

# CATEGORY $\mathcal{O}$ FOR THE LIE ALGEBRA OF VECTOR FIELDS ON THE LINE

GENQIANG LIU AND MINGJIE LI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathfrak{W}$  be the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line. Via computing extensions between all simple modules in the category  $\mathcal{O}$ , we give the block decomposition of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and show that the representation type of each block of  $\mathcal{O}$  is wild using the Ext-quiver. Each block of  $\mathcal{O}$  has infinite simple objects. This result is very different from that of  $\mathcal{O}$  for complex semisimple Lie algebras. To find a connection between  $\mathcal{O}$  and the Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$ , we give an exact functor from  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $\Omega_a$ , which maps simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}$  to simple modules in  $\Omega_a$  or zero. We also construct new simple  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules from Weyl modules and modules over the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\mathfrak{W}$ .

**Keywords:** Category  $\mathcal{O}$ , block, Ext-quiver, wild, Whittaker module.

**Math. Subj. Class.** 2020: 17B10, 17B30, 17B80

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The category  $\mathcal{O}$  for complex semisimple Lie algebras was introduced by Joseph Bernstein, Israel Gelfand and Sergei Gelfand in the early 1970s, see [2], and it includes all highest weight modules. This category is very important in the representation theory. For more details on category  $\mathcal{O}$ , one can see the monograph [12].

The category  $\mathcal{O}$  can be defined for any Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition, see the book [14]. For a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+$ , there always exists an anti-involution  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\sigma(\mathfrak{g}^+) = \mathfrak{g}^-$  and  $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . For example, the finite dimensional simple Lie algebras, the Virasoro algebra, the affine Kac-Moody algebras, the Heisenberg Lie algebras are all Lie algebras with triangular decompositions, see [14]. For the Kac-Moody algebras and the Virasoro algebra, categories  $\mathcal{O}$  were studied in [8, 4] and references therein. For these algebras, the Hom-spaces between Verma modules determine the block decomposition of the category  $\mathcal{O}$  to a great extent.

For the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{W}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{W}^+$  of vector fields on the line,  $\mathfrak{W}^-$  is one dimensional,  $\mathfrak{W}^+$  is infinite dimensional. So  $\mathfrak{W}$  is not a Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition in the sense of [14]. Although we can also define the category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $\mathfrak{W}$  similar as that of complex semisimple Lie algebras, however several properties for  $\mathcal{O}$  in [14] dose not hold for  $\mathfrak{W}$ . For example, the embeddings

---

*Date:* August 9, 2022.

between Verma modules has little impact on the block decomposition of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Our initial motivation of the present paper was to explore the differences between the category  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathfrak{W}$  and the categories  $\mathcal{O}$  of semi-simple Lie algebras. In this paper, through giving extensions between all simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}$ , we obtain the block decomposition of the category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $\mathfrak{W}$ , and study the representation type of each block of  $\mathcal{O}$ . We also detect the relation between  $\mathcal{O}$  and the Whittaker category for  $\mathfrak{W}$ , and construct simple  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules from modules over the Weyl algebra and modules over the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\mathfrak{W}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the category  $\mathcal{O}$  and the Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$  for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{W}$ , and recall some results on  $\Omega_a$  from [21] in case  $a \neq 0$ . In Section 3, we first study the Verma modules and recall extensions on the  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $F_\lambda$  of Feigin and Fuchs defined in [9]. Then using these extensions and the duality between  $F_\lambda$  and the Verma module  $\Delta(\lambda)$ , we can give all nontrivial extensions between Verma modules in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Consequently, we obtain  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M, N)$  for all simple modules  $M, N \in \mathcal{O}$ , see Theorem 3.11. It should be mentioned that extensions between simple modules for the finite dimensional Witt algebra  $W(1, 1)$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 3$  were determined in [3]. Furthermore we give the block decomposition  $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ , and show that each block  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  is wild by studying a sub-quiver of its Ext-quiver, see Theorem 3.14. In subsection 3.5, we construct a functor  $\Gamma_a$  from  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $\Omega_a$ , where we identify  $\Omega_a$  with the category  $\Omega'_a$  of finite dimensional  $H'_a$ -modules. The algebra  $H'_a$  is a subalgebra of  $U(\mathfrak{b})$  which is isomorphic to the endomorphism algebra  $H_a$  of the universal Whittaker  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module  $Q_a$  defined in subsection 2.3. When  $a \neq 0$ , we show that  $\Gamma_a$  is an exact functor, and  $\Gamma_a$  maps simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}$  to simple modules in  $\Omega_a$  or zero. Therefore the functor  $\Gamma_a$  gives a connection between  $\mathcal{O}$  and the Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$ . At the end of Section 3, we also conjecture that some non-integral block  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  may be equivalent to some subcategory of  $\Omega_a$ . In Section 4, we construct new simple tensor  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $T(P, V)$  from modules  $P$  over the Weyl algebra and  $\mathfrak{b}$ -modules  $V$ . The isomorphism criterion for  $T(P, V)$  is also given.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  the sets of integers, positive integers, nonnegative integers and complex numbers, respectively. All vector spaces and Lie algebras are over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  we denote by  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  its universal enveloping algebra. We write  $\otimes$  for  $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**2.1. Witt algebra.** Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$  be the polynomial algebra and  $\mathfrak{W}$  the derivation Lie algebra of  $A$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{W} = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} A$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{W}$  is called the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line, or the Witt algebra of rank one. Denote  $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  and  $d_i = x^{i+1}\partial$ , for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ . Then  $\{d_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{W}$ .

We can write the Lie bracket in  $\mathfrak{W}$  as follows:

$$[d_i, d_j] = (j - i)d_{i+j}, \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}.$$

Note that the subspace  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}d_0$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{W}$ , i.e., a maximal abelian subalgebra that is diagonalizable on  $\mathfrak{W}$  with respect to the adjoint action. Let  $\mathfrak{W}^+ = \text{span}\{d_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{W}^- = \mathbb{C}d_{-1}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{W} = \mathfrak{W}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{W}^+$  is a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{W}$ , and the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{W}^+$  is called a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{W}$ .

One can see that  $\Phi = \{\varepsilon_{-1}, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots\}$  is the root system of  $\mathfrak{W}$ , where  $\varepsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that  $\varepsilon_i(d_0) = i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ . The subalgebra  $\mathbb{C}d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , and  $z = -d_1d_{-1} + d_0^2 - d_0$  is its Casimir element.

