

Weak solutions for the Stokes system for compressible non-Newtonian fluids with unbounded divergence

Milan Pokorný* & Maja Szlenk†

August 9, 2022

Abstract

We investigate the existence of weak solutions to a certain system of partial differential equations, modelling the behaviour of a compressible non-Newtonian fluid for small Reynolds number. We construct the weak solutions despite the lack of the L^∞ estimate on the divergence of the velocity field. The result was obtained by combining the regularity theory for singular operators with a certain logarithmic integral inequality for BMO functions, which allowed us to adjust the method from [10] to more relaxed conditions on the velocity.

Keywords: compressible Stokes system, weak solutions, non-Newtonian fluids, power law fluids

1 Introduction

Our aim is to investigate the existence of weak solutions to equations, modelling a special case of compressible, non-Newtonian fluid. In the most general setting, the motion of such a fluid without the presence of the external forces is described by the system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0, \\ (\varrho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where ϱ is the density, u is a velocity vector and \mathbb{S} is the stress tensor; we assume that it is given by

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}u, \varrho) = \mu \mathbb{D}u + (\lambda \operatorname{div} u - p(\varrho)) \mathbb{I},$$

where $\mu > 0$ and λ are the viscosity coefficients, $\mathbb{D} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla + \nabla^T)$ is the symmetric gradient and $p(\varrho)$ is the pressure. In the case of constant viscosity (i.e., the resulting system is called the compressible Navier–Stokes equations) $d\lambda + \mu \geq 0$, where d is the space dimension.

We will focus on the case where the Reynolds number $\operatorname{Re} \sim \frac{\varrho|u|}{\mu}$ is small. As in this situation the advective forces are small compared to viscous ones, we can approximate the system (1.1) by the compressible Stokes-like system

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0, \\ -\operatorname{div} \mathbb{S} &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

Our aim is to obtain weak solutions to a special case of the system (1.2). We assume that the bulk viscosity $\lambda > 0$ is constant, however the shear viscosity μ is in the form

$$\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|) = \frac{\mu_0}{a + |\mathbb{D}u|}\tag{1.3}$$

for some constant parameters $a, \mu_0 > 0$. Moreover, for the pressure we assume the barotropic case with $p(\varrho) = \varrho^\gamma$ for $\gamma \geq 1$. (Indeed, we could replace this precise form just by asymptotic growth

*Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic, e-mail address: pokorny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz.

†University of Warsaw, Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland, e-mail address: m.szlenk@uw.edu.pl

conditions, similarly as in [10], i.e. $p(0) = 0$, $p'(z) > 0$ for $z > 0$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(z)}{z^\gamma} \in (0, \infty)$ for some $\gamma \geq 1$, but we skip such unnecessary complications). Furthermore, for the velocity we additionally assume that $\operatorname{rot} u = 0$. This condition is equivalent to the fact that u is a potential flow, namely $u = \nabla \phi$ for some $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In conclusion, the analysed system of equations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0, \\ -\operatorname{div} \frac{\mu_0 \mathbb{D}u}{a + |\mathbb{D}u|} - \lambda \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla \varrho^\gamma &= 0, \\ \operatorname{rot} u &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

with the initial condition

$$\varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d). \tag{1.5}$$

For simplicity we consider the space-periodic boundary conditions, hence $u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varrho: [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{T}^d is the d -dimensional torus.

The assumption that the velocity has a potential yields that $\mathbb{D}u = \nabla u$ and $\nabla \operatorname{div} u = \Delta u$. Moreover, by the elliptic estimates it also ensures us that $\|\nabla u\|_p \leq C \|\operatorname{div} u\|_p$ for any $1 < p < \infty$ as well as $\|\nabla u\|_{BMO} \leq C \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{BMO}$.

Our system describes a fluid belonging to a class of power-law fluids. They are characterized by the behavior of the shear viscosity, which satisfies the relation

$$\mu \sim |\mathbb{D}u|^{r-2} \tag{1.6}$$

for some exponent $r \geq 1$. Typically, it is assumed that $\mu = \mu_0 |\mathbb{D}u|^{r-2}$ or $\mu = \mu_0 (a + |\mathbb{D}u|)^{r-2}$, $a > 0$, to ensure that the viscosity is strictly positive and does not have singularities. For $r = 2$, the fluid becomes Newtonian, whereas it is shear-thinning for $r < 2$ and shear-thickening for $r > 2$. Our situation corresponds to a special case $r = 1$ and in the context of incompressible fluids ($\operatorname{div} u = 0$) it is called the Herschel–Bulkley fluid. The power-law fluids are used in many fields, for example glaciology [16, 12] and to analyze the dynamics in the Earth's Mantle [20] or blood flow [6, 18]. For more information we refer the reader, e.g., to [3].

The mathematical theory concerning weak solutions to systems describing incompressible non-Newtonian fluids have been thoroughly developed in the past. There is a large number of papers dealing with several aspects of these problems. As it turns out, the existence and regularity of solutions to incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with the power-law relation (1.6) for viscosity depends on the value of r . For $r > \frac{2d}{d+2}$ the existence of weak solutions for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \\ u_t + \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{S} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions was shown for the first time in [8]; its uniqueness is known for $r > \frac{3d+2}{d+2}$, see [4]. As a matter of fact, the problem for $r < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ is ill-posed, see [5]. However, existence of more general, dissipative solutions can be shown also in this case, see [1].

