

## REMARKS ON TOTALLY POSITIVE FLAG MANIFOLDS

G. LUSZTIG

## INTRODUCTION

**0.1.** We often identify an algebraic variety defined over  $\mathbf{R}$  with its set of  $\mathbf{R}$ -points. Let  $G$  be a split, connected, simply connected semisimple algebraic group of simply laced type defined over  $\mathbf{R}$  with a fixed pinning  $(B^+, B^-, x_i, y_i (i \in I))$  as in [L94]. Here  $B^+, B^-$  are opposed Borel subgroups of  $G$  defined over  $\mathbf{R}$  with unipotent radicals  $U^+, U^-$  and  $x_i : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow U^+, y_i : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow U^-$  are certain imbeddings;  $I$  is a finite set. Let  $T = B^+ \cap B^-$ . Let  $G_{>0}, U_{>0}^+, U_{>0}^-, T_{>0}$  be the (open) sub-semigroups of  $G, U^+, U^-, T$  defined in [L94].

For any  $(u, u') \in U_{>0}^- \times U_{>0}^-$  we have defined in [L94, 7.1] the open subset

$$\mathcal{T}_{u,u'} = \{t \in T_{>0}; tut^{-1}u'^{-1} \in U_{>0}^-\}$$

of  $T_{>0}$  and proved that it is nonempty. In this paper we will state a conjecture on the structure of  $\mathcal{T}_{u,u'}$  (see 0.3), we prove some special cases of it and we derive some consequences of it.

**0.2.** We introduce some notation. For any  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbf{N}^I$  let  $V_\lambda$  be an irreducible rational representation of  $G$  (over  $\mathbf{C}$ ) whose highest weight is  $\lambda$ . We fix a highest weight vector  $e_\lambda$  of  $V_\lambda$ . Let  $\beta_\lambda$  be the canonical basis of  $V_\lambda$  that contains  $e_\lambda$ , see [L90]. Let  $e'_\lambda$  be the lowest weight vector in  $\beta_\lambda$ .

Let  $j \in I$  and let  $\lambda = \omega(j) \in \mathbf{N}^I$  be such that  $\lambda_j = 1$  if  $j = i$ ,  $\lambda_j = 0$  if  $j \neq i$  (a fundamental weight). If  $u \in U^-$  we can write  $ue_{\omega(j)}$  as an  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear combination of vectors in  $\beta_{\omega(j)}$ ; let  $Z_j(u) \in \mathbf{R}$  be the coefficient of  $e'_{\omega(j)}$  in this linear combination. This defines a function  $Z_j : U^- \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  (in fact a morphism of real algebraic varieties). From [L90], [L94] it is known that  $Z_j(U_{>0}^-) \subset \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . Hence for  $(u, u', t) \in U_{>0}^- \times U_{>0}^- \times T_{>0}$ ,

$$z(u, u', t) = (Z_j(tut^{-1}u'^{-1}))_{j \in I} \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^I$$

is defined.

---

Supported by NSF grant DMS-2153741

We define

$$\Theta : \{(u, u', t) \in U_{>0}^- \times U_{>0}^- \times T_{>0}; t \in \mathcal{T}_{u, u'}\} \rightarrow U_{>0}^- \times U_{>0}^- \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^I$$

by  $(u, u', t) \mapsto (u, u', z(u, u', t))$ .

We can now state:

**Conjecture 0.3.**  $\Theta$  is a homeomorphism.

This will be verified in §1 in some examples.

**0.4.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the (real) flag manifold of  $G$  that is, the real algebraic variety whose points are the Borel subgroups of  $G$  defined over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Following [L4, §8], let  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  be the (open) subset

$$\{u'B^+u'^{-1}; u' \in U_{>0}^-\} = \{uB^-u^{-1}; u \in U_{>0}^+\}$$

of  $\mathcal{B}$  (the last equality is proved in [L94, 8.7]). According to [L94, 8.9],

(a) for any  $g \in G_{>0}$  there is a unique  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  such that  $g \in B$ ; moreover, the map  $\zeta : G_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  given by  $g \mapsto B$  is continuous. According to [L21, 5.5(a)], the map  $\zeta$  is surjective. Thus, the fibres of  $\zeta$  (that is, the sets  $B \cap G_{>0}$  for various  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ ) define a partition of  $G_{>0}$  into non-empty closed subsets indexed by  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$ .