**Definition 2.1.** *A  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module  $M$  is called a weight module if  $d_0$  acts diagonally on  $M$ , i.e.,*

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda,$$

where  $M_\lambda := \{v \in M \mid d_0v = \lambda v\}$ . For a weight module  $M$ , denote

$$\text{Supp}(M) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid M_\lambda \neq 0\}.$$

If  $M$  is a simple weight  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module, then  $\text{Supp}(M) \subset \lambda + \mathbb{Z}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . For a  $\lambda \in \text{Supp}(M)$ , a nonzero vector  $v \in M_\lambda$  is called a maximal vector if  $\mathfrak{W}^+v = 0$ . A weight module is called a highest weight module if it is generated by a maximal weight vector.

We use  $U(\mathfrak{W})\text{-Mod}$  to denote the category of all left  $U(\mathfrak{W})$ -modules.

**2.2. Category  $\mathcal{O}$ .** Next we introduce the category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $\mathfrak{W}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** *The category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $\mathfrak{W}$  is a full subcategory of  $U(\mathfrak{W})\text{-Mod}$  whose objects are  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $M$  satisfying the following axioms:*

- (a)  $M$  is a finitely generated  $U(\mathfrak{W})$ -module;
- (b)  $M$  is a weight module;
- (c)  $M$  is locally  $\mathfrak{W}^+$ -finite: for each  $v \in M$ , the subspace  $U(\mathfrak{W}^+)v$  is finite dimensional.

Let  $M$  be a module in  $\mathcal{O}$ . By (a) and (c) in Definition 2.2, we can assume that  $M$  is generated by a finite dimensional  $U(\mathfrak{W}^+)$ -module  $N$ . By induction on the dimension of  $N$ , we can show that  $M$  has the following property.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Any module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  has a finite filtration of submodules as follows:*

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_m = M,$$

where each factor  $M_j/M_{j-1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  is a highest weight module.

So highest weight modules are basic constituents of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**2.3. Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$ .** We also introduce a Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$  for  $\mathfrak{W}$  which can be related to  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [21] *For each  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , the Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$  for  $\mathfrak{W}$  is a full subcategory of  $U(\mathfrak{W})\text{-Mod}$  whose objects are  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $M$  satisfying the following axioms:*

- (a)  $d_{-1} - a$  acts locally nilpotently on  $M$ ;
- (b) the subspace  $\text{wh}_{\mathbf{a}}(M) = \{v \in M \mid d_{-1}v = av\}$  is finite dimensional.

A module in  $\Omega_a$  is called a whittaker module. An element in  $\text{wh}_{\mathbf{a}}(M)$  is called a Whittaker vector. The category  $\Omega_a$  was studied in [21].

**Example 2.1.** *For any  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ , the space  $A_{a,b} = \mathbb{C}[x]$  is a Whittaker  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module under the action:*

$$d_i x^n = (n + b(i + 1))x^{n+i} + ax^{n+i+1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

We can see that  $\text{wh}_{\mathbf{a}}(A_{a,b}) = \mathbb{C}$ . By Theorem 3.5 in [13], when  $a \neq 0$ ,  $A_{a,b}$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module for any  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $N_a = \mathbb{C}1_a$  be the one dimensional  $\mathbb{C}[d_{-1}]$ -module such that  $d_{-1}1_a = a1_a$ . Let  $Q_a = U(\mathfrak{W}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[d_{-1}]} N_a$  the induced  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module, and

$$(2.1) \quad H_a = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{W}}(Q_a)^{\text{op}}.$$

Then  $Q_a$  is both a left  $U(\mathfrak{W})$ -module and a right  $H_a$ -module. Let  $H_a\text{-mod}$  be the category of finite dimensional  $H_a$ -modules.

**Theorem 2.5.** [21] *Suppose that  $a \neq 0$ . Then*

- (a) *The functors  $M \mapsto \text{wh}_a(M)$  and  $V \mapsto Q_a \otimes_{H_a} V$  are inverse equivalence between  $\Omega_a$  and  $H_a\text{-mod}$ .*
- (b) *Any simple module in  $\Omega_a$  is isomorphic to  $A_{a,b}$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

Therefore any finite dimensional simple nonzero  $H_a$ -module is one dimensional.

### 3. BLOCK DECOMPOSITION OF $\mathcal{O}$

In this section, we study extensions between Verma modules and simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Using the Ext-quiver, we show that each block of  $\mathcal{O}$  has wild representation type. We also construct an exact functor  $\Gamma_a$  from  $\mathcal{O}$  to the Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$ .

**3.1. The Verma modules.** For a  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , denote by  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$  the one-dimensional  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module with the generator  $v_{\lambda}$  and the action given by

$$\mathfrak{W}^+ v_{\lambda} = 0, \quad d_0 v_{\lambda} = \lambda v_{\lambda}.$$

The *Verma module* over  $\mathfrak{W}$  is defined as follows:

$$\Delta(\lambda) := \text{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}^{\mathfrak{W}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \cong U(\mathfrak{W}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda.$$

The module  $\Delta(\lambda)$  has the unique simple quotient module  $L(\lambda)$ . By Lemma 2.3, the modules  $L(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  provide a complete set of irreducible modules in category  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** (1)  $zv = \lambda(\lambda + 1)v$  for all  $v \in \Delta(\lambda)$ .

(2) The module  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is simple if and only if  $\lambda \neq 0$ . So  $\Delta(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

(3) The module  $\Delta(0)$  is a uniserial module whose structure can be described by the following exact sequence:

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Delta(0) \xrightarrow{\beta} L(0) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) The proof follows from  $[z, d_{-1}] = 0$ ,  $\Delta(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[d_{-1}]v_\lambda$  and  $zv_\lambda = \lambda(\lambda + 1)v_\lambda$ .

(2) For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , denote  $v_{\lambda-i} := d_{-1}^i \cdot v_\lambda$ . We can deduce that  $d_0 \cdot v_{\lambda-i} = (\lambda - i)v_{\lambda-i}$ . Since  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is generated by  $v_\lambda$ ,  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is reducible if and only if there is an  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $d_1 v_{\lambda-i} = d_2 v_{\lambda-i} = 0$ .

