

Diffusion Limit and the optimal convergence rate of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system

Mingying Zhong*

*College of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Guangxi University, P.R.China.

E-mail: zhongmingying@sina.com

Abstract

In the present paper, we study the diffusion limit of the classical solution to the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck (VPFP) system with initial data near a global Maxwellian. We prove the convergence and establish the optimal convergence rate of the global strong solution to the VPFP system towards the solution to the drift-diffusion-Poisson system based on the spectral analysis with precise estimation on the initial layer.

Key words. Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system, spectral analysis, diffusion limit, convergence rate.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76P05, 82C40, 82D05.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Spectral analysis	5
3	Fluid approximations of semigroup	12
4	Diffusion limit	16
5	Appendix	21

1 Introduction

The Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck (VPFP) system can be used to model the time evolution of dilute charged particles governed by the electrostatic force coming from their (self-consistent) Coulomb interaction. The collision term in the kinetic equation is the Fokker-Planck operator that describes the Brownian force. In general, the rescaled VPFP system defined on $\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3$ takes the form

$$\partial_t F_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x F_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_v F_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \nabla_v \cdot (\nabla_v F_\epsilon + v F_\epsilon), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\Delta_x \Phi_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\epsilon dv - 1, \quad (1.2)$$

$$F_\epsilon(0, x, v) = F_0(x, v), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter related to the mean free path, $F_\epsilon = F_\epsilon(t, x, v)$ is the number density function of charged particles, and $\Phi_\epsilon(t, x)$ denotes the electric potential, respectively. Throughout this paper, we assume $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

In this paper, we study the diffusion limit of the strong solution to the rescaled VPFP system (1.1)–(1.3) with initial data near the normalized Maxwellian $M(v)$ given by

$$M = M(v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Our motivation is to prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of strong solutions $(F_\epsilon, \Phi_\epsilon)$ to (1.1)–(1.3) towards (NM, Φ) , where $(N, \Phi)(t, x)$ is the solution of the following drift-diffusion-Poisson (DDP) system:

$$\partial_t N + \nabla_x \cdot (\nabla_x N + N \nabla_x \Phi) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\Delta_x \Phi = N - 1, \quad (1.5)$$

$$N(0, x) = N_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_0 dv. \quad (1.6)$$

Here, $N = N(t, x)$ stands for densities of charged particles in the ionic solution and $\Phi(t, x)$ is the self-consistent electric potential.

The DDP system (1.4)–(1.6) provides a continuum description of the evolution of charged particles via macroscopic quantities, for example, the particle density, the current density etc., which have cheaper costs for numerics. Such continuum models can be (formally) derived from kinetic models by coarse graining methods, like the moment method, the Hilbert expansion method and so on [19, 25, 26]. Concerning the mathematical analysis, the initial value problem and the initial boundary value problem of the DDP system have been extensively studied, we refer to [1, 3, 4, 5, 14, 19, 20].

The existence and uniqueness of solutions to the initial value problem or the initial boundary value problem of the VPFP system have been investigated in the literature. We refer to [7, 30, 33, 18] for results on the classical solutions and to [8, 10, 11, 32] for weak solutions and their regularity. Concerning the long-time behavior of the VPFP system, we refer to [6, 9, 12, 18]. The diffusion limit of the weak solution to the VPFP system has been studied extensively in the literature (cf. [15, 16, 17, 27, 29, 34]). In [15, 16, 29], the authors proved the convergence of suitable solutions to the single species VPFP system towards a solution to the drift-diffusion-Poisson model in the whole space. In [34], the authors established a global convergence result of the renormalized solution to the multiple species VPFP system towards a solution to the Poisson-Nernst-Planck system. The spectrum structure and the optimal decay rate of the classical solution to the VPFP system were investigated in [22].

However, in contrast to the works on weak solution [15, 16, 17, 27, 29, 34], the diffusion limit of the classical solution to the VPFP system (1.1)–(1.3) has not been given despite of its importance. On the other hand, the convergence rate of the weak solution to the VPFP system towards the solution to the DDP system hasn't been obtained in [15, 16, 17, 27, 29, 34]. Therefore, it is natural to establish an explicit convergence rate of the solution to the VPFP system towards its diffusion limit.

First of all, the VPFP system (1.1)–(1.2) has a stationary solution $(F_*, \Phi_*) = (M(v), 0)$. Hence, we define the perturbation of F_ϵ as

$$F_\epsilon = M + \sqrt{M} f_\epsilon.$$

Then Cauchy problem of the VPFP system (1.1)–(1.3) can be rewritten as

$$\partial_t f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \sqrt{M} \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} L f_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} G(f_\epsilon), \quad (1.7)$$

$$\Delta_x \Phi_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_\epsilon \sqrt{M} dv, \quad (1.8)$$

$$f_\epsilon(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) =: \frac{F_0 - M}{\sqrt{M}}, \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$Lf_\epsilon = \Delta_v f_\epsilon - \frac{|v|^2}{4} f_\epsilon + \frac{3}{2} f_\epsilon, \quad (1.10)$$

$$G(f_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}(v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon) f_\epsilon - \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_v f_\epsilon. \quad (1.11)$$

Also, we define the perturbation of N as

$$n = N - 1.$$

Then Cauchy problem of the DDP system (1.4)–(1.6) becomes

$$\partial_t n - \Delta_x n + n = -\nabla_x \cdot (n \nabla_x \Phi), \quad (1.12)$$

$$\Delta_x \Phi = n, \quad (1.13)$$

$$n(0, x) = n_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_0 \sqrt{M} dv. \quad (1.14)$$

The aim of this paper is to prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of strong solutions $(f_\epsilon, \Phi_\epsilon)$ to (1.7)–(1.9) towards $(n\sqrt{M}, \Phi)$, where $(n, \Phi)(t, x)$ is the solution of the DDP system (1.12)–(1.14). In general, the convergence is not uniform near $t = 0$. The breakdown of the uniform convergence near $t = 0$ is the initial layer of the VFPF system. But we can show that if the initial data $f_0 = n_0\sqrt{M}$, then the uniform convergence is up to $t = 0$.

We denote $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ a Hilbert space of complex-value functions $f(v)$ on \mathbb{R}^3 with the inner product and the norm

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v) \overline{g(v)} dv, \quad \|f\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 dv \right)^{1/2}.$$

The nullspace of the operator L , denoted by N_0 , is a subspace spanned by \sqrt{M} . Let P_0 be the projection operators from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ to the subspace N_0 with

$$P_0 f = (f, \sqrt{M}) \sqrt{M}, \quad P_1 = I - P_0. \quad (1.15)$$

Corresponding to the linearized operator L , we define the following dissipation norm:

$$\|f\|_{L_v^2}^2 = \|\nabla_v f\|^2 + \|vf\|^2. \quad (1.16)$$

This norm is stronger than the L^2 -norm because

$$\|f\|_{L_v^2}^2 \geq 2\|\nabla_v f\| \|vf\| \geq -2(\nabla_v f, vf) = 3\|f\|^2. \quad (1.17)$$

From [24], the linearized operator L is non-positive and locally coercive in the sense that there is a constant $0 < \mu < 1$ such that

$$(Lf, f) \leq -\|P_1 f\|^2, \quad (Lf, f) \leq -\mu \|P_1 f\|_{L_v^2}^2. \quad (1.18)$$

Notations: Before state the main results in this paper, we list some notations. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, denotes

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3}, \quad \partial_v^\beta = \partial_{v_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{v_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{v_3}^{\beta_3}.$$

The Fourier transform of $f = f(x, v)$ is denoted by

$$\hat{f}(\xi, v) = \mathcal{F}f(\xi, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

In the following, denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}$ the norm of the function space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)$, and denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L_x^2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L_v^2}$ the norms of the function spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ respectively. For any integer $k \geq 1$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{H_x^k(L_v^2)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H_x^k}$ the norms of the function spaces $H^k(\mathbb{R}_x^3, L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3))$ and $H^k(\mathbb{R}_x^3)$ respectively.

Now we are ready to state main results in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *There exist small positive constants δ_0 and ϵ_0 such that if the initial data f_0 satisfies $\|f_0\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)} + \|(f_0, \sqrt{M})\|_{L_x^1} \leq \delta_0$, then for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, there exists a unique function $f_\epsilon(t)$ to the VPF system (1.7)–(1.9) satisfying*

$$\|f_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)} + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_x^4} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\frac{t}{2}}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.19)$$

where $\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon(t) = \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1}(f_\epsilon, \sqrt{M})$ and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ .

There exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)} + \|(f_0, \sqrt{M})\|_{L_x^1} \leq \delta_0$, then the DDP system (1.12)–(1.14) admits a unique global solution $n(t)$ satisfying

$$\|n(t)\|_{H_x^4} + \|\nabla_x \Phi(t)\|_{H_x^4} \leq C\delta_0 e^{-\frac{t}{2}}, \quad (1.20)$$

where $\nabla_x \Phi(t) = \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1}n(t)$ and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(f_\epsilon, \Phi_\epsilon) = (f_\epsilon(t, x, v), \Phi_\epsilon(t, x))$ be the global solution to the VPF system (1.7)–(1.9), and let $(n, \Phi) = (n, \Phi)(t, x)$ be the global solution to the DDP system (1.12)–(1.14). Then, there exist two small positive constants δ_0 and ϵ_0 such that if the initial data f_0 satisfies $\|f_0\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)} + \|\nabla_v f_0\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)} + \|v|f_0\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)} + \|(f_0, \sqrt{M})\|_{L_x^1} \leq \delta_0$, then for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$,*

$$\|f_\epsilon(t) - n(t)\sqrt{M}\|_{H_x^2(L_v^2)} + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon(t) - \nabla_x \Phi(t)\|_{H_x^2} \leq C\delta_0 \left(\epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{2}} + e^{-\frac{at}{2}} \right), \quad (1.21)$$

where $a > 0$ and $C > 0$ are two constants independent of ϵ .

