

ON DOUBLE COSET SEPARABILITY AND THE WILSON-ZALESSKII PROPERTY

ASHOT MINASYAN

ABSTRACT. A residually finite group G has the Wilson-Zaleskii property if for all finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq G$, one has $\overline{H \cap K} = \overline{H} \cap \overline{K}$, where the closures are taken in the profinite completion \widehat{G} of G . This property played an important role in several papers, and is usually combined with separability of double cosets. In the present note we show that the Wilson-Zaleskii property is actually enjoyed by every double coset separable group. We also construct an example of a LERF group that is not double coset separable and does not have the Wilson-Zaleskii property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every residually finite group G has a natural embedding into its profinite completion \widehat{G} , which is a compact topological group. The topology on \widehat{G} induces the *profinite topology* on G . A subset $S \subseteq G$ is said to be *separable* if it is closed in this topology, i.e., $S = \overline{S} \cap G$, where \overline{S} denotes the closure of S in \widehat{G} .

Many residual properties of G can be interpreted in terms of the profinite topology or the embedding of G into \widehat{G} . In establishing various such properties it is often useful to have control over the intersections of images of two subgroups $H, K \in \mathcal{S}$ in finite quotients of G , where \mathcal{S} is a class of subgroups of G (e.g., \mathcal{S} could consist of all cyclic subgroups, all abelian subgroups or all finitely generated subgroups). The best one can hope for is that for all $H, K \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$(1) \quad \overline{H \cap K} = \overline{H} \cap \overline{K} \text{ in } \widehat{G}$$

(see Remark 2.2 and Proposition 2.4 below, which explain how this is related to controlling the intersection of the images of H and K in finite quotients of G).

Condition (1) played an important role in the papers of Ribes and Zaleskii [10], Ribes, Segal and Zaleskii [9], Wilson and Zaleskii [13] and Antolín and Jaikin-Zapirain [2], to mention a few. In all of these papers, this condition was established along with (and after) the double coset separability condition, stating that for all $H, K \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(2) \quad HK \text{ is separable in } G.$$

The purpose of this note is to demonstrate that condition (2) implies (1), provided \mathcal{S} is closed under taking finite index subgroups. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H, K be subgroups of a residually finite group G . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *the double coset HK is separable in G and $\overline{H \cap K} = \overline{H} \cap \overline{K}$ in \widehat{G} ;*
- (b) *for every finite index subgroup $L \leq_f G$, with $H \cap K \subseteq L$, the double coset $(H \cap L)K$ is separable in G .*

The above theorem follows from Proposition 2.4 below, which restates condition (1) in terms of finite index subgroups of the group G , and Proposition 3.1, which characterises this restatement

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20E26, 20E18.

Key words and phrases. Double coset separability, Wilson-Zaleskii property, profinite completion.

in terms of double cosets. Both of these propositions are stated in the general situation of a pro- \mathcal{C} topology, where \mathcal{C} is a formation of finite groups. In particular, analogues of Theorem 1.1 are also true for pro- p topology, pro-soluble topology, etc.

Following [2], we say that a group G has the *Wilson-Zaleskii property* if (1) holds for arbitrary finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq G$. This property is named after Wilson and Zaleskii, who established it in the case of finitely generated virtually free groups in [13]. We will call a group G *double coset separable* if (2) holds for all finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq G$.

Corollary 1.2. *Any double coset separable group satisfies the Wilson-Zaleskii property.*

Note that for (virtually) free groups the double coset separability was first proved by Gitik and Rips [3]. This was extended by Niblo [8] to finitely generated Fuchsian groups and fundamental groups of Seifert-fibred 3-manifolds. In [7] the author and Mineh showed that all finitely generated Kleinian groups and limit groups are double coset separable. Hence, by Corollary 1.2, all of these groups have the Wilson-Zaleskii property. For limit groups this answers a question of Antolín and Jaikin-Zapirain from [2, Subsection 2.2].

More generally, separability of double cosets of “convex” subgroups is known in many non-positively curved groups (see [6, 4, 12, 7]). By combining these results with Theorem 1.1 we gain control over the intersection of such subgroups in finite quotients. Our last corollary describes one such application.