We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 \cdot v_{\lambda-i} &= d_1 \cdot d_{-1}^i \cdot v_\lambda \\ &= ([d_1, d_{-1}^i] + d_{-1}^i d_1) \cdot v_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} d_{-1}^t [d_1, d_{-1}] d_{-1}^{i-t-1} \cdot v_\lambda \\ &= -2 \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} d_{-1}^t d_0 d_{-1}^{i-t-1} \cdot v_\lambda \\ &= -2 \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} (\lambda - i + t + 1) v_{\lambda-i+1} \\ &= i(i-1-2\lambda) v_{\lambda-i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$d_2 \cdot v_{\lambda-i} = i(i-1)(3\lambda - i + 2) v_{\lambda-i+2}.$$

Consider  $d_1 \cdot v_{\lambda-i} = 0$  and  $d_2 \cdot v_{\lambda-i} = 0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we have the equations

$$\begin{cases} i(i-1-2\lambda) = 0, \\ i(i-1)(3\lambda - i + 2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The solution of this equation is  $\lambda = 0, i = 1$ . Moreover when  $\lambda = 0$ , the submodule generated by  $v_{-1}$  is a proper submodule which is isomorphic to  $\Delta(-1)$ . Thus  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is simple if and only if  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

(3) By (2), the submodule  $N$  generated by  $v_{-1}$  of  $\Delta(0)$  is simple and  $\Delta(0)/N \cong L(0)$ . So  $N$  is the unique nontrivial submodule of  $\Delta(0)$ . Hence  $\Delta(0)$  is a uniserial module.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *If we denote  $e_{\lambda-i} := \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} d_{-1}^i \cdot v_\lambda$ , for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $\{e_{\lambda-i} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  is also a basis of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  such that the action of  $\mathfrak{W}$  on  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is defined as follows:*

$$(3.2) \quad d_k e_{\lambda-i} = ((k+1)\lambda + k - i) e_{\lambda-i+k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1},$$

where  $e_{\lambda-i+k} = 0$  when  $k - i > 0$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Any module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  has finite composition length.*

*Proof.* By lemma 2.3,  $M$  has a filtration

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_m = M,$$

such that each factor  $M_j/M_{j-1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  is a highest weight module. By Lemma 3.1, every Verma module has finite composition length, so does any highest weight module. Consequently the composition length of  $M$  is finite.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *In [7], the authors defined another category  $\mathcal{O}'$  for  $\mathfrak{W}$ . Any module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{O}'$  is locally finite over  $\mathbb{C}d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_0$  rather than  $\mathfrak{W}^+$ . Similar as  $\Delta(\lambda)$ , define the  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module:*

$$\Delta'(\lambda) := U(\mathfrak{W}) \bigotimes_{U(\mathbb{C}d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_0)} \mathbb{C}'_\lambda,$$

where  $\mathbb{C}'_\lambda = \mathbb{C}v'_\lambda$  is the  $U(\mathbb{C}d_{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_0)$ -module defined by  $d_{-1}v'_\lambda = 0, d_0v'_\lambda = \lambda v'_\lambda$ . Since any simple weight module over  $\mathfrak{W}$  has one dimensional weight spaces, see [19],  $\Delta'(\lambda)$  does not have finite composition length. So  $\mathcal{O}'$  does not satisfy Corollary 3.3.

**3.2. Extension between Verma modules.** Recall that for  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $M, N \in \mathcal{O}$ , the first cohomology space  $\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{W})}^1(M, N)$  classifies the short exact sequences:  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} K \xrightarrow{\beta} M \rightarrow 0$ , also called the extension of  $N$  by  $M$ . Generally  $K$  may not lie in  $\mathcal{O}$ . We are only interested in that  $K \in \mathcal{O}$ , i.e.,  $K$  needs to be a weight module. Note that  $\mathcal{O}$  is closed under weight module extensions. So  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M, N) \subset \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{W})}^1(M, N)$ . In this subsection, we will give all extensions between Verma modules in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

- (1) *If  $\lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $M$  is a highest weight module with the highest weight  $\mu$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\lambda), M) = 0$ ;*
- (2)  *$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \xrightarrow{\beta} \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{O}$ , where  $N$  is a weight module. As  $\lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\text{Supp}(N) = \text{Supp}(M) \cup \text{Supp}(\Delta(\lambda))$ , so  $\lambda$  is a maximum weight in  $N$ . Recall that  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is generated by the highest weight vector  $v_\lambda$ . Since  $\beta$  is surjective, there exists weight vector  $0 \neq v \in N_\lambda$ , such that  $\beta(v) = v_\lambda$ . Moreover,  $v$  must be a maximal vector. Otherwise, there exists  $d_i \in \mathfrak{W}^+$ ,  $0 \neq d_i \cdot v \in N_{\lambda+i}$  such that  $\lambda + i \in \text{Supp}(N)$ , which contradicts to the maximality of  $\lambda$ . The map such that  $v_\lambda \mapsto v$  can be extended to a  $U(\mathfrak{W})$ -module homomorphism  $\beta' : \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow N$ , and  $\beta\beta' = 1_{\Delta(\lambda)}$ . So the exact sequence (3.3) is split and hence  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\lambda), M) = 0$ .

(2) is an immediate corollary of (1).  $\square$

Let us recall the  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $F_\lambda$  of Feigin and Fuchs defined in [9], with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . The module  $F_\lambda$  has a basis  $\{f_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  with the  $\mathfrak{W}$ -action defined by

$$d_i f_j = (j - (i + 1)\lambda) f_{i+j},$$

where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . These modules are shown to be restricted dualities of Verma modules. For a weight  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module  $V = \bigoplus_\lambda V_\lambda$ , the restricted duality  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module  $V^* = \bigoplus_\lambda \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_\lambda, \mathbb{C})$  is defined by the natural action:

$$(d_i \phi)(v) = \phi(-d_i v),$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ ,  $\phi \in V^*$ ,  $v \in V$ . By the universal property of  $\Delta(\lambda)$ , one can check that  $F_\lambda^* \cong \Delta(\lambda)$ . Feigin and Fuchs gave the classification of the extensions of  $F_\mu$  by the modules  $F_\lambda$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** [9] *Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{W})}^1(F_\lambda, F_\mu) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 0, 2, 3, 4; \\ \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (0, -1); \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (0, -5) \text{ or } (4, -1); \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = \left(\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}\right); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover all nontrivial extensions of  $F_\mu$  by  $F_\lambda$  were listed in the table 1 on page 207 of [9]. We give these extensions in a slightly different form as follows.