Moreover, if the initial data $f_0 = n_0\sqrt{M} \in N_0$ and $\|n_0\|_{H_x^4} + \|n_0\|_{L_x^1} \leq \delta_0$, then we have

$$\|f_\epsilon(t) - n(t)\sqrt{M}\|_{H_x^2(L_v^2)} + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon(t) - \nabla_x \Phi(t)\|_{H_x^2} \leq C\delta_0 \epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{2}}. \quad (1.22)$$

The results in Theorem 1.2 on the convergence rate of diffusion limits of the VPF system is proved based on the spectral analysis [22] and the ideas inspired by [2, 13, 23]. Indeed, we first develop a non-local implicit function theorem to show the existence of the eigenvalue $\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)$ of the linear VPF operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ defined by (2.4) for $\epsilon|\xi|$ small and establish the expansions of the eigenvalue $\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)$ as (See Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.9)

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon) = -\epsilon^2(1 + |\xi|^2) + O(\epsilon^4(1 + |\xi|^2)^2), \\ P_0\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) = \frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}}\sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon|\xi|), \\ P_1\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) = -i\epsilon\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2} \left(v \cdot \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon^2(1 + |\xi|^2)). \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

Based on the spectral analysis of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$, we can decompose the semigroup $e^{B_\epsilon(\xi)t/\epsilon^2}$ into the fluid part S_1 and the remainder part S_2 , where the remainder part S_2 satisfies the decay rate e^{-Ct/ϵ^2} , and the fluid part S_1 takes the form (See Theorem 2.10)

$$S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon)f = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)} \left(f, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)} \right)_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon). \quad (1.24)$$

Then by applying the expansion (1.23) to the fluid part (1.24), we can establish the convergence and the optimal convergence rate of the solution to the linear VPF system towards its first and second order fluid limits, where the first order fluid limit is the solution to the linear DDP system (See Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3).

Finally, making use of the convergence results on the fluid limits of the linear VPF system, we can prove the convergence and establish the optimal convergence rate of the nonlinear VPF system towards the DDP system after a careful and tedious computation. Moreover, we can obtain the precise estimation on the initial layer.

The rest of this paper will be organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the spectrum analysis of the linear operator related to the linearized VPF system. In Section 3, we establish the first and second order fluid approximations of the solution to the linearized VPF system based on the spectral analysis. In Section 4, we prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of the global solution to the original nonlinear VPF system towards the solution to the nonlinear DDP system.

2 Spectral analysis

In this section, we are concerned with the spectral analysis of the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ defined by (2.4), which will be applied to study diffusion limit of the solution to the VFPF system (1.7)–(1.9).

From the system (1.7)–(1.9), we have the following linearized VFPF system:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^2 \partial_t f_\epsilon = B_\epsilon f_\epsilon, & t > 0, \\ f_\epsilon(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$B_\epsilon f = Lf - \epsilon v \cdot \nabla_x f - \epsilon v \cdot \nabla_x (-\Delta_x)^{-1} P_0 f. \quad (2.2)$$

Take Fourier transform to (2.1) in x to get

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^2 \partial_t \hat{f}_\epsilon = B_\epsilon(\xi) \hat{f}_\epsilon, & t > 0, \\ \hat{f}_\epsilon(0, \xi, v) = \hat{f}_0(\xi, v), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$B_\epsilon(\xi) = L - i\epsilon v \cdot \xi - i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0, \quad \xi \neq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Following [24], we decompose L as follows:

$$\begin{cases} Lf = -Af + Kf, \\ Af = -\Delta_v f + \frac{|v|^2}{4} f - \frac{3}{2} 1_{\{|v| \geq R\}} f, \\ Kf = \frac{3}{2} 1_{\{|v| \leq R\}} f, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where $R > 0$ is chosen to be large enough and $1_{\{|v| \leq R\}}$ is the indicator function of the domain $|v| \leq R$. The operators A and K are self-adjoint on L^2 , and K is a A -compact operator, that is, for any sequences both $\{u_n\}$ and $\{Au_n\}$ bounded in L^2_v , $\{Ku_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence in L^2_v (cf. Lemma 2.3 in [24]). For $R > 0$ sufficiently large, there are two constants $\nu_0, \nu_1 > 0$ such that

$$(Af, f) \geq \nu_1 \|f\|_{L^2_v}^2 \geq \nu_0 \|f\|^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Also, we define

$$A_\epsilon(\xi) = -A - i\epsilon(v \cdot \xi). \quad (2.7)$$

Introduce a weighted Hilbert space $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $\xi \neq 0$ as

$$L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \|f\|_\xi = \sqrt{(f, f)_\xi} < \infty\},$$

with the inner product defined by

$$(f, g)_\xi = (f, g) + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} (P_0 f, P_0 g).$$

Since P_0 is a self-adjoint projection operator, it follows that $(P_0 f, P_0 g) = (P_0 f, g) = (f, P_0 g)$ and hence

$$(f, g)_\xi = (f, g + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} P_0 g) = (f + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} P_0 f, g). \quad (2.8)$$

By (2.8), we have for any $f, g \in L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap D(B_\epsilon(\xi))$,

$$(B_\epsilon(\xi) f, g)_\xi = (B_\epsilon(\xi) f, g + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} P_0 g) = (f, B_\epsilon(-\xi) g)_\xi. \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover, $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is a dissipate operator in $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$\operatorname{Re}(B_\epsilon(\xi)f, f)_\xi = (Lf, f) \leq 0. \quad (2.10)$$

We can regard $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ as a linear operator from the space $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to itself because

$$\|f\|^2 \leq \|f\|_\xi^2 \leq (1 + |\xi|^{-2})\|f\|^2, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

The $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of the operator A . The discrete spectrum of A , denoted by $\sigma_d(A)$, is the set of all isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity. The essential spectrum of A , $\sigma_{ess}(A)$, is the set $\sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_d(A)$. We denote $\rho(A)$ to be the resolvent set of the operator A .

By (2.9), (2.10), (2.5) and (2.6), we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. (1) *The operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which satisfies*

$$\|e^{tB_\epsilon(\xi)}f\|_\xi \leq \|f\|_\xi, \quad \forall t > 0, f \in L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3). \quad (2.11)$$

(2) *The operator $A_\epsilon(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which satisfies*

$$\|e^{tA_\epsilon(\xi)}f\| \leq e^{-\nu_0 t}\|f\|, \quad \forall t > 0, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3). \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Since the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is a densely defined closed operator on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and both $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ and $B_\epsilon(\xi)^* = B_\epsilon(-\xi)$ are dissipative on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by (2.10), it follows that $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. This proves (1). Similarly, we can prove (2) by (2.6). \square

Lemma 2.2. *The following conditions hold for all $\xi \neq 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.*

(1) $\sigma_{ess}(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq -\nu_0\}$ and $\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\nu_0 < \operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq 0\} \subset \sigma_d(B_\epsilon(\xi))$.

(2) *If λ is an eigenvalue of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$, then $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0$ for any $\epsilon\xi \neq 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ iff $\epsilon\xi = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > -\nu_0$ and hence $\sigma(A_\epsilon(\xi)) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq -\nu_0\}$. Since K is $A_\epsilon(\xi)$ -compact and $i(v \cdot \xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_0$ is compact, namely, $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is a compact perturbation of $A_\epsilon(\xi)$, it follows that $\sigma_{ess}(B_\epsilon(\xi)) = \sigma_{ess}(A_\epsilon(\xi))$ and $\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ in the domain $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > -\nu_0$ consists of discrete eigenvalues with possible accumulation points only on the line $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = -\nu_0$. This proves (1). By a similar as Proposition 2.2.8 in [31], we can prove (2) and the detail is omitted for simplicity. \square

Now denote by T a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ or $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, and we define the corresponding norms of T by

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \|Tf\|, \quad \|T\|_\xi = \sup_{\|f\|_\xi=1} \|Tf\|_\xi.$$

Obviously,

$$(1 + |\xi|^{-2})^{-1/2}\|T\| \leq \|T\|_\xi \leq (1 + |\xi|^{-2})^{1/2}\|T\|. \quad (2.13)$$

First, we consider the spectrum and resolvent sets of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi| > r_0$ with $r_0 > 0$ a constant. To this end, we decompose $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ into

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi) &= \lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi) - K + i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0 \\ &= \left(I - K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} + i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} \right) (\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Then, we have the estimates on the right hand terms of (2.14) as follows.

Lemma 2.3 ([24, 22]). *There exists a constant $C > 0$ so that the following holds*

1. For any $\delta > 0$, if $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta$, we have

$$\|K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| + \epsilon|\xi| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

2. For any $\delta > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$, if $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta$ and $|\xi| \geq r_0$, we have

$$\|(v \cdot \xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_0(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\| \leq C(\delta^{-1} + 1)(r_0^{-1} + 1)(|\xi| + |\lambda|)^{-1}. \quad (2.16)$$

By (2.14) and Lemma 2.3, we have the spectral gap of the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi| > r_0$.

Lemma 2.4 (Spectral gap). *Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. For any $r_0 > 0$, there exists $\alpha = \alpha(r_0) > 0$ such that for $\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_0$,*

$$\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq -\alpha\}. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta\}$ with $\delta > 0$. We first show that $\sup_{\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_0} |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| < +\infty$. By (2.13), (2.15) and (2.16), there exists a large constant $r_1 = r_1(\delta) > 0$ such that for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta$ and $\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_1$,

$$\|K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi \leq 1/4, \quad \|\epsilon(v \cdot \xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_0(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi \leq 1/4. \quad (2.18)$$

This implies that $I + K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} + i\epsilon(v \cdot \xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_0(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}$ is invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, which together with (2.14) yield that $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is also invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and satisfies

$$(\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} = (\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} \left(I - K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} + i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} \right)^{-1}. \quad (2.19)$$

Therefore $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta\} \subset \rho(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_1$.

