

Green's Function and Pointwise Behaviors of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck System

Mingying Zhong*

*College of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Guangxi University, P.R.China.

E-mail: zhongmingying@sina.com

Abstract

The pointwise space-time behaviors of the Green's function and the global solution to the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck (VPFP) system in spatial three dimension are studied in this paper. It is shown that the Green's function consists of the diffusion waves decaying exponentially in time but algebraically in space, and the singular kinetic waves which become smooth for all (t, x, v) when $t > 0$. Furthermore, we establish the pointwise space-time behaviors of the global solution to the nonlinear VPFP system when the initial data is not necessarily smooth in terms of the Green's function.

Key words. Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system, Green's function, pointwise behavior, spectrum structures.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76P05, 82C40, 82D05.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Spectral analysis	7
3	Green's function	7
3.1	Low frequency part	8
3.2	High frequency part	16
4	The nonlinear system	23

1 Introduction

The Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck (VPFP) system can be used to model the time evolution of dilute charged particles governed by the electrostatic force coming from their (self-consistent) Coulomb interaction [21]. The collision term in the kinetic equation is the Fokker-Planck operator that describes the Brownian force. In general, the scaled Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck (VPFP) system for one species reads

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F + \nabla_x \Phi \cdot \nabla_v F = \nabla_v \cdot (\nabla_v F + vF), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\Delta_x \Phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F dv - \bar{\rho}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$F(0, x, v) = F_0(x, v), \quad (1.3)$$

where $F = F(t, x, v)$ is the distribution function of charged particles with $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3$, and $\Phi(t, x)$ denotes the electrostatic potential. The background density $\bar{\rho}$ is assumed to be constant 1 in this paper.

There are many important progress made on the well-posedness and asymptotic behaviors of solutions to the initial value problem or the initial boundary value problem of the VPFP system. We refer to [2, 24, 29, 11] for results on the existence of classical solutions and to [3, 5, 6, 28] for the existence of weak solutions and their regularity. Concerning the long-time behavior of the VPFP system, we refer to [1, 4, 7, 11, 13]. The diffusion limit of the weak solution to the VPFP system had been studied extensively in the literature (cf. [8, 9, 10, 22, 23]). The spectrum structure and the optimal decay rate of the classical solution to the VPFP system were investigated in [13].

We also mention some works related to the study in this paper. The Green's function and pointwise space-time behavior of the Boltzmann equation were first studied in the pioneering works [16, 17, 18, 19]. For the linear Fokker-Planck equation, the structure of the Green's function was investigated in [12, 15, 25, 26]. Recently, Li, Yang and Zhong established the Green's function and pointwise space-time behavior of the unipolar Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in [14].

In this paper, we study the pointwise space-time behaviors of the Green's function and the global solution to the VPFP system (1.1)–(1.2) based on the spectral analysis [13]. Note that the VPFP system (1.1)–(1.2) has an equilibrium state $(F_*, \Phi_*) = (M(v), 0)$ with the normalized Maxwellian $M(v)$ given by

$$M = M(v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Set

$$F = M + \sqrt{M}f.$$

Then Cauchy problem of the VPFP system (1.1)–(1.2) and (1.3) for f can be rewritten as

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - v \sqrt{M} \cdot \nabla_x \Phi - Lf = H(f), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\Delta_x \Phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{M} dv, \quad (1.5)$$

$$f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) =: \frac{F_0 - M}{\sqrt{M}}, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$Lf = \Delta_v f - \frac{|v|^2}{4} f + \frac{3}{2} f, \quad (1.7)$$

$$H(f) = \frac{1}{2} (v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi) f - \nabla_x \Phi \cdot \nabla_v f. \quad (1.8)$$

We denote $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a Hilbert space of complex-value functions $f(v)$ on \mathbb{R}^3 with the inner product and the norm

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v) \overline{g(v)} dv, \quad \|f\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 dv \right)^{1/2}.$$

It is obvious that L is a non-positive self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$. More precisely, its Dirichlet form is given by

$$(Lf, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \nabla_v f + \frac{v}{2} f \right|^2 dv. \quad (1.9)$$

Therefore, the nullspace of the operator L , denoted by N_0 , is a subspace spanned by \sqrt{M} . Let P_0 be the projection operators from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ to the subspace N_0 with

$$P_0 f = (f, \sqrt{M}) \sqrt{M}, \quad P_1 = I - P_0. \quad (1.10)$$

Moreover, noting that

$$L\sqrt{M} = 0, \quad L(v_k \sqrt{M}) = -v_k \sqrt{M}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

we introduce the projections P_m, P_2 in the invariant subspace of L by

$$P_m f = \sum_{k=1}^3 (f, v_k \sqrt{M}) v_k \sqrt{M}, \quad P_2 = P_0 + P_m, \quad P_3 = I - P_2. \quad (1.11)$$

Corresponding to the linearized operator L , we define the following dissipation norm:

$$\|f\|_\sigma^2 = \|\nabla_v f\|^2 + \|\langle v \rangle f\|^2, \quad \langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}. \quad (1.12)$$

From [20], the linearized operator L is non-positive and locally coercive in the sense that there is a constant $0 < \mu < 1$ such that

$$(Lf, f) \leq -\|P_1 f\|^2, \quad (Lf, f) \leq -\mu \|P_1 f\|_\sigma^2. \quad (1.13)$$

Since we only consider the pointwise behavior with respect to the space-time variable (t, x) , it's convenient to regard the Green's function $G(t, x)$ as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t G = BG, & t > 0, \\ G(0, x) = \delta(x) I_v, \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

where I_v is the identity in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and the operator B is given by

$$Bf = Lf - v \cdot \nabla_x f + v \cdot \nabla_x (\Delta_x)^{-1} P_0 f. \quad (1.15)$$

Then, the solution for the initial value problem of the linearized VFPF equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f = Bf, \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

can be represented by

$$f(t, x) = G(t) * f_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(t, x - y) f_0(y) dy, \quad (1.17)$$

where $f_0(y) = f_0(y, v)$.

For any (t, x) and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, we define the L^2 norm of $G(t, x)$ by

$$\|G(t, x)\| = \sup_{\|f\|_{L_v^2}=1} \|G(t, x) f\|_{L_v^2}, \quad (1.18)$$

and define the norms of operators $T_1: L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and $T_2: L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\|T_1\| = \sup_{\|f\|_{L_v^2}=1} \|T_1 f\|_{L_v^2}, \quad |T_2| = \sup_{\|f\|_{L_v^2}=1} |T_2 f|. \quad (1.19)$$

Notations: Before state the main results in this paper, we list some notations. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, denote

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3}, \quad \partial_v^\beta = \partial_{v_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{v_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{v_3}^{\beta_3}.$$

The Fourier transform of $f = f(x, v)$ is denoted by

$$\hat{f}(\xi, v) = \mathcal{F}f(\xi, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x, v) dx,$$

where and throughout this paper we denote $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

For $\gamma \geq 0$, define

$$\|f(x)\|_{L_{v,\gamma}^\infty} = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^3} |f(x, v)| (1 + |v|)^\gamma.$$

Define a pseudo-differential operator $\chi_R(D)$:

$$\chi_R(D)f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \chi_R(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad (1.20)$$

where $\chi_R(\xi)$ is a smooth cut-off function satisfying

$$\chi_R(\xi) = 0, \quad |\xi| \leq R; \quad \chi_R(\xi) = 1, \quad |\xi| \geq 2R. \quad (1.21)$$

First, we have the pointwise space-time behaviors of the Green's function for the linearized VFPF system (1.14).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G(t, x)$ be the Green's function for the VFPF equation defined by (1.14). Then, there exists a small constant $R > 0$ such that the Green's function $G(t, x)$ can be decomposed into*

$$G(t, x) = G_L(t, x) + G_H(t, x),$$

where $G_L(t, x) = [I - \chi_R(D)]G(t, x)$ is the low frequency part and $G_H(t, x) = \chi_R(D)G(t, x)$ is the high frequency part with $\chi_R(D)$ defined by (1.20). Moreover, the following estimates hold for $G_L(t, x)$ and $G_H(t, x)$:

(1) For any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, the low frequency part $G_L(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 G_L(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_m G_L(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{2+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_3 G_L(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

and in particular

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 G_L(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{5+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_m G_L(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_3 G_L(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant dependent of α , and the operators P_0 , P_1 , P_m and P_3 are defined by (1.10) and (1.11).

(2) For any integer $n > 0$ and multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, there exist a constant $0 < \eta_0 < 1/4$ such that the high frequency part $G_H(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha (G_H(t, x) - W_\alpha(t, x))\| \leq C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n}, \quad (1.24)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant dependent of n and α , and $W_\alpha(t, x)$ is the high frequency singular kinetic wave constructed by

$$W_\alpha(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{7+[3|\alpha|/2]} \chi_R(D) J_k(t, x), \quad (1.25)$$

where

$$J_0(t, x) = e^{tA} \delta(x) I_v, \quad (1.26)$$

$$J_k(t, x) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} (2 + \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} P_0) J_{k-1} ds, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (1.27)$$

Here $A = L - 2 - (v \cdot \nabla_x)$, I_v is an identity operator in L_v^2 and the operator e^{tA} is defined by

$$e^{tA} h(x, v) = G_1(t) * h(x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_1(t, x, v; y, u) h(y, u) dy du, \quad (1.28)$$

where $G_1(t, x, v; y, u)$ is the Green's function of the operator A defined by (3.65).

Then, we have the pointwise space-time behavior of the global solution to the nonlinear VPFP system (1.4)–(1.6) as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if the initial data f_0 satisfies*

$$\|f_0(x)\|_{L_{v,3}^\infty} \leq C\delta_0(1+|x|^2)^{-n}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (1.29)$$

then there exists a unique global solution (f, Φ) to the VPFP system (1.4)–(1.6) satisfying

$$\|P_0 f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-2}, \quad (1.30)$$

$$\|P_m f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} + |\nabla_x \Phi(t, x)| \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-1}, \quad (1.31)$$

$$\|P_3 f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (1.32)$$

$$\|f(t, x)\|_{L_{v,3}^\infty} + h(t) \|\nabla_v f(t, x)\|_{L_{v,2}^\infty} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-1}, \quad (1.33)$$

where $h(t) = \sqrt{t}/(1+\sqrt{t})$. Furthermore, if the initial data satisfies $(f_0, \sqrt{M}) = 0$ and (1.29) for $n \geq 5/2$, then

$$\|P_0 f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}}, \quad (1.34)$$

$$\|P_m f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} + |\nabla_x \Phi(t, x)| \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (1.35)$$

$$\|P_3 f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-2}, \quad (1.36)$$

$$\|f(t, x)\|_{L_{v,3}^\infty} + h(t) \|\nabla_v f(t, x)\|_{L_{v,2}^\infty} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (1.37)$$

Remark 1.3. *The Green's function $G_1(t, x, v; y, u)$ becomes smooth for all (t, x, v) when $t > 0$ immediately. In particular,*

$$G_1(t, x, v; y, u) \rightarrow e^{-2t} \frac{1}{t^6} e^{-\frac{3|x-y-(v+u)t/2|^2}{t^3} - \frac{|v-u|^2}{4t}}, \quad t \rightarrow 0;$$

$$G_1(t, x, v; y, u) \rightarrow e^{-2t} \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y-(v+u)t|^2}{4t} - \frac{|v|^2+|u|^2}{4}}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

That is, $e^{2t}G_1(t, x, v; y, u)$ behaves like the Kolmogorov function at short time and like the heat kernel at large time. Thus, the singular waves $J_k(t, x)g_0$ with $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ are also smooth for all (t, x, v) when $t > 0$.