(1) The unique non-split extension  $E(F_\lambda, F_\lambda)$  of  $F_\lambda$  by itself has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j - (i + 1)\lambda) f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= (j - (i + 1)\lambda) f'_{i+j} + (i + 1) f_{i+j}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) There are two non-split extensions:  $E(F_0, F_{-1})$ ,  $E'(F_0, F_{-1})$  of  $F_{-1}$  by  $F_0$ . The module  $E(F_0, F_{-1})$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j + i + 1)f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= j f'_{i+j} + (i + 1)j f_{i+j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The module  $E'(F_0, F_{-1})$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j + i + 1)f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= j f'_{i+j} + (i + 1)i f_{i+j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) The unique non-split extension  $E(F_\lambda, F_{\lambda-2})$  of  $F_{\lambda-2}$  by  $F_\lambda$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j - (i + 1)(\lambda - 2))f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= (j - (i + 1)\lambda)f'_{i+j} + ((i + 1)i(i - 1) + 2(i + 1)ij)f_{i+j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) The unique non-split extension  $E(F_\lambda, F_{\lambda-3})$  of  $F_{\lambda-3}$  by  $F_\lambda$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j - (i + 1)(\lambda - 3))f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= (j - (i + 1)\lambda)f'_{i+j} + ((i + 1)i(i - 1)j + (i + 1)ij(j - 1))f_{i+j-3}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) The unique non-split extension  $E(F_\lambda, F_{\lambda-4})$  of  $F_{\lambda-4}$  by  $F_\lambda$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j - (i + 1)(\lambda - 4))f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= (j - (i + 1)\lambda)f'_{i+j} + \left( \frac{(i + 1)!}{(i - 4)!} \lambda + \frac{(i + 1)!j}{(i - 3)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6(i + 1)i(i - 1)j(j - 1) - 4(i + 1)ij(j - 1)(j - 2) \right) f_{i+j-4}. \end{aligned}$$

(6) The unique non-split extension  $E(F_0, F_{-5})$  of  $F_{-5}$  by  $F_0$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j + 5(i + 1))f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= j f'_{i+j} + \left( 2 \frac{(i + 1)!j}{(i - 4)!} - 5 \frac{(i + 1)!j(j - 1)}{(i - 3)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 10(i + 1)i(i - 1)j(j - 1)(j - 2) + 5(i + 1)ij(j - 1)(j - 2)(j - 3) \right) f_{i+j-5}. \end{aligned}$$

(7) The unique non-split extension  $E(F_4, F_{-1})$  of  $F_{-1}$  by  $F_4$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j + i + 1)f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= (j - 4(i + 1))f'_{i+j} + \left( 12 \frac{(i + 1)!}{(i - 5)!} + 22 \frac{(i + 1)!j}{(i - 4)!} + 5 \frac{(i + 1)!j(j - 1)}{(i - 3)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 10(i + 1)i(i - 1)j(j - 1)(j - 2) - 5(i + 1)ij(j - 1)(j - 2)(j - 3) \right) f_{i+j-5}. \end{aligned}$$



(8) When  $(\lambda, \mu) = (\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2})$ , the unique non-split extension  $E(F_\lambda, F_\mu)$  of  $F_\mu$  by  $F_\lambda$  has a basis  $\{f_j, f'_j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i f_j &= (j - (i + 1)\mu) f_{i+j}, \\ d_i f'_j &= (j - (i + 1)\lambda) f'_{i+j} + \left( \frac{(i + 1)!(22 \pm 5\sqrt{19})}{(i - 6)!4} - \frac{(i + 1)!j(31 \pm 7\sqrt{19})}{(i - 5)!2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(i + 1)!j(j - 1)(25 \pm 7\sqrt{19})}{(i - 4)!2} - \frac{(i + 1)!j(j - 1)(j - 2)5}{(i - 3)!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 5(i + 1)i(i - 1)j(j - 1)(j - 2)(j - 3) + \frac{j!2(i + 1)i}{(j - 5)!} \right) f_{i+j-6}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above formulas,  $f_j = f'_j = 0$  if  $j < 0$ . By the isomorphism  $F_\lambda^* \cong \Delta(\lambda)$ , we obtain all nontrivial extensions between Verma modules.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 2, 3, 4; \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (0, -1), (0, -5) \text{ or } (4, -1); \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda)) \leq \dim \text{Ext}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}^1(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda))$  and  $F_\lambda^* \cong \Delta(\lambda)$ , we have

$$\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda)) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 0, 2, 3, 4; \\ 2, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (0, -1); \\ 1, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (0, -5) \text{ or } (4, -1); \\ 1, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}). \end{cases}$$

If  $E(F_\lambda, F_\lambda)$  is a weight module, then there are nonzero  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $d_0(f'_j + a_j f_j) = (j - \lambda)(f'_j + a_j f_j)$  for almost all  $j$ . However on the other side,  $d_0(f'_j + a_j f_j) = (j - \lambda)f'_j + f_j + a_j(j - \lambda)f_j$ , which is a contradiction. So  $E(F_\lambda, F_\lambda)$  is not a weight module, and hence  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) = 0$ . In fact, by (2) in Lemma 3.5, we can also see that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(\lambda), \Delta(\lambda)) = 0$ .

Similarly  $E(F_0, F_{-1})$  is not a weight module. By the action of  $d_0$  on  $f'_j$ , we can see that  $E(F_0, F_{-1})$ ,  $E(F_\lambda, F_{\lambda-2})$ ,  $E(F_\lambda, F_{\lambda-3})$ ,  $E(F_\lambda, F_{\lambda-4})$ ,  $E(F_0, F_{-5})$ ,  $E(F_4, F_{-1})$ , and  $E(F_{\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}}, F_{\frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}})$  are weight modules. So these modules are also no-split extensions between Verma modules in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then we can complete the proof.  $\square$

**3.3. Extensions between simple modules.** In this subsection, we compute  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(M, N)$  for all simple modules  $M, N \in \mathcal{O}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *If  $\lambda - \mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $L(\mu) \subset M$  and  $M/L(\mu) \cong L(\lambda)$ , then  $\text{Supp}(M) = \text{Supp}(L(\mu)) \cup \text{Supp}(L(\lambda))$ . Since  $\lambda - \mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\text{Supp}(L(\mu)) \cap \text{Supp}(L(\lambda)) = \emptyset$ . So  $M \cong L(\mu) \oplus L(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** (1) For all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(\lambda)) = 0$ .

