

A Balanced Tree Approach to Construction of Length-Compatible Polar Codes

Xinyuanmeng Yao and Xiao Ma

School of Computer Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University

Guangdong Key Laboratory of Information Security Technology

Guangzhou, China

Email: yaoxym@mail2.sysu.edu.cn, maxiao@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Abstract—From the perspective of tree, we design a length-compatible coding scheme in this paper. We first construct a balanced binary tree for an arbitrary given code length where the root node represents a codeword, each of the leaf nodes represents either an active bit or a frozen bit and the relationship between a parent node and its child nodes is defined by a modified $(U+V|V)$ construction. Both the encoding and the decoding can be implemented over the constructed tree. In the encoding process, we initialize the active leaf nodes by data bits and the frozen leaf nodes by zero, and then update the other nodes to obtain a codeword at the root node. In the decoding process, we initialize the log-likelihood ratios (LLRs) of the root node by a received vector, and then update the other nodes to obtain the estimates of data bits from the active leaf nodes. For code construction, we propose a universal method to rank the reliability of the leaf nodes and then select the most reliable leaf nodes as the active nodes. Numerical results demonstrate that for a moderate code rate, our proposed codes can perform better than the 5G polar codes.

Index Terms—Coding tree, length-compatible polar codes, universal code construction.

I. INTRODUCTION

As the first error-correcting codes which can provably achieve capacity for binary-input discrete memoryless channels (B-DMCs) [1], polar codes have attracted a lot of attention in the past decade. Recently, they have been adopted as the channel coding scheme for control channel in the Enhanced Mobile Broadband scenario in the 3GPP 5G New Radio standard [2]. For polar coding, one of the most important techniques is channel polarization. Based on a mapping from two independent and identical B-DMCs to a “worse” channel and a “better” channel, 2^n copies of an original B-DMC can be transformed into 2^n bit-channels with different reliability. Then the most reliable polarized channels are chosen to transmit data bits. Polar codes have many advantages such as flexible code rate and low-complexity encoding and decoding procedure. However, the length of a polar code is limited to be a power of two.

To construct length-compatible polar codes, one can use puncturing [3] or shortening [4]. Both punctured and shortened (P/S) polar codes are obtained by deleting some coded bits of their mother codes. Decoding of P/S polar codes is based on their mother codes, which results in an increased decoding latency. Recently, for 5G applications, a well designed

low-complexity rate matching scheme has been proposed [2], which incorporates puncturing, shortening and repetition techniques and can achieve a satisfactory performance. In fact, one can also construct length-compatible polar codes with decoding latency depending only on the real code lengths (in contrast to P/S polar codes depending on their mother codes’ lengths). Multi-kernel polar codes [5] are one of such techniques, which are obtained by mixing kernels of different sizes. Notice that any positive integer can be decomposed into a sum of powers of two, chained polar subcodes were proposed in [6]. Another technique is asymmetric polar codes [7] which are obtained by using polar transformations to link polar codes of unequal lengths. However, to the best of our knowledge, there has not been proposed a universal method for multi-kernel polar codes, chained polar subcodes or asymmetric polar codes.

Notice that the conventional polar coding [1] can be implemented by using a full binary tree where the root node represents a codeword, each of the leaf nodes represents either an active bit or a frozen bit and the relationship between a parent node and its child nodes is defined by the $(U+V|V)$ construction. Motivated by this idea, we propose to construct a balanced binary tree for any code length, referred to as coding tree, and design a length-compatible coding scheme implemented over the constructed tree. In the encoding process, we initialize the active leaf nodes by data bits and then update the other nodes, resulting in a codeword at the root node. In the decoding process, each node contains two messages: the log-likelihood ratios (LLRs) and the hard bit estimates (HBEs). We initialize the LLRs of the root node by a received vector and then update the other nodes. The estimates of data bits can be extracted from the active leaf nodes. In this case, different leaf nodes in the coding tree can have different reliability and the most reliable leaf nodes are supposed to be selected as the active nodes. Then, we propose a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)-independent Monte-Carlo simulation where the conditional error rates (CERs) of the leaf nodes are calculated. It was proved in [8] that for additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channels, the CER on a sphere (centered at the transmitted signal vector) is independent of the SNR and relies only on the geometrical structure of a code. By taking average of CERs on different spheres for each leaf node, we can obtain a universal reliability order of the leaf nodes. Numerical results show that compared with the 5G polar codes, our constructed

universal length-compatible polar codes can achieve a better performance with less decoding steps when the code rate is $1/2$.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section II, we introduce a coding tree and present our length-compatible coding scheme. A universal code construction method is presented in Section III. In Section IV, we give simulation results, and in Section V we conclude this paper.

