

THE COMPLEX ELLIPTIC GINIBRE ENSEMBLE AT WEAK NON-HERMITICITY: EDGE SPACING DISTRIBUTIONS

THOMAS BOTHNER AND ALEX LITTLE

ABSTRACT. The focus of this paper is on the distribution function of the rightmost eigenvalue for the complex elliptic Ginibre ensemble in the limit of weak non-Hermiticity. We show how the limiting distribution function can be expressed in terms of an integro-differential Painlevé-II function and how the same captures the non-trivial transition between Poisson and Airy point process extreme value statistics as the degree of non-Hermiticity decreases. Our most explicit new results concern the tail asymptotics of the limiting distribution function. For the right tail we compute the leading order asymptotics uniformly in the degree of non-Hermiticity, for the left tail we compute it close to non-Hermiticity.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Large complex systems connected at random tend to undergo a sharp transition in stability as the systems' connectance increases, cf. [40, 55]: such systems are stable below a critical threshold and suddenly become unstable above it. For instance, consider a system with n variables (populations of n interacting species, say) which may obey a rather complicated set of nonlinear, albeit autonomous, first-order differential equations as time t evolves. To determine the stability of any of its equilibria, one Taylor-expands in the vicinity of such an equilibrium point and thus one must analyze a linear equation of the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \quad (\dot{\cdot}) = \frac{d}{dt}. \quad (1.1)$$

In an ecological model, \mathbf{x} would be the $n \times 1$ column vector of populations x_j and the $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} has entries A_{jk} that encode the impact of species k on species j near the equilibrium. However, given that interactions are seldom known precisely, it is reasonable to assume that \mathbf{A} will be a random matrix without symmetries. Indeed, following [40], one supposes that each of the n species, once perturbed from equilibrium, will return to it after some time, i.e. one chooses $A_{jj} = -1$, and thus the damping time is set to unity. Next it is assumed that the mutual interactions are equally likely to be positive or negative, with absolute magnitude chosen independently and identically from some distribution. In short, \mathbf{A} in (1.1) takes the form

$$\mathbf{A} = -\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{B},$$

where $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a non-Hermitian random matrix with i.i.d. entries and $\mathbb{I} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ the identity matrix. Clearly, system (1.1) is stable if, and only if, all eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} have negative real parts. Moreover, the growth rate of any solution to (1.1) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is determined by the rightmost eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} , i.e. by the eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} with largest real part. Thus, motivated by the simple yet widely applicable model (1.1), cf. [6–8, 60, 65], we readily appreciate the necessity to accurately understand, and describe, the statistical behavior of the rightmost eigenvalue for a given family of random matrices. The present paper contributes to this field from the viewpoint of integrable systems theory while analyzing the rightmost eigenvalue of a distinguished *interpolating* non-Hermitian random matrix model. Before we define the interpolating ensemble in question, we shall briefly focus on the two classical ensembles which are captured by the interpolation, namely the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) and the complex Ginibre Ensemble (GinUE).

Date: August 10, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 45B05; Secondary 47B35, 35Q15, 30E25, 37J35, 70H06, 34E05.

Key words and phrases. Complex elliptic Ginibre ensemble, Fredholm determinants, extreme value statistics, integro-differential Painlevé functions, Tracy-Widom and Gumbel distributions, Riemann-Hilbert problem, nonlinear steepest descent method.

The authors are grateful to G. Akemann for stimulating discussions. This work is supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council through grant EP/T013893/2.

1.1. **Two sides of a coin.** The limiting behavior of the rightmost eigenvalue in the following two ensembles is a rather classical topic, at least for the GUE, cf. [35].

Definition 1.1 (Porter [59], 1965). *We say that a random complex Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ belongs to the GUE if its diagonal elements and upper triangular elements are independently chosen with pdfs*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x_{jj}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{\pi} e^{-2|x_{jk}|^2},$$

respectively.

Definition 1.2 (Ginibre [42], 1965). *We say that a random complex matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ belongs to the GinUE if its entries are independently chosen with pdfs*

$$\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|x_{jk}|^2}.$$

Indeed, for any $\mathbf{X} \in \text{GUE}$ (where rightmost = largest) with spectrum $\{\lambda_j(\mathbf{X})\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}$, the rightmost eigenvalue is Tracy-Widom distributed as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Namely, see [34, 68],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{j=1, \dots, n} \Re \lambda_j(\mathbf{X}) \leq \sqrt{2n} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{2n^{5/6}}} \right) = \exp \left[- \int_t^\infty (s-t)(q(s))^2 ds \right], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

with $q = q(t)$ uniquely determined by the following two constraints,

$$\frac{d^2 q}{dt^2} = tq + 2q^3, \quad q(t) \sim \text{Ai}(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Equivalently, (1.2) equals the Fredholm determinant of the Airy integral operator with kernel

$$K_{\text{Ai}}(x, y) := \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x+z)\text{Ai}(z+y) dz, \quad (1.4)$$

acting on $L^2(t, \infty)$, defined in terms of the Airy function $w = \text{Ai}(z)$, cf. [56, 9.2.2]. On the other hand, for any $\mathbf{X} \in \text{GinUE}$ with spectrum $\{\lambda_j(\mathbf{X})\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{C}$, the rightmost eigenvalue is Gumbel distributed as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Namely, see [24],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{j=1, \dots, n} \Re \lambda_j(\mathbf{X}) \leq \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_n}{4}} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{4\gamma_n}} \right) = e^{-e^{-t}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.5)$$

with $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{2}(\ln n - 5 \ln \ln n - \ln(2\pi^4))$. Equivalently, (1.5) equals the Fredholm determinant of the integral operator with kernel

$$K_{\text{ext}}(x, y) := e^{-x} \begin{cases} 1, & x = y \\ 0, & x \neq y \end{cases}, \quad (1.6)$$

acting on $L^2(t, \infty)$.

Remark 1.3. *The limit (1.5) for the GinUE is a very recent result, as indicated. A more classical law deals with the spectral radius*

$$\max_{j=1, \dots, n} |\lambda_j(\mathbf{X})|$$

in the same ensemble, see the work by Rider [61]: the spectral radius follows the same limiting law (1.5), after correctly modifying γ_n . Furthermore, probabilistic universality is expected to underwrite both, (1.2) and (1.5): the fluctuations of the rightmost eigenvalue are known to obey Tracy-Widom statistics not only in the GUE, but in a larger class of complex Wigner matrices, cf. [66], and the Gumbel fluctuations (1.5) are expected to hold for more general non-Hermitian complex random matrices with independent, identically distributed entries, see [25] for recent progress in this direction.

In this paper we study a matrix model that interpolates on the level of its rightmost eigenvalue between the edge laws (1.2), (1.5). One of our first objectives will be to determine the underlying integrable system, i.e. we will first answer the following question: which integrable system can interpolate between the Painlevé-II dynamical system (1.3), that underpins (1.2), and the much more elementary dynamical system that holds up the Gumbel distribution function (1.5)? Equivalently, which integrable system can interpolate between Airy and Poisson point process extreme value statistics?

1.2. **On the edge of a coin.** Admittedly, there are several matrix models that transition from Hermitian to non-Hermitian random matrix theory statistics, see for instance [4, 36], but we shall only focus on one of them: the complex elliptic Ginibre Ensemble (eGinUE).

Definition 1.4 (Girko [43], 1985). *We say that a random complex matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ belongs to the eGinUE if it is of the form*

$$\mathbf{X} = \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau}{2}} \mathbf{H}_1 + i\sqrt{\frac{1-\tau}{2}} \mathbf{H}_2, \quad \tau \in [0, 1], \quad (1.7)$$

with two independent $\mathbf{H}_1, \mathbf{H}_2 \in \text{GUE}$.

Evidently, varying τ from $\tau = 1$ to $\tau = 0$ in (1.7) allows us to move from an element in the GUE to an element in the GinUE. But what does this mean for the eigenvalue scaling limits? On one hand, the global one to be precise, it is known [64] that the empirical spectral distribution

$$\mu_{\mathbf{X}}(s, t) := \frac{1}{n} \#\{1 \leq j \leq n : \Re \lambda_j(\mathbf{X}) \leq s, \Im \lambda_j(\mathbf{X}) \leq t\}, \quad (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

of any properly normalized $\mathbf{X} \in \text{eGinUE}$ with spectrum $\{\lambda_j(\mathbf{X})\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{C}$ converges almost surely to the uniform distribution on the ellipse

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left(\frac{\Re z}{1+\tau} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im z}{1-\tau} \right)^2 < 1 \right\},$$

see Figure 1 below for a visualization. We note that the same almost sure convergence for the empirical spectral distribution holds true in a larger class of elliptic models with non-Gaussian entries, cf. [43, 44].




FIGURE 1. The elliptic law for 500 complex (normalized) elliptic Ginibre matrices of size 10×10 in comparison with the ellipse boundary. We plot $\tau = 0, 0.25, 0.75$ from left to right.

On the local hand, we emphasize that the eigenvalues of any $\mathbf{X} \in \text{eGinUE}$ form a determinantal point process in the plane $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$, see [31]. Thus the model's correlation functions can be computed as finite-sized determinants evaluated on a scalar-valued kernel, here a kernel constructed in terms of planar Hermite polynomials. Using said integrable structure of the model, one can then compute the limiting local eigenvalue correlations in a very explicit fashion. Namely, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $1 - \tau > 0$ uniformly in n , these coincide with those in the GinUE, compare [38]. Since we aim to interpolate between (1.2) and (1.5), we will not consider the same scaling limit, coined the limit of *strong non-Hermiticity*. Instead we will focus on the limit of *weak non-Hermiticity* as pioneered by Fyodorov, Khoruzhenko and Sommers [37]. In this limit, one lets $n \rightarrow \infty$ and simultaneously $\tau \uparrow 1$ in a meaningful way. More precisely, on the level of the rightmost eigenvalue, the limit of weak non-Hermiticity was rigorously studied by Bender [12]. Setting

$$\sigma_n := n^{\frac{1}{6}} \sqrt{1 - \tau_n} > 0, \quad (\tau_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, 1) : \tau_n \uparrow 1,$$

he proved two main results, cf. [12, Theorem 2.3,2.5]: first, the existence of centering and scaling constants $a_n, b_n, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the (rightmost edge) rescaled point process $\{(x_j(\mathbf{X}), y_j(\mathbf{X}))\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, where

$$\Re\lambda_j(\mathbf{X}) \mapsto x_j(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\Re\lambda_j(\mathbf{X}) - c_n}{a_n}, \quad \Im\lambda_j(\mathbf{X}) \mapsto y_j(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\Im\lambda_j(\mathbf{X})}{b_n}, \quad (1.8)$$

are constructed in terms of the eigenvalue point process $\{\lambda_j(\mathbf{X})\}_{j=1}^n \equiv \{(\Re\lambda_j(\mathbf{X}), \Im\lambda_j(\mathbf{X}))\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of any $\mathbf{X} \in \text{eGinUE}$, converges weakly

- (1a) to a Poisson point process on \mathbb{R}^2 provided $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (1b) to an interpolating Airy point process on \mathbb{R}^2 provided $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma \in [0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Both limiting point processes are determinantal point processes on \mathbb{R}^2 , and thus characterizable in terms of a scalar-valued kernel. For the Poisson point process in (1a) this kernel equals

$$K_{\text{P}}(z_1, z_2) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x_1 - y_1^2} \begin{cases} 1, & z_1 = z_2 \\ 0, & z_1 \neq z_2 \end{cases}, \quad z_k = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.9)$$

and it generalizes (1.6). For the interpolating Airy point process in (1b) the kernel equals, see [12, (2.7)] and (2.1) below,

$$K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x_1 + i\sigma y_1 + x_2 - i\sigma y_2) + \frac{1}{6}\sigma^6 \right] \\ \times \int_0^\infty e^{s\sigma^2} \text{Ai} \left(x_1 + i\sigma y_1 + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^4 + s \right) \text{Ai} \left(x_2 - i\sigma y_2 + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^4 + s \right) ds, \quad z_k = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.10)$$

which generalizes (1.4). Moreover, the determinantal point process on \mathbb{R}^2 with correlation kernel (1.10) interpolates, after centering and scaling, with varying $\sigma \in [0, \infty]$ between the \mathbb{R}^2 -modified Airy point process with correlation kernel

$$M_{\text{Ai}}(z_1, z_2) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2)} K_{\text{Ai}}(x_1, x_2), \quad z_k = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

when $\sigma = 0$ and the Poisson point process (1.9) when $\sigma = \infty$. Second, Bender proved that the rescaled point process $\{(x_j(\mathbf{X}), y_j(\mathbf{X}))\}_{j=1}^n$, see (1.8) with appropriate a_n, b_n, c_n , has a rightmost particle almost surely and its distribution function converges pointwise

- (2a) to the Fredholm determinant of the integral operator K_{P} with kernel (1.9) acting on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ (and so to the Gumbel distribution function (1.5)) if $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (2b) to the Fredholm determinant of the integral operator K_{Ai}^σ with kernel (1.10) acting on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ if $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma \in [0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Consequently the Fredholm determinant of K_{Ai}^σ on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ interpolates with varying σ between the right hand sides in (1.2) and (1.5). Our first result identifies the integrable system that underwrites the same interpolation.

1.3. Main results. Let $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto F_\sigma(t)$ denote the Fredholm determinant of K_{Ai}^σ on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$, equivalently the limiting distribution function of the rightmost eigenvalue in the eGinUE, in the limit of weak non-Hermiticity. By Lemma 2.2, the same function is well-defined for all $\sigma \geq 0$ and it relates to Painlevé special function theory in the following fashion:

Theorem 1.5. *For all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$,*

$$F_\sigma(t) = \exp \left[-\int_t^\infty (s-t) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty (p_\sigma(s, y))^2 d\nu_\sigma(y) \right\} ds \right], \quad \frac{d\nu_\sigma}{d\lambda}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right] \quad (1.11)$$

where $p_\sigma = p_\sigma(t, y)$ solves the integro-differential Painlevé-II equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} p_\sigma(t, y) = \left[t + y + 2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty (p_\sigma(t, \lambda))^2 d\nu_\sigma(\lambda) \right] p_\sigma(t, y), \quad (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.12)$$

with boundary constraint

$$p_\sigma(t, y) \sim \text{Ai}(t + y) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{pointwise in } (y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty). \quad (1.13)$$

*See also the recent [5, Theorem I.11] for this part.

Evidently, (1.11) constitutes a generalization of the Tracy-Widom representation formula (1.2), and (1.12) a generalization of its underlying Hastings-McLeod Painlevé-II dynamical system, cf. [46]. Similar formulæ which involve integro-differential Painlevé-II functions have appeared over the past decade in the context of the narrow wedge solution of the KPZ equation [9] and in the context of finite-temperature free fermionic models [18, 27, 52], albeit with different weights $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\nu_\sigma$ in both instances. We will discuss some of these weight choices later on. To the best of our knowledge, identity (1.11) marks the first appearance of an integro-differential Painlevé-II function in a distribution function of random matrix theory, although the analysis of the grand-canonical version of the Moshe-Neuberger-Shapiro matrix model in [49, 54] could have produced an integro-differential Painlevé-II connection as well, but Painlevé was not mentioned in loc. cit.[†]

Remark 1.6. *If one focuses solely on combinations of delta point masses for the weight $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\nu_\sigma$, noting that $\sigma \downarrow 0$ produces a delta mass at zero in (1.11), then (1.11) and (1.12) have appeared in several other papers which also include higher-order generalizations of (1.12). We refer the interested reader to [14, 21, 50, 53], although those studies won't play a role in the following.*

Remark 1.7. *Next to the integro-differential Painlevé-II connection in (1.11),(1.12), the limiting distribution function $F_\sigma(t)$ also relates to KdV. Indeed, combining our Theorem 1.5 with [22, Theorem 1.3], we see that the function*

$$Q(X, T) := F_\sigma(t) \Big|_{\sigma=T^{\frac{2}{3}}, t=-XT^{-\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad T > 0, X \in \mathbb{R},$$

is such that $U(X, T) := \frac{X}{2T} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} \ln Q(X, T)$ solves the KdV equation

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial T} + 2U \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial X^3} = 0.$$

Remark 1.8. *Introducing the potential function*

$$q_\sigma(t) := 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (p_\sigma(t, \lambda))^2 d\nu_\sigma(\lambda),$$

in the style of the Deift-Trubowitz trace formula [29, page 124], one finds from (1.12) the Stark equation

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + t + q_\sigma(t) \right] p_\sigma(t, y) = -y p_\sigma(t, y), \quad p_\sigma(t, y) \sim \text{Ai}(t + y), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

One of the first advantages of the representation formula (1.11),(1.12) is that it allows us to identify the Fredholm determinant of K_{Ai}^σ on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ with a simpler Fredholm determinant, using the framework of [9, Section 5]. Namely, if N_σ denotes the integral operator on $L^2(t, \infty)$ with kernel

$$N_\sigma(x, y) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{z}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}(x+z) \text{Ai}(z+y) dz, \quad \Phi(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-x^2} dx = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.14)$$

using the complementary error function $w = \text{erfc}(z)$, cf. [56, 7.2.2], then (1.11),(1.12) show that $F_\sigma(t)$ is simply the Fredholm determinant of the operator N_σ with *finite-temperature* Airy kernel (1.14) on $L^2(t, \infty)$. In short, Theorem 1.5 encodes a correspondence between the operator K_{Ai}^σ on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ and the operator N_σ on $L^2(t, \infty)$. The same correspondence is very useful in the scaling analysis of $\max_{j=1, \dots, n} \Re \lambda_j(\mathbf{X})$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \text{eGinUE!}$ Namely, it first yields a streamlined derivation of the aforementioned degenerations of the point process on \mathbb{R}^2 determined by (1.10) when $\sigma \downarrow 0$ and $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, on the level of the rightmost particle distribution function. The details are as follows:

Corollary 1.9 ([12, Theorem 2.3]). *Let $\sigma > 1$ and set*

$$a_\sigma := \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{6 \ln \sigma}}, \quad c_\sigma := a_\sigma \left(3 \ln \sigma - \frac{5}{4} \ln(6 \ln \sigma) - \ln(2\pi) \right).$$

Then for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} F_\sigma(c_\sigma + a_\sigma t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{(t, \infty)^n} \det(K_{\text{ext}}(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} e^{-e^{-t}}, \quad (1.15)$$

[†]In a different context that focuses on multiplicative statistics of a class of unitarily invariant random matrix models, the recent work [41] has also uncovered an integro-differential Painlevé-II connection.

in terms of the integral operator K_{ext} with kernel (1.6).

Corollary 1.10 ([12, Theorem 2.5(ii)]). *For any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} F_\sigma(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{(t, \infty)^n} \det(K_{\text{Ai}}(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \stackrel{(1.4)}{\stackrel{(1.2)}}{=} \exp \left[- \int_t^\infty (s-t)(q(s))^2 ds \right] \quad (1.16)$$

in terms of the Airy integral operator K_{Ai} with kernel (1.4) and the Hastings-McLeod Painlevé-II transcendent $q = q(t)$ in (1.3).

Observe that Corollaries 1.9 and 1.10 capture the transition from Poisson to Airy point process extreme value statistics for the rightmost eigenvalue as the degree of non-Hermiticity decreases from $\sigma = \infty$ to $\sigma = 0$. The limit (1.16) is easy to derive even when working with K_{Ai}^σ on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$. However, (1.15) is much harder and its derivation made up a significant part of the workings in [12]. This was because of Bender's use of double contour integral formulæ which we can bypass all together when working with N_σ on $L^2(t, \infty)$ instead of K_{Ai}^σ on $L^2((t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 1.11. *Other probabilistic models that encode transitions from Poisson to Airy point process extreme values statistics can be found in [49, 54], on the Moshe-Neuberger-Shapiro model, in [27], on non-interacting fermions at finite temperature, and in [13] on periodic Schur processes.*

Still, (1.15) and (1.17) had been found in [12] without knowing Theorem 1.5. This brings us to the second, and more important, advantage of the representation formula (1.11),(1.12): seeing that the integral operator N_σ is related to an *integrable operator* in the sense of [47], we can use the correspondence between K_{Ai}^σ and N_σ to derive detailed tail expansions for the random variable $\max_{j=1, \dots, n} \Re \lambda_j(\mathbf{X})$ with $\mathbf{X} \in \text{eGinUE}$. Such expansions, even for the right $t \rightarrow +\infty$ tail, are by no means trivial due to the presence of $\sigma > 0$. Indeed, the trace norm of N_σ on $L^2(t, \infty)$ equals

$$\|N_\sigma\|_1 = \int_t^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{z}{\sigma}\right) (\text{Ai}(x+z))^2 dz dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) K_{\text{Ai}}(z, z) dz,$$

and so with [56, 7.8.3], for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$\|N_\sigma\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|K_{\text{Ai}}\|_1 + \frac{\sigma}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u^2}}{1+u} \left[\int_{t-u\sigma}^\infty (\text{Ai}(y))^2 dy \right] du.$$

Thus $\|N_\sigma\|_1$ becomes unbounded as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ for all $\sigma \geq 0$ and as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ once $\sigma \geq ct$ with $c > 0$, indicating that simple norm estimates for N_σ and its powers cannot yield sufficient control over $F_\sigma(t)$ in the same asymptotic regimes. To circumvent this issue we shall exploit the integrable structure of N_σ and employ a Riemann-Hilbert nonlinear steepest descent approach [30] in the derivation of tail expansions for $F_\sigma(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. The same analysis leads to the following results, first dealing with the $t \rightarrow +\infty$ tail:

Theorem 1.12. *For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exist $c = c(\epsilon) > 0$ and $t_0 = t_0(\epsilon) > 0$ so that*

$$F_\sigma(t) = 1 - A(t, \sigma) e^{-B(t, \sigma)} (1 + r(t, \sigma)), \quad (1.17)$$

for $t \geq t_0$ and $0 \leq \sigma \leq t^\epsilon$. The functions A, B equal

$$\begin{cases} B(t, \sigma) := \frac{4}{3} t^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^4}{4t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - t\sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^6}{6} \\ A(t, \sigma) := \frac{1}{2\pi t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\sqrt{4 + \frac{\sigma^4}{t}} - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}} \left(4 + \frac{\sigma^4}{t}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \end{cases}, \quad t > 0, \sigma \geq 0, \quad (1.18)$$

the error term $r(t, \sigma)$ is differentiable with respect to t and satisfies

$$|r(t, \sigma)| \leq c \begin{cases} t^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & \epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4}] \\ t^{-1+\epsilon}, & \epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1) \end{cases} \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq t^\epsilon.$$

For any fixed $\sigma \geq 0$, Taylor-expansion at $t = \infty$ in (1.18) yields

$$B(t, \sigma) = \frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^4\sqrt{t} - t\sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^6}{6} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad A(t, \sigma) = \frac{1}{16\pi t^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right), \quad (1.19)$$

and thus, by taking $\sigma \downarrow 0$, (1.17) reproduces the known right tail behavior of the Tracy-Widom distribution (1.2),

$$\exp\left[-\int_t^\infty (s-t)(q(s))^2 ds\right] = 1 - \frac{1}{16\pi t^{\frac{3}{2}}}\exp\left[-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\right](1 + o(1)), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.20)$$

see for instance [11, (1.17)]. Still, (1.19) shows that one immediately observes the influence of $\sigma > 0$ in the leading order $t \rightarrow +\infty$ asymptotics of $1 - F_\sigma(t)$. Also, (1.18) indicates another qualitative change once $\sigma^4 \propto t$, for then we cannot expand as in (1.19) but must use the below equivalent representations for A, B ,

$$B(t, \sigma) = \frac{\sigma^6}{6}\left(1 + \frac{4t}{\sigma^4}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - t\sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^6}{6}, \quad A(t, \sigma) = \frac{\sigma^4}{64\pi t^{\frac{5}{2}}}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4t}{\sigma^4}}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}\left(1 + \frac{4t}{\sigma^4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (1.21)$$

These show, in particular, that as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $t^{\frac{1}{4}} < \sigma \leq t^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$,

$$1 - F_\sigma(t) = \exp\left[-\frac{t - c_\sigma}{a_\sigma} + \text{remainder}\right],$$

using a_σ, c_σ as in Corollary 1.9 and with a non-negative remainder term that is growing in the same regime. In other words, we see the leading order right tail of the Gumbel distribution

$$e^{-e^{-t}} = 1 - e^{-t}(1 + o(1)), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.22)$$

emerging in (1.17), as predicted by (1.15), but Theorem 1.12 is not sufficient to capture the full crossover between (1.20) and (1.22), simply because σ is constrained by t^ϵ , $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ from above in (1.17). Instead, the complete crossover between (1.20) and (1.22) will be achieved by combining Theorem 1.12 with the following result:

Theorem 1.13. *There exist $t_0, \sigma_0 \geq 1$ and $c > 0$ so that*

$$F_\sigma(t) = \exp\left[\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}C\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{\frac{t}{\sigma}}^\infty \left\{\frac{d}{du}D(u)\right\}^2 du\right](1 + r(t, \sigma)), \quad (1.23)$$

for $t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$. Here,

$$C(x) := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \sqrt{y} \ln \Phi(x+y) dy, \quad D(x) := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \ln \Phi(x+y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

are defined in terms of $w = \Phi(z)$, see (1.14), and the error term $r(t, \sigma)$, which is differentiable with respect to (t, σ) , satisfies the estimate

$$|r(t, \sigma)| \leq \frac{c}{\min\{t, \sigma\}} \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \sigma \geq \sigma_0.$$

Given that t, σ are uncoupled in Theorem 1.13, expansion (1.17) and (1.23) together capture the $t \rightarrow +\infty$ asymptotics of $F_\sigma(t)$ uniformly in $\sigma \geq 0$, i.e. uniformly in the degree of non-Hermiticity.

Remark 1.14. *The asymptotic analysis of families of finite-temperature Airy-kernel Fredholm determinants has recently attracted interest in mathematics as evidenced by [20, 22, 23], foremost due to its connection to the narrow wedge KPZ solution. In a nutshell, the asymptotic problem consists in studying the Fredholm determinant on $L^2(t, \infty)$ of the integral operator with kernel*

$$F_\alpha(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \text{Ai}(x+z)\text{Ai}(z+y)w(z, \sigma) dz, \quad (1.24)$$

as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, noting that the weight w depends on an auxiliary parameter $\sigma > 0$, which has a significant impact on the asymptotics. The abovementioned three papers have achieved the same as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ for some weight families, see our discussion right before Theorem 1.15 below, and some values of σ . Our Theorems 1.12 and 1.13 mark the first rigorous study on the $t \rightarrow +\infty$ asymptotics of finite-temperature Airy-kernel

Fredholm determinants and we achieve it for all allowed values of the auxiliary parameter, albeit only for one weight.[‡]

By the asymptotic properties of the complementary error function, see [56, 7.12.1], and by Laplace's method [58, Chapter 3, Theorem 8.1],

$$C(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{y} \ln \Phi(\omega + y) dy = -\frac{\omega^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} e^{-\omega^2} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{-2})\right), \quad \omega \rightarrow +\infty,$$

followed by

$$\frac{d}{d\omega} D(\omega) = \frac{\omega^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} e^{-\omega^2} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{-2})\right), \quad \omega \rightarrow +\infty,$$

so that back in (1.23),

$$F_\sigma(t) = \exp \left[-\frac{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} e^{-\omega^2} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\omega^{-2})\right) \right] (1 + r(t, \sigma)) \quad \forall \sigma \geq \sigma_0 \quad \text{with } \omega := \frac{t}{\sigma} \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.25)$$

Note that (1.25) holds true in particular as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $t^{\frac{1}{4}+\delta} \leq \sigma \leq t^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4} + \delta, 1)$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$ and so from (1.23) via (1.25), in the same regime,

$$1 - F_\sigma(t) \stackrel{(1.23)}{=} \frac{\sigma^4 t^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right] (1 + o(1)). \quad (1.26)$$

Observe that (1.26) matches onto the expansion of $1 - F_\sigma(t)$ coming from (1.17) when using the aforementioned equivalent representations for A, B . Namely, when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $t^{\frac{1}{4}+\delta} \leq \sigma \leq t^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4} + \delta, 1)$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{3}{4})$, then

$$B(t, \sigma) = \left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)^2 - \frac{2t^3}{3\sigma^6} + \mathcal{O}(t^4 \sigma^{-10}), \quad A(t, \sigma) = \frac{\sigma^4 t^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} (1 + o(1)),$$

and thus, in the same regime with $\delta \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$,

$$1 - F_\sigma(t) \stackrel{(1.17)}{=} \frac{\sigma^4 t^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{t}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right] (1 + o(1)), \quad (1.27)$$

which matches onto (1.26). In short, Theorem 1.12 and 1.13 are consistent as evidenced by (1.26) and (1.27). Furthermore, (1.23) matches onto the Gumbel right tail behavior (1.22), for with (1.25), when $t, \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ using a_σ, c_σ as in Corollary 1.9,

$$F_\sigma(c_\sigma + a_\sigma t) = \exp \left[-e^{-t} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{t^2}{\ln \sigma}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{t \ln \ln \sigma}{\ln \sigma}\right) + o(1)\right) \right] (1 + o(1)),$$

and so we find indeed the Gumbel right tail behavior (1.22) as soon $\frac{t^2}{\ln \sigma} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{t \ln \ln \sigma}{\ln \sigma} \rightarrow 0$, which are admissible constraints for $t, \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ in Theorem 1.13. In summary, Theorem 1.12 and 1.13 together capture the full crossover between (1.20) and (1.22). This completes our discussion of the same two results.