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be a finitely generated group hyperbolic relative to a family of double coset separable subgroups. If every finitely generated relatively quasiconvex subgroup is separable in G then any two finitely generated relatively quasiconvex subgroups $H, K \leq G$ satisfy (1).*

Proof. Let G be a group from the statement. By [7, Corollary 1.4], the product of two finitely generated relatively quasiconvex subgroups is separable in G . Since a finite index subgroup of a relatively quasiconvex subgroup is again relatively quasiconvex [7, Lemma 5.22], the claim of the corollary follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

We finish this note by constructing, in Section 4, an example of a finitely presented LERF group which is not double coset separable and does not have the Wilson-Zaleskii property.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Pavel Zaleskii for fruitful discussions and for drawing my attention to the paper [2], which motivated this note.

2. A RESTATEMENT OF CONDITION (1)

Let us fix a formation \mathcal{C} of finite groups; in other words, \mathcal{C} is a non-empty class of finite groups which is closed under taking quotients and subdirect products (see [11, Section 2.1]).

2.1. Pro- \mathcal{C} topology and completion. In this subsection we summarise basic definitions and properties of pro- \mathcal{C} topology and pro- \mathcal{C} completions. We refer the reader to [11, Sections 3.1, 3.2] for a detailed exposition.

Given a group G , we define the *pro- \mathcal{C} topology* on G by taking the family of normal subgroups $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G) = \{N \triangleleft G \mid G/N \in \mathcal{C}\}$ as a basis of open neighborhoods of the identity element. A subset $A \subseteq G$ will be called *\mathcal{C} -open* if it is open in the pro- \mathcal{C} topology on G . *\mathcal{C} -closed* and *\mathcal{C} -clopen* subsets are defined similarly. We will write $H \leq_o G$ and $N \triangleleft_o G$ to indicate that H is an open subgroup of G and N is an open normal subgroup of G in the pro- \mathcal{C} topology. Note that a subgroup $H \leq G$ is \mathcal{C} -open if and only if it contains a \mathcal{C} -open normal subgroup; and $N \triangleleft G$ is \mathcal{C} -open if and only if $G/N \in \mathcal{C}$. If $H \leq_o G$ and $X \subseteq G$ then XH and $G \setminus XH$ are both open as unions of cosets modulo H , thus XH is a \mathcal{C} -clopen subset of G .

We will use $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ to denote the *pro- \mathcal{C} completion* of a group G . Equipped with its pro- \mathcal{C} topology, $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ is a profinite group; in particular, it is compact. The natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ has dense image. This homomorphism is injective if and only if G is *residually- \mathcal{C}* , i.e., $\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)} N = \{1\}$.

2.2. Tractable intersections.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a group and let $H, K \leq G$ be two subgroups. We will say that the intersection $H \cap K$ is *pro- \mathcal{C} tractable* in G if for every $M \triangleleft_o G$ there exists $N \triangleleft_o G$ such that $N \subseteq M$ and

$$(3) \quad HN \cap KN \subseteq (H \cap K)M \text{ in } G.$$

Remark 2.2. Note that condition (3) can be restated as $\phi(H) \cap \phi(K) \subseteq \phi(H \cap K)\phi(M)$ in the finite quotient $G/N \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\phi : G \rightarrow G/N$ denotes the natural homomorphism.

Remark 2.3. The following observation will be used throughout this note without further justification. If A, B are subsets of a group G and $H' \leq H \leq G$ are subgroups then

$$AH' \cap BH = (A \cap BH)H' \text{ and } H'A \cap HB = H'(A \cap HB).$$

Proposition 2.4. For subgroups H, K of a residually- \mathcal{C} group G the following are equivalent:

- (i) the intersection $H \cap K$ is pro- \mathcal{C} tractable in G ;
- (ii) $\overline{H \cap K} = \overline{H} \cap \overline{K}$ in $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$, where \overline{H} denotes the closure of H in the pro- \mathcal{C} completion $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$.

Proof. Since G is residually- \mathcal{C} , we will treat it as a subgroup of $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$. Note that for an arbitrary $L \triangleleft_o G$ its closure \overline{L} is a clopen subgroup of $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ and $\overline{L} \cap G = L$, so that $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}/\overline{L} = G/L$ (see [11, Proposition 3.2.2]). Given any $M \triangleleft_o G$, let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G) = \{N \triangleleft_o G \mid N \subseteq M\}$ and observe that $\{\overline{N} \mid N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)\}$ is a basis of open neighborhoods of the identity element in $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$.