Remark 1.4. *Note that we can represent G in terms of G_1 as*

$$G(t) = G_1(t) + \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * (2G + v \cdot \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} P_0 G) ds.$$

Thus, the Green's function $G(t, x)g_0$ with $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ is smooth for all (t, x, v) when $t > 0$. Moreover, by the above relation, Theorem 1.1 and Lemmas 4.1–4.2, we can obtain that for any $g_0(x, v)$ satisfying

$$\|g_0(x)\|_{L_{v,\gamma}^\infty} \leq C(1+|x|^2)^{-n}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad \gamma \geq 3,$$

G and its velocity directive $\nabla_v G$ satisfy the following estimate

$$\|(G(t) * g_0)(x)\|_{L_{v,\gamma}^\infty} \leq C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-1},$$

$$\|\nabla_v (G(t) * g_0)(x)\|_{L_{v,\gamma}^\infty} \leq C(1+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-\eta_0 t} (1+|x|^2)^{-1}.$$

We now outline the main idea and make some comments on the proof of the above theorems. The results in Theorem 1.1 on the pointwise behavior of the Green's function to the VPFP system is proved based on the spectral analysis [13] and the ideas inspired by [14, 15]. Indeed, we first decompose the Green function G into the lower frequency part G_L and the high frequency part G_H . By the virtue of the spectrum analysis of the VPFP system, the lower frequency part G_L decays at e^{-Ct} , and in particular decays at $e^{-Ct/2}(1+|x|^2)^{-k}$ in the region $|x| \leq e^{Ct/(2k)}$. Furthermore, we apply the Picard's iteration as the VPB system [14] to estimate G_L

outside the region $|x| \leq e^{Ct/(2k)}$. To be more precisely, we apply the macro-micro decomposition to construct the approximate sequence (\hat{I}_k, \hat{J}_k) of $(P_2\hat{G}_L, P_3\hat{G}_L)$ such that $\hat{I}_k(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{J}_k(t, \xi)$ are the solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system with damping and the microscopic VPFP system respectively, and $\hat{I}_k(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{J}_k(t, \xi)$ are smooth and compact supported functions in ξ and satisfy for any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ (refer to Lemma 3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_k(t, \xi)g_0\|_\xi &\leq Ce^{-C_1 t}|\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|-1}\|g_0\|, \\ \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{J}_k(t, \xi)g_0\| &\leq Ce^{-C_1 t}|\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|}\|g_0\|.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we establish the energy estimates on the remaining part $\hat{V}_k(t, \xi)$ and their frequency derivatives to show that for any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ (refer to Lemma 3.3),

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k(t, \xi)g_0\|_\xi \leq C\delta^{-2|\alpha|}e^{2\delta t}|\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|}\|g_0\|.$$

This implies that the remaining part $V_k(t, x)$ decays at $e^{2\delta t}(1 + |\delta x|^2)^{-k}$. Combining the above decompositions and estimates together, we can obtain the pointwise space-time behaviors of the low frequency part $G_L(t, x)$ as listed in (1.22) and (1.23).

What left is to deal with the high frequency part $G_H(t, x)$. Since the Fourier transform of G_H is not L^1 integrable in frequency space, G_H can be decomposed into the singular part and the remaining smooth part. We apply the refined Picard's iteration as Fokker-Planck equation [15] to construct the singular kinetic waves J_k of G_H where $J_k(t, x)$ are the solutions to the Fokker-Planck equations with damping given by (1.26)–(1.27). In particular, $J_k(t, x)g_0$ with $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ are smooth for all (t, x, v) when $t > 0$, and $\hat{J}_k(t, \xi)$ satisfy (refer to Lemma 3.6)

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{J}_k(t, \xi)g_0\|_\xi \leq C(1 + t^{-3j/2})t^k e^{-t}(1 + |\xi|)^{-j}\|g_0\|, \quad \forall j \geq 0.$$

By the energy estimate in frequency space, we can show that the remaining part $\hat{R}_k(t, \xi)$ is smooth and satisfies for any $l \geq 0$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ (refer to Lemma 3.7),

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{R}_k(t, \xi)g_0\|_\xi \leq C\delta^{-1-2|\alpha|}e^{2\delta t}(1 + |\xi|)^{-4-l}\|g_0\|,$$

where $k \geq 6 + 3l/2$. This implies that the remaining part $R_k(t, x)$ decays at $e^{-\eta_0 t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-n}$ for any $n \geq 1$ (refer to Lemma 3.8). Combining the above decompositions and estimates together, we can obtain the pointwise estimates of the high frequency part $G_H(t, x)$ as listed in (1.24) and (1.25).

Finally, making use of the estimates of the Green's function, one can establish the pointwise space-time behaviors of global solution to the nonlinear VPFP system as in Theorem 1.2. Compare to the results of the VPB system in [14], we can obtain the pointwise estimates of the solution when the initial data is not smooth. The main difficulty is that the nonlinear term $H(f)$ contain the high order derivative term $\nabla_v f$. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce the smooth function $G_1(t, x, v; y, u)$ to represent the solution f as

$$f(t) = G_1(t) * f_0 + \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * (2f + v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi \sqrt{M} + H(f))ds.$$

By using the explicit expression of $G_1(t, x, v; y, u)$ in (3.65), we can obtain the key estimates of $G_1(t) * g_0$ and $\nabla_v(G_1(t) * g_0)$ as in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 for any non smooth function $g_0(x, v)$. Combining the above relation, Lemmas 4.1–4.2 and Theorem 1.1, we can obtain the pointwise space-time estimates of the global solution as in Theorem 1.2 after a straight forward computation.

The rest parts of this paper are organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the results about the spectrum analysis of the linear operator related to the linearized VPFP system. In Section 3, we establish the pointwise space-time estimates of the Green's functions to the linearized VPFP system. In Section 4, we prove the pointwise space-time estimates of the global solutions to the original nonlinear VPFP system by making use of the estimates of the Green's function.

2 Spectral analysis

In this section, we review the spectrum structure of the linearized VFPF operator $B(\xi)$ defined by (2.2) in order to study the pointwise estimate of the Green's function.

Taking the Fourier transform in (1.14) with respect to x , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{G} = B(\xi) \hat{G}, & t > 0, \\ \hat{G}(0, \xi) = 1(\xi) I_v, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where the operator $B(\xi)$ is defined for $\xi \neq 0$ by

$$B(\xi) = L - i(v \cdot \xi) - \frac{i(v \cdot \xi)}{|\xi|^2} P_0. \quad (2.2)$$

Introduce the weighted Hilbert space $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ for $\xi \neq 0$ as

$$L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \mid \|f\|_\xi = \sqrt{(f, f)_\xi} < \infty\},$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(f, g)_\xi = (f, g) + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} (P_0 f, P_0 g).$$

We have the following results about the spectrum structure and semigroup of the operator $B(\xi)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). *The operator $B(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which satisfies*

$$\|e^{tB(\xi)} f\|_\xi \leq \|f\|_\xi, \quad \forall t > 0, f \in L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.2 ([13]). *Let $\sigma(B(\xi))$ denote the spectrum set of the operator $B(\xi)$. We have*

(1) *There exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that for $|\xi| \leq r_0$,*

$$\sigma(B(\xi)) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < -1/2\}, \quad (2.4)$$

and there exists a constant $\beta_0 = \beta_0(r_0) > 0$ such that for $|\xi| > r_0$,

$$\sigma(B(\xi)) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < -\beta_0\}. \quad (2.5)$$

(2) *The semigroup $e^{tB(\xi)}$ satisfies*

$$\|e^{tB(\xi)} f\|_\xi \leq C e^{-\beta_1 t} \|f\|_\xi, \quad \forall f \in L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\beta_1 = \min\{\beta_0, 1/2\}$ is a constant.

3 Green's function

In this section, we establish the pointwise space-time estimates of the Green's function defined by (1.14). First, based on the spectral analysis given in section 2, we divide the Green's function into the low frequency part and the high frequency part and show that the low frequency part decaying exponential in time. Then, we introduce the Picard's iteration as VPB system [14] to construct the approximate sequences for the low frequency part, and estimate the remaining part by the energy method in frequency space. Finally, we refine the Picard's iteration in Fokker-Planck equation [15] to construct the approximate sequences (singular kinetic waves) for the high frequency part which are smooth for (t, x, v) when $t > 0$, and establish the pointwise behavior of the remaining part by the energy method in frequency space.

3.1 Low frequency part

In this subsection, we establish the pointwise estimate of the low frequency part of the Green's function based on the spectral analysis given in section 2. To begin with, we decompose the operator $G(t, x)$ into low-frequency part and high-frequency part:

$$\begin{cases} G(t, x) = G_L(t, x) + G_H(t, x), \\ G_L(t, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + tB(\xi)} \chi_1(\xi) d\xi, \\ G_H(t, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi + tB(\xi)} \chi_2(\xi) d\xi, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\chi_1(\xi) = 1 - \chi_R(\xi), \quad \chi_2(\xi) = \chi_R(\xi),$$

and $R = r_0/2$ with $r_0 > 0$ given by Lemma 2.2.

From [13], we have the following estimates of each part of $\hat{G}(t, \xi)$ defined by (2.1).

Lemma 3.1. *For any $g_0 \in L^2_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\|\hat{G}(t, \xi)g_0\|_{\xi}, \|\hat{G}_H(t, \xi)g_0\|_{\xi} \leq Ce^{-\beta_1 t} \|g_0\|_{\xi}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|\hat{G}_L(t, \xi)g_0\|_{\xi} \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|_{\xi}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\hat{G}_L(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{G}_H(t, \xi)$ are the Fourier transforms of $G_L(t, x)$ and $G_H(t, x)$ defined by (3.1), and $\beta_1 > 0$ is given by Lemma 2.2.

In the following, we introduce the Picard's iteration as [14] and make use of the energy estimates to establish the pointwise space-time behaviors of the low frequency part $G_L(t, x)$. To this end, we apply the macro-micro decomposition to construct the approximate sequences to $\hat{G}_L(t, \xi)$ with increasing regularity at $\xi = 0$, and deal with the remaining term by the weighted energy estimate. Note that $\hat{G}_L(t, \xi)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{G}_L + i(v \cdot \xi) \hat{G}_L - L \hat{G}_L + i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 \hat{G}_L &= 0, \\ G_L(0, \xi) &= \chi_1(\xi) I_v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Since

$$L\sqrt{M} = 0, \quad L(v_j \sqrt{M}) = -v_j \sqrt{M}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

it follows that $N_1 = \text{span}\{\sqrt{M}, v_j \sqrt{M}, j = 1, 2, 3\}$ is an invariant subspace of L and hence

$$P_2 L P_3 = P_3 L P_2 = 0, \quad P_2 L P_2 = L P_2, \quad P_3 L P_3 = L P_3,$$

where P_2, P_3 are defined by (1.11).