Contrary to the incompressible case, the literature on the compressible non-Newtonian fluids is very limited. In [14, 15] Mamontov proved the existence of weak solutions to the system with linear pressure term and in the framework of Orlicz spaces with exponential growth, see also [2] for further properties of these solutions. The results for more general form of the pressure were obtained in [10], where the authors considered the system (1.1) with μ of the form (1.6) and a special form of λ , which provided the L^∞ bound on $\operatorname{div} u$. Using the classical Lions & Feireisl method [9, 13], they proved the existence of weak solutions for the same range of r as the uniqueness and regularity theory is developed for incompressible fluids ($r \geq \frac{11}{5}$ in three dimensions). The additional bound on the divergence was crucial to obtain the strong convergence of the density in the final limit passage.

1.1 Main result and structure of the paper

Since the definition of the weak solution for our system (1.2) is straightforward, we may directly formulate our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\varrho_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $a, \lambda, \mu_0 > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a weak solution to the system (1.4), satisfying*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma)} + \|\varrho\|_{L^p((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C,$$

where $C = C(p)$ does not depend on T ; if $\gamma = 1$, then the second term is replaced by $\|\varrho \ln \varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^1)}$. Moreover, the same result holds for the singular viscosity (i.e., $a = 0$)

$$\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|) = \frac{\mu_0}{|\mathbb{D}u|}.$$

The proof of the theorem uses the technique from [10]. However, due to the absence of the convective term we are able to obtain the result for relaxed assumptions on $\operatorname{div} u$. In particular, we do not have from the very beginning that $\operatorname{div} u$ is bounded. Instead of it, we obtain the *BMO* regularity in space of the term

$$\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \varrho^\gamma.$$

This allows us to replicate the main step in the limit passage by using certain integral inequality from [19].

1.2 Preliminaries

In the paper, we use standard notation for the Lebesgue and Sobolev space as well as the corresponding norms. For the notational simplicity, we omit the subscript while integrating over the torus, namely

$$\int dx := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} dx.$$

By $\{\cdot\}_Q$ we denote the mean integral over Q , while in the case of the whole torus we again omit the subscript. As the results do not depend on the value of μ_0 , for simplicity we set $\mu_0 = 1$.

A few other, slightly nonstandard spaces are defined below.

Functions of bounded mean oscillation. A function $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ belongs to space of bounded mean oscillation $BMO(\Omega)$ iff

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup_{Q \subset \Omega} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f - \{f\}_Q| dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes in Ω .

Note that $\|\cdot\|_{BMO}$ is not a norm, as $\|f\|_{BMO} = 0$ for f constant. However, we can endow the space $BMO(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{L^1} + \|\cdot\|_{BMO}$$

and then it becomes the Banach space. The important result concerning *BMO* functions in terms of our work is the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} fg dx \right| &\leq C \|f\|_{BMO} \|g\|_{L^1} \\ &\times \left(|\ln \|g\|_{L^1}| + \ln(e + \|g\|_{L^q}) + (1 + |\ln \|g\|_{L^1}|) \|g\|_{L^q}^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

for $g \in L^q$, $q > 2$. The inequality was first obtained for $g \in L^\infty$ in [17] and then adjusted in [19] for a wider range of functions.

Lorentz spaces. Let (X, μ) be a measure space. A measurable function f belongs to the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$, if $\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} < \infty$ for

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty p t^q \mu(\{x : |f(x)| \geq t\})^{q/p} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, & q < \infty, \\ \left(\sup_{t>0} t^p \mu(\{x : |f(x)| \geq t\}) \right)^{1/p}, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We have $L^{p,p} = L^p$ and moreover for $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $0 < q < r \leq \infty$

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,r}} \leq C_{p,q,r} \|f\|_{L^{p,q}}.$$

For the further properties of Lorentz spaces we refer the reader to [11].

Bogovskii operator. Let $\overline{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^d) : \int f \, dx = 0\}$. We have the following classical result:

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a linear operator*

$$\mathcal{B}: \overline{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}^d), \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

satisfying

$$\operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}(f) = f$$

and

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{B}(f)\|_{L^p} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

The rest of the article is devoted to prove Theorem 1.1. First, in Section 2 we derive the a priori estimates, in particular the crucial *BMO* estimate for the quantity $\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \varrho^\gamma$. Then, in Section 3 we prove the existence of solutions to an approximated system with the regularized continuity equation. We construct the solution via the Schauder fixed point theorem, using the regularity results for the nonlinear elliptic equations from [7]. In Section 4 we finish the proof by passing to the limit in the weak formulation of the approximate system and in consequence we obtain the weak solution to the original one. In the last section, we briefly describe the differences in the proof, necessary to obtain the analogous result for the case with the singular viscosity (corresponding to $a = 0$ in (1.3)).

2 A priori estimates

Lemma 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, if the solution to (1.4) is sufficiently smooth, then it satisfies*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)}^2 + \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma)}^\gamma \leq C$$

and furthermore

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^p((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)} + \|\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \varrho^\gamma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;BMO)} \leq C$$

where C depends only on $\|\varrho_0\|_\infty$ and p with $p < \infty$, arbitrary.