The $t \rightarrow -\infty$ asymptotics of $F_\sigma(t)$ concern the left tail behavior of the random variable $\max_{j=1, \dots, n} \Re \lambda_j(\mathbf{X})$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \text{eGinUE}$. Once more, the same asymptotics are non-trivial and very sensitive to $\sigma > 0$ for, by Corollary 1.9 and 1.10, we now interpolate between the left tail behavior of the Tracy-Widom distribution

$$\exp \left[-\int_t^\infty (s-t)(q(s))^2 ds \right] = \exp \left[\frac{t^3}{12} - \frac{1}{8} \ln |t| + \frac{1}{24} \ln 2 + \zeta'(-1) \right] (1 + o(1)), \quad t \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (1.28)$$

see for instance [10, 28, 68] with the Riemann zeta function $w = \zeta(z)$, and the left tail of the Gumbel distribution

$$e^{-e^{-t}} = e^{-e^{-t}} (1 + o(1)), \quad t \rightarrow -\infty. \quad (1.29)$$

[‡]In theoretical physics, right tail asymptotics for finite-temperature Airy kernel determinants with Fermi weights have been computed in [32, 33, 51]. We thank Tom Claeys for drawing our attention to the same literature.

In itself, the same crossover constitutes one particular problem in the asymptotic analysis of finite-temperature Airy-kernel Fredholm determinants, see Remark 1.14. More concretely, the $t \rightarrow -\infty$ studies in [20, 22, 23] of integral operators on $L^2(t, \infty)$ with kernel (1.24) have focused on weights of the form $w(z, \sigma) = v(\frac{z}{\sigma})$ where

- (i) $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ in [22] is assumed to be smooth almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} , with at most finitely many jump discontinuities and v approaches zero, resp. one, at $-\infty$, resp. $+\infty$ sufficiently fast.
- (ii) $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ in [20, 23] is assumed to be such that $1/(1-v)$ extends to an entire function, is non-decreasing and log-convex on \mathbb{R} , and $1/(1-v)$ approaches one, resp. $+\infty$, at $-\infty$, resp. $+\infty$ exponentially fast.

Class (i) is the least understood one when it comes to the $t \rightarrow -\infty$ asymptotics of the underlying Fredholm determinant, still we note that at the time of this writing a complete $t \rightarrow -\infty$ analysis, uniformly in $\sigma > 0$, is outstanding for both classes. The reader will notice that (1.14) fits into class (i) since

$$\frac{1}{1-v(x)} = \frac{2}{\operatorname{erfc}(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

does *not* extend to an entire function because of the complex zeros of the complementary error function, cf. [56, 7.13]. Also, $\Phi(x)$ approaches zero at $-\infty$ and tends to unity at $+\infty$, in both cases super-exponentially fast, which creates further obstructions for the workings in [20, 23]. In turn, we do not attempt to describe the full crossover between (1.28) and (1.29) in this paper, we only deal with the $t \rightarrow -\infty$ asymptotics of $F_\sigma(t)$ for values of σ very close to $\sigma = 0$, i.e. close to non-Hermiticity, where the absence of analytic extensions of v does not play a significant role in our analysis and where we can borrow techniques from [22]. The more challenging parts, where σ is bounded away from zero, are left for a future publication.

Corollary 1.15 ([22, Theorem 1.14(iii)]). *Let $c > 0$. There exist $t_0, d > 0$ so that*

$$F_\sigma(t) = \exp \left[\frac{t^3}{12} - \frac{1}{8} \ln |t| - \int_0^{-t\sqrt{\sigma}} (t\sqrt{\sigma} + x) \left(u(x) - \frac{1}{8x^2} \right) dx + \frac{1}{24} \ln 2 + \zeta'(-1) \right] (1 + r(t, \sigma)) \quad (1.30)$$

for all $-t \geq t_0$ and $0 < \sigma \leq ct^{-2}$. Here, $w = \zeta(z)$ is the Riemann zeta function [56, 25.2.1], the function $w = u(x), x > 0$ is uniquely determined through the solution of RHP A.3, see (A.5), (A.7), it has the boundary behavior

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{8x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) dy + \mathcal{O}(x^2), \quad x \downarrow 0, \quad (1.31)$$

and the error term $r(t, \sigma)$ in (1.30), which is differentiable with respect to (t, σ) , satisfies the estimate

$$|r(t, \sigma)| \leq \frac{d}{|t|}.$$

Expansion (1.30) is the analogue [22, (1.35)] and we choose to derive it here from first principles in order to keep our paper self-contained. Still, all our steps in the derivation of (1.30) can be traced back to [22]. Note that (1.30) with (1.31) reproduces (1.28) for $\sigma = 0$ as expected from (1.16), however (1.30) is far off from capturing the full crossover between (1.28) and the Gumbel left tail (1.29).

Remark 1.16. *The special function $w = u(x)$ in (1.30) relates to a certain integro-differential generalization of the Painlevé- V equation, see the workings in [22, Section 7] that lead to [22, Theorem 1.12].*

1.4. Methodology and outline of paper. The first obstruction in deriving an integrable system for the limiting distribution function $F_\sigma(t)$ originates from the higher-dimensional integration domain that underlies the operator $K_{A_1}^\sigma$ with kernel (1.10). Secondly, the kernel (1.10) seemingly does not display any of the usual integrable structures common in invariant random matrix models where Painlevé connections have been discovered in the past. Thus the techniques of [15, 47, 48, 69] are not readily available for the analysis of $F_\sigma(t)$. To get around this issue we propose and execute two strategies: first, in Section 2, we set out to simplify the operator traces of $K_{A_1}^\sigma$ via integral identities and complex analytic techniques. These steps change the operator, but leave its Fredholm determinant invariant. What results is the much more convenient representation (2.14) for $F_\sigma(t)$ that involves a Hankel composition operator with kernel written in (2.13). Consequently, with the Hankel composition structure flushed out, we can then draw inspiration from the recent works [19, 52] on Fredholm determinants of Hankel composition integral operators acting

on $L^2(J \subset \mathbb{R})$. The methods of [19, 52] need to be adjusted because of the higher-dimensional integration domain $J \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ that we face in the eGinUE and we carry out all necessary steps in the remainder of Section 2. In particular, we first derive the Tracy-Widom type representation formula (2.20) for $F_\sigma(t)$ and afterwards identify the underlying integro-differential dynamical system using a coupled integro-differential system in (2.25), conserved quantities thereof and lastly a closure relation. The outcome of this explicit and constructive, albeit somewhat lengthy, approach is summarized in Proposition 2.10. We are then left to simplify the same result and Theorem 1.5 follows. Afterwards, in Section 3, we offer an alternative and much shorter proof for Theorem 1.5. However, the same proof is non-constructive as it relies on a curious and somewhat hard-to-guess operator factorization, compare the proof of Proposition 3.1, and since it rests on the workings in [9]. Still, since our second proof does not use Hankel composition structures, we expect the same method to be applicable to other Fredholm determinants in the eGinUE where Hankel structures are absent, cf. [2, 3]. Moving ahead, in Section 4 we state our proofs of Corollary 1.9 and 1.10, using the aforementioned correspondence between $F_\sigma(t)$ and the operator N_σ on $L^2(t, \infty)$ with kernel (1.14), a correspondence which we make precise in Corollary 2.14. In particular, our proof of Corollary 1.9 relies on a novel single contour integral formula (4.8) that simplifies the proof of (1.15) considerably when compared to the workings in [12]. Next, we address Theorem 1.12 and 1.13 in Section 5. Both results are proven within the framework of the Deift-Zhou nonlinear steepest descent method [30] when applied to the master Riemann-Hilbert problem 5.2. While the nonlinear steepest descent proof of Theorem 1.12 is straightforward, the workings leading to Theorem 1.13 are much more technical and comparable to the degree of difficulty encountered in [20, 22, 23]. In particular, the proof of Theorem 1.13 relies on localized differential identities, see (5.8) and (5.9), on contour deformations, a g -function transformation in (5.23) and on local Riemann-Hilbert model and error analysis. Although they are technical in nature, we expect our proof methods for Theorem 1.12 and 1.13 to be applicable to a larger class of weights beyond $w = \Phi(z)$ in (1.14) and this can be of interest when dealing, for instance, with the exact short-time height distribution of the one-dimensional KPZ equation, cf. [32, 33, 51]. Once the right tail analysis is completed we then briefly address the left tail $t \rightarrow -\infty$ of $F_\sigma(t)$ for σ close to zero. In this case our workings are heavily inspired by the analysis in [22] and we provide a proof of Corollary 1.15 in Section 6 only to keep our paper self-contained. The paper closes out with Appendix A and B which summarize several auxiliary results used in the main body of the text.

2. FIRST PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section we state our first proof of Theorem 1.5, a proof which is constructive and which relies on trace identities and a refinement of the Hankel composition operator techniques from [19, 52]. These refinements are necessary because of the higher-dimensional integration domain that underpins K_{Ai}^σ . We begin with two preliminary results, asserting that the distribution function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto F_\sigma(t)$ is well-defined for all $\sigma \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Set $J_t := (t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma : L^2(J_t) \rightarrow L^2(J_t)$ denote the integral operator with kernel (1.10). Then, for any $z_k = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and any $\sigma \geq 0$,*

$$K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) = \frac{i}{4\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}} \int_{\gamma_1} \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda^3 + x_1\lambda)} e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\mu^3 + x_2\mu)}}{\lambda + \mu} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\lambda + y_1)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\mu - y_2)^2} d\lambda d\mu, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\gamma_k = \mathbb{R} + i\delta_k$ with $\delta_k > 0$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. Identity (2.1) is part of [1, Section VI]: one begins with the right hand side of (2.1) and uses algebra combined with the integral identity $\frac{i}{\lambda + \mu} = \int_0^\infty e^{i(\lambda + \mu)s} ds$, valid for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$. What results is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS (2.1)} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(w_1 + w_2) - \frac{1}{12}\sigma^6} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty \int_{\gamma_1} \int_{\gamma_2} e^{\frac{i}{3}(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)^3 + iw_1(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) + \frac{i}{4}\lambda\sigma^4 + is\lambda} e^{\frac{i}{3}(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)^3 + iw_2(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) + \frac{i}{4}\mu\sigma^4 + is\mu} d\lambda d\mu ds, \end{aligned}$$

with the shorthand $w_1 := x_1 + i\sigma y_1$ and $w_2 := x_2 - i\sigma y_2$. Then, with $\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \mapsto \lambda$ and $\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \mapsto \mu$,

$$\text{RHS (2.1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(w_1 + w_2) + \frac{1}{6}\sigma^6} \int_0^\infty e^{s\sigma^2} \text{Ai}\left(w_1 + \frac{\sigma^4}{4} + s\right) \text{Ai}\left(w_2 + \frac{\sigma^4}{4} + s\right) ds, \quad (2.2)$$

having used a well-known contour integral formula for the Airy function along the way, namely [56, 9.5.4],

$$\text{Ai}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\delta} e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda^3+z\lambda)} d\lambda, \quad \delta > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.3)$$

The proof of (2.1) is now complete as the right hand side of (2.2) matches (1.10). \square

The kernel representation (2.1) is useful when answering questions about the operator K_{Ai}^σ , such as the following one.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma : L^2(J_t) \rightarrow L^2(J_t)$ denote the integral operator with kernel (2.1). Then K_{Ai}^σ is trace class for any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Write again $\frac{i}{\lambda+\mu} = \int_0^\infty e^{i(\lambda+\mu)s} ds$ when $(\lambda, \mu) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2$ and view (2.1) as composition kernel,

$$K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma(z_1, z_2) = \int_0^\infty G_\sigma(x_1 + s, y_1) G_\sigma(s + x_2, -y_2) ds, \quad G_\sigma(a, b) := \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{5}{4}}} \int_\gamma e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda^3+a\lambda)-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\lambda+b)^2} d\lambda,$$

with contour $\gamma = \mathbb{R} + i\delta, \delta > 0$. Since $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (a, b) \mapsto G_\sigma(a, b)$ is continuous and since it satisfies the global bound

$$|G_\sigma(a, b)| \leq c_1 e^{-a\delta - c_2 b^2}, \quad c_k = c_k(\delta, \sigma) > 0, \quad (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.4)$$

we deduce continuity of $\mathbb{R}^4 \ni (z_1, z_2) \mapsto K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma(z_1, z_2)$. Moreover, for any $z_1, \dots, z_n \in J_t$, any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma(z_j, z_k) = \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j G_\sigma(x_j + s, y_j) \right|^2 ds \geq 0,$$

having used the conjugation symmetry $\overline{G_\sigma(a, b)} = G_\sigma(a, -b), (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. But also, with $d^2z \equiv dx dy$,

$$\int_{J_t} K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma(z, z) d^2z = \int_t^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty |G_\sigma(x + s, y)|^2 ds dy dx \stackrel{(2.4)}{<} \infty, \quad \forall (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty),$$

so the operator K_{Ai}^σ with kernel (2.1) is trace class on $L^2(J_t)$ by [62, Theorem 2.12]. \square

By the last result, the Fredholm determinant of K_{Ai}^σ is well-defined for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ and it equals

$$F_\sigma(t) := \prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 - \lambda_k(t, \sigma)), \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.5)$$

with the non-zero eigenvalues $\lambda_k(t, \sigma)$ of $K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma : L^2(J_t) \rightarrow L^2(J_t)$, multiplicities taken into account. We now start working towards our first proof of Theorem 1.5 by assembling the trace identities (2.8) summarized in the below result.

Proposition 2.3 (Trace identities). *Let $\sigma \geq 0$ and consider with $z_k = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the kernel*

$$K_\sigma(z_1, z_2) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_1^2} K_{\text{Ai}}(x_1 + \sigma y_1, x_2 + \sigma y_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_2^2}, \quad K_{\text{Ai}}(a, b) \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(a+s) \text{Ai}(s+b) ds. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the self-adjoint integral operator $K_\sigma : L^2(J_t) \rightarrow L^2(J_t)$, with $J_t = (t, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, given by

$$(K_\sigma f)(z) := \int_{J_t} K_\sigma(z, w) f(w) d^2w, \quad (2.7)$$

is trace class for any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ and we have for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$,

$$\text{tr}_{L^2(J_t)} K_\sigma^n = \text{tr}_{L^2(J_t)} (K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma)^n. \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore, $0 \leq K_\sigma \leq 1$, $\|K_\sigma\| \leq 1$ in operator norm on $L^2(J_t)$ and $I - K_\sigma$ is invertible on $L^2(J_t)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$.

Proof. The Airy kernel function $(a, b) \mapsto K_{\text{Ai}}(a, b)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 , hence $\mathbb{R}^4 \ni (z_1, z_2) \mapsto K_\sigma(z_1, z_2)$ is continuous. Also, for any $z_1, \dots, z_n \in J_t$, any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $\sigma \geq 0$

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \alpha_j \overline{\alpha_k} K_\sigma(z_j, z_k) = \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_j}{\pi^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_j^2} \text{Ai}(x_j + \sigma y_j + s) \right|^2 ds \geq 0.$$

Since also for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{J_t} K_\sigma(z, z) d^2z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_t^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-y^2} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}^2(x + \sigma y + s) ds \right\} dy dx < \infty$$

by the bound $|\text{Ai}(x)| \leq c(\chi_{(-\infty, 1]}(x) + e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} \chi_{(1, \infty)}(x))$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c > 0$ and the characteristic function χ_A of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, cf. [56, §9.7(ii)], we deduce that (2.7) is trace class on $L^2(J_t)$ by [62, Theorem 2.12]. Moving ahead we identify $f(z) \equiv f(x, y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, for any $f \in L^2(J_t)$,

$$0 \leq \langle f, K_\sigma f \rangle_{L^2(J_t)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_t^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \text{Ai}(x + \sigma y + s) f(x, y) dy dx \right|^2 ds,$$

so $K_\sigma \geq 0$. Moreover, with $S_\sigma : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by $(S_\sigma f)(x, y) := f(x + \sigma y, y)$ and with $L_t : L^2(J_t) \rightarrow L^2(J_t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(L_t f)(x, y) := \int_t^\infty \text{Ai}(x + \lambda) f(\lambda, y) d\lambda, \quad (x, y) \in J_t,$$

we have $\|S_\sigma\| = 1$ in operator norm on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\|L_t\| \leq 1$ in operator norm on $L^2(J_t)$, see [46, Lemma 8.3]. In fact, seeing the function $L_t f$ as defined on \mathbb{R}^2 , we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|L_t f\| = \|f\|$ in norms on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by [46, Lemma 8.2]. Hence, denoting with K_σ the operator on \mathbb{R}^2 with kernel $K_\sigma(z_1, z_2)$, we have for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$(S_\sigma G L_{-\infty} P_+ L_{-\infty} S_\sigma^{-1} f)(x_1, y_1) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty K_\sigma(z_1, z_2) f(x_2, y_2) dy_2 dx_2, \quad (2.9)$$

where $P_+ : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(J_0)$ is the projection from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $L^2(J_0)$ and $G : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes the operator

$$(Gf)(x, y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu^2} f(x, \mu) d\mu.$$

Note that $\|G\| \leq 1$ in operator norm on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, so in the same norm $\|S_\sigma G L_{-\infty} P_+ L_{-\infty} S_\sigma^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Hence, by self-adjointness of K_σ and (2.9) we have for the operator norm on $L^2(J_t)$,

$$\|K_\sigma\| = \sup_{\|f\|_{L^2(J_t)}=1} |\langle f, K_\sigma f \rangle_{L^2(J_t)}| \leq 1,$$

and thus also $K_\sigma \leq 1$. Next, if $K_\sigma f = f$ for some $f \in L^2(J_t)$, not identically zero, then the workings in (2.9) show that $K_\sigma f = 0$ almost everywhere on $(-\infty, t) \times \mathbb{R}$. The analytic properties of the Airy function and the exponential imply that $(x, y) \mapsto (K_\sigma f)(x, y)$ is an entire function of x and y separately. We thus have $K_\sigma f = 0$ everywhere on \mathbb{R}^2 and so $f \equiv 0$, a contradiction. Thus $I - K_\sigma$ is invertible on $L^2(J_t)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ by the Fredholm Alternative. It now remains to establish (2.8) and we begin with [62, Theorem 3.9], compare also Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{L^2(J_t)} (K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma)^n &= \int_{J_t^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma(z_k, z_{k+1}) \right] d^2z_1 \cdots d^2z_n \\ \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} &\left(\frac{i}{4\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right)^n \int_{J_t^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \int_{\gamma_1} \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda_k^3 + x_k \lambda_k)} e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\mu_k^3 + x_{k+1} \mu_k)}}{\lambda_k + \mu_k} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma \lambda_k + y_k)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma \mu_k - y_{k+1})^2} d\lambda_k d\mu_k \right] d^2z_1 \cdots d^2z_n \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

using throughout the cyclic convention $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = z_{n+1} \equiv z_1 = (x_1, y_1)$. We proceed by integrating out all y -variables in (2.10) via Fubini's theorem while identifying $\lambda_{n+1} \equiv \lambda_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\lambda_k + y_k)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\mu_k - y_{k+1})^2} \right] dy_1 \cdots dy_n &= \prod_{k=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\lambda_{k+1} + y)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\mu_k - y)^2} dy \\ &= \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma^2(\lambda_{k+1} + \mu_k)^2}, \quad (\lambda_k, \mu_k) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Afterwards we recall the Gaussian integral

$$e^{-z^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2 + 2izy} dy, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and transform (2.11) to, with $y_{n+1} \equiv y_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\lambda_k + y_k)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\mu_k - y_{k+1})^2} \right] dy_1 \cdots dy_n &= \prod_{k=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2 + i\sigma(\lambda_{k+1} + \mu_k)y} dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_k^2 - \frac{1}{2}y_{k+1}^2 + i\sigma(\lambda_k y_k + \mu_k y_{k+1})} \right] dy_1 \cdots dy_n, \quad (\lambda_k, \mu_k) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, back in (2.10), by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_t)} (K_{\text{Ai}}^\sigma)^n &= \left(\frac{i}{4\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right)^n \int_{J_t^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \int_{\gamma_1} \int_{\gamma_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_k^2} e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda_k^3 + [x_k + \sigma y_k]\lambda_k)} e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\mu_k^3 + [x_{k+1} + \sigma y_{k+1}]\mu_k)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_{k+1}^2} \frac{d\lambda_k d\mu_k}{\lambda_k + \mu_k} \right] \\ &\quad \times d^2 z_1 \cdots d^2 z_n \\ &= \int_{J_t^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_k^2} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Ai}(x_k + \sigma y_k + s) \operatorname{Ai}(s + x_{k+1} + \sigma y_{k+1}) ds e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_{k+1}^2} \right] d^2 z_1 \cdots d^2 z_n \\ &= \int_{J_t^n} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n K_\sigma(z_k, z_{k+1}) \right] d^2 z_1 \cdots d^2 z_n = \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_t)} K_\sigma^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the contour integral formula (2.3) for the Airy function in the second equality and the fact that K_σ is trace class on $L^2(J_t)$ with continuous kernel in the last. The proof is complete. \square

In deriving the integro-differential system for $F_\sigma(t)$, the representation (2.5), but now with (2.8) in place, uncovers the following fact: abbreviating

$$\phi_\sigma(z) \equiv \phi_\sigma(x, y) := \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \operatorname{Ai}(x + \sigma y), \quad z \equiv (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \sigma \geq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

the kernel of K_σ in (2.6) is simply

$$K_\sigma(z_1, z_2) = \int_0^\infty \phi_\sigma(x_1 + s, y_1) \phi_\sigma(s + x_2, y_2) ds,$$

and thus constitutes the kernel of a Hankel composition operator in the first variable. This feature is the key ingredient of our first proof of Theorem 1.5 as we are now able to draw inspiration from the recent works [19, 52] on Fredholm determinants of Hankel composition operators acting on $L^2(J \subset \mathbb{R})$. The necessary adjustments that account for the higher-dimensional integration domain $J_t \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are worked out below.

2.1. The Hankel method. First we view K_σ not acting on $L^2(J_t)$ but rather on $L^2(J_0)$ however then with kernel

$$K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_1^2} K_{\text{Ai}}(x_1 + \sigma y_1 + t, x_2 + \sigma y_2 + t) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_2^2}, \quad z_k = (x_k, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.13)$$

so that by (2.5), Proposition 2.3 and [45, Chapter IV, (5.11), (6.2)],

$$F_\sigma(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \mu_k(t, \sigma)), \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.14)$$

in terms of the non-zero eigenvalues $\mu_k(t, \sigma)$ of $K_{t, \sigma} : L^2(J_0) \rightarrow L^2(J_0)$, counting multiplicities. In turn we record the below result.

Proposition 2.4. *For any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$, viewing σ as parameter,*

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln F_\sigma(t) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q_\sigma(t, y))^2 dy; \quad q_\sigma(t, y) := ((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.15)$$

using (2.12) and where $\tau_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes the shift in the first variable, i.e. $(\tau_t f)(x, y) := f(x + t, y)$.

Proof. By the analytic and asymptotic properties of the Airy function and the exponential, we have $\tau_t \phi_\sigma \in L^2(J_0)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ and $K_{t, \sigma}(L^2(J_0)) \subset W^{1,2}(J_0) := \{f \in L^2(J_0) : D_1 f \in L^2(J_0), D_2 f \in L^2(J_0)\}$ with the partial derivatives $(D_1 f)(x, y) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y)$ and $(D_2 f)(x, y) := \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y)$. Hence, $J_0 \ni (t, y) \mapsto q_\sigma(t, y)$ is well-defined for all $\sigma \geq 0$, compare Proposition 2.3, and the map $y \mapsto q_\sigma(t, y)$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$. In order to establish the equality in (2.15) we begin with the following observation: integrating by parts, $t \mapsto K_{t, \sigma}$ is differentiable on \mathbb{R} with trace class derivative on $L^2(J_0)$ given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} K_{t, \sigma} = -\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma.$$

Here we write $\alpha \otimes \beta$ for a general rank one integral operator on $L^2(J_0)$. Thus, by Jacobi's formula,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln F_\sigma(t) = - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} K_{t, \sigma} \right) = \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right). \quad (2.16)$$

Next we note that $t \mapsto (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma$ is also differentiable on \mathbb{R} with trace class derivative on $L^2(J_0)$ equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) &= (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma \\ &+ \left[(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

In computing (2.17) we have used the operator identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} = (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \frac{dK_{t, \sigma}}{dt} (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1},$$

which holds as soon as $I - K_{t, \sigma}$ is invertible (recall Proposition 2.3) and $t \mapsto K_{t, \sigma}$ differentiable. All together, (2.16) and (2.17) confirm that $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \ln F_\sigma(t)$ is twice differentiable with second derivative equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln F_\sigma(t) &\stackrel{(2.16)}{=} \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) \right) \stackrel{(2.17)}{=} \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) \\ &+ \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where we rely on the analytic and asymptotic properties of the Airy function and exponential in the first equality while interchanging differentiation with trace evaluation. Note that the first term in (2.18) can be simplified by [62, Theorem 3.9] and integration by parts in the first variable,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right)(x, y) \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \operatorname{Ai}(x + \sigma y + t) dy dx \\ &\stackrel{(2.15)}{=} - \int_{-\infty}^\infty q_\sigma(t, y) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) dy - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma$ is trace class on $L^2(J_0)$ by the aforementioned mapping property $K_{t, \sigma}(L^2(J_0)) \subset W^{1,2}(J_0)$ and combining the last equality with (2.18), the same simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln F_\sigma(t) &= - \int_{-\infty}^\infty q_\sigma(t, y) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) dy - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) \\ &- \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Moving ahead, by algebra,

$$D_1(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}\tau_t\phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}D_1\tau_t\phi_\sigma = (I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}(D_1K_{t,\sigma} - K_{t,\sigma}D_1)(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}\tau_t\phi_\sigma \in L^2(J_0),$$

which we can simplify with the help of the identities

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2) = -(\tau_t\phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t\phi_\sigma)(z_1, z_2) \\ \lim_{x_2 \rightarrow +\infty} K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, (x_2, y_2)) = 0, \quad z_1 \in J_0, \quad y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}.$$

What results is,

$$\begin{aligned} ((D_1K_{t,\sigma} - K_{t,\sigma}D_1)(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(z) &= -(\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(z) \int_{J_0} (\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(w)((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(w) \, d^2w \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{t,\sigma}(z, (0, y))q_\sigma(t, y) \, dy, \quad z \in J_0, \end{aligned}$$

with the shorthand $K_{t,\sigma}(z, (0, y)) = \lim_{x_2 \downarrow 0} K_{t,\sigma}(z, (x_2, y))$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[D_1(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}\tau_t\phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}D_1\tau_t\phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t\phi_\sigma \right) \\ &= - \text{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}(\tau_t\phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t\phi_\sigma)(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}\tau_t\phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t\phi_\sigma \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{J_0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}K_{t,\sigma})(z, (0, y))q_\sigma(t, y) \, dy \right] (\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(z) \, d^2z, \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

All together, (2.19) simplifies to

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln F_\sigma(t) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_\sigma(t, y) \left[(\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(0, y) + \int_{J_0} ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}K_{t,\sigma})(z, (0, y))(\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(z) \, d^2z \right] \, dy,$$

and this is precisely (2.15) given that $(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} = I + (I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}K_{t,\sigma}$ on $L^2(J_0)$ and since $K_{t,\sigma}$ is self-adjoint. Our proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.5. *The workings in Proposition 2.4 are valid for a wider class of Hankel composition operators on $L^2(J_0)$. In fact, (2.15) generalizes to kernels of the type*

$$K_t(z_1, z_2) = \int_0^\infty \phi(x_1 + s + t, y_1)\psi(s + x_2 + t, y_2) \, ds, \quad (z_1, z_2) \in J_0 \times J_0$$

with ϕ, ψ of the form $\phi(x, y) = \omega_1(y)f(x + \sigma_1(y))$ and $\psi(x, y) = \omega_2(y)g(x + \sigma_2(y))$, subject to suitable regularity and integrability assumptions placed on the one-variable functions ω_k, σ_k, f, g and in turn on the properties of the operator K_t . We shall see later that our workings lead to the dynamical system in Proposition 2.7 below, which can be generalized in a similar fashion.