Let us start with showing that (i) implies (ii). Assuming (i), we know that for every $M \triangleleft_o G$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ such that (3) holds. After taking closures of both sides we obtain

$$(4) \quad \overline{HN \cap KN} \subseteq \overline{(H \cap K)M} \text{ in } G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}.$$

Note that $HN, KN \leq_o G$, so, by [11, Proposition 3.2.2], $\overline{HN \cap KN} = \overline{HN} \cap \overline{KN}$. Clearly $\overline{H \cap K} \subseteq \overline{HN} \cap \overline{KN}$ and $\overline{(H \cap K)M} = (H \cap K)\overline{M}$, because \overline{M} is a clopen subgroup of $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$. Hence, in view of (4), we obtain

$$(5) \quad \overline{H \cap K} \subseteq (H \cap K)\overline{M} \text{ in } G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}, \text{ for every } M \triangleleft_o G.$$

It is easy to see that $\overline{H \cap K} = \bigcap_{M \triangleleft_o G} (H \cap K)\overline{M}$, because $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}) = \{\overline{L} \mid L \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(G)\}$. Therefore (5) implies that $\overline{H \cap K} \subseteq \overline{H \cap K}$. The opposite inclusion is obvious, so (ii) has been established.

We will now prove that (ii) implies (i) (in the case of profinite topology this was done in [2, Corollary 10.4]). Suppose that (ii) holds and $M \triangleleft_o G$ is arbitrary. If (i) is false, then for every $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$, we have

$$(HN \cap KN) \setminus (H \cap K)M \neq \emptyset \text{ in } G,$$

hence

$$(6) \quad (H\overline{N} \cap K\overline{N}) \setminus (H \cap K)\overline{M} \neq \emptyset \text{ in } G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}, \text{ for all } N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G),$$

where we used the fact that $(H \cap K)\overline{M} \cap G = (H \cap K)(\overline{M} \cap G) = (H \cap K)M$.

The family $\{(H\overline{N} \cap K\overline{N}) \setminus (H \cap K)\overline{M} \mid N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)\}$ consists of clopen sets in $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ and has the finite intersection property by (6) (because the intersection of finitely subgroups from $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ is again in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$). Compactness of $G_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}$ now implies that

$$(7) \quad \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)} (H\overline{N} \cap K\overline{N}) \setminus (H \cap K)\overline{M} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)} H\overline{N} = \overline{H}$, $\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)} K\overline{N} = \overline{K}$ and $\overline{H \cap K} \subseteq (H \cap K)\overline{M}$, (7) demonstrates that $(\overline{H \cap K}) \setminus \overline{H \cap K} \neq \emptyset$, contradicting (i). Thus we have proved that (i) implies (ii). \square

3. CHARACTERISING TRACTABLENESS OF INTERSECTIONS USING DOUBLE COSETS

As before we will work with a fixed formation of finite groups \mathcal{C} . For a subgroup H of a group G the pro- \mathcal{C} -topology on G induces a topology on H (which may, in general, be different from the pro- \mathcal{C} topology of H). We will use $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ to denote the open subgroups of H in this induced topology. In other words,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G) = \{H \cap L \mid L \leq_o G\}.$$

Note that for every $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$, the index $|H : H'|$ is finite because any $L \leq_o G$ has finite index in G .

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a group with subgroups H, K . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *the double coset HK is \mathcal{C} -closed and the intersection $H \cap K$ is pro- \mathcal{C} tractable in G ;*
- (ii) *for every $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$, with $H \cap K \subseteq H'$, the double coset $H'K$ is \mathcal{C} -closed in G .*

Proof. Let us start with showing that (i) implies (ii). So, assume that (i) is true. Consider any $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$, containing $H \cap K$. Then $H' = H \cap L$, for some $L \leq_o G$, with $H \cap K \subseteq L$. Let $M \triangleleft_o G$ denote the normal core of L (it is \mathcal{C} -open by [11, Lemma 3.1.2]). Since $H \cap K$ is pro- \mathcal{C} tractable, there exists $N \triangleleft_o G$ such that $N \subseteq M$ and

$$HN \cap KN \subseteq (H \cap K)M \subseteq L.$$

Since $NK = KN$, as $N \triangleleft G$, we can conclude that

$$H \cap NK = H \cap KN \subseteq H \cap L = H'.$$

Therefore, we have

$$H'K \subseteq HK \cap H'KN = H'(HK \cap NK) = H'(H \cap NK)K \subseteq H'H'K = H'K,$$

whence $H'K = HK \cap H'KN$ in G . Note that the subset $H'KN$ is \mathcal{C} -clopen in G , as $N \triangleleft_o G$, and the double coset HK is \mathcal{C} -closed by the assumption (i). Thus $H'K$ is \mathcal{C} -closed as the intersection of closed subsets, so (ii) holds.

