

# The disorder number of a graph

Sela Fried\*

[friedsela@gmail.com](mailto:friedsela@gmail.com)

## Abstract

We define the disorder number of a graph as the maximal length of a walk along the edges of the graph, according to any ordering of its vertices. We then reformulate, from this graph theory point of view, the known results regarding the few special cases that were previously studied, putting them thereby in a unifying context. We study, seemingly for the first time, the disorder number of the cycle graph and of the grid graph of arbitrary size.

## 1 Introduction

Suppose we wish to enumerate  $n$  places in a row such that a walk starting at 1, then proceeding to 2 and so on until  $n$  is reached, has the longest length. For example, if  $n = 5$ , then the walk corresponding to 31524 has the length  $2 + 3 + 4 + 2 = 11$ , which is the longest possible. Indeed, it has been recently shown by [Bulteau et al., 2021, Theorem 8] that the length of the longest possible walk is given by  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \rfloor - 1$ , which, for  $n = 5$ , amounts to 11.

The motivation for this work is the insight that the above question may be reformulated in terms of graphs, in a way that covers the following two questions as special cases:

1. What is length of the longest possible walk, if we enumerate the  $n^2$  squares of an  $n \times n$  array, when the length of a walk between two consecutive squares is measured by the rectilinear distance between them? This questions seems to go back to 2010, when the corresponding sequence was registered as [A179094](#) in the OEIS by T. Young.

---

\*A postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Computer Science at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel.

2. In 2013, M. J. Dominus asked (and subsequently answered) the following question ([Dominus \[2013\]](#)): Let  $S$  be any ordering of the set  $\{0, 1\}^n$  of all binary sequences of length  $n$ . What is the maximum sum of the Hamming distances (i.e. the number of bits that change) between two consecutive elements of  $S$ ? J. O'Rourke asked almost the same question in 2018 ([O'Rourke \[2018\]](#)).

Our contribution in this work may be summarized as follows:

1. We define the disorder number of a graph as the maximal length of a walk along the edges of the graph, according to any ordering of its vertices. Under this definition, the three questions described above may be reformulated, respectively, as follows: What is the disorder number of the path graph, the square grid graph and the hypercube graph?
2. We reformulate the known results regarding the path graph and the hypercube graph and obtain new results regarding the cycle graph and the grid graph.
3. A natural question that arises is the following: What is the expected length of a walk on a graph  $G$ , if the ordering of its vertices is random? It turns out that, if  $G$  is of order  $n$ , the expected length coincides, up to a multiplicative factor of  $\frac{2}{n}$ , with the Wiener index of  $G$ , a graph quantity that has been studied extensively under different names (cf. [[Yeh and Gutman, 1994](#), pp. 1-2]). We give several examples in this respect.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of natural numbers and let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  to be used throughout the work. We denote by  $[n]$  the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . All graphs are tacitly assumed to be connected, undirected and without loops or multiple edges. If  $G$  is a graph with a vertices set  $V$  and an edges set  $E$ , we write  $G = (V, E)$ . The distance between two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of a graph  $G$  is defined to be the length of the shortest path connecting  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$  and is denoted by  $d(x, y)$  (e.g. [[Harary, 1971](#), p. 13]). As usual,  $P_n$  and  $C_n$  denote the path graph and the cycle graph of order  $n$ , respectively. The product of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is denoted by  $G_1 \times G_2$  (e.g., [[Harary, 1971](#), p. 22]). The grid graph  $P_m \times P_n$ , the torus graph  $C_m \times C_n$  and the hypercube graph  $Q_n = \underbrace{P_2 \times \dots \times P_2}_{n \text{ times}}$  all result from products.

The following definition lays the ground for this work.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph of order  $|V| = n$ . An *ordering* of  $G$  is a bijection  $\sigma: V \rightarrow [n]$ . The set of all orderings of  $G$  is denoted by  $\Sigma(G)$ . The *disorder number* of  $G$  with respect to the ordering  $\sigma \in \Sigma(G)$  is denoted by  $O(G, \sigma)$  and is defined to be

$$O(G, \sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1)).$$

The *disorder number* of  $G$  is denoted by  $M(G)$  and is defined to be

$$M(G) = \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma(G)} O(G, \sigma).$$

The *average disorder* of  $G$  is denoted by  $A(G)$  and is defined to be

$$A(G) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(G)} O(G, \sigma).$$

### 3 Main results

This section is divided into two parts: In the first, we address the disorder number and in the second, the average disorder.

#### 3.1 The disorder number

We begin with the cycle graph, whose disorder number seems not to have been studied before.

##### 3.1.1 The cycle graph $C_n$

Consider the cycle graph  $C_n$  and assume that its set of vertices is given by  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . The distance between  $x, y \in V$  in  $C_n$  is given by

$$d(x, y) = \min\{|x - y|, n - |x - y|\}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** We have  $M(C_n) = \left\lceil \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} \right\rceil$  (registered as [A000982](#)). Furthermore,

$$|\{\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n) \mid O(C_n, \sigma) = M(C_n)\}| = 2n.$$

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of the distance in  $C_n$  that  $d(x, y) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , for every  $x, y \in V$ . First, assume that  $n$  is odd and let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n)$ . We have

$$O(C_n, \sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \overbrace{d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1))}^{\leq \frac{n-1}{2}} \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} = \left\lceil \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} \right\rceil$$

with an equality if and only if  $d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1)) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , for every  $i \in [n-1]$ . We shall now construct two versions of  $\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n)$  such that  $O(C_n, \sigma) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$ : Let  $x_0 \in V$ . Either

1.  $\sigma(x_0 + (i-1) \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \pmod{n}) = i$ , for every  $i \in [n]$ , or
2.  $\sigma(x_0 - (i-1) \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \pmod{n}) = i$ , for every  $i \in [n]$ .

It is easy to see that  $\sigma$  is well defined in each of the two versions and that  $O(C_n, \sigma) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$ .

To count the orderings  $\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n)$  such that  $O(C_n, \sigma) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$ , notice that, for every  $x \in V$ , the equation

$$d(x, y) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$$

has exactly two solutions in  $V$ , namely

$$y = x \pm \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor.$$

Thus, if

$$\sigma(x_0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma\left(x_0 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \pmod{n}\right) = 2,$$

then, necessarily,

$$\sigma\left(x_0 + (i-1) \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \pmod{n}\right) = i,$$

for every  $3 \leq i \leq n$ . Similarly, if

$$\sigma(x_0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma\left(x_0 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \pmod{n}\right) = 2,$$

then, necessarily,

$$\sigma\left(x_0 - (i-1) \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \pmod{n}\right) = i,$$

for every  $3 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus, there are exactly  $2n$  orderings  $\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n)$  such that  $O(C_n, \sigma) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$ , one for each  $x_0 \in V$  in each of the two versions.

Assume now that  $n$  is even and let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n)$ . Since for every  $x \in V$  there is a unique  $y \in V$  such that  $d(x, y) = \frac{n}{2}$ , we must have

$$d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1)) + d(\sigma^{-1}(i+1), \sigma^{-1}(i+2)) \leq n-1,$$

for every  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$  and equality holds if and only if

$$\{d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1)), d(\sigma^{-1}(i+1), \sigma^{-1}(i+2))\} = \left\{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} - 1\right\}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
O(C_n, \sigma) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1)) \\
&\leq \overbrace{\frac{n}{2} + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) + \frac{n}{2} + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) + \cdots + \frac{n}{2}}^{n-1 \text{ terms}} \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{(n-1)^2 + 1}{2} \\
&= \left\lceil \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} \right\rceil.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall now construct two versions of  $\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n)$  such that  $O(C_n, \sigma) = \frac{(n-1)^2+1}{2}$ : Let  $x_0 \in V$ . Either

1.  $\sigma(x_0 + (i-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) + \lceil \frac{i-1}{2} \rceil \pmod{n}) = i$  for every  $i \in [n]$ , or
2.  $\sigma(x_0 - (i-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) - \lceil \frac{i-1}{2} \rceil \pmod{n}) = i$  for every  $i \in [n]$ .

