

Uniqueness of unbounded component for level sets of smooth Gaussian fields

Franco Severo*

Abstract

We consider the level-sets of a stationary, continuous, centered Gaussian field f on \mathbb{R}^d . Under certain regularity, positivity and integrability conditions on the correlations of f (in particular, this includes the Bargmann–Fock field), we prove that for every level $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist at most one unbounded connected component in $\{f = \ell\}$ (as well as in $\{f \geq \ell\}$) almost surely, thus proving a conjecture proposed by Duminil-Copin, Rivera, Rodriguez & Vanneuille. As the fields considered are typically very rigid (e.g. analytic almost surely), there is no sort of finite energy property available and the classical approaches to prove uniqueness become difficult to implement. We bypass this difficulty by using a soft shift argument based on the Cameron–Martin theorem.

1 Introduction

Let f be a centered, stationary, ergodic and continuous Gaussian field on \mathbb{R}^d and denote its covariance kernel by

$$\kappa(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(0)f(x)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We are interested in the connectivity properties of the random sets

$$\{f = \ell\} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(x) = \ell\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{f \geq \ell\} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(x) \geq \ell\}.$$

We make the following assumptions on the field f .

- I (*Smoothness*) $\kappa \in C^8$.
- II (*Non-degeneracy*) The Gaussian vector $(f(0), \nabla f(0)) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is non-degenerate.
- III (*Positive correlations*) $\kappa(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- IV (*Integrable correlations*) $\kappa \in W^{1,1}$ (i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa(x) + |\nabla \kappa(x)| dx < \infty$).

We can now state our main result, which proves a conjecture proposed by Duminil-Copin, Rivera, Rodriguez & Vanneuille – see Conjecture 1.8 of [DCRRV21].

Theorem 1.1. *If f satisfies assumptions I, II, III and IV, then for every $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist at most one unbounded connected component in $\{f \geq \ell\}$ almost surely.*

Corollary 1.2. *If f satisfies assumptions I, II, III and IV, then for every $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist at most one unbounded connected component in $\{f = \ell\}$ almost surely.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\{f = \ell\}$ has two distinct unbounded components A and B . First notice that by the smoothness assumption, f is C^3 -smooth almost surely – see e.g. Sections A.3 and A.9 of [NS16]. Also, by the non-degeneracy assumption, f contains no critical point in $\{f = \ell\}$ almost surely, and therefore A and B are both closed, orientable and smooth hyper-surfaces. Hence by the Jordan–Brouwer separation theorem [Lim88], we have that $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A = U \cup V$ with U and V two connected open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with boundary equal to A . Assume without loss of generality that $B \subset U$. Since the gradient of f does not vanish on A by non-degeneracy, $f - \ell$ changes its sign at A and therefore there exists a neighborhood U' of A in U such that either $f < \ell$ or $f > \ell$ in U' . In the first case, the (unbounded) components of A and B in $\{f \geq \ell\}$ are separated by U' and therefore disjoint, contradicting Theorem 1.1. In the second case, the (unbounded) components of A and B in $\{f \leq \ell\}$ are separated by U' and therefore disjoint. Since f is centered, $\{f \leq \ell\}$ has the same distribution as $\{f \geq -\ell\}$, and we obtain again a contradiction with Theorem 1.1. \square

*ETH Zürich, franco.severo@math.ethz.ch

¹i.e. a set $U' \subset U$ such that for every $x \in A$ there exists an open set $O_x \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ containing x such that $U \cap O_x \subset U'$

Probably the most important example of a field satisfying our assumptions is the so called *Bargmann–Fock field*, which is characterized by the covariance kernel $\kappa(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}$. There are also some interesting examples with slower decay of correlations, such as the *Cauchy kernel* $\kappa(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\alpha/2}$ for $\alpha > d$. We remark that our result remains open for the important example of the *monochromatic random wave* (given by $\kappa(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle x, \omega \rangle} d\sigma_{S^{d-1}}(\omega)$), for which assumptions III and IV do not hold.