Now let us assume (ii) and deduce (i). Then the double coset HK is \mathcal{C} -closed in G because $H \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ and $H \cap K \subseteq H$. Thus it remains to show that $H \cap K$ is pro- \mathcal{C} tractable in G .

Take any $M \triangleleft_o G$ and set $L = (H \cap K)M \leq_o G$. Then $H' = H \cap L \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ and we can write $H = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n H'h_i$, where $h_1 = 1$ and $h_i \in H \setminus H'$, for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Note that $H \cap K \subseteq H'$, by construction, which easily implies that $h_i \notin H'K$, for $i = 2, \dots, n$. By the assumption (ii), the double coset $H'K$ is \mathcal{C} -closed in G , hence there exists $N \triangleleft_o G$ such that

$$(8) \quad h_i \notin H'KN, \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, n.$$

After replacing N with $N \cap M$, we can suppose that $N \subseteq M$. Let us show that

$$HN \cap KN \subseteq L = (H \cap K)M.$$

Since $HN \cap KN = (H \cap KN)N$ and $N \subseteq L$, it is enough to check that $H \cap KN \subseteq L$. But, in view of (8), we know that $H'h_i \cap KN = \emptyset$, for $i = 2, \dots, n$, hence $H \cap KN \subseteq H'h_1 = H' \subseteq L$, as required. Therefore $H \cap K$ is pro- \mathcal{C} tractable in G and (i) holds. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If H, K are subgroups of a group G then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *the double coset HK is \mathcal{C} -closed and the intersection $H \cap K$ is pro- \mathcal{C} tractable in G ;*
- (ii) *for every $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$, with $H \cap K \subseteq H'$, the double coset $H'K$ is \mathcal{C} -closed in G ;*
- (iii) *for every $K' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, G)$, with $H \cap K \subseteq K'$, the double coset HK' is \mathcal{C} -closed in G ;*

(iv) for all $H' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(H, G)$ and $K' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, G)$, with $H \cap K = H' \cap K'$, the double coset $H'K'$ is \mathcal{C} -closed in G ;

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) is the subject of Proposition 3.1, and the equivalence between (i) and (iii) follows by symmetry (or because $HK' = (K'H)^{-1}$). Evidently (iv) implies (ii). Conversely, (iv) follows from (ii) and (iii) because

$$H'K \cap HK' = H'(K \cap HK') = H'(K \cap H)K' = H'K',$$

where the last equality is valid since $K \cap H \subseteq H'$. \square

4. A LERF GROUP WITHOUT THE WILSON-ZALESSKII PROPERTY

Throughout this section we assume that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$ is the family of all finite groups. In this case the pro- \mathcal{F} topology on a group G is the profinite topology, \mathcal{F} -open subgroups of G are precisely the finite index subgroups and the \mathcal{F} -closed subsets of G are called separable. Recall that G is said to be *ERF* if all subgroups are separable and *LERF* if all finitely generated subgroups are separable.

In this section we show that separability of a double coset HK does not necessarily yield that the intersection $H \cap K$ is profinitely tractable even for finitely generated subgroups H, K of a LERF group G . Our construction is based on examples of Grunewald and Segal from [5].

Let $A = M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the additive group of 2×2 matrices with integer entries, and let $H = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ act on A by left multiplication. We define the group G as the resulting semidirect product $A \rtimes H = M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Recall that H is finitely generated and virtually free and A is the free abelian group of rank 4, hence A is ERF and H is LERF, so G is LERF (see [1, Theorem 4]).

Denote by $a \in A$ the identity matrix from $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and set $K = aHa^{-1} \leq G$. For any subgroup $F \leq H = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ the conjugacy class $a^F = \{faf^{-1} \mid f \in F\} \subseteq A$ is the orbit of the identity matrix under the left action of F , so it consists of matrices from F , but now considered as a subset of $M_2(\mathbb{Z}) = A$. Since the determinant map $\det : A = M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is clearly continuous with respect to the profinite topologies on A and \mathbb{Z} , the conjugacy class $a^H = \det^{-1}(\{1\})$ is closed in the profinite topology on A .