We apply the projections $P_j, j = 2, 3$ to (3.4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_2 \hat{G}_L + iP_2(v \cdot \xi P_2 \hat{G}_L) - LP_2 \hat{G}_L + i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 \hat{G}_L &= -iP_2(v \cdot \xi P_3 \hat{G}_L), \\ \partial_t P_3 \hat{G}_L + iP_3(v \cdot \xi P_3 \hat{G}_L) - LP_3 \hat{G}_L &= -iP_3(v \cdot \xi P_2 \hat{G}_L). \end{aligned}$$

Based on the above decomposition, we can construct an approximate solution $(\hat{I}_k, \hat{J}_k) \in (N_1, N_1^{\perp})$ for $(P_2 \hat{G}_L, P_3 \hat{G}_L)$ as follows

$$\partial_t \hat{I}_0 + iP_2(v \cdot \xi \hat{I}_0) - L \hat{I}_0 - i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 \hat{I}_0 = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\partial_t \hat{J}_0 + iP_3(v \cdot \xi \hat{J}_0) - L \hat{J}_0 = -iP_3(v \cdot \xi) \hat{I}_0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\hat{I}_0(0, \xi) = \chi_1(\xi) P_2, \quad \hat{J}_0(0, \xi) = \chi_1(\xi) P_3, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\partial_t \hat{I}_k + iP_2(v \cdot \xi \hat{I}_k) - L\hat{I}_k - i(v \cdot \xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_0\hat{I}_k = -iP_2(v \cdot \xi)\hat{J}_{k-1}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\partial_t \hat{J}_k + iP_3(v \cdot \xi \hat{J}_k) - L\hat{J}_k = -iP_3(v \cdot \xi)\hat{I}_k, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\hat{I}_k(0, \xi) = 0, \quad \hat{J}_k(0, \xi) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

for $k \geq 1$. Denote

$$B_1(\xi) = -iP_2(v \cdot \xi)P_2 - i(v \cdot \xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_0 + LP_2,$$

$$B_2(\xi) = LP_3 - iP_3(v \cdot \xi)P_3.$$

Since the operators $B_1(\xi)$ and $B_2(\xi)$ are dissipate on N_1 and N_1^\perp , it follows that $B_1(\xi)$ and $B_2(\xi)$ generate contraction semigroups on N_1 and N_1^\perp , respectively. By (3.5)–(3.10), we have

$$\hat{I}_0(t, \xi) = e^{tB_1(\xi)}\chi_1(\xi)P_2, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\hat{J}_0(t, \xi) = e^{tB_2(\xi)}\chi_1(\xi)P_3 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B_2(\xi)}iP_3(v \cdot \xi)\hat{I}_0 ds, \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\hat{I}_k(t, \xi) = - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B_1(\xi)}iP_2(v \cdot \xi)\hat{J}_{k-1} ds, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\hat{J}_k(t, \xi) = - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B_2(\xi)}iP_3(v \cdot \xi)\hat{I}_k ds, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (3.14)$$

Define the approximate solution and the remaining part as

$$U_k(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^k (I_n + J_n)(t, x), \quad Y_k(t, x) = \Delta_x^{-1}(U_k, \sqrt{M}), \quad (3.15)$$

$$V_k(t, x) = G_L(t, x) - U_k(t, x), \quad Z_k(t, x) = \Delta_x^{-1}(V_k, \sqrt{M}). \quad (3.16)$$

Then, it follows that $\hat{U}_k(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{Y}_k(t, \xi)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{U}_k + iv \cdot \xi \hat{U}_k - L\hat{U}_k - i(v \cdot \xi)\sqrt{M}\hat{Y}_k &= iP_2(v \cdot \xi)\hat{J}_k, \\ -|\xi|^2 \hat{Y}_k &= (\hat{U}_k, \sqrt{M}), \\ \hat{U}_k(0, \xi) &= \chi_1(\xi)I_v, \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{V}_k(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{Z}_k(t, \xi)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{V}_k + iv \cdot \xi \hat{V}_k - L\hat{V}_k - i(v \cdot \xi)\sqrt{M}\hat{Z}_k &= -iP_2(v \cdot \xi)\hat{J}_k, \\ -|\xi|^2 \hat{Z}_k &= (\hat{V}_k, \sqrt{M}), \\ \hat{V}_k(0, \xi) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

For any $\xi \neq 0$, we define a weighted norm of the operator $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ as

$$\|T\|_\xi = \sup_{\|f\|_{L_v^2}=1} \|Tf\|_\xi.$$

Then, we have the following estimates for the approximate sequence (I_k, J_k) .

Lemma 3.2. *For any $k \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, we have*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 I_k(t, x)\| \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{4}t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_m I_k(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{2+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha J_k(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 I_k(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{5+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_m I_k(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha J_k(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.23)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant dependent on α . In particular, $\hat{I}_k(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{J}_k(t, \xi)$ are smooth and supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi| \leq 2R\}$ satisfying

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_k(t, \xi)\|_\xi \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|} t^{k+n} |\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{J}_k(t, \xi)\| \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|} t^{k+n} |\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}, \quad (3.25)$$

for any $|\alpha| \geq 0$.

Proof. Define $H_1(t, x)$ and $H_2(t, x)$ by their fourier transforms:

$$\hat{H}_1(t, \xi) = e^{tB_1(\xi)} \chi_1(\xi) P_2, \quad \hat{H}_2(t, \xi) = e^{tB_2(\xi)} \chi_1(\xi) P_3.$$

To estimate $\hat{H}_1(t, \xi)$, we consider the eigenvalue problem of $B_1(\xi)$ as follows

$$\left(-iP_2(v \cdot \xi)P_2 - i\frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2}P_0 + LP_2 \right) \psi = \lambda \psi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.26)$$

By taking inner product between (3.26) and $\{\sqrt{M}, v\sqrt{M}\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \hat{n} &= -i(\hat{m} \cdot \xi), \\ \lambda \hat{m} &= -i \left(\xi + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) \hat{n} - \hat{m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{n} = (\psi, \sqrt{M})$ and $\hat{m} = (\psi, v\sqrt{M})$.

It is easy to verify that $\lambda_j(|\xi|)$ and $\psi_j(\xi)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $B_1(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0(|\xi|) = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{4|\xi|^2 + 3}, \\ \lambda_1(|\xi|) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{4|\xi|^2 + 3}, \\ \lambda_j(|\xi|) = -1, \quad j = 2, 3, \\ \psi_k(\xi) = |\xi|a_k(|\xi|)\sqrt{M} + b_k(|\xi|)|\xi|^{-1}(v \cdot \xi)\sqrt{M}, \quad k = 0, 1, \\ \psi_j(\xi) = (v \cdot Y^j)\sqrt{M}, \quad j = 2, 3, \\ (\psi_j(\xi), \overline{\psi_k(\xi)})_\xi = \delta_{jk}, \quad j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

where $a_k(s), b_k(s)$ are analytic functions of s satisfying $a_k = -ib_k/\lambda_k$ and $b_k^2 = \lambda_k^2/(\lambda_k^2 - s^2 - 1)$ for $k = 0, 1$, and Y^j are orthonormal vectors satisfying $Y^j \cdot \xi = 0$, $j = 2, 3$.

By (3.26) and (3.27), we obtain

$$\hat{H}_1(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^3 e^{\lambda_j(|\xi|)t} \psi_j(\xi) \otimes \left(\langle \psi_j(\xi) | + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \langle P_0 \psi_j(\xi) | \right) \chi_1(\xi). \quad (3.28)$$

Here for any $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, the operator $f \otimes \langle g |$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ is defined by [17, 18]

$$f \otimes \langle g | h = (h, \bar{g})f, \quad h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3).$$

It follows from (3.28) and (3.27) that

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 \hat{H}_1 &= \sum_{j=0,1} e^{\lambda_j(|\xi|)t} \chi_1(\xi) a_j(|\xi|) \sqrt{M} \otimes \langle (|\xi|^2 + 1) a_j(|\xi|) \sqrt{M} + b_j(|\xi|)(v \cdot \xi) \sqrt{M} |, \\ P_m \hat{H}_1 &= \sum_{j=0,1} e^{\lambda_j(|\xi|)t} \chi_1(\xi) b_j(|\xi|) \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} \sqrt{M} \otimes \langle (|\xi|^2 + 1) a_j(|\xi|) \sqrt{M} + b_j(|\xi|)(v \cdot \xi) \sqrt{M} | \\ &\quad + \chi_1(\xi) e^{-t} \sum_{k=1}^3 v_k \sqrt{M} \otimes \left\langle v_k \sqrt{M} - \frac{(v \cdot \xi) \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which lead to

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 H_1(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{3}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-k}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_m H_1(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{3}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 H_1(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{3}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-k}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_m H_1(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{3}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{|\alpha|}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

where $k \geq 0$ is any integer, and $C > 0$ is a constant dependent of k and α .

By (1.12)–(1.13), the operator

$$B_2(\xi_1 + i\xi_0) = LP_3 - iP_3(v \cdot \xi_1)P_3 + P_3(v \cdot \xi_0)P_3, \quad (\xi_1, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

is dissipate for $\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $|\xi_0| \leq 2\mu$, namely,

$$-\operatorname{Re}(B_2(\xi_1 + i\xi_0)f, f) \geq (\mu - \frac{1}{2}|\xi_0|)\|f\|_\sigma^2, \quad \forall f \in N_1^\perp.$$

It follows that $B_2(\xi)$ with $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$ generates a contraction semigroup $e^{tB_2(\xi)}$ in N_1^\perp for $\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $|\xi_0| \leq 2\mu$, which satisfies

$$\|e^{tB_2(\xi)} f\| \leq e^{-(1 - \frac{|\xi_0|}{2\mu})t} \|f\|, \quad \forall t > 0, f \in N_1^\perp, \quad (3.30)$$

where we have used

$$-(Lf, f) \geq (1 - \frac{|\xi_0|}{2\mu})\|f\|^2 + \frac{|\xi_0|}{2}\|f\|_\sigma^2, \quad \forall f \in N_1^\perp.$$

Moreover, the semigroup $e^{tB_2(\xi)}$ is analytic in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid |\operatorname{Im}\xi| \leq 2\mu\}$. Rewrite

$$e^{tB_2(\xi)} \chi_1(\xi) = e^{tB_2(\xi)} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^2 \chi_1(\xi). \quad (3.31)$$

By Cauchy theorem, it holds for any $g_0 \in N_1^\perp$ and $|\xi_0| \leq \mu$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{tB_2(\xi)} g_0 \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^2} d\xi \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot (\xi + i\xi_0)} e^{[L - iP_3(v \cdot \xi)P_3 + P_3(v \cdot \xi_0)P_3]t} g_0 \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi + i\xi_0|^2)^2} d(\xi + i\xi_0) \right\| \\ &\leq e^{-x \cdot \xi_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\| e^{[L - iP_3(v \cdot \xi)P_3 + P_3(v \cdot \xi_0)P_3]t} g_0 \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi + i\xi_0|^2)^2} \right\| d\xi \\ &\leq e^{-|\xi_0||x|} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Since $(1 + |\xi|^2)^2 \chi_1(\xi)$ is a smooth and compact supported function, we can prove that for any $k > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} (1 + |\xi|^2)^2 \chi_1(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{-k}. \quad (3.33)$$

Thus, it follows from (3.31)–(3.33) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^\alpha H_2(t, x)\| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} e^{-\frac{3}{4}\mu|x-y|} (1+|y|^2)^{-k} dy \\ &\leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} (1+|x|^2)^{-k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

Combining (3.11)–(3.14), (3.29) and (3.34), we can prove (3.18)–(3.23).