As for $r_0 \leq \epsilon|\xi| \leq r_1$, by (2.15) and (2.16) there exists $\beta = \beta(r_0, r_1, \delta) > 0$ such that if $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta$, $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| > \beta$ and $\epsilon|\xi| \in [r_0, r_1]$, then (2.18) still holds and thus $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible. This implies that $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta, |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| > \beta\} \subset \rho(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ for $r_0 \leq \epsilon|\xi| \leq r_1$. Thus, we conclude

$$\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta\} \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta, |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \leq \beta\}, \quad \epsilon|\xi| \geq r_0. \quad (2.20)$$

Next, we prove that $\sup_{\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_0} \operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0$. Base on the above argument, it is sufficient to prove that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \in [r_0, r_1]$ and $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \leq \beta$. If not, there exists $\lambda_n \in \sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi_n))$ with $\epsilon|\xi_n| \in [r_0, r_1]$ and $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|f_n\|_{\xi_n} = 1$ such that

$$Lf_n - i\epsilon(v \cdot \xi_n)f_n - \frac{i\epsilon(v \cdot \xi_n)}{|\xi_n|^2}P_0f_n = \lambda_n f_n, \quad \operatorname{Re}\lambda_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\xi_n}$ between the above equation and f_n and choosing the real part, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\lambda_n \|f_n\|_{\xi_n} = (Lf_n, f_n) \leq -\mu \|P_1 f_n\|^2.$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_1 f_n\| = 0$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_0 f_n\|_{\xi_n} = 1$. Since P_0 is a compact operator, there exists a subsequence n_j of n and a function $f_0 \neq 0 \in N_0$ such that $P_0 f_{n_j} \rightarrow f_0$ in L^2 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f_0$ in L^2 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Due to the fact that $\epsilon|\xi_{n_j}| \in [r_0, r_1]$, $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda_{n_j}| \leq \beta$ and $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence of (still denoted by) $(\xi_{n_j}, \lambda_{n_j})$, and (ξ_0, λ_0) with $\epsilon|\xi_0| \in [r_0, r_1]$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0 = 0$ such that $(\xi_{n_j}, \lambda_{n_j}) \rightarrow (\xi_0, \lambda_0)$. It follows that $B_\epsilon(\xi_0)f_0 = \lambda_0 f_0$ and thus λ_0 is an eigenvalue of $B_\epsilon(\xi_0)$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0 = 0$, which contradicts $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$ established by Lemma 2.2. This proves the lemma. \square

Then, we investigate the spectrum and resolvent sets of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \leq r_0$. To this end, we decompose $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ as follows

$$\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi) = \lambda P_0 + \lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi) + i\epsilon P_0(v \cdot \xi)P_1 + i\epsilon P_1(v \cdot \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \right) P_0, \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$Q_\epsilon(\xi) = L - i\epsilon P_1(v \cdot \xi)P_1. \quad (2.22)$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\xi \neq 0$ and $Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ defined by (2.22). We have*

1. *If $\lambda \neq 0$, then*

$$\left\| \lambda^{-1} P_1(v \cdot \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \right) P_0 \right\|_\xi \leq C(|\xi| + 1)|\lambda|^{-1}. \quad (2.23)$$

2. *If $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1$, then the operator $\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on N_0^\perp and satisfies*

$$\|(\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\| \leq (\operatorname{Re}\lambda + 1)^{-1}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\|P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1\|_\xi \leq C(\operatorname{Re}\lambda + 1)^{-1} |\xi| \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda|}{1 + \epsilon|\xi|} \right)^{-1}. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. By using

$$\left\| \lambda^{-1} P_1(v \cdot \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \right) P_0 f \right\|_\xi \leq C|\lambda|^{-1} \left(|\xi| + \frac{1}{|\xi|} \right) \|P_0 f\| \leq C|\lambda|^{-1} (|\xi| + 1) \|f\|_\xi,$$

we prove (2.23).

Then, we show that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1$, the operator $\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi) = \lambda P_1 - L + i\epsilon P_1(v \cdot \xi) P_1$ is invertible from N_0^\perp to itself. Indeed, by (1.18), we obtain for any $f \in N_0^\perp \cap D(L)$ that

$$\operatorname{Re}([\lambda P_1 - L + i\epsilon P_1(v \cdot \xi) P_1]f, f) = \operatorname{Re}\lambda(f, f) - (Lf, f) \geq (1 + \operatorname{Re}\lambda)\|f\|^2, \quad (2.26)$$

which implies that the operator $\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ is a one-to-one map from N_0^\perp to itself so long as $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1$, and its range $\operatorname{Ran}[\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)]$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$. It then remains to show that the operator $\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ is also a surjective map from N_0^\perp to N_0^\perp , namely, $\operatorname{Ran}[\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)] = N_0^\perp$. In fact, if it does not hold, then there exists a function $g \in N_0^\perp \setminus \operatorname{Ran}[\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)]$ with $g \neq 0$ so that for any $f \in N_0^\perp \cap D(L)$ that

$$([\lambda P_1 - L + i\epsilon P_1(v \cdot \xi) P_1]f, g) = (f, [\bar{\lambda} P_1 - L - i\epsilon P_1(v \cdot \xi) P_1]g) = 0,$$

which yields $g = 0$ since the operator $\bar{\lambda} P_1 - L - i\epsilon P_1(v \cdot \xi) P_1$ is dissipative and satisfies the same estimate as (2.26). This is a contradiction, and thus $\operatorname{Ran}[\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)] = N_0^\perp$. The estimate (2.24) follows directly from (2.26).

By (2.24) and $\|P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 f\|_\xi \leq C(|\xi| + 1)\|P_1 f\|$, we have

$$\|P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1 f\|_\xi \leq C|\xi|(\operatorname{Re}\lambda + 1)^{-1} \|f\|. \quad (2.27)$$

Meanwhile, we can decompose the operator $P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1$ as

$$P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 Q_\epsilon(\xi) (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1.$$

This together with (2.24) and the fact $\|P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 Q_\epsilon(\xi) f\|_\xi \leq C|\xi|(1 + \epsilon|\xi|)\|P_1 f\|$ give

$$\|P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1 f\|_\xi \leq C|\xi||\lambda|^{-1} [(\operatorname{Re}\lambda + 1)^{-1} + 1](1 + \epsilon|\xi|)\|f\|. \quad (2.28)$$

The combination of the two cases (2.27) and (2.28) yields (2.25). \square

By (2.21) and Lemmas 2.2–2.5, we are able to analyze the spectral and resolvent sets of the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ as follows.

Lemma 2.6. *Fix $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. The following facts hold.*

1. *For all $\xi \neq 0$, there exists $y_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\frac{\nu_0}{2}, |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \geq y_0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0\} \subset \rho(B_\epsilon(\xi)). \quad (2.29)$$

2. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists $r_0 = r_0(\delta) > 0$ such that if $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$, then

$$\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\frac{1}{2}\} \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| \leq \delta\}. \quad (2.30)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we have for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ that the operator $\lambda P_0 + \lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and it satisfies

$$(\lambda P_0 + \lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} P_0 + (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1, \quad (2.31)$$

because the operator λP_0 is orthogonal to $\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)$. Therefore, we can re-write (2.21) as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi) &= (I + Y_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi))(\lambda P_0 + \lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)), \\ Y_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) &= i\epsilon\lambda^{-1} P_1(v \cdot \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\right) P_0 + i\epsilon P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, there exists $R_0 > 0$ large enough so that if $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0/2$ and $\epsilon|\xi| \geq R_0$, then $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and satisfies (2.19). Thus, $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0/2\} \subset \rho(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \geq R_0$.

For the case $\epsilon|\xi| \leq R_0$ such that $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq R_0 + 1$, by (2.23) and (2.25) we can choose $y_0 > 0$ such that it holds for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -1/2$ and $|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \geq y_0$ that

$$\|\epsilon\lambda^{-1} P_1(v \cdot \xi) (1 + |\xi|^{-2}) P_0\|_\xi \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \|\epsilon P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1\|_\xi \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (2.32)$$

This implies that the operator $I + Y_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)$ is invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and thus $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and satisfies

$$(\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} = (\lambda^{-1} P_0 + (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1) (I + Y_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi))^{-1}. \quad (2.33)$$

Therefore, $\rho(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -1/2, |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \geq y_0\}$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \leq R_0$. This and Lemma 2.1 lead to (2.29).

Assume that $|\lambda| > \delta$ and $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -1/2$. Then, by (2.27) and (2.25) we can choose $r_0 = r_0(\delta) > 0$ so that estimates (2.32) still hold for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$, and the operator $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, we have $\rho(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \supset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| > \delta, \operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq -1/2\}$ for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$, which gives (2.30). \square

Now we establish the asymptotic expansions of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi|$ sufficiently small. Firstly, we consider a 1-D eigenvalue problem:

$$B_\epsilon(s)e =: \left(L - i\epsilon s v_1 - i\epsilon \frac{v_1}{s} P_0\right) e = \beta e, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.34)$$

Let e be the eigenfunction of (2.34), we rewrite e in the form $e = e_0 + e_1$, where $e_0 = P_0 e = C_0 \sqrt{M}$ and $e_1 = (I - P_0)e = P_1 e$. The eigenvalue problem (2.34) can be decomposed into

$$\lambda e_0 = -i\epsilon s P_0[v_1(e_0 + e_1)], \quad (2.35)$$

$$\lambda e_1 = L e_1 - i\epsilon s P_1[v_1(e_0 + e_1)] - i\epsilon \frac{v_1}{s} e_0. \quad (2.36)$$

From Lemma 2.5 and (2.36), we obtain that for any $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1$,

$$e_1 = i\epsilon (L - \lambda P_1 - i s P_1 v_1 P_1)^{-1} P_1 \left(s v_1 e_0 + \frac{v_1}{s} e_0 \right). \quad (2.37)$$

Substituting (2.37) into (2.35) and taking inner product the resulted equation with \sqrt{M} gives

$$\lambda C_0 = \epsilon^2 (1 + s^2) (R(\lambda, \epsilon s) v_1 \sqrt{M}, v_1 \sqrt{M}) C_0. \quad (2.38)$$

where

$$R(\lambda, s) = (L - \lambda P_1 - i s P_1 v_1 P_1)^{-1}.$$

Denote

$$D_0(z, s, \epsilon) = z - (1 + s^2) (R(\epsilon^2 z, \epsilon s) v_1 \sqrt{M}, v_1 \sqrt{M}). \quad (2.39)$$

Lemma 2.7. *There are two constants $r_0, r_1 > 0$ such that the equation $D_0(z, s, \epsilon) = 0$ has a unique solution $z = z(s, \epsilon)$ for $\epsilon(1 + |s|) \leq r_0$ and $|z + 1 + s^2| \leq r_1(1 + s^2)$, which is a C^∞ function of s, ϵ and satisfies*

$$z(s, 0) = -(1 + s^2), \quad \partial_\epsilon z(s, 0) = 0. \quad (2.40)$$

In particular, $z(s, \epsilon)$ satisfies the following expansion:

$$z(s, \epsilon) = -(1 + s^2) + O(\epsilon^2(1 + s^2)^2). \quad (2.41)$$

Proof. By (2.39) and the fact $L(v_j\sqrt{M}) = -v_j\sqrt{M}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$D_0(z(s), s, 0) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad z(s) = -(1 + s^2). \quad (2.42)$$

Define

$$D(z, s, \epsilon) = (1 + s^2)R_{11}(\epsilon^2 z, \epsilon s),$$

with $R_{11}(\epsilon^2 z, \epsilon s) = (R(\epsilon^2 z, \epsilon s)v_1\sqrt{M}, v_1\sqrt{M})$. It is straightforward to verify that a solution of $D_0(z, s, \epsilon) = 0$ for any fixed s and ϵ is a fixed point of $D(z, s, \epsilon)$.