Now let us show that the product $a^H H$ is closed in the profinite topology on G . Indeed, suppose that $xy \in G \setminus a^H H$, where $x \in A$ and $y \in H$. Then $x \notin a^H$, so there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for the finite index characteristic subgroup $A' = M_2(m\mathbb{Z}) \triangleleft A$ we have $x \notin a^H A'$. The latter implies that $xy \notin a^H A' H$. Since $A' H$ is a finite index subgroup of G , we see that $a^H A' H$ is a clopen subset in the profinite topology on G containing $a^H H$ but not containing xy . Thus $a^H H$ is indeed profinitely closed in G . Note that $a^H H = HaH$, thus the double coset $HK = (HaH)a^{-1}$ is separable in G .

As Grunewald and Segal observed in [5, Section 5], H contains a finite index free subgroup H' (in fact, $|H : H'| = 36$) such that the orbit of a under the action of H' is not separable in the profinite topology on A (equivalently, H' is not closed in the congruence topology on $H = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$).

Observe that $H'aH = a^{H'}H$, so $H'aH \cap A = a^{H'}$. Since $a^{H'}$ is not separable in A , it follows that the double cosets $H'aH$ and $H'K = (H'aH)a^{-1}$ cannot be separable in G (this is true because the topology on the subgroup A , induced from the profinite topology on G , is always weaker than the profinite topology on A).

Finally, we note that $H \cap K = \{1\}$ because the H -stabiliser of a is trivial, and every finite index subgroup of H belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(H, G)$, as G is LERF and H is finitely generated (see, for example, [7, Lemma 4.17]). Thus we have constructed the following example.

Example 4.1. There is a LERF group G (isomorphic to a split extension of \mathbb{Z}^4 by $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$) and finitely generated subgroups $H, K \leq G$ such that $H \cap K = \{1\}$ and the double coset HK is separable in G , but the double coset $H'K$ is not separable in G , for some finite index subgroup $H' \leq_f H$. We deduce, from Proposition 3.1, that the intersection $H \cap K$ is not profinitely tractable in G , so G does not have the Wilson-Zaleskii property by Proposition 2.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.B.J.T. Allenby and R.J. Gregorac, On locally extended residually finite groups. *Conference on Group Theory (Univ. Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha, Wis., 1972)*, pp. 9–17. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 319, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [2] Y. Antolín and A. Jaikin-Zapirain, The Hanna Neumann conjecture for surface groups. *Compos. Math.*, to appear.
- [3] R. Gitik and E. Rips, On separability properties of groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **5** (1995), no. 6, pp. 703-717.
- [4] D. Groves and J. Manning, Specializing cubulated relatively hyperbolic groups. *J. Topology* (to appear). [arXiv:2008.13677](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.13677)
- [5] F. Grunewald and D. Segal, Conjugacy in polycyclic groups. *Comm. Algebra* **6** (1978), no. 8, 775-798.
- [6] A. Minasyan, Separable subsets of GFERF negatively curved groups. *J. Algebra* **304** (2006), no. 2, pp. 1090-1100.
- [7] A. Minasyan and L. Mineh, Quasiconvexity of virtual joins and separability of products in relatively hyperbolic groups. Preprint (2022). [arXiv:2207.03362](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.03362)
- [8] G.A. Niblo, Separability properties of free groups and surface groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **78** (1992) , no. 1, pp. 77–84.
- [9] L. Ribes, D. Segal, P.A. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability and free products of groups with cyclic amalgamation. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **57** (1998), no. 3, 609-628.
- [10] L. Ribes, P.A. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability of amalgamated free products of groups. *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), no. 3, 751-774.
- [11] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii, Profinite groups. Second edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, 40. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. xvi+464 pp.
- [12] S. Shepherd, Imitator homomorphisms for special cube complexes. Preprint (2021). [arXiv:2107.10925](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.10925)
- [13] J.S. Wilson, P.A. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability of certain Bianchi groups and HNN extensions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **123** (1998), no. 2, 227-242.

CGTA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, HIGHFIELD, SOUTHAMPTON, SO17 1BJ, UNITED KINGDOM.

Email address: aminasyan@gmail.com