Proof. Multiplying the second equation of (1.4) by u and integrating over the torus, we obtain (if $\gamma = 1$, the last integral is replaced by $\int \varrho \ln \varrho \, dx$)

$$\int \frac{|\mathbb{D}u|^2}{a + |\mathbb{D}u|} \, dx + \lambda \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \frac{d}{dt} \int \varrho^\gamma \, dx = 0.$$

Integrating from 0 to T and using the property that $\|\nabla u\|_2 \leq C \|\operatorname{div} u\|_2$, we obtain the first desired estimate.

To obtain the L^p estimate of the density, we test the momentum equation by $\psi = \mathcal{B} \left(\varrho^\theta - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^d|} \int \varrho^\theta \, dx \right)$ for some $\theta > 1$, where \mathcal{B} is the Bogovskii operator introduced above. We have

$$\operatorname{div} \psi = \varrho^\theta - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^d|} \int \varrho^\theta \, dx$$

and

$$\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^r((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C(r) \|\varrho^\theta\|_{L^r((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \text{ for any } 1 < r < \infty.$$

Let $p > \gamma + 1$, $\theta = p - \gamma$ and $r = \frac{p}{\theta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int \varrho^p dx dt &= \mu_0 \int_0^T \int \frac{\mathbb{D}u}{a + |\mathbb{D}u|} : \nabla \psi dx dt + \lambda \int_0^T \int \varrho^\theta \operatorname{div} u dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^d|} \int_0^T \left(\int \varrho^\gamma dx \int \varrho^\theta dx \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

As $\|\nabla \psi\|_r \leq C(r) \|\varrho^\theta\|_r = C(r) \|\varrho\|_p^{p/r}$ and

$$\int_0^T \int \varrho^\theta \operatorname{div} u dx dt = \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \int \varrho_0^\theta dx - \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \int \varrho^\theta(T, x) dx \leq C,$$

we obtain

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^p((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)}^p \leq C(r) \|\varrho\|_{L^p((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)}^{p/r} + C \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma)}^\gamma \|\varrho\|_{L^p([0,T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)}^{p/r} + C.$$

In consequence, as $r > 1$ we get for any p finite the bound

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^p((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C.$$

The last estimate comes from the Calderón–Zygmund estimates. By taking the divergence of the momentum equation, we get

$$\Delta(\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \varrho^\gamma) = -\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} (\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u).$$

Therefore in consequence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \operatorname{div} u(t, x) - \varrho^\gamma(t, x) &= - \int \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} (\mu(|\mathbb{D}u(t, y)|)\mathbb{D}u(t, y)) \bar{K}(x - y) dy \\ &= - \int \operatorname{div} (\mu(|\mathbb{D}u(t, y)|)\mathbb{D}u(t, y)) \cdot \nabla \bar{K}(x - y) dy \\ &= - \int \mu(|\mathbb{D}u(t, y)|)\mathbb{D}u(t, y) : \nabla^2 \bar{K}(x - y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta \bar{K}(x) = \delta_x$ in \mathbb{T}^d . Note that we can derive \bar{K} by periodizing the fundamental solution to the Laplace equation on the whole space. If $K(x) = \frac{C_d}{|x|^{d-2}}$, then we simply put

$$\bar{K}(x) = K(x) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} (K(x+k) - K(k)).$$

As $\nabla^2 K$ is a singular kernel, so is $\nabla^2 \bar{K}$. Therefore from the Calderón–Zygmund theorem we conclude that

$$\|\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \varrho^\gamma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;BMO)} \leq \|\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C$$

for some constant C independent of T . □

3 Existence of approximate solutions

In this section we construct for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ a unique solution to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= \varepsilon \Delta \varrho, \\ -\operatorname{div}(\mu(\mathbb{D}u)\mathbb{D}u) - \lambda \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla \varrho^\gamma &= 0 \\ \operatorname{rot} u &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

with initial condition

$$\varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_{0,\varepsilon} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

Note that due to $\operatorname{rot} u = 0$, we can rewrite the second equation in (3.1) as

$$-\operatorname{div}(\mu(\nabla u)\nabla u) - \lambda\Delta u + \nabla \varrho^\gamma = 0.$$

This particular form of the equation will enable us to obtain the required regularity of a solution. To prove the existence of solutions, we will employ the following version of the Schauder fixed point theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a Banach space and $\Phi: X \rightarrow X$ be continuous and compact. If the set*

$$\{x \in X : x = s\Phi(x) \text{ for some } s \in [0, 1]\}$$

is bounded, then Φ has a fixed point.

Let us fix the exponent $q > d$ and define the map $\Phi: L^2(0, T; W^{1,q}) \cap L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow L^2(0, T; W^{1,q}) \cap L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ in the following way:

1. For $\tilde{\varrho} \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,q}) \cap L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ let $u = \nabla \phi$ with zero mean value over the torus be the unique solution to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\mu(\nabla u)\nabla u) - \lambda\Delta u + \nabla(\tilde{\varrho})^\gamma &= 0, \\ \operatorname{rot} u &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

2. Then, let ϱ be the solution to

$$\varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) = \varepsilon\Delta \varrho, \quad \varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_{0,\varepsilon}. \tag{3.3}$$

We set $\Phi(\tilde{\varrho}) := \varrho$. It is easy to see that the fixed point ϱ and the corresponding u solve our problem (3.1).