Since for any $(z, s, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\partial_z R_{11}(\epsilon^2 z, \epsilon s)| \leq C\epsilon^2, \quad |\partial_\epsilon R_{11}(\epsilon^2 z, \epsilon s)| \leq C(|\epsilon z| + |s|),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |D(z, s, \epsilon) - z(s)| &= (1 + s^2) \left| R_{11}(\epsilon^2 z, \epsilon s) - R_{11}(0, 0) \right| \\ &\leq C(1 + s^2)(|\epsilon^2 z| + |\epsilon s|) \leq r_1(1 + s^2), \\ |D(z_1, s, \epsilon) - D(z_2, s, \epsilon)| &\leq C\epsilon^2(1 + s^2)|z_1 - z_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}|z_1 - z_2|, \end{aligned}$$

for $|z - z(s)| \leq r_1(1 + s^2)$ and $\epsilon(1 + |s|) \leq r_0$ with $r_0, r_1 > 0$ sufficiently small.

Hence by the contraction mapping theorem, there exists a unique fixed point $z(s, \epsilon) : \epsilon \in B(0, r_0/(1 + |s|)) \rightarrow B(z(s), r_1(1 + s^2))$ such that $D(z(s, \epsilon), s, \epsilon) = z(s, \epsilon)$ and $z(s, 0) = z(s)$. This is equivalent to that $D_0(z(s, \epsilon), s, \epsilon) = 0$. Since $D_0(z, s, \epsilon)$ is C^∞ with respect to z, s and ϵ , it follows that $z(s, \epsilon)$ is a C^∞ function with respect to s and ϵ .

Next, we estimate the derivative of $z(s, \epsilon)$. By (2.39) and using the fact

$$L\sqrt{M} = 0, \quad L(v_j\sqrt{M}) = -v_j\sqrt{M}, \quad LP_1(v_j^2\sqrt{M}) = -2P_1(v_j^2\sqrt{M}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

with $P_1(v_j^2\sqrt{M}) = (v_j^2 - |v|^2/3)\sqrt{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z D_0(z, s, 0) &= 1, \\ \partial_\epsilon D_0(z, s, 0) &= i(1 + s^2)s(P_1(v_1^2\sqrt{M}), v_1\sqrt{M}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\partial_\epsilon z(s, 0) = -\frac{\partial_\epsilon D_0(z(s), s, 0)}{\partial_z D_0(z(s), s, 0)} = 0. \quad (2.43)$$

Combining (2.42)–(2.43), we prove (2.40). Finally, by a direct computation we obtain

$$\partial_z D_0(z, s, \epsilon) = 1 + O(1)\epsilon^2(1 + s^2), \quad \partial_\epsilon D_0(z, s, \epsilon) = O(1)\epsilon(1 + s^2)^2,$$

for $\epsilon(1 + |s|) \leq r_0$ and $|z + 1 + s^2| \leq r_1(1 + s^2)$. This implies that

$$\partial_\epsilon z(s, \epsilon) = -\frac{\partial_\epsilon D_0(z, s, \epsilon)}{\partial_z D_0(z, s, \epsilon)} = O(1)\epsilon(1 + s^2)^2, \quad \epsilon(1 + |s|) \leq r_0,$$

which together with (2.40) leads to (2.41). This proves the lemma. \square

With the help of Lemma 2.7, we have the eigenvalue $\beta_0(s, \epsilon)$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $e_0(s, \epsilon)$ of $B_\epsilon(s)$ defined by (2.34) as follows.

Lemma 2.8. (1) *There exists a small constant $r_0 > 0$ such that $\sigma(B_\epsilon(s)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1/2\}$ consists of one point $\beta_0(s, \epsilon)$ for $\epsilon(1 + |s|) \leq r_0$. The eigenvalue $\beta_0(s, \epsilon)$ is a C^∞ function of s and ϵ , and admit the following asymptotic expansion for $\epsilon(1 + |s|) \leq r_0$:*

$$\beta_0(s, \epsilon) = -\epsilon^2(1 + s^2) + O(\epsilon^4(1 + s^2)^2). \quad (2.44)$$

(2) *The corresponding eigenfunction $e_0(s, \epsilon) = e_0(s, \epsilon, v)$ is C^∞ in s and ϵ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} P_0 e_0(s, \epsilon) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{1 + s^2}} \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon s), \\ P_1 e_0(s, \epsilon) = -i\epsilon \sqrt{1 + s^2} v_1 \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon^2(1 + s^2)). \end{cases} \quad (2.45)$$

Proof. The eigenvalue $\beta_0(s, \epsilon)$ and the eigenfunction $e_0(s, \epsilon)$ can be constructed as follows. We take $\beta_0 = \epsilon^2 z(s, \epsilon)$ with $z(s, \epsilon)$ being the solution of the equation $D_0(z, s, \epsilon) = 0$ given in Lemma 2.7, and define the corresponding eigenfunction $e_0(s, \epsilon)$ by

$$e_0(s, \epsilon) = a_0(s, \epsilon) \sqrt{M} + i\epsilon \left(s + \frac{1}{s} \right) a_0(s, \epsilon) (L - \epsilon^2 z(s, \epsilon) - i\epsilon P_1 v_1 P_1)^{-1} v_1 \sqrt{M}, \quad (2.46)$$

where $a_0(s, \epsilon)$ is a complex value function determined later. We can normalize $e_0(s, \epsilon)$ by taking

$$\left(e_0(s, \epsilon), \overline{e_0(s, \epsilon)} \right)_s = (e_0, \overline{e_0}) + \frac{1}{s^2} (P_0 e_0, P_0 \overline{e_0}) = 1.$$

The coefficient $a_0(s, \epsilon)$ is determined by the normalization condition as

$$a_0(s, \epsilon)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{s^2} + \epsilon^2 \left(s + \frac{1}{s} \right)^2 D_1(s, \epsilon) \right) = 1, \quad (2.47)$$

where $D_1(s, \epsilon) = (R(\beta_0, \epsilon s) v_1 \sqrt{M}, R(\overline{\beta_0}, -\epsilon s) v_1 \sqrt{M})$. Substituting (2.44) into (2.47), we obtain

$$a_0(s, \epsilon) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{1 + s^2}} \left[1 + O(\epsilon \sqrt{1 + s^2}) \right]. \quad (2.48)$$

Combining (2.46) and (2.48), we can obtain the expansion of $e_0(s, \epsilon)$ given in (2.45). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now we consider a 3-D eigenvalue problem:

$$B_\epsilon(\xi) \psi = \left(L - i\epsilon v \cdot \xi - i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0 \right) \psi = \lambda \psi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.49)$$

With the help of Lemma 2.8, we have the eigenvalue $\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)$ of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ defined by (2.49) as follows.

Theorem 2.9. (1) *There exists a small constant $r_0 > 0$ such that $\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}\lambda > -1/2\}$ consists of one point $\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)$ for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$. The eigenvalue $\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)$ is a C^∞ function of $|\xi|$ and ϵ for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$:*

$$\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon) = \beta_0(|\xi|, \epsilon) = -\epsilon^2(1 + |\xi|^2) + O(\epsilon^4(1 + |\xi|^2)^2), \quad (2.50)$$

where $\beta_0(s, \epsilon)$ is a C^∞ function of s and ϵ given in Lemma 2.8.

(2) *The eigenfunction $\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) = \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon, v)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} P_0 \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) = \frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}} \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon |\xi|), \\ P_1 \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) = -i\epsilon \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2} \left(v \cdot \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon^2(1 + |\xi|^2)). \end{cases} \quad (2.51)$$

Proof. Let \mathbb{O} be a rotational transformation in \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\mathbb{O} : \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \rightarrow (1, 0, 0)$. We have

$$\mathbb{O}^{-1} \left(L - i\epsilon v \cdot \xi - i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0 \right) \mathbb{O} = L - i\epsilon s v_1 - i\epsilon \frac{v_1}{s} P_0. \quad (2.52)$$

From Lemma 2.8, we have the following eigenvalue and eigenfunction for (2.49):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(L - i\epsilon v \cdot \xi - i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_0 \right) \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) &= \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon) \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon), \\ \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon) &= \beta_0(|\xi|, \epsilon), \quad \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) = \mathbb{O} e_0(|\xi|, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. \square

By virtue of Lemmas 2.3–2.6 and Theorem 2.9, we can make a detailed analysis on the semigroup $S(t, \xi, \epsilon) = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)}$ in terms of an argument similar to that of Theorem 3.4 in [21], we give the details of the proof in Appendix.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $r_0 > 0$ be given in Theorem 2.9. For any fixed $\epsilon \in (0, r_0)$, the semigroup $S(t, \xi, \epsilon) = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)}$ with $\xi \neq 0$ can be decomposed into*

$$S(t, \xi, \epsilon) f = S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) f + S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon) f, \quad f \in L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.53)$$

where

$$S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) f = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)} \left(f, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)} \right)_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) 1_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0\}}, \quad (2.54)$$

with $(\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon), \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon))$ being the eigenvalue and eigenfunction of the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ given in Theorem 2.9 for $\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0$, and $S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon)$ satisfies for two constants $a > 0$ and $C > 0$ independent of ξ and ϵ that

$$\|S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon) f\|_\xi \leq C e^{-\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|f\|_\xi. \quad (2.55)$$

3 Fluid approximations of semigroup

In this section, we give the first and second order fluid approximations of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon}$, which will be used to prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of the solution to the VFPF system towards the solution to the DDP system.