Observe that (2.15) allows us to derive the following compact expression for the distribution function $F_\sigma(t)$. This expression bears a striking resemblance to [9, (5.4)].

Corollary 2.6. *For all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$,*

$$F_\sigma(t) = \exp \left[- \int_t^\infty (s - t) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty (q_\sigma(s, y))^2 \, dy \right\} \, ds \right] \quad (2.20)$$

in terms of $q_\sigma(t, y) = ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(0, y)$.

Proof. Write $q_\sigma(t, y) = (\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(0, y) + ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}K_{t,\sigma}\tau_t\phi_\sigma)(0, y)$ and note that for all $t > 0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$|K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2)| \leq \frac{t^{-1}}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2) - \sqrt{t}(x_1 + \sigma y_1 + x_2 + \sigma y_2)}, \quad (2.21)$$

uniformly in $(z_1, z_2) \in J_0 \times J_0$. Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and all $t > 0$ and $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_{t,\sigma}^n(z_1, z_{n+1})| &\leq \left(\frac{t^{-1}}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^n \int_{J_0^{n-1}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_j^2 + y_{j+1}^2) - \sqrt{t}(x_j + \sigma y_j + x_{j+1} + \sigma y_{j+1})} \right] d^2 z_2 \cdots d^2 z_n \\
&= \left(\frac{t^{-1}}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_{n+1}^2) - \sqrt{t}(x_1 + \sigma y_1 + x_{n+1} + \sigma y_{n+1})} \int_{J_0^{n-1}} \left[\prod_{j=2}^n e^{-y_j^2 - 2\sqrt{t}(x_j + \sigma y_j)} \right] d^2 z_2 \cdots d^2 z_n \\
&= \frac{t^{-1}}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{t^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{16\pi} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}} + t\sigma^2} \right)^{n-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_{n+1}^2) - \sqrt{t}(x_1 + \sigma y_1 + x_{n+1} + \sigma y_{n+1})}, \tag{2.22}
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $(z_1, z_{n+1}) \in J_0 \times J_0$. In turn, for all $t \geq t_0$ sufficiently large positive and $\sigma \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}\sqrt{t}$ by the Neumann series,

$$\left| ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} K_{t,\sigma})(z_1, z_2) \right| \stackrel{(2.22)}{\leq} \frac{t^{-1}}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2) - \sqrt{t}(x_1 + \sigma y_1 + x_2 + \sigma y_2)} \left[1 + \frac{t^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{16\pi} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}} + t\sigma^2} \right],$$

and thus there exist $c, t_0 > 0$ so that

$$\left| ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} K_{t,\sigma} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) \right| \leq c t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{4}t\sigma^2} \left[1 + \frac{t^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{16\pi} e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}} + t\sigma^2} \right] e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2 - \sqrt{t}\sigma y}, \tag{2.23}$$

for all $t \geq t_0$, any $\sigma \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}\sqrt{t}$ and all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. In deriving (2.23) we have used that the Airy function is bounded on \mathbb{R} . On the other hand, using the slightly refined bounds, cf. [56, §9.7],

$$|\text{Ai}(x)| \leq d e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad x \geq 0; \quad |\text{Ai}(x)| \leq d, \quad x < 0,$$

with universal $d > 0$, we find by Laplace's method that there exist $c, t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|(\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq c \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{t}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq 0 : 0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}\sqrt{t}. \tag{2.24}$$

Hence, by Minkowski's inequality, combining (2.23) and (2.24),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q_\sigma(t, y))^2 dy &= \|q_\sigma(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq (\|(\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} K_{t,\sigma} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})})^2 \\
&\leq c t^{-3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq 0 : 0 \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{4}{3}\sqrt{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last estimate shows that the right hand side in (2.15) decays super exponentially fast to zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for any fixed $\sigma \geq 0$, i.e. we can integrate the same expression twice, for any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$,[§]

$$\ln F_\sigma(t) = - \int_t^\infty \left(\int_\lambda^\infty \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty (q_\sigma(s, y))^2 dy \right\} ds \right) d\lambda = - \int_t^\infty (s - t) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty (q_\sigma(s, y))^2 dy \right\} ds,$$

and this completes the proof of (2.20). \square

We now proceed to characterize $q_\sigma(t, y)$ through a dynamical system. This will be achieved in two steps and we begin with the integro-differential ODE system written in (2.25).

Proposition 2.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and introduce for any $(t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$,*

$$p_\sigma^{[n]}(t) := \text{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right), \quad q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) := ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y),$$

where $(D_1^n f)(x, y) := \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, y)$. Then $\{p_\sigma^{[n]}, q_\sigma^{[n]}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy the coupled integro-differential system

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_\sigma^{[n]}(t) = - \int_{-\infty}^\infty q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) dy, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) = q_\sigma^{[n+1]}(t, y) - q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[n]}(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \tag{2.25}$$

[§]Note that $F_\sigma(\infty) = 1$ for all $\sigma \geq 0$ by Hadamard's inequality and the bound for $K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2)$ stated in (2.21).

and

$$I_\sigma^{[n]}(t) := p_\sigma^{[n+1]}(t) + (-1)^n p_\sigma^{[n+1]}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_\sigma^{[k]}(t, y) q_\sigma^{[n-k]}(t, y) dy - p_\sigma^{[k]}(t) p_\sigma^{[n-k]}(t) \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

constitutes a conserved quantity for the same system, i.e. subject to (2.25) we have $\frac{d}{dt} I_\sigma^{[n]}(t) = 0$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto p_\sigma^{[n]}(t)$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni (t, y) \mapsto q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y)$ are well-defined for all $\sigma \geq 0$ since $D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \in L^2(J_0)$ by the properties of the Airy function and the exponential. More is true, viewed as functions of $t \in \mathbb{R}$, they are differentiable: indeed, the second equation in (2.25) is straightforward,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) &= \left(\left\{ \frac{d}{dt} (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \right\} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right)(0, y) + \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right)(0, y) \\ &= - \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right)(0, y) + q_\sigma^{[n+1]}(t, y) = -q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[n]}(t) + q_\sigma^{[n+1]}(t, y), \end{aligned}$$

where we relied again on $\frac{d}{dt} (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} = -(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1}$. The first equation on the other hand follows from a generalization of the workings in Proposition 2.4 below (2.16). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) &= (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma \\ &\quad + \left[(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

and therefore, based on the analytic and asymptotic properties of the Airy function and exponential while interchanging differentiation and trace evaluation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} p_\sigma^{[n]}(t) &= \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) \right) \stackrel{(2.26)}{=} \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right), \end{aligned}$$

which generalizes (2.18). Now use [62, Theorem 3.9] and integrate by parts in the first summand,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) dy \\ &\quad - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma$ is trace class on $L^2(J_0)$ given $D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \in L^2(J_0)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} p_\sigma^{[n]}(t) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) dy - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] - \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where, by algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \\ = (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (D_1 K_{t, \sigma} - K_{t, \sigma} D_1) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \in L^2(J_0). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the same combination as in our proof of Proposition 2.4 we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[D_1 (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma - (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) \\ = - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} \left(\left[(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} (\tau_t \phi_\sigma \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma) (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} D_1^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right] \otimes \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) \\ + \int_{J_0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} K_{t, \sigma} \right)(z, (0, y)) q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) dy \right] (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z) d^2 z, \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and hence all together for (2.27),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} p_\sigma^{[n]}(t) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) \left[(\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) + \int_{J_0} ((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} K_{t, \sigma})(z, (0, y)) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z) d^2 z \right] dy \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_\sigma^{[n]}(t, y) q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) dy \end{aligned}$$

by self-adjointness of $K_{t, \sigma}$ and by the identity $(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} = I + (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} K_{t, \sigma}$. This completes our proof of (2.25). The outstanding check of $\frac{d}{dt} I_\sigma^{[n]} = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a simple application of (2.25). \square

In order to close up the open system (2.25) we will make use of the Airy differential equation, i.e. unlike the general workings in Proposition 2.4 and 2.7, compare Remark 2.5, the next step in our derivation of a dynamical system for $F_\sigma(t)$ crucially depends on the specific Airy function choice in the kernel of $K_{t, \sigma}$, in particular Proposition 2.9 after the next Corollary is only valid for our $K_{t, \sigma}$.

Corollary 2.8 (Constant of motion). *For any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$,*

$$2p_\sigma^{[1]}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y))^2 dy - (p_\sigma^{[0]}(t))^2 = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

Proof. The left hand side in (2.28) equals I_0 in the notation of Proposition 2.7 and which is known to be t -independent. However, by the workings in Corollary 2.6 with $q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) = q_\sigma(t, y)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y))^2 dy = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p_\sigma^{[0]}(t) = 0$$

super exponentially fast for any $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$. Likewise, by the coarse bound $|\text{Ai}'(x)| \leq c|x|$ with $c > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and Laplace's method,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p_\sigma^{[1]}(t) = 0,$$

also super exponentially fast for any fixed $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$, i.e. the claim (2.28) follows. \square

Proposition 2.9 (Closure relation). *For any $(t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$,*

$$q_\sigma^{[2]}(t, y) = (t + \sigma y) q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) - q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[1]}(t) + q_\sigma^{[1]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[0]}(t). \quad (2.29)$$

Proof. Begin by using the Airy differential equation $w'' = zw$ for $w = \text{Ai}(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$ and derive

$$\begin{aligned} q_\sigma^{[2]}(t, y) &= \int_{J_0} (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1}((0, y), z_2) (D_1^2 \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_2) d^2 z_2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1}((0, y), (x_2, y_2)) (x_2 + \sigma y_2 + t) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(x_2, y_2) dy_2 dx_2 \\ &= t q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) + ((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} M_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) + \sigma ((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} M_2 \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

with the partial multiplications $(M_1 f)(x, y) := x f(x, y)$ and $(M_2 f)(x, y) := y f(x, y)$. Note that $M_j \tau_t \phi_\sigma \in L^2(J_0)$ by the properties of the Airy function and exponential, moreover

$$(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} M_k \tau_t \phi_\sigma = [(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1}, M_k] \tau_t \phi_\sigma + M_k (I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma, \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.31)$$

in terms of the operator commutator $[A, B] := AB - BA$. Moving ahead, we find

$$((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} M_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) \stackrel{(2.31)}{=} \left([(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1}, M_1] \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right)(0, y)$$

and likewise

$$((I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1} M_2 \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) \stackrel{(2.31)}{=} \left([(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1}, M_2] \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right)(0, y) + y q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y).$$

Hence back in (2.30),

$$q_\sigma^{[2]}(t, y) = (t + \sigma y) q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) + \left([(I - K_{t, \sigma})^{-1}, M_1 + \sigma M_2] \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right)(0, y), \quad (2.32)$$

so it remains to simplify the operator commutator. This is straightforward given the well-known Christoffel-Darboux property

$$K_{\text{Ai}}(a, b) = \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(a+s)\text{Ai}(s+b) ds = \frac{\text{Ai}(a)\text{Ai}'(b) - \text{Ai}'(a)\text{Ai}(b)}{a-b},$$

and the particular shape of $K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2)$, compare Proposition 2.3. Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left([(I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1}, M_1 + \sigma M_2] \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) (0, y) = \left((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} [K_{t,\sigma}, M_1 + \sigma M_2] (I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) (0, y) \\ & = - \int_{J_0} (I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} ((0, y), z_1) \left((\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_1) (D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_2) - (D_1 \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_1) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_2) \right) \\ & \quad \times \left((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma \right) (z_2) d^2 z_2 d^2 z_1 = -q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[1]}(t) + q_\sigma^{[1]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[0]}(t), \quad (t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and so with (2.32), precisely (2.29). The proof is complete. \square

We are now one step closer to Theorem 1.5, namely we are ready to derive the following auxiliary result. This result constitutes an alternative integro-differential connection for $F_\sigma(t)$.

Proposition 2.10. *For any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$,*

$$F_\sigma(t) = \exp \left[- \int_t^\infty (s-t) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty (q_\sigma(s, y))^2 dy \right\} ds \right], \quad (2.33)$$

where $q_\sigma(t, y) = ((I - K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y)$ solves the integro-differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} q_\sigma(t, y) = \left[t + \sigma y + 2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty (q_\sigma(t, \lambda))^2 d\lambda \right] q_\sigma(t, y), \quad (t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.34)$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$q_\sigma(t, y) \sim (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \text{Ai}(t + \sigma y), \quad (2.35)$$

valid as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, pointwise in $(y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$.

Proof. We already know (2.33) and (2.35) from the workings in Corollary 2.6, so it remains to show that $q_\sigma(t, y)$ solves (2.34). To this end t -differentiate $q_\sigma(t, y)$ twice via (2.25), then use (2.28) and (2.29),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} q_\sigma(t, y) & \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) \stackrel{(2.25)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[q_\sigma^{[1]}(t, y) - q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[0]}(t) \right] \stackrel{(2.25)}{=} q_\sigma^{[2]}(t, y) - q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[1]}(t) \\ & \quad - \left(q_\sigma^{[1]}(t, y) - q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[0]}(t) \right) p_\sigma^{[0]}(t) + q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) \int_{-\infty}^\infty (q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, \lambda))^2 d\lambda \\ & \stackrel{(2.29)}{=} (t + \sigma y) q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) - 2q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) p_\sigma^{[1]}(t) + q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) (p_\sigma^{[0]}(t))^2 + q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, y) \int_{-\infty}^\infty (q_\sigma^{[0]}(t, \lambda))^2 d\lambda \\ & \stackrel{(2.28)}{=} (t + \sigma y) q_\sigma(t, y) + 2q_\sigma(t, y) \int_{-\infty}^\infty q_\sigma^2(t, \lambda) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.10 is complete. \square

Evidently, equation (2.34) is similar to the class of integro-differential Painlevé-II equations studied in [9, Proposition 5.2], although the origin in loc. cit. are Fredholm determinants corresponding to integral operators acting on $L^2(J \subset \mathbb{R})$ and not on $L^2(J_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2)$. We will now establish the precise relation between the Amir-Corwin-Quastel framework and our result for $F_\sigma(t)$ in Proposition 2.10. Once done, we will have completed our first proof of Theorem 1.5.

2.2. Simplifying the result. We set out to simplify (2.34) and (2.35) and convert the same to (1.11),(1.12) and (1.13).

Lemma 2.11. *Let $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ and*

$$N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-y^2} \text{Ai}(a + \sigma y + t + s) \text{Ai}(s + b + \sigma y + t) dy \right] ds, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then the self-adjoint integral operator $N_{t,\sigma} : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)$ given by

$$(N_{t,\sigma} f)(a) := \int_0^\infty N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) f(b) db,$$

is trace class for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$. Moreover, $0 \leq N_{t,\sigma} \leq 1$, $\|N_{t,\sigma}\| \leq 1$ in operator norm on $L^2(0, \infty)$ and $I - N_{t,\sigma}$ is invertible on $L^2(0, \infty)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$.

Proof. By the Airy differential equation $w'' = zw$ for $w = \text{Ai}(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} (a - b)N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-y^2} (\text{Ai}(a + \sigma y + t) \text{Ai}'(b + \sigma y + t) - \text{Ai}'(a + \sigma y + t) \text{Ai}(b + \sigma y + t)) dy \\ &= (a - b) \int_{-\infty}^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}(a + y + t) \text{Ai}(y + b + t) dy, \quad \Phi(y) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-x^2} dx \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the identity, valid for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$,

$$N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}(a + y + t) \text{Ai}(y + b + t) dy, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.36)$$

Consequently, $N_{t,\sigma}$ is an integral operator on $L^2(0, \infty)$ of the form studied in [17, Section 9], so in particular trace class by [17, Lemma 9.5] for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ (note that $N_{t,0}(a, b) = K_{\text{Ai}}(a + t, b + t)$). Moreover $0 \leq N_{t,\sigma} \leq 1$ and the outstanding claim about the invertibility of $I - N_{t,\sigma}$ were proven in [17, Proposition 9.6]. This completes our proof of Lemma 2.11. \square

Note that (2.36) is of the form [9, (5.1)], so we have by [9, Proposition 5.2] for the Fredholm determinant of $N_{t,\sigma}$ on $L^2(0, \infty)$,

$$\det(I - N_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(0, \infty)}) = \exp \left[- \int_t^\infty (s - t) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty (p_\sigma(s, \lambda))^2 d\nu_\sigma(\lambda) \right\} ds \right], \quad (2.37)$$

with

$$d\nu_\sigma(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2/\sigma^2} d\lambda$$

and where $p_\sigma(t, \lambda)$ solves the integro-differential Painlevé-II equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} p_\sigma(t, y) = \left[t + y + 2 \int_{-\infty}^\infty (p_\sigma(t, \lambda))^2 d\nu_\sigma(\lambda) \right] p_\sigma(t, y) \\ p_\sigma(t, y) \sim \text{Ai}(t + y), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \end{cases}. \quad (2.38)$$

We will now show that there is a direct correspondence between our (2.33),(2.34),(2.35) and (2.37),(2.38), without using the results in [9]. This will in turn conclude our first proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proposition 2.12. *Define the one-variable function*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto \phi_{t,\sigma}^y(x) := \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \text{Ai}(x + \sigma y + t), \quad (t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty).$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and any $(t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$, with $K_{t,\sigma}$ as in (2.13) and $N_{t,\sigma}$ as in (2.36),

$$(K_{t,\sigma}^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) = (N_{t,\sigma}^n \phi_{t,\sigma}^y)(0), \quad (2.39)$$

where $\tau_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ denotes again the shift in the first variable, i.e. $(\tau_t f)(x, y) = f(x + t, y)$.

Proof. There is nothing to prove when $n = 0$ given that

$$(\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) \stackrel{(2.12)}{=} \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \text{Ai}(\sigma y + t) = \phi_{t,\sigma}^y(0),$$

so we continue with general $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. By definition, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{t,\sigma}^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) &= \int_{J_0} K_{t,\sigma}((0, y), z_1) (K_{t,\sigma}^n \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_1) d^2 z_1 \\ &= \int_{J_0} K_{t,\sigma}((0, y), z_1) \int_{J_0} \left[\int_{J_0^{n-2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} K_{t,\sigma}(z_j, z_{j+1}) d^2 z_2 \cdots d^2 z_{n-1} \right] (K_{t,\sigma} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_n) d^2 z_n d^2 z_1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

where, with $K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2) = \int_0^\infty (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(x_1 + s, y_1) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(s + x_2, y_2) ds$ and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{t,\sigma} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(z_n) &= \int_{J_0} K_{t,\sigma}(z_n, w) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(w) d^2 w \\ &= \int_{J_0} \left[\int_0^\infty (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(x_n + s, y_n) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(s + \lambda, \mu) ds \right] (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(\lambda, \mu) d\lambda d\mu \\ &= \int_J (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(x_n + s, y_n) \left[\int_0^\infty (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(\lambda, \mu) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(\lambda + s, \mu) d\lambda \right] ds d\mu \\ &= \int_{J_0} K_{t,\sigma}((0, \mu), (s, \mu)) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(x_n + s, y_n) ds d\mu = \int_0^\infty N_{t,\sigma}(0, s) (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(x_n + s, y_n) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the last formula back in (2.40) together with the previous composition integral identity for $K_{t,\sigma}(z_j, z_{j+1})$ we obtain after integrating out all variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n over $(0, \infty)$ and afterwards y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n over \mathbb{R} ,

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{t,\sigma}^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(\lambda, y) K_{t,\sigma}((\lambda, y_1), (s_1, y_1)) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} K_{t,\sigma}((s_j, y_{j+1}), (s_{j+1}, y_{j+1})) \right] \\ &\quad \times K_{t,\sigma}((s_{n-1}, y_n), (s, y_n)) N_{t,\sigma}(0, s) ds (ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-1}) d\lambda (dy_1 \cdots dy_n) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(\lambda, y) N_{t,\sigma}(\lambda, s_1) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} N_{t,\sigma}(s_j, s_{j+1}) \right] N_{t,\sigma}(s_{n-1}, s) N_{t,\sigma}(0, s) \\ &\quad \times ds (ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-1}) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$. Finally integrate out $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \in (0, \infty)$ via Fubini's theorem and lastly $s \in (0, \infty)$, using the symmetry of $N_{t,\sigma}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{t,\sigma}^{n+1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma)(0, y) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\tau_t \phi_\sigma)(\lambda, y) N_{t,\sigma}^n(\lambda, s) N_{t,\sigma}(0, s) ds d\lambda = \int_0^\infty N_{t,\sigma}^{n+1}(0, \lambda) \phi_{t,\sigma}^y(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= (N_{t,\sigma}^{n+1} \phi_{t,\sigma}^y)(0), \quad (t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (2.39) is now complete. \square

Below we state the central Corollary to Proposition 2.12.

Corollary 2.13. *Consider $q_\sigma(t, y)$ as defined in Theorem 2.10. Then*

$$q_\sigma \left(t, \frac{y}{\sigma} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}} ((I - N_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \psi_{y+t})(0), \quad \psi_{y+t}(x) := \text{Ai}(x + y + t), \quad (2.41)$$

valid for all $(t, y, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty)$.

Proof. By the Neumann series, Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.11,

$$\begin{aligned} q_\sigma \left(t, \frac{y}{\sigma} \right) &= \lim_{\gamma \uparrow 1} ((I - \gamma K_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \tau_t \phi_\sigma) \left(0, \frac{y}{\sigma} \right) = \lim_{\gamma \uparrow 1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma^m (K_{t,\sigma}^m \tau_t \phi_\sigma) \left(0, \frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.39)}{=} \lim_{\gamma \uparrow 1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma^m (N_{t,\sigma}^m \phi_{t,\sigma}^{\frac{y}{\sigma}})(0) = \lim_{\gamma \uparrow 1} ((I - \gamma N_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \phi_{t,\sigma}^{\frac{y}{\sigma}})(0) = ((I - N_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \phi_{t,\sigma}^{\frac{y}{\sigma}})(0). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\phi_{t,\sigma}^{\frac{y}{\sigma}}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}} \text{Ai}(x+y+t) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}} \psi_{y+t}(x), \quad (t, y, x, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty),$$

which implies (2.41). \square

We have now gathered sufficient information in order to prove Theorem 1.5.

First proof of Theorem 1.5. By (2.33) and (2.41),

$$\begin{aligned} F_\sigma(t) &= \exp \left[- \int_t^\infty (s-t) \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(q_\sigma \left(s, \frac{y}{\sigma} \right) \right)^2 dy \right\} ds \right] \\ &= \exp \left[- \int_t^\infty (s-t) \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty (p_\sigma(s, y))^2 d\nu_\sigma(y) \right\} ds \right], \quad p_\sigma(t, y) := ((I - N_{t,\sigma})^{-1} \psi_{y+t})(0), \end{aligned}$$

with $d\nu_\sigma(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\lambda^2/\sigma^2} d\lambda$ as in (2.37). But with (2.41), i.e. with the identity

$$q_\sigma \left(t, \frac{y}{\sigma} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}} p_\sigma(t, y),$$

our previous (2.34),(2.35) becomes exactly (2.38), i.e. (1.11),(1.12) and (1.13) are now proven. \square

The reader can notice that Theorem 1.5, when combined with [9, Proposition 5.2], yields the following useful and remarkable result, as discussed right above Corollary 1.9.

Corollary 2.14 (Dimensional reduction). *Consider $F_\sigma(t)$ as written in (2.14), then for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$,*

$$F_\sigma(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \nu_k(t, \sigma)), \quad (2.42)$$

in terms of the non-zero eigenvalues $\nu_k(t, \sigma)$ of the operator $N_{t,\sigma}$ introduced in Lemma 2.11, taking multiplicities into account.

Proof. The integro-differential Painlevé-II boundary value problem (2.38) is uniquely solvable, cf. [17, R66]. Thus, by [9, Proposition 5.2], equivalently by (2.37), and [45, Chapter IV, Theorem 6.1] we have indeed

$$F_\sigma(t) = \det (I - N_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(0,\infty)}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \nu_k(t, \sigma)),$$

as claimed in (2.42). \square

3. SECOND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Our second proof of Theorem 1.5 is much shorter and it consists in establishing (2.42) from first principles, without exploiting Hankel composition structures. With (2.42) in place, Theorem 1.5 is then a consequence of [9, Proposition 5.2] - so unlike our first proof, our second proof of Theorem 1.5 will rely on the results in [9].