First, let us show that the operator Φ is well-defined.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma) \in L^2(0, T; L^q)$ for some $q > d$, there exists a unique solution $u = \nabla \phi$ to equation (3.2). Moreover, it satisfies*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{1,\infty})} \leq C\|\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma)\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)}.$$

Proof. To prove existence, we use the standard variational method. Fix $t \in [0, T]$. Let I be a functional defined in $\overline{H^2}(\mathbb{T}^d) = \{\varphi \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}^d); \int \varphi \, dx = 0\}$ (note that as we are on the torus, $\int \nabla \varphi \, dx = 0$ as well) given by

$$I[\varphi] := \int \left(F(\nabla^2 \varphi) + \frac{\lambda}{2} |\nabla^2 \varphi|^2 + \tilde{\varrho}^\gamma(t, \cdot) \Delta \varphi \right) dx,$$

where $F(B) = |B| - a \ln(a + |B|)$ for $B = (b_{i,j})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is convex, positive and satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_{i,j}} F(B) = \mu(|B|) b_{i,j}.$$

From the last identity it follows that any minimizer of I corresponds to a weak solution to (3.2) satisfying $\operatorname{rot} u = 0$. By the convexity of F , the functional I is convex. Moreover, for certain C and $\delta < C\lambda$,

$$I[\varphi] \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_2^2 - \delta \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_2^2 - \frac{C}{\delta} \|\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma(t, \cdot)\|_2^2 \geq C \|\nabla^2 \varphi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 - C \|\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)}^2,$$

and thus I is coercive. Therefore I has at a.e. time level unique minimizer $\phi(t, \cdot)$ in $\overline{H^2}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and in consequence there exists a unique $u = \nabla \phi \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,2})$ with zero mean value over the torus solving (3.2).

To prove the Lipschitz regularity of u , let us rewrite (3.2) in a form

$$-\operatorname{div}(b(|\nabla u|)\nabla u) = -\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma),$$

where $b(z) = \frac{1}{a+z} + \lambda$. Note that

$$\frac{b'(z)z}{b(z)} = \frac{-z}{a+z+\lambda(a+z)^2},$$

which is for any $\lambda > 0$ bounded away from -1 . Therefore

$$i_b := \inf_{z>0} \frac{b'(z)z}{b(z)} > -1 \quad \text{and} \quad s_b := \sup_{z>0} \frac{b'(z)z}{b(z)} = 0 < \infty.$$

Hence by virtue of Theorem 2.2 (the torus can be viewed as a convex domain) it follows from [7] that

$$\|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq Cg^{-1}(\|\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma)\|_{L^{d,1}}),$$

where $L^{d,1}$ is the Lorentz space and $g(t) = b(t)t$. In particular for $y > 0$

$$g^{-1}(y) = \frac{y - (1+a\lambda) + \sqrt{(1+a\lambda)^2 - 2y(1-a\lambda) + y^2}}{2\lambda} \leq \frac{y}{\lambda}$$

and therefore

$$\|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq C\|\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma)\|_{L^{d,1}} \leq C\|\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma)\|_q$$

for $q > d$. Taking the supremum over time, we obtain the desired estimate. \square

In our case, note further that (recall that u has zero mean value)

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C\|\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}^\gamma)\|_q.$$

In particular, $u \in L^2(0, T; W^{1, \infty})$. Furthermore, the analogous estimates as in Section 2 give us $\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \tilde{\varrho}^\gamma \in L^\infty(0, T; BMO)$ and thus also

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^r)} \leq C + C\|\tilde{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)}^\gamma$$

for any $1 \leq r < \infty$.

Now we use the following classical result for the heat equation:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $h \in L^2(0, T; L^q)$ for $1 < q < \infty$. Then the solution to*

$$\partial_t \varrho - \varepsilon \Delta \varrho = h, \quad \varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_0$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, q})} + \|\partial_t \varrho\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} + \varepsilon \|\varrho\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{2, q})} \leq C \left(\varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varrho_0\|_{W^{1, q}} + \|h\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, if $h = \operatorname{div} w$, $w \in L^2(0, T; L^q)$, then

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^q)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \leq C \left(\varepsilon^{1/2} \|\varrho_0\|_{L^q} + \|w\|_{L^2(0, T; L^q)} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

From the previous proposition, we can also conclude

Proposition 3.3. *For $u \in L^2(0, T; W^{1, \infty}) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, q})$, equation (3.3) has a unique solution $\varrho \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, r}) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{2, r})$ with $\partial_t \varrho \in L^2(0, T; L^r)$ for any $r < \infty$.*

Proof. We construct the solution $\varrho \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1, 2})$ by the Galerkin approximation and then improve the regularity by bootstrapping argument. First, testing the equation (3.3) by $p\varrho^{p-1}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \varrho^p dx \leq (p-1) \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \int \varrho^p dx$$

and therefore

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)} \leq \|\varrho_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^p} e^{\frac{p-1}{p}\|u\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,\infty})}}.$$

Taking $p \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\varrho \in L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$. Then also $\varrho u \in L^2(0,T;L^r)$ for any $r < \infty$ and we can use (3.5) to obtain

$$\nabla \varrho \in L^2(0,T;L^r).$$

Employing the fact that $u \in L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r})$, we have $\operatorname{div}(\varrho u) \in L^2(0,T;L^r)$ and by (3.4)

$$\varrho \in L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r}) \cap L^2(0,T;W^{2,r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \varrho \in L^2(0,T;L^r)$$

for any $r < \infty$. The uniqueness follows directly from the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int (\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)^2 dx \leq C \int (\varrho_1 - \varrho_2) \operatorname{div} u dx,$$

where ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 are two possibly distinct solutions to problem (3.3). \square

We will now show the properties of Φ , which will allow us to apply directly the Schauder fixed point theorem (Theorem 3.1).