It is easy to see that  $\sigma$  is well defined in each of the two versions and that  $O(C_n, \sigma) = \frac{(n-1)^2+1}{2}$ . To count the orderings  $\sigma \in \Sigma(C_n)$  such that  $O(C_n, \sigma) = \frac{(n-1)^2+1}{2}$ , notice that, for every  $x \in V$ , the equation

$$d(x, y) = \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

has exactly two solutions in  $V$ , namely

$$y = x \pm \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right).$$

Setting  $\sigma(x_0) = 1$ , then, necessarily,

$$\sigma\left(x_0 + \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n}\right) = \sigma\left(x_0 - \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n}\right) = 2.$$

Now, we may either set

$$\sigma\left(x_0 + \frac{n}{2} + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \pmod{n}\right) = 3 \tag{1}$$

or

$$\sigma\left(x_0 - \frac{n}{2} - \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \pmod{n}\right) = 3. \tag{2}$$

Suppose we define  $\sigma^{-1}(3)$  as in (1) and suppose we have already defined  $\sigma^{-1}(j)$ , for every  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , where  $3 \leq i \leq n-3$  is odd. By induction, it is easily verified that  $\sigma^{-1}(i) = x_0 - \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor \pmod{n}$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(i-1) = x_0 - \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor - (\frac{n}{2} - 1) \pmod{n}$ . Now, we have to set  $\sigma^{-1}(i+1) = x_0 - \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n}$  and since

$$x_0 - \left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{n}{2} - \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \pmod{n} = x_0 - \left\lfloor \frac{i-2}{2} \right\rfloor \pmod{n} = \sigma^{-1}(i-2),$$

we are forced to define

$$\sigma^{-1}(i+2) = x_0 - \left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{n}{2} + \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \pmod{n} = x_0 - \left\lfloor \frac{i+2}{2} \right\rfloor \pmod{n}.$$

This shows that there are exactly  $n$  orderings resulting from the choice of (1), one for each  $x_0 \in V$ . Similar arguments show that there are  $n$  additional orderings resulting from the choice of (2).  $\square$

### 3.1.2 The hypercube graph $Q_n$

Consider the hypercube graph  $Q_n$  and assume that its set of vertices is given by  $V = \{0, 1\}^n$ . The distance in  $Q_n$  is the Hamming distance, i.e., for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in V$  we have  $d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|$ .

The following result is due to M. J. Dominus (Dominus [2013]). The proof we provide here is merely a detailed reproduction of his idea. The very same construction was given in response to a question of J. O'Rourke (O'Rourke [2018]).

**Theorem 3.2.** *We have  $M(Q_n) = (2^{n-1} - 1)(2n - 1) + n$  (registered as [A271771](#)).*

*Proof.* We begin with the observation that for every  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V$ , there is a unique vertex in  $V$  that is farthest from  $x$ , namely  $x' = (1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_n)$  and we have  $d(x, x') = n$ . Thus, if  $\sigma \in \Sigma(Q_n)$  is any ordering of  $V$ , then

$$d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1)) + d(\sigma^{-1}(i+1), \sigma^{-1}(i+2)) \leq 2n - 1,$$

for every  $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 2$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} O(Q_n, \sigma) &\leq \overbrace{n + (n-1) + \dots + (n-1) + n}^{2^n - 1 \text{ terms}} \\ &= (2^{n-1} - 1)(2n - 1) + n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $M(Q_n) \leq (2^{n-1} - 1)(2n - 1) + n$ . It remains to show that there exists  $\sigma \in \Sigma(Q_n)$  such that  $O(Q_n, \sigma) = (2^{n-1} - 1)(2n - 1) + n$ . To this end, let  $Q_{n-1} = \{0, 1\}^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subseteq Q_n$  and let  $p = (p_i)_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}}$  be any Hamiltonian path in  $Q_{n-1}$  (see, for example, [Dvořák and Gregor, 2007, p. 1]). We construct  $\sigma \in \Sigma(Q_n)$  as follows: Let  $\sigma(p_1) = 1$  and suppose we have already defined  $\sigma(p_1), \dots, \sigma(p_i)$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1} - 1$  and suppose  $\sigma(p_i) = k$  where  $k = 2i - 1$ . Denote  $p_i = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ . The vertices  $p_i$  and  $p_{i+1}$  differ in a unique coordinate  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ . Thus,  $p_{i+1} = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, 1 - x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ . We define  $\sigma(1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_{n-1}, 1) = k + 1$  and  $\sigma(p_{i+1}) = k + 2$ . Clearly,

$$d(\sigma^{-1}(k), \sigma^{-1}(k + 1)) = n \quad \text{and} \quad d(\sigma^{-1}(k + 1), \sigma^{-1}(k + 2)) = n - 1.$$

Finally, assume we have defined  $\sigma(p_{2^{n-1}}) = 2^n - 1$  and assume that  $p_{2^{n-1}} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$ . Then, setting  $\sigma(1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_{n-1}, 1) = 2^n$  finishes the definition of  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Question 3.3.** What is

$$|\{\sigma \in \Sigma(Q_n) \mid O(Q_n, \sigma) = M(Q_n)\}|?$$

### 3.1.3 The path graph $P_n$

Consider the path graph  $P_n$  and assume that its set of vertices is given by  $V = [n]$ . Then  $\Sigma(P_n)$  coincides with the symmetric group  $S_n$ . The distance between vertices in  $P_n$  is given by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for every  $x, y \in V$ . Let  $\sigma \in S_n$ . The quantity that we denote by  $O(P_n, \sigma)$  is referred to by Bulteau et al. [2021] as the *additive  $y$ -disorder of  $\sigma$* . The work Bulteau et al. [2021] is actually concerned with a related quantity, called the *additive  $x$ -disorder of  $\sigma$*  and it is shown there that the additive  $y$ -disorder of  $\sigma$  is equal to the additive  $x$ -disorder of the (group theoretic) inverse of  $\sigma$ . Thus, the results that we present here regarding  $P_n$  are immediate consequences of Bulteau et al. [2021].

**Definition 3.4.** [Bulteau et al., 2021, p. 3] Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)$ . The *additive  $x$ -disorder* of  $\sigma$  is denoted by  $\delta_x^+(\sigma)$  and is defined by

$$\delta_x^+(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(\sigma(i), \sigma(i + 1)).$$

The *additive  $y$ -disorder* of  $\sigma$  is denoted by  $\delta_y^+(\sigma)$  and is defined by

$$\delta_y^+(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i + 1)).$$

**Theorem 3.5.**  $M(P_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$  (registered as [A047838](#)).

*Proof.* By [Bulteau et al., 2021, Theorem 8],  $\left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 = \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)} \{\delta_x^+(\sigma)\}$ . Clearly,

$$\max_{\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)} \{\delta_x^+(\sigma)\} = \max_{\sigma^{-1} \in \Sigma(P_n)} \{\delta_x^+(\sigma^{-1})\}.$$

By [Bulteau et al., 2021, 3. of Lemma 2],  $\delta_x^+(\sigma^{-1}) = \delta_y^+(\sigma)$ . Since  $\delta_y^+(\sigma) = O(P_n, \sigma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(P_n) &= \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)} \{O(P_n, \sigma)\} \\ &= \max_{\sigma^{-1} \in \Sigma(P_n)} \{O(P_n, \sigma)\} \\ &= \max_{\sigma^{-1} \in \Sigma(P_n)} \{\delta_y^+(\sigma)\} \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \right\rfloor - 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Bulteau et al. [2021] characterized the orderings that maximize the additive  $x$ -disorder as *bipartite with centered endpoints*. Thus, we wish to characterize orderings whose inverses are bipartite with centered endpoints.