Next, we prove (3.24) and (3.25) as follows. For any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, define

$$\hat{\mathbf{I}}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{I}_k(t, \xi)g_0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{J}_k(t, \xi)g_0.$$

By (3.27), (3.28) and

$$\operatorname{Re}(B_2(\xi)f, f) \leq -(f, f), \quad \forall f \in N_0^\perp, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

we have

$$\|e^{tB_1(\xi)}f_0\|_\xi \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|f_0\|_\xi, \quad \forall f_0 \in N_1,\tag{3.35}$$

$$\|e^{tB_2(\xi)}f_1\| \leq e^{-t} \|f_1\|, \quad \forall f_1 \in N_1^\perp.\tag{3.36}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.35), (3.36) and (3.11)–(3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\|_\xi &\leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|P_2 g_0\|_\xi \leq C |\xi|^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|, \\ \|\hat{\mathbf{J}}_0\| &\leq e^{-t} \|P_3 g_0\| + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} |\xi| \|\hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\| ds \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\|_\xi &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} |\xi| \|\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{k-1}\| ds \leq C t^k |\xi|^{2k-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|, \\ \|\hat{\mathbf{J}}_k\| &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} |\xi| \|\hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\| ds \leq C t^k |\xi|^{2k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|, \quad k \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative ∂_ξ^α to (3.5) with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we have

$$\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0 + B_1(\xi) \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0 = G_{0,\alpha},\tag{3.37}$$

where

$$G_{0,\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta| \geq 1} C_\alpha^\beta \left(-i P_2 \partial_\xi^\beta (v \cdot \xi) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0 + i \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) P_0 \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0 \right).$$

By (3.37) and (3.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\|_\xi &\leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \chi_1(\xi)| \|P_2 g_0\|_\xi \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi|} \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\| + \sum_{n=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{n+1}} |\partial_\xi^{\alpha-n}(\hat{\mathbf{I}}_0, \sqrt{M})| \right) ds \\ &\leq C_\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|} t^n |\xi|^{-|\alpha|-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative ∂_ξ^α to (3.6) with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we have

$$\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0 + i P_3 \partial_\xi^\alpha (v \cdot \xi \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0) - L \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0 = i P_3 \partial_\xi^\alpha (v \cdot \xi \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0).\tag{3.38}$$

Taking the inner product between (3.38) and $\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0$ and using Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0\|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0\|_\sigma^2$$

$$\leq C|\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0\|^2 + C|\xi|^2 \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\|^2 + C|\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\|^2,$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0\|^2 &\leq C e^{-\frac{3}{2}t} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \chi_1(\xi)|^2 \|P_3 g_0\|^2 \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t e^{-\frac{3}{2}(t-s)} \left(|\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_0\|^2 + |\xi|^2 \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\|^2 + |\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_0\|^2 \right) ds \\ &\leq C_\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|} t^{2n} |\xi|^{-2|\alpha|} e^{-t} \|g_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We take the derivative ∂_ξ^α to (3.8) with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ to get

$$\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k + B_1(\xi) \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k = G_{k,\alpha}, \quad (3.39)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,\alpha} &= \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta| \geq 1} C_\alpha^\beta \left(iP_2 \partial_\xi^\beta (v \cdot \xi) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k + i \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) P_0 \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} C_\alpha^\beta iP_2 \partial_\xi^\beta (v \cdot \xi) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.39) and (3.35) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\|_\xi &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \left(|\xi| \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{k-1}\| + \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{k-1}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{|\xi|} \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\| + \sum_{n=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{n+1}} |\partial_\xi^{\alpha-n}(\hat{\mathbf{I}}_k, \sqrt{M})| \right) ds \\ &\leq C_\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|} t^{k+n} |\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \|g_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the derivative ∂_ξ^α to (3.9) with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we have

$$\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k + iP_1 \partial_\xi^\alpha (v \cdot \xi \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k) - L \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k = i \partial_\xi^\alpha P_0 (v \cdot \xi \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k). \quad (3.40)$$

Taking the inner product between (3.40) and $\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k$ and using Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k\|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{4} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k\|_\sigma^2 \\ &\leq C |\xi|^2 \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\|^2 + C |\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\|^2 + C |\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k\|^2 &\leq C \int_0^t e^{-\frac{3}{2}(t-s)} \left(|\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{J}}_k\|^2 + |\xi|^2 \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\|^2 + |\alpha|^2 \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_k\|^2 \right) ds \\ &\leq C_\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|} t^{2(k+n)} |\xi|^{4k-2|\alpha|} e^{-t} \|g_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

With the help of Lemma 3.2, we have the following the pointwise behaviors for the remaining terms $V_k(t, x)$ and $\nabla_x Z_k(t, x)$ defined by (3.16).

Lemma 3.3. For any $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$,

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha V_k(t, x)\| + |\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x Z_k(t, x)| \leq C e^{2\delta t} (1 + |\delta x|^2)^{-(k + \frac{3+|\alpha|}{2})}, \quad (3.41)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant dependent of k and α .

Proof. For any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, define

$$\hat{V}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{V}_k(t, \xi)g_0, \quad \hat{Z}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{Z}_k(t, \xi)g_0.$$

We claim that for any $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k(t, \xi)\|_\xi \leq C \delta^{-2|\alpha|} e^{2\delta t} |\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|} \|g_0\|. \quad (3.42)$$

We prove (3.42) by induction. Taking the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_\xi$ between \hat{V}_k and (3.17) and choosing the real part, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\hat{V}_k\|_\xi^2 - (L\hat{V}_k, \hat{V}_k) = \operatorname{Re}(iP_2(v \cdot \xi)\hat{J}_k, \hat{V}_k)_\xi. \quad (3.43)$$

Since $(P_2(v \cdot \xi)\hat{J}_k, \sqrt{M}) = 0$, it follows from (3.43) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\hat{V}_k\|_\xi^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|P_1 \hat{V}_k\|_\sigma^2 \leq C |\xi|^2 \|\hat{J}_k\|^2,$$

which leads to

$$\|\hat{V}_k\|_\xi^2 \leq C |\xi|^{4k+2} \|g_0\|^2 \int_0^t s^{2k} e^{-s} ds \leq C |\xi|^{4k+2} \|g_0\|^2. \quad (3.44)$$

Suppose that (3.42) holds for $|\alpha| \leq j-1$. Taking the derivative ∂_ξ^α to (3.17) with $|\alpha| = j$, we have

$$\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k + iv \cdot \xi \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k - L \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k + i \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0 \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k = G_{k,\alpha}, \quad (3.45)$$

where

$$G_{k,\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta| \geq 1} C_\beta^\alpha \left(i \partial_\xi^\beta (v \cdot \xi) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{V}_k + i \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) P_0 \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{V}_k \right) + iP_2 \partial_\xi^\alpha (v \cdot \xi \hat{J}_k).$$

Taking the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_\xi$ between (3.45) and $\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k$ and using Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k\|_\xi^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|P_1 \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k\|_\sigma^2 \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \left(|\xi|^2 \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{J}_k\|^2 + \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{J}_k\|^2 \right) + \frac{C}{\delta} |\alpha|^2 \|P_1 \partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{V}_k\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{C}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^2} |\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{m}_k|^2 + \sum_{l=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2l+2}} |\partial_\xi^{\alpha-l} \hat{n}_k|^2 \right) + \delta \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{n}_k|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

where $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ with $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, and

$$\hat{n}_k = (\hat{V}_k, \sqrt{M}), \quad \hat{m}_k = (\hat{V}_k, v\sqrt{M}).$$

Apply Gronwall's inequality to (3.46) and using (3.42), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{V}_k\|_\xi^2 & \leq \frac{C}{\delta} |\xi|^{4k+2-2|\alpha|} \|g_0\|^2 \delta^{2-2|\alpha|} \int_0^t e^{2\delta(t-s)} e^{4\delta s} ds \\ & \quad + \frac{C}{\delta} |\xi|^{4k+2-2|\alpha|} \|g_0\|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha|} \int_0^t e^{2\delta(t-s)} s^{2k+2n} e^{-s} ds \\ & \leq C \delta^{-2|\alpha|} |\xi|^{4k+2-2|\alpha|} e^{4\delta t} \|g_0\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

This proves (3.42) for $|\alpha| = j$.

Therefore, it holds for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi^\gamma \hat{V}_k)\| + |\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi^\gamma \xi \hat{Z}_k)| \\ & \leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} C_\alpha^\beta \left(\|\partial_\xi^\beta(\xi^\gamma) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{V}_k\| + \left| \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{\xi^\gamma \xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{n}_k \right| \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \\ |\beta|=0}}^{|\alpha|} |\xi|^{|\gamma|-|\beta|} \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{V}_k\|_\xi \leq C \delta^{-|\alpha|} |\xi|^{2k-|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} e^{2\delta t} \|g_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & (\|\partial_x^\alpha V_k(t, x)\| + |\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x Z_k(t, x)|) x^{2\alpha} \\ & \leq C \int_{|\xi| \leq 2R} \left(\|\partial_\xi^{2\alpha}(\xi^\gamma \hat{V}_k)\| + |\partial_\xi^{2\alpha}(\xi^\gamma \xi \hat{Z}_k)| \right) d\xi \\ & \leq C e^{2\delta t} \delta^{-2|\alpha|} \|g_0\| \int_{|\xi| \leq 2R} |\xi|^{2k-2|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} d\xi \\ & \leq C e^{2\delta t} \delta^{-2|\alpha|} \|g_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

for any $|\alpha| \leq k + |\gamma|/2 + 3/2$. This proves the lemma. \square

With the help of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we can obtain the following pointwise space-time estimates of $G_L(t, x)$ defined by (3.1).