Proposition 3.4. *The operator Φ is continuous and compact from $L^2(0,T;W^{1,q}) \cap L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ to itself. Moreover, the set*

$$\{\varrho \in L^2(0,T;W^{1,q}) \cap L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d) : \varrho = s\Phi(\varrho) \text{ for some } s \in [0,1]\}$$

is bounded.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\varrho}_1, \tilde{\varrho}_2 \in L^2(0,T;W^{1,q}) \cap L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ and u_1, u_2 be the corresponding solutions to (3.2). As before, denote $\Phi(\tilde{\varrho}_i) = \varrho_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Compactness. From the previous propositions we know that $\varrho \in L^2(0,T;W^{2,r})$ and $\partial_t \varrho \in L^2(0,T;L^r)$ for any $r < \infty$ and the bounds in the spaces are uniform for bounded sets of $\tilde{\varrho}$ in the given spaces. Therefore, the compactness of Φ in $L^2(0,T;W^{1,q})$ follows straight from the Aubin–Lions lemma. Furthermore, since by embedding, $\varrho \in C^{0,\alpha}([0,T];W^{1,r})$, by Arzelà–Ascoli theorem we get the compactness in $L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$.

Continuity. We will estimate $u_1 - u_2$ in terms of $\tilde{\varrho}_1 - \tilde{\varrho}_2$. We have

$$-\operatorname{div}(\mu(\nabla u_1)\nabla u_1 - \mu(\nabla u_2)\nabla u_2) - \lambda\Delta(u_1 - u_2) = -\nabla(\tilde{\varrho}_1^\gamma - \tilde{\varrho}_2^\gamma).$$

Multiplying the above equality by $u_1 - u_2$ and integrating over Ω , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\mu(\nabla u_1)\nabla u_1 - \mu(\nabla u_2)\nabla u_2) : (\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2) dx + \lambda \int |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 dx \\ = \int (\tilde{\varrho}_1^\gamma - \tilde{\varrho}_2^\gamma)(\operatorname{div} u_1 - \operatorname{div} u_2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

As $(\mu(\nabla u_1)\nabla u_1 - \mu(\nabla u_2)\nabla u_2) : (\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2) \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2\|_2^2 &\leq \|p(\varrho_1) - p(\varrho_2)\|_2 \|\operatorname{div} u_1 - \operatorname{div} u_2\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\|\tilde{\varrho}_1\|_\infty^{\gamma-1} + \|\tilde{\varrho}_2\|_\infty^{\gamma-1}) \|\tilde{\varrho}_1 - \tilde{\varrho}_2\|_2 \|\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})} \leq C \|\tilde{\varrho}_1 - \tilde{\varrho}_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}.$$

Moreover, $\varrho_1 - \varrho_2$ satisfy

$$\partial_t(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2) - \varepsilon\Delta(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2) = -\operatorname{div}(\varrho_1 u_1 - \varrho_2 u_2) \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Therefore we can estimate $\varrho_1 - \varrho_2$ using (3.4) and obtain

$$\|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} \leq C \|\operatorname{div}(\varrho_1 u_1 - \varrho_2 u_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)}.$$

We can write $\operatorname{div}(\varrho_1 u_1 - \varrho_2 u_2)$ as

$$\operatorname{div}(\varrho_1 u_1 - \varrho_2 u_2) = u_1 \nabla(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2) + \nabla \varrho_2 (u_1 - u_2) + \operatorname{div} u_1 (\varrho_1 - \varrho_2) + \varrho_2 (\operatorname{div} u_1 - \operatorname{div} u_2).$$

To estimate the right-hand side, let us first estimate $\|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)}$. To this aim, multiplying (3.6) by $p|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|^{p-2}(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)$ and integrating, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|^p dx + \varepsilon p(p-1) \int |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|^{p-2} |\nabla(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)|^2 dx &= -(p-1) \int |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|^p \operatorname{div} u_1 dx \\ &\quad - \int (\nabla \varrho_2 (u_1 - u_2) + \varrho_2 (\operatorname{div} u_1 - \operatorname{div} u_2)) |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|^{p-2} (\varrho_1 - \varrho_2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|^p dx &\leq (p-1) \|u_1\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \int |\varrho_1 - \varrho_2|^p dx \\ &\quad + \|\varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r_1})} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r_2})} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)} \end{aligned}$$

for r_1, r_2 such that $\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{p-1}{p} = 1$. Therefore from Gronwall's lemma

$$\|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)}^p \leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r_2})} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)},$$

where C depends on T , $\|u_1\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,\infty})}$ and $\|\varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r_1})}$. Furthermore, by the interpolation between L^p spaces, we can estimate $\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r_2})}$ by $C(\|u_1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r})} + \|u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,r})})^{1-\theta} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})}^\theta$ for some suitable r and exponent $\theta < 1$. In the end we get

$$\|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p)}^{p-1} \leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})}^\theta.$$

Now, using (3.5), we will estimate $\|\nabla(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)} &\leq C \|\varrho_1 u_1 - \varrho_2 u_2\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)} \\ &\leq C (\|(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2) u_1\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)} + \|\varrho_2 (u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)}) \\ &\leq C \sqrt{T} (\|u_1\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \\ &\quad + \|\varrho_2\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)}) \\ &\leq C (\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})}^{\frac{\theta}{q-1}} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})}^{\frac{2}{q}}). \end{aligned}$$