Let us introduce a Sobolev space of function $f = f(x, v)$ by $H_P^k = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3) \mid \|f\|_{H_P^k} < \infty\}$ ($L_P^2 = H_P^0$) with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_P^k}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H_P^k} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^k \|\hat{f}\|_\xi^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^k \left(\|\hat{f}\|^2 + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| (\hat{f}, \sqrt{M}) \right|^2 \right) d\xi \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\hat{f} = \hat{f}(\xi, v)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f(x, v)$ with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Note that it holds

$$\|f\|_{H_P^k}^2 = \|f\|_{H_x^k(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1}(f, \sqrt{M})\|_{H_x^k}^2.$$

For any $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)$, set

$$e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0 = (\mathcal{F}^{-1} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)} \mathcal{F}) f_0. \quad (3.2)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0\|_{H_P^k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^k \|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)} \hat{f}_0\|_\xi^2 d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^k \|\hat{f}_0\|_\xi^2 d\xi = \|f_0\|_{H_P^k}.$$

This means that the linear operator $\epsilon^{-2}B_\epsilon$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$ in H_P^k , and therefore, $f(t, x, v) = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0$ is a global solution to the linearized VPFP system (2.1) for any $f_0 \in H_P^k$.

Now we are going to establish the first and second order fluid approximations of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$. First of all, we introduce the following linearized DDP system to (1.12)–(1.14):

$$\partial_t n = Dn, \quad n(0, x) = n_0(x), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$D = -I + \Delta_x. \quad (3.4)$$

It is easy to verify that the operator D generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup e^{tD} in H_x^k . Thus, $n(t, x) = e^{tD}n_0$ is a global solution to the linearized DDP system (3.3) for any $n_0 \in H_x^k$.

We give a prepare lemma which will be used to study the fluid dynamical approximations of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $f_0 \in N_0$, we have*

$$\|S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon)f_0\|_\xi \leq C \left(\epsilon(1 + |\xi|)1_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0\}} + 1_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \geq r_0\}} \right) e^{-\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|f_0\|_\xi. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Define the projection $P_\epsilon(\xi)$ by

$$P_\epsilon(\xi)f = \left(f, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)} \right)_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon), \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3),$$

where $\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)$ is the eigenfunctions of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$ defined by (2.51).

By Theorem 2.10, we can assert that

$$S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) = S(t, \xi, \epsilon)1_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0\}} P_\epsilon(\xi). \quad (3.6)$$

Indeed, it follows from (5.1) that for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)} P_\epsilon(\xi)f &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa-i\infty}^{\kappa+i\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} (\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_\epsilon(\xi)f d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa-i\infty}^{\kappa+i\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} (\lambda - \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon))^{-1} P_\epsilon(\xi)f d\lambda \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)t} (f, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)})_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) = S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon)f. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), we can decompose $S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon)$ into

$$S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon) = S_{21}(t, \xi, \epsilon) + S_{22}(t, \xi, \epsilon), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$S_{21}(t, \xi, \epsilon) = S(t, \xi, \epsilon)1_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0\}} (I - P_\epsilon(\xi)), \quad (3.8)$$

$$S_{22}(t, \xi, \epsilon) = S(t, \xi, \epsilon)1_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \geq r_0\}}. \quad (3.9)$$

It holds that

$$\|S_{2j}(t, \xi, \epsilon)f\|_\xi \leq C e^{-\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|f\|_\xi, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.10)$$

Since for any $f_0 \in N_0$,

$$f_0 - P_\epsilon(\xi)f_0 = (f_0, h_0(\xi))_\xi h_0(\xi) - (f_0, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)})_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon),$$

where $h_0(\xi) = \frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}} \sqrt{M}$, it follows from (2.51) and the fact $S_{21}(t, \xi, \epsilon) = S_{21}(t, \xi, \epsilon)(I - P_\epsilon(\xi))$ that

$$\|S_{21}(t, \xi, \epsilon)f_0\|_\xi \leq C\epsilon(1 + |\xi|)1_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0\}} e^{-\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|f_0\|_\xi. \quad (3.11)$$

By combining (3.7)–(3.11), we obtain (3.5). \square

Then, we have the first order fluid approximation of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$ as follow.

Lemma 3.2. *For any integer $k \geq 0$ and any $f_0 \in H_P^{k+1}$, we have*

$$\left\| e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0 - e^{tD} n_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{H_P^k} \leq C \left(\epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}} + e^{-\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \right) \|f_0\|_{H_P^{k+1}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $n_0 = (f_0, \sqrt{M})$, and $a, C > 0$ are two constants independent of ϵ . Moreover, if $f_0 \in N_0$, then

$$\left\| e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0 - e^{tD} n_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{H_P^k} \leq C \epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{\epsilon}} \|f_0\|_{H_P^{k+1}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. For simplicity, we only prove the case of $k = 0$. By Theorem 2.10 and taking $\epsilon \leq r_0/2$ with $r_0 > 0$ given in Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0 - e^{tD} n_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\| e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)} \hat{f}_0 - e^{tD(\xi)} \hat{n}_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left\| S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0 - e^{tD(\xi)} \hat{n}_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\| S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0 \right\|^2 d\xi + 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left| e^{tD(\xi)} \hat{n}_0 \right|^2 d\xi \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $D(\xi) = -(1 + |\xi|^2)$ is the Fourier transform of the operator D .

We estimate I_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ as follows. Since from (2.54), (2.50) and (2.51),

$$S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0 = e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t + O(\epsilon^2(1+|\xi|^2)^2)t} \left(\hat{n}_0 \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}) \right),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left\| e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t + O(\epsilon^2(1+|\xi|^2)^2)t} \left(\hat{n}_0 \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t} \hat{n}_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \epsilon^2 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t} \left(r_0^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^3 t^2 |\hat{n}_0|^2 + (1+|\xi|^2) \|\hat{f}_0\|^2 \right) d\xi \\ &\leq C \epsilon^2 e^{-t} \|f_0\|_{H_x^1(L_v^2)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By (2.55), we have

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|\hat{f}_0\|_\xi^2 d\xi \leq C e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \left(\|f_0\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right). \quad (3.16)$$

For I_3 , it holds that

$$I_3 = 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-2(1+|\xi|^2)t} |\hat{n}_0|^2 d\xi \leq C e^{-\frac{r_0^2}{\epsilon^2}t} \|f_0\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2. \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.6)–(3.17) that

$$\left\| e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0 - e^{tD} n_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 \leq C \left(\epsilon^2 e^{-t} + e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \right) \|f_0\|_{H_P^1}^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\left\| \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} \left(e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0, \sqrt{M} \right) - \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} e^{tD} n_0 \right\|_{L_x^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} (S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0, \sqrt{M}) - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} e^{tD(\xi)} \hat{n}_0 \right|^2 d\xi \\
&\quad + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| (S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0, \sqrt{M}) \right|^2 d\xi + 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} |e^{tD(\xi)} \hat{n}_0|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \left(\epsilon^2 e^{-t} + e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \right) \|f_0\|_{H_P^1}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Combining (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain (3.12).

Then, we deal with (3.13). Since $f_0 \in N_0$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \epsilon^2 (1 + |\xi|^2) e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|\hat{f}_0\|_{\xi}^2 d\xi + C \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|\hat{f}_0\|_{\xi}^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \epsilon^2 e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \left(\|f_0\|_{H_x^1(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Moreover, we can bound I_3 by

$$I_3 = 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-2(1+|\xi|^2)t} |\hat{n}_0|^2 d\xi \leq C \epsilon^2 e^{-\frac{r_0^2}{\epsilon^2} t} \|f_0\|_{H_x^1(L_v^2)}^2. \tag{3.21}$$

Thus, by (3.15), (3.20) and (3.21) we obtain (3.13). This proves the lemma. \square

We have the second order fluid approximation of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon}$ as follow.

Lemma 3.3. For any integer $k \geq 0$ and any $f_0 \in H_P^{k+1}$ satisfying $P_0 f_0 = 0$,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0 + e^{tD} \operatorname{div}_x m_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{H_P^k} \leq C \left(\epsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \right) \|f_0\|_{H_x^{k+1}(L_v^2)}, \tag{3.22}$$

where $m_0 = (f_0, v\sqrt{M})$, and $a > 0$, $C > 0$ are two constants independent of ϵ .