Theorem 3.4. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, the low frequency part $G_L(t, x)$ satisfies*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 G_L(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.48)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_m G_L(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{2+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.49)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_3 G_L(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.50)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant dependent of α . Moreover,

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 G_L(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{5+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.51)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_m G_L(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad (3.52)$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha P_3 G_L(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}. \quad (3.53)$$

Proof. By (3.16), we can decompose $G_L(t, x)$ into

$$G_L(t, x) = U_k(t, x) + V_k(t, x). \quad (3.54)$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 U_k(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_m U_k(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{2+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_3 U_k(t, x)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.55)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 U_k(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{5+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_m U_k(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3+|\alpha|}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha P_3 U_k(t, x) P_1\| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{4+|\alpha|}{2}}. \end{cases} \quad (3.56)$$

By (3.54), Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain

$$\|\hat{V}_k(t, \xi)\|_\xi \leq \|(\hat{G}_L - \hat{U}_k)(t, \xi)\|_\xi \leq C|\xi|^{-1}e^{-\beta_2 t}, \quad |\xi| \leq 2R,$$

where $\beta_2 \in (0, 1/2)$ is a constant, which leads to

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha V_k(t, x)\| + |\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x Z_k(t, x)| \leq Ce^{-\beta_2 t}.$$

This together with (3.41) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha V_k(t, x)\| + |\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x Z_k(t, x)| &\leq Ce^{-\frac{2\beta_2}{3}t + \frac{2\alpha}{3}t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{k}{3} - \frac{3+|\alpha|}{6}} \\ &\leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{4}t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{5+|\alpha|}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

for $k \geq 6 + |\alpha|$. Combining (3.54)–(3.57), we prove the theorem. \square

3.2 High frequency part

In this subsection, we extract the singular part for the high frequency part G_H and establish the pointwise estimate of the remaining part. Since \hat{G}_H does not belong to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_\xi^3)$, G_H can be decompose into the singular part and the remaining smooth part. Indeed, we apply a refined Picard's iteration as [15] to construct the approximate sequences of \hat{G}_H , and estimate the smooth remaining term by the energy estimate. Note that $\hat{G}_H(t, \xi)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{G}_H + i(v \cdot \xi) \hat{G}_H - L \hat{G}_H + i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 \hat{G}_H &= 0, \\ \hat{G}_H(0, \xi) &= \chi_2(\xi) I_v. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$A(\xi) = L - 2 - i(v \cdot \xi). \quad (3.58)$$

Lemma 3.5. *For any $k \geq 0$ and $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, we have*

$$\|\nabla_v e^{tA(\xi)} g_0\|^2 \leq C(1 + t^{-1})e^{-4t} \|g_0\|^2, \quad (3.59)$$

and

$$\|\xi|^k e^{tA(\xi)} g_0\|^2 \leq C(1 + t^{-3k})e^{-4t} \|g_0\|^2, \quad (3.60)$$

for $C > 0$ a positive constant.

Proof. Let $h(t, x, v)$ be the solution of the following linear Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h = \nabla_v \cdot (\nabla_v h + v h), \quad (3.61)$$

$$h(0, x, v) = h_0(x, v). \quad (3.62)$$

Then h can be represented by

$$h(t, x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_0(t, x, v; y, u) h_0(y, u) dy du,$$

where the Green's function G_0 is given by [25]

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(t, x, v; y, u) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{D(t)}} \right)^3 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4D(t)} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2t}) |\hat{x}|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (2(1 - e^{-t}) - (1 - e^{-2t})) \hat{x} \cdot \hat{v} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (2t - 4(1 - e^{-t}) + (1 - e^{-2t})) |\hat{v}|^2 \Big] \Big\},$$

and

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{2} (t(1 - e^{-2t}) - 2(1 - e^{-t})^2),$$

$$\hat{x} = x - (y + u(1 - e^{-t})), \quad \hat{v} = v - ue^{-t}.$$

By a direct computation, we obtain

$$G_0(t, x, v; y, u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{D(t)}} \right)^3 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{8D(t)} \left| x - y - (v + u) \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-t}} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2(1 - e^{-2t})} |v - ue^{-t}|^2 \right\}. \quad (3.63)$$

Set

$$h = e^{2t} \sqrt{M} g.$$

It follows from (3.61)–(3.62) that g satisfies

$$\partial_t g + v \cdot \nabla_x g - Lg + 2g = 0,$$

$$g(0, x, v) = g_0(x, v) = M^{-1/2} h_0(x, v).$$

Thus

$$g(t, x, v) = e^{tA} g_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_1(t, x, v; y, u) g_0(y, u) dy du, \quad (3.64)$$

where

$$G_1(t, x, v; y, u) = e^{-2t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M(v)}} G_0(t, x, v; y, u) \sqrt{M(u)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{D(t)}} \right)^3 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{8D(t)} \left| x - y - (v + u) \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-t}} \right|^2 - \frac{1 + e^{-2t}}{4(1 - e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2 - \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{4(1 + e^{-2t})} |v|^2 - 2t \right\}. \quad (3.65)$$

Taking the Fourier transform to (3.64) with respect to x , we obtain

$$\hat{g}(t, \xi, v) = e^{tA(\xi)} \hat{g}_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u) \hat{g}_0(\xi, u) du, \quad (3.66)$$

where

$$\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{4}{1 - e^{-2t}} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left\{ -i\xi \cdot (v + u) \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-t}} - \frac{2D(t)}{1 - e^{-2t}} |\xi|^2 - \frac{1 + e^{-2t}}{4(1 - e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1 + e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2 - \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{4(1 + e^{-2t})} |v|^2 - 2t \right\}. \quad (3.67)$$

It is easy to verified that

$$\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u) = \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, u; v), \quad (3.68)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| du \leq C e^{-2t} e^{-\frac{2D(t)}{1 - e^{-2t}} |\xi|^2}. \quad (3.69)$$

Since $D(t) > 0$, $t > 0$ is strictly increasing and satisfies

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{12} t^4 + O(t^5), \quad t \rightarrow 0; \quad D(t) = \frac{1}{2} t + O(1), \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.70)$$

it follows from (3.66), (3.68)–(3.70) that for any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi|^k e^{tA(\xi)} g_0\|^2 &= |\xi|^{2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u) g_0(u) du \right|^2 dv \\ &\leq |\xi|^{2k} \sup_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| du \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| dv \right) g_0^2(u) du \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^{3k}} \right) e^{-4t} e^{-\frac{2D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}}|\xi|^2} \|g_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla_v \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u) \right| &= \left| \left(-i\xi \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} - \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \left(v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right) - \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{2(1+e^{-2t})} v \right) \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u) \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\sqrt{\frac{(1-e^{-t})^3}{D(t)}} + \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-4t}}} + \sqrt{\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}}} \right) e^{-2t} \left(\frac{4}{1-e^{-2t}} \right)^{3/2} \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ -\frac{D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}} |\xi|^2 - \frac{1+e^{-2t}}{8(1-e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2 - \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

Thus, it follows from (3.66), (3.70) and (3.71) that for any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_v e^{tA(\xi)} g_0\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_v \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u) g_0(u) du \right|^2 dv \\ &\leq \sup_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| du \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_v \hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| dv \right) g_0^2(u) du \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-4t} e^{-\frac{4D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}}|\xi|^2} \|g_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

We define the approximate sequence \hat{I}_k for the high frequency part \hat{G}_H as follow

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{I}_0 + iv \cdot \xi \hat{I}_0 - (L-2)\hat{I}_0 = 0, \\ |\xi|^2 \hat{E}_0 = -(\hat{I}_0, \sqrt{M}), \\ \hat{I}_0(0, \xi) = \chi_2(\xi) I_v, \end{cases} \quad (3.72)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{I}_k + iv \cdot \xi \hat{I}_k - (L-2)\hat{I}_k = 2\hat{I}_{k-1} + iv \cdot \xi \sqrt{M} \hat{E}_{k-1}, \\ |\xi|^2 \hat{E}_k = -(\hat{I}_k, \sqrt{M}), \\ \hat{I}_k(0, \xi) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.73)$$

for $k \geq 1$. Define the singular waves and the remaining part as

$$W_k(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k I_j(t, x), \quad \psi_k(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k E_j(t, x), \quad (3.74)$$

$$R_k(t, x) = G_H(t, x) - W_k(t, x), \quad \phi_k(t, x) = \Phi_H(t, x) - \psi_k(t, x) \quad (3.75)$$

with $\Phi_H(t, x) = \Delta_x^{-1}(G_H(t, x), \sqrt{M})$.

It follows from (3.72) and (3.73) that $\hat{W}_k(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{\psi}_k(t, \xi)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{W}_k + iv \cdot \xi \hat{W}_k - L\hat{W}_k - iv \cdot \xi \sqrt{M} \hat{\psi}_k = -2\hat{I}_k - iv \cdot \xi \sqrt{M} \hat{E}_k, \\ |\xi|^2 \hat{\psi}_k = (\hat{W}_k, \sqrt{M}), \\ \hat{W}_k(0, \xi) = \chi_2(\xi) I_v, \end{cases} \quad (3.76)$$

and $\hat{R}_k(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{\phi}_k(t, \xi)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{R}_k + iv \cdot \xi \hat{R}_k - L \hat{R}_k - iv \cdot \xi \sqrt{M} \hat{\phi}_k = 2\hat{I}_k + iv \cdot \xi \sqrt{M} \hat{E}_k, \\ |\xi|^2 \hat{\phi}_k = (\hat{R}_k, \sqrt{M}), \\ \hat{R}_k(0, \xi) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.77)$$

With the help of Lemma 3.5, we can show the spatial derivative estimate of the approximate sequence $I_k(t, x)$ and $E_k(t, x)$ as follow.

Lemma 3.6. *For each $k, j \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $\hat{I}_j(t, \xi)$ and $\hat{E}_j(t, \xi)$ are smooth and supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |\xi| \geq R\}$, and they satisfy the following estimates.*

(1) For $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$\| |\xi|^k \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j(t, \xi) \| + \| |\xi|^k \partial_\xi^\alpha (\xi \hat{E}_j(t, \xi)) \| \leq Ct^{j-3k/2}, \quad (3.78)$$

(2) For $t > 1$,

$$\| |\xi|^k \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j(t, \xi) \| + \| |\xi|^k \partial_\xi^\alpha (\xi \hat{E}_j(t, \xi)) \| \leq Ct^j e^{-2t}. \quad (3.79)$$

Proof. First, we want to show that for any $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$\| |\xi|^k \hat{I}_j(t) \| \leq t^{-3k/2+j}, \quad \forall j, k \geq 0. \quad (3.80)$$

The estimate of \hat{I}_0 is immediately from Lemma 3.5. For $j \geq 1$, it follows from (3.73) that

$$I_j(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} (2I_{j-1} + i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 I_{j-1}) ds.$$

By induction and Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|^k \| \hat{I}_j(t) \| &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \| |\xi|^k e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} \| (\| \hat{I}_{j-1} \| + |\xi|^{-1} \| \hat{I}_{j-1} \|) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t/2}^t \| e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} \| (|\xi|^k \| \hat{I}_{j-1} \| + |\xi|^{k-1} \| \hat{I}_{j-1} \|) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-s)^{-3k/2} s^{j-1} ds + C \int_{t/2}^t s^{-3k/2+j-1} ds \\ &\leq Ct^{-3k/2+j}, \quad \forall j \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.80).