We use (3.4) to conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{div}(\varrho_1 u_1 - \varrho_2 u_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)} &\leq \|u_1\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,q})} \\ &\quad + \|u_1\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,\infty})} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} + \sqrt{T} \|\varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2q})} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2q})} \end{aligned}$$

and in consequence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} &\leq C \|\operatorname{div}(\varrho_1 u_1 - \varrho_2 u_2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^q)} \\ &\leq C (\|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,q})} + \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2q})}) \\ &\leq C (\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})}^{\frac{\theta}{q-1}} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})}^{\frac{2}{q}} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})}^{\frac{1}{q}}). \end{aligned}$$

In the end, note that

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2})} \leq C \|\tilde{\varrho}_1 - \tilde{\varrho}_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} \leq C \|\tilde{\varrho}_1 - \tilde{\varrho}_2\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,q})}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,q})} + \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \\ & \leq C\|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} \leq C\|\tilde{\varrho}_1 - \tilde{\varrho}_2\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,q})}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \min(\frac{\theta}{q-1}, \frac{1}{q})$, which finishes the proof of continuity of Φ .

Estimates for the fixed points. To complete the proof of the Proposition, we need to check if the points satisfying $\varrho = s\Phi(\varrho)$ are bounded in $L^2(0,T;W^{1,q}) \cap L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)$ for any $s \in [0,1]$. Throughout the proof we will denote by C various constants independent on s . We have for $s > 0$ (if $s = 0$, the proof is trivial)

$$\frac{1}{s}\partial_t \varrho + \frac{1}{s}\operatorname{div}(\varrho u) = \frac{1}{s}\varepsilon\Delta \varrho$$

and

$$-\operatorname{div}(\mu(|\nabla u|)\nabla u) - \lambda\nabla\operatorname{div} u + \nabla\varrho^\gamma = 0.$$

Multiplying the momentum equation by u and integrating, we obtain analogously as for the a priori estimates

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,2})}^2 + \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma)}^\gamma \leq \int \varrho_{0,\varepsilon}^\gamma dx \leq C.$$

Moreover, testing the momentum equation by $\Psi = \mathcal{B}\left(\varrho^\theta - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^d|}\int \varrho^\theta dx\right)$ where \mathcal{B} is the Bogovskii operator, we get

$$\int \varrho^{\gamma+\theta} dx = \int \frac{\nabla u}{a + |\nabla u|} : \nabla \Psi dx + \int \varrho^\theta \operatorname{div} u dx + \int \varrho^\gamma dx \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}^d|} \int \varrho^\theta dx.$$

Similarly as before,

$$\int_0^T \int \varrho^\theta \operatorname{div} u dx dt = \frac{-1}{\theta-1} \int \varrho^\theta dx + \frac{1}{\theta-1} \int \varrho_{0,\varepsilon}^\theta dx - \varepsilon\theta(\theta-1) \int_0^T \int \varrho^{\theta-2} |\nabla \varrho|^2 dx dt \leq C$$

for $\theta > 1$. Moreover

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla u}{a + |\nabla u|} \right\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C$$

and thus for any $\theta < \infty$,

$$\int_0^T \int \varrho^{\gamma+\theta} dx dt \leq C(\theta)\|\varrho\|_{L^\theta((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)}^\theta + C\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma)}^\gamma \|\varrho\|_{L^\theta((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)}^\theta + C.$$

This results in

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^r((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C$$

for any $r < \infty$. Using Calderón–Zygmund estimates in the same way as in Section 2, we also obtain that

$$\lambda\operatorname{div} u - \varrho^\gamma \in L^\infty(0,T;BMO)$$

and therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,p})} \leq C \quad \text{for any } p < \infty.$$

Now we will use estimates (3.4) and (3.5). As $\|u\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C$, employing the information $\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma)} \leq C$ and interpolation, we have $\|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^2(0,T;L^p)} \leq C$ as well. From that it follows that $\|\operatorname{div}(\varrho u)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^p)} \leq C$ and thus due to (3.4) $\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} \leq C$ for any q finite. This ends the proof, as

$$\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)} + \|\varrho\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,q})} \leq C\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q})} \leq C.$$

□

4 Compactness

We will now prove that we can pass to the limit with ε to obtain the solutions to system (1.4). Below by \bar{f} we denote the weak limit of f .

Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ be a solution to (3.1). We have the following estimates, uniform in ε :

$$\|\mu(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)} + \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,2})} + \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma)} \leq C.$$

Moreover, $\|\lambda \operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon - \varrho_\varepsilon^\gamma\|_{L^\infty(0,T;BMO)} \leq C$ and for any $p < \infty$

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,p})} + \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^p((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C.$$

In particular, we can extract weakly convergent subsequences (indexed again by ε)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \mathbb{D}u && \text{in } L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d), \\ \varrho_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup^* \varrho && \text{in } L^\infty(0,T;L^\gamma), \\ \varrho_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup \varrho && \text{in } L^p((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d), \\ \varrho_\varepsilon^\gamma &\rightharpoonup \bar{\varrho}^\gamma && \text{in } L^p((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup^* \overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u} \quad \text{in } L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d).$$

As $u_\varepsilon = \nabla\phi_\varepsilon$ for some $\phi_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0,T;H^2)$, the limit u also satisfies $\operatorname{rot}u = 0$. Note that from the continuity equation it also follows that $\varrho_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varrho$ in $C([0,T];W^{-1,r})$ for a suitable r , and in consequence $\overline{\varrho u} = \varrho u$. Having the above estimates and testing the continuity equation by ϱ_ε , we also obtain

$$\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq C.$$

Then for $\phi \in C_0^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)$

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \int \nabla\varrho_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla\phi \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

and in consequence taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the weak formulation of the regularized continuity equation in (3.1), we see that (ϱ, u) satisfies the continuity equation (1.4) in the weak sense.