We are interested in the study of the open set  $B \cap G_{>0}$  of  $B$  (for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ ). The following result (conjectured in [L21, §5]) shows (assuming 0.3) that this open set is homeomorphic to a product of copies of  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ .

**Proposition 0.5.** Assume that 0.3 holds for  $G$ . For any  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  there exists a canonical homeomorphism

$$\sigma_B : B \cap G_{>0} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{>0}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^I.$$

The proof is given in §2.

**0.6.** We now fix  $J \subset I$ . Let  $P_J^+$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $B^+$  and by  $\{y_j(a); j \in J, a \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}^J$  be the set of subgroups of  $G$  that are  $G$ -conjugate to  $P_J^+$ . Following [L98] we define  $\mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  to be the set of subgroups  $P \in \mathcal{P}^J$  such that  $\gamma_P := \{B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}; B \subset P\}$  is nonempty (this is an open subset of  $\mathcal{P}^J$ ). The following result is a generalization of 0.4(a).

**Proposition 0.7.** Let  $g \in G_{>0}$ . There is a unique  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  such that  $g \in P$ .

The proof is given in 3.3.

**0.8.** From 0.7 we see that there is a well defined map  $\zeta_J : G_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  given by  $g \mapsto P$  where  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  contains  $g$ . It generalizes the map  $\zeta : G_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  in 0.4. It is again continuous. It is also surjective (this follows from the surjectivity of  $\zeta$ ). Thus, the fibres of  $\zeta_J$  (that is, the sets  $P \cap G_{>0}$  for various  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$ ) define a partition of  $G_{>0}$  into non-empty closed subsets indexed by  $\mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$ . Note that, if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$ , then  $P \cap G_{>0} = \sqcup_{B \in \gamma_P} (B \cap G_{>0})$ . (In other words, if  $g \in P \cap G_{>0}$  and if  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  is defined by  $g \in B$  then  $B \subset P$ . Indeed, we have  $B \subset P'$  for a unique  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  so that  $g \in P', g \in P$  and then  $P = P'$  by 0.7.) Using then 0.5 (under the assumption that 0.3 holds) we see that there is a well defined bijection

$$(a) \ P \cap G_{>0} = \gamma_P \times U_{>0}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^I$$

whose restriction to  $B \cap G_{>0}$  (for any  $B \in \gamma_P$ ) is given by  $g \mapsto (B, \sigma_B(g))$ . From the definitions we see that

(b) *the bijection (a) is a homeomorphism.*

In 3.4 we show that

(c) *if  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  then  $\gamma_P$  is homeomorphic to a product of copies of  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ .*

Combining (b),(c) we obtain:

**Proposition 0.9.** *Assume that 0.3 holds for  $G$ . For any  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$ , the intersection  $P \cap G_{>0}$  is homeomorphic to a product of copies of  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ .*

## 1. EXAMPLES

**1.1.** In this section we shall give some examples when 0.3 holds. We first assume that  $G = SL_2(\mathbf{R})$  with the standard pinning. Let

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_{>0}^-, \quad u' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_{>0}^-,$$

so that  $(a, a') \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^2$ . Now  $T_{>0}$  is the set of all matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $(r, s) \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ,  $rs = 1$ ; we can identify  $T_{>0}$  with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  by  $(r, s) \mapsto R = s/r$ . Let  $t_R$  be the element of  $T_{>0}$  corresponding to  $R \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . If  $t = t_R$ , then

$$tut^{-1}u'^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ Ra - a' & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{T}_{u,u'} = \{R \in \mathbf{R}; Ra - a' > 0\}.$$

Now  $\tau : \mathcal{T}_{u,u'} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ,  $R \mapsto Ra - a'$  is a homeomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{u,u'} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . This shows that 0.3 holds in our case: the map  $Z_i : U^- \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  (for the unique  $i \in I$ ) attaches to a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U^-$$

the number  $x$ .