II. THE LENGTH-COMPATIBLE CODING SCHEME

In this section, we first introduce a coding tree and then present a coding scheme which can achieve any code length.

A. Coding Tree

The dynamic behavior of the encoder and the decoder in our scheme can be graphically represented by a rooted balanced binary tree, called a coding tree, as defined below. Given a code length N , the root node is labeled by a codeword $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_2^N$. Each parent node labeled by a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$, where $2 \leq \ell \leq N$, has a left child node labeled by $\mathbf{v}_l \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}$ and a right child node labeled by $\mathbf{v}_r \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}$, where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ and $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denote the ceiling function and the floor function, respectively. If $\lceil \ell/2 \rceil = \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, i.e., the lengths of two children are equal, define as usual that

$$\mathbf{v}_l \oplus \mathbf{v}_r = (v_{l,0} + v_{r,0}, \dots, v_{l, \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1} + v_{r, \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1}),$$

and if $\lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1 = \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, i.e., the length of the left child is one greater than that of the right child,

$$\mathbf{v}_l \oplus \mathbf{v}_r = (v_{l,0} + v_{r,0}, \dots, v_{l, \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 2} + v_{r, \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 2}, v_{l, \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1}),$$

where “+” denotes the addition module 2. The relationship of the parent node and the child nodes is given by

$$\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_l \oplus \mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{v}_r). \quad (1)$$

In words, in the case when $\lceil \ell/2 \rceil = \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, (1) is exactly the same as a polar transformation, while in the case when $\lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1 = \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, (1) is equivalent to extending the right child \mathbf{v}_r by one zero for vector addition and then shortening it from the parent \mathbf{v} . See Fig. 1 for reference.

With the above definition, for any code length, we can construct a coding tree. An example of a coding tree for code length $N = 6$ is shown in Fig. 2. The tree has four levels in total. Two leaf nodes are at the second-to-last level and four leaf nodes are at the last level.

B. Encoding

Denote by K and N the code dimension and the code length, respectively. The code rate $R = K/N$. To encode a data sequence $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_2^K$, we first construct a coding tree for N according to Section II-A and then select K leaf nodes, referred to as active nodes, to be labeled by the bits of \mathbf{u} , and set the remaining $N - K$ leaf nodes, referred to as frozen nodes, to be labeled by zero. The choice of the active nodes will be discussed later. The encoder computes the labels of the non-leaf nodes in a reverse level-order traversal of the tree, resulting in a codeword $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_2^N$ at the root node.




Fig. 1. Illustration of the definition of “ $\mathbf{v}_l \oplus \mathbf{v}_r$ ”, where the lengths of $\mathbf{v}_l \oplus \mathbf{v}_r$, \mathbf{v}_l and \mathbf{v}_r are $\lceil \ell/2 \rceil$, $\lceil \ell/2 \rceil$ and $\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$, respectively. Each square represents one bit. Red and blue arrows show the addition “+” and the equality “=”, respectively.




Fig. 2. The coding tree for $N = 6$.

C. Decoding

For decoding, various successive cancellation (SC)-based decoders can be used in our scheme. We briefly introduce the SC decoder as follows. Similar to conventional polar codes, the SC decoder can be modified to the successive cancellation list (SCL) decoder or the cyclic redundancy check-aided-SCL (CA-SCL) decoder. In the implementation of the SC decoding, the coding tree constructed in the encoding can still be used. The decoder computes the log-likelihood ratios (LLRs) of the tree nodes in a pre-order traversal and computes the hard bit estimations (HBEs) of the tree nodes as their labels in a post-order traversal. To begin with, the LLRs of the root node are computed according to a received vector. For a parent node with LLRs $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and HBEs $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$, denote by $\alpha_l \in \mathbb{R}^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}$ and $\beta_l \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}$ the LLRs and HBEs of its left child, respectively, and denote by $\alpha_r \in \mathbb{R}^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}$ and $\beta_r \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}$ the LLRs and HBEs of its right child, respectively. When the