Next, we apply an argument from [10]. Passing to the limit in the momentum equation, we get for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $t \leq T$

$$\int_0^t \int \overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u} : \nabla\phi \, dx \, ds + \lambda \int_0^t \int \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} \phi \, dx \, ds - \int_0^t \int \bar{\varrho}^\gamma \operatorname{div} \phi \, dx \, ds = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

The regularity of u allows us to put $\phi = u$ in (4.1) and then

$$\int_0^t \int \overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u} : \mathbb{D}u \, dx \, ds + \lambda \int_0^t \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \, dx \, ds - \int_0^t \int \bar{\varrho}^\gamma \operatorname{div} u \, dx \, ds = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, the solutions to approximate equation (3.1) satisfy the energy equality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int \mu(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dx \, ds + \lambda \int_0^t \int (\operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon)^2 \, dx \, ds + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \varrho_\varepsilon^\gamma(t, \cdot) \, dx \\ = \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \varrho_{0,\varepsilon}^\gamma \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using the monotonicity of $\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u$, we know that

$$\overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)|\mathbb{D}u|^2} \geq \overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u} : \mathbb{D}u. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, after passing to the limit in the energy inequality, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \int \overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u} : \mathbb{D}u \, dx \, ds + \lambda \int_0^t \int (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \, dx \, ds + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \bar{\varrho}^\gamma(t, \cdot) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \varrho_0^\gamma \, dx.$$

Comparing the last equation with (4.2), we get

$$\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \overline{\varrho^\gamma}(t, \cdot) dx - \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \varrho_0^\gamma dx \leq - \int_0^t \int \overline{\varrho^\gamma} \operatorname{div} u dx ds.$$

We would like to compare $\int \overline{\varrho^\gamma}(t, \cdot) dx$ with $\int \varrho^\gamma(t, \cdot) dx$. As we already know that ϱ satisfies the continuity equation in the renormalized sense, we have

$$\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \varrho^\gamma(t, \cdot) dx - \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int \varrho_0^\gamma dx = - \int_0^t \int \varrho^\gamma \operatorname{div} u dx ds. \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\gamma-1} \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma}(t, \cdot) - \varrho^\gamma(t, \cdot)) dx \leq - \int_0^t \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) \operatorname{div} u dx ds.$$

We now use the fact that $\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \overline{\varrho^\gamma} \in L^\infty(0, T; BMO)$ and the logarithmic inequality (1.8). As $\overline{\varrho^\gamma} \geq \varrho^\gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^t \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) \operatorname{div} u dx ds &= - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) (\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \overline{\varrho^\gamma}) dx ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) \overline{\varrho^\gamma} dx ds \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) (\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \overline{\varrho^\gamma}) dx ds \\ &\leq C \|\lambda \operatorname{div} u - \overline{\varrho^\gamma}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; BMO)} \int_0^t \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) dx \left(\left| \ln \left(\int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) dx \right) \right| + 1 \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus denoting $y(t) = \int (\overline{\varrho^\gamma} - \varrho^\gamma) dx$, we have the inequality

$$y(t) \leq C \int_0^t y(s) (|\ln y(s)| + 1) ds \quad \text{with } y(0) = 0.$$

Now if $z(t) = \int_0^t y(s) (|\ln y(s)| + 1) ds$, then at least for t small enough

$$z' \leq Cz (|\ln z| + 1), \quad z(0) = 0.$$

As the ordinary differential equation $z' = Cz (|\ln z| + 1)$ has a unique solution, we can apply the comparison criterion and in consequence $z \equiv 0$ on the interval $[0, t_*]$ for some $t_* > 0$. Therefore $y \equiv 0$ on $[0, t_*]$ as well. Then we can apply the same analysis on the consecutive intervals of length t_* and in the end $y \equiv 0$ on the whole interval $[0, T]$. From this, as $\overline{\varrho^\gamma} \geq \varrho^\gamma$, it follows that in fact $\overline{\varrho^\gamma} = \varrho^\gamma$. Now taking again the limit in (4.3) and subtracting (4.2), we get due to fact that $\varrho^\gamma = \overline{\varrho^\gamma}$ and (4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^t \int (\overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|) |\mathbb{D}u|^2} - \overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|) \mathbb{D}u} : \mathbb{D}u) dx ds + \lambda \int_0^t \int (\overline{(\operatorname{div} u)^2} - (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx ds \\ &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda \int_0^t \int (\operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div} u)^2 dx dt \geq C \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nabla u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$ and (for possibly another subsequence) the sequence converges also a.e. In consequence

$$\overline{\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|) \mathbb{D}u} = \mu(|\mathbb{D}u|) \mathbb{D}u$$

and thus (ϱ, u) satisfies the weak formulation of the system (1.4).