One can naturally define the percolation threshold

$$\ell_c := \sup\{\ell \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}[\text{there is an unbounded connected component in } \{f \geq \ell\}] > 0\}.$$

It is widely believed that in general $\ell_c = 0$ for $d = 2$ and $\ell_c > 0$ for $d \geq 3$. The former has been proved under very mild assumption [MRVKS20], while the latter is only known for positive and sufficiently rapidly decaying covariances (including the Bargmann–Fock field) [DCRRV21] and for the monochromatic random wave in sufficiently high dimensions [Riv21]. In the case $d = 2$ one can easily deduce Theorem 1.1 for $\ell < 0 = \ell_c$ from [MRVKS20] using duality, but this was completely open for $d \geq 3$. Also, it is expected that there is no unbounded component at ℓ_c , but this is currently known only for positively correlated fields in dimension $d = 2$ [Ale96]. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 mainly concerns the (supercritical) levels $\ell < \ell_c$ in dimensions $d \geq 3$.

It turns out that, by topological reasons similar to those in the proof of Corollary 1.2, the existence of an unbounded component for the level-set $\{f = \ell\}$ is equivalent to the existence of unbounded components for the excursion sets $\{f \geq \ell\}$ and $\{f \leq \ell\}$ simultaneously. Therefore, by the remarks in the previous paragraph and the symmetry of f around 0, Corollary 1.2 mainly concerns the levels $\ell \in (-\ell_c, \ell_c)$ in dimensions $d \geq 3$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows the approach of Burton & Keane [BK89]. However, the proof of [BK89] strongly relies on a finite-energy property (more precisely, insertion tolerance), which is not at all available in our context due to the rigidity of f . We replace the lack of finite energy by a soft shift argument based on the Cameron–Martin theorem for Gaussian fields. The idea of replacing the finite-energy property by a shift argument has been recently used by the author [Sev21] to prove that, under similar assumptions as ours, $\{f \geq \ell\}$ has exponential decay of connection probabilities for $\ell > \ell_c$ and contains unbounded components on 2D slabs for $\ell < \ell_c$. A crucial difference with [Sev21] is that in our case we need to further ensure that, despite the unbounded support of the shift function, the effective modification is only local, which is ultimately guaranteed by assumption (IV) – see Lemma 2.2.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we overview a few basic properties of Gaussian fields. In what follows, $B_R(x)$ denotes the open Euclidean ball of radius R centered at x . The closure and boundary of $B_R(x)$ are denoted by $\overline{B_R(x)}$ and $\partial B_R(x)$, respectively. We may omit x from the notation when $x = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that f satisfies assumptions I and II. Then there exists a constant $C_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $L > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, one has*

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}_{\partial B_L}(f, \ell)] \leq C_0 L^{d-1},$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\partial B_L}(f, \ell)$ denotes the number of components in $\{f \geq \ell\} \cap \partial B_L$.

Proof. By compactness and continuity, every component of $\{f \geq \ell\} \cap \partial B_L$ contains a local maximum of f on ∂B_L , thus a critical point. Hence $\mathcal{N}_{\partial B_L} \leq N := |\{x \in \partial B_L : \nabla f(x) = 0\}|$, where ∇ denotes the spherical gradient. By assumptions I and II, we can apply of the Kac–Rice formula to the field ∇f – see Propositions 6.2 and 6.5 of [AW09] – to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[N] = \int_{\partial B_L} \mathbb{E}[|\det \nabla^2 f(x)| \mid \nabla f(x) = 0] p_{\nabla f(x)}(0) dx,$$

where $p_{\nabla f(x)}(0)$ denotes the density function of $\nabla f(x)$ at 0. By stationarity, there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|\det \nabla^2 f(x)| \mid \nabla f(x) = 0] p_{\nabla f(x)}(0) \leq C$ for every x and the proof is complete. \square