**Definition 3.6.** [Bulteau et al., 2021, p. 5] An ordering  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)$  is called *bipartite with threshold*  $k \in \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \right\}$  if either

- (a)  $\begin{cases} \sigma(i) \leq k, & i \text{ is even;} \\ \sigma(i) > k, & i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , or
- (b)  $\begin{cases} \sigma(i) > k, & i \text{ is even;} \\ \sigma(i) \leq k, & i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

A bipartite ordering  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)$  with threshold  $k$  has *centered endpoints* if

$$\{\sigma(1), \sigma(n)\} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right\}, & n \text{ is even;} \\ \left\{ \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} + 1 \right\} \text{ or } \left\{ \frac{n-1}{2} + 1, \frac{n+1}{2} + 1 \right\}, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)$ . Then  $O(P_n, \sigma) = \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$  if and only if  $\sigma$  satisfies the following two conditions:

1.

$$\{1, n\} = \begin{cases} \{\sigma(\frac{n}{2}), \sigma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)\}, & n \text{ is even;} \\ \{\sigma(\frac{n-1}{2}), \sigma(\frac{n-1}{2} + 1)\} \text{ or } \{\sigma(\frac{n-1}{2} + 1), \sigma(\frac{n+1}{2} + 1)\}, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

2. There exists  $k \in \{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil\}$  such that either

- (a)  $\sigma(i)$  is even for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\sigma(i)$  is odd for every  $k+1 \leq i \leq n$  or
- (b)  $\sigma(i)$  is odd for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\sigma(i)$  is even for every  $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ .

In particular

$$|\{\sigma \in S_n \mid O(P_n, \sigma) = M(P_n)\}| = \begin{cases} 2(\frac{n-2}{2}!)^2, & n \text{ is even;} \\ 4(\frac{n-1}{2}!)(\frac{n-1}{2} - 1)!, & n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

(registered as [A328378](#)).

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n)$ . By [Bulteau et al., 2021, Theorem 8],  $\delta_x^+(\sigma) = M(P_n)$  if and only if  $\sigma$  is bipartite with centered endpoints. Since  $O(P_n, \sigma) = \delta_x^+(\sigma^{-1})$ , we have  $O(P_n, \sigma) = M(P_n)$  if and only if  $\sigma^{-1}$  is bipartite with centered endpoints. Thus, assuming that (3) holds for  $\sigma^{-1}$  and applying  $\sigma$  on both sides of (3), we obtain (4). Suppose that  $n$  is even and that condition (a) of Definition 3.6 holds for  $\sigma^{-1}$ . Then  $k = \frac{n}{2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma^{-1}(2), \sigma^{-1}(4), \dots, \sigma^{-1}(n)\} &= \left\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\right\} \text{ and} \\ \{\sigma^{-1}(1), \sigma^{-1}(3), \dots, \sigma^{-1}(n-1)\} &= \left\{\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2, \dots, n\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \{2, 4, \dots, n\} &= \left\{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right\} \text{ and} \\ \{1, 3, \dots, n-1\} &= \left\{\sigma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right), \sigma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 2\right), \dots, \sigma(n)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If, instead, condition (b) of Definition 3.6 holds for  $\sigma^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{1, 3, \dots, n-1\} &= \left\{\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right\} \text{ and} \\ \{2, 4, \dots, n\} &= \left\{\sigma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right), \sigma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 2\right), \dots, \sigma(n)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that  $n$  is odd and that  $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Then, necessarily, condition (a) of Definition 3.6 holds for  $\sigma^{-1}$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{2, 4, \dots, n-1\} &= \left\{ \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \right\} \text{ and} \\ \{1, 3, \dots, n\} &= \left\{ \sigma\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right), \sigma\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2\right), \dots, \sigma(n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $k = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . Then, necessarily, condition (b) of Definition 3.6 holds for  $\sigma^{-1}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \{1, 3, \dots, n\} &= \left\{ \sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) \right\} \text{ and} \\ \{2, 4, \dots, n-1\} &= \left\{ \sigma\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1\right), \sigma\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 2\right), \dots, \sigma(n) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3.1.4 The grid graph $P_m \times P_n$

Consider the grid graph  $P_m \times P_n$  and assume that its set of vertices is given by  $V = \{(x, y) \mid 1 \leq x \leq m, 1 \leq y \leq n\}$ . Thus, we may think of  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_m \times P_n)$  as an  $m \times n$  matrix whose  $xy$ th entry stands for  $\sigma(x, y)$ . For  $i \in [mn]$ , we denote  $(x_i, y_i) = \sigma^{-1}(i)$ . The distance in  $P_m \times P_n$  is the rectilinear distance, i.e., for  $(x, y), (x', y') \in V$ , we have  $d((x, y), (x', y')) = |x - x'| + |y - y'|$ . To the best of our knowledge, the disorder number of the grid graph was studied only in two special cases: The path graph  $P_n \simeq P_1 \times P_n$  and the square grid graph  $P_n^2$ . The few results that are known regarding  $M(P_n^2)$  were obtained by D. S. McNeil and are described in [A179094](#). In his study of the sequence, McNeil exploited the fact that the problem is a variation of the traveling salesman problem and calculated  $M(P_n^2)$  for every  $3 \leq n \leq 17$  and  $n = 19, 21, 23$  by using Concorde ([Applegate et al. \[1998\]](#)) coupled with glpk ([Makhorin \[2000\]](#)). Based on his findings, he made the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 3.8.** [McNeil] Suppose that  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$M(P_n^2) = \begin{cases} n^3 - 3, & n \text{ is even;} \\ n^3 - n - 1, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

McNeil did not provide the orderings of  $P_n^2$  that achieve  $M(P_n^2)$ . These seem necessary in order to be able to recognize a pattern so that a construction of such orderings is possible. Thus, we began by reproducing McNeil's results. Our implementation was done by adjusting the travelling salesman problem example of the package Python-MIP. In this way we were able to find

orderings  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_n^2)$  such that  $O(P_n^2, \sigma) = \text{A179094}(n)$ , for every  $2 \leq n \leq 20$  (cf. Tables 2, 3 and 4). These orderings allowed us not only to recognize a pattern and construct orderings for  $P_n^2$ , but also for  $P_m \times P_n$ , for arbitrary  $m, n \geq 2$ . Our findings are summarized in the following theorem (see also Table 1):

**Theorem 3.9.** *Suppose  $m, n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$M(P_m \times P_n) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{mn(m+n)}{2} - 3, & m \text{ and } n \text{ are both even;} \\ \frac{mn(m+n)}{2} - \frac{m+n}{2} - 1, & m \text{ and } n \text{ are both odd;} \\ \frac{mn(m+n)}{2} - \frac{n}{2} - 1, & m \text{ is odd and } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for every  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $M(P_2 \times P_n) \geq (n+1)^2 - 4$ .