Proof. For simplicity, we only prove the case of $k = 0$. By Theorem 2.10 and taking $\epsilon \leq r_0/2$ with $r_0 > 0$ given in Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0 + e^{tD} \operatorname{div}_x m_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 \\
&\leq 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0 + e^{tD(\xi)} (\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi) \sqrt{M} \right\|^2 d\xi \\
&\quad + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0 \right\|^2 d\xi + 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} |e^{tD(\xi)} (\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We estimate I_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ as follows. Since for any $f_0 \in L_x^2(L_v^2)$ satisfying $P_0 f_0 = 0$,

$$S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0 = e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t + O(\epsilon^2(1+|\xi|^2)^2)t} \left[-\epsilon (\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi) \sqrt{M} + O(\epsilon^2(1+|\xi|^2)) \right],$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left\| e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t + O(\epsilon^2(1+|\xi|^2)^2)t} \left[(\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi) \sqrt{M} - O(\epsilon(1+|\xi|^2)) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t} (\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi) \sqrt{M} \right\|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \epsilon^2 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-(1+|\xi|^2)t} \left(r_0^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^3 t^2 |(\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi)|^2 + (1+|\xi|^2)^2 \|\hat{f}_0\|^2 \right) d\xi \\
&\leq C \epsilon^2 t^{-1} e^{-t} \|f_0\|_{H_x^1(L_v^2)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By (2.55) and $P_0 f_0 = 0$, we have

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi \leq C \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \|f_0\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2. \quad (3.25)$$

For I_3 , it holds that

$$I_3 = 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-2(1+|\xi|^2)t} |(\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C e^{-\frac{r_0^2}{\epsilon^2} t} \|f_0\|_{H_x^1(L_v^2)}^2. \quad (3.26)$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.23)–(3.26) that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0 + e^{tD} \operatorname{div}_x m_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 \leq C \left(\epsilon^2 t^{-1} e^{-t} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \right) \|f_0\|_{H_x^1(L_v^2)}^2. \quad (3.27)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} (e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0, \sqrt{M}) - \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} e^{tD} \operatorname{div}_x m_0 \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ & \leq 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left| \frac{\xi}{\epsilon(1+|\xi|)^2} (S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0, \sqrt{M}) - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} e^{tD(\xi)} (\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi) \right|^2 d\xi \\ & \quad + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 |\xi|^2} \left| (S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon) \hat{f}_0, \sqrt{M}) \right|^2 d\xi + 3 \int_{1+|\xi| \geq \frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| e^{tD(\xi)} (\hat{m}_0 \cdot \xi) \right|^2 d\xi \\ & \leq C \left(\epsilon^2 t^{-1} e^{-t} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^{-2\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \right) \|f_0\|_{H_x^1(L_v^2)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Combining (3.27) and (3.28), we obtain (3.22). This proves the lemma. \square

4 Diffusion limit

In this section, we study the diffusion limit of the solution to the nonlinear VPFP system (1.7)–(1.9) based on the fluid approximations of the solution to the linear VPFP system given in Section 3.

Since the operators B_ϵ and A generate contraction semigroups in H_P^k and H_x^k ($k \geq 0$) respectively, the solution $f_\epsilon(t)$ to the VPFP system (1.7)–(1.9) and the solution $n(t)$ to the DDP system (1.12)–(1.14) can be represented by

$$f_\epsilon(t) = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0 + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} G(f_\epsilon)(s) ds, \quad (4.1)$$

$$n(t) = e^{tD} n_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)D} \operatorname{div}_x (n \nabla_x \Phi)(s) ds, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$n_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_0 \sqrt{M} dv.$$

By taking inner product between \sqrt{M} and (1.7), we obtain

$$\partial_t n_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \operatorname{div}_x m_\epsilon = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$n_\epsilon = (f_\epsilon, \sqrt{M}), \quad m_\epsilon = (f_\epsilon, v \sqrt{M}).$$

Taking the microscopic projection P_1 to (1.7), we have

$$\partial_t (P_1 f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} P_1 (v \cdot \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \sqrt{M} \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} L(P_1 f_\epsilon)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\epsilon}P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}P_1 G(f_\epsilon). \quad (4.4)$$

By (4.4), we can express the microscopic part $P_1 f_\epsilon$ as

$$P_1 f_\epsilon = L^{-1}[\epsilon^2 \partial_t (P_1 f_\epsilon) + \epsilon P_1(v \cdot \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) - \epsilon P_1 G] - \epsilon v \sqrt{M} \cdot (\nabla_x n_\epsilon - \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon). \quad (4.5)$$

Substituting (4.5) into (4.3), we obtain

$$\partial_t n_\epsilon + \epsilon \partial_t \operatorname{div}_x m_\epsilon + n_\epsilon - \Delta_x n_\epsilon = -\operatorname{div}_x(v \cdot \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon - P_1 G, v \sqrt{M}). \quad (4.6)$$

Define the energy $E(f_\epsilon)$ and the dissipation $D(f_\epsilon)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_\epsilon) &= \|f_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon(t)\|_{H_x^4}^2, \\ D(f_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + \|P_0 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon = \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1}(f_\epsilon, \sqrt{M})$.

First, we establish the following energy estimate for the solution f_ϵ to the system (1.7)–(1.9).

Lemma 4.1. *For any $\epsilon \ll 1$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)} + \|(f_0, \sqrt{M})\|_{L_x^1} \leq \delta_0$, then the system (1.7)–(1.9) admits a unique global solution $f_\epsilon(t) = f_\epsilon(t, x, v)$ satisfying the following energy estimate:*

$$E(f_\epsilon(t)) + \int_0^t D(f_\epsilon(s)) ds \leq C\delta_0^2, \quad (4.7)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ . Moreover, $f_\epsilon(t)$ has the following time-decay rate:

$$E(f_\epsilon(t)) \leq C\delta_0^2 e^{-t}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. First, we establish the macroscopic energy estimate of f_ϵ . Taking the inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon$ and ∂_x^α (4.6) with $|\alpha| \leq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2 + 2 \frac{d}{dt} \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x m_\epsilon \partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon dx + \frac{3}{2} \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x n_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 + CE(f_\epsilon)D(f_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Similarly, taking the inner product between $-\partial_x^\alpha \Phi_\epsilon$ and ∂_x^α (4.6) with $|\alpha| \leq 3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2 + 2 \frac{d}{dt} \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon \partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon dx + \frac{3}{2} \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 \right) + CE(f_\epsilon)D(f_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Taking the summation of (4.9) + (4.10) with $|\alpha| \leq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|n_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 \right) + \frac{3}{2} \left(\|\nabla_x n_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 + 2\|n_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \epsilon \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x m_\epsilon \partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon \partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon dx \right) \\ & \leq CE(f_\epsilon)D(f_\epsilon) + C\|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we deal with the microscopic energy estimate of f_ϵ . By taking the inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon$ and ∂_x^α (1.7) with $|\alpha| \leq 4$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (L \partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon) \partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon dx dv$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \partial_x^\alpha (v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon f_\epsilon) \partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon dx dv - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \partial_x^\alpha (\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_v f_\epsilon) \partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon dx dv \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{2\epsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2(L_v^2)}^2 + CE(f_\epsilon)D(f_\epsilon),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

which leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4}^2 \right) + \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^2} \|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 \leq CE(f_\epsilon)D(f_\epsilon). \tag{4.13}$$

Taking the summation of $C_1(4.11) + (4.13)$ with $C_1 > 0$ large and $\epsilon > 0$ small to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + C_1 \|n_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 + (C_1 + 1) \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4}^2 \right) \\
&+ \frac{d}{dt} C_1 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \epsilon \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x m_\epsilon \partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon \partial_x^\alpha \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon dx \right) \\
&+ \frac{\mu}{\epsilon^2} \|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + \frac{3}{2} C_1 \left(\|\nabla_x n_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 + 2 \|n_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 \right) \\
&\leq CE(f_\epsilon)D(f_\epsilon).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Assume that $E(f_\epsilon) \leq C\delta_0$. It follows from (4.14) that for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, there exist two functionals $E_1(f_\epsilon) \sim E(f_\epsilon)$ and $D_1(f_\epsilon) \sim D(f_\epsilon)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_1(f_\epsilon) + D_1(f_\epsilon) \leq 0, \quad D_1(f_\epsilon) \geq E_1(f_\epsilon). \tag{4.15}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_x \Phi_0\|_{H_x^4}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^2 |(\hat{f}_0, \sqrt{M})|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \sup_{|\xi| \leq 1} |(\hat{f}_0, \sqrt{M})|^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^2 |(\hat{f}_0, \sqrt{M})|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \left(\|f_0\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + \|(f_0, \sqrt{M})\|_{L_x^1}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

we can prove (4.7) and (4.8) by (4.15) for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\epsilon \ll 1$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)} + \|\nabla_v f_0\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)} + \|v|f_0\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)} + \|(f_0, \sqrt{M})\|_{L_x^1} \leq \delta_0$, then the solution $f_\epsilon(t) = f_\epsilon(t, x, v)$ to the system (1.7)–(1.9) satisfies*

$$\|f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_v f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \|v|f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^4}^2 \leq C\delta_0^2 e^{-t}, \tag{4.16}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ .

Proof. By taking P_1 to (1.7) and noting that $P_1 L f_\epsilon = L P_1 f_\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t P_1 f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \Delta_v P_1 f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{|v|^2}{4} P_1 f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{3}{2} P_1 f_\epsilon \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \sqrt{M} \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} P_0 (v \cdot \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_v f_\epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Taking the inner product between $|v|^2 \partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon$ and $\partial_x^\alpha (4.17)$ with $|\alpha| \leq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|v|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\|v|\nabla_v P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|v|^2 P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 \right) \right) \\
&\leq C \left(\|\nabla_x f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 \right) + \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} \|v|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ C \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 \left(\| |v| f_\epsilon \|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_v f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 \right). \quad (4.18)$$

Taking ∂_v^β with $|\beta| = 1$ to (4.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x \partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \Delta_v \partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{|v|^2}{2} \partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{3}{2} \partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_x^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_v^\beta (v \sqrt{M}) \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_v^\beta (v \cdot \nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_v^\beta P_0 (v \cdot \nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon \partial_v^\beta f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \partial_x^\beta \Phi_\epsilon f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_v \partial_v^\beta f_\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Taking the inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon$ and $\partial_x^\alpha (4.19)$ with $|\alpha| \leq 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_v P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\|\nabla_v^2 P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \| |v| \nabla_v P_1 f_\epsilon \|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\|\nabla_x f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 \right) + \frac{C}{\epsilon^2} \left(\|\nabla_v P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \| |v| P_1 f_\epsilon \|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 \right) \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3}^2 \left(\|f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_v f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Taking the summation of $C_2(4.14) + (4.18) + (4.20)$ with $C_2 > 0$ large and taking $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, we can prove (4.16) by a similar argument as (4.14). \square

By a similar argument as Lemma 4.1, we can show

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|n_0\|_{H_x^4} + \|n_0\|_{L_x^1} \leq \delta_0$, then the system (1.12)–(1.14) admits a unique global solution $n(t)$ satisfying*

$$\|n(t)\|_{H_x^4} + \|\nabla_x \Phi(t)\|_{H_x^4} \leq C \delta_0 e^{-\frac{t}{2}}, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\nabla_x \Phi(t) = \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} n(t)$ and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Theorem 1.1 is directly follows from Lemmas 4.1.

With the help of Lemmas 4.1–(4.3), we can prove Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Define

$$Q_\epsilon(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\epsilon e^{-\frac{s}{2}} + e^{-\frac{as}{\epsilon^2}} \right)^{-1} \|f_\epsilon(s) - n(s) \sqrt{M}\|_{H_P^2}, \quad (4.22)$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_P^2}$ is defined by (3.1).