Proposition 3.1. *For any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,*

$$\text{tr}_{L^2(J_0)} K_{t,\sigma}^n = \text{tr}_{L^2(0,\infty)} N_{t,\sigma}^n. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ be fixed throughout. The idea is to apply Sylvester's identity [62, Corollary 3.8], i.e. we first set out to factorize $K_{t,\sigma} : L^2(J_0) \rightarrow L^2(J_0)$ with kernel

$$K_{t,\sigma}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}y_2^2} \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x_1 + \sigma y_1 + t + s) \text{Ai}(s + x_2 + \sigma y_2 + t) ds, \quad z_k = (x_k, y_k). \quad (3.2)$$

Precisely, we define the bounded linear transformations

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), & H : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}), & \epsilon : L^2(J_0) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ (S_\sigma f)(x, y) &:= f(x + \sigma y, y), & (Hf)(x) &:= \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x, y) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} dy, & (\epsilon f)(x, y) &:= \chi_{(0,\infty)}(x) f(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, and whose Hilbert space adjoints are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), & H^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), & \epsilon^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) &\rightarrow L^2(J_0), \\ (S_\sigma^* f)(x, y) &= f(x - \sigma y, y), & (H^* f)(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} f(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2}, & (\epsilon^* f)(x, y) &= f(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We also require the linear transformation $Q_t : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$(Q_t f)(x) := \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x + y + t) f(y) \, dy, \quad (3.3)$$

which is an isometry by (B.5) below and whose Hilbert space adjoint equals

$$Q_t^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty), \quad (Q_t^* f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \text{Ai}(x + y + t) f(y) \, dy.$$

Now define the bounded linear transformation $P_{t,\sigma} := Q_t^* H S_\sigma^* \epsilon : L^2(J_0) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)$, i.e. we are considering the integral operator from $L^2(J_0)$ to $L^2(0, \infty)$ with kernel

$$P_{t,\sigma}(x, (y, z)) := \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \text{Ai}(x + y + \sigma z + t) e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad (y, z) \in J_0. \quad (3.4)$$

Its Hilbert space adjoint $P_{t,\sigma}^* = \epsilon^* S_\sigma H^* Q_t : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(J_0)$ is again an integral operator, this time from $L^2(0, \infty)$ to $L^2(J_0)$ and with kernel

$$P_{t,\sigma}^*((x, y), z) := \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \text{Ai}(x + \sigma y + z + t) e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Most importantly, compare (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), we record the factorization

$$K_{t,\sigma} = P_{t,\sigma}^* P_{t,\sigma} : L^2(J_0) \rightarrow L^2(J_0), \quad (3.6)$$

where $K_{t,\sigma}$ is trace class on $L^2(J_0)$ by Proposition 2.3. Second, we note that $P_{t,\sigma} P_{t,\sigma}^* : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)$ has kernel

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{t,\sigma} P_{t,\sigma}^*)(a, b) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty P_{t,\sigma}(a, (c_1, c_2)) P_{t,\sigma}^*((c_1, c_2), b) \, dc_2 dc_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-c_2^2} \text{Ai}(a + \sigma c_2 + t + c_1) \text{Ai}(c_1 + b + \sigma c_2 + t) \, dc_2 \right] dc_1, \end{aligned}$$

and which is equal to $N_{t,\sigma}(a, b)$, see Lemma 2.11. Consequently, using that $N_{t,\sigma}$ is trace class on $L^2(0, \infty)$, we have by Sylvester's identity [45, Chapter IV, (5.9)],

$$\det(I - \gamma K_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(J_0)}) \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} \det(I - \gamma P_{t,\sigma}^* P_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(J_0)}) = \det(I - \gamma N_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(0, \infty)}), \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| < 1.$$

The last equality establishes (3.1) via the Plemelj-Smithies formula. \square

Equipped with (3.1) we can now prove Theorem 1.5.

Second proof of Theorem 1.5. The trace identities (3.1) establish (2.42) via (2.5), (2.8) and [45, Chapter IV, (5.11), (6.2)], without exploiting Hankel composition structures. In turn, (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13) follow now from [9, Proposition 5.2] using (2.36). \square

4. PROOF OF COROLLARIES 1.9 AND 1.10

We begin with a basic estimate for

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-x^2} \, dx = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_z^\infty e^{-x^2} \, dx = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}(z), \quad \Phi(-z) = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (4.1)$$

that follows from Mill's ratio in [56, 7.8.1].

Lemma 4.1. *For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$,*

$$\left| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) - \chi_{[0, \infty)}(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}\right], \quad (4.2)$$

where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Fix $\sigma > 0$ and let $x \geq 0$, then by (4.1) and [56, 7.8.1, 7.8.2],

$$\left| \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}\right], \quad \left| \Phi\left(-\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}\right],$$

which proves (4.2). \square

In turn, the proof of Corollary 1.10 is now straightforward.

Proof of Corollary 1.10. First, pointwise in $x, y \in (0, \infty)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} N_{t,\sigma}(x, y) = K_{\text{Ai}}^t(x, y) := \int_0^\infty \text{Ai}(x+z+t)\text{Ai}(z+y+t) dz. \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, the pointwise limit (4.3) is a consequence of (2.36), (4.2) and the boundedness of $K_{\text{Ai}}^t(x, y)$. For (1.16) we need to establish trace norm convergence on $L^2(0, \infty)$ of $N_{t,\sigma}$, see (2.36), (2.42), to K_{Ai}^t , see (4.3), (1.16). To this end recall that $N_{t,\sigma}, K_{\text{Ai}}^t$ are non-negative and that both are self-adjoint, hence by [62, Theorem 2.20], we are left to show $N_{t,\sigma} \rightarrow K_{\text{Ai}}^t$ weakly and $\|N_{t,\sigma}\|_1 \rightarrow \|K_{\text{Ai}}^t\|_1$ with the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. But this is straightforward given (4.2), given Fubini's theorem, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the known analytic and asymptotic properties of the Airy function on \mathbb{R} ,

$$\lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \|N_{t,\sigma}\|_1 = \lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty L_{t,\sigma}(x, x) dx = \int_0^\infty K_{\text{Ai}}^t(x, x) dx = \|K_{\text{Ai}}^t\|_1,$$

and, for any $f, g \in L^2(0, \infty)$,

$$\lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty N_{t,\sigma}(x, y) f(y) \overline{g(x)} dx dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_{\text{Ai}}^t(x, y) f(y) \overline{g(x)} dx dy.$$

The proof of (1.16) is now complete by [62, Theorem 3.4]. \square

The $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ degeneration of $F_\sigma(t)$ in Corollary 1.9 is less straightforward and we now state a streamlined proof for it, using a novel contour integral formula for the kernel of $N_{t,\sigma}$, see (4.8) below.

Proof of Corollary 1.9. Let $\sigma > 1$ and set

$$a_\sigma := \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{6 \ln \sigma}}, \quad c_\sigma := a_\sigma \left(3 \ln \sigma - \frac{5}{4} \ln(6 \ln \sigma) - \ln(2\pi) \right). \quad (4.4)$$

We claim that, pointwise in $x, y \in (0, \infty)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} a_\sigma N_{a_\sigma t, \sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x, c_\sigma + a_\sigma y) = e^{-t-x} \begin{cases} 0, & x \neq y \\ 1, & x = y \end{cases}. \quad (4.5)$$

Indeed, for $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$, by (2.36) and Fubini's theorem, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-(x/\sigma)^2} \text{Ai}(a+y+t)\text{Ai}(y+b+t) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_x^\infty e^{-(x/\sigma)^2} \text{Ai}(a+y+t)\text{Ai}(y+b+t) dy dx \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-(x/\sigma)^2} K_{\text{Ai}}^t(a+x, b+x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Hence, using the Gaussian integral

$$e^{-x^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_\Gamma e^{-\frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 - ix\lambda} d\lambda, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where Γ denotes any smooth contour in the upper half-plane oriented from $\infty e^{i\alpha}$ to $\infty e^{i\beta}$ with $\alpha \in (\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi)$ and $\beta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{4})$, (4.6) becomes

$$N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma} \int_\Gamma e^{-\frac{1}{4}\lambda^2} \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{px} K_{\text{Ai}}^t(a+x, b+x) dx \right] \Big|_{p=-i\lambda/\sigma} d\lambda, \quad (4.7)$$

and we proceed with the evaluation of the Laplace transform of the Airy kernel along the lines of [57, Lemma 2.6]. In fact, for any $p \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re p > 0$, the workings in [57, Lemma 2.6] yield

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{px} \text{Ai}(a+x) \text{Ai}(b+x) dx = \frac{p^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \exp \left[\frac{p^3}{12} - \frac{a+b}{2} p - \frac{(a-b)^2}{4p} \right], \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C},$$

with the principal branch for the fractional exponent such that $z^\alpha > 0$ for $z > 0$. Consequently (4.7) equals

$$N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) = \frac{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} \int_{\Gamma} \exp \left(i \left[\frac{\lambda^3}{12\sigma^3} + \frac{\lambda}{2\sigma}(a+b+2t) - \frac{\sigma}{4\lambda}(a-b)^2 \right] - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right) \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\lambda, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.8)$$

Starting from the seemingly novel contour integral formula (4.8) for the kernel of $N_{t,\sigma} : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)$ we now set out to prove (4.5) and subsequently (1.15). To this end rewrite (4.8) in the form

$$N_{t,\sigma}(a, b) = \frac{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} \int_{\Gamma} e^{f(\lambda; \sigma, t, a)} g(\lambda; \sigma, a-b) d\lambda, \quad \begin{cases} f(\lambda; \sigma, t, a) := \frac{i\lambda}{\sigma}(a+t) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \lambda \\ g(\lambda; \sigma, a) := \exp \left[\frac{i\lambda^3}{12\sigma^3} - \frac{i\lambda}{2\sigma} a - \frac{i\sigma}{4\lambda} a^2 \right] \end{cases}, \quad (4.9)$$

afterwards choose $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} + i\delta$ with $\delta > 0$ and estimate coarsely by triangle inequality. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|N_{t,\sigma}(a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{\delta}{\sigma}(a+t) + \frac{\delta^2}{4} + \frac{\delta^3}{12\sigma^3} + \frac{\delta}{2\sigma}(a-b) \right] \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{4}s^2} \exp \left[-\frac{\sigma\delta}{4} \frac{(a-b)^2}{s^2 + \delta^2} \right] ds,$$

where

$$\int_0^\delta e^{-\frac{1}{4}s^2} \exp \left[-\frac{\sigma\delta}{4} \frac{(a-b)^2}{s^2 + \delta^2} \right] ds \leq \sqrt{\pi} \exp \left[-\frac{\sigma}{8\delta}(a-b)^2 \right].$$

Since also

$$\int_\delta^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{4}s^2} \exp \left[-\frac{\sigma\delta}{4} \frac{(a-b)^2}{s^2 + \delta^2} \right] ds \leq \int_\delta^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{8}s^2} \underbrace{\exp \left[-\frac{s^2}{8} - \frac{\sigma\delta}{8s^2}(a-b)^2 \right]}_{\leq \exp[-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\sigma\delta}|a-b|], s \neq 0} ds \leq \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\sigma\delta}|a-b| \right],$$

we have thus

$$|N_{t,\sigma}(a, b)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{\delta}{\sigma}(a+t) + \frac{\delta^2}{4} + \frac{\delta^3}{12\sigma^3} + \frac{\delta}{2\sigma}(a-b) \right] \delta^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{\sigma}{8\delta}(a-b)^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\sigma\delta}|a-b|} \right). \quad (4.10)$$

The estimate (4.10) establishes the limiting behavior in (4.5) for $x \neq y$ as soon as

$$c_\sigma > 0 \text{ for large } \sigma > 1 \text{ and } \frac{a_\sigma}{\sigma} \rightarrow 0, \quad \sqrt{\sigma} a_\sigma \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and which is certainly true back in (4.4). The precise dependence of the constants c_σ and a_σ on $\sigma > 1$ originates from the following computation: with (4.4) in place and $\delta = \sqrt{6 \ln \sigma}$, $\sigma > 1$, we obtain from (4.10) for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (1, \infty)$,

$$|a_\sigma N_{a_\sigma t, \sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x, c_\sigma + a_\sigma y)| \leq 6e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)-t},$$

and thus by Hadamard's determinant inequality,

$$\left| \det [a_\sigma N_{a_\sigma t, \sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x_i, c_\sigma + a_\sigma x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n \right| \leq 6^n e^{-nt} n^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}, \quad x_j \geq 0.$$

Consequently, by (2.42), by the dominated convergence theorem and [62, Theorem 3.10], for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} F_\sigma(c_\sigma + a_\sigma t) &= 1 + \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \det [N_{c_\sigma + a_\sigma t, \sigma}(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= 1 + \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \det [a_\sigma N_{a_\sigma t, \sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x_i, c_\sigma + a_\sigma x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \det \left[\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} a_\sigma N_{a_\sigma t, \sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x_i, c_\sigma + a_\sigma x_j) \right]_{i,j=1}^n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&\stackrel{(4.5)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{-t-x_i} \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} e^{-nt} = e^{-e^{-t}},
\end{aligned}$$

so we are left to establish the pointwise limit in (4.5) for $x = y \in (0, \infty)$. For this we return to (4.9), take $a = b = c_\sigma + a_\sigma x$, replace $t \mapsto a_\sigma t$ and choose $\Gamma = \mathbb{R} + i\lambda_*$ with

$$\lambda_* := \frac{1}{\sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x + a_\sigma t) + \sqrt{\left(\frac{c_\sigma + a_\sigma x + a_\sigma t}{\sigma}\right)^2 + 3} > 0.$$

Note that $i\lambda_*$ constitutes the unique stationary point of $f(\lambda) \equiv f(\lambda; \sigma, a_\sigma t, c_\sigma + a_\sigma x)$ in the upper half-plane, so after parametrizing Γ accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\sigma N_{a_\sigma t, \sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x, c_\sigma + a_\sigma x) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} a_\sigma \exp \left[-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x + a_\sigma t) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_*^2 - \frac{3}{2} \ln \lambda_* \right] \\
&\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4}s^2 + \frac{is}{\sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x + a_\sigma t) - \frac{i}{2}\lambda_* s \right] h_{t, \sigma}(s) ds, \quad h_{t, \sigma}(s) := \left(1 - \frac{is}{\lambda_*}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left[\frac{i}{12\sigma^3}(s + i\lambda_*)^3 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Next, given that $a_\sigma/\sigma \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ and since $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, the integral in (4.11) yields after Taylor expansion at $\sigma = \infty$ the following leading order asymptotic behavior

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4}s^2 + \frac{is}{\sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x + a_\sigma t) - \frac{i}{2}\lambda_* s \right] h_{t, \sigma}(s) ds &\sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4}s^2 + \frac{is}{\sigma}c_\sigma - \frac{i}{2}\lambda_* s \right] ds \\
&= 2\sqrt{\pi} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(c_\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_* \sigma \right)^2 \right], \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, back in (4.11)

$$a_\sigma N_{a_\sigma t, \sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x, c_\sigma + a_\sigma x) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2\pi} a_\sigma \exp \left[-\frac{\lambda_*}{\sigma} a_\sigma (x + t) - \left(\frac{c_\sigma}{\sigma}\right)^2 \right] \lambda_*^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty, \tag{4.12}$$

i.e. it remains to insert the explicit expressions for λ_* , c_σ , a_σ and carefully expand the result at $\sigma = +\infty$. In detail, we have as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, pointwise in $(x, t) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sigma}(c_\sigma + a_\sigma x + a_\sigma t) &\stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{6 \ln \sigma} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\ln \ln \sigma}{\sqrt{\ln \sigma}} \right), \quad \lambda_* = \sqrt{6 \ln \sigma} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\ln \ln \sigma}{\sqrt{\ln \sigma}} \right), \\
\left(\frac{c_\sigma}{\sigma}\right)^2 &\stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \frac{3}{2} \ln \sigma - \frac{5}{4} \ln(6 \ln \sigma) - \ln(2\pi) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\ln^2 \ln \sigma}{\ln \sigma} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and so (4.12) yields at once the outstanding pointwise limit in (4.5). The proof of Corollary 1.9 is thus complete. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.12 AND 1.13

In this section we carry out the right tail $t \rightarrow +\infty$ asymptotic analysis of $F_\sigma(t)$, relying on the insight that $N_{t, \sigma} : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)$ as defined in Lemma 2.11 and (2.36) relates to an integrable operator in the sense of [47]. See [9, Proposition 5.1] for essentially the same result as written in (5.1), (5.2) below.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and*

$$M_{t,\sigma}(x, y) := \sqrt{\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)} K_{\text{Ai}}(x+t, y+t) \sqrt{\Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right)}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.1)$$

with $\Phi(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\text{erfc}(z)$ and the Airy kernel K_{Ai} , see (1.4). Then the self-adjoint integral operator $M_{t,\sigma} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$(M_{t,\sigma}f)(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{t,\sigma}(x, y)f(y) dy,$$

is trace class for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and we have

$$F_{\sigma}(t) = \det(I - M_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}), \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty). \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Define the linear transformations $A_{t,\sigma} : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $B_{t,\sigma} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)$ with

$$\begin{cases} (A_{t,\sigma}f)(x) := \int_0^{\infty} \sqrt{\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)} \text{Ai}(x+y+t)f(y) dy \\ (B_{t,\sigma}g)(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Ai}(x+y+t) \sqrt{\Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right)} g(y) dy \end{cases}.$$

Now apply [18, Lemma 2.2] and conclude that these are Hilbert-Schmidt transformations on their respective spaces for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$. Consequently, Sylvester's identity applies,

$$\det(I - B_{t,\sigma}A_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(0,\infty)}) = \det(I - A_{t,\sigma}B_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}), \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty),$$

and it simply remains to check that the kernel of $B_{t,\sigma}A_{t,\sigma}$ matches the kernel of $N_{t,\sigma}$ and likewise the kernel of $A_{t,\sigma}B_{t,\sigma}$ the kernel of $M_{t,\sigma}$. The proof of (5.2) is complete given that all kernel functions are continuous. \square

Having established (5.1) and (5.2) the following general Riemann-Hilbert theory of integrable operators is now at our disposal, cf. [47]. First, by a change of variables,

$$F_{\sigma}(t) \stackrel{(5.2)}{=} \det(I - M_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) = \det(I - \overline{M}_{t,\sigma} \upharpoonright_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}), \quad (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \quad (5.3)$$

where $\overline{M}_{t,\sigma} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is trace class with kernel

$$\overline{M}_{t,\sigma}(x, y) := \sigma \sqrt{\Phi(x)} K_{\text{Ai}}(x\sigma + t, y\sigma + t) \sqrt{\Phi(y)} = \frac{f_1(x)f_2(y) - f_2(x)f_1(y)}{x - y}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

having used the abbreviations $f_1(x) := \sqrt{\Phi(x)}\text{Ai}(x\sigma + t)$ and $f_2(x) := \sqrt{\Phi(x)}\text{Ai}'(x\sigma + t)$. In turn, we consider the below Riemann-Hilbert problem (RHP).

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.2 (Master problem). *Fix $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$. Now determine $\mathbf{X}(z) = \mathbf{X}(z; t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that*

- (1) $\mathbf{X}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and extends continuously to the closed upper and lower half-plane.
- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{X}_{\pm}(z) := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbf{X}(z \pm i\epsilon)$ on $\mathbb{R} \ni z$ satisfy the jump condition

$$\mathbf{X}_+(z) = \mathbf{X}_-(z) \left\{ \mathbb{I} - 2\pi i \Phi(z) \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ai}(z\sigma + t)\text{Ai}'(z\sigma + t) & -(\text{Ai}(z\sigma + t))^2 \\ (\text{Ai}'(z\sigma + t))^2 & -\text{Ai}(z\sigma + t)\text{Ai}'(z\sigma + t) \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.4)$$

- (3) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{X}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{X}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{X}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}), \quad \mathbf{X}_k = \mathbf{X}_k(t, \sigma) = [X_k^{mn}(t, \sigma)]_{m,n=1}^2. \quad (5.5)$$

The following result about the solvability of RHP 5.2 and its relation to $F_{\sigma}(t)$ in (5.3) is standard.

Proposition 5.3. *The RHP 5.2 is uniquely solvable for any $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$. Moreover, the kernel of $R_{t,\sigma} = (I - \overline{M}_{t,\sigma})^{-1} - I$ equals*

$$R_{t,\sigma}(x, y) = \frac{F_1(x)F_2(y) - F_2(x)F_1(y)}{x - y}; \quad F_k(z) := ((I - \overline{M}_{t,\sigma})^{-1} f_k)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.6)$$

where F_k is given in terms of the solution of RHP 5.2 by

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_1(z) \\ F_2(z) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{X}_{\pm}(z) \begin{bmatrix} f_1(z) \\ f_2(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R},$$

independently of the choice of limiting values on \mathbb{R} . Conversely, the solution of RHP 5.2 is expressible in terms of the F_k through the Cauchy integral

$$\mathbf{X}(z) = \mathbb{I} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} F_1(\lambda)f_2(\lambda) & -F_1(\lambda)f_1(\lambda) \\ F_2(\lambda)f_2(\lambda) & -F_2(\lambda)f_1(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.7)$$

and we have the differential identities

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_\sigma(t) = -\sigma X_1^{12}(t, \sigma), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \ln F_\sigma(t) = -X_1^{21}(t, \sigma) - tX_1^{12}(t, \sigma) - 2\sigma X_2^{12}(t, \sigma), \quad (5.8)$$

followed by

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \ln F_\alpha(\alpha) = -X_1^{21}(\alpha, \alpha) - 2\alpha X_1^{12}(\alpha, \alpha) - 2\alpha X_2^{12}(\alpha, \alpha), \quad (5.9)$$

for any $(t, \sigma, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ in terms of the matrix entries $X_k^{mn}(t, \sigma)$ in (5.5).

Proof. Recall that $I - \overline{M}_{t, \sigma}$ is invertible on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ by (5.3), (2.42) and Lemma 2.11. Thus, [47] yields the unique solvability of RHP 5.2 as well as the formulæ (5.6) and (5.7), specialized to the case of our self-adjoint operator $\overline{M}_{t, \sigma}$. Moving along, the t -identity in (5.8) is standard: $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{M}_{t, \sigma}$ is trace class on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with continuous kernel,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{M}_{t, \sigma}(x, y) = -\sigma f_1(x)f_1(y), \quad (5.10)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_\sigma(t) &\stackrel{(5.3)}{=} - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left((I - \overline{M}_{t, \sigma})^{-1} \frac{\partial \overline{M}_{t, \sigma}}{\partial t} \right) \stackrel{(5.10)}{=} \sigma \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left((I - \overline{M}_{t, \sigma})^{-1} f_1 \otimes f_1 \right) \\ &\stackrel{(5.6)}{=} \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_1(\lambda)f_1(\lambda) d\lambda \stackrel{(5.5)}{=} \stackrel{(5.7)}{=} -\sigma X_1^{12}(t, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

The σ -identity in (5.8) is slightly more involved: $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \sigma \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \overline{M}_{t, \sigma}$ is trace class on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with continuous kernel,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \overline{M}_{t, \sigma}(x, y) = f_2(x)f_2(y) - tf_1(x)f_1(y) - \sigma f_1(x)(x+y)f_1(y), \quad (5.11)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \ln F_\sigma(t) &\stackrel{(5.3)}{=} \stackrel{(5.11)}{=} - \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left((I - \overline{M}_{t, \sigma})^{-1} f_2 \otimes f_2 \right) + t \operatorname{tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left((I - \overline{M}_{t, \sigma})^{-1} f_1 \otimes f_1 \right) \\ &\quad + \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (I - \overline{M}_{t, \sigma})^{-1}(\lambda, \mu) f_1(\mu)(\mu + \lambda) f_1(\lambda) d\mu d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by the self-adjointness of $\overline{N}_{t, \sigma}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \ln F_\sigma(t) &\stackrel{(5.6)}{=} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_2(\lambda)f_2(\lambda) d\lambda + t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_1(\lambda)f_1(\lambda) d\lambda + 2\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_1(\lambda)f_1(\lambda)\lambda d\lambda \\ &\stackrel{(5.5)}{=} \stackrel{(5.7)}{=} -X_1^{21}(t, \sigma) - tX_1^{12}(t, \sigma) - 2\sigma X_2^{12}(t, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

The derivation of the third identity for $\sigma = t = \alpha$ is similar to the one for the second one and relies on the fact that $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \alpha \mapsto \frac{d}{d\alpha} \overline{M}_{\alpha, \alpha}$ is trace class on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with continuous kernel

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \overline{M}_{\alpha, \alpha}(x, y) = f_2(x)f_2(y) - 2\alpha f_1(x)f_1(y) - \alpha f_1(x)(x+y)f_1(y).$$

This completes our proof of the Proposition. \square

With (5.8) in mind, we now investigate RHP 5.2 asymptotically as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

5.1. **Asymptotics, part 1** ($t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $t/\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$). Consider the simple rescaling transformation,

$$\mathbf{Y}(z; t, \sigma) := \mathbf{X}\left(\frac{zt}{\sigma}; t, \sigma\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.12)$$

and note that $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ solves a RHP very similar to RHP 5.2, but with rescaled jump matrix on \mathbb{R} and rescaled normalization at $z = \infty$:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.4. *Let $(t, \sigma) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$. The function $\mathbf{Y}(z) = \mathbf{Y}(z; t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (5.12) is uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and extends continuously to the closed upper and lower half-plane.
- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{Y}_{\pm}(z) := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbf{Y}(z \pm i\epsilon)$ on $\mathbb{R} \ni z$ satisfy the jump condition $\mathbf{Y}_{+}(z) = \mathbf{Y}_{-}(z)\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma)$ where the jump matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma) = \mathbb{I} - 2\pi i \Phi\left(\frac{zt}{\sigma}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ai}(t(z+1))\text{Ai}'(t(z+1)) & -(\text{Ai}(t(z+1)))^2 \\ (\text{Ai}'(t(z+1)))^2 & -\text{Ai}(t(z+1))\text{Ai}'(t(z+1)) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (3) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{Y}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{Y}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{Y}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}), \quad \mathbf{Y}_k = \mathbf{Y}_k(t, \sigma) = \left(\frac{\sigma}{t}\right)^k \mathbf{X}_k(t, \sigma) \quad (5.13)$$

By the known analytic and asymptotic properties of the Airy and complementary error function as well as Lemma 4.1, the jump matrix in RHP 5.4 satisfies the following norm estimates.

Proposition 5.5. *There exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^{\infty}[0, \infty)} \leq c\sqrt{t}e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^{\infty}(-\infty, -1]} \leq c \max\left\{1, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{t}}\right\} e^{-(t/\sigma)^2},$$

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^{\infty}[-1, 0]} \leq c\sqrt{t}e^{-(t/\sigma)^2},$$

for all $\sigma > 0$ and $t \geq 1$. In addition, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2[0, \infty)} \leq ct^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(-\infty, -1]} \leq c\sigma t^{-1} \max\left\{1, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{t}}\right\} e^{-(t/\sigma)^2},$$

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2[-1, 0]} \leq c\sqrt{t}e^{-(t/\sigma)^2},$$

for all $\sigma, t > 0$.

Proof. We only use that for all $x > 0$ with some numerical constant $c > 0$, cf. [56, Chapter 9],

$$|\text{Ai}(x)| \leq cx^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad |\text{Ai}'(x)| \leq cx^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad |\text{Ai}(-x)| \leq c|x|^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |\text{Ai}'(-x)| \leq c|x|^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

These combined with (4.2) and the fact that $\Phi(\frac{zt}{\sigma}) \exp[-\frac{4}{3}t^{3/2}(z+1)^{3/2}]$ is decreasing in $z \in [-1, 0]$ yield at once the above six inequalities. \square

By Proposition 5.5, as soon as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\sigma > 0$ is such that $t/\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, the jump matrix in the \mathbf{Y} -RHP is exponentially close to the identity matrix on all of \mathbb{R} . Consequently, the general theory in [30] ensures asymptotic solvability of the same problem, a fact which is made precise in the following result.

Theorem 5.6. *There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that the RHP for $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ defined in (5.12) is uniquely solvable in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and all $\sigma > 0$ such that $t\sigma^{-1} \geq t_0$. We can compute the solution of the same problem iteratively via the integral equation*

$$\mathbf{Y}(z; t, \sigma) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}_{-}(\lambda; t, \sigma) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\lambda; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \quad (5.14)$$

using that, for all $t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $t\sigma^{-1} \geq t_0$, with $c > 0$,

$$\|\mathbf{Y}_{-}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq c \max\left\{t^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\frac{4}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \sigma t^{-1} \max\left\{1, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{t}}\right\} e^{-(t/\sigma)^2}, \sqrt{t}e^{-(t/\sigma)^2}\right\}. \quad (5.15)$$

Equipped with Theorem 5.6, we arrive at the below proof of Theorem 1.12.