LLRs of the parent node $\alpha = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}\}$ are obtained, the LLRs of the left child node $\alpha_l = \{\alpha_{l,0}, \alpha_{l,1}, \dots, \alpha_{l, \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1}\}$ can be computed. Define

$$f(a, b) = \ln \frac{1 + e^{a+b}}{e^a + e^b}. \quad (2)$$

If ℓ is even,

$$\alpha_{l,i} = f(\alpha_i, \alpha_{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil + i}), \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1, \quad (3)$$

which is the same as the case in the SC decoding of polar codes. If ℓ is odd,

$$\alpha_{l,i} = \begin{cases} f(\alpha_i, \alpha_{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil + i}), & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 2 \\ \alpha_{l,i} = \alpha_i, & \text{for } i = \lceil \ell/2 \rceil - 1 \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

The HBEs of the left child node $\beta_l \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}$ is obtained by recursively calling the SC decoder on this node. Define

$$g(a, b, c) = b + (1 - 2c)a. \quad (5)$$

The LLRs of the right child node $\alpha_r = \{\alpha_{r,0}, \alpha_{r,1}, \dots, \alpha_{r, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1}\}$ are computed as

$$\alpha_{r,i} = g(\alpha_i, \alpha_{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil + i}, \beta_{l,i}), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor - 1. \quad (6)$$

The HBEs of the right child node $\beta_r \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}$ is also obtained by recursively calling the SC decoder on this node. Then, the HBEs of the parent node $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$ are computed as $\beta = (\beta_l \oplus \beta_r, \beta_r)$. The leaf nodes are of two kinds: the active nodes and the frozen nodes. For a frozen leaf node, its HBE is directly set to zero. For an active leaf node, its HBE is set to one if its LLR is less than zero, and zero otherwise. Once all the tree nodes are estimated, the decoding process is terminated and we can extract an estimate of the data sequence from the active leaf nodes.

III. CODE CONSTRUCTION

In this section, we first show the polarization effect of our scheme and compare our proposed codes with P/S codes, which are all constructed by the Gaussian Approximation (GA) method [9]. Then we propose a universal construction method for our length-compatible codes, which is based on an SNR-independent simulation approach.

A. Channel Polarization

Conventionally, to transform an arbitrary length- N vector channel into N bit-channels with different reliability, we can use the puncturing method and the shortening method in polar coding. In Section II, we present an alternative channel polarization method. To compare our method with the puncturing version and the shortening version of conventional polar coding, we give an example of the bit-channel error probability in Fig. 3. The bit-channel error probability is approximately calculated by employing the GA method [9] and the channel indices are sorted according to the bit-channel error probability¹. We see that, compared to the puncturing and

¹The pre-frozen bit-channels in the puncturing and shortening polar coding methods are deleted.




Fig. 3. The comparison of the bit-channel error probability among our proposed method, the puncturing polar coding method and the shortening polar coding method where the vector channel is $N = 576$ copies of a BPSK-AWGN channel with noise variance $\sigma^2 = 0.3162$.




Fig. 4. Performance comparison for the code length $N = 576$ and the code dimensions $K = 280, 360$ under SC decoding.

shortening polar coding methods, the error probability of some bit-channels is lower when using our method. To confirm this, we have constructed codes of length $N = 576$ and dimensions $K \in \{280, 360\}$. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 4. We see that when $K = 280$, our proposed code performs similar to P/S codes, while when $K = 360$, our proposed code performs slightly better than P/S codes in the E_b/N_0 region from 1.5 dB to 3.5 dB, where E_b is the energy per data bit and $N_0 = 2\sigma^2$.

B. An SNR-Independent Simulation Approach

Let $\mathcal{C}[N, K]$ be a binary linear block code of length N and dimension K . To evaluate its performance over a binary phase-shift keying (BPSK)-AWGN channel, an SNR-independent simulation approach was proposed in [8], which are presented below.




Fig. 5. Sphere partition of the received space for a bipolar signal vector $\mathbf{s}^{(0)}$.