We claim that

$$Q_\epsilon(t) \leq C \delta_0, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (4.23)$$

It is easy to verify that the estimate (1.21) follows from (4.23).

By (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\epsilon(t) - n(t) \sqrt{M}\|_{H_P^2} & \leq \left\| e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} f_0 - e^{tD} n_0 \sqrt{M} \right\|_{H_P^2} \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} G(f_\epsilon) - e^{(t-s)D} \operatorname{div}_x (n \nabla_x \Phi) \sqrt{M} \right\|_{H_P^2} ds \\ & =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By (3.12), we can bound I_1 by

$$I_1 \leq C \delta_0 \left(\epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{2}} + e^{-\frac{at}{\epsilon^2}} \right). \quad (4.25)$$

To estimate I_2 , we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_0^t \left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon} G(f_\epsilon) - e^{(t-s)D} \operatorname{div}_x (n_\epsilon \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon) \sqrt{M} \right\|_{H_x^2} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left\| e^{(t-s)D} [\operatorname{div}_x (n_\epsilon \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon) - \operatorname{div}_x (n \nabla_x \Phi)] \sqrt{M} \right\|_{H_x^2} ds \\ &=: I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Since $(G(f_\epsilon), \sqrt{M}) = 0$ and $(G(f_\epsilon), v\sqrt{M}) = n_\epsilon \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon$, it follows from (3.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C \int_0^t \left(\epsilon(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\alpha(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}} \right) \|G(f_\epsilon)\|_{H_x^2(L_v^2)} ds \\ &\leq C \delta_0^2 \int_0^t \left(\epsilon(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\alpha(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}} \right) e^{-s} ds, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used (refer to (4.16))

$$\|G(f_\epsilon)\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)} \leq C (\|v|f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)} + \|\nabla_v f_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3(L_v^2)}) \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^3} \leq C \delta_0^2 e^{-t}.$$

Denote

$$J_1 = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\alpha(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}} e^{-s} ds. \tag{4.28}$$

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \left(\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\alpha(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}} e^{-s} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{t/2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\alpha(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}} ds + e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \int_{t/2}^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} e^{-\frac{\alpha(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}} ds \\ &\leq C \left(\epsilon e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2\epsilon^2}} + \epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Thus, it follows from (4.27)–(4.29) that

$$I_3 \leq C \delta_0^2 \epsilon \left(e^{-\frac{t}{2}} + e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2\epsilon^2}} \right). \tag{4.30}$$

For any vector $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3) \in H_x^k$, we have

$$\|e^{tD} \operatorname{div}_x F \sqrt{M}\|_{H_x^k}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2(1+|\xi|^2)t} (1+|\xi|^2)^{k+1} |\hat{F}|^2 d\xi \leq C t^{-1} e^{-t} \|F\|_{H_x^k}^2.$$

This together with (4.22), (4.21) and (4.16) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}} \left(\|n_\epsilon - n\|_{H_x^2} \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|n\|_{H_x^2} \|\nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon - \nabla_x \Phi\|_{H_x^2} \right) ds \\ &\leq C \delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}} \left(\epsilon e^{-s} + e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2}\right)s} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Denote

$$J_2 = \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2}\right)s} ds. \tag{4.32}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \sqrt{s} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2}s} \leq C e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\epsilon^2}s},$$

we have

$$J_2 \leq C\epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \left(\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq C\epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{2}}. \quad (4.33)$$

Thus, it follows from (4.31)–(4.33) that

$$I_4 \leq C\delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t) \epsilon e^{-\frac{t}{2}}. \quad (4.34)$$

By combining (4.24)–(4.26), (4.30) and (4.34), we obtain

$$Q_\epsilon(t) \leq C\delta_0 + C\delta_0^2 + C\delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t), \quad (4.35)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ . By taking $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough, we can prove (4.23). By (3.13) and a similar argument as above, we can prove (1.22). \square

5 Appendix

We give the proof of Theorem 2.10 in the following.

Proof of Theorem 2.10. For simplicity, we assume that $0 < \epsilon \leq r_0/2$. By Theorem 2.7 in [28], it is sufficient to prove (2.53) for $f \in D(B_\epsilon(\xi)^2)$ because the domain $D(B_\epsilon(\xi)^2)$ is dense in $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$. By Corollary 7.5 in [28], the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)}$ can be represented by

$$e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)} f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa-i\infty}^{\kappa+i\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} (\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} f d\lambda, \quad f \in D(B_\epsilon(\xi)^2), \quad \kappa > 0. \quad (5.1)$$

First of all, we investigate the formula (5.1) for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$. By (2.33) we have

$$(\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} = [\lambda^{-1} P_0 + (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1] - Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi), \quad (5.2)$$

with the operator $Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)$ defined by

$$Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) = [\lambda^{-1} P_0 + (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1] [I + Y_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)]^{-1} Y_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi), \quad (5.3)$$

$$Y_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) = i\epsilon \lambda^{-1} P_1(v \cdot \xi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\right) P_0 + i\epsilon P_0(v \cdot \xi) P_1 (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1. \quad (5.4)$$

By a similar argument as Lemma 3.1 in [22], we conclude from (2.24) that the operator $Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on N_0^\perp , which satisfies for any $t > 0$ and $f \in N_0^\perp$ that

$$\|e^{tQ_\epsilon(\xi)} f\| \leq e^{-t} \|f\|. \quad (5.5)$$

In addition, for any $x > -1$ and $f \in N_0^\perp$, it holds that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|[(x+iy)P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)]^{-1} f\|^2 dy \leq \pi(x+1)^{-1} \|f\|^2. \quad (5.6)$$

Substituting (5.2) into (5.1), we have the following decomposition of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)}$

$$e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)} f = P_0 f + e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} Q_\epsilon(\xi)} P_1 f - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa-i\infty}^{\kappa+i\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f d\lambda, \quad \epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0. \quad (5.7)$$

To estimate the last term on the right hand side of (5.7), let us denote

$$U_{\kappa, N} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-N}^N e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}(\kappa+iy)} Z_\epsilon(\kappa+iy, \xi) f dy, \quad (5.8)$$

where the constant $N > 0$ is chosen large enough so that $N > y_0$ with y_0 defined in Lemma 2.6. Since $Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)$ is analytic on the domain $\text{Re}\lambda > -1/2$ with only finite singularities at $\lambda = \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon) \in \sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ and $\lambda = 0$, we

can shift the integration (5.8) from the line $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = \kappa > 0$ to $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = -1/2$. Then by the Residue Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\kappa,N} = & \operatorname{Res} \left\{ e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f; \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon) \right\} + \operatorname{Res} \left\{ e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f; 0 \right\} \\ & + U_{-\frac{1}{2},N} + J_N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where $\operatorname{Res}\{f(\lambda); \lambda_j\}$ means the residue of $f(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_j$ and

$$J_N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{-\frac{1}{2}+iN}^{\kappa+iN} - \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-iN}^{\kappa-iN} \right) e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f d\lambda.$$

The right hand side of (5.9) is estimated as follows. By Lemma 2.5, it is easy to verify that

$$\|J_N\|_\xi \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.10)$$

Let

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} U_{-\frac{1}{2},N}(t) = U_{-\frac{1}{2},\infty}(t) =: \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f d\lambda. \quad (5.11)$$

Since it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\|Y_\epsilon(-\frac{1}{2} + iy, \xi)\|_\xi \leq 1/2$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$ with $r_0 > 0$ being sufficiently small, the operator $I - Y_\epsilon(-\frac{1}{2} + iy, \xi)$ is invertible on $L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and satisfies $\|[I - Y_\epsilon(-\frac{1}{2} + iy, \xi)]^{-1}\|_\xi \leq 2$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$. Thus, we have for any $f, g \in L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$

$$\begin{aligned} |(U_{-\frac{1}{2},\infty}(t)f, g)_\xi| & \leq e^{-\frac{t}{2\epsilon^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)f, g)_\xi| dy \\ & \leq C\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) e^{-\frac{t}{2\epsilon^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\|[\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi)]^{-1} P_1 f\| + |\lambda|^{-1} \|P_0 f\|_\xi) \\ & \quad \times (\|[\bar{\lambda} P_1 - Q_\epsilon(-\xi)]^{-1} P_1 g\| + |\bar{\lambda}|^{-1} \|P_0 g\|_\xi) dy, \quad \lambda = -\frac{1}{2} + iy. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.6) and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|(x + iy)^{-1} P_0 f\|_\xi^2 dy = \pi |x|^{-1} \|P_0 f\|_\xi^2$$

imply that $|(U_{-\frac{1}{2},\infty}(t)f, g)_\xi| \leq Cr_0 e^{-\frac{t}{2\epsilon^2}} \|f\|_\xi \|g\|_\xi$, and

$$\|U_{-\frac{1}{2},\infty}(t)\|_\xi \leq Cr_0 e^{-\frac{t}{2\epsilon^2}}. \quad (5.12)$$

Since $\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon), 0 \in \rho(Q_\epsilon(\xi))$, and

$$Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) = \lambda^{-1} P_0 + (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} P_1 - (\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1},$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}\{e^{\lambda t} Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f; \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)\} & = -\operatorname{Res}\{e^{\lambda t} (\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} f; \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)\} \\ & = -e^{\lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)t} \left(f, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)} \right)_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\operatorname{Res}\{e^{\lambda t} Z_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f; 0\} = \operatorname{Res}\{e^{\lambda t} \lambda^{-1} P_0 f; 0\} = P_0 f. \quad (5.14)$$

Therefore, we conclude from (5.7) and (5.8)–(5.14) that

$$e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} B_\epsilon(\xi)} f = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} Q_\epsilon(\xi)} P_1 f + U_{-\frac{1}{2},\infty}(t) + e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2} \lambda_0(|\xi|, \epsilon)} \left(f, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)} \right)_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon), \quad (5.15)$$

for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) \leq r_0$.