Proof of Theorem 1.12. By (5.8),(5.13),(5.14),(5.15), Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the explicit form of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_{\sigma}(t) = -\frac{it}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\mathbf{Y}_{-}(\lambda; t, \sigma)(\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\lambda; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I})]^{12} d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}^2(\lambda + t) d\lambda + r(t, \sigma), \quad (5.16)$$

where the error term $r(t, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$|r(t, \sigma)| \leq t(\text{RHS of (5.15)})^2.$$

Note that, see (2.36),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}^2(y + t) dy = N_{t, \sigma}(0, 0) \stackrel{(4.8)}{=} \frac{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} \int_{\Gamma} \exp\left[i\left(\frac{\lambda^3}{12\sigma^3} + \frac{\lambda t}{\sigma}\right) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right] \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\lambda,$$

so after changing variables according to the rule $y = \sigma\sqrt{t}\mu$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}^2(y + t) dy = \frac{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}}{4\pi} \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-t^{\frac{3}{2}}h(\mu, \tau)} \mu^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\mu; \quad h(\mu, \tau) := -i\left(\frac{\mu^3}{12} + \mu\right) + \frac{\tau}{4}\mu^2,$$

where we abbreviate $\tau := \sigma^2/\sqrt{t}$. For $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$, we have that $0 < \tau \leq t^{2(\epsilon - \frac{1}{4})}$ is bounded as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, so we can asymptotically evaluate the integral in question by the steepest descent method. In detail, by [58, Chapter 4, Theorem 7.1],

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}^2(y + t) dy = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \frac{(-\tau + \sqrt{4 + \tau^2})^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{(4 + \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-t^{\frac{3}{2}}h(\mu_*, \tau)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad 0 < \sigma \leq t^{\epsilon},$$

for any $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$ where $\mu_* := -i\tau + i\sqrt{4 + \tau^2}$ is the unique stationary point of $\mu \mapsto h(\mu, \tau)$ in the upper half-plane and which is bounded away from zero. Seeing that by (1.18),

$$t^{\frac{3}{2}}h(\mu_*, \tau) = B(t, \sigma),$$

estimate (5.16) then yields in the same asymptotic regime $t \rightarrow +\infty, 0 < \sigma \leq t^{\epsilon}, \epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_{\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \frac{(-\sigma^2 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{4 + \sigma^4 t^{-1}})^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{(4 + \sigma^4 t^{-1})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\max\left\{t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{8}{3}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}, te^{-2(t/\sigma)^2}\right\}\right).$$

However, since $h(\mu_*, \tau) < \frac{8}{3}$ and $h(\mu_*, \tau) < \frac{2}{\tau}$ for all $\tau \geq 0$, we have thus for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_{\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \frac{(-\sigma^2 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{4 + \sigma^4 t^{-1}})^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{(4 + \sigma^4 t^{-1})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad 0 < \sigma \leq t^{\epsilon}. \quad (5.17)$$

Moving ahead, for $\epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ we return to the contour integral formula for $N_{t, \sigma}(0, 0)$, but no longer rescale. Instead we write

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}^2(y + t) dy = \frac{e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(t/\sigma)k(y, t, \sigma)} y^{-\frac{3}{2}} dy; \quad k(y, t, \sigma) := -i\left(y + \frac{y^3}{12\sigma^2 t}\right) + \frac{y^2 \sigma}{4t},$$

and note that the unique stationary point $y_* := -i\sigma^3 + i\sigma^3\sqrt{1 + 4\tau^{-2}}$ of $y \mapsto k(y, t, \sigma)$ in the upper half-plane is bounded away from zero. Thus, by the steepest descent method,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right) \text{Ai}^2(y + t) dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\tau^{-2}})^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{\sigma^4(1 + 4\tau^{-2})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-(t/\sigma)k(y_*, t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma t^{-1})\right), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad t^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \sigma \leq t^{\epsilon},$$

for any $\epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$. But since also by (1.18),

$$(t/\sigma)k(y_*, t, \sigma) = B(t, \sigma) = t^{\frac{3}{2}}h(\mu_*, \tau),$$

estimate (5.16) yields by the same reasoning as above,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_{\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^4} \frac{(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t\sigma^{-4}})^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 + 4t\sigma^{-4})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma t^{-1})\right), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad t^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \sigma \leq t^{\epsilon}, \quad (5.18)$$

for any $\epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$. Observe that the leading orders in the right hand sides of (5.17) and (5.18) match, so we are left to integrate both expansions with respect to t . Indeed, since $F_\sigma(\infty) = 1$ for all $\sigma > 0$, we have for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$\ln F_\sigma(t) = - \int_t^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \ln F_\sigma(s) ds,$$

and so as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $0 < \sigma \leq t^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]$, using the representation for $B(t, \sigma)$ in (1.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \ln F_\sigma(t) &\stackrel{(5.17)}{=} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_t^\infty \frac{(-\sigma^2 s^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{4 + \sigma^4 s^{-1}})^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{s(4 + \sigma^4 s^{-1})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-B(s, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(s^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{(-\sigma^2 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{4 + \sigma^4 t^{-1}})^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{(4 + \sigma^4 t^{-1})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) = -A(t, \sigma) e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $t^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \sigma \leq t^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$, using the alternative representation for $B(t, \sigma)$ in (1.21),

$$\begin{aligned} \ln F_\sigma(t) &\stackrel{(5.18)}{=} -\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^4} \int_t^\infty \frac{(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4s\sigma^{-4}})^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 + 4s\sigma^{-4})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-B(s, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma s^{-1})\right) ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^6} \frac{(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t\sigma^{-4}})^{-\frac{5}{2}}}{(1 + 4t\sigma^{-4})^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma t^{-1})\right) = -A(t, \sigma) e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma t^{-1})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, seeing that $A(t, \sigma) e^{-B(t, \sigma)} \rightarrow 0$ super exponentially fast in the current asymptotic regimes, expansion (1.17) now follows from exponentiation. Our proof of Theorem 1.12 is complete. \square

5.2. Asymptotics, part 2 ($t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$). Consider the transformation,

$$\mathbf{Y}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := \mathbf{X} \left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}; t, \sigma \right) \mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}} \left(z; z_0\sigma, \frac{\pi}{4} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}, \quad (5.19)$$

with the Airy parametrix \mathbf{M}^{Ai} in (A.2), the contour $\Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$ as in RHP 5.7 and a yet to be determined real parameter z_0 . Using RHP A.1, our initial RHP 5.2 is transformed to the following one:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.7. *Let $(t, \sigma, z_0) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. The function $\mathbf{Y}(z) = \mathbf{Y}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (5.19) is uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$ where $\Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}} := \bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Gamma_j^\sigma \cup \{z_0\sigma\}$ with

$$\Gamma_1^\sigma := (z_0\sigma, \infty), \quad \Gamma_3^\sigma := (-\infty, z_0\sigma), \quad \Gamma_2^\sigma := e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0\sigma), \quad \Gamma_4^\sigma := e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0\sigma),$$

denotes the oriented contour shown in Figure 2. Moreover, on each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ to the closure of the same component.

- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{Y}_\pm(z)$ on $\Gamma_j^\sigma \ni z$ satisfy the jump condition $\mathbf{Y}_+(z) = \mathbf{Y}_-(z) \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ where the jump matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ is piecewise given by

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_1^\sigma; \quad \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_2^\sigma \cup \Gamma_4^\sigma;$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) & 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) \\ -1 - \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) & \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_3^\sigma.$$

- (3) $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z = z_0\sigma$.
(4) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full neighborhood of infinity off the jump contours,

$$\mathbf{Y}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{Y}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{Y}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right\} z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.20)$$




FIGURE 2. The oriented jump contours for $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ in the complex z -plane.

where we choose principal branches for all fractional exponents and the coefficients $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2$ equal

$$\mathbf{Y}_1 = \mathbf{Y}_1(t, \sigma) = \sigma \mathbf{X}_1(t, \sigma) - \frac{7}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_2 = \mathbf{Y}_2(t, \sigma) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{X}_2(t, \sigma) + t\sigma \mathbf{X}_1(t, \sigma) - \frac{7\sigma}{48} \mathbf{X}_1(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{5}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Our next move will simplify the jump on Γ_3 in RHP 5.7, while modifying the one on $\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4$. In detail, we make use of the matrix factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\zeta) & 1 - \Phi(\zeta) \\ -1 - \Phi(\zeta) & \Phi(\zeta) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \Phi(\zeta)(1 - \Phi(\zeta))^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=: \mathbf{M}(\zeta)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 - \Phi(\zeta) \\ -(1 - \Phi(\zeta))^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\zeta)(1 - \Phi(\zeta))^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

valid for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ given that $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$. In addition, since $1 - \Phi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}(\zeta)$, the same factorization is also valid in the closed sector $\arg \zeta \in [\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4}]$ which does not contain any of the zeros of the complementary error function, see [56, §7.13(ii)]. Thus, provided we choose $z_0 \leq \frac{t}{\sigma} =: \omega$ later on, the following transformation is well-defined,

$$\mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := \mathbf{Y}(z\sigma; t, \sigma, z_0) \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}, & \arg(z - z_0) \in (-\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}) \\ \mathbf{M}^{-1}(z - \omega), & \arg(z - z_0) \in (\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi) \\ \mathbf{M}(z - \omega), & \arg(z - z_0) \in (-\pi, -\frac{3\pi}{4}) \end{cases}, \quad (5.21)$$

and it transforms RHP 5.7 to the problem below:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.8. *Let $(t, \sigma, z_0) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $z_0 \leq \frac{t}{\sigma} =: \omega$. The function $\mathbf{T}(z) = \mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (5.21) is uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{T}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ where $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} := \bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Gamma_j \cup \{z_0\}$ with

$$\Gamma_1 := (z_0, \infty), \quad \Gamma_3 := (-\infty, z_0), \quad \Gamma_2 := e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0), \quad \Gamma_4 := e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0),$$

denotes the oriented contour shown in Figure 3. On each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{T}(z)$ to the closure of the same component.




FIGURE 3. The oriented jump contours for $\mathbf{T}(z)$ in the complex z -plane.

- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{T}_\pm(z)$ on $\Gamma_j \ni z$ satisfy $\mathbf{T}_+(z) = \mathbf{T}_-(z)\mathbf{G}_\mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ where the jump matrix $\mathbf{G}_\mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_\mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - \Phi(z - \omega) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_1; \\ \mathbf{G}_\mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4; \\ \mathbf{G}_\mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 - \Phi(z - \omega) \\ -(1 - \Phi(z - \omega))^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_3. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) $\mathbf{T}(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z = z_0$.
(4) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full neighborhood of infinity off the jump contours,

$$\mathbf{T}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{T}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{T}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right\} (z\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(z\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.22)$$

with principal branches throughout and the coefficients $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2$ equal to, compare (5.20),

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbf{T}_1(t, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{Y}_1(t, \sigma), \quad \mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbf{T}_2(t, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \mathbf{Y}_2(t, \sigma).$$

Remark 5.9. The analytic properties of $\mathbf{T}(z)$ listed in RHP 5.8 need no explanation given RHP 5.7 and the fact that $1 - \Phi(\zeta)$ is zero-free in the sector $\arg \zeta \in [\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4}]$. As for the asymptotic normalization (5.22), notice that

$$e^{-\frac{2}{3}(z\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma_3} \mathbf{M}^{\pm 1}(z - \omega) e^{\frac{2}{3}(z\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma_3} \rightarrow \mathbb{I},$$

super-exponentially fast as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $\arg(z - z_0) \in (-\pi, -\frac{3\pi}{4}) \cup (\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi)$, for any $t, \sigma > 0$ such that $z_0 \leq \omega < +\infty$, cf. [56, §7.12]. Hence, modulo the rescaling $z \mapsto z\sigma$, the transformation (5.21) affects the normalization (5.20) not to leading orders.

The off-diagonal entries in the jump matrix in RHP 5.8 on Γ_3 , together with the normalization (5.22), motivate our next step, namely the g -function transformation. First, define the scalar-valued function $g : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as the contour integral

$$g(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := \int_{z_0}^z \rho(\zeta; t, \sigma, z_0) d\zeta, \quad (5.23)$$

with path of integration in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0]$ and where

$$\rho(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := (z - z_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0], \quad (5.24)$$

is defined with the principal branch for $(z - z_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $(z - z_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{z - z_0} > 0$ when $\mathbb{R} \ni z > z_0$. Second, provided we choose $z_0 = z_0(t, \sigma)$ as the unique real-valued solution to the equation

$$z_0 = -\frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}}, \quad (5.25)$$

so that in particular $(-\infty, 0) \ni z_0 < \omega = \frac{t}{\sigma}$ for all $t, \sigma > 0$ which makes (5.21) bona fide, the function $g(z) = g(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ has the following analytic and asymptotic properties.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $t, \sigma > 0$. Consider $g(z) = g(z; t, \sigma, z_0), z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0]$ as defined in (5.23), (5.24) and (5.25). Then*

- (1) $g(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0]$ and attains continuous boundary values $g_{\pm}(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} g(z \pm i\epsilon)$ on $(-\infty, z_0) \ni z$.
- (2) The boundary values $g_{\pm}(z)$ on $(-\infty, z_0)$ satisfy the constraint

$$g_+(z) + g_-(z) = \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) - \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z_0 - \omega)), \quad z \in (-\infty, z_0). \quad (5.26)$$

- (3) As $z \rightarrow \infty$ with $z \notin (-\infty, z_0]$, for any $t, \sigma > 0$,

$$g(z) = \frac{2}{3} z^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{\ell}{2} + \frac{g_1}{z^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{g_2}{z^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-\frac{5}{2}}), \quad (5.27)$$

choosing the principal branch for $z^\alpha : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $z^\alpha > 0$ if $z > 0$. The z -independent coefficients $\ell = \ell(t, \sigma)$ and $g_j = g_j(t, \sigma)$ equal

$$\ell = -\frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z_0 - \omega)), \quad g_1 = -\frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \sqrt{z_0 - \lambda} d\lambda - \frac{z_0^2}{4}, \quad (5.28)$$

and

$$g_2 = \frac{1}{3(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} (z_0 - \lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}} d\lambda - \frac{z_0}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \sqrt{z_0 - \lambda} d\lambda - \frac{z_0^3}{12}. \quad (5.29)$$

Proof. Fix $t, \sigma > 0$ throughout. We begin by observing that $(-\infty, 0) \ni x \mapsto -\ln(1 - \Phi(x))$ is convex, and so

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} = -\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{-(\mu - \omega + z_0)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\mu - \omega + z_0)} \frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{-\mu}} < 0$$

a decreasing continuous function in $z_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$, which vanishes at $z_0 = -\infty$. On the other hand, the left hand side in (5.25) is an increasing, continuous function that connects $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ as z_0 increases on \mathbb{R} . Thus (5.25) indeed has a unique real-valued solution $z_0 = z_0(t, \sigma)$, for any $t, \sigma > 0$. Next, given the analytic and asymptotic properties of the complementary error function on \mathbb{R} , we note that

$$\Omega(z) := \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}$$

is well-defined for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0]$. Moreover we have the representation, valid for $z \notin (-\infty, z_0]$,

$$\Omega(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \left[\frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} - \frac{e^{-(z_0-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z_0 - \omega)} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z} - \frac{e^{-(z_0-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z_0 - \omega)} \pi(z - z_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.30)$$

where the first integral is an analytic function in z off its integration path, since it is a Cauchy integral of a function which obeys a Hölder condition on the contour, and the second term is analytic save for a branch cut. Consequently $\Omega : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0]$ and attains continuous boundary values $\Omega_{\pm}(z)$ on $(-\infty, z_0) \ni z$ by (5.30) and [39, §4.6, 5.1]. Moreover, by the Plemelj-Sokhotski formula,

$$\Omega_+(z) - \Omega_-(z) = \frac{e^{-(z-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z - \omega)} \frac{2\pi i}{\sqrt{z_0 - z}}, \quad z \in (-\infty, z_0).$$

This reasoning verifies the analyticity of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0] \ni z \mapsto \rho(z) = \rho(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$, for any $t, \sigma > 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the existence of its continuous boundary values $\rho_{\pm}(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \rho(z \pm i\epsilon)$ on $(-\infty, z_0)$,

$$\rho_{\pm}(z) = \pm i \sqrt{z_0 - z} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \Omega_{\pm}(z) \right], \quad z \in (-\infty, z_0),$$

and the relation

$$\rho_+(z) + \rho_-(z) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{e^{-(z-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z-\omega)} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{d}{dz} \ln(1 - \Phi(z-\omega)), \quad z \in (-\infty, z_0). \quad (5.31)$$

All together, the antiderivative of $z \mapsto \rho(z)$, i.e. $z \mapsto g(z)$ with integration path in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0]$, is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0] \ni z$ and has continuous boundary values $g_{\pm}(z)$ on $(-\infty, z_0)$ that satisfy

$$g_+(z) + g_-(z) = \int_{z_0}^z [\rho_+(\zeta) + \rho_-(\zeta)] d\zeta \stackrel{(5.31)}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z-\omega)) - \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z_0-\omega)).$$

This establishes property (1) and (2) in Proposition 5.10. For expansion (5.27), we begin with the observation

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \frac{z_0}{2} + \rho_0 \right\} - \frac{1}{z^2} \left\{ \frac{z_0^2}{8} - \frac{1}{2} z_0 \rho_0 + \rho_1 \right\} - \frac{1}{z^3} \left\{ \frac{z_0^3}{16} - \frac{1}{8} z_0^2 \rho_0 - \frac{1}{2} z_0 \rho_1 + \rho_2 \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{O}(z^{-4}) \right]; \quad \rho_k := \frac{1}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda-\omega)} \frac{\lambda^k}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} d\lambda, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \end{aligned}$$

valid as $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \notin (-\infty, z_0]$. Thus, with (5.25), i.e. with $z_0 = -2\rho_0$ in place, after simplification,

$$\rho(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 - \frac{g_1}{2z^2} - \frac{3g_2}{2z^3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-4}) \right], \quad (5.32)$$

using $g_j = g_j(t, \sigma)$ as in (5.28),(5.29), and so after z -integration, from (5.23),

$$g(z) = \frac{2}{3} z^{\frac{3}{2}} + g_0 + \frac{g_1}{z^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{g_2}{z^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-\frac{5}{2}}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \notin (-\infty, z_0], \quad (5.33)$$

where $g_0 = g_0(t, \sigma)$, the integration constant, is yet to be determined. However, consistency between (5.33) and (5.26) enforces $g_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z_0 - \omega))$ and thus $\ell = \ell(t, \sigma)$ in (5.27) as written in (5.28). This completes the proof of property (3) and thus the proof of Proposition 5.10. \square

Equipped with the above properties of $g(z) = g(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ we now proceed with the aforementioned g -function transformation. Define

$$\mathbf{S}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ g_1 \sigma^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) e^{s(g(z) - \frac{\ell}{2})\sigma_3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}; \quad s = \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (5.34)$$

where we don't indicate the (t, σ, z_0) -dependence of $g(z)$, ℓ and g_1 , compare (5.23) and (5.28). The defining properties of $\mathbf{S}(z)$ are summarized below:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.11. *Let $(t, \sigma) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ and $z_0 = z_0(t, \sigma)$ as in (5.25). The function $\mathbf{S}(z) = \mathbf{S}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (5.34) is uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{S}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ with $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ defined in RHP 5.8 and shown in Figure 3. On each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{S}(z)$ to the closure of the same component.
- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{S}_{\pm}(z)$ on $\Gamma_j \ni z$ satisfy $\mathbf{S}_+(z) = \mathbf{S}_-(z) \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ with the jump matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) e^{-s(2g(z) - \ell)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_1; \\ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))^{-1} e^{s(2g(z) - \ell)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}_S(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_3.$$

- (3) $\mathbf{S}(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z = z_0$.
(4) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full neighborhood of infinity off the jump contours,

$$\mathbf{S}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{S}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{S}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right\} (z\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} \quad (5.35)$$

with the principal branch for $z^\alpha : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2$ equal to, compare (5.22), (5.27),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}_1(t, \sigma) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ g_1 \sigma^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -g_1 \sigma^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 \sigma^3 & -g_1 \sigma \\ -g_2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3} g_1^3 \sigma^5 & -\frac{1}{2} g_1^2 \sigma^3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{S}_2 = \mathbf{S}_2(t, \sigma) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ g_1 \sigma^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 \sigma^3 & -g_1 \sigma \\ -g_2 \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{6} g_1^3 \sigma^5 & \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 \sigma^3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ g_1 \sigma^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_2(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -g_1 \sigma^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{bmatrix} g_1 g_2 \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{24} g_1^4 \sigma^6 & -g_2 \sigma - \frac{1}{6} g_1^3 \sigma^4 \\ * & -\frac{1}{8} g_1^4 \sigma^6 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We use $*$ to represent scalar entries, dependent on $g_j(t, \sigma)$ only, that are not needed in the following.

As seen in property (2) of RHP 5.11, certain combinations of $1 - \Phi(z - \omega)$ and $e^{-s(2g(z) - \ell)}$ appear in the jump entries. These turn out to be asymptotically small away from $z = z_0$, made precise below in our next result.

Proposition 5.12. *The following two inequalities hold for any $t, \sigma > 0$ with $s := \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} > 0$,*

$$\left| e^{-s(2g(z) - \ell)} (1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) \right| \leq e^{-\frac{4}{3}s(z - z_0)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad z \in \Gamma_1; \quad (5.36)$$

$$\left| e^{s(2g(z) - \ell)} (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))^{-1} \right| \leq e^{-\frac{2}{3}s|z - z_0|^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2s|z_0||z - z_0|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad z \in \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4. \quad (5.37)$$

Furthermore, for any $t, \sigma > 0$, let

$$0 < \epsilon_{t, \sigma} := \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \min \left\{ \frac{t}{\sigma}, 1 \right\} > 0. \quad (5.38)$$

Then the function

$$z \mapsto \eta(z) = \eta(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := 1 + \frac{1}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \left[\frac{e^{-(\lambda - \omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} - \frac{e^{-(z - \omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z - \omega)} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}$$

is analytic in the open disk $|z - z_0| < \epsilon_{t, \sigma}$ and satisfies $\eta(z_0) \geq 1$. Additionally, there exists $c > 0$, so that for any $t, \sigma \geq 1$,

$$|\eta(z) - \eta(z_0)| \leq c|z - z_0|, \quad |z - z_0| < \epsilon_{t, \sigma}. \quad (5.39)$$

Proof. We have for $z > z_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \left[2g(z) - \ell - \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) \right] &\stackrel{(5.23)}{=} 2\rho(z) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{e^{-(z - \omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z - \omega)} \\ &\stackrel{(5.24)}{=} \sqrt{z - z_0} \left\{ 2 + \frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \left[\frac{e^{-(\lambda - \omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} - \frac{e^{-(z - \omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z - \omega)} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z} \right\} \geq 2\sqrt{z - z_0}, \end{aligned}$$

since $(-\infty, 0) \ni x \mapsto -\ln(1 - \Phi(x))$ is convex and since

$$\sqrt{z - z_0} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z} = -\pi, \quad z > z_0.$$

Thus, upon integration of the above inequality, for any $z > z_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(z) - \ell - \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) &\stackrel{(5.23)}{=} \int_{z_0}^z \frac{d}{d\zeta} \left[2g(\zeta) - \ell - \frac{1}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(\zeta - \omega)) \right] d\zeta \\ &\geq 2 \int_{z_0}^z \sqrt{\zeta - z_0} d\zeta = \frac{4}{3} (z - z_0)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (5.36). For (5.37) we write instead

$$\left| e^{s(2g(z)-\ell)} (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))^{-1} \right| \stackrel{(5.28)}{=} |e^{2sg(z)}| \frac{\operatorname{erfc}(z_0 - \omega)}{|\operatorname{erfc}(z - \omega)|} \stackrel{(B.6)}{\leq} |e^{2sg(z)}|, \quad (5.40)$$

and now estimate $\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4 \ni z \mapsto g(z)$ as follows: since $g(z) = \int_0^{z-z_0} \rho(\zeta + z_0) d\zeta$ we can use (5.24), Fubini's theorem and the endpoint equation (5.25) to obtain the following exact expression for $g(z)$,

$$g(z) = \frac{2}{3} (z - z_0)^{\frac{3}{2}} + z_0 (z - z_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \arctan \left[\left(\frac{z - z_0}{z_0 - \lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] d\lambda, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0],$$

with principal branches for all fractional exponents and also with the principal value for the inverse trigonometric function, cf. [56, §4.23(ii)]. But for $w = |w|e^{\pm i\frac{3\pi}{8}} \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the simple estimate

$$|\arctan(w)| = \left| \int_0^w \frac{dt}{1+t^2} \right| \leq |w| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq |w|} \frac{1}{|1 + e^{\pm i\frac{3\pi}{4}} t^2|} \leq \sqrt{2}|w|,$$

and so all together, for $z \in \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4$,

$$\Re g(z) \leq \frac{2}{3} |z - z_0|^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos\left(\frac{9\pi}{8}\right) + z_0 \left(\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right) - \sqrt{2} \right) |z - z_0|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.41)$$

where we have used (5.25) one more time. Estimate (5.41) yields (5.37) and completes our derivation of the same inequality. Next, $z \mapsto \eta(z)$ is well defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ away from the sectors $\arg(z - \frac{t}{\sigma}) \in (-\frac{3\pi}{4}, -\frac{\pi}{2}) \cup (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4})$ and hence certainly analytic in any disk centered at z_0 which lies in the complement of the same sectors. This is achieved by (5.38). Also, again by convexity of $(-\infty, 0) \ni x \mapsto -\ln(1 - \Phi(x))$, we obtain at once the lower bound $\eta(z_0) \geq 1$, so it remains to derive (5.39) for any $t, \sigma \geq 1$. For that we estimate the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dz} \eta(z) = \frac{1}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0 - \epsilon_{t,\sigma}} k(\lambda, z; \omega) \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} + \frac{1}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{z_0 - \epsilon_{t,\sigma}}^{z_0} k(\lambda, z; \omega) \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}}$$

in the disk $|z - z_0| < \epsilon_{t,\sigma}$ when $t, \sigma \geq 1$. Given that

$$k(\lambda, z; \omega) := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left\{ \left[\frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} - \frac{e^{-(z-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z - \omega)} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda - z} \right\},$$

we obtain for $\lambda \in (-\infty, z_0 - \epsilon_{t,\sigma})$, by the properties of the functions involved,

$$|k(\lambda, z; \omega)| \leq \frac{c}{1 + |\lambda|},$$

uniformly on $|z - z_0| < \epsilon_{t,\sigma}$ for all $t, \sigma \geq 1$. On the other hand, when $\lambda \in (z_0 - \epsilon, z_0)$, in fact more generally for $|\lambda - z| < 2\epsilon_{t,\sigma}$ and $|z - z_0| < \epsilon_{t,\sigma}$, by Taylor's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |k(\lambda, z; \omega)| &= \frac{1}{|\lambda - z|^2} \left| \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} - \frac{e^{-(z-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(z - \omega)} + \sqrt{\pi} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \ln(1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)) \right|_{\lambda=z} (\lambda - z) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}^2} \max_{|\lambda - z| = 2\epsilon_{t,\sigma}} \left| \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \right| \leq \frac{c}{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there exist $c_k > 0$ so that for any $t, \sigma \geq 1$,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dz} \eta(z) \right| \leq c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{z_0 - \epsilon_{t,\sigma}}^{z_0} \frac{d\lambda}{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}^2 \sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}} = c_1 + \frac{c_3}{(\sigma \epsilon_{t,\sigma})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \stackrel{(5.38)}{\leq} c_4,$$

valid in the open disk $|z - z_0| < \epsilon_{t,\sigma}$. This yields (5.39) after integration and completes our proof of Proposition 5.12. \square

By (5.36) and (5.37), seeing that sz_0 is bounded from above because of (B.9), \mathbf{G}_S in RHP 5.11 is asymptotically localized near $z = z_0$ and along the line segment Γ_3 . We therefore proceed with the necessary local analysis and begin with the following standard problem:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.13. *Let $\sigma > 0$. Find $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z; \sigma, z_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that*

- (1) $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0]$.
- (2) The function $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z)$ attains square-integrable limiting values on $(-\infty, z_0]$ and those are related by the jump condition

$$\mathbf{P}_+^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathbf{P}_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in (-\infty, z_0).$$

- (3) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \frac{z_0}{4z} \sigma_3 + \frac{z_0^2}{32z^2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right\} (z\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3}, \quad (5.42)$$

with the principal branch for $z^\alpha : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $z^\alpha > 0$ when $z > 0$.