5 The case for $a = 0$ (singular shear viscosity)

In the end we would like to point out that our result holds also for the singular velocity μ . In this case, at the level of approximation we additionally approximate the viscosity, putting

$$\mu_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon + |\mathbb{D}u|}.$$

The solutions to system

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= \varepsilon \Delta \varrho, \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}u}{\varepsilon + |\mathbb{D}u|}\right) - \lambda \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla p(\varrho) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

(recall that $\mathbb{D}u = \nabla u$) are obtained in the same way as in Section 3. For the limit passage, we need to obtain the analog of (4.4). Again from the monotonicity of μ_ε , we have

$$(\mu_\varepsilon(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon - \mu_\varepsilon(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u) : (\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon - \mathbb{D}u) \geq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Putting

$$\mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathbb{D}u}{|\mathbb{D}u|}, & \mathbb{D}u \neq 0, \\ 0, & \mathbb{D}u = 0, \end{cases}$$

we have $\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbb{D}u$ and $\mu_\varepsilon(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)\mathbb{D}u$ in $L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. In consequence we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_\varepsilon(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon = \mu(|\mathbb{D}u|)|\mathbb{D}u|^2$$

in the weak sense. Therefore, taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (5.2), we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_\varepsilon(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|^2 \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu(|\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|)\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}u$$

in the weak sense and we can pass to the limit in the same way as in Section 4.

Note, however, that if passing to the limit in the weak formulation, the term

$$\int \frac{\mathbb{D}u}{|\mathbb{D}u|} : \nabla \varphi \, dx$$

is well defined by the values of $\mathbb{D}u$ provided $|\mathbb{D}u| > 0$, while for $|\mathbb{D}u| = 0$ it is just defined as the corresponding limit which is not necessarily equal to zero if $|\mathbb{D}u|$ is so.

Acknowledgement: The work of M. P. was partially supported by the Czech Science Foundation, project No.: 22-01591S, whereas the work of M. S. was supported by National Science Centre grant 2018/29/B/ST1/00339. The results were obtained during the internship of M. S. in Prague.

References

- [1] A. Abbatiello and E. Feireisl. On a class of generalized solutions to equations describing incompressible viscous fluids. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 199(3):1183–1195, 2020.
- [2] R. Andrášik and R. Vodák. Compressible nonlinearly viscous fluids: asymptotic analysis in a 3D curved domain. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 21(1):Paper No. 13, 27, 2019.
- [3] J. Blechta, J. Málek, and K. R. Rajagopal. On the classification of incompressible fluids and a mathematical analysis of the equations that govern their motion. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52(2):1232–1289, 2020.
- [4] M. Bulíček, P. Kaplický, and D. Pražák. Uniqueness and regularity of flows of non-Newtonian fluids with critical power-law growth. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 29(6):1207–1225, 2019.

- [5] J. Burczak, S. Modena, and L. Székelyhidi. Non uniqueness of power-law flows. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 388(1):199–243, 2021.
- [6] Y. Cho and K. Kensey. Effects of the non-Newtonian viscosity of blood on hemodynamics of diseased arterial flows. *Advances in Bioengineering*, 15:147–148, Jan. 1989.
- [7] A. Cianchi and V. G. Maz’ya. Global Boundedness of the Gradient for a Class of Nonlinear Elliptic Systems. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 212:129–177, Apr. 2014.
- [8] L. Diening, M. Růžička, and J. Wolf. Existence of weak solutions for unsteady motions of generalized Newtonian fluids. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 9(1):1–46, 2010.
- [9] E. Feireisl. On compactness of solutions to the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations when the density is not square integrable. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 42(1):83–98, 2001.
- [10] E. Feireisl, X. Liao, and J. Málek. Global weak solutions to a class of non-Newtonian compressible fluids. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 38(16), 2015.
- [11] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Springer New York, NY, 2008.
- [12] B. H. Kjartanson, D. H. Shields, L. Domaschuk, and C.-S. Man. The creep of ice measured with the pressuremeter. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 25(2):250–261, 1988.
- [13] P.-L. Lions. *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 2: Compressible Models*. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [14] A. E. Mamontov. Existence of global solutions to multidimensional equations for Bingham fluids. *Mat. Zametki*, 82:560–577, 2007.
- [15] A. E. Mamontov. Global solvability of the multidimensional equations of compressible non-Newtonian fluids, transport equation and the Orlicz spaces. *Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv.*, 6:120–165, 2009.
- [16] C.-S. Man and Q.-X. Sun. On the Significance of Normal Stress Effects in the Flow of Glaciers. *Journal of Glaciology*, 33(115):268–273, 1987. Publisher: Cambridge University Press.
- [17] P. B. Mucha and W. Rusin. Zygmund spaces, inviscid limit and uniqueness of Euler flows. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 280:831–841, 06 2008.
- [18] H. Steffan, W. Brandstätter, G. Bachler, and R. Pucher. Comparison of Newtonian and Non-Newtonian Blood Flow in Stenotic Vessels using Numerical Simulation. In D. W. Liepsch, editor, *Biofluid Mechanics*, pages 479–485, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990. Springer.
- [19] M. Szlenk. Weak solutions for the Stokes system for compressible fluids with general pressure. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 312:317–346, 2022.
- [20] D. A. Yuen and A. V. Malevsky. Strongly Chaotic Newtonian and Non-Newtonian Mantle Convection. In D. A. Yuen, editor, *Chaotic Processes in the Geological Sciences*, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, pages 71–88, New York, NY, 1992. Springer US.