Next, we claim that for $0 < t \leq 1$ and $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$|\xi|^k \| \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j(t) \| \leq Ct^{-3k/2+j}, \quad \forall j, k \geq 0. \quad (3.81)$$

Taking ∂_ξ^α to (3.72) with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0 + i(v \cdot \xi) \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0 - (L-2) \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0 = - \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta|=1} iv^\beta \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_0.$$

Then we can represent $\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0$ as

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0 = e^{tA(\xi)} \partial_\xi^\alpha \chi_2(\xi) - \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta|=1} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} iv^\beta \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_0 ds.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| |u|^2 du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C \left(\frac{4}{1-e^{-2t}} \right)^{3/2} e^{-2t} e^{-\frac{2D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}}|\xi|^2} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})}|v|^2} |v|^2 \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1-e^{-2t})}|v-\frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}}-u|^2} |u|^2 du \\
&\leq C e^{-2t} e^{-\frac{2D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}}|\xi|^2} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})}|v|^2} \left[\frac{4e^{-2t}}{(1+e^{-2t})^2} |v|^2 + (1-e^{-t}) \right] \\
&\leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{t} \right) e^{-2t} e^{-\frac{2D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}}|\xi|^2},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows from (3.68)–(3.69) that for any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\xi|^{2k} \|e^{tA(\xi)}|v|g_0\|^2 \\
&\leq |\xi|^{2k} \sup_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| |u|^2 du \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{G}_1(t, \xi, v; u)| dv \right) g_0^2(u) du \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^{3k+1}} \right) e^{-4t} e^{-\frac{2D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}}|\xi|^2} \|g_0\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

By induction, (3.80) and (3.82), we obtain that for $0 < t \leq 1$ and $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\xi|^k \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0\| &\leq C |\xi|^k \|e^{tA(\xi)}\| + \int_0^{t/2} \|\xi|^k e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} |v| \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{I}_0\| ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t/2}^t \|e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} |v| \|\xi|^k \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{I}_0\| ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t/2} (t-s)^{-\frac{3k+1}{2}} ds + C \int_{t/2}^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{3k}{2}} ds \\
&\leq C t^{-3k/2}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

Taking ∂_ξ^α to (3.73) with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j + i(v \cdot \xi) \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j - (L-2) \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j \\
&= \partial_\xi^\alpha \left(2\hat{I}_{j-1} + i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 \hat{I}_{j-1} \right) - \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta|=1} i v^\beta \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can represent $\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j$ for $j \geq 1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} \partial_\xi^\alpha \left(2\hat{I}_{j-1} + i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 \hat{I}_{j-1} \right) ds \\
&\quad - \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta|=1} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} i v^\beta \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_j ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction, (3.80) and (3.82) we can obtain that for $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\xi|^k \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j\| &\leq C \int_0^{t/2} \|\xi|^k e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} \left(\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_{j-1}\| + \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1+|\beta|}} \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_{j-1}\| \right) ds \\
&\quad + C \int_{t/2}^t \|e^{(t-s)A(\xi)}\| \|\xi|^k \left(\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_{j-1}\| + \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{1+|\beta|}} \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_{j-1}\| \right) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t/2} \|\xi|^k e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} |v| \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{I}_j\| ds \\
&\quad + \int_{t/2}^t \|e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} |v| \|\xi|^k \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{I}_j\| ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Ct^{-3k/2+j}, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \quad (3.84)$$

Then,

$$|\xi|^k |\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi \hat{E}_j)| \leq C \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) |\xi|^k |(\partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_j, \sqrt{M})| \leq Ct^{-3k/2+j}, \quad (3.85)$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$. By combining (3.83)–(3.85), we prove (3.81).

Next, we want to show that for $t > 1$,

$$|\xi|^k \|\hat{I}_j(t)\| \leq Ct^j e^{-2t}, \quad \forall j, k \geq 0.$$

Since

$$\hat{I}_0(t) = e^{(t-1)A(\xi)} \hat{I}_0(1), \quad t > 1,$$

it follows from (3.80) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$|\xi|^k \|\hat{I}_0(t)\| \leq Ce^{-2(t-1)} |\xi|^k \|\hat{I}_0(1)\| \leq Ce^{-2(t-1)}. \quad (3.86)$$

Noting that

$$\hat{I}_j(t) = e^{(t-1)A(\xi)} \hat{I}_j(1) + \int_1^t e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} (2\hat{I}_{j-1} + i(v \cdot \xi) |\xi|^{-2} P_0 \hat{I}_{j-1}) ds,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|^k \|\hat{I}_j(t)\| &\leq Ce^{-2(t-1)} |\xi|^k \|\hat{I}_j(1)\| + \int_1^t e^{-2(t-s)} |\xi|^k (1 + |\xi|^{-1}) \|\hat{I}_{j-1}\| ds \\ &\leq Ce^{-2(t-1)} + \int_1^t e^{-2(t-s)} s^{j-1} e^{-2s} ds \\ &\leq Ct^j e^{-2t}, \quad t > 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.87)$$

For $t > 1$, $\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0$ can be written as

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0(t) = e^{(t-1)A(\xi)} \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0(1) - \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta|=1} \int_1^t e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} i v^\beta \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{I}_0 ds.$$

By induction, (3.86) and (3.82), we obtain that for $t > 1$ and $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|^k \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0\| &\leq Ce^{-2(t-1)} |\xi|^k \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_0(1)\| + \int_1^t \|e^{(t-s)A(\xi)} (|\xi|^k |v| \partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{I}_0)\| ds \\ &\leq Ce^{-2(t-1)} + C \int_1^t \left(1 + (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) e^{-2(t-s)} s^{j-1} e^{-2s} ds \\ &\leq Ct^j e^{-2t}, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

By the similar arguments as (3.88) and (3.85), we can prove

$$|\xi|^k \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_j(t)\| + |\xi|^k |\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi \hat{E}_j(t))| \leq Ct^j e^{-2t}, \quad j \geq 0, \quad t > 1.$$

The proof of the lemma is completed. \square

With the help of Lemma 3.6, we can show the pointwise estimates of the remaining terms $R_k(t, x)$ and $\phi_k(t, x)$ defined by (3.75) as follows.

Lemma 3.7. *For any $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$,*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha R_k(t, x)\| + |\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \phi_k(t, x)| \leq C \delta^{-1} e^{2\delta t} (1 + |\delta x|^2)^{-n}, \quad (3.89)$$

where $k \geq 6 + 3|\alpha|/2$ and $C > 0$ is a constant dependent of n and α .

Proof. For any $g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, we define

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{R}_k(t, \xi)g_0, \quad \hat{\Omega}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{\phi}_k(t, \xi)g_0.$$

We claim that for any $l \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k(t, \xi)\|_\xi \leq C\delta^{-1-2|\alpha|}e^{2\delta t}(1+|\xi|)^{-4-l}\|g_0\|, \quad (3.90)$$

where $k \geq 6 + 3l/2$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$.

We prove (3.90) by induction. From Lemma 3.6, it holds for any $k \geq 6 + 3l/2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_k\| + |\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi \hat{E}_k)| \leq Ce^{-t}(1+|\xi|)^{-4-l}. \quad (3.91)$$

Taking the inner product between (3.77) and $\hat{R}_k + |\xi|^{-2}P_0\hat{R}_k$ and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\xi^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\|P_1\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\sigma^2 \leq \frac{C}{\delta}(\|\hat{I}_k\|_\xi^2 + |\xi|^2|\hat{E}_k|^2) + \delta\|P_0\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\xi^2, \quad (3.92)$$

where $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ with $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough, and

$$\hat{I}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{I}_k(t, \xi)g_0, \quad \hat{E}_k(t, \xi) = \hat{E}_k(t, \xi)g_0.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.92) and using (3.78), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\xi^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta}\|g_0\|^2 \int_0^t e^{2\delta(t-s)}(1+|\xi|)^{-8-2l}e^{-2s}ds \\ &\leq C\delta^{-2}(1+|\xi|)^{-8-2l}e^{2\delta t}\|g_0\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.93)$$

Suppose that (3.90) holds for $|\alpha| \leq j-1$. Taking the derivative ∂_ξ^α to (3.17) with $|\alpha| = j$ to get

$$\partial_t \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k + iv \cdot \xi \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k - L \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k - i \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0 \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k = G_{k,\alpha}, \quad (3.94)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,\alpha} &= \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha, |\beta| \geq 1} C_\alpha^\beta \left(i \partial_\xi^\beta (v \cdot \xi) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k + i \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) P_0 \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k \right) \\ &\quad + i \partial_\xi^\alpha (v \cdot \xi \hat{E}_k) \sqrt{M} + 2 \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product between (3.94) and $\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k + |\xi|^{-2}P_0\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k$ and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\xi^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\partial_\xi^\alpha P_1\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\sigma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \left(\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{I}_k\|_\xi^2 + |\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi \hat{E}_k)|^2 \right) + \frac{C}{\delta} \left(|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} \hat{m}_k|^2 + \sum_{|\beta|=1}^{|\alpha|} |\partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} n_k|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\delta} \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-1} P_1 \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\sigma^2 + \delta \|P_0 \partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\xi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.95)$$

where

$$\hat{n}_k = (\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k, \sqrt{M}), \quad \hat{m}_k = (\hat{\mathbf{R}}_k, v\sqrt{M}).$$

Thus, it follows from (3.93) and (3.95) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{\mathbf{R}}_k\|_\xi^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \|g_0\|^2 (1+|\xi|)^{-8-2l} \left(\int_0^t e^{2\delta(t-s)} e^{-2s} ds + \delta^{-2|\alpha|} \int_0^t e^{2\delta(t-s)} e^{4\delta s} ds \right) \\ &\leq C\delta^{-2-2|\alpha|} (1+|\xi|)^{-8-2l} e^{4\delta t} \|g_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.90) for $|\alpha| = j$.

Therefore, it holds for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi^\gamma \hat{R}_k)\| + |\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi^\gamma \xi \hat{\Omega}_k)| \\ & \leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} C_\alpha^\beta \left(\|\partial_\xi^\beta(\xi^\gamma) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{R}_k\| + \left| \partial_\xi^\beta \left(\frac{\xi^\gamma \xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{n}_k \right| \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\beta|=0}^{|\alpha|} |\xi|^{|\gamma|-|\beta|} \|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-\beta} \hat{R}_k\|_\xi \leq C \delta^{-1-|\alpha|} (1+|\xi|)^{-4} e^{2\delta t} \|g_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $k \geq 6 + 3|\gamma|/2$, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\partial_x^\gamma R_k(t, x)\| + |\partial_x^\gamma \nabla_x \Omega_k(t, x)|) x^{2\alpha} & \leq C \int_{|\xi| \geq R} (\|\partial_\xi^{2\alpha}(\xi^\gamma \hat{R}_k)\| + |\partial_\xi^{2\alpha}(\xi^\gamma \xi \hat{\Omega}_k)|) d\xi \\ & \leq C \delta^{-1-2|\alpha|} e^{2\delta t} \|g_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $G_H(t, x)$ be the high frequency part defined by (3.1). For any $n \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, there exists a constant $0 < \eta_0 < 1/4$ such that*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha [G_H(t, x) - W_k(t, x)]\| \leq C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n}, \quad (3.96)$$

for $k \geq 6 + 3|\alpha|/2$ and $C > 0$ a constant dependent of n and α , where $W_k(t, x)$ is the singular kinetic wave defined by (3.74).

Proof. By (3.75), we have

$$G_H(t, x) = W_k(t, x) + R_k(t, x). \quad (3.97)$$

From (3.77), (3.91) and Lemma 3.1, we can obtain that for $k \geq 6 + 3|\alpha|/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{R}_k(t, \xi)\|_\xi & \leq \int_0^t \left\| \hat{G}_H(t-s) (2\hat{I}_k + i(v \cdot \xi) \sqrt{M} \hat{E}_k)(s) \right\|_\xi ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^t e^{-\beta_1(t-s)} (\|\hat{I}_k(s)\|_\xi + |\xi| \|\hat{E}_k(s)\|) ds \\ & \leq C e^{-\beta_1 t} (1 + |\xi|)^{-4-|\alpha|}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha R_k(t, x)\| + |\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \phi_k(t, x)| \leq C e^{-\beta_1 t}. \quad (3.98)$$

It follows from (3.97), (3.98) and (3.89) that

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha [G_H(t, x) - W_k(t, x)]\| \leq C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n},$$

where $\eta_0 = \beta_1/2 \in (0, 1/4)$, which lead to (3.96). \square

Theorem 1.1 directly follows from Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.4.

