: “On the minimum of the unit lattice”. *Journal de theorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 377–380 (1991).
- [4] Koecher, M.: “Beiträge zu einer Reduktionstheorie in Positivitätsbereichen. I”. *Math. Ann.*, vol. 141, pp. 384–432 (1960).
- [5] Leibak, A.: “n additive generalization of Voronoi’s theory for algebraic number fields”. *Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math.*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 195–211 (2005).
- [6] van Woerden, W.P.J.: “An upper bound on the number of perfect quadratic forms”. *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 365 (2020).
- [7] Yasaki, D.: “Perfect unary forms over real quadratic fields”. *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* **25** (2013) pp. 759–775.