Given two subsets $A \subset B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we say that A and B are *percolation equivalent* if the natural inclusion map from the components of A onto the components of B is a bijection that furthermore maps bounded components onto bounded components. Given a function $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, let $\text{GE}(f, \ell, h)$ be the event that there exists $R > 0$ such that $\{f \geq \ell\} \setminus B_R$ and $\{f + h \geq \ell\} \setminus B_R$ are percolation equivalent. We refer to this event as “global equivalence”. Intuitively, global equivalence means that, from a percolation point of view, shifting the field f by h only changes things locally.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that f satisfies assumptions I and II. Then for every non-negative function $h \in C^2 \cap W^{2,\infty} \cap W^{1,1}$, and every $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{GE}(f, \ell, h)] = 1.$$

Proof. We will work with the annuli $A(R, M) = \overline{B_M} \setminus B_R$, $M > R > 0$, and their natural stratification $A(R, M) = A^0(R, M) \cup A^1(R, M)$ with $A^0(R, M) := B_M \setminus \overline{B_R}$ and $A^1(R, M) := \partial B_R \cup \partial B_M$. In what follows, ∇ denotes either the standard Euclidean gradient on $A^0(R, M)$ or the spherical gradient on $A^1(R, M)$. Consider the sets $\mathcal{E}_t := \{f + th \geq \ell\}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, interpolating continuously between $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{f \geq \ell\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{f + h \geq \ell\}$. By definition, if $\text{GE}(f, \ell, h)$ does not happen then for every $R > 0$ there exists $M_0 = M_0(R) > R$ such that for every $M \geq M_0$ at least one of the following happens:

- (Merging) there exist two distinct components of $\mathcal{E}_0 \cap A(R, M)$ that get connected in $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap A(R, M)$,
- (Emergence) $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap A(R, M)$ contains a component disjoint from those of $\mathcal{E}_0 \cap A(R, M)$,
- (Explosion) $\mathcal{E}_0 \cap A(R, M)$ contains a component that does not intersect ∂B_{M_0} , but that does intersect ∂B_M in $\mathcal{E}_1 \cap A(R, M)$.

Fix such a pair R, M . By considering the “first time” t at which merging, emergence or explosion of components occurs and its “location” $x \in A(R, M)$, one finds t and x such that x is a (stratified) critical point of $f + th$ with value ℓ , i.e. $\nabla f(x) + t\nabla h(x) = 0$ and $f(x) + th(x) = \ell$ – emergence and explosion correspond to local maxima (either in $A^0(R, M)$ or on $A^1(R, M)$ in the case of emergence, but necessarily on ∂B_M in the case of explosion) and merging corresponds to saddle points (again, either in $A^0(R, M)$ or on $A^1(R, M)$). As a conclusion, we have $N_0^h(R, M) + N_1^h(R, M) \geq 1$, where $N_i^h(R, M) := |\{(x, t) \in A^i(R, M) \times [0, 1] : \nabla f(x) + t\nabla h(x) = 0 \text{ and } f(x) + th(x) = \ell\}|$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$. All in all, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{GE}(f, \ell, h)] \geq \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[N_0^h(R, M) + N_1^h(R, M) = 0]. \quad (2.1)$$

Notice that $N_i^h(R, M)$ simply counts the number of zeros of the Gaussian fields $g_i(x, t) := (f(x) + th(x) - \ell, \nabla f(x) + t\nabla h(x))$ on $A^i(R, M) \times [0, 1]$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$. We can then apply the Kac–Rice formula to g_0 – see again Propositions 6.2 and 6.5 of [AW09] – to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[N_0^h(R, M)] = \int_0^1 \int_{A^0} \mathbb{E}[|\det g'_0(x, t)| \mid g_0(x, t) = 0] p_{g_0(x, t)}(0) dx dt,$$