$m \setminus n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
1																					
2	0	1	3	7	11	17	23	31	39	49	59	71	83	97	111	127	143	161	179	199	
3		5	12	21	32	45	60	77	96	117	140	165	192	221	252	285	320	357	396	437	
4			23	39	55	77	99	127	155	189	223	263	303	349	395	447	499	557	615	679	
5				61	87	117	151	189	231	277	327	381	439	501	567	637	711	789	871	957	
6					119	161	203	255	307	369	431	503	575	657	739	831	923	1025	1127	1239	
7						213	269	333	401	477	557	645	737	837	941	1053	1169	1293	1421	1557	
8							335	415	495	589	683	791	899	1021	1143	1279	1415	1565	1715	1879	
9								509	607	717	831	957	1087	1229	1375	1533	1695	1869	2047	2237	
10									719	849	979	1127	1275	1441	1607	1791	1975	2177	2379	2599	
11										997	1149	1317	1489	1677	1869	2077	2289	2517	2749	2997	
12											1319	1511	1703	1917	2131	2367	2603	2861	3119	3399	
13												1725	1943	2181	2423	2685	2951	3237	3527	3837	
14													2183	2449	2715	3007	3299	3617	3935	4279	
15														2741	3037	3357	3681	4029	4381	4757	
16															3359	3711	4063	4445	4827	5239	
17																4093	4479	4893	5311	5757	
18																	4895	5345	5795	6279	
19																		5829	6317	6837	
20																			6839	7399	7997

Table 1: Lower bounds on  $M(P_m \times P_n)$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.9 is divided into several lemmas. We begin with the case  $m = 2$  and assume that  $n$  is odd. The case of even  $n$  is covered by Lemma 3.12.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Suppose  $n$  is odd and let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_2 \times P_n)$  be the ordering whose corresponding matrix is given by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-1 & \cdots & 4 & 2 & n+1 & 2n & n+3 & \cdots & 2n-2 \\ 2n-1 & \cdots & n+4 & n+2 & 1 & 3 & 5 & \cdots & n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $O(P_2 \times P_n, \sigma) = (n+1)^2 - 4$ .

*Proof.* Starting at 1, the walk that reaches  $n$  is of length  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i+1)$ . Then  $\frac{n-1}{2} + 1$  steps bring us to  $n+1$  and additional 2 steps to  $n+2$ . Then, a walk of length  $\sum_{i=3}^{n-1} (i+1)$  brings us to  $2n-1$ . Finally,  $\frac{n+1}{2} + 1$  steps bring us to  $2n$  and the walk is finished with a total length of  $(n+1)^2 - 4$ .  $\square$

In our treatment of the case of even  $m$  and  $n$ , we shall make use of bipartite orderings of  $P_m \times P_n$ .

**Definition 3.11.** Suppose that  $m$  and  $n$  are both even and let  $A, B, C, D$  be four matrices of size  $\frac{m}{2} \times \frac{n}{2}$ . A block matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  is called *bipartite* if  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  have the following structure:

1.  $A$  consists of the numbers  $1, 3, \dots, \frac{mn}{2} - 1$ .
2.  $B$  consists of the numbers  $\frac{mn}{2} + 1, \frac{mn}{2} + 3, \dots, mn - 1$ .
3.  $C$  consists of the numbers  $\frac{mn}{2}, \frac{mn}{2} + 2, \dots, mn$ .
4.  $D$  consists of the numbers  $2, 4, \dots, \frac{mn}{2}$ .

The order of the numbers within each of the submatrices is arbitrary. An ordering  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_m \times P_n)$  is called *bipartite* if its corresponding matrix is bipartite.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Suppose that  $m$  and  $n$  are both even and let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_m \times P_n)$  be bipartite. Then*

$$O(P_m \times P_n, \sigma) = \frac{mn(m+n)}{2} - 3 - f_1(\sigma) - f_2(\sigma) \quad (5)$$

where

$$f_1(\sigma) = d\left((x_1, y_1), \left(\frac{m}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2}\right)\right) + d\left((x_{mn}, y_{mn}), \left(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right)\right) \text{ and}$$

$$f_2(\sigma) = 2\left(\min\{y_{\frac{mn}{2}}, y_{\frac{mn}{2}+1}\} - \frac{n}{2} - 1\right).$$

*Proof.* First, assume that the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  corresponding to  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} \frac{mn}{2} - 1 & \cdots & (\frac{m}{2} - 1)n + 3 & (\frac{m}{2} - 1)n + 1 & (m-1)n + 1 & (m-1)n + 3 & \cdots & mn - 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 2n - 1 & \cdots & n + 3 & n + 1 & (\frac{m}{2} + 1)n + 1 & (\frac{m}{2} + 1)n + 3 & \cdots & (\frac{m}{2} + 2)n - 1 \\ n - 1 & \cdots & 3 & 1 & \frac{mn}{2} + 1 & \frac{mn}{2} + 3 & \cdots & (\frac{m}{2} + 1)n - 1 \\ \hline (m-1)n + 2 & (m-1)n + 4 & \cdots & mn & \frac{mn}{2} & \cdots & (\frac{m}{2} - 1)n + 4 & (\frac{m}{2} - 1)n + 2 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ (\frac{m}{2} + 1)n + 2 & (\frac{m}{2} + 1)n + 4 & \cdots & (\frac{m}{2} + 2)n & 2n & \cdots & n + 4 & n + 2 \\ \frac{mn}{2} + 2 & \frac{mn}{2} + 4 & \cdots & (\frac{m}{2} + 1)n & n & \cdots & 4 & 2 \end{array} \right].$$

We shall refer to this particular matrix as canonical. We also make the convention that the row index is counted from the bottom up and the column index from left to right. Now, starting at 1, we proceed to 2 with a distance of  $\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$ . Moving to 3 we add a distance of  $\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + 1$ . This is repeated  $\frac{n}{2} - 1$  times, once for each of the entries  $1, 3, \dots, n - 3$ , until we reach  $n - 1$ . Proceeding to  $n$ , we add  $\frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$  and from there to  $n + 1$  with a distance of

$\frac{m}{2} + 2$ . We repeat this for each of the  $\frac{m}{2}$  rows of  $A$ , except that we do not return to  $A$  from  $\frac{mn}{2}$ . All together, we have made a walk that started at 1, covered  $A$  and  $D$  and arrived at  $\frac{mn}{2}$ . This walk has a total length of

$$\left( \left( \left( \frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \right) \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{m}{2} + 2 \right) \right) \frac{m}{2} - \left( \frac{m}{2} + 2 \right). \quad (6)$$