A direct computation verifies that

$$\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) = (z - z_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, z_0], \quad (5.43)$$

has the properties listed in RHP 5.13. Next, in a vicinity of $z = z_0$ we require a solution to the following model problem.

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.14. *Let $t, \sigma \geq 1$ and $z_0 = z_0(t, \sigma)$ as well as $\epsilon_{t,\sigma} > 0$ as in (5.25) and (5.38). Now find $\mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z) = \mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that*

- (1) $\mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ with the open disk $\mathbb{D}_r(z_0) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z)$ has the following local jump behavior, see Figure 3 for contour orientations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_+^{(z_0)}(z) &= \mathbf{P}_-^{(z_0)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))e^{-s(2g(z) - \ell)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_1 \cap \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0); \\ \mathbf{P}_+^{(z_0)}(z) &= \mathbf{P}_-^{(z_0)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))^{-1}e^{s(2g(z) - \ell)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in (\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4) \cap \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0); \end{aligned}$$

followed by

$$\mathbf{P}_+^{(z_0)}(z) = \mathbf{P}_-^{(z_0)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_3 \cap \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0).$$

- (3) $\mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z = z_0$.
- (4) As $t, \sigma \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following asymptotic matching between $\mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z)$ and $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z) &\sim \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_{2m+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\sigma(z - z_0)}{\zeta(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\zeta(z))^{-3m-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{2m+1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\frac{\sigma(z - z_0)}{\zeta(z)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\zeta(z))^{-3m-2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2m} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{2m} \end{bmatrix} (\zeta(z))^{-3m} \right\} \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z), \quad (5.44) \end{aligned}$$

which holds for $0 < \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{t,\sigma} \leq |z - z_0| \leq \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{t,\sigma} < \epsilon_{t,\sigma}$. Here, $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ is defined in (5.45) below and the (t, σ) -independent coefficients $\{a_m, b_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are given in RHP A.1.

In order to solve Problem 5.14 we consider the change of coordinates

$$\zeta(z) = \zeta(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := \left[\frac{3s}{2} \left\{ g(z) - \frac{\ell}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) \right\} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0); \quad s = \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} > 0, \quad (5.45)$$

which is well-defined by Proposition 5.12 and (5.39) for all $t, \sigma \geq 1$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) - \frac{\ell}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}} \ln(1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) &= \int_{z_0}^z (\xi - z_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \eta(z_0) (z - z_0)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3}{5} \frac{\eta'(z_0)}{\eta(z_0)} (z - z_0) + \mathcal{O}((z - z_0)^2) \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_t, \sigma}(z_0), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t, \sigma \geq 1$ and so $\mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_t, \sigma}(z_0) \ni z \mapsto \zeta(z)$ is locally conformal,

$$\zeta(z) = \sigma(\eta(z_0))^{\frac{2}{3}} (z - z_0) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{(k)}(z_0)}{\eta(z_0)} \frac{3}{2k+3} \frac{(z - z_0)^k}{k!} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_t, \sigma}(z_0). \quad (5.46)$$

In turn, the function

$$\mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z) = \left(\frac{\sigma(z - z_0)}{\zeta(z)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}} \left(\zeta(z); z_0, \frac{\pi}{4} \right) e^{\frac{3}{2}\zeta^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_t, \sigma}(z_0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad (5.47)$$

defined in terms of the Airy parametrix (A.2), solves RHP 5.14.

Remark 5.15. *In order to verify that (5.47) has the desired properties one needs to recall RHP A.1 and realize that*

$$\frac{\sigma(z - z_0)}{\zeta(z)} = \frac{1}{(\eta(z_0))^{\frac{2}{3}}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{5} \frac{\eta'(z_0)}{\eta(z_0)} (z - z_0) + \mathcal{O}((z - z_0)^2) \right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_t, \sigma}(z_0),$$

is locally analytic. Moreover, (5.44) follows from property (4) in RHP A.1 and from the estimate, see (5.46),

$$|\zeta(z)| \geq c\sigma|z - z_0| \geq c\sigma\epsilon_{t, \sigma} = c \min\{t, \sigma\}, \quad 0 < \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{t, \sigma} \leq |z - z_0| \leq \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}, \quad c > 0,$$

where we use that $\eta(z_0) \geq 1$ for all $t, \sigma \geq 1$.

Having completed the necessary local analysis with (5.43) and (5.47) we now move to our final transformation. Define

$$\mathbf{R}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) := \mathbf{S}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) \begin{cases} (\mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z; t, \sigma, z_0))^{-1}, & z \in \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}}(z_0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \\ (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z; \sigma, z_0))^{-1}, & z \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}}(z_0)} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \end{cases}, \quad (5.48)$$

and recall RHP 5.11, 5.13 and 5.14. The characterizing properties of $\mathbf{R}(z)$ are then as follows:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.16. *Let $t, \sigma \geq 1$ and $z_0 = z_0(t, \sigma), \epsilon_{t, \sigma}$ as in (5.25) and (5.38). The function $\mathbf{R}(z) = \mathbf{R}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (5.48) is uniquely determined by the following properties:*

(1) $\mathbf{R}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$ where

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} := \partial \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}}(z_0) \cup \left[z_0 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}, \infty \right) \cup \left\{ e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0] \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}}(z_0) \right\} \cup \left\{ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0] \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}}(z_0) \right\}$$

is shown in Figure 4. Moreover, on each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{R}(z)$ to the closure of the same component.

(2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{R}_{\pm}(z)$ on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} \ni z$ obey the constraint $\mathbf{R}_{+}(z) = \mathbf{R}_{-}(z) \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ where, for $z \in [z_0 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}, \infty)$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))e^{-s(2g(z) - \ell)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1},$$

and for $z \in e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}] \cup e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, z_0 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t, \sigma}]$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (1 - \Phi(z - \omega))^{-1}e^{s(2g(z) - \ell)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1}.$$

Additionally, for $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}_{\epsilon_t, \sigma}(z_0)$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \mathbf{P}^{(z_0)}(z) (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1}.$$

(3) As $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \notin \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$,

$$\mathbf{R}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{R}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{R}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}), \quad (5.49)$$

with $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2$ equal to, compare (5.35),(5.42),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_1 &= \mathbf{R}_1(t, \sigma) = \mathbf{S}_1(t, \sigma) - \frac{z_0}{4} \sigma_3, \\ \mathbf{R}_2 &= \mathbf{R}_2(t, \sigma) = \mathbf{S}_2(t, \sigma) + \frac{z_0^2}{32} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{z_0}{4} \mathbf{S}_1(t, \sigma) \sigma_3. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 4. The oriented jump contours for $\mathbf{R}(z)$ in the complex z -plane.

Remark 5.17. By construction, compare RHP 5.13 and 5.14, $\mathbf{R}(z)$ is analytic in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0)$ and on the half ray Γ_3 .

By Proposition 5.12 and (5.44), as soon as $t, \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, the jump matrix in the \mathbf{R} -RHP is close to the identity matrix on all of $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$. In detail, we record the following norm estimates.

Proposition 5.18. There exist $t_0, \sigma_0 \geq 1$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma \epsilon_{t,\sigma}}, \quad \|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma \sqrt{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}} \quad (5.50)$$

for all $t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$.

Proof. The three rays extending towards infinity yield exponentially small contributions to the identity only. For instance, on the real line extending to $+\infty$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \mathbb{I} - \frac{i}{2} (1 - \Phi(z - \omega)) e^{-s(2g(z) - \ell)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma(z - z_0)}} \\ -\sqrt{\sigma(z - z_0)} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \geq z_0 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{t,\sigma},$$

i.e. with (5.36) we are led to the optimization of $z \mapsto \sqrt{\sigma(z - z_0)} \exp[-\frac{4}{3}s(z - z_0)^{3/2}]$ on $[z_0 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t,\sigma}, \infty)$. This function's critical point is located at $z = z_0 + 1/(4^{2/3}\sigma)$ and choosing t, σ sufficiently large we can ensure that it does not lie in $[z_0 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t,\sigma}, \infty)$. Consequently, we obtain exponentially small sub-leading contributions in both, L^2 and L^∞ sense on the three rays,

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} \setminus \partial \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0))} \leq c_1 \sqrt{\sigma \epsilon_{t,\sigma}} e^{-c_2(\sigma \epsilon_{t,\sigma})^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad c_k > 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0,$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} \setminus \partial \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0))} \leq c_3 \sqrt[4]{\frac{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}{\sigma}} e^{-c_4(\sigma \epsilon_{t,\sigma})^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad c_k > 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0. \quad (5.51)$$

On the circle boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0)$ we use (5.44) instead and (5.50) follows at once. \square

In turn, by [30], RHP 5.16 is asymptotically solvable:

Theorem 5.19. *There exist $t_0, \sigma_0 \geq 1$ such that the RHP for $\mathbf{R}(z)$ defined in (5.48) is uniquely solvable in $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and all $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$. We can compute the solution of the same problem iteratively via the integral equation*

$$\mathbf{R}(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}, \quad (5.52)$$

using that, for all $t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$, with $c > 0$,

$$\|\mathbf{R}_-(\cdot; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma\sqrt{\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}}. \quad (5.53)$$

Equipped with Theorem 5.19, we can now derive Theorem 1.13.

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Let $t \geq t_0, \sigma \geq \sigma_0$ as in Theorem 5.19. We begin by recalling the explicit and invertible sequence of transformations

$$\mathbf{X}(z) \xrightarrow{(5.19)} \mathbf{Y}(z) \xrightarrow{(5.21)} \mathbf{T}(z) \xrightarrow{(5.34)} \mathbf{S}(z) \xrightarrow{(5.48)} \mathbf{R}(z), \quad z \notin (\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} \cup \mathbb{R}),$$

which converts the differential identities (5.8),(5.9) into the following three exact formulæ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_{\sigma}(t) = -g_1(t, \sigma)\sigma^2 - \sigma R_1^{12}(t, \sigma), \quad (5.54)$$

followed by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \ln F_{\sigma}(t) = g_1(t, \sigma)t\sigma - 3g_2(t, \sigma)\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{16\sigma} - R_1^{21}(t, \sigma) - 2\sigma R_2^{12}(t, \sigma) + \left(t + \frac{\sigma}{2}z_0(t, \sigma)\right) R_1^{12}(t, \sigma), \quad (5.55)$$

and concluding with

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \ln F_{\alpha}(\alpha) = -3g_2(\alpha, \alpha)\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{16\alpha} - R_1^{21}(\alpha, \alpha) - 2\alpha R_2^{12}(\alpha, \alpha) + \frac{\alpha}{2}z_0(\alpha, \alpha)R_1^{12}(\alpha, \alpha). \quad (5.56)$$

Here we have used that $\det \mathbf{R}(z) \equiv 1$ and so $\text{tr} \mathbf{R}_1 = 0$. Moreover, $g_j = g_j(t, \sigma)$ and $z_0 = z_0(t, \sigma)$ are as in (5.28),(5.29) and (5.25), and the scalar entries $R_k^{mn}(t, \sigma)$ of \mathbf{R}_k are computable via

$$\mathbf{R}_k(t, \sigma) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) \lambda^{k-1} d\lambda, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},$$

compare (5.52). Assuming now temporarily that $t_0 \leq t \leq \sigma$, we have the exact identity

$$\ln F_{\sigma}(t) = \ln F_{\sigma}(\sigma) - \int_t^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln F_{\sigma}(x) dx$$

and can thus use (5.54) and (5.56) in its asymptotic evaluation. First, by Corollary B.5, we have for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and all $t > 0$, using again $\omega = \frac{t}{\sigma}$,

$$-g_1(t, \sigma)\sigma^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} B(\omega) \right\} - \frac{1}{4\sigma} \left\{ \frac{d}{d\omega} C(\omega) \right\}^2 - \frac{\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{12\pi^{\frac{9}{2}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda-\omega)} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \right\}^3 - r_2(t, \sigma)\sigma^2, \quad (5.57)$$

with the error term $r_2(t, \sigma)$ satisfying $\int_t^{\infty} |r_2(x, \sigma)| dx \leq c\sigma^{-5}$ in the same parameter regime. On the other hand, by (5.44) and a residue computation, with $\Gamma := \partial\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{t,\sigma}}(z_0)$, for all $t \geq t_0, \sigma \geq \sigma_0$,

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) d\lambda \sim -\frac{7}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma\eta(z_0)} - \frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\eta'(z_0)}{(\sigma\eta(z_0))^2} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{J}_{mn}(\omega)}{\sigma^n (\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3m}{2}}},$$

with $z \mapsto \eta(z) = \eta(z; t, \sigma, z_0)$ as in Proposition 5.12 and a matrix-valued function \mathbf{J}_{mn} which satisfies

$$\int_t^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{J}_{mn} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma} \right) \right\| ds \leq c_{mn}\sigma, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{J}_{mn} \left(\frac{t}{s} \right) \right\| \frac{ds}{s^{n+\frac{3m}{2}}} \leq c_{mn}t^{1-n-\frac{3m}{2}}, \quad c_{mn} > 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0.$$

Also, using the integral equation,

$$\mathbf{R}_-(z; t, \sigma, z_0) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z_-}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}},$$

see (5.52), with (5.53) in place, we obtain iteratively through the structure in (5.44), for all $t \geq t_0, \sigma \geq \sigma_0$,

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) d\lambda \sim \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{K}_{mn}(\omega)}{\sigma^n (\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3m}{2}}}$$

with a matrix-valued function \mathbf{K}_{mn} that satisfies

$$\int_t^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{K}_{mn} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma} \right) \right\| ds \leq c_{mn} \sigma, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{K}_{mn} \left(\frac{t}{s} \right) \right\| \frac{ds}{s^{n+\frac{3m}{2}}} \leq c_{mn} t^{1-n-\frac{3m}{2}}, \quad c_{mn} > 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0.$$

But also, for any $t_0 \leq t \leq \sigma$, by (5.51) and (5.53),

$$\left\| \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} \setminus \Gamma} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) d\lambda \right\| \leq \left(\frac{c}{\sigma} \right) e^{-c_2 t^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad c > 0,$$

and thus together, for all $t_0 \leq t \leq \sigma$,

$$\mathbf{R}_1(t, \sigma) \sim -\frac{7}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma\eta(z_0)} - \frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\eta'(z_0)}{(\sigma\eta(z_0))^2} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{L}_{mn}(\omega)}{\sigma^n (\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3m}{2}}} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-1} e^{-ct^{\frac{3}{2}}}) \quad (5.58)$$

where the matrix-valued function \mathbf{L}_{mn} satisfies

$$\int_t^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{L}_{mn} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma} \right) \right\| ds \leq c_{mn} \sigma, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{L}_{mn} \left(\frac{t}{s} \right) \right\| \frac{ds}{s^{n+\frac{3m}{2}}} \leq c_{mn} t^{1-n-\frac{3m}{2}}, \quad c_{mn} > 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0.$$

Consequently, combining (5.57) and (5.58) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln F_{\sigma}(x) dx &\stackrel{(5.54)}{=} \int_t^{\sigma} (g_1(x, \sigma)\sigma^2 + \sigma R_1^{12}(x, \sigma)) dx = \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(1) - \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(\omega) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\omega}^1 \left\{ \frac{d}{du} D(u) \right\}^2 du + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (5.59)$$

Second, by Corollary B.6, for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$,

$$-3g_2(\alpha, \alpha)\alpha^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left\{ \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} C(1) \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-\frac{5}{2}}). \quad (5.60)$$

Moreover, for all $t \geq t_0, \sigma \geq \sigma_0$ by (5.44) and via iteration,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) \lambda d\lambda &\sim -\frac{7}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{z_0}{\sigma\eta(z_0)} \\ &\quad + \frac{5}{48} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2\eta(z_0)} - \frac{3}{5} \frac{z_0\eta'(z_0)}{(\sigma\eta(z_0))^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{M}_{mn}(\omega)}{\sigma^n (\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3m}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the matrix-valued function \mathbf{M}_{mn} satisfies

$$\int_t^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{M}_{mn} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma} \right) \right\| ds \leq c_{mn} \sigma, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \left\| \mathbf{M}_{mn} \left(\frac{t}{s} \right) \right\| \frac{ds}{s^{n+\frac{3m}{2}}} \leq c_{mn} t^{1-n-\frac{3m}{2}}, \quad c_{mn} > 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0.$$

Furthermore, for any $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq t$, by (5.51) and (5.53),

$$\left\| \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} \setminus \Gamma} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma, z_0) - \mathbb{I}) \lambda d\lambda \right\| \leq \left(\frac{c}{\sigma} \right) e^{-c_2 \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad c > 0,$$

and so all together, for all $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_2(t, \sigma) &\sim -\frac{7}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{z_0}{\sigma\eta(z_0)} + \frac{5}{48} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2\eta(z_0)} - \frac{3}{5} \frac{z_0\eta'(z_0)}{(\sigma\eta(z_0))^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{M}_{mn}(\omega)}{\sigma^n (\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3m}{2}}} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-1} e^{-c\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.61)$$

Consequently, combining (5.60),(5.61) and (5.58),

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln F_\sigma(\sigma) &= \ln F_{\sigma_0}(\sigma_0) + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \ln F_\alpha(\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\stackrel{(5.56)}{=} \ln F_{\sigma_0}(\sigma_0) - \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \left(3g_2(\alpha, \alpha)\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{16\alpha} + R_1^{21}(\alpha, \alpha) + 2\alpha R_2^{12}(\alpha, \alpha) - \frac{\alpha}{2} z_0(\alpha, \alpha) R_1^{12}(\alpha, \alpha) \right) d\alpha \\
&= \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(1) + \eta_0 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-1}), \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

where η_0 is a numerical constant, independent of (t, σ) . Together with (5.59), (5.62) yields thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln F_\sigma(t) &= \ln F_\sigma(\sigma) - \int_t^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln F_\sigma(x) dx \\
&= \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(\omega) + \frac{1}{4} \int_\omega^1 \left\{ \frac{d}{du} D(u) \right\}^2 du + \eta_1 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-1}), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq \sigma,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.63}$$

with another numerical constant η_1 . Moving ahead, we now consider the complementary regime $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq t$ and use the identity

$$\ln F_\sigma(t) = \ln F_t(t) - \int_\sigma^t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \ln F_y(t) dy.$$

By Corollary B.5 and B.6, for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and all $t > 0$,

$$g_1(t, \sigma)t\sigma - 3g_2(t, \sigma)\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{16\sigma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left\{ \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(\omega) \right\} + \frac{t}{4\sigma^2} \left\{ \frac{d}{d\omega} D(\omega) \right\}^2 + \frac{1}{16\sigma} + \bar{r}(t, \sigma) \tag{5.64}$$

and the error term $\bar{r}(t, \sigma)$ satisfies $\int_\sigma^\infty |\bar{r}(t, y)| dy \leq c\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ in the same parameter regime. Moving ahead, (5.58) gets replaced by

$$\mathbf{R}_1(t, \sigma) \sim -\frac{7}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma\eta(z_0)} - \frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\eta'(z_0)}{(\sigma\eta(z_0))^2} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{L}_{mn}(\omega)}{\sigma^n (\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3m}{2}}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\sigma^{-1} e^{-c\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$$

once $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq t$ and thus we find together with (5.61) that

$$R_1^{21}(t, \sigma) + 2\sigma R_2^{12}(t, \sigma) - \left(t + \frac{\sigma}{2} z_0(t, \sigma) \right) R_1^{12}(t, \sigma) = \frac{1}{16\sigma} + \hat{r}(t, \sigma), \quad \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq t, \tag{5.65}$$

where $\hat{r}(t, \sigma)$ is such that $\int_\sigma^\infty |\hat{r}(t, y)| dy \leq c\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Hence, combining (5.64) and (5.65),

$$\int_\sigma^t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \ln F_y(t) dy \stackrel{(5.55)}{=} t^{\frac{3}{2}} C(1) - \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(\omega) + \frac{1}{4} \int_1^\omega \left\{ \frac{d}{du} D(u) \right\}^2 du + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \quad \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq t,$$

and so with (5.62),

$$\ln F_\sigma(t) = \ln F_t(t) - \int_\sigma^t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \ln F_y(t) dy = \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(\omega) - \frac{1}{4} \int_1^\omega \left\{ \frac{d}{du} D(u) \right\}^2 du + \eta_2 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \tag{5.66}$$

valid for all $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq t$, with another numerical constant η_2 . It now remains to combine (5.66) and (5.63) into the single estimate

$$\ln F_\sigma(t) = \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} C(\omega) + \frac{1}{4} \int_\omega^\infty \left\{ \frac{d}{du} D(u) \right\}^2 du + \eta + \mathcal{O}(\max\{t^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}\}), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0, \tag{5.67}$$

where we use that $\frac{d}{dx} D(x)$ is square integrable on \mathbb{R}_+ and η denotes an outstanding numerical constant. In order to determine it, we simply fix $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and let $t \rightarrow +\infty$, i.e. we let $\omega \rightarrow +\infty$. In this limit, both leading terms in (5.67) approach zero super-exponentially fast, on the other hand (1.17) yields $F_\sigma(t) \sim 1$ in the same limit, so we must have $\eta = 0$. This completes our proof of Theorem 1.13. \square

6. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.15

We now return to our starting point in RHP 5.2 but set out to investigate the same problem as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. En route we will use the same notations and symbols as in our previous $t \rightarrow +\infty$ analysis.

6.1. **Asymptotics, part 3** ($t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$ with $c > 0$). Inspired by [22] we consider the transformation,

$$\mathbf{Y}(z; t, \sigma) := \mathbf{X}\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}; t, \sigma\right) \mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}\left(z; t, \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}, \quad (6.1)$$

with the Airy parametrix \mathbf{M}^{Ai} in (A.2) and the contour $\Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$ in RHP 6.1. Using RHP A.1, the initial RHP 5.2 is transformed to the one below:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.1. *Let $(t, \sigma) \in (-\infty, 0) \times (0, \infty)$. The function $\mathbf{Y}(z) = \mathbf{Y}(z; t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (6.1) is uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$ where $\Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}} := \bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Gamma_j \cup \{t\}$ with

$$\Gamma_1 := (t, \infty), \quad \Gamma_3 := (-\infty, t), \quad \Gamma_2 := e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, t), \quad \Gamma_4 := e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, t),$$

denotes the contour shown in Figure 5. In addition, on each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ to the closure of the same component.

- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{Y}_{\pm}(z)$ on $\Gamma_j \ni z$ satisfy the jump condition $\mathbf{Y}_+(z) = \mathbf{Y}_-(z) \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma)$ where the jump matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma)$ is piecewise given by

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_1; \quad \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4;$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Y}}(z; t, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) & 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) \\ -1 - \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) & \Phi\left(\frac{z-t}{\sigma}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Gamma_3.$$




FIGURE 5. The oriented jump contours for $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ in the complex z -plane.

- (3) $\mathbf{Y}(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z = t$.
(4) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, provided $z \notin \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$,

$$\mathbf{Y}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{Y}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{Y}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right\} z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma_3}, \quad (6.2)$$

where we choose principal branches for all fractional exponents and the coefficients $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2$ equal

$$\mathbf{Y}_1 = \mathbf{Y}_1(t, \sigma) = \sigma \mathbf{X}_1(t, \sigma) - \frac{7}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_2 = \mathbf{Y}_2(t, \sigma) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{X}_2(t, \sigma) + t\sigma \mathbf{X}_1(t, \sigma) - \frac{7\sigma}{48} \mathbf{X}_1(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{5}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next, with (4.2) in mind, we center RHP 6.1 at $z = 0$. In detail, we consider the transformation

$$\mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma) := \mathbf{Y}(z + t; t, \sigma), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}} - t), \quad (6.3)$$

and thus transform RHP 6.1 to the one below:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.2. *Let $(t, \sigma) \in (-\infty, 0) \times (0, \infty)$. The function $\mathbf{T}(z) = \mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (6.3) is uniquely determined by the following four properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{T}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$. The contour $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} := \Sigma_{\mathbf{Y}} - t$ is shown in Figure 6 and on each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{T}(z)$ to the closure of the same component.
- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{T}_{\pm}(z)$ on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \ni z$ satisfy $\mathbf{T}_{+}(z) = \mathbf{T}_{-}(z)\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{T}}(z; t, \sigma)$ where the jump matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{T}}(z; t, \sigma)$ is of the form,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{T}}(z; t, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4; \quad \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{T}}(z; t, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) & 1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) \\ -1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) & \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1;$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{T}}(z; t, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3.$$




FIGURE 6. The oriented jump contour $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ in the complex z -plane.

- (3) $\mathbf{T}(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$.
- (4) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full vicinity of infinity off the jump contour $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$,

$$\mathbf{T}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{T}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{T}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right\} z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(z+t)\frac{3}{2}\sigma_3}, \quad (6.4)$$

using principal branches throughout and where the coefficients $\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2$, equal, compare (6.2),

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbf{T}_1(t, \sigma) = \mathbf{Y}_1(t, \sigma) - \frac{t}{4}\sigma_3,$$

$$\mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbf{T}_2(t, \sigma) = \mathbf{Y}_2(t, \sigma) - t\mathbf{Y}_1(t, \sigma) - \frac{t^2}{4}\mathbf{Y}_1(t, \sigma)\sigma_3 + \frac{t^2}{32} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moving ahead, we employ a g -function transformation similar to the one from [26, (3.8)] with $k = 0$ or, equivalently, the one from [16, (3.1)] with $V = 0$: let

$$g(z) = g(z; t) := \frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(z + \frac{3t}{2} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0],$$

be defined and analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ such that $z^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{z} > 0$ for $z > 0$. Now set

$$\mathbf{S}(z; t, \sigma) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4}t^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}(z; t, \sigma) e^{g(z;t)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad (6.5)$$

and summarize the defining properties of $\mathbf{S}(z)$ below:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.3. *Let $(t, \sigma) \in (-\infty, 0) \times (0, \infty)$. The function $\mathbf{S}(z) = \mathbf{S}(z; t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (6.5) is uniquely determined by the following four properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{S}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ and on each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{S}(z)$ to the closure of the same component, compare Figure 6.
- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{S}_{\pm}(z)$ on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \ni z$ satisfy $\mathbf{S}_+(z) = \mathbf{S}_-(z) \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma)$ with $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma)$ equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}))e^{-2g(z;t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4; \\ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma) &= \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma})e^{\Pi(z;t)} & 1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) \\ -1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) & \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma})e^{-\Pi(z;t)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1; \\ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{2g(z;t)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3; \end{aligned}$$

where we abbreviate

$$\Pi(z; t) := g_+(z; t) - g_-(z; t) = \frac{4i}{3} \sqrt{|z|} \left(z + \frac{3t}{2} \right), \quad z < 0.$$

- (3) $\mathbf{S}(z)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$.
- (4) As $z \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full vicinity of infinity off the jump contour $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$

$$\mathbf{S}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{S}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{S}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \right\} z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3}, \quad (6.6)$$

with principal branches throughout and coefficients $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2$ given by, see (6.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}_1(t, \sigma) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4}t^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4}t^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{32}t^4 & \frac{1}{4}t^2 \\ -\frac{1}{24}t^3 - \frac{1}{192}t^6 & -\frac{1}{32}t^4 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{S}_2 = \mathbf{S}_2(t, \sigma) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4}t^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_2(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4}t^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4}t^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1(t, \sigma) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{32}t^4 & \frac{1}{4}t^2 \\ -\frac{1}{24}t^3 + \frac{1}{384}t^6 & \frac{1}{32}t^4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{96}(t^5 - \frac{1}{64}t^8) & -\frac{1}{24}(t^3 - \frac{1}{16}t^6) \\ \frac{1}{64}(t^4 + \frac{1}{12}t^7 - \frac{1}{480}t^{10}) & -\frac{1}{2048}t^8 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed with the following inequalities for the nontrivial entries of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}(z; t, \sigma)$.