Next, we turn to investigate the formula (5.1) for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) > r_0$. It holds that $\epsilon|\xi| > r_0/2$. By (2.19) we have

$$(\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} = (\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} + H_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi), \quad (5.16)$$

with

$$H_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) = (\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}[I - G_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)]^{-1}G_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi), \quad (5.17)$$

$$G_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) = (K - i\epsilon(v \cdot \xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_0)(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}. \quad (5.18)$$

By Lemma 2.1 and a similar argument as Lemma 3.1 in [22], we conclude that the operator $A_\epsilon(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, which satisfies for any $t > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ that

$$\|e^{tA_\epsilon(\xi)}f\| \leq e^{-\nu_0 t}\|f\|. \quad (5.19)$$

In addition, for any $x > -\nu_0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$, it holds that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|(x + iy - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}f\|^2 dy \leq \pi(x + \nu_0)^{-1}\|f\|^2. \quad (5.20)$$

Substituting (5.16) into (5.1) yields

$$e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}f = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}A_\epsilon(\xi)}f + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\kappa - i\infty}^{\kappa + i\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} H_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f d\lambda, \quad \epsilon(1 + |\xi|) > r_0. \quad (5.21)$$

Similarly, in order to estimate the last term on the right hand side of (5.21), let us denote

$$V_{\kappa, N} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-N}^N e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}(\kappa + iy)} H_\epsilon(\kappa + iy, \xi) dy \quad (5.22)$$

for sufficiently large constant $N > 0$ as in (5.8). Since the operator $H_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)$ is analytic on the domain $\text{Re}\lambda \geq -\sigma_0$ for the constant $\sigma_0 = \alpha(r_0/2)/2$ with $\alpha(r) > 0$ given by Lemma 2.4, we can again shift the integration of (5.22) from the line $\text{Re}\lambda = \kappa > 0$ to $\text{Re}\lambda = -\sigma_0$ to obtain

$$V_{\kappa, N} = V_{-\sigma_0, N} + I_N, \quad (5.23)$$

with

$$I_N = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{-\sigma_0 + iN}^{-\kappa + iN} - \int_{-\sigma_0 - iN}^{-\kappa - iN} \right) e^{\frac{\lambda t}{\epsilon^2}} H_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi) f d\lambda.$$

By Lemma 2.3, it holds that

$$\|I_N\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.24)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.3 and a similar argument as Lemma 2.4, we can obtain

$$\sup_{\epsilon|\xi| > r_0/2, y \in \mathbb{R}} \|[I - G_\epsilon(-\sigma_0 + iy, \xi)]^{-1}\| \leq C. \quad (5.25)$$

By (5.17), (5.25) and (5.20), we have for any $f, g \in L_\xi^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3)$

$$\begin{aligned} |(V_{-\sigma_0, \infty}(t)f, g)| &\leq C e^{-\frac{\sigma_0 t}{\epsilon^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(H_\epsilon(\lambda, \xi)f, g)| dy \\ &\leq C(\|K\| + \epsilon^2 r_0^{-1}) e^{-\frac{\sigma_0 t}{\epsilon^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}f\| \|(\bar{\lambda} - A_\epsilon(-\xi))^{-1}g\| dy \\ &\leq C(\|K\| + \epsilon^2 r_0^{-1}) e^{-\frac{\sigma_0 t}{\epsilon^2}} (\nu_0 - \sigma_0)^{-1} \|f\| \|g\|, \quad \lambda = -\sigma_0 + iy. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

From (5.26) and the fact $\|f\|^2 \leq \|f\|_\xi^2 \leq (1 + 4\epsilon^2 r_0^{-2})\|f\|^2$ for $\epsilon(1 + |\xi|) > r_0$, we have

$$\|V_{-\sigma_0, \infty}(t)\|_\xi \leq C e^{-\frac{\sigma_0 t}{\epsilon^2}} (\nu_0 - \sigma_0)^{-1}. \quad (5.27)$$

Therefore, we conclude from (5.21) and (5.22)–(5.27) that

$$e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}f = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}A_\epsilon(\xi)}f + V_{-\sigma_0,\infty}(t), \quad \epsilon(1 + |\xi|) > r_0. \quad (5.28)$$

The combination of (5.15) and (5.28) gives rise to (2.53) with $S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon)f$ and $S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon)f$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t, \xi, \epsilon)f &= e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}\lambda_0(|\xi|,\epsilon)} \left(f, \overline{\psi_0(\xi, \epsilon)} \right)_\xi \psi_0(\xi, \epsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0\}}, \\ S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon)f &= \left(e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}Q_\epsilon(\xi)} P_1 f + U_{-\frac{1}{2},\infty}(t) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) \leq r_0\}} \\ &\quad + \left(e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}A_\epsilon(\xi)} f + V_{-\sigma_0,\infty}(t) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\epsilon(1+|\xi|) > r_0\}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $S_2(t, \xi, \epsilon)f$ satisfies (2.55) in terms of (5.5), (5.19) (5.12) and (5.27). \square

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Yan Guo for helpful suggestions. The research of the author was supported by the National Science Fund for Excellent Young Scholars No. 11922107, the National Natural Science Foundation of China grants No. 11671100, and Guangxi Natural Science Foundation Nos. 2018GXNSFAA138210 and 2019JJG110010.

References

- [1] A. Arnold, P.A. Markowich and G. Toscani, On large time asymptotics for drift-diffusion-Poisson systems. *Transp. Theory Stat. Phys.* 29,(2000), 571-581.
- [2] C. Bardos and S. Ukai, The classical incompressible Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 1 (1991), 235-257.
- [3] P. Biler, Existence and asymptotics of solutions for a parabolic-elliptic system with nonlinear no-flux boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis* 19 (1992), 1121-1136.
- [4] P. Biler, W. Hebisch and T. Nadzieja, The Debye system: existence and large time behavior of solutions. *Nonlinear Anal.* 23(9) (1994), 1189-1209.
- [5] P. Biler and J. Dolbeault, Long time behavior of solutions of Nernst-Planck and Debye-Hückel drift-diffusion systems. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 1 (2000), 461-472.
- [6] L. Bonilla, J.-A. Carrillo and J. Soler, Asymptotic behavior of an initial-boundary value problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 57(5) (1997), 1343-1372.
- [7] F. Bouchut, Existence and uniqueness of a global smooth solution for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system in three dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.* 111(1) (1993), 239-258.
- [8] F. Bouchut, Smoothing effect for the non-linear Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *J. Differ. Equ.* 122(2), 225-238 (1995)
- [9] F. Bouchut and J. Dolbeault, On long asymptotics of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation and of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system with coulombic and newtonian potentials. *Differ. Integral Equ.* 8 (1995), 487-514.
- [10] J.-A. Carrillo, Global weak solutions for the initial-boundary value problems to the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 21 (1998), 907-938.
- [11] J.-A. Carrillo and J. Soler, On the initial value problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system with initial data in L^p spaces. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 18(10)(1995), 825-839.
- [12] J.-A. Carrillo, J. Soler and J.-L. Vazquez, Asymptotic behaviour and self-similarity for the three dimensional Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *J. Funct. Anal.* 141 (1996), 99-132.
- [13] R.S. Ellis and M.A. Pinsky, The first and second fluid approximations to the linearized Boltzmann equation. *J. Math. pure et appl.*, 54 (1975), 125-156.
- [14] W. Fang and K. Ito, On the time-dependent drift-diffusion model for semiconductors. *J. Differ. Equ.* 117 (1995), 245-280.
- [15] N. El Ghani and N. Masmoudi, Diffusion limit of the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 8(2) (2010), 463-479.
- [16] T. Goudon, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system: analysis of the two-dimensional case. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 15(5) (2005), 737-752.
- [17] T. Goudon, J. Nieto, F. Poupaud and J. Soler, Multidimensional high-field limit of the electro-static Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *J. Differ. Equ.* 213(2) (2005), 418-442.
- [18] H.J. Hwang and J. Jang, On the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck equation near Maxwellian. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* 18(3) (2013), 681-691.
- [19] A. Jüngel, Quasi-hydrodynamic Semiconductor Equations. *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications*, Vol. 41. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

- [20] A. Krzywicki and T. Nadzieja, A nonstationary problem in the theory of electrolytes, *Q. Appl. Math.* 50 (1992), 105-107.
- [21] H.-L. Li, T. Yang and M.Y. Zhong, Spectrum analysis for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 241 (2021), 311-355.
- [22] H.-L. Li, T. Yang, J.W. Sun and M.Y. Zhong, Large time behavior of solutions to Vlasov-Poisson-Landau (Fokker-Planck) equations (in Chinese). *Sci. Sin. Math.*, 46 (2016), 981-1004.
- [23] H.-L. Li, T. Yang and M.Y. Zhong, Diffusion Limit of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann System. *Kinetic and Related Models*, 14(2) (2021), 211-255.
- [24] L. Luo and H.-J. Yu, Spectrum analysis of the linear Fokker-Planck equation. *Anal. Appl.*, 15(3) (2017), 313-331.
- [25] P.A. Markowich, C.A. Ringhofer and C. Schmeiser, *Semiconductor Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1990. x+248 pp.
- [26] J. Maxwell, On stresses in rarefied gases arising from inequalities of temperature. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.* 170, 231-256 (1879) (Appendix)
- [27] J. Nieto, F. Poupaud and J. Soler, High-field limit for the Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 158(1) (2001), 29-59.
- [28] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. AMS Vol. 44. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983)
- [29] F. Poupaud and J. Soler, Parabolic limit and stability of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck system. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 10(7) (2000), 1027-1045.
- [30] G. Rein and J. Weckler, Generic global classical solutions of the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck-Poisson system in three dimensions. *J. Differ. Equ.* 99(1) (1992), 59-77.
- [31] S. Ukai and T. Yang, *Mathematical Theory of Boltzmann Equation*. Lecture Notes Series-No. 8, Hong Kong: Liu Bie Ju Center for Mathematical Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, March 2006.
- [32] H.D. Victory, On the existence of global weak solutions for Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 160(2) (1991), 525-555.
- [33] H.D. Victory and B.P. O'Dwyer, On classical solutions of Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck systems. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 39(1) (1990), 105-156.
- [34] H. Wu, T.-C. Lin and C. Liu, Diffusion Limit of Kinetic Equations for Multiple Species Charged Particles, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 215 (2015), 419-441.