where g'_0 is the Jacobian matrix of g_0 and $p_{g_0(x, t)}(0)$ is the density function of $g_0(x, t)$ evaluated at 0. Now notice that $|\det g'_0| \leq C_d |\partial_t g_0| \prod_{i=1}^d |\partial_{x_i} g_0|$ and $|\partial_t g_0(x, t)| = \max\{|f(x)|, |\nabla f(x)|\} \leq |f(x)| + |\nabla f(x)|$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the ℓ^∞ norm on \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . Also, by stationarity and boundedness of h , ∇h and $\nabla^2 h$ (since $h \in W^{2,\infty}$), one can easily see that there exists a constant $C = C(f, h)$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^d |\partial_{x_i} g_0(x, t)| \mid g_0(x, t) = 0] p_{g_0(x, t)}(0) \leq C$ for all x, t . We then conclude that $\mathbb{E}[N_0^h(R, M)] \leq C \int_{A^0(R, M)} |h(x)| + |\nabla h(x)| dx$. Analogously, we have $\mathbb{E}[N_1^h(R, M)] \leq C \int_{A^1(R, M)} |h(x)| + |\nabla h(x)| dx$. Hence, by the Markov inequality we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}[N_0^h(R, M) + N_1^h(R, M) = 0] \geq 1 - C \left(\int_{A^0(R, M)} |h(x)| + |\nabla h(x)| dx + \int_{A^1(R, M)} |h(x)| + |\nabla h(x)| dx \right).$$

By the assumption that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) + |\nabla h(x)| dx < \infty$ (since $h \in W^{1,1}$) and Fubini’s theorem, we have $\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[N_0^h(R, M) + N_1^h(R, M) = 0] = 1$, which concludes the proof by (2.1). \square

Remark 2.3. We believe that Lemma 2.2 should also hold if the notion of percolation equivalence is replaced by that of *topological equivalence*, namely the existence of a homeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\phi(A) = B$, but we will not need this.

Given a stationary, continuous Gaussian field f on \mathbb{R}^d with covariance kernel κ , one can define the associated Cameron–Martin space H of functions from \mathbb{R}^d to \mathbb{R} . Intuitively, H is the Hilbert space such that f is the standard Gaussian random variable on H – see e.g. [Jan97] for definition and properties of the Cameron–Martin space. Here we will only need to know that H is a linear space containing all functions of the form $h(x) = \kappa(x - y)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The Cameron–Martin theorem states that for every $h \in H$, the distributions of f and $f + h$ are mutually absolutely continuous with an explicit Radon–Nikodym derivative. We will only use the following direct consequence of the Cameron–Martin theorem.

Lemma 2.4. *Let H be the Cameron–Martin space of f . Then, for every $h \in H$ and every measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^d}$, one has that $\mathbb{P}[f \in E] > 0$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}[f + h \in E] > 0$.*

3 Proof of the theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. We follow the approach of Burton & Keane [BK89], which goes as follows. Let $\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell)$ be the number of unbounded components of $\{f \geq \ell\}$. By ergodicity $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell) = k] \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_\infty := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Therefore, there exists a unique $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ such that $\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell) = k_0$ almost surely. On the one hand, if we assume that $k_0 \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, then one should be able to merge all components *locally*, thus constructing a unique unbounded component, which leads to a contradiction. On the other hand, if we assume that $k_0 = \infty$ (actually $k_0 \geq 3$ is enough), then by another *local* merging, one would prove that certain “trifurcations points” exist with positive probability (and therefore have positive density by stationarity). However, a combinatorial argument of Burton & Keane [BK89] shows that the number of trifurcations is smaller than the number of boundary components, which in turn has zero density by Lemma 2.1, thus leading to a contradiction.

As no finite-energy (or insertion-tolerance) property is available in our setting, we implement the local merging by shifting the field f by an appropriate positive function $h \in H$. However, since the shift function h has possibly unbounded support, it is not a priori clear whether this modification is only local. This will be guaranteed by Lemma 2.2 and our assumptions on κ .

The theorem follows readily from the following two propositions.