(2) We have  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(0), L(-1)) = 1$ . That is, if

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(0) \rightarrow 0,$$

is a non-split exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules in  $\mathcal{O}$ , then  $M \cong \Delta(0)$ .

(3)  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(0), L(\lambda)) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) When  $\lambda \neq 0$ , thanks to (1) in Lemma 3.5 and  $L(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)$ , we obtain  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(\lambda)) = 0$ . It is enough to prove that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(0), L(0)) = 0$ .

Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \rightarrow \Delta(0) \rightarrow L(0) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Delta(-1)$  is the unique nonzero submodule of  $\Delta(0)$ . We can get a long exact sequence by using the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, L(0))$ :

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(0)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(0), L(0)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(0), L(0)) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

According to (1) in Lemma 3.5, and the fact that  $L(0)$  is not a composition factor of  $\Delta(-1)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(0), L(0)) = 0$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(0)) = 0$ , whence  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(0), L(0)) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(\lambda)) = 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(2) Consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Delta(-1) \rightarrow \Delta(0) \rightarrow L(0) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Delta(-1)$  is the maximal submodule of codimension 1 of  $\Delta(0)$ . According to Lemma 3.5, we have  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(0), L(-1)) = 0$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, L(-1))$  to (3.5), from  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(0), L(-1)) = 0$ , we can get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(-1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(0), L(-1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(\Delta(0), L(-1)) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Thus  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(0), L(-1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(-1), L(-1)) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

(3) By (1) and (2), we can assume that  $\lambda \neq 0, -1$ . Let  $M$  be a non-split extension of  $L(\lambda)$  by  $L(0)$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ . We can suppose that  $L(\lambda) \subset M$  and  $M/L(\lambda) = L(0)$ . Then  $1 \leq \dim M_0 \leq 2$ . There must exist  $e'_0 \in M_0 \setminus L(\lambda)_0$  such that  $d_1 e'_0 = 0$ . Thus  $d_1 d_{-1} e'_0 = 0$ . As  $z d_{-1} e'_0 = \lambda(\lambda + 1) d_{-1} e'_0 = d_{-1} z e'_0 = 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0, -1$ , we deduce that  $d_{-1} e'_0 = 0$ . Since  $M$  is indecomposable,  $d_2 e'_0$  is a nonzero element in  $L(\lambda)$ . So  $[d_{-1}, d_2] e'_0 = 0$  implies that  $d_{-1} d_2 e'_0 = 0$ , contradicting with that  $d_{-1}$  acts injectively on  $L(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

Next we use the relative Lie algebra cohomology to compute  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(0))$ . For two weight  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $M, N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h}}^1(M, N) &\cong H^1(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)) \\ &\cong C^1(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)) / B^1(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)), \end{aligned}$$

where the set of 1-cocycles  $C^1(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N))$  is the subspace of all  $c \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N))$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad c(\mathfrak{h}) = 0, \quad c([g_1, g_2]) = [g_1, c(g_2)] - [g_2, c(g_1)],$$

for all  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{W}$ , where  $[g, \psi] \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)$  such that

$$[g, \psi](v) = g\psi(v) - \psi(gv),$$

for  $g \in \mathfrak{W}, \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N), v \in M$ . A 1-cocycle  $c$  is a coboundary if there is a  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(M, N)$  such that  $c(g) = [g, \psi]$  for any  $g \in \mathfrak{W}$ .

**Lemma 3.10.**  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(0)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda = -1, -2; \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda \neq -1, -2. \end{cases}$

*Proof.* By (1) in Lemma 3.9, we can assume  $\lambda \neq 0$ . So  $L(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{W})v_{\lambda} = \mathbb{C}[d_{-1}]v_{\lambda}$ . Suppose that  $L(0) = \mathbb{C}v_0$

According to (3.1.2) in [17], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(0)) &\cong \text{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h})}^1(\Delta(\lambda), L(0)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{h})}^1(U(\mathfrak{W}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})}^1(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)) \\ &\cong H^1(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0))), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{C}v_{\lambda}$  is the one dimensional  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module.

For  $\omega \in C^1(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0)))$ ,  $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad (j - k)\omega(d_{k+j}) = [d_k, \omega(d_j)] - [d_j, \omega(d_k)].$$

Taking  $k = 0$ , by  $\omega(d_0) = 0$ , we have  $j\omega(d_j) = [d_0, \omega(d_j)]$ . After multiplying a suitable scalar, we can assume that  $\omega(d_j)(v_{\lambda}) = \delta_{\lambda+j, 0}v_0$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -3}$ , then  $\omega(d_1) = \omega(d_2) = 0$ , hence  $\omega = 0$  and  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\lambda), L(0)) = 0$ . If  $\lambda = -2$ , then  $\omega(d_2)(v_{-2}) = v_0$ ,  $\omega(d_j) = 0$  for any  $j \neq 2$ . So from  $B^1(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, L(0))) = 0$ , we have  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(-2), L(0)) = 1$ . Similarly  $\dim \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(-1), L(0)) = 1$ .  $\square$

We can summarize the results on extensions of simple modules as follows:

**Theorem 3.11.** *Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 2, 3, 4, \lambda\mu \neq 0; \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = (0, -1), (0, -2), (-1, 0) \text{ or } (4, -1); \\ \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } (\lambda, \mu) = \left(\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-7 \pm \sqrt{19}}{2}\right); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It should be mentioned that extensions between simple modules for the finite dimensional Witt algebra  $W(1, 1)$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 3$  were determined in [3].