Continuing, one step brings us from  $\frac{mn}{2}$  to  $\frac{mn}{2} + 1$ . Now, notice that the remaining walk is identical to previous one, only mirrored. It follows that the total length of the walk is twice (6). We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} O(P_m \times P_n, \sigma) &= 2 \left( \left( \left( \left( \frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \right) \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{m}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{m}{2} + 2 \right) \right) \frac{m}{2} - \left( \frac{m}{2} + 2 \right) \right) + 1 \\ &= \frac{mn(m+n)}{2} - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since every bipartite matrix is reachable from the canonical matrix by applying transpositions within each submatrix, it suffices to establish the change in the disorder under transpositions. To this end, suppose  $\pi \in \Sigma(P_m \times P_n)$  is bipartite with a corresponding matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  and let  $i, j$  be two elements of  $A$  such that  $i, j \neq 1$ . Denote by  $\pi'$  the ordering of  $P_m \times P_n$  resulting from interchanging  $i$  and  $j$  in  $A$ . We shall show that  $O(P_m \times P_n, \pi') = O(P_m \times P_n, \pi)$ . Clearly, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &|x_{i-1} - x_i| + |y_{i-1} - y_i| + |x_i - x_{i+1}| + |y_i - y_{i+1}| + |x_{j-1} - x_j| + |y_{j-1} - y_j| + |x_j - x_{j+1}| + |y_j - y_{j+1}| = \\ &|x_{i-1} - x_j| + |y_{i-1} - y_j| + |x_j - x_{i+1}| + |y_j - y_{i+1}| + |x_{j-1} - x_i| + |y_{j-1} - y_i| + |x_i - x_{j+1}| + |y_i - y_{j+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $i$  and  $j$  belong to  $A$  and  $i-1, i+1, j-1, j+1$  belong to  $D$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|x_{i-1} - x_i| + |y_{i-1} - y_i| + |x_i - x_{i+1}| + |y_i - y_{i+1}| + |x_{j-1} - x_j| + |y_{j-1} - y_j| + |x_j - x_{j+1}| + |y_j - y_{j+1}| = \\ &(x_i - x_{i-1}) + (y_{i-1} - y_i) + (x_i - x_{i+1}) + (y_{i+1} - y_i) + (x_j - x_{j-1}) + (y_{j-1} - y_j) + (x_j - x_{j+1}) + (y_{j+1} - y_j) = \\ &(x_j - x_{i-1}) + (y_{i-1} - y_j) + (x_j - x_{i+1}) + (y_{i+1} - y_j) + (x_i - x_{j-1}) + (y_{j-1} - y_i) + (x_i - x_{j+1}) + (y_{j+1} - y_i) = \\ &|x_j - x_{i-1}| + |y_{i-1} - y_j| + |x_j - x_{i+1}| + |y_{i+1} - y_j| + |x_i - x_{j-1}| + |y_{j-1} - y_i| + |x_i - x_{j+1}| + |y_{j+1} - y_i|. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument applies to transpositions within  $B$ , if  $i, j \neq \frac{mn}{2} + 1$ , within  $C$ , if  $i, j \neq mn$  and within  $D$ , if  $i, j \neq \frac{mn}{2}$ . Thus, we may assume that we have transformed the canonical matrix towards  $\sigma$  and that it remains to apply at most four transpositions involving  $1, \frac{mn}{2}, \frac{mn}{2} + 1$  and  $mn$ . Let us begin with  $A$  and assume that  $i = 1$ . Then  $(x_1, y_1) = \left( \frac{m}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} \right)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &|x_i - x_{i+1}| + |y_i - y_{i+1}| + |x_{j-1} - x_j| + |y_{j-1} - y_j| + |x_j - x_{j+1}| + |y_j - y_{j+1}| = \\ &(x_i - x_{i+1}) + (y_{i+1} - y_i) + (x_j - x_{j-1}) + (y_{j-1} - y_j) + (x_j - x_{j+1}) + (y_{j+1} - y_j) = \\ &|x_j - x_{i+1}| + |y_{i+1} - y_j| + |x_i - x_{j-1}| + |y_{j-1} - y_i| + |x_i - x_{j+1}| + |y_{j+1} - y_i| + x_j - x_i + y_i - y_j. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that transposing 1 away from its original position results in a walk that is shorter by  $x_j - x_i + y_i - y_j$ , or, by  $d\left((x_1, y_1), \left(\frac{m}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2}\right)\right)$ , if we denote by  $(x_1, y_1)$  the new position of 1 (i.e., the position of 1 according to

$\sigma$ ). A similar argument applies to  $mn$  within the block matrix  $C$ , resulting in a shorter walk by  $d\left((x_{mn}, y_{mn}), \left(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right)\right)$ . This settles the  $f_1$  term in (5).

We now wish to interchange between  $i = \frac{mn}{2}$  and some  $j$  within  $D$ . Since  $(x_i, y_i) = \left(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1\right)$  and  $(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) = \left(\frac{m}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 1\right)$  and  $i - 1, j - 1, j + 1$  belong to  $A$ , this transposition does not change the length of the walk. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_{i-1} - x_i| + |y_{i-1} - y_i| + |x_i - x_{i+1}| + |y_i - y_{i+1}| + |x_{j-1} - x_j| + |y_{j-1} - y_j| + |x_j - x_{j+1}| + |y_j - y_{j+1}| = \\ & (x_{i-1} - x_i) + (y_i - y_{i-1}) + (x_{i+1} - x_i) + (y_i - y_{i+1}) + (x_{j-1} - x_j) + (y_j - y_{j-1}) + (x_{j+1} - x_j) + (y_j - y_{j+1}) \\ & |x_{i+1} - x_j| + |y_j - y_{i+1}| + |x_{j+1} - x_i| + |y_i - y_{j+1}| + |x_{i-1} - x_j| + |y_j - y_{i-1}| + |x_{j-1} - x_i| + |y_i - y_{j-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we transpose  $i = \frac{mn}{2} + 1$  with some  $j$  within  $B$ . As before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_{i-1} - x_i| + |y_{i-1} - y_i| + |x_i - x_{i+1}| + |y_i - y_{i+1}| + |x_{j-1} - x_j| + |y_{j-1} - y_j| + |x_j - x_{j+1}| + |y_j - y_{j+1}| = \\ & (x_i - x_{i-1}) + (y_{i-1} - y_i) + (x_i - x_{i+1}) + (y_i - y_{i+1}) + (x_j - x_{j-1}) + (y_j - y_{j-1}) + (x_j - x_{j+1}) + (y_j - y_{j+1}) \\ & \boxed{(y_{i-1} - y_i) + (y_j - y_{j+1})} + |x_j - x_{i-1}| + |x_i - x_{j+1}| + |x_j - x_{i+1}| + |y_j - y_{i+1}| + |x_i - x_{j-1}| + |y_i - y_{j-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we distinguish between two cases regarding the boxed terms:

1. Suppose  $y_{i-1} \leq y_j$ . Then

$$(y_{i-1} - y_i) + (y_j - y_{j+1}) = |y_j - y_{i-1}| + |y_i - y_{j+1}| + 2(y_{i-1} - y_i).$$

2. Suppose  $y_j < y_{i-1}$ . Then

$$(y_{i-1} - y_i) + (y_j - y_{j+1}) = |y_{i-1} - y_j| + |y_i - y_{j+1}| + 2(y_j - y_i).$$

Since  $y_i = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ , we have

$$(y_{i-1} - y_i) + (y_j - y_{j+1}) = 2\left(\min\{y_j, y_{i-1}\} - \frac{n}{2} - 1\right).$$

This settles the  $f_2$  term in (5) and the proof is completed.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 3.12 together with Lemma 3.10 we conclude:

**Corollary 3.13.** *We have  $M(P_2 \times P_n) \geq (n+1)^2 - 4$  (registered as [A028347](#)).*

We shall now consider the case of odd  $m$  and  $n$ . The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 3.12 and is omitted.

**Lemma 3.14.** *Suppose that  $m$  and  $n$  are both odd and let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_m \times P_n)$  be the ordering whose corresponding matrix is given by*

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} - 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} + 1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} m - 2 \end{array} \right| & mn - 2 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & 3 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} m \\ mn - 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \left| \begin{array}{c} mn \\ \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} + 2 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} m - 1 \end{array} \right| & \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{2} m + 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$O(P_m \times P_n, \sigma) = \frac{(mn - 1)(m + n)}{2} - 1.$$

Combining Lemma 3.12 together with Lemma 3.14, we conclude that  $M(P_n^2)$  is, to the very least, lower bounded by the values in McNeil's conjecture:

**Corollary 3.15.** *We have*

$$M(P_n^2) \geq \begin{cases} n^3 - 3, & n \text{ is even;} \\ n^3 - n - 1, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, we address the case where of odd  $m$  and even  $n$ . Again, the proof is omitted.