Proposition 3.1. *If f satisfies assumptions I, II, III and IV, then for every $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ one has*

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell) \in \{2, 3, \dots\}] = 0.$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell) = k_0$ almost surely with $k_0 \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. Let $\text{Int}(f, \ell, R)$ be the event that all the k_0 unbounded components of $\{f \geq \ell\}$ intersect B_R . Since k_0 is finite, for a sufficiently large radius $R > 0$ one has $\mathbb{P}[\text{Int}(f, \ell, R)] \geq 1/2$. We will now shift the field f by an appropriate function $h \in H$ in such a way that $\{f + h \geq \ell\}$ has a unique unbounded component, thus obtaining a contradiction by Lemma 2.4. First, there exists $M = M(R) > 0$ large enough such that $\mathbb{P}[\inf_{x \in B_R} f(x) \geq -M] \geq 3/4$, hence

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{Int}(f, \ell, R) \cap \{\inf_{x \in B_R} f(x) \geq -M\}] \geq 1/4. \quad (3.1)$$

Notice that since κ is continuous and $\kappa(0) > 0$, there exist $c_0, r_0 > 0$ such that $\kappa(y) \geq c_0$ for all $|y| \leq r_0$. Consider the function $h \in H$ given by

$$h(x) = \frac{M + \ell}{c_0} \sum_{z \in r_0 \mathbb{Z}^d \cap B_{R+r_0}} \kappa(x - z). \quad (3.2)$$

By our assumptions on κ and the construction above, one can easily check that $h(x) \geq M + \ell$ for all $x \in B_R$, $h(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $h \in C^2 \cap W^{2,\infty} \cap W^{1,1}$. In order to see that $h \in W^{2,\infty}$, notice that since $\kappa, \nabla \kappa, \nabla^2 \kappa$ correspond to the covariances of the stationary field $(f, \nabla f)$, we have that $\kappa, \nabla \kappa, \nabla^2 \kappa$ are all uniformly bounded by $\max\{\kappa(0), |\nabla^2 \kappa(0)|\} < \infty$. By construction and the definition of global equivalence we have $\text{Int}(f, \ell, R) \cap \{\inf_{x \in B_R} f(x) \geq -M\} \cap \text{GE}(f, \ell, h) \subset \{\mathcal{N}_\infty(f + h, \ell) = 1\}$, hence $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}_\infty(f + h, \ell) = 1] > 0$ by (3.1) and Lemma 2.2, and thus $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell) = 1] > 0$ by Lemma 2.4, which is a contradiction with $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell) = k_0] = 1$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *If f satisfies assumptions I, II, III and IV, then for every $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ one has*

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}_\infty(f, \ell) = \infty] = 0.$$

Proof. Given a random field g and $R > 0$, we say that a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is an R -coarse trifurcation for $\{g \geq \ell\}$ if the component of x in $\{g \geq \ell\}$ is unbounded and splits into at least three distinct unbounded components in $\{g \geq \ell\} \setminus B_R(x)$. We denote this event by $\text{Trif}_x(g, \ell, R)$. Assume by contradiction that $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{N}_\infty(\ell) = \infty] = 1$. By proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we can find $r, M > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{Int}_3(f, \ell, r) \cap \{\inf_{x \in B_r} f(x) \geq -M\}] > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\text{Int}_3(f, \ell, r)$ denotes the event that at least three distinct unbounded components of $\{f \geq \ell\}$ intersect B_r . Furthermore, we can construct a function $h \in H$ (exactly as in the proof of Proposition 3.1) such that $h(x) \geq M + \ell$ for all $x \in B_r$, $h(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $h \in C^2 \cap W^{2,\infty} \cap W^{1,1}$. By construction and the definition of global equivalence we have $\text{Int}_3(f, \ell, r) \cap \{\inf_{x \in B_r} f(x) \geq -M\} \cap \text{GE}(f, \ell, h) \subset$