Without loss of generality, suppose that the all-zero code-word $\mathbf{c}^{(0)}$ is modulated to a bipolar signal vector $\mathbf{s}^{(0)}$ and then transmitted over an AWGN channel. Define a family of N -dimensional spheres centered at $\mathbf{s}^{(0)}$ as

$$\mathcal{R}(r) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{s}^{(0)}\| \leq r\}, \quad (7)$$

where $r \geq 0$ is the parameter. Then, we can partition the whole space as

$$\mathbb{R}^N = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} \partial\mathcal{R}(r), \quad (8)$$

where $\partial\mathcal{R}(r)$ represents the boundary surface of $\mathcal{R}(r)$. A graphical illustration is given in Fig. 5. A received vector $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{s}^{(0)} + \mathbf{Z}$, where $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{N-1})$ are i.i.d. with $Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, $0 \leq i \leq N-1$, will fall in a sphere. For the random vector \mathbf{Y} , define a random variable R , which takes value r if and only if $\mathbf{y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)$. The random variable R is distributed from the square root of a chi-squared distribution (see, e.g., [10]) with N degrees of freedom, whose probability density function (PDF) is given by

$$g(r) = \frac{2r^{N-1}e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}\sigma^N\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})} \quad (r \geq 0), \quad (9)$$

and

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{x-1}e^{-t}dt \quad (x > 0). \quad (10)$$

For the CER $\Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\}$, where E denotes the error event, we have Proposition 1 [8].

Proposition 1: The CER $\Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\}$ under the maximum likelihood (ML) decoding does not depend on the SNR.

Proof: Given the code $\mathcal{C}[N, K]$, the Voronoi region² [11] of the transmitted signal vector $\mathbf{s}^{(0)}$, denoted by $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{s}^{(0)})$, is fixed. Let d_{\min} be the minimum Hamming distance of $\mathcal{C}[N, K]$. For $r < \sqrt{d_{\min}}$, $\Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\} = 0$. For $r \geq \sqrt{d_{\min}}$,

$$\Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\} = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r), \mathbf{y} \notin \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{s}^{(0)})} p(\mathbf{y}|r) d\mathbf{y}}{\int_{\mathbf{y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)} p(\mathbf{y}|r) d\mathbf{y}}. \quad (11)$$

²If the received vector \mathbf{y} falls outside the Voronoi region, the ML decoder will make an error.

Since $p(\mathbf{y}|r)$ is constant for a fixed r , we obtain

$$\Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\} = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r), \mathbf{y} \notin \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{s}^{(0)})} d\mathbf{y}}{\int_{\mathbf{y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)} d\mathbf{y}}. \quad (12)$$

The above formula shows that $\Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\}$ equals to the ratio between the ‘‘surface area’’ of $\partial\mathcal{R}(r) \setminus \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{s}^{(0)})$ and that of $\partial\mathcal{R}(r)$, implying that $\Pr\{E | \mathbf{y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\}$ does not depend on the SNR. \square

Then, the error rate of the code $\mathcal{C}[N, K]$ can be expressed as an SNR-dependent weighted sum of CERs. Specifically, let $f(r) = \Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\}$ and choose $q + 1$ parameters $0 = r_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_q = +\infty$. The error rate of the code $\mathcal{C}[N, K]$ at any SNR $= 1/\sigma^2$ can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{E\} &= \int_0^{+\infty} \Pr\{E | \mathbf{Y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)\} g(r) dr \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{r_{i-1}}^{r_i} f(r) g(r) dr \\ &\approx \sum_{i=1}^q f(r_i) \int_{r_{i-1}}^{r_i} g(r) dr \\ &\triangleq \sum_{i=1}^q f(r_i) p_i, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the CER $f(r_i)$ can be obtained by an SNR-independent simulation approach presented in Algorithm 1.

Remark 1: We may assume that the CER $f(\infty) = 1$ and point out that the proposed simulation approach can be implemented with any other efficient decoder than the ML decoder.

C. A Universal Construction Method

The performance of our proposed scheme is determined by the selection of the active leaf nodes. To achieve a good performance, it is critical to rank the reliability of leaf nodes so that we can select the K most reliable leaf nodes out of the N leaf nodes. Inspired by the approach introduced in Section III-B, we propose an SNR-independent Monte-Carlo method to evaluate the error rates of leaf nodes.