**Lemma 3.16.** *Suppose that  $m$  is odd,  $n$  is even and  $m, n \geq 3$ . Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma(P_m \times P_n)$  be the ordering whose corresponding matrix is given by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{mn}{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{mn}{2} + 3 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \cdots & & & n-1 & mn-2 & & \cdots \\ mn-1 & n-3 & \cdots & 3 & 1 & mn & \frac{mn}{2} + 1 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & & \cdots & \frac{mn}{2} + 4 & \frac{mn}{2} + 2 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ mn-3 & & & \cdots & \cdots & n & \cdots & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$O(P_m \times P_n, \sigma) = \frac{mn(m+n)}{2} - \frac{n}{2} - 1.$$

**Example 3.17.** Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Then

$$M(P_3 \times P_n) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{3n^2+8n-2}{2}, & n \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{3n^2+8n-5}{2}, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

## 3.2 The average disorder number

The previous section studied the disorder number of orderings from an extremal point of view. In this section, we address the average disorder number of the different orderings. As we shall immediately see, the average disorder number of a graph of order  $n$  coincides, up to a factor of  $\frac{2}{n}$ , with the Wiener index of the graph, a notion that goes back to Wiener [1947] and defined as follows (e.g., [Yeh and Gutman, 1994, p. 359]):

**Definition 3.18.** The *Wiener index* of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is denoted by  $W(G)$  and is defined to be

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in V} d(x, y).$$

**Lemma 3.19.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Then*

$$A(G) = \frac{2W(G)}{n}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} A(G) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(G)} O(G, \sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(G)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(\sigma^{-1}(i), \sigma^{-1}(i+1)) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(G)} d(\sigma^{-1}(1), \sigma^{-1}(2)) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} \sum_{x, y \in V} d(x, y) \\ &= \frac{2W(G)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the examples that we now give, we shall make use of the fact that the Wiener index of the product  $G_1 \times G_2$  of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of orders  $|G_1|$  and  $|G_2|$ , respectively, is given by

$$W(G_1 \times G_2) = |G_1|^2 W(G_2) + |G_2|^2 W(G_1)$$

(cf. [Yeh and Gutman, 1994, Theorem 1]).

**Example 3.20.** 1. We have  $W(P_n) = \frac{n^3-n}{6}$  (e.g., [Entringer et al., 1976, p. 284]) and therefore  $A(P_n) = \frac{n^2-1}{3}$ . Sequences in context: [A090672](#) and [A005990](#).

2. We have

$$W(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n^2-1)}{8}, & n \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{n^3}{8}, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(e.g., [Entringer et al., 1976, p. 284]). Thus,  $A(C_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor$ . Sequences in context: [A306258](#) and [A002620](#).

3. We have  $W(P_n^2) = \frac{n^2(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}$ . Thus,  $A(P_n^2) = \frac{2(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}$ . Sequences in context: [A210440](#) and [A143944](#).

4. We have

$$W(C_n^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^3(n^2-1)}{4}, & n \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{n^5}{4}, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$A(C_n^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^3-n}{2}, & n \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{n^3}{2}, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$A(C_n^2) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n 6k(k-1) + (2k-1)(-1)^k + 1,$$

which establishes a hitherto unknown connection between (the partial sums of) [A062717](#) and [A034828](#). Sequences in context: [A122657](#), [A062717](#) and [A034828](#).

5. We have  $W(Q_n) = n4^{n-1}$ . Thus,  $A(Q_n) = 2^{2n-1}$ . Sequences in context: [A002697](#) and [A004171](#).

6. Let  $L_{m,n}$  denote the lollipop graph on  $m$  and  $n$  vertices. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W(L_{m,n}) &= W(P_n) + W(K_m) + \sum_{k=1}^n k + (m-1) \sum_{k=1}^n (k+1) \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6} + (m-1) \frac{n(n+3) + m}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$A(L_{m,n}) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3(m+n)} + (m-1) \frac{n(n+3) + m}{m+n}.$$

Sequences in context: [A180854](#).

$n$	$\sigma$	$O(P_n^2, \sigma)$
2	$\begin{array}{ c c } \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	5
3	$\begin{array}{ c c c } \hline 4 & 9 & 2 \\ \hline 6 & 1 & 7 \\ \hline 8 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$	23
4	$\begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline 2 & 6 & 10 & 14 \\ \hline 4 & 8 & 16 & 12 \\ \hline 13 & 15 & 1 & 7 \\ \hline 9 & 11 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$	61
5	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline 3 & 15 & 8 & 11 & 24 \\ \hline 5 & 17 & 20 & 22 & 13 \\ \hline 7 & 1 & 25 & 9 & 19 \\ \hline 21 & 10 & 14 & 2 & 16 \\ \hline 12 & 23 & 18 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$	119
6	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline 9 & 3 & 5 & 25 & 23 & 31 \\ \hline 15 & 17 & 13 & 35 & 33 & 29 \\ \hline 11 & 7 & 1 & 21 & 27 & 19 \\ \hline 34 & 26 & 36 & 18 & 14 & 10 \\ \hline 24 & 30 & 20 & 16 & 12 & 8 \\ \hline 22 & 28 & 32 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	213
7	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline 40 & 44 & 42 & 38 & 28 & 20 & 18 \\ \hline 5 & 12 & 3 & 8 & 30 & 34 & 47 \\ \hline 14 & 36 & 16 & 1 & 22 & 32 & 24 \\ \hline 10 & 25 & 46 & 49 & 6 & 37 & 26 \\ \hline 27 & 48 & 19 & 35 & 41 & 39 & 9 \\ \hline 7 & 29 & 31 & 15 & 11 & 2 & 45 \\ \hline 33 & 21 & 23 & 17 & 4 & 43 & 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$	335
8	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline 7 & 15 & 23 & 11 & 45 & 41 & 53 & 39 \\ \hline 19 & 17 & 29 & 5 & 47 & 33 & 63 & 57 \\ \hline 3 & 27 & 9 & 31 & 59 & 35 & 51 & 43 \\ \hline 21 & 13 & 25 & 1 & 49 & 37 & 61 & 55 \\ \hline 34 & 48 & 54 & 64 & 32 & 8 & 28 & 6 \\ \hline 60 & 42 & 44 & 62 & 26 & 12 & 24 & 10 \\ \hline 56 & 52 & 40 & 46 & 22 & 14 & 30 & 2 \\ \hline 58 & 38 & 36 & 50 & 4 & 20 & 16 & 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$	509
9	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c } \hline 11 & 33 & 37 & 35 & 78 & 52 & 60 & 80 & 70 \\ \hline 7 & 15 & 29 & 9 & 3 & 74 & 72 & 50 & 66 \\ \hline 39 & 13 & 21 & 23 & 46 & 56 & 76 & 62 & 54 \\ \hline 19 & 27 & 17 & 31 & 25 & 48 & 58 & 64 & 68 \\ \hline 55 & 57 & 5 & 1 & 81 & 30 & 42 & 44 & 40 \\ \hline 51 & 71 & 53 & 73 & 24 & 18 & 36 & 32 & 34 \\ \hline 69 & 61 & 63 & 79 & 45 & 28 & 16 & 8 & 4 \\ \hline 41 & 77 & 65 & 59 & 43 & 14 & 6 & 12 & 2 \\ \hline 49 & 47 & 67 & 75 & 38 & 26 & 22 & 20 & 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$	719
10	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c } \hline 91 & 73 & 65 & 79 & 97 & 34 & 26 & 48 & 10 & 36 \\ \hline 81 & 59 & 57 & 67 & 89 & 24 & 12 & 38 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 83 & 71 & 87 & 93 & 95 & 46 & 44 & 40 & 16 & 8 \\ \hline 61 & 63 & 85 & 55 & 53 & 28 & 6 & 22 & 20 & 18 \\ \hline 99 & 77 & 75 & 69 & 51 & 42 & 50 & 32 & 14 & 30 \\ \hline 19 & 43 & 25 & 47 & 1 & 100 & 88 & 78 & 60 & 68 \\ \hline 21 & 15 & 41 & 29 & 11 & 64 & 72 & 66 & 92 & 52 \\ \hline 3 & 27 & 37 & 9 & 5 & 80 & 86 & 56 & 70 & 90 \\ \hline 39 & 31 & 23 & 33 & 45 & 84 & 98 & 82 & 54 & 74 \\ \hline 49 & 35 & 13 & 17 & 7 & 76 & 58 & 94 & 96 & 62 \\ \hline \end{array}$	997
11	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline 102 & 5 & 37 & 84 & 94 & 64 & 68 & 10 & 18 & 72 & 118 \\ \hline 86 & 104 & 112 & 110 & 92 & 114 & 20 & 52 & 116 & 22 & 24 \\ \hline 60 & 74 & 100 & 3 & 90 & 82 & 28 & 8 & 46 & 42 & 12 \\ \hline 58 & 80 & 78 & 108 & 106 & 56 & 44 & 14 & 70 & 50 & 26 \\ \hline 76 & 88 & 98 & 31 & 96 & 120 & 40 & 16 & 48 & 34 & 54 \\ \hline 39 & 7 & 53 & 62 & 1 & 121 & 4 & 32 & 66 & 36 & 30 \\ \hline 41 & 55 & 43 & 27 & 71 & 23 & 81 & 38 & 77 & 101 & 89 \\ \hline 9 & 45 & 117 & 21 & 15 & 73 & 107 & 87 & 111 & 99 & 113 \\ \hline 47 & 115 & 13 & 19 & 33 & 2 & 103 & 109 & 105 & 59 & 57 \\ \hline 35 & 69 & 51 & 25 & 49 & 67 & 75 & 79 & 93 & 83 & 6 \\ \hline 11 & 29 & 119 & 65 & 17 & 91 & 61 & 63 & 97 & 85 & 95 \\ \hline \end{array}$	1319