$\cup_R \text{Trif}_0(f+h, \ell, R)$, thus $\mathbb{P}[\cup_R \text{Trif}_0(f+h, \ell, R)] > 0$ by (3.3) and Lemma 2.2. In particular, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}[\text{Trif}_0(f+h, \ell, R)] > 0$ and by Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{Trif}_0(f, \ell, R)] > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Now fix $L \gg R$. Recall that $\mathcal{N}_{\partial B_L}$ denotes the number of distinct components of $\{f \geq \ell\} \cap \partial B_L$ and consider the number of trifurcations $\mathcal{T}_L := |\{x \in 4R\mathbb{Z}^d \cap B_{L-2R} : \text{Trif}_x(f, \ell, R) \text{ happens}\}|$. One can prove that the following inequality holds deterministically

$$\mathcal{T}_L \leq \max\{0, \mathcal{N}_{\partial B_L} - 2\} \leq \mathcal{N}_{\partial B_L}. \quad (3.5)$$

Roughly speaking, the trifurcations and the boundary components can be seen respectively as vertices of degree at least 3 and leaves of the same forest, thus justifying the inequality (3.5). We refer the reader to [BK89] (see also [ADS15]) for a proof of (3.5) – although we use a slightly different notion of trifurcation, it is straightforward to adapt their proof. On the one hand, by Lemma 2.1 we have $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}_{\partial B_L}] \leq C_0 L^{d-1}$. On the other hand, by (3.4) and stationarity we have $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_L] = |4R\mathbb{Z}^d \cap B_{L-2R}| \mathbb{P}[\text{Trif}_0(f, \ell, R)] \geq c(R)L^d$. Together with (3.5), this gives a contradiction for L large enough, thus concluding the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. As the attentive reader might have noticed, our assumptions III and IV could be replaced by the existence of a non-trivial function $h \in H$ satisfying $h \geq 0$ and $h \in C^2 \cap W^{2,\infty} \cap W^{1,1}$. Also, the proof of Theorem 1.1 applies readily to the strict excursion sets $\{f > \ell\} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(x) > \ell\}$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Damien Gayet and Stephen Muirhead for helping with the proof of Corollary 1.2 from Theorem 1.1 and the proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 851565).

References

- [ADS15] M. Aizenman, H. Duminil-Copin, and V. Sidoravicius. Random Currents and Continuity of Ising Model’s Spontaneous Magnetization. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 334:719–742, 2015.
- [Ale96] K. S. Alexander. Boundedness of level lines for two-dimensional random fields. *The Annals of Probability*, 24(4):1653–1674, 1996.
- [AW09] J.-M. Azaïs and M. Wschebor. *Level sets and extrema of random processes and fields*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2009.
- [BK89] R. M. Burton and M. Keane. Density and uniqueness in percolation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 121(3):501–505, 1989.
- [DCRRV21] H. Duminil-Copin, A. Rivera, P.-F. Rodriguez, and H. Vanneuille. Existence of an unbounded nodal hypersurface for smooth Gaussian fields in dimension $d \geq 3$. *Preprint*, available at arXiv:2108.08008, 2021.
- [Jan97] S. Janson. *Gaussian Hilbert Spaces*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [Lim88] E. L. Lima. The Jordan-Brouwer separation theorem for smooth hypersurfaces. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 95(1):39–42, 1988.
- [MRVKS20] S. Muirhead, A. Rivera, H. Vanneuille, and L. Köhler-Schindler. The phase transition for planar Gaussian percolation models without FKG. *Preprint*, available at arXiv:2010.11770, 2020.
- [NS16] F. Nazarov and M. Sodin. Asymptotic laws for the spatial distribution and the number of connected components of zero sets of Gaussian random functions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry*, 12(3):205–278, 2016.
- [Riv21] A. Rivera. High-dimensional monochromatic random waves approximate the Bargmann-Fock field. *Hal preprint*, 2021.
- [Sev21] F. Severo. Sharp phase transition for Gaussian percolation in all dimensions. *To appear in Annales Henri Lebesgue*, available at arXiv:2105.05219, 2021.