4 The nonlinear system

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 on the pointwise behaviors of the global solution to the nonlinear VPFP system with the help of the estimates of the Green's function given in Sections 3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\gamma, n \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$. If the function $g_0(x, v)$ satisfies*

$$|g_0(x, v)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{-n}(1 + |v|)^{-\gamma},$$

then we have

$$|G_1(t) * g_0(x, v)| \leq Ce^{-t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-n}(1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$|\nabla_v G_1(t) * g_0(x, v)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-n}(1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad (4.2)$$

where G_1 is defined by (3.65). In addition,

$$|W_\alpha(t) * g_0(x, v)| \leq Ce^{-t}(1 + |x|^2)^{-n}(1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad (4.3)$$

where W_α is defined by (1.25).

Proof. First, we deal with (4.1) and (4.2). Since it follows from (3.70) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{D(t)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8D(t)} \left| x - y - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2} (1 + |y|^2)^{-n} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{D(t)^{3/2}} \left(\int_{|y| \leq y_0} + \int_{|y| \geq y_0} \right) e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8D(t)} \left| x - y - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2} (1 + |y|^2)^{-n} dy \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{3/2}} \left(e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{64D(t)} \left| x - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2} + \left(1 + \left| x - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-n} \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{3/2}} (1+t)^n \left(1 + \left| x - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left| x - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} G_1(t, x, v; y, u) g_0(y, u) dy du \right| \\ &\leq Ce^{-2t} \frac{1}{D(t)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8D(t)} \left| x - y - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2} (1 + |y|^2)^{-n} dy \right) \\ &\quad e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2} (1 + |u|)^{-\gamma} du \\ &\leq C(1+t)^n \frac{e^{-2t}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2} \\ &\quad \left(1 + \left| x - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-n} (1 + |u|)^{-\gamma} du. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

By changing variable $v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \rightarrow z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2} \left(1 + \left| x - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-2n} du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} |z|^2} \left(1 + \left| x - v \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} + z \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-2n} dz \\ &= \left(\int_{|z| \leq z_0} + \int_{|z| \geq z_0} \right) e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} |z|^2} \left(1 + \left| x - v \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} + z \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-2n} dz \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{1 + e^{-2t}} \right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \left| x - v \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where $z_0 = \frac{1+e^{-t}}{2(1+e^{-t})} \left| x - v \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right|$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2} (1 + |u|)^{-2\gamma} du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\int_{|u| \leq u_0} + \int_{|u| \geq u_0} \right) e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2} (1+|u|)^{-2\gamma} du \\
&\leq C \left(\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \left| v \frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right| \right)^{-2\gamma}, \quad u_0 = \left| v \frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Thus, it follows from (4.4)–(4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} G_1(t, x, v; y, u) g_0(y, u) du dy \right| \\
&\leq C(1+t)^n \frac{e^{-2t}}{(1-e^{-2t})^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2} (1+|u|)^{-2\gamma} du \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2} \left(1 + \left| x - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-2n} du \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^n e^{-2t} \left(1 + \left| x - v \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-n} \left(1 + \left| v \frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right| \right)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{4(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \left(1 + \left| v \frac{e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right| \right)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{16(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2}, \\
I_2 &= \left(1 + \left| x - v \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right|^2 \right)^{-n} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{16(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It holds that $I_1 \leq C(1+|v|)^{-\gamma}$ for $t < 1$ and $I_1 \leq e^{-c|v|^2}$ for $t \geq 1$, and $I_2 \leq C(1+|x|^2)^{-n}$ for $|x| \geq 2|v| \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}}$ and $I_2 \leq e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{64(1+e^{-2t})} |x|^2} \leq C(1+|x|^2)^{-n}$ for $|x| \leq 2|v| \frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}}$, namely,

$$I_1 \leq C(1+|v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad I_2 \leq C(1+|x|^2)^{-n}. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, it follows from (4.7)–(4.8) that

$$|G_1(t) * g_0(x, v)| \leq C(1+t)^n e^{-2t} (1+|x|)^{-n} (1+|v|)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2}. \tag{4.9}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla_v G_1(t, x, v; y, u)| &= \left| -\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{2(1+e^{-2t})} v + \left(x - y - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right) \frac{(1-e^{-t})^2}{4D(t)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \left(v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right) \right| G_1(t, x, v; y, u) \\
&\leq C \left(\sqrt{\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}}} + \sqrt{\frac{(1-e^{-t})^3}{D(t)}} + \frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-4t}}} \right) e^{-2t} \\
&\quad e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{16D(t)} \left| x - y - (v+u) \frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{8(1+e^{-2t})} \left| v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \right|^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

we obtain by a similar argument as (4.9) that

$$|\nabla_v G_1(t) * g_0(x, v)| \leq C(1+t^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1+t)^n e^{-2t} (1+|x|)^{-n} (1+|v|)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{16(1+e^{-2t})} |v|^2}, \tag{4.11}$$

where we have used (3.70).

Next, we prove (4.3) as follows. By (1.25), we have

$$W_\alpha(t) * g_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{7+[3|\alpha|/2]} \chi_R(D) J_k(t, x, v),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(t, x, v) &= G_1(t) * g_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_1(x - y, v, t; u) g_0(y, u) dy, \\ J_k(t, x, v) &= \int_0^t G_1(t - s) * (2 + v \cdot \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} P_0) J_{k-1} ds, \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\chi_R(D)$, we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_R(D) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \chi_1(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \delta(x) + F(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Since $\chi_1(\xi)$ is a smooth and compact supported function, it follows that for any $k \geq 0$,

$$|F(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{-k}.$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_R(D) J_0(t, x, v)| &\leq |J_0(t, x, v)| + |F(x) * J_0(t, x, v)| \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^n e^{-2t} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8(1+e^{-2t})}|v|^2} \\ &\quad \left((1 + |x|^2)^{-n} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |x - y|^2)^{-n-2} (1 + |y|^2)^{-n} dy \right) \\ &\leq C e^{-t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{8(1+e^{-2t})}|v|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \chi_2(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq C \frac{1}{|x|^2} (1 + |x|^2)^{-k}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

for any $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\chi_R(D) \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} (J_0(t, x), \sqrt{M})| \\ &\leq C(1 + t)^n e^{-2t} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}} + \int_{|x-y| > \frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{|x-y|^2} (1 + |x-y|^2)^{-n-2} (1 + |y|^2)^{-n} dy \\ &=: C(1 + t)^n e^{-2t} (I_3 + I_4). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{-n} \int_{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^2} (1 + |x-y|^2)^{-n-2} dy \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{-n}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq C \int_{|x-y| > \frac{1}{2}} (1 + |x-y|^2)^{-n-2} (1 + |y|^2)^{-n} dy \\ &\leq C(1 + |x|^2)^{-n}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Combining (4.14)–(4.16), we have

$$|\chi_R(D) \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} (J_0(t, x), \sqrt{M})| \leq C(1 + t)^n e^{-2t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n}. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus, it follows from (4.13), (4.17) and (4.9) that

$$|\chi_R(D) J_1(t, x, v)| = \left| \chi_R(D) \int_0^t G_1(s) * (2J_0 + v \cdot \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} P_0 J_0)(t - s) ds \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq Ce^{-t} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^s G_1(s, x, v; y, u) (1 + |y|)^{-n} (1 + |u|)^{-\gamma} dy du ds \\
&\leq Ce^{-t} \int_0^t e^{-s} (1 + s)^n (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} ds \\
&\leq Ce^{-t} (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument as above, we obtain

$$|\chi_R(D)J_k(t, x, v)| \leq Ce^{-t} (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad \forall k \geq 2,$$

which proves (4.3). The proof of the lemma is completed. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\gamma, n \geq 0$. If the functions $F_i(t, x, v)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_k(t, x, v)| &\leq Ct^{-\frac{k}{2}} e^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad k = 0, 1, \\
\|F_2(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} &\leq Ce^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n},
\end{aligned}$$

with $0 < b < 2$, then we have

$$\left| \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * F_k(s, x, v) ds \right| \leq Ce^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma-1}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\left| \int_0^t \nabla_v G_1(t-s) * F_k(s, x, v) ds \right| \leq Ce^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\left| \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * F_2(s, x, v) ds \right| \leq Ce^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\left| \int_0^t W_\alpha(t-s) * F_k(s, x, v) ds \right| \leq Ce^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma-1}, \quad (4.21)$$

where $k = 0, 1$ and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. By (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * F_k(s, x, v) ds \right| \\
&\leq Ce^{-bt} \int_0^t e^{-(2-b)s} (1 + s)^n (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2s}}{s(1+e^{-2s})}|v|^2} (t-s)^{-\frac{k}{2}} ds \\
&\leq Ce^{-bt} \int_0^t e^{-(2-b)s} (1 + s)^n (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2s}}} (t-s)^{-\frac{k}{2}} ds \\
&\leq Ce^{-bt} (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma-1}, \quad k = 0, 1.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^t \nabla_v G_1(t-s) * F_k(s, x, v) ds \right| \\
&\leq Ce^{-bt} \int_0^t e^{-(2-b)s} (1 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (1 + s)^n (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} (t-s)^{-\frac{k}{2}} ds \\
&\leq Ce^{-bt} (1 + |x|)^{-n} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma}, \quad k = 0, 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (4.18) and (4.19).

Finally, we want to show (4.20). By changing variable $v \frac{2e^{-t}}{1+e^{-2t}} - u \rightarrow z$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |G_1(t, x, v; y, u)|^2 du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C \frac{e^{-4t}}{D(t)^3} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{2(1+e^{-2t})}|v|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{4D(t)} \left| x-y-v\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} + z\frac{1-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right|^2} e^{-\frac{1+e^{-2t}}{2(1+e^{-2t})}|z|^2} dz \\
&\leq C \frac{e^{-4t}}{D(t)^3} e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{2(1+e^{-2t})}|v|^2} \left\{ e^{-\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{16D(t)} \left| x-y-v\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right|^2} \left(\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right)^{3/2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{-\frac{(1+e^{-2t})(1+e^{-t})}{8(1+e^{-t})^3} \left| x-y-v\frac{1-e^{-2t}}{1+e^{-2t}} \right|^2} \left(\frac{D(t)}{1-e^{-2t}} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{1+e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \right)^3 \right\}. \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.70), (4.22) and a similar argument as (4.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * F_2(s, x, v) ds \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|G_1(s, x, v; y)\|_{L_u^2} \|F_2(t-s, y)\|_{L_u^2} dy ds \\
&\leq C e^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n} \int_0^t e^{-(2-b)s} \left\{ \frac{(1+s)^n}{(1-e^{-2s})^{\frac{3}{4}}} + \left[\frac{(1-e^{-s})^3}{D(s)} \right]^{\frac{3}{4}} \right\} ds \\
&\leq C e^{-bt} (1 + |x|^2)^{-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (4.18) and a similar argument as Lemma 4.1, we can prove (4.21). \square