Table 2: Orderings of  $P_n^2$  such that  $O(P_n^2, \sigma) = \text{A179094}(n)$

$n$	$\sigma$	$O(P_n^2, \sigma)$				
12	93 115 85 135 125 117 28 42 40 50 56 38 133 95 111 97 91 141 54 4 14 22 46 6 87 121 83 89 131 77 62 68 24 60 44 2 123 137 139 129 79 127 32 30 16 70 66 48 119 101 103 107 113 105 58 64 10 20 12 8 73 75 99 81 109 143 72 26 18 34 52 36 27 3 43 47 45 1 144 118 136 138 108 110 49 35 13 11 33 39 82 132 124 74 78 102 37 23 15 71 19 55 86 76 142 90 140 96 29 65 31 53 61 7 126 98 80 120 94 92 59 17 67 25 5 57 130 104 84 122 128 88 41 63 21 69 9 51 114 116 112 134 100 106	1725				
	13	144 124 152 128 105 25 52 60 76 168 58 160 66 113 23 136 33 27 31 21 80 90 50 92 158 68 117 9 150 3 154 115 78 74 15 86 56 64 54 148 140 142 5 11 119 166 164 100 70 48 44 94 126 130 111 146 29 13 121 42 72 40 156 38 88 103 35 134 19 17 138 107 82 84 46 109 162 98 132 165 37 89 7 1 169 62 102 143 137 123 96 39 159 85 43 63 91 110 139 18 153 22 10 118 61 45 73 157 41 49 155 127 28 125 8 141 4 71 122 83 67 51 95 16 20 135 106 149 145 112 65 47 79 93 99 108 14 26 151 120 2 104 131 59 81 75 167 57 77 55 6 133 34 114 24 32 163 87 69 97 161 53 101 129 36 116 30 147 12	2183			
		14	165 161 141 159 151 131 103 4 88 76 64 16 74 66 183 153 171 129 187 113 133 78 58 46 38 20 92 86 147 115 135 167 121 137 145 60 26 52 98 40 96 18 155 163 107 189 125 105 127 62 30 22 48 68 90 80 179 111 123 149 175 195 177 36 32 50 12 44 54 24 109 143 191 157 101 193 139 2 42 6 10 14 70 34 173 99 117 169 185 181 119 94 28 56 72 82 8 84 23 41 19 95 83 35 1 196 142 112 164 116 144 118 79 5 77 7 11 3 9 150 138 186 100 148 154 160 53 29 33 87 63 57 47 152 106 182 190 122 156 188 49 97 73 59 39 75 67 140 136 178 120 176 172 162 15 69 91 61 21 43 17 146 170 158 108 192 180 166 31 55 25 51 89 37 85 174 110 104 132 102 130 194 45 65 71 81 13 27 93 134 114 168 124 128 184 126	2741		
			15	71 142 11 44 140 13 22 117 81 152 154 193 50 203 156 132 122 26 185 17 124 213 77 8 52 201 174 4 34 199 100 32 209 136 15 19 126 79 176 36 85 191 158 166 197 128 221 215 28 219 115 73 38 178 91 83 170 162 95 104 187 217 42 134 113 130 69 144 182 146 54 60 48 56 46 109 30 65 102 75 67 107 189 180 89 58 119 150 2 148 223 63 207 24 211 111 138 160 93 164 6 87 172 97 205 120 105 9 40 196 183 1 225 21 99 64 62 195 10 168 59 165 167 200 86 92 96 206 208 70 108 66 25 125 74 157 35 37 149 147 175 171 163 131 216 14 137 224 31 68 181 55 90 192 84 49 47 103 106 123 184 222 214 112 27 57 194 177 159 78 3 88 45 43 218 129 186 127 72 41 190 61 94 118 155 80 82 33 121 12 29 143 114 18 210 51 98 5 161 53 7 145 20 23 16 39 212 101 135 110 151 204 173 153 169 202 198 179 76 188 133 139 220 141 116	3359	
				16	189 131 179 219 195 139 133 237 102 124 54 78 48 14 64 122 163 149 209 155 191 175 233 231 2 50 46 110 90 82 58 56 135 151 215 199 243 207 187 221 84 34 98 24 32 62 70 80 193 253 165 249 247 217 143 235 52 26 100 40 96 116 36 28 201 245 183 251 223 213 145 241 112 76 66 104 8 120 94 4 161 185 229 147 239 171 197 203 114 126 42 86 44 60 106 68 255 173 211 157 177 181 159 153 6 16 92 128 38 118 20 72 137 225 227 141 129 205 167 169 88 74 12 18 22 30 10 108 67 51 69 127 23 47 79 1 256 144 236 240 142 228 224 158 87 117 53 121 33 31 111 73 166 222 226 214 200 230 234 250 65 101 123 29 5 125 19 11 198 164 206 184 156 246 170 130 39 3 105 13 21 91 15 103 204 162 140 238 192 252 150 136 81 85 95 45 59 35 61 109 190 182 212 244 254 194 202 220 25 41 83 17 75 7 97 27 174 216 138 248 178 208 132 188 57 9 77 63 99 71 89 43 180 172 160 168 218 154 148 134 113 115 107 55 119 49 93 37 196 242 186 232 210 176 152 146	4093

Table 3: Orderings of  $P_n^2$  such that  $O(P_n^2, \sigma) = \text{A179094}(n)$