**3.4. Block decomposition of  $\mathcal{O}$ .** We first recall the notion of blocks of an abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$ . We assume that any object of  $\mathcal{C}$  has finite composition length. We introduce an equivalence relation on the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  as follows: two simple objects  $V, V'$  are equivalent if there exists a sequence  $V = V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r = V'$  of simple objects satisfying  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(V_i, V_{i+1}) \neq 0$  or  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(V_{i+1}, V_i) \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . Then for each equivalence class  $\chi$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_\chi$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of objects whose all composition factors belong to  $\chi$ . Each  $\mathcal{C}_\chi$  is called a block of  $\mathcal{C}$  and

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\chi} \mathcal{C}_\chi.$$

Moreover, each  $\mathcal{C}_\chi$  cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of two nontrivial abelian full subcategories. The decomposition in (3.8) is called the block decomposition of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}$  consisting of modules  $M$  such that  $\text{Supp} M \subset \lambda + \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *We have the block decomposition  $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ , each  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  is indecomposable. The set  $\{L(\lambda + n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is the set of all simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ .*

*Proof.* This result follows from Theorem 3.11. □

Let  $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  be the free associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  in two variables  $x_1, x_2$ . Recall that an abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$  is wild if there exists an exact functor from the category of finite dimensional representations of the algebra  $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  which preserves indecomposability and takes non-isomorphic modules to non-isomorphic ones, see Definition 2 in [18]. The following Lemma is useful for the study of representations of infinite dimensional algebras. For its proof, one can see Proposition 2.1 in [11]

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category. If the Ext-quiver of  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a finite subquiver  $Q$  whose underlying unoriented graph is neither a Dynkin nor an affine diagram such that two arrows in  $Q$  can not be concatenated, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is wild.*

**Theorem 3.14.** *For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the block  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  is wild.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.11, the Ext-quiver of every block  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  contains the following subquiver:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & L(\mu) & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\
 L(\mu - 3) & & & & L(\mu - 4) \longrightarrow L(\mu - 2) \\
 & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\
 & & L(\mu - 1) & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\mu \in \lambda + \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mu(\mu - 1)(\mu - 2)(\mu - 3)(\mu - 4) \neq 0$ . This subquiver is neither a Dynkin nor an affine diagram. So the block  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  is wild by Lemma 3.13.  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** We can compare the category  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathfrak{W}$  with the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Each non-regular block of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  is semi-simple. Every regular block of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional representations over  $\mathbb{C}$  of the following quiver

$$\bullet \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a} \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \bullet, \quad ab = 0.$$

So every block of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  is not wild. It should be mentioned that representation types of all blocks of  $\mathcal{O}$  for complex simple Lie algebras were independently obtained in [6] and [10].

**3.5. Relation between  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\Omega_a$ .** In this subsection, we always assume  $a$  is a nonzero complex number. Let

$$H'_a = \{u \in U(\mathfrak{b}) \mid u(d_{-1} - a) \subset (d_{-1} - a)U(\mathfrak{W})\}$$

which is a subalgebra of  $U(\mathfrak{b})$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** The algebra  $H'_a$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $H_a$  defined in (2.1).

*Proof.* For any  $u \in H'_a$ , we define a  $\psi_u \in H_a = \text{End}_{\mathfrak{W}}(Q_a)^{\text{op}}$  such that  $\psi_u(1_a) = u1_a$ . Then one can check that the linear map

$$\psi : H'_a \rightarrow H_a, u \mapsto \psi_u,$$

is an algebra isomorphism.  $\square$

In view of Lemma 3.16, by Theorem 2.5, any finite dimensional nonzero simple  $H'_a$  is one dimensional.

We define a functor  $\Gamma_a$  (called the Whittaker coinvariants functor) from  $\mathcal{O}$  to the category of finite dimensional  $H'_a$ -modules. For any module  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ , let

$$\Gamma_a(M) = M/(d_{-1} - a)M.$$

Clearly  $\Gamma_a(M)$  is an  $H'_a$ -module. The following result is immediate.

**Lemma 3.17.**  $\dim \Gamma_a(L(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$

So  $\Gamma_a$  maps simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}$  to simple  $H'_a$ -modules or zero. This property is similar as the functor defined by Backelin, see [1]. Let  $\Omega'_a$  be the category of finite dimensional  $H'_a$ -modules. By Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 3.16,  $\Omega'_a$  is equivalent to the Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$

**Theorem 3.18.** *The functor  $\Gamma_a : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega'_a$  is exact.*

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} L \rightarrow 0,$$

is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{O}$ . We will show that

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_a(N) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_a(\alpha)} \Gamma_a(M) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_a(\beta)} \Gamma_a(L) \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact.

From the surjectivity of  $\beta$  and  $\Gamma_a(\beta)\Gamma_a(\alpha) = 0$ , we see that  $\Gamma_a(\beta)$  is surjective and  $\text{Im}\Gamma_a(\alpha) \subset \text{Ker}\Gamma_a(\beta)$ . We need to show that  $\Gamma_a(\alpha)$  is injective and  $\text{Ker}\Gamma_a(\beta) \subset \text{Im}\Gamma_a(\alpha)$ .

For  $n + (d_{-1} - a)N \in \text{Ker}\Gamma_a(\alpha)$ , there exists some  $m \in M$  such that  $\alpha(n) = (d_{-1} - a)m$ . Then  $(d_{-1} - a)\beta(m) = \beta\alpha(n) = 0$ . Since  $a \neq 0$  and  $L$  is a weight module,  $d_{-1} - a$  acts injectively on  $L$ . Thus  $\beta(m) = 0$  and there is an  $n_1 \in N$  such that  $m = \alpha(n_1)$ . Consequently,  $\alpha(n) = (d_{-1} - a)\alpha(n_1)$ . Then the injectivity of  $\alpha$  implies that  $n = (d_{-1} - a)n_1$ , i.e.,  $n \in (d_{-1} - a)N$ . So  $\Gamma_a(\alpha)$  is injective.

For  $m + (d_{-1} - a)M \in \text{Ker}\Gamma_a(\beta)$ , we have that  $\beta(m) = (d_{-1} - a)l$  for some  $l \in L$ . As  $\beta$  is surjective,  $\beta(m) = (d_{-1} - a)\beta(m')$  for some  $m' \in M$ , i.e.,  $m - (d_{-1} - a)m' \in \text{ker}\beta = \text{Im}\alpha$ . So  $m - (d_{-1} - a)m' = \alpha(n)$  for some  $n \in N$ , hence  $\text{Ker}\Gamma_a(\beta) \subset \text{Im}\Gamma_a(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $\Gamma_a : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega'_a$  is exact.  $\square$

If we identify  $\Omega'_a$  with  $\Omega_a$ , then  $\Gamma_a$  is actually an exact functor from  $\mathcal{O}$  to the Whittaker category  $\Omega_a$ . By Lemma 3.17 and the exactness of  $\Gamma_a$ , we obtain the following property of  $\Gamma_a$ .

**Corollary 3.19.** *If  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then for any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ ,  $\dim \Gamma_a(M)$  is equal to the composition length of  $M$ .*

Denote the restriction of  $\Gamma_a$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  by  $\Gamma_a^{[\lambda]}$ , and by  $\Omega'_{a, [\lambda]}$  the subcategory of  $\Omega'_a$  consisting of the  $H'_a$ -modules isomorphic to  $\Gamma_a^{[\lambda]}(M)$  for  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ . Finally we give a conjecture on  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$ .