**1.2.** In this subsection we assume that  $G = SL_3(\mathbf{R})$  with the standard pinning. We shall prove 0.3 in this case. Let

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 \\ c & b & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_{>0}^-, \quad u' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a' & 1 & 0 \\ c' & b' & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_{>0}^-,$$

so that  $(a, b, c, ab - c, a', b', c', a'b' - c') \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^8$ . Now  $T_{>0}$  is the set of all matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $(r, s, p) \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ,  $rsp = 1$ ; we can identify  $T_{>0}$  with  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^2$  by  $(r, s, p) \mapsto (R, S) = (s/r, p/s)$ . Let  $t_{R,S}$  be the element of  $T_{>0}$  corresponding to  $(R, S) \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^2$ . If  $t = t_{R,S}$ , then

$$tut^{-1}u'^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ Ra - a' & 1 & 0 \\ RSc - Sa'b + a'b' - c' & Sb - b' & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$(a) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{u,u'} &= \{(R, S) \in \mathbf{R}^2; Ra - a' > 0, Sb - b' > 0, \\ &(Rc - a'b)S + a'b' - c' > 0, R(S(ab - c) - ab') + c' > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

(The last inequality is obtain by rewriting  $(Ra - a')(Sb - b') - RSc + Sa'b - a'b' + c' > 0$ .) Note that any  $(R, S)$  in the right hand side of (a) automatically satisfies  $R > 0, S > 0$  since  $Ra > a', Sb > b'$ . We define  $\tau : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  by

$$\tau(R, S) = ((Rc - a'b)S + a'b' - c', R(S(ab - c) - ab') + c').$$

Let  $(A, B) \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}^2$ . We show that  $\tau^{-1}(A, B)$  consists of two elements. Let  $(R, S) \in \tau^{-1}(A, B)$  that is

$$RSc = a'bS - a'b' + c' + A, RS(ab - c) = ab'R - c' + B.$$

We have

$$(ab - c)(a'bS - a'b' + c' + A) = c(ab'R - c' + B)$$

so that

$$(b) \quad R = (ab - c)a'b(ab'c)^{-1}S + (-aa'bb' + a'b'c + abc' + (ab - c)A - cB)(ab'c)^{-1}.$$

Substituting this into  $RS c = a'bS - a'b' + c' + A$  we obtain

$$(ab - c)a'bS^2 + (-2aa'bb' + a'b'c + abc' + (ab - c)A - cB)S + (a'b' - c' - A)ab' = 0.$$

We set  $\tilde{R} = R - a'/a, \tilde{S} = S - b'/b$ . We obtain

$$(ab - c)a'b(\tilde{S} + b'/b)^2 + (-2aa'bb' + a'b'c + abc' + (ab - c)A - cB)(\tilde{S} + b'/b) + (a'b' - c' - A)ab' = 0$$

that is

$$(c) \quad (ab - c)a'b\tilde{S}^2 + (abc' - a'b'c + (ab - c)A - cB)\tilde{S} - (A + B)cb'/b = 0;$$

Since  $-(A + B)cb'/b / ((ab - c)a'b)^{-1} < 0$ , the equation (c) for  $\tilde{S}$  has two roots,  $\tilde{S}_+, \tilde{S}_-$  such that  $\tilde{S}_+ \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}, \tilde{S}_- \in -\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . Thus we have

$$\tilde{S} \in \{\tilde{S}_+, \tilde{S}_-\}$$

and

$$(d) \quad \tilde{S}_+ + \tilde{S}_- = -\mu((ab - c)a'b)^{-1},$$

where  $\mu = abc' - a'b'c + (ab - c)A - cB$ .

Note that

$$\tilde{S}_+ = (-\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 4(ab - c)a'b'c(A + B)}) / (2(ab - c)a'b),$$

$$\tilde{S}_- = (-\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 + 4(ab - c)a'b'c(A + B)}) / (2(ab - c)a'b),$$

where  $\sqrt{\cdot} : \mathbf{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  is the square root.