Given a received vector $\mathbf{y} \in \partial\mathcal{R}(r)$, where $r \geq 0$ is the sphere radius, we employ the genie-aided SC decoder which knows the correct previous leaf nodes when the i -th leaf node is visited and calculate the error rates of the leaf nodes in this case. Details are presented in Algorithm 2. Notice that the output CER(r) in Algorithm 2 is a vector of length N with bit-error rates as the components. Then, for the i -th leaf node, we define its average CER (ACER)

$$\text{ACER}_i = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=1}^q \text{CER}_i(r_j), \quad (14)$$

where r_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, are q parameters. The reliability order of the N leaf nodes is obtained by sorting the N leaf nodes according to their ACERs in descending order.

Algorithm 1: The Monte-Carlo simulation of CER for a code $\mathcal{C}[N, K]$

Input : N : a code length, M : a Monte-Carlo size, $\text{randn}(N)$: a length- N standard Gaussian pseudo random sequence generator, r : a sphere radius

Output: $f(r)$: a CER for the radius r

```

1 Initialize  $M_e = 0$ 
2 for  $t = 1$  to  $M$  do
3   Generate the noise sequence  $\mathbf{w} = \text{randn}(N)$ 
4   Compute the additive noise sequence  $\mathbf{z} = r \times \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$ 
5   Compute the received vector  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{s}^{(0)} + \mathbf{z}$ 
   // assume that the the all-zero
   codeword  $\mathbf{0}$  is transmitted and
   modulated into the bipolar
   signal vector  $\mathbf{s}^{(0)}$ 
6   Take  $\mathbf{y}$  as input to the ML decoder of  $\mathcal{C}[N, K]$ 
   and obtain the decoder output  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ 
7   if  $\hat{\mathbf{c}} \neq \mathbf{0}$  then
8      $M_e = M_e + 1$ 
9   end
10 end
11  $f(r) = M_e/M$ 

```

Algorithm 2: The Monte-Carlo simulation of the leaf node CER

Input : N : a code length, M : a Monte-Carlo size, $\text{randn}(N)$: a length- N standard Gaussian pseudo random sequence generator, r : a sphere radius

Output: $\text{CER}(r)$: a leaf node CER vector for the radius r

```

1 Initialize  $\mathbf{M}_e = \mathbf{0}$ 
2 for  $t = 1$  to  $M$  do
3   Generate the noise sequence  $\mathbf{w} = \text{randn}(N)$ 
4   Compute the additive noise sequence  $\mathbf{z} = r \times \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$ 
5   Compute the received vector  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{s}^{(0)} + \mathbf{z}$ 
   // assume that the the all-zero
   codeword  $\mathbf{0}$  is transmitted and
   modulated into the bipolar
   signal vector  $\mathbf{s}^{(0)}$ 
6   Take  $\mathbf{y}$  as input to the genie-aided SC decoder and
   obtain the decoder output  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ 
7    $\mathbf{M}_e = \mathbf{M}_e + \hat{\mathbf{v}}$ 
8 end
9  $\text{CER}(r) = \mathbf{M}_e/M$ 

```

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS

A. Decoding Performance

In this subsection, we show the performance of our proposed length-compatible coding scheme over a BPSK-AWGN channel. The SNR is defined as E_b/N_0 , where E_b is the energy per




Fig. 6. Performance of our constructed code with the code length $N = 576$ and the code rate $R = 1/2$ under SC decoding, SCL decoding with list size 8 and CA-SCL decoding with list size 8 and 24-bit CRC.




Fig. 7. Performance comparison for the code length $N = 576$ and the code rate $R = 1/4, 1/2, 3/4$ under CA-SCL decoding with list size 8 and 24-bit CRC.

data bit and $N_0 = 2\sigma^2$. The proposed codes are constructed using the ACER method, where we choose $q = 100$ and radii $r_j = 0.5j, j = 1, 2, \dots, 100$.

Fig. 6 shows the performance of our constructed code with the code length $N = 576$ and the code rate $R = 1/2$. The SC decoding, the SCL decoding with list size 8 (denoted by SCL(8)), and the CA-SCL decoding with list size 8 and 24-bit CRC (denoted by CRC(24)-SCL(8)) are implemented in the simulations. The CRC generator polynomial is $g(x) = x^{24} + x^{23} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{17} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^8 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1$. We see that in the low E_b/N_0 region, SCL(8) performs better than SC. However, in the high E_b/N_0 region, SCL(8) performs similar to SC. Furthermore, the concatenation of CRC can improve the performance of SCL. These observations are consistent with the conventional polar codes.