**Conjecture 3.1.** *There is a  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{[\lambda]}$  is equivalent to  $\Omega'_{a, [\lambda]}$ .*

4. TENSOR  $\mathfrak{W}$ -MODULES FROM  $\mathfrak{b}$ -MODULES AND WEYL MODULES

Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be the Weyl algebra of rank one, that is,  $\mathfrak{D}$  is the associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  generated by  $x, \partial$  subject to the relation  $[\partial, x] = 1$ . In this section, we construct simple  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules from  $\mathfrak{D}$ -modules and  $\mathfrak{b}$ -modules.

4.1. **Tensor module**  $T(P, V)$ . The following interesting algebra homomorphism was given in [20] and [5] independently, which plays an important role in the classification of simple weight modules for  $\mathfrak{W}_n$ , see [20, 16].

**Lemma 4.1.** *There is an algebra monomorphism  $\phi$  from  $U(\mathfrak{W})$  to  $\mathfrak{D} \otimes U(\mathfrak{b})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{-1} &\mapsto \partial \otimes 1, \\ d_m &\mapsto x^{m+1} \partial \otimes 1 + \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{m-r} \otimes d_r, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, for any  $\mathfrak{D}$ -module  $P$ , any  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module  $V$ , the tensor product  $P \otimes V$  can be made to be a  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module denoted by  $T(P, V)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** *Let  $S = \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]/\mathbb{C}[x]$  which is a simple  $\mathfrak{D}$ -module. Then we have a functor  $T(S, -)$  from the category  $\mathfrak{b}\text{-mod}$  of finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{b}$ -modules to the category  $\mathcal{O}$ . We originally intended to use the functor  $T(S, -)$  to study  $\mathcal{O}$ . However, in view of extensions between simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $T(S, V)$  may be decomposable for some indecomposable  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module  $V$ . Nevertheless, we can use the bifunctor  $T(-, -)$  to construct simple  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules.*

In the following theorem, we will give the simplicity of  $T(P, V)$  under some natural conditions.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose that  $P$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{D}$ -module, and  $V$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module such that there is an  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  satisfying*

- (1)  $d_l$  acts injectively on  $V$ ;
- (2)  $d_i V = 0$  for any  $i > l$ ,

*then  $T(P, V)$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{W}$ -module.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a nonzero submodule of  $T(P, V)$ . Suppose that  $u = \sum_{n=0}^q p_n \otimes v_n$  is a nonzero element in  $N$ , where  $p_0, \dots, p_q$  are linearly independent.

**Claim 1.** For any  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ , we have that  $\sum_{n=0}^q X p_n \otimes d_l^2 v_n \in N$ .

For any  $k$  with  $k \geq 2l$  and any  $m$  with  $-1 \leq m \leq 2l + 1$ , we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{k-m}d_m(p \otimes v) &= d_{k-m}\left(d_m p \otimes v + \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{m-r} p \otimes d_r v\right) \\
&= (d_{k-m}d_m p) \otimes v + \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m+1}{r+1} (d_{k-m}x^{m-r} p) \otimes d_r v \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{k-m} \binom{k-m+1}{s+1} x^{k-m-s} d_m p \otimes d_s v \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{k-m} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{k-m+1}{s+1} \binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{k-r-s} p \otimes d_s d_r v \\
&= m^{2l+2} x^{k-2l} p \otimes \frac{d_l^2 v}{((l+1)!)^2} + g(m),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $g(m)$  is the term with degree of  $m$  smaller than  $2l + 2$ . Consider the coefficient of  $m^{2l+2}$  in  $d_{k-m}d_m u$ . By letting  $m = -1, 0, 1, \dots, 2l + 1$ , using the Vandermonde matrix, we deduce that  $\sum_{n=0}^q x^i p_n \otimes d_l^2 v_n \in N$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . From the action of  $d_{-1}$  on  $N$ , we see that  $\sum_{n=0}^q \partial^j x^i p_n \otimes d_l^2 v_n \in N$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . So  $\sum_{n=0}^q X p_n \otimes d_l^2 v_n \in N$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ . Then Claim 1 follows.

**Claim 2.**  $P \otimes d_l^2 v_n \subset N$ , for any  $0 \leq n \leq q$ .

Since  $P$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{D}$ -module, by the Jacobson density theorem, for any  $p \in P$ , there is a  $X_n$  such that

$$X_n p_i = \delta_{n,i} p, \quad i = 0, \dots, q.$$

By Claim 1, we obtain that  $P \otimes d_l^2 v_n \subset N$ , for any  $0 \leq n \leq q$ . Claim 2 follows.

**Claim 3.**  $N = T(P, V)$ . Hence  $T(P, V)$  is simple.

Let  $V_1 = \{v \in V \mid P \otimes v \subset N\}$ . By Claim 2 and that  $d_l$  acts injectively on  $V$ ,  $V_1 \neq 0$ . For any  $v \in V_1, p \in P$ , taking  $m = 0, 1, \dots, l$  in

$$d_m(p \otimes v) = d_m p \otimes v + \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m+1}{r+1} x^{m-r} p \otimes d_r v,$$

we can see that  $p \otimes d_0 v, p \otimes d_1 v, \dots, p \otimes d_l v \in N$ . So  $V_1$  is a nonzero  $\mathfrak{b}$ -submodule of  $V$ . The simplicity of  $V$  forces that  $V_1 = V$ . Then Claim 3 follows.  $\square$

**4.2. Isomorphism criterion for  $T(P, V)$ .** Next we give the following isomorphism criterion for  $T(P, V)$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose that  $P, P'$  are simple  $\mathfrak{D}$ -modules,  $V, V'$  are simple  $\mathfrak{b}$ -modules such that there are  $l, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  satisfying  $d_l$  (resp.  $d_s$ ) acts injectively on*



$V$  (resp.  $V'$ ) and  $d_i V = 0$  (resp.  $d_i V' = 0$ ) for any  $i > l$  (resp.  $i > s$ ). Then  $T(P, V) \cong T(P', V')$  if and only if  $P \cong P'$ ,  $l = s$  and  $V \cong V'$ .