In the case where  $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}_+$  (resp.  $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}_-$ ) we set  $\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}_+$  (resp.  $\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}_-$ ). We can rewrite (b) as

$$\tilde{R}_\pm = (ab - c)a'b(ab'c)^{-1}\tilde{S}_\pm\mu(ab'c)^{-1}.$$

Using (d) this becomes

$$\tilde{R}_\pm = (ab - c)a'b(ab'c)^{-1}\tilde{S}_\pm - ((ab - c)a'b)(ab'c)^{-1}(\tilde{S}_+ + \tilde{S}_-)$$

that is

$$\tilde{R}_\pm = (ab - c)a'b(ab'c)^{-1}(\tilde{S}_\pm - \tilde{S}_+ - \tilde{S}_-).$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{R}_+ = -(ab - c)a'b(ab'c)^{-1}\tilde{S}_- \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$$

(since  $\tilde{S}_- \in -\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ),

$$\tilde{R}_- = -(ab - c)a'b(ab'c)^{-1}\tilde{S}_+ \in -\mathbf{R}_{>0}$$

(since  $\tilde{S}_+ \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ). We see that

$$\tau^{-1}(A, B) \subset \{(\tilde{R}_+ + a'/a, \tilde{S}_+ + b'/b), (\tilde{R}_- + a'/a, \tilde{S}_- + b'/b)\}$$

The same proof shows also the reverse inclusion so that

$$\tau^{-1}(A, B) = \{(\tilde{R}_+ + a'/a, \tilde{S}_+ + b'/b), (\tilde{R}_- + a'/a, \tilde{S}_- + b'/b)\}$$

Note that  $(A, B) \mapsto (\tilde{R}_+ + a'/a, \tilde{S}_+ + b'/b)$  is a continuous map  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{u,u'}$  which is the inverse of the continuous map  $\mathcal{T}_{u,u'} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}^2$  defined by  $\tau$ . This shows that 0.3 holds in our case: one of the two maps  $Z_i : U^- \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  ( $i \in I$ ) attaches to a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 \\ z & y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U^-$$

the number  $z$ ; the other attaches to the same matrix the number  $xy - z$ .

## 2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 0.5

**2.1.** In this section we prove Proposition 0.5. For  $u' \in U_{>0}^-, v \in U_{>0}^+$  we have  $u'v \in G_{>0}$  hence we can define  $(u'v)^+ \in U_{>0}^+, t_1 \in T_{>0}, (u'v)^- \in U_{>0}^-$  by the equation  $u'v = (u'v)^+t_1(u'v)^-$ . Note that the map  $U_{>0}^- \times U_{>0}^+ \rightarrow U_{>0}^+ \times T_{>0} \times U_{>0}^-$ ,  $(u', v) \mapsto ((u'v)^+, t_1, (u'v)^-)$  is continuous.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ . We write  $B = u'B^+u'^{-1}$  with  $u' \in U_{>0}^-$  uniquely determined. We have a homeomorphism*

$$\{(v, t) \in U_{>0}^+ \times T_{>0}; t \in \mathcal{T}_{(u'v)^-, u'}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} B \cap G_{>0}$$

given by  $(v, t) \mapsto u'vtu'^{-1}$ .

We have  $B = \{u'vtu'^{-1}; v \in U^+, t \in T\}$ . If such  $u'vtu'^{-1}$  is in  $G_{>0}$  then  $u'vt \in G_{>0}u' \subset G_{>0}U_{>0}^- \subset G_{>0}$  (see [L94, 2.12]) so that  $u'vt = u'_0v_0t_0$  with  $u'_0 \in U_{>0}^-, v_0 \in U_{>0}^+, t_0 \in T_{>0}$ . It follows that  $u' = u'_0, v = v_0, t = t_0$  so that  $v \in U_{>0}^+, t \in T_{>0}$ . Next we have  $u'v = (u'v)^+t_1(u'v)^-$  as in 2.1) and

$$u'vtu'^{-1} = (u'v)^+t_1(u'v)^-tu'^{-1} = (u'v)^+t_1t(t^{-1}(u'v)^-tu'^{-1}) \in U_{>0}^+T_{>0}U^-.$$

Since  $u'vtu'^{-1} \in G_{>0}$  we have also  $u'vtu'^{-1} = u_2t_2u'_2$  with  $u_2 \in U_{>0}^+, u'_2 \in U_{>0}^-, t_2 \in T_{>0}$ . It follows that  $(u'v)^+ = u_2, t_1t = t_2, t^{-1}(u'v)^-tu'^{-1} = u'_2$ . In particular,  $t^{-1}(u'v)^-tu'^{-1} \in U_{>0}^-$ .