Proposition 6.4. *We have for any $t < 0$ and $\sigma > 0$,*

$$\left| e^{2g(z;t)} \right| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}t\sqrt{|z|}}, \quad z \in \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3; \quad \left| \Phi\left(\frac{z}{\sigma}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{-(z/\sigma)^2}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1; \quad (6.7)$$

followed by

$$\left| \left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{z}{\sigma}\right)\right) e^{-2g(z;t)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[-\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sigma^2} \left(z^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{4}{3} z \sigma^2 + 2t \sigma^2 \right) \right], \quad z \in \Sigma_4. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. All three estimates are easy consequences of the explicit formula for $g(z; t)$ and estimate (4.2). \square

By (6.7) and (6.8), $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{S}}$ in RHP 6.3 is asymptotically localized near $z = 0$ and along the line segment Σ_1 , as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $0 < \sigma \leq c|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$ for any $c, \epsilon > 0$ (so in particular for the values of σ with $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$ for any fixed $c > 0$). We thus proceed with the necessary local analysis and the following two model problems:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.5. *Find $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that*

- (1) $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$.

- (2) $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z)$ attains square-integrable limiting values on $(-\infty, 0]$ and those are related by the jump condition

$$\mathbf{P}_+^{(\infty)}(z) = \mathbf{P}_-^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in (-\infty, 0).$$

- (3) As $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-\infty}) \right\} z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3},$$

with the principal branch for $z^\alpha : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $z^\alpha > 0$ when $z > 0$.

As in (5.43), a direct computation verifies that

$$\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) = z^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \quad (6.9)$$

has the properties listed in RHP 6.5. Next, in a vicinity of $z = 0$, we require a solution to the following model problem.

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.6. Let $-t \geq t_0$ be sufficiently large and $\sigma > 0$ such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$ for any fixed $c > 0$. Now find $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z) = \mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z; t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that

- (1) $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{D}_1(0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ with the open disk $\mathbb{D}_r(z_0) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z)$ has the following local jump behavior, see Figure 6 for contour orientations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_+^{(0)}(z) &= \mathbf{P}_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}))e^{-2g(z;t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_4 \cap \mathbb{D}_1(0); \\ \mathbf{P}_+^{(0)}(z) &= \mathbf{P}_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma})e^{\Pi(z;t)} & 1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) \\ -1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) & \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma})e^{-\Pi(z;t)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \Sigma_1 \cap \mathbb{D}_1(0); \\ \mathbf{P}_+^{(0)}(z) &= \mathbf{P}_-^{(0)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{2g(z;t)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in (\Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3) \cap \mathbb{D}_1(0). \end{aligned}$$

- (3) When $-t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$ for any fixed $c > 0$, we have the following asymptotic matching between $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z)$ and $\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z)$,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma t) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \right\} \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z), \quad (6.10)$$

which holds uniformly for $0 < \frac{1}{4} \leq |z| \leq \frac{3}{4} < 1$. Here, $\mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}}(x)$ is as in RHP A.3, condition (4).

In order to solve Problem 6.6 we consider the conformal change of coordinates

$$\zeta(z) := \frac{z}{\sigma}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_1(0),$$

and in turn the function

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z) = \sigma^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}} \left(\zeta(z); -t\sqrt{\sigma} - \frac{2}{3}z\sqrt{\sigma} \right) e^{g(z;t)\sigma_3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_1(0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad (6.11)$$

defined in terms of the generalized Bessel parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x)$, the unique solution of RHP A.3. Note that (6.11) is well-defined in the indicated domain for all $-t$ sufficiently large and $\sigma > 0$ such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$, compare Lemma A.4, condition (i). Moreover, the same function is analytic in $z \in \mathbb{D}_1(0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ by RHP A.3 and it attains continuous limiting values on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$. Using RHP A.3, condition (2), one then checks that these limiting values are as desired, so we are left to establish that (6.11) obeys (6.10). To that end, if $-t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma > 0$ are such that $0 < \delta \leq \sigma t^2 \leq c$ is bounded away from zero, then (6.10) is immediate from (6.9), (6.11) and (A.5), given that (A.5) holds uniformly in any small disk centered on the positive half ray and given that $-t\sqrt{\sigma}$ is bounded away from zero, compare Lemma A.4. In fact, for those values of (t, σ) we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \sigma^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}} \left(-t\sqrt{\sigma} - \frac{2}{3}z\sqrt{\sigma} \right) \sigma^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \left(\frac{z}{\sigma} \right)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}) \right\} \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z), \quad (6.12)$$

uniformly for $0 < \frac{1}{4} \leq |z| \leq \frac{3}{4} < 1$. If however $-t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma > 0$ are such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$ tends to zero, then (A.5) is no longer applicable since $-t\sqrt{\sigma} \downarrow 0$. Instead we now use (A.6) and RHP A.2, condition (4), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z) &= \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \frac{\sigma}{2z} \left(-t - \frac{2z}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & 1 \\ -\frac{9}{64} & -\frac{3}{8} \end{bmatrix} \left(-t - \frac{2z}{3} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0,\infty)}(u) - \Phi(u)) du \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(-t - \frac{2z}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9}{128} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{3}{1024} & -\frac{9}{128} \end{bmatrix} \left(-t - \frac{2z}{3} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{z} \left(-t - \frac{2z}{3} \right)^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(t^3\sigma^2) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma) \right\} \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

uniformly for $0 < \frac{1}{4} \leq |z| \leq \frac{3}{4} < 1$. Combining (6.12) and (6.13) we arrive at (6.10), i.e. (6.11) constitutes a solution of RHP 6.6. Having completed the necessary local analysis with (6.9) and (6.11) we now compare both functions to $\mathbf{S}(z)$ in the following way. Define

$$\mathbf{R}(z; t, \sigma) := \mathbf{S}(z; t, \sigma) \begin{cases} (\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z; t, \sigma))^{-1}, & z \in \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \\ (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1}, & z \notin \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \end{cases}, \quad (6.14)$$

and recall RHP 6.3, 6.5 and 6.6. The defining properties of $\mathbf{R}(z)$ are as follows:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.7. *Let $-t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$. The function $\mathbf{R}(z) = \mathbf{R}(z; t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined in (6.7) is uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (1) $\mathbf{R}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$ where

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} := \partial\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) \cup \left[\frac{1}{2}, \infty \right) \cup \left(-\infty, -\frac{1}{2} \right] \cup (\Sigma_2 \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)) \cup (\Sigma_3 \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))$$

is shown in Figure 7. Moreover, on each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$ there is a continuous extension of $\mathbf{R}(z)$ to the closure of the same component.

- (2) The continuous limiting values $\mathbf{R}_{\pm}(z)$ on $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}} \ni z$ obey the constraint $\mathbf{R}_{+}(z) = \mathbf{R}_{-}(z)\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma)$ where, for $z \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma) = \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}))e^{-2g(z;t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1},$$

and for $z \in (-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}]$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma) = \mathbf{P}_{-}^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) & -\Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma})e^{\Pi(z;t)} \\ \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma})e^{-\Pi(z;t)} & 1 + \Phi(\frac{z}{\sigma}) \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}_{-}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1}.$$

Additionally, for $z \in (\Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma) = \mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{2g(z;t)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1},$$

and for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma) = \mathbf{P}^{(0)}(z; t, \sigma) (\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)}(z))^{-1}.$$

- (3) As $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \notin \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$,

$$\mathbf{R}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{R}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{R}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}),$$

with $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2$ equal to, compare (6.6) and (6.9),

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{R}_1(t, \sigma) = \mathbf{S}_1(t, \sigma), \quad \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_2(t, \sigma) = \mathbf{S}_2(t, \sigma).$$

Remark 6.8. *By construction, compare RHP 6.6, $\mathbf{R}(z)$ is analytic in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$.*

By Proposition 6.4 and (6.10), as soon as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\sigma > 0$ is such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$, the jump matrix in the \mathbf{R} -RHP is close to the identity matrix on all of $\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$. In detail, we have the following small norm estimates.




FIGURE 7. The oriented jump contours for $\mathbf{R}(z)$ in the complex z -plane.

Proposition 6.9. *For any $c > 0$ there exist $t_0, d > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})} \leq d \max\{\sigma t, t^{-1}\}, \quad \|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})} \leq d \max\{\sigma t, t^{-1}\} \quad (6.15)$$

for all $-t \geq t_0$ and all $\sigma > 0$ such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{P}_-^{(\infty)}(z)$ is bounded on the four rays extending to infinity and independent of (t, σ) , the same four rays yield exponentially small contributions of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(z; t, \sigma)$ to the identity by (4.2) and (6.7),(6.8). Hence, (6.15) is a direct consequence of (6.10). \square

In turn, (6.15) implies that RHP 6.7 is asymptotically solvable, cf. [30]:

Theorem 6.10. *Let $c > 0$. There exist $t_0, d > 0$ such that the RHP for $\mathbf{R}(z)$ defined in (6.14) is uniquely solvable in $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})$ for all $-t \geq t_0$ and all $\sigma > 0$ such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$. We can compute the solution of the same problem iteratively via the integral equation*

$$\mathbf{R}(z; t, \sigma) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{R}}, \quad (6.16)$$

using that, for all $-t \geq t_0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{R}_-(\cdot; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{R}})} \leq d \max\{\sigma t, t^{-1}\}. \quad (6.17)$$

At this point we are prepared to prove Theorem 1.15.

Proof of Corollary 1.15. We choose $c > 0$ and $-t \geq t_0$ together with $0 < \sigma t^2 \leq c$ as in Theorem 6.10. After that we recall the explicit and invertible sequence of transformations

$$\mathbf{X}(z) \xrightarrow{(6.1)} \mathbf{Y}(z) \xrightarrow{(6.3)} \mathbf{T}(z) \xrightarrow{(6.5)} \mathbf{S}(z) \xrightarrow{(6.14)} \mathbf{R}(z),$$

that converts the differential identities in (5.8) into the following two exact formulæ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln F_\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{4} t^2 - R_1^{12}(t, \sigma), \quad (6.18)$$

and, using en route that $\text{tr } \mathbf{R}_1 = 0$ because $\det \mathbf{R}(z) \equiv 1$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \ln F_\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{16\sigma} - \frac{1}{\sigma} R_1^{21}(t, \sigma) - \frac{t}{2\sigma} R_1^{12}(t, \sigma) - \frac{2}{\sigma} R_2^{12}(t, \sigma). \quad (6.19)$$

Next, by (6.16) up to exponentially small corrections,

$$\mathbf{R}_k(t, \sigma) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_{|\lambda|=\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{R}_-(\lambda; t, \sigma) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{R}}(\lambda; t, \sigma) - \mathbb{I}) \lambda^{k-1} d\lambda, \quad k \in \{1, 2\},$$

so in particular by (6.13) and (6.17), as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\sigma > 0$ is such that $-t\sqrt{\sigma} \downarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_1(t, \sigma) &= \frac{\sigma}{2} (-t)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & 1 \\ -\frac{9}{64} & -\frac{3}{8} \end{bmatrix} (-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) \, dy \\ &\quad + (-t)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9}{128} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{3}{1024} & -\frac{9}{128} \end{bmatrix} (-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} t^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(t^3 \sigma^2) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}(\max\{(\sigma t)^2, t^{-2}\}), \\ \mathbf{R}_2(t, \sigma) &= \mathcal{O}(t^3 \sigma^2) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma) + \mathcal{O}(\max\{(\sigma t)^2, t^{-2}\}). \end{aligned}$$

In turn, by (6.18) and (6.19) after indefinite integration, as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, with a numerical constant $\eta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\ln F_\sigma(t) = \frac{t^3}{12} - \frac{1}{8} \ln |t| + \eta_1 + \mathcal{O}(\max\{\sigma t^2, t^{-1}\}), \quad t \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \sigma > 0: \quad -t\sqrt{\sigma} \downarrow 0. \quad (6.20)$$

On the other hand, by (6.13) and (6.17), as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\sigma > 0$ is such that $0 < \delta \leq -t\sqrt{\sigma} \leq c$ is bounded away from zero yet finite,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_1(t, \sigma) &= \sigma^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}}(-t\sqrt{\sigma}) \sigma^{\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \sigma + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}) + \mathcal{O}(\max\{(\sigma t)^2, t^{-2}\}), \\ \mathbf{R}_2(t, \sigma) &= \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}) + \mathcal{O}(\max\{(\sigma t)^2, t^{-2}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the workings in Lemma A.4, leading to (A.6), as $x \downarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & 1 \\ -\frac{9}{64} & -\frac{3}{8} \end{bmatrix} x^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) \, dy \\ &\quad + x^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9}{128} & -\frac{1}{8} \\ -\frac{3}{1024} & -\frac{9}{128} \end{bmatrix} x^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} x^{-2} + x^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \mathcal{O}(x^2) x^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(x^2)$ is to be understood entrywise. Thus, setting

$$\mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}}(x) =: \begin{bmatrix} p(x) & q(x) \\ r(x) & -p(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad p, q, r : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

we derive from (6.18) and (6.19) by indefinite integration, as $t \rightarrow -\infty$, with a numerical constant $\eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\ln F_\sigma(t) = \frac{t^3}{12} - \frac{1}{8} \ln |t| + \int_0^{-t\sqrt{\sigma}} \left(q(x) + \frac{1}{8x} \right) dx + \eta_2 + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}), \quad \sigma > 0: \quad 0 < \delta \leq -t\sqrt{\sigma} \leq c, \quad (6.22)$$

using en route that

$$q(x) + \frac{1}{8x} = \frac{x}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) \, dy + \mathcal{O}(x^3), \quad x \downarrow 0, \quad (6.23)$$

is integrable at $x = 0$. Consequently, combining (6.20) and (6.22) we arrive at (1.30), modulo the integral term, after fixing $-t \geq t_0$ and then letting $\sigma \downarrow 0$, while using (6.23), (1.16) and the numerical constant

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left[\lim_{\sigma \downarrow 0} F_\sigma(t) - \frac{t^3}{12} + \frac{1}{8} \ln |t| \right] = \frac{1}{24} \ln 2 + \zeta'(-1),$$

known from [10, (9)] and [28, (3)]. We are now left to establish that the the integral term in (6.22) is indeed of the type as in (1.30) with the asymptotics (1.31) in place. To this end, set $u(x) := 2p(x) - q^2(x)$ and obtain from Lemma A.4, part (iii) and (6.21) that

$$u(x) = q'(x), \quad x > 0; \quad u(x) = \frac{1}{8x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) \, dy + \mathcal{O}(x^2), \quad x \downarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^y \left(q(x) + \frac{1}{8x} \right) dx = \int_0^y (y-x) \left(u(x) - \frac{1}{8x^2} \right) dx, \quad y > 0,$$

and this completes our proof of (1.30). \square

APPENDIX A. USEFUL MODEL FUNCTIONS

We assemble a few model functions that are useful in our asymptotic analysis. Most of these are very well-known in nonlinear steepest descent literature.

A.1. The Airy parametrix. Let $w = \text{Ai}(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ denote the Airy function, cf. [56, 9.2.2]. Define the unimodular Wronskian matrix

$$\mathbf{M}_0(\zeta) := \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ai}(\zeta) & e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}} \text{Ai}(e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \zeta) \\ \text{Ai}'(\zeta) & e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}} \text{Ai}'(e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \zeta) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

which is an entire function, and in turn the Airy parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta; \zeta_0)$ for any fixed $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$:

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta; \zeta_0, \alpha) := \mathbf{M}_0(\zeta) \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}, & \arg(\zeta - \zeta_0) \in (0, \pi - \alpha) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg(\zeta - \zeta_0) \in (\pi - \alpha, \pi) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg(\zeta - \zeta_0) \in (-\pi + \alpha, 0) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg(\zeta - \zeta_0) \in (-\pi, -\pi + \alpha) \end{cases}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The relevant analytic and asymptotic properties of \mathbf{M}^{Ai} are summarized below.

Riemann-Hilbert Problem A.1. *The Airy parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta; \zeta_0, \alpha)$ in (A.2) has the following properties.*

(1) $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta)$ is analytic for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Gamma_j \cup \{\zeta_0\})$ with

$$\Gamma_1 := (\zeta_0, \infty), \quad \Gamma_3 := (-\infty, \zeta_0), \quad \Gamma_2 := e^{-i\alpha}(-\infty, \zeta_0), \quad \Gamma_4 := e^{i\alpha}(-\infty, \zeta_0),$$

and all four rays are oriented “from left to right” as shown in Figure 8.




FIGURE 8. The oriented jump contours for the Airy parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta)$ in the complex ζ -plane.

(2) The limiting values from either side of the jump contours Γ_j are related via the jump conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{M}_+^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_-^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \zeta \in \Gamma_1; \\ \mathbf{M}_+^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_-^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \zeta \in \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_4; \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{M}_+^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_-^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \Gamma_3.$$

(3) $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $\zeta = \zeta_0$.

(4) As $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full neighborhood of infinity off the jump contours,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}^{\text{Ai}}(\zeta) \sim & \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_{2m+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \zeta^{-3m-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{2m+1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \zeta^{-3m-2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2m} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{2m} \end{bmatrix} \zeta^{-3m} \right\} \\ & \times \zeta^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}\zeta^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma_3}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\zeta^\alpha : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denoting the principal branch such that $\zeta^\alpha > 0$ for $\zeta > 0$ and

$$\sigma_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{as well as} \quad a_m := \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^m u_m, \quad b_m := \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^m v_m$$

with the coefficients $\{u_m, v_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ from [56, 9.7.2]. In particular, $b_1 = -\frac{7}{48}$ and $a_1 = \frac{5}{48}$.

A.2. The Bessel parametrix. Let $w = I_0(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w = K_0(z), z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ denote the modified Bessel functions of order zero, cf. [56, 10.25.2, 10.25.3]. Define the unimodular Wronskian matrix

$$\mathbf{N}_0(\zeta) := e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} I_0(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \frac{i}{\pi}K_0(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ i\pi\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}I_0'(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) & -\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}K_0'(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0], \quad (\text{A.3})$$

with principal branches throughout, in particular for $\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\zeta} > 0$ when $\zeta > 0$, and in turn the Bessel parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta)$:

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{8} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_0(\zeta) \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}, & \arg \zeta \in (-\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg \zeta \in (\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg \zeta \in (-\pi, -\frac{3\pi}{4}) \end{cases}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The relevant analytic and asymptotic properties of \mathbf{M}^{Be} are summarized below.

Riemann-Hilbert Problem A.2. *The Bessel parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta)$ in (A.4) has the following properties.*

(1) $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta)$ is analytic for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^3 \Sigma_j \cup \{0\})$ with

$$\Sigma_1 := (-\infty, 0), \quad \Sigma_2 := e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, 0), \quad \Sigma_3 := e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, 0),$$

and all three rays are oriented “from left to right” as shown in Figure 9.




FIGURE 9. The oriented jump contours for the Bessel parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta)$ in the complex ζ -plane.

(2) *The limiting values from either side of the jump contours Σ_j are related via the jump conditions*

$$\mathbf{M}_+^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_-^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma_1; \quad \mathbf{M}_+^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_-^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3.$$

(3) *As $\zeta \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{8} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \zeta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}, & \arg \zeta \in (-\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg \zeta \in (\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg \zeta \in (-\pi, -\frac{3\pi}{4}) \end{cases}$$

with the principal branch for the logarithm $\ln : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and where $\zeta \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{M}}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta)$ is analytic at $\zeta = 0$. In detail, for $|\zeta| < r$,

$$\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3\pi\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \begin{bmatrix} I_0(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) & \frac{i}{\pi} \left(I_0(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ln 2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{4}\zeta)^k}{(k!)^2} \right) \\ i\pi\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0'(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) & - \left(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0'(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) \ln 2 - I_0(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k \psi(k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{4}\zeta)^k}{(k!)^2} \right) \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$I_0(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{4}\zeta)^k}{(k!)^2}; \quad \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0'(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k \frac{(\frac{1}{4}\zeta)^k}{(k!)^2}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}; \quad \psi(\zeta) := \frac{\Gamma'(\zeta)}{\Gamma(\zeta)}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}.$$

(4) As $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full neighborhood of infinity off the jump contours,

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) \sim \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2m}(0) & a_{2m-1}(0) \\ b_{2m+1}(0) - \frac{3}{8}a_{2m}(0) & b_{2m}(0) - \frac{3}{8}a_{2m-1}(0) \end{bmatrix} \zeta^{-m} \right\} \\ \times \zeta^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_3},$$

using the principal branch for $\zeta^\alpha : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\zeta^\alpha > 0$ for $\zeta > 0$ and where $\{a_m(\nu), b_m(\nu)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ denote the coefficients in [56, 10.17.1, 10.17.8]. In particular, $a_1(0) = -\frac{1}{8}$, $a_2(0) = \frac{9}{128}$, $b_2(0) = -\frac{15}{128}$ and $b_3(0) = \frac{105}{1024}$.

A.3. A generalized Bessel parametrix. The following generalization of RHP A.2 was first studied in [22, Section 7.1], albeit in slightly disguised and broader fashion. Let $w = \Phi(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ be as in (4.1).

Riemann-Hilbert Problem A.3. For any $x > 0$, determine $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that

(1) $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta)$ is analytic for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Sigma_j \cup \{0\})$ with

$$\Sigma_1 := (-\infty, 0), \quad \Sigma_2 := e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, 0), \quad \Sigma_3 := e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}(-\infty, 0), \quad \Sigma_4 := (0, \infty),$$

and all four rays are oriented “from left to right” as shown in Figure 10. $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta)$ attains continuous limiting values $\mathbf{M}_{\pm}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta)$ on $\Sigma_j \ni \zeta$.




FIGURE 10. The oriented jump contours for the generalized Bessel parametrix $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta)$ in the complex ζ -plane.

(2) The limiting values from either side of the jump contours Σ_j are related via the jump conditions

$$\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\zeta) & 1 - \Phi(\zeta) \\ -1 - \Phi(\zeta) & \Phi(\zeta) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma_1; \quad \mathbf{M}_{+}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3.$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - \Phi(\zeta) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma_4.$$

- (3) $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta)$ is bounded in a neighbourhood of $\zeta = 0$.
(4) As $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$, valid in a full neighborhood of infinity off the jump contours,

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) = \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}} \zeta^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2}) \right\} \zeta^{-\frac{1}{4}\sigma_3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_3} e^{x\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

with $\zeta^\alpha : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denoting the principal branch such that $\zeta^\alpha > 0$ for $\zeta > 0$ and where the scalar entries of $\mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}} = \mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}}(x)$ are ζ -independent.

The unique solvability of RHP A.3, for any $x > 0$, can be established as in [22, Appendix A], after collapsing the rays Σ_2 and Σ_3 with Σ_1 . We therefore take the existence of $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta) = \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x)$ for granted and concern ourselves instead with some of its properties:

Lemma A.4. Let $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x)$ denote the unique solution of RHP A.3 defined for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Sigma_j \cup \{0\})$ and $x > 0$.

- (i) For any $x > 0$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; z)$ exists for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_\epsilon(x) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - x| < \epsilon\}$ and solves the same RHP A.3. In particular, condition (3) in the same problem holds uniformly for the same $z \in \mathbb{D}_\epsilon(x)$ and $\mathbb{D}_\epsilon(x) \ni z \mapsto \mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}}(z)$ is analytic.
(ii) As $x \downarrow 0$,

$$\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \left\{ \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & 1 \\ -\frac{9}{64} & -\frac{3}{8} \end{bmatrix} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) dy + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{|\zeta|}\right) \right\} \mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta x^2), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

uniformly for $|\zeta x^2| > \frac{1}{4}$ and $\zeta \notin \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3 \cup \Sigma_4$, compare Figure 9.

- (iii) Setting

$$\mathbf{M}_1^{\text{PV}}(x) =: \begin{bmatrix} p(x) & q(x) \\ r(x) & -p(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad p, q, r : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

we have that $q'(x) = 2p(x) - q^2(x)$, $x > 0$ and

$$\Psi(\zeta; x) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q(x) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Sigma_j \cup \{0\} \right), \quad x > 0, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi(\zeta; x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 4p(x) - 2q^2(x) - \zeta & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Psi(\zeta; x). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Proof. Property (i) is a consequence of the analytic Fredholm theorem used in the solvability proof of RHP A.3, see for instance [70]. For property (ii), we argue very much as in [22, 7.2], so we first fix $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}_1(0) \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^4 \Sigma_j \cup \{0\})$ and define

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(\zeta; x) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{8} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_0(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & m(\zeta; x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}, & \arg \zeta \in (-\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg \zeta \in (\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \arg \zeta \in (-\pi, -\frac{3\pi}{4}) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

in terms of (A.3) and the function

$$m(\zeta; x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{[0, \infty)}(\lambda x^{-2}) - \Phi(\lambda x^{-2})}{\lambda - \zeta} d\lambda, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(\zeta; x)$ satisfies properties (1), (2) and (3) in RHP A.3, up to the rescaling $\zeta \mapsto \zeta x^{-2}$. Hence, with (4.2) and (A.4) in mind, we then study the ratio function

$$\mathbf{J}(\zeta; x) := x^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta x^{-2}; x) \begin{cases} (\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta))^{-1}, & \zeta \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0)}) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \\ (\mathbf{P}^{(0)}(\zeta; x))^{-1}, & \zeta \in \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0) \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{T}} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where $\Sigma_{\mathbf{T}}$ is shown in Figure 6. This function, for any $x > 0$, is analytic in $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -\frac{1}{4}] \cup \partial \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{4}}(0) \cup [\frac{1}{4}, \infty))$, see Figure 11 for the corresponding jump contour $\Sigma_{\mathbf{J}}$, and it obeys

$$\mathbf{J}_+(\zeta; x) = \mathbf{J}_-(\zeta; x) \mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 - \Phi(\zeta x^{-2}) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta))^{-1}, \quad \zeta > \frac{1}{4},$$

as well as

$$\mathbf{J}_+(\zeta; x) = \mathbf{J}_-(\zeta; x) \mathbf{M}_-^{\text{Be}}(\zeta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \Phi(\zeta x^{-2}) & -\Phi(\zeta x^{-2}) \\ \Phi(\zeta x^{-2}) & 1 + \Phi(\zeta x^{-2}) \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{M}_-^{\text{Be}}(\zeta))^{-1}, \quad \zeta < -\frac{1}{4},$$

and

$$\mathbf{J}_+(\zeta; x) = \mathbf{J}_-(\zeta; x) \mathbf{P}^{(0)}(\zeta; x) (\mathbf{M}^{\text{Be}}(\zeta))^{-1}, \quad |\zeta| = \frac{1}{4}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Moreover, by RHP A.2, condition (4), and RHP A.3, condition (4), we have that

$$\mathbf{J}(\zeta; x) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1}), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \infty, \quad \zeta \notin \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, if $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\zeta; x)$ denotes the underlying jump matrix of $\mathbf{J}(\zeta; x)$, then by (4.2) and RHP A.2, with some $c > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\zeta; x) - \mathbb{I}\| &\leq c\sqrt{|\zeta|} e^{-\zeta^2/x^4 + \sqrt{|\zeta|}}, \quad \zeta \in \left(-\infty, -\frac{1}{4}\right) \cup \left(\frac{1}{4}, \infty\right); \\ \|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\zeta; x) - \mathbb{I}\| &\leq c|m(\zeta; x)|, \quad |\zeta| = \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|m(\zeta; x)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{[0, \infty)}(\lambda x^{-2}) - \Phi(\lambda x^{-2})}{\lambda - \zeta} d\lambda \right| \stackrel{(4.2)}{\leq} \frac{cx^2}{|\Im \zeta|}, \quad |\zeta| = \frac{1}{4}, \quad |\Im \zeta| \geq \delta > 0.$$

But $\frac{d}{dx}\Phi(x)$ decays super exponentially fast at $\pm\infty$, so the last estimate also holds near $\zeta = \pm\frac{1}{4}$, compare the reasoning in [22, Section 6.3], i.e. we have all together, with some $c, x_0 > 0$,

$$\|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\cdot; x) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{J}})} \leq cx^2, \quad \|\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\cdot; x) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{\mathbf{J}})} \leq cx^2 \quad \forall 0 < x \leq x_0. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

These small norm estimates show that $\mathbf{J}(\zeta; x)$ is computable via the integral equation

$$\mathbf{J}(\zeta; x) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{J}}} \mathbf{J}_-(\lambda; x) (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\lambda; x) - \mathbb{I}) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{J}},$$

for all $0 < x \leq x_0$, cf. [30], using that in the same parameter regime

$$\|\mathbf{J}_-(\cdot; x) - \mathbb{I}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\mathbf{J}})} \leq cx^2. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{J}(\zeta; x) \stackrel{(\text{A.13})}{=} \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\lambda|=\frac{1}{4}} (\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\lambda; x) - \mathbb{I}) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - \zeta} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^4}{1 + |\zeta|}\right), \quad x \downarrow 0,$$

which holds uniformly in $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_{\mathbf{J}}$. Since by (A.12),

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{J}}(\lambda; x) - \mathbb{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{8} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_0(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{N}_0(\lambda))^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{8} & 1 \end{bmatrix} m(\lambda; x), \quad |\lambda| = \frac{1}{4},$$

and also

$$m(\lambda; x) = -\frac{x^2}{2\pi i \lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) dy + \mathcal{O}(x^4), \quad x \downarrow 0,$$

uniformly in $|\lambda| = \frac{1}{4}$, an explicit residue computation yields for $|\zeta| > \frac{1}{4}$, while using property (3) in RHP A.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}(\zeta; x) &= \mathbb{I} - \frac{x^2}{2\pi i \zeta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{8} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}^{\text{Be}}(0) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^{\text{Be}}(0))^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{8} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) dy + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^4}{|\zeta|}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{I} + \frac{x^2}{2\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & 1 \\ -\frac{9}{64} & -\frac{3}{8} \end{bmatrix} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{[0, \infty)}(y) - \Phi(y)) dy + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^4}{|\zeta|}\right), \quad x \downarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

It now remains to recall (A.11), i.e. (A.6) follows at once from (A.15) after changing variables.