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious. Now suppose that

$$\psi : T(P, V) \rightarrow T(P', V')$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules. Let  $p \otimes v$  be a nonzero element in  $T(P, V)$ . Write

$$\psi(p \otimes v) = \sum_{n=0}^q p'_n \otimes v'_n \in T(P', V'),$$

where  $p'_0, \dots, p'_q$  are linearly independent. Similar to the proof of Claim 1 of Theorem 4.3, comparing the the highest degree of  $m$  on both sides of

$$\psi(d_{k-m} d_m(p \otimes v)) = d_{k-m} d_m \psi(p \otimes v),$$

we have that  $l = s$  and

$$\psi(Xp \otimes v) = \sum_{n=0}^q Xp_n \otimes d_l^2 v_n, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

By the Jacobson density theorem, there exists  $Y \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $Yp_i = \delta_{i0} p_0$ . Then

$$\psi(Yp \otimes v) = p_0 \otimes d_l^2 v_n.$$

Replacing  $Yp$  by  $p$ ,  $p_0$  by  $p'$  and  $d_l^2 v_n$  by  $v'$ , we have

$$\psi(Xp \otimes v) = Xp' \otimes v', \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Consequently  $\psi_1 : P \rightarrow P'$  satisfying  $\psi_1(Xp) = Xp'$  is a well-defined map. Since  $P, P'$  are simple  $\mathfrak{D}$ -modules,

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{D}}(p) = \text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{D}}(p'), \quad \text{and } P \cong \mathfrak{D}/\text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{D}}(p) \cong P'.$$

Thus  $\psi_1$  is a  $\mathfrak{D}$ -module isomorphism and

$$\psi(p \otimes v) = \psi_1(p) \otimes v', \quad \forall p \in P.$$

Then from  $\psi(d_m(p \otimes v)) = d_m \psi(p \otimes v)$ , we obtain that

$$\psi(p \otimes d_r v) = \psi_1(p) \otimes d_r v', \quad \forall p \in P, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

So

$$\psi(p \otimes yv) = \psi_1(p) \otimes yv', \quad \forall p \in P, \forall y \in U(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Therefore we have  $\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(v) = \text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(v')$ . The simplicity of  $V$  and  $V'$  implies that  $V \cong U(\mathfrak{b})/\text{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{b})}(v) \cong V'$ .

□

**Remark 4.5.** For each  $r > 0$ , denote the quotient algebra  $\mathfrak{b}/\langle d_{r+i} : i > 0 \rangle$  by  $\mathfrak{a}_r$ . From Theorem 4.3, we know that, to obtain new simple  $\mathfrak{W}$ -modules  $T(P, V)$ , it is enough to construct infinite dimensional simple modules  $V$  over  $\mathfrak{a}_r$  for  $r > 0$  such that the action of  $d_r$  on  $V$  is injective. Simple modules over  $\mathfrak{a}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_2$  were classified in [15].

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Backelin, Representation of the category  $\mathcal{O}$  in Whittaker categories, Int. Math. Res. Notices 4, (1997) 153-172. [14](#)
- [2] I. Bernshtein, I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, A certain category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 10 (1976), no. 2, 1-8. [1](#)
- [3] B. D. Boe, D. K. Nakano, E. Wiesner,  $\text{Ext}^1$ -quivers for the Witt algebra  $W(1, 1)$ , J. Algebra 322 (2009), no. 5, 1548-1564. [2](#), [12](#)
- [4] B. D. Boe, D. K. Nakano, E. Wiesner, Category  $\mathcal{O}$  for the Virasoro algebra: cohomology and Koszulity, Pac. J. Math. 234 (1) (2008) 1-21. [1](#)
- [5] Y. Billig, C. Ingalls, A. Nasr,  $\mathcal{AV}$ -modules of finite type on affine space, arxiv:2002.08388. [15](#)
- [6] T. Brüstle, S. König, V. Mazorchuk, The coinvariant algebra and representation types of blocks of category  $\mathcal{O}$ , Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001), 669-681. [13](#)
- [7] F. Duan, B. Shu, Y. Yao, The Category  $\mathcal{O}$  for Lie algebras of vector fields (I): Tilting modules and character formulas, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 56 (2020), no. 4, 743-760 [6](#)
- [8] P. Fiebig, The combinatorics of category  $\mathcal{O}$  over symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras, Transform. Groups 11 (1) (2006) 29-9. [1](#)
- [9] B. L. Feigin, D. B. Fuchs, Homology of lie algebras on vector fields on the line, Funct. Anal. Appl. 14(1980)201-212. [2](#), [7](#)
- [10] V. Futorny, D. Nakano, R. D. Pollack, Representation type of the blocks of Category  $\mathcal{O}$ , Q. J. Math. 52 (3) (2001) 285-305. [13](#)
- [11] J. Germoni, On the classification of admissible representations of the Virasoro algebra, Lett. Math. Phys. 55 (2001), 169-177. [12](#)
- [12] J. Humphreys, Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$ . Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 94. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. [1](#)
- [13] G. Liu, R. Lu, K. Zhao, Irreducible Witt modules from Weyl modules and  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -modules, J. Algebra 511 (2018), 164-181. [4](#)
- [14] R. V. Moody and A. Pianzola, Lie algebras with triangular decompositions, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley Sons, New York, 1995. [1](#)
- [15] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Simple Virasoro modules which are locally finite over a positive part, Selecta Math. (N.S.), 20 (2014), no. 3, 839-854. [18](#)
- [16] D. Grantcharov, V. Serganova, Simple weight modules with finite weight multiplicities over the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields, arxiv: 2102.09064. [15](#)
- [17] S. Kumar, Kac-Moody Groups, their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory, Birkhäuser, (2002). [11](#)
- [18] E. Makedonskii, On wild and tame finite dimensional Lie Algebras, Functional Analysis and Its Applications 47 (2013), No. 4, pp. 271–283. [12](#)

- [19] O. Mathieu, Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra, *Invent. Math.* 107 (1992), no. 2, 225-234. [6](#)
- [20] Y. Xue, R. Lü, Classification of simple bounded weight modules of the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , arxiv:2001.04204v1. [15](#)
- [21] Y. Zhao, G. Liu, Whittaker category for the Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields. *J. Algebra* 605 (2022), 74-88. [2](#), [4](#)

G.L.: School of Mathematics and Statistics, and Institute of Contemporary Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China. Email: liugenqiang@henu.edu.cn

M.L.: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China. Email: 13849167669@163.com