Conversely, if  $v \in U_{>0}^+$  and  $t \in T_{>0}$  are given such that  $t^{-1}(u'v)^-tu'^{-1} \in U_{>0}^-$ , then we have  $u'vtu'^{-1} \in G_{>0}$ . Indeed, as in 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} u'vtu'^{-1} &= (u'v)^+t_1(u'v)^-tu'^{-1} \\ &= (u'v)^+(t_1t)(t^{-1}(u'v)^-tu'^{-1}) \in U_{>0}^+T_{>0}U_{>0}^- = G_{>0}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows.

**2.3.** We prove 0.5. Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ . We write  $B = u'B^+u'^{-1}$  with  $u' \in U_{>0}^-$  uniquely determined. From 0.3 we obtain a homeomorphism

$$\{(v, t) \in U_{>0}^+ \times T_{>0}; t \in \mathcal{T}_{(u'v)^-, u'}\} \rightarrow U_{>0}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^I$$

given by  $(v, t) \mapsto (v, z((u'v)^-, u', t))$ . Composing this with the inverse of the homeomorphism in Lemma 2.2, we obtain a homeomorphism of  $B \cap G_{>0}$  with  $U_{>0}^+ \times \mathbf{R}_{>0}^I$  so that 0.5 holds.

### 3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 0.7 AND OF 0.8(C)

**3.1.** Let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $G$ ; let  $\{s_i; i \in I\}$  be the simple reflections in  $W$ . Let  $w \mapsto |w|$  be the length function; we have  $|s_i| = 1$  for  $i \in I$ . For any  $J \subset I$  let  $W_J$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $\{s_i; i \in J\}$  and let  $w_0^J$  be the unique element  $w \in W_J$  with  $|w|$  maximal. Let  $w_0 = w_0^I$ .

For  $w \in W$  let  $U^+(w)$  (resp.  $U^-(w)$ ) be the subset of  $U^+$  (resp.  $U^-$ ) defined in [L94, 2.7] (resp. [L94, 2.9]).

In the remainder of this section we fix  $J \subset I$ . From the definitions we see that

(a)  $u \mapsto uP_J^+u^{-1}$  is a bijection  $U^-(w_0w_0^J) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$ .

**3.2.** For any  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbf{N}^I$  let  $V_\lambda, \beta_\lambda$  be as in 0.2. Let  $V_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}$  be the  $\mathbf{R}$ -subspace of  $V_\lambda$  spanned by  $\beta_\lambda$ . Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be the set of all lines in  $V_{\lambda, \mathbf{R}}$ . Note that  $G$  acts naturally on  $\mathbf{P}$ . We shall assume that  $\{i \in I; \lambda_i \neq 0\} = I - J$ . Then for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}^J$  there is a unique  $L_P^\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$  such that the stabilizer of  $L_P^\lambda$  in  $G$  is equal to  $P$ ; moreover,

(a) *the map  $P \mapsto L_P^\lambda$  from  $\mathcal{P}^J$  to  $\mathbf{P}$  is injective.*

A line in  $\mathbf{P}$  is said to be in  $\mathbf{P}_{>0}$  if it is spanned by a linear combination of elements in  $\beta_\lambda$  with all coefficients being in  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . We shall now assume in addition that  $\lambda$  is such that  $\lambda_i$  is sufficiently large for any  $i \in I - J$  so that [L98, 3.4] is applicable; thus the following holds:

(b) *For  $P \in \mathcal{P}^J$  we have  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  if and only if  $L_P^\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{>0}$ .*

Now let  $g \in G_{>0}$ . From [L94, 5.2] we see that the following holds.