$n$	$\sigma$	$O(P_n^2, \sigma)$			
17	230 226 146 142 202 144 62 206 178 12 44 34 101 24 42 26 28 111 56 208 228 58 121 194 188 50 14 6 18 287 85 95 83 4 184 113 168 107 214 105 170 196 166 279 67 8 69 281 242 93 162 176 238 264 218 180 140 119 198 220 103 244 46 65 40 16 248 75 256 266 60 174 136 222 115 172 275 36 246 128 71 32 164 30 97 216 260 212 154 148 52 182 258 240 79 273 22 73 254 285 283 250 109 200 236 150 117 262 210 123 156 126 81 134 99 77 160 38 87 192 224 54 190 268 232 152 204 270 252 20 48 91 158 10 2 132 186 82 234 138 274 31 64 1 289 130 89 141 193 271 124 199 277 84 247 33 276 253 127 7 131 104 259 239 221 51 223 237 263 139 35 3 15 47 39 102 11 23 181 191 233 118 229 179 213 112 231 272 78 92 5 13 68 27 21 286 195 108 155 116 209 177 197 110 90 41 72 251 45 163 37 288 133 205 211 137 147 267 265 187 143 94 80 249 165 159 245 129 49 135 120 61 217 185 122 53 171 114 278 243 86 9 70 66 161 17 255 55 175 167 189 215 63 203 145 280 282 19 100 25 29 74 125 57 183 269 153 235 227 106 257 225 284 76 96 98 241 43 157 88 151 207 169 149 219 173 201 59 261	4895			
	18	181 299 183 179 203 221 271 205 193 54 130 96 32 8 30 64 76 156 265 217 169 171 191 219 225 317 215 150 66 68 102 108 16 18 152 22 285 273 165 229 243 197 255 235 307 50 138 48 134 126 154 98 84 26 315 297 257 245 185 237 321 305 269 158 34 12 88 142 70 122 52 110 249 177 283 239 199 303 289 173 267 36 124 4 82 140 100 2 10 114 323 233 189 209 277 207 281 163 313 38 74 86 92 160 90 58 80 60 291 167 311 213 211 247 301 223 251 14 144 40 128 118 94 136 106 20 279 227 293 187 201 259 319 231 263 132 146 72 78 28 56 44 24 116 295 241 309 253 275 287 195 175 261 148 162 62 42 6 120 46 104 112 9 137 153 161 33 13 119 111 1 324 188 240 194 284 206 218 226 210 39 61 73 113 47 69 89 7 41 224 192 270 248 200 264 260 322 164 93 31 105 63 159 3 129 147 127 290 258 190 254 180 266 252 278 234 29 83 121 79 95 5 149 17 43 220 272 316 304 294 320 208 182 170 123 15 143 115 155 49 101 145 99 292 244 276 232 178 228 230 196 166 97 141 109 91 27 65 157 11 45 268 186 246 176 318 216 306 296 242 103 87 117 81 55 151 53 37 51 280 198 288 238 262 168 202 282 308 107 35 57 125 75 133 71 131 139 214 312 256 286 212 298 172 314 204 67 19 77 85 23 25 135 59 21 302 250 174 184 300 236 222 274 310	5829		
		19	360 221 219 285 310 213 356 337 308 50 39 291 279 68 104 312 287 176 21 251 243 352 92 239 30 42 269 241 190 283 297 84 116 316 349 194 163 322 333 215 138 140 28 275 46 26 146 237 301 56 19 64 108 70 210 314 88 132 94 261 233 44 267 263 341 152 320 345 62 192 112 76 180 303 202 206 148 160 144 130 249 126 229 257 134 277 15 110 204 37 102 347 198 326 178 34 136 265 158 227 339 273 271 245 98 196 90 80 13 118 106 305 23 324 235 335 156 358 354 150 32 122 331 6 52 11 100 54 78 295 82 299 66 171 253 124 154 167 329 128 217 231 142 289 184 2 186 4 114 182 120 281 247 165 48 8 255 173 169 223 96 343 58 208 86 74 200 318 188 293 72 306 24 40 350 259 77 175 10 1 361 60 35 161 224 248 17 212 226 328 59 191 187 117 63 105 296 65 111 133 127 141 274 172 270 307 240 236 256 179 5 282 61 321 71 107 12 22 286 47 139 97 232 123 33 135 266 125 99 57 209 20 298 211 302 323 75 53 157 262 41 260 168 27 9 220 330 69 109 225 203 327 294 113 348 89 164 45 166 159 155 131 95 258 338 222 85 119 288 325 344 38 278 16 83 311 214 234 170 129 268 334 244 151 143 346 201 319 18 199 185 197 81 181 284 147 137 230 342 246 242 309 31 357 177 73 313 292 87 36 67 205 51 121 149 272 332 359 43 145 340 25 153 115 315 14 79 3 290 101 300 193 91 254 353 49 355 238 93 174 250 351 317 280 195 183 162 103 189 55 304 207 218 276 7 228 29 264 216 336 252	6839	
			20	237 305 325 377 303 327 255 295 235 209 158 44 52 136 162 86 64 104 148 56 229 339 387 257 347 353 399 239 207 285 34 160 58 60 134 36 146 180 112 78 357 385 251 369 263 269 287 297 201 243 82 22 18 144 194 88 62 38 6 26 309 313 225 361 271 307 365 397 281 215 108 138 102 40 72 50 54 198 98 20 389 315 323 245 383 373 381 291 275 317 68 42 170 184 196 30 94 120 150 48 233 341 351 301 299 219 273 395 249 359 192 10 124 100 4 66 164 32 154 92 293 363 335 393 283 343 217 349 267 311 176 24 80 110 12 172 16 188 142 2 391 289 211 247 337 371 345 231 265 329 156 132 182 178 46 90 70 122 190 74 355 223 367 331 221 205 259 319 253 333 186 116 84 114 126 128 152 14 28 118 213 279 379 277 375 321 203 241 261 227 140 166 200 96 76 130 174 106 168 8 95 131 107 47 183 19 187 161 97 1 400 348 284 248 294 276 344 260 334 390 167 159 17 15 127 105 139 29 133 109 396 336 308 374 202 376 364 302 206 288 119 49 181 153 87 85 185 67 11 39 272 380 256 246 316 314 326 214 378 320 149 125 3 111 93 169 177 113 197 101 222 306 264 282 240 230 346 210 250 244 83 171 73 59 115 13 145 27 79 99 332 392 208 234 388 386 218 370 290 352 65 35 63 193 37 55 89 199 191 123 280 328 236 304 330 300 362 342 312 310 45 173 165 57 147 121 61 155 77 163 226 298 356 262 292 254 242 360 322 394 179 7 69 91 31 43 33 117 81 71 224 384 366 296 252 286 354 238 318 220 21 9 75 41 137 151 103 129 23 51 358 216 278 324 382 274 258 350 372 266 5 157 135 141 25 195 175 53 189 143 204 270 212 228 338 232 368 268 398 340	7997

Table 4: Orderings of  $P_n^2$  such that  $O(P_n^2, \sigma) = \text{A179094}(n)$

## References

- D. Applegate, R. Bixby, W. Cook, and V. Chvátal. On the solution of traveling salesman problems. *Documenta Mathematica*, Extra Volume ICM III:645–656, 1998.
- L. Bulteau, S. Giraud, and S. Vialette. Disorders and permutations. In *32nd Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Pattern Matching (CPM 2021)*. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021.
- M. J. Dominus. “Anti-Gray codes” that maximize the number of bits that change at each step. Mathematics Stack Exchange, 2013. URL <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/315544>.
- T. Dvořák and P. Gregor. Hamiltonian paths with prescribed edges in hypercubes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 307(16):1982–1998, 2007.
- R. C. Entringer, D. E. Jackson, and D. Snyder. Distance in graphs. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 26(2):283–296, 1976.
- F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison Wesley series in mathematics. Addison-Wesley, 1971. URL <https://books.google.co.il/books?id=q8OWtwEACAAJ>.
- A. Makhorin. GNU linear programming kit, 2000. URL <http://www.gnu.org/software/glpk/glpk.html>.
- J. O’Rourke. Longest path through hypercube corners. MathOverflow, 2018. URL <https://mathoverflow.net/q/297861>.
- H. Wiener. Structural determination of paraffin boiling points. *Journal of the American chemical society*, 69(1):17–20, 1947.
- Y.-N. Yeh and I. Gutman. On the sum of all distances in composite graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 135(1-3):359–365, 1994.