With the help of Theorem 1.1 and Lemmas 4.1–4.2, we are able to prove Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First, we deal with (1.30)–(1.33). Let f be a solution to the IVP problem (1.4)–(1.6) for $t > 0$. We can represent this solution as

$$f(t, x, v) = G(t) * f_0 + \int_0^t G(t-s) * H(f)(s) ds, \tag{4.23}$$

where $H(f)$ is the nonlinear term defined by

$$H(f) = \frac{1}{2} (v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi) f - \nabla_x \Phi \cdot \nabla_v f.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(t) = \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t, \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^3}} &\left\{ \left(\|P_0 f\|_{L_v^2} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|P_3 f\|_{L_v^2} \right) e^{\eta_0 s} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right. \\
&+ (\|P_m f\|_{L_v^2} + |\nabla_x \Phi|) e^{\eta_0 s} (1 + |x|^2) \\
&\left. + \left(\|f\|_{L_{v,3}^\infty} + \|\nabla_v f\|_{L_{v,2}^\infty} \sqrt{s} (1 + \sqrt{s})^{-1} \right) e^{\eta_0 s} (1 + |x|^2) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It holds that for $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|H(s, x, v)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x \Phi| |vf| + |\nabla_x \Phi| |\nabla_v f| \\
&\leq C Q^2(t) (1 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-2\eta_0 s} (1 + |x|^2)^{-2} (1 + |v|)^{-2}. \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1, we decompose

$$G(t) * f_0 = G_L(t) * f_0 + W_0(t) * f_0 + (G_H - W_0)(t) * f_0, \tag{4.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t G(t-s) * H(s) ds &= \int_0^t G_L(t-s) * H(s) ds + \int_0^t W_0(t-s) * H(s) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (G_H - W_0)(t-s) * H(s) ds. \tag{4.26}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.24)–(4.26), Theorem 1.1 and Lemmas 4.1–4.2, and noting that $(H(t, x), \sqrt{M}) = 0$, we obtain

$$\|P_0 f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C \delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-2} + C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-2} Q^2(t), \tag{4.27}$$

$$\|P_m f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} + C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} Q^2(t), \quad (4.28)$$

$$\|P_3 f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} Q^2(t). \quad (4.29)$$

In addition, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_x \Phi(t, x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^3} (f(t, y), \sqrt{M}) dy \right| \\ &\leq C e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} (\delta_0 + Q^2(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

By (1.4), we have

$$\partial_t f - Af = 2f + v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi \sqrt{M} + H,$$

where $A = L - 2 - (v \cdot \nabla_x)$. Thus, we can represent f as

$$f(t, x, v) = G_1(t) * f_0 + \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * (2f + v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi \sqrt{M} + H) ds. \quad (4.31)$$

By Lemma 4.1, it holds that

$$|G_1(t) * f_0(x, v)| \leq C\delta_0 e^{-t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-2} (1 + |v|)^{-3}. \quad (4.32)$$

By (4.27)–(4.29), we have

$$\|f(t, x)\|_{L_v^2} \leq C(\delta_0 + Q(t)^2) e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1},$$

which together with (4.20), (4.24) and (4.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^t G_1(t-s) * (2f + v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi \sqrt{M} + H) ds \right| \\ &\leq C(\delta_0 + Q(t)^2) e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Thus, it follows from (4.31)–(4.33) that

$$|f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\delta_0 + Q(t)^2) e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}.$$

By induction and (4.18), we have

$$|f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\delta_0 + Q(t)^2) e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} (1 + |v|)^{-3}. \quad (4.34)$$

Next, we estimate $\nabla_v f$ as follows. By (4.31), we have

$$\nabla_v f(t, x) = \nabla_v G_1(t) * f_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_v G_1(t-s) * (2f + v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi \sqrt{M} + H) ds. \quad (4.35)$$

By Lemma 4.1, it holds that

$$|\nabla_v G_1(t) * f_0(x, v)| \leq C\delta_0 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-2} (1 + |v|)^{-3}. \quad (4.36)$$

By (4.34), (4.30), (4.24) and (4.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^t \nabla_v G_1(t-s) * (2f + v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi \sqrt{M} + H) ds \right| \\ &\leq C(\delta_0 + Q(t)^2) e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} (1 + |v|)^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

Thus, it follows from (4.35)–(4.37) that

$$|\nabla_v f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\delta_0 + Q(t)^2) (1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} (1 + |v|)^{-2}. \quad (4.38)$$

Combining (4.27)–(4.30), (4.34) and (4.38), we have

$$Q(t) \leq C\delta_0 + CQ(t)^2,$$

from which (1.30)–(1.33) can be verified so long as $\delta_0 > 0$ is small enough. Similarly, we can prove (1.34)–(1.37) for the case of $P_0 f_0 = 0$, the details are omitted.

Finally, we prove the existence of the solution f by the following iteration: $f^0 \equiv 0$,

$$f^n(t, x, v) = G(t) * f_0 + \int_0^t G(t-s) * H(f^{n-1}) ds, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (4.39)$$

We want to show that $\{f^n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)$. By the above estimates and using induction argument, we can obtain that when $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\|f^n(t, x)\|_{L_{v,3}^\infty} + h(t)\|\nabla_v f^n(t, x)\|_{L_{v,2}^\infty} + |\nabla_x \Phi^n(t, x)| \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}, \quad (4.40)$$

where $h(t) = \sqrt{t}/(1 + \sqrt{t})$, $\Phi^n(t, x) = \Delta_x^{-1}(f^n(t, x), \sqrt{M})$, and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of n . Let

$$g^n = f^{n+1} - f^n, \quad \phi^n = \Phi^{n+1} - \Phi^n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then, g^n satisfies

$$g^n(t, x, v) = \int_0^t G(t-s) * (H_1^n + H_2^n) ds, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.41)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} H_1^n = \left(\frac{1}{2} v f^n + \nabla_v f^n \right) \cdot \nabla_x \phi^{n-1}, \\ H_2^n = \left(\frac{1}{2} v g^{n-1} + \nabla_v g^{n-1} \right) \cdot \nabla_x \Phi^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

By (4.40), (4.41) and using induction argument, we have

$$\|g^n(t, x)\|_{L_{v,3}^\infty} + h(t)\|\nabla_v g^n(t, x)\|_{L_{v,2}^\infty} + |\nabla_x \phi^n(t, x)| \leq (C\delta_0)^{n+1} e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}.$$

Thus $\{f^n\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)$, there exists a unique function $f(t, x, v)$ such that

$$\|f^n(t, x) - f(t, x)\|_{L_{v,3}^\infty} \leq (C\delta_0)^{n+1} e^{-\eta_0 t} (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.42)$$

This together with (4.39) and (4.42) imply that $f(t, x, v)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$f(t, x, v) = G(t) * f_0 + \int_0^t G(t-s) * H(f) ds.$$

Thus $f(t, x, v)$ is the unique solution to the VPFP system (1.4)–(1.6). This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Haitao Wang for helpful suggestions. The author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China Nos. 11671100 and 12171104, the National Science Fund for Excellent Young Scholars No. 11922107, and Guangxi Natural Science Foundation Nos. 2018GXNSFAA138210 and 2019JJG110010.

References

- [1] L. Bonilla, J.-A. Carrillo and J. Soler, Asymptotic behavior of an initial-boundary value problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 57(5), (1997), 1343-1372.
- [2] F. Bouchut, Existence and uniqueness of a global smooth solution for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system in three dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.* 111(1), (1993), 239-258.

- [3] F. Bouchut, Smoothing effect for the non-linear Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *J. Differ. Equ.* 122(2), (1995), 225-238.
- [4] F. Bouchut, J. Dolbeault, On long asymptotics of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation and of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system with coulombic and newtonian potentials. *Differ. Integral Equ.* 8, (1995), 487-514.
- [5] J.-A. Carrillo, Global weak solutions for the initial-boundary value problems to the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 21, (1998), 907-938.
- [6] J.-A. Carrillo and J. Soler, On the initial value problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system with initial data in L^p spaces. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 18(10), (1995), 825-839.
- [7] J.-A. Carrillo, J. Soler and J.-L. Vazquez, Asymptotic behaviour and self-similarity for the three dimensional Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *J. Funct. Anal.* 141, (1996), 99-132.
- [8] N. El Ghani and N. Masmoudi, Diffusion limit of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 8(2), (2010), 463-479.
- [9] T. Goudon, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system: analysis of the two-dimensional case. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 15(5), (2005), 737-752.
- [10] T. Goudon, J. Nieto, F. Poupaud and J. Soler, Multidimensional high-field limit of the electro-static Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *J. Differ. Equ.* 213(2), (2005), 418-442.
- [11] H.J. Hwang and J. Jang, On the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck equation near Maxwellian. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 18(3), (2013), 681-691.
- [12] A. Kolmogorov, Zufällige Bewegungen (zur Theorie der Brownschen Bewegung). *Ann. of Math.*(2) 35(1), (1934), 116-117.
- [13] H.-L. Li, T. Yang, J.-W. Sun and M.-Y. Zhong, Large time behavior of solutions to Vlasov-Poisson-Landau (Fokker-Planck) equations (in Chinese). *Sci. Sin. Math.*, 46 (2016), 981-1004.
- [14] H.-L. Li, T. Yang and M. Zhong, Green's Function and Pointwise Space-time Behaviors of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann System, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 235 (2020), 1011-1057.
- [15] Y.-C. Lin, H. Wang and K.-C. Wu, Explicit structure of the Fokker-Planck equation with potential. *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 77 (2019), 727-766.
- [16] Y.-C. Lin, H. Wang and K.-C. Wu, Quantitative Pointwise Estimate of the Solution of the Linearized Boltzmann Equation, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 171 (2018), 927-964.
- [17] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, The Green's function and large-time behavior of solutions for the one-dimensional Boltzmann equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57 (2004), 1543-1608.
- [18] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, The Green's function of Boltzmann equation, 3D waves. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.)*, 1(1) (2006), 1-78.
- [19] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, Solving Boltzmann equation, Part I: Green's function, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.)*, 6 (2011), 151-243.
- [20] L. Luo and H.-J. Yu, Spectrum analysis of the linear Fokker-Planck equation. *Anal. Appl.*, 15(3) (2017), 313-331.
- [21] P.A. Markowich, C.A. Ringhofer and C. Schmeiser, *Semiconductor Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1990. x+248 pp.
- [22] J. Nieto, F. Poupaud and J. Soler, High-field limit for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 158(1), (2001), 29-59.
- [23] F. Poupaud and J. Soler, Parabolic limit and stability of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck system. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 10(7), (2000), 1027-1045.
- [24] G. Rein and J. Weckler, Generic global classical solutions of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck-Poisson system in three dimensions. *J. Differ. Equ.*, 99(1), (1992), 59-77.
- [25] I. A. Tanski, Fundamental solution of Fokker-Planck equation. arXiv: nlin/0407007, 2004.
- [26] I. A. Tanski, Fundamental solution of degenerated Fokker-Planck equation. arXiv: 0804.0303, 2008.
- [27] H. Wu, T.-C. Lin and C. Liu, Diffusion Limit of Kinetic Equations for Multiple Species Charged Particles, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 215 (2015), 419-441.
- [28] H.D. Victory, On the existence of global weak solutions for Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 160(2), (1991), 525-555.
- [29] H.D. Victory and B.P. O'Dwyer, On classical solutions of Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck systems. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 39(1), (1990), 105-156.