Fig. 8. Performance comparison for the code length $N = 768$ and the code rate $R = 1/4, 1/2, 3/4$ under CA-SCL decoding with list size 8 and 24-bit CRC.

Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 show the performance comparison between our constructed codes and the 5G polar codes, where the curves of 5G polar codes are redrawn from [12]. The CRC(24)-SCL(8) is implemented in the simulations. The tested code lengths are $N \in \{576, 768\}$ and code rates are $R \in \{1/4, 1/2, 3/4\}$. We observe that our constructed codes can achieve a comparable performance to the 5G polar codes. Especially, for a moderate code rate $R = 1/2$, our constructed codes can have a better performance than the 5G polar codes.

B. Decoding Complexity

We analyze the complexity of our proposed codes in terms of SC decoding complexity by examining the number of LLR calculations defined in (2) and (5). To decode a single codeword of length N , the SC decoder is implemented over a coding tree which has $\lceil \log_2(N) \rceil$ non-root levels. For each non-root level, the decoder executes at most N LLR calculations. The total number of LLR calculations in the SC decoding process required in our scheme is at most $N \lceil \log_2(N) \rceil$, which (in the case of when N is not a power of two) is less than that required in the puncturing and shortening polar coding schemes, namely $2^{\lceil \log_2(N) \rceil} \lceil \log_2(N) \rceil$. As a concrete example, for $N = 576$, in our scheme, the number is less than 5760, while in puncturing and shortening polar coding schemes, the number is 10240.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have defined a coding tree and then proposed a length-compatible polar coding scheme. For code construction, we have presented a universal method based on ACER, which is convenient for practical use. Then, for an arbitrary fixed code length, we can construct codes with different rates offline. Numerical results show that the error-rate performance of our proposed length-compatible codes is comparable to that of the 5G polar codes. Moreover, in our

length-compatible coding scheme, SC-based decoding algorithms can be implemented without increasing the decoding latency.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFA1000500) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61771499).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arikan, "Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity-achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3051–3073, July 2009.
- [2] "Multiplexing and channel coding v.15.3.0," 3GPP, Sophia Antipolis, France, Rep. 38.212, 2018.
- [3] K. Niu, K. Chen, and J. R. Lin, "Beyond turbo codes: Rate-compatible punctured polar codes," in *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, Budapest, Hungary, June 2013, pp. 3423–3427.
- [4] R. Wang and R. Liu, "A novel puncturing scheme for polar codes," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 2081–2084, Dec. 2014.
- [5] V. Bioglio, F. Gabry, I. Land, and J.-C. Belfiore, "Multi-kernel polar codes: Concept and design principles," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 5350–5362, Sept. 2020.
- [6] P. Trifonov, "Randomized chained polar subcodes," in *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference Workshops (WCNCW)*, Barcelona, Spain, Apr. 2018, pp. 25–30.
- [7] A. Cavatassi, T. Tonnellier, and W. J. Gross, "Asymmetric construction of low-latency and length-flexible polar codes," in *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, Shanghai, China, May 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [8] S. Zhao, J. Liu, and X. Ma, "Sphere bound revisited: A new simulation approach to performance evaluation of binary linear codes over AWGN channels," in *International Symposium on Turbo Codes and Iterative Information Processing (ISTC)*, Brest, France, Sept. 2016, pp. 330–334.
- [9] P. Trifonov, "Efficient design and decoding of polar codes," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 3221–3227, Nov. 2012.
- [10] A. Papoulis, *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes*. Auckland: McGraw-Hill, 1981.
- [11] E. Agrell, "On the Voronoi neighbor ratio for binary linear block codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 3064–3072, Nov. 1998.
- [12] T. Tonnellier, A. Cavatassi, and W. J. Gross, "Length-compatible polar codes: A survey: (invited paper)," in *Annual Conference on Information Sciences and Systems (CISS)*, Baltimore, MD, USA, Mar. 2019, pp. 1–6.