(c) *There is a unique line  $L_g \in \mathbf{P}_{>0}$  such that  $gL_g = L_g$ .*

**3.3.** Let  $g \in G_{>0}$ . We prove Proposition 0.7.

By [L94, 8.9] there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$  such that  $g \in B$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}^J$  be such that  $B \subset P$ . We have  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$ ,  $g \in P$ . This proves the existence in 0.7. Assume now that  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J, P'' \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  satisfy  $g \in P', g \in P''$ . In the setup of 3.2(b) we have  $L_{P'}^\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{>0}, L_{P''}^\lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{>0}$ . Since  $g \in P'$ , the line  $L_{P'}^\lambda$  is  $g$ -stable hence, with notation of 3.2(c), we have  $L_{P'}^\lambda = L_g$ . Similarly we have  $L_{P''}^\lambda = L_g$ . Thus we have  $L_{P'}^\lambda = L_{P''}^\lambda$ . Using 3.2(a) we deduce  $P' = P''$ . This proves the uniqueness in 0.7.

**3.4.** We now fix  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$ . By 3.1(a) we have  $P = uP_J^+u^{-1}$  for a well defined  $u \in U^-(w_0w_0^J)$ .

We show:

(a)  $v \mapsto uvB^+v^{-1}u^{-1}$  is a bijection  $U^-(w_0^J) \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma_P$ .

If  $v \in U^-(w_0^J)$  then  $uv \in U^-(w_0) = U_{>0}^-$  hence  $uvB^+v^{-1}u^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{>0}$ ; moreover we have  $vB^+v^{-1} \in P_J^+$  hence  $uvB^+v^{-1}u^{-1} \subset uP_J^+u^{-1} = P$  so that  $uvB^+v^{-1}u^{-1} \in \gamma_P$ . Thus (a) is a well defined map. Now let  $B \in \gamma_P$ . We have  $B = u_1B^+u_1^{-1}$  where  $u_1 \in U^-(w_0)$  and  $B \subset uP_J^+u^{-1}$  that is  $u_1B^+u_1^{-1} \subset uP_J^+u^{-1}$ . Now there is a unique  $P' \in \mathcal{P}^J$  containing  $u_1B^+u_1^{-1}$ . Since  $u_1P_J^+u_1^{-1}$  and  $uP_J^+u^{-1}$  are such  $P'$ , we must have  $u_1P_J^+u_1^{-1} = uP_J^+u^{-1}$ . We have  $u_1 = u'_1u''_1$  where  $u'_1 \in U^-(w_0w_0^J)$ ,  $u''_1 \in U^-(w_0^J)$ ; Hence  $u_1P_J^+u_1^{-1} = u'_1u''_1P_J^+u''_1^{-1}u'_1^{-1} = u'_1P_J^+u'_1^{-1}$  so that  $u'_1P_J^+u'_1^{-1} = uP_J^+u^{-1}$ . Using this and 3.1(a) we deduce  $u'_1 = u$  so that  $B = uu''_1B^+u''_1^{-1}u^{-1}$ . We see that the map (a) is surjective. The injectivity of the map (a) is immediate. This proves (a).

From the definitions we see that (a) is a homeomorphism. Hence 0.8(c) holds.

**3.5.** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{>0}^J$  and let  $P_{red}$  be the reductive quotient of  $P$ . Define  $u \in U^-(w_0w_0^J)$  by  $P = uP_J^+u^{-1}$  (see 3.1(a)). Now  $P_{red}$  has a natural pinning. (It is induced by the obvious pinning of the reductive quotient of  $P_J^+$  using the isomorphism  $P_J^+ \rightarrow P$  given by conjugation by  $u$ .) Hence we can define  $\mathcal{B}_{P,>0}$  (an open subset of the real flag manifold of  $P_{red}$ ) in terms of  $P_{red}$  with its pinning in the same way as  $\mathcal{B}_{>0}$  was defined in terms of  $G$  with its pinning. (Although  $P_{red}$  is not necessarily semisimple, the same definitions can be applied.) We have a bijection

(a)  $\mathcal{B}_{P,>0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma_P$

obtained by taking inverse image under the obvious map  $P \rightarrow P_{red}$ . This can be deduced from the proof in 3.4.

## REFERENCES

- [L90] G.Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J.Amer.Math.Soc. **3** (1990), 447-498.
- [L94] G.Lusztig, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie theory and geometry, Progr.in Math.123, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994, pp. 531-568.
- [L98] G.Lusztig, *Total positivity in partial flag manifolds*, Represent.Th. **2** (1998), 70-78.
- [L21] G.Lusztig, *Total positivity in Springer fibres*, Quart.J.Math. **72** (2021), 31-49.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139