Finally, for property (iii) we can adapt the arguments in [22, Section 7.5], i.e. we first notice that

$$\mathbf{A}(\zeta; x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x) (\mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x))^{-1}, \quad (\zeta, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}_+$$




FIGURE 11. The oriented jump contours for the function $\mathbf{J}(\zeta; x)$ in the complex ζ -plane.

is entire in ζ by RHP A.3, in fact by (A.5), with some x -dependent functions $*$,

$$\mathbf{A}(\zeta; x) = \begin{bmatrix} -q(x) & -1 \\ 2p(x) - \zeta & q(x) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} * & q'(x) + q^2(x) - 2p(x) \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2}), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \infty,$$

and so for ζ away from the jump contour shown in Figure 10, with $x > 0$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x) = \begin{bmatrix} -q(x) & -1 \\ 2p(x) - \zeta & q(x) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}^{\text{PV}}(\zeta; x), \quad q'(x) = 2p(x) - q^2(x).$$

The last system shows that (A.8) satisfies (A.9) and this completes our proof of the Lemma. \square

APPENDIX B. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We collect a series of auxiliary results which are used in the main body of the text.

B.1. Isometry property of Q_t . The linear transformation $Q_t : L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined in (3.3) is likely known to be an isometry, we now supplement a short proof for the same fact. To this end, let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the Schwartz space on \mathbb{R} , i.e. the set of all smooth functions f so that f and all its derivatives are rapidly decreasing. The Fourier transform of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

and we recall that $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, cf. [67, Theorem 1.3]. Also, if $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \sqrt{2\pi} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

see [67, Theorem 1.12], and we let $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of compactly supported, smooth functions. Note that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma B.1. *Define*

$$(Q_t f)(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Ai}(x + y + t) f(y) dy \quad (\text{B.2})$$

for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$.

Proof. When $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subset [-a, a]$, say. Consequently, (B.2) is well-defined and we have by Fubini's theorem and (2.3),

$$(Q_t f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} + i\delta} e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda^3 + [x+y+t]\lambda)} d\lambda \right] f(y) dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} + i\delta} e^{i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda^3 + [x+t]\lambda)} \hat{f}(-\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

However, by [63, Theorem 11.1.3], \hat{f} is an entire function so that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, there is $c_n > 0$ with

$$|\hat{f}(x + iy)| \leq c_n (1 + |x| + |y|)^{-n} e^{a|y|}.$$

Thus, we can use Cauchy's theorem to deform $\mathbb{R} + i\delta$ to \mathbb{R} in (B.3) resulting in the identity

$$(Q_t f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda^3 + t\lambda)} \hat{f}(\lambda) e^{-i\lambda x} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{g}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $g(\lambda) := e^{-i(\frac{1}{3}\lambda^3 + t\lambda)} \hat{f}(\lambda)$ is entire with good decay properties at $\pm\infty$, in fact $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus,

$$\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \stackrel{(\text{B.1})}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \stackrel{(\text{B.1})}{=} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

as claimed above. \square

Corollary B.2. *If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we define*

$$y_t(f) := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \text{Ai}(x+y+t)f(y) dy, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where the limit is understood in the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm. Then, with $Q_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $f \mapsto y_t(f)$, we have $\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, so in particular

$$\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(0, \infty)} \quad \forall f \in L^2(0, \infty). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Proof. The limit in the right hand side of (B.4) exists in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by the fact that $\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, see Lemma B.1, with $Q_t f$ as in (B.2). But since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we find in turn $\|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and thus also the restriction of the same norm equality to $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$ holds true. The proof is complete. \square

B.2. Monotonicity of the complementary error function. It is well-known that $w = \text{erfc}(z)$ constitutes a monotonically decreasing function on $\mathbb{R} \ni z$, cf. [56, 7.2.2]. Below we state a certain generalization of this behavior for the modulus of the complementary error function in a sector of the complex plane.

Lemma B.3. *For any $x \leq 0, r \geq 0$ and $\theta \in [\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4}]$,*

$$\left| \text{erfc}(x + re^{i\theta}) \right| \geq \text{erfc}(x). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Proof. We have for any $x, r, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{erfc}(x + re^{i\theta}) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x+re^{i\theta}}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt = 2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x+re^{i\theta}} e^{-t^2} dt = 2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-x-re^{i\theta}}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt \\ &= 2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{-(t-re^{i\theta})^2} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

with the integration path along \mathbb{R} in the last integral. However, once $x \leq 0, r \geq 0$ and $\theta \in [\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4}]$,

$$\left| \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{-(t-re^{i\theta})^2} dt \right| \leq e^{-r^2 \cos(2\theta)} \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{-t^2 + 2tr \cos \theta} dt \leq \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt,$$

and so with the triangle inequality back in (B.7), for the same x, r, θ as in the last estimate,

$$\left| \text{erfc}(x + re^{i\theta}) \right| \geq 2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left| \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{-(t-re^{i\theta})^2} dt \right| \geq 2 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt = \text{erfc}(x).$$

This concludes our proof of (B.6). \square

B.3. Large σ expansion of $g_j = g_j(t, \sigma)$ in (5.28) and (5.29). Given $t, \sigma > 0$, the equation

$$z_0 = -\frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{z_0 - \lambda}}, \quad \omega = \frac{t}{\sigma}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

admits a unique real-valued solution $z_0 = z_0(t, \sigma) < 0$, compare Proposition 5.10. More is true, as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly in $t > 0$, we have $z_0(t, \sigma) = o(1)$ as made precise in the following asymptotic expansion:

Lemma B.4. *There exist $c, \sigma_0 > 0$ so that*

$$z_0(t, \sigma) = -\frac{f_0(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{f_0(\omega)f_1(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^3} - \frac{f_0(\omega)f_1^2(\omega) + f_0^2(\omega)f_2(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + r_1(t, \sigma) \quad (\text{B.9})$$

for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and all $t > 0$. The coefficients f_n equal

$$f_n(\omega) := \frac{1}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \left\{ \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \right\} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

and the error term $r_1(t, \sigma)$ is such that

$$\int_t^{\infty} |r_1(s, \sigma)| ds \leq c\sigma^{-5}, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} |r_1(t, s)| ds \leq ct^{-5} \quad \forall \sigma \geq \sigma_0, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. If

$$(-\infty, 0) \ni x \mapsto f(x, y) := \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^{-(\lambda-y)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda-y)} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{x-\lambda}}, \quad y > 0,$$

then by Taylor expansion, as $x \uparrow 0$, uniformly in $y > 0$,

$$f(x, y) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(y) x^n, \quad f_n(y) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \left\{ \frac{e^{-(\lambda-y)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda-y)} \right\} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni \sigma \mapsto z_0(t, \sigma)$, $t > 0$ is increasing for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and so $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} z_0(t, \sigma)$ exists uniformly in $t > 0$. In fact, from (B.8) we find at once

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} z_0(t, \sigma) = 0,$$

uniformly in $t > 0$, and so upon iteration of (B.10), as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$z_0(t, \sigma) \sim -\frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(\omega) z_0^n(t, \sigma) = -\frac{f_0(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{f_0(\omega)f_1(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^3} - \frac{f_0(\omega)f_1^2(\omega) + f_0^2(\omega)f_2(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{F_n(\omega)}{\sigma^{\frac{3n}{2}}}.$$

Here, F_n is a multivariate polynomial in the variables $\{f_j(\omega)\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$ of degree n and with $1 - \Phi(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $x \leq 0$ we obtain at once the coarse estimates

$$\int_t^{\infty} \left| F_n\left(\frac{s}{\sigma}\right) \right| ds \leq c_n \sigma, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \left| F_n\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \right| \frac{ds}{s^{\frac{3n}{2}}} \leq c_n t^{1-\frac{3n}{2}}, \quad c_n > 0,$$

valid for all $t, \sigma > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. This completes the proof of (B.9). \square

Equipped with (B.9) we now return to (5.28).

Corollary B.5. *There exist $c, \sigma_0 > 0$ so that*

$$g_1(t, \sigma) = -\frac{h_0(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{h_1^2(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^3} - \frac{f_0^2(\omega)f_1(\omega)}{4(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + r_2(t, \sigma) \quad (\text{B.11})$$

for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and all $t > 0$. The coefficients $f_n(\omega)$ are as in Lemma B.4, the coefficients $h_n(\omega)$ equal

$$h_n(\omega) := \frac{1}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \left\{ \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda-\omega)} \right\} \sqrt{-\lambda} d\lambda, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

and the error term $r_2(t, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$\int_t^{\infty} |r_2(s, \sigma)| ds \leq c\sigma^{-5}, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} |r_2(t, s)| ds \leq ct^{-5} \quad \forall \sigma \geq \sigma_0, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Similar to the workings in Lemma B.4, we have by Taylor expansion, as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly in $t > 0$,

$$g_1(t, \sigma) \stackrel{(5.28)}{=} -\frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{z_0} \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda-\omega)} \sqrt{z_0 - \lambda} d\lambda - \frac{z_0^2}{4} \sim -\frac{1}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(\omega) z_0^n(t, \sigma) - \frac{1}{4} z_0^2(t, \sigma),$$

in terms $h_n(\omega)$ as in the formulation of the Corollary. Hence, with (B.9), as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly in $t > 0$,

$$g_1(t, \sigma) \sim -\frac{h_0(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{h_1(\omega)f_0(\omega) - \frac{1}{4}f_0^2(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^3} - \frac{h_1(\omega)f_0(\omega)f_1(\omega) + h_2(\omega)f_0^2(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}f_0^2(\omega)f_1(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(\omega)}{\sigma^{\frac{3n}{2}}},$$

where H_n is a multivariate polynomial in the variables $\{h_j(\omega)\}_{j=1}^{n-1} \cup \{f_j(\omega)\}_{j=0}^{n-2}$ of degree n . Noting that, via integration by parts, $h_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{2n} f_{n-1}(\omega)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the leading terms simplify to

$$g_1(t, \sigma) \sim -\frac{h_0(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{h_1^2(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^3} - \frac{f_0^2(\omega)f_1(\omega)}{4(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(\omega)}{\sigma^{\frac{3n}{2}}},$$

and we record the coarse estimates

$$\int_t^{\infty} \left| H_n\left(\frac{s}{\sigma}\right) \right| ds \leq c_n \sigma, \quad \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \left| H_n\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \right| \frac{ds}{s^{\frac{3n}{2}}} \leq c_n t^{1-\frac{3n}{2}}, \quad c_n > 0,$$

valid for all $t, \sigma > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Estimate (B.11) follows at once. \square

Next, we have the following result for (5.29).

Corollary B.6. *There exist $c, \sigma_0 > 0$ so that*

$$g_2(t, \sigma) = \frac{k_0(\omega)}{3(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{f_0^2(\omega)h_1(\omega) - \frac{5}{6}f_0^3(\omega)}{4(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + r_3(t, \sigma) \quad (\text{B.12})$$

for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ and all $t > 0$. The coefficients $f_n(\omega)$ and $h_n(\omega)$ are as in Lemma B.4 and B.5, the coefficients $k_n(\omega)$ equal

$$k_n(\omega) := \frac{1}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \left\{ \frac{e^{-(\lambda-\omega)^2}}{1 - \Phi(\lambda - \omega)} \right\} (-\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}} d\lambda, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

and the error term $r_3(t, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$\int_t^\infty |r_3(s, \sigma)| ds \leq c\sigma^{-5}, \quad \int_\sigma^\infty |r_3(t, s)| ds \leq ct^{-5} \quad \forall \sigma \geq \sigma_0, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. By Taylor expansion, as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly in $t > 0$,

$$g_2(t, \sigma) \stackrel{(5.29)}{\sim} \frac{1}{3(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty k_n(\omega) z_0^n(t, \sigma) - \frac{z_0(t, \sigma)}{2(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty h_n(\omega) z_0^n(t, \sigma) - \frac{1}{12} z_0^3(t, \sigma),$$

and hence with (B.9), in the same limit,

$$g_2(t, \sigma) \sim \frac{k_0(\omega)}{3(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\frac{1}{3}f_0(\omega)k_1(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}f_0(\omega)h_0(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^3} + \frac{\frac{1}{3}(k_1(\omega)f_0(\omega)f_1(\omega) + f_0^2(\omega)k_2(\omega)) - \frac{1}{2}(f_0^2(\omega)h_1(\omega) + f_0(\omega)f_1(\omega)h_0(\omega)) + \frac{5}{24}f_0^3(\omega)}{(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + \sum_{n=4}^\infty \frac{K_n(\omega)}{\sigma^{\frac{3n}{2}}}$$

with a multivariate polynomial K_n in the variables $\{f_j(\omega)\}_{j=0}^{n-2} \cup \{h_j(\omega)\}_{j=0}^{n-3} \cup \{k_j(\omega)\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ of degree n . However, via integration by parts, $k_n(\omega) = \frac{3}{2n}h_{n-1}(\omega)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and so after simplification

$$g_2(t, \sigma) \sim \frac{k_0(\omega)}{3(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{f_0^2(\omega)h_1(\omega) - \frac{5}{6}f_0^3(\omega)}{4(\pi\sigma)^{\frac{9}{2}}} + \sum_{n=4}^\infty \frac{K_n(\omega)}{\sigma^{\frac{3n}{2}}}.$$

Given the coarse estimates

$$\int_t^\infty \left| K_n \left(\frac{s}{\sigma} \right) \right| ds \leq c_n \sigma, \quad \int_\sigma^\infty \left| K_n \left(\frac{t}{s} \right) \right| \frac{ds}{s^{\frac{3n}{2}}} \leq c_n t^{1 - \frac{3n}{2}}, \quad c_n > 0,$$

valid for all $t, \sigma > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, we arrive at the above expansion for $g_2(t, \sigma)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Akemann and M. Bender, *Interpolation between Airy and Poisson statistics for unitary chiral non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), no. 10, 103524, 21, DOI 10.1063/1.3496899.
- [2] G. Akemann and M. J. Phillips, *The interpolating Airy kernels for the $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 4$ elliptic Ginibre ensembles*, J. Stat. Phys. **155** (2014), no. 3, 421–465, DOI 10.1007/s10955-014-0962-6.
- [3] ———, *Universality conjecture for all Airy, sine and Bessel kernels in the complex plane*, Random matrix theory, interacting particle systems, and integrable systems, 2014, pp. 1–23.
- [4] G. Akemann, M. Cikovic, and M. Venker, *Universality at weak and strong non-Hermiticity beyond the elliptic Ginibre ensemble*, Comm. Math. Phys. **362** (2018), no. 3, 1111–1141, DOI 10.1007/s00220-018-3201-1.
- [5] G. Akemann, M. Duits, and L. Molag, *The elliptic Ginibre ensemble: a unifying approach to local and global statistics for higher dimensions* (2022), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.00287>.
- [6] J. Aljadeff, M. Stern, and T. Sharpee, *Transition to Chaos in Random Networks with Cell-Type-Specific Connectivity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** (2015), 088101, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.088101.
- [7] S. Allesina, J. Grilli, G. Barabás, S. Tang, J. Aljadeff, and A. Maritan, *Predicting the stability of large structured food webs*, Nature Communications **6** (2015), 7842, DOI 10.1038/ncomms8842.
- [8] S. Allesina and S. Tang, *The stability–complexity relationship at age 40: a random matrix perspective*, Population Ecology **57** (2015), 63–75, DOI 10.1007/s10144-014-0471-0.
- [9] G. Amir, I. Corwin, and J. Quastel, *Probability distribution of the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer in 1 + 1 dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **64** (2011), no. 4, 466–537, DOI 10.1002/cpa.20347.
- [10] J. Baik, R. Buckingham, and J. DiFranco, *Asymptotics of Tracy–Widom distributions and the total integral of a Painlevé II function*, Comm. Math. Phys. **280** (2008), no. 2, 463–497, DOI 10.1007/s00220-008-0433-5.

- [11] J. Baik, P. Deift, and T. Suidan, *Combinatorics and random matrix theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 172, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016.
- [12] M. Bender, *Edge scaling limits for a family of non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **147** (2010), no. 1-2, 241–271, DOI 10.1007/s00440-009-0207-9.
- [13] D. Betea and J. Bouttier, *The periodic Schur process and free fermions at finite temperature*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **22** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 3, 47, DOI 10.1007/s11040-018-9299-8.
- [14] D. Betea, J. Bouttier, and H. Walsh, *Multicritical random partitions*, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. **85B** (2021), Art. 33, 12.
- [15] A. Borodin and P. Deift, *Fredholm determinants, Jimbo-Miwa-Ueno τ -functions, and representation theory*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), no. 9, 1160–1230, DOI 10.1002/cpa.10042.
- [16] T. Bothner, *From gap probabilities in random matrix theory to eigenvalue expansions*, J. Phys. A **49** (2016), no. 7, 075204, 77, DOI 10.1088/1751-8113/49/7/075204.
- [17] ———, *On the origins of Riemann-Hilbert problems in mathematics*, Nonlinearity **34** (2021), no. 4, R1–R73, DOI 10.1088/1361-6544/abb543.
- [18] T. Bothner, M. Cafasso, and S. Tarricone, *Momenta spacing distributions in anharmonic oscillators and the higher order finite temperature Airy kernel*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **58** (2022), no. 3, 1505–1546, DOI 10.1214/21-aihp1211.
- [19] T. Bothner, *A Riemann-Hilbert approach to Fredholm determinants of Hankel composition operators: scalar-valued kernels* (2022), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.15007>.
- [20] M. Cafasso and T. Claeys, *A Riemann-Hilbert approach to the lower tail of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **75** (2022), no. 3, 493–540, DOI 10.1002/cpa.21978.
- [21] M. Cafasso, T. Claeys, and M. Girotti, *Fredholm determinant solutions of the Painlevé II hierarchy and gap probabilities of determinantal point processes*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **4** (2021), 2437–2478, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnz168.
- [22] M. Cafasso, T. Claeys, and G. Ruzza, *Airy Kernel Determinant Solutions to the KdV Equation and Integro-Differential Painlevé Equations*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **386** (2021), no. 2, 1107–1153, DOI 10.1007/s00220-021-04108-9.
- [23] C. Charlier, T. Claeys, and G. Ruzza, *Uniform tail asymptotics for Airy kernel determinant solutions to KdV and for the narrow wedge solution to KPZ* (2021), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.14569>.
- [24] G. Cipolloni, L. Erdős, D. Schröder, and Y. Xu, *Directional extremal statistics for Ginibre eigenvalues* (2022), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.04443>.
- [25] ———, *On the rightmost eigenvalue of non-Hermitian random matrices* (2022), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.04448>.
- [26] T. Claeys, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, *Higher-order analogues of the Tracy-Widom distribution and the Painlevé II hierarchy*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **63** (2010), no. 3, 362–412, DOI 10.1002/cpa.20284.
- [27] D. S. Dean, P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, *Noninteracting fermions at finite temperature in a d -dimensional trap: Universal correlations*, Phys. Rev. A **94** (2016), 063622, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevA.94.063622.
- [28] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, *Asymptotics of the Airy-kernel determinant*, Comm. Math. Phys. **278** (2008), no. 3, 643–678, DOI 10.1007/s00220-007-0409-x.
- [29] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz, *Inverse scattering on the line*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (1979), no. 2, 121–251, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160320202.
- [30] P. Deift and X. Zhou, *A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **137** (1993), no. 2, 295–368, DOI 10.2307/2946540.
- [31] P. Di Francesco, M. Gaudin, C. Itzykson, and F. Lesage, *Laughlin’s wave functions, Coulomb gases and expansions of the discriminant*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **9** (1994), no. 24, 4257–4351, DOI 10.1142/S0217751X94001734.
- [32] P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, A. Rosso, and G. Schehr, *Exact Short-Time Height Distribution in the One-Dimensional Kardar-Parisi-Zhang Equation and Edge Fermions at High Temperature*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117** (2016), 070403, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.070403.
- [33] P. Le Doussal, *Large deviations for the KPZ equation from the KP equation* (2019), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.03671>.
- [34] P. J. Forrester, *The spectrum edge of random matrix ensembles*, Nuclear Phys. B **402** (1993), no. 3, 709–728, DOI 10.1016/0550-3213(93)90126-A.
- [35] ———, *Log-gases and random matrices*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, vol. 34, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010.
- [36] Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, *Random matrices close to Hermitian or unitary: overview of methods and results*, J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 12, 3303–3347, DOI 10.1088/0305-4470/36/12/326. Random matrix theory.
- [37] Y. V. Fyodorov, B. A. Khoruzhenko, and H.-J. Sommers, *Almost Hermitian random matrices: crossover from Wigner-Dyson to Ginibre eigenvalue statistics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997), no. 4, 557–560, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.557.
- [38] Y. V. Fyodorov, H.-J. Sommers, and B. A. Khoruzhenko, *Universality in the random matrix spectra in the regime of weak non-Hermiticity*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **68** (1998), no. 4, 449–489. Classical and quantum chaos.
- [39] F. D. Gakhov, *Boundary value problems*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1990. Translated from the Russian, Reprint of the 1966 translation.
- [40] M. R. Gardner and W. R. Ashby, *Connectance of large dynamic (cybernetic) systems: critical values for stability*, Nature **228** (1970), no. 5273, 784–784.

- [41] P. Ghosal and G. L. F. Silva, *Universality for multiplicative statistics of Hermitian random matrices and the integro-differential Painlevé II equation* (2022), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.12941>.
- [42] J. Ginibre, *Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices*, J. Mathematical Phys. **6** (1965), 440–449, DOI 10.1063/1.1704292.
- [43] V. L. Girko, *The elliptic law*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. **30** (1985), no. 4, 640–651.
- [44] V. L. Girko, *The generalized elliptic law*, Random Oper. Stoch. Equ. **21** (2013), no. 2, 191–215, DOI 10.1515/rose-2013-0010.
- [45] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and N. Krupnik, *Traces and determinants of linear operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 116, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [46] S. P. Hastings and J. B. McLeod, *A boundary value problem associated with the second Painlevé transcendent and the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **73** (1980), no. 1, 31–51, DOI 10.1007/BF00283254.
- [47] A. R. Its, A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin, and N. A. Slavnov, *Differential equations for quantum correlation functions*, Proceedings of the Conference on Yang-Baxter Equations, Conformal Invariance and Integrability in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory, 1990, pp. 1003–1037, DOI 10.1142/S0217979290000504.
- [48] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mōri, and M. Sato, *Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent*, Phys. D **1** (1980), no. 1, 80–158, DOI 10.1016/0167-2789(80)90006-8.
- [49] K. Johansson, *From Gumbel to Tracy-Widom*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **138** (2007), no. 1-2, 75–112, DOI 10.1007/s00440-006-0012-7.
- [50] T. Kimura and A. Zahabi, *Universal edge scaling in random partitions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **111** (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 48, 16, DOI 10.1007/s11005-021-01389-y.
- [51] A. Krajenbrink and P. Le Doussal, *Exact short-time height distribution in the one-dimensional Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation with Brownian initial condition*, Phys. Rev. E **96** (2017), 020102, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevE.96.020102.
- [52] A. Krajenbrink, *From Painlevé to Zakharov-Shabat and beyond: Fredholm determinants and integro-differential hierarchies*, J. Phys. A **54** (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 035001, 51, DOI 10.1088/1751-8121/abd078.
- [53] P. Le Doussal, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, *Multicritical Edge Statistics for the Momenta of Fermions in Nonharmonic Traps*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018), 030603, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.030603.
- [54] K. Liechty and D. Wang, *Asymptotics of free fermions in a quadratic well at finite temperature and the Moshe-Neuberger-Shapiro random matrix model*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **56** (2020), no. 2, 1072–1098, DOI 10.1214/19-AIHP994.
- [55] R. May, *Will a Large Complex System be Stable?*, Nature **238** (1972), no. 5364, 413–414, DOI 10.1038/238413a0.
- [56] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark (eds.), *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [57] A. Okounkov, *Generating functions for intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **18** (2002), 933–957, DOI 10.1155/S1073792802110099.
- [58] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1974.
- [59] C. E. Porter, *Fluctuations of quantal spectra*, Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuations, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [60] K. Rajan and L. F. Abbott, *Eigenvalue Spectra of Random Matrices for Neural Networks*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006), 188104, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.188104.
- [61] B. Rider, *A limit theorem at the edge of a non-Hermitian random matrix ensemble*, J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 12, 3401–3409, DOI 10.1088/0305-4470/36/12/331. Random matrix theory.
- [62] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, Second, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [63] ———, *Basic complex analysis*, A Comprehensive Course in Analysis, Part 2A, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [64] H.-J. Sommers, A. Crisanti, H. Sompolinsky, and Y. Stein, *Spectrum of large random asymmetric matrices*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988), no. 19, 1895–1898, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.1895.
- [65] H. Sompolinsky, A. Crisanti, and H. J. Sommers, *Chaos in Random Neural Networks*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988), 259–262, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.259.
- [66] A. Soshnikov, *Universality at the edge of the spectrum in Wigner random matrices*, Comm. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), no. 3, 697–733, DOI 10.1007/s002200050743.
- [67] E. M. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Fourier analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, vol. 1, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003. An introduction.
- [68] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), no. 1, 151–174.
- [69] ———, *Fredholm determinants, differential equations and matrix models*, Comm. Math. Phys. **163** (1994), no. 1, 33–72.
- [70] X. Zhou, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem and inverse scattering*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **20** (1989), no. 4, 966–986, DOI 10.1137/0520065.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, FRY BUILDING, WOODLAND ROAD, BRISTOL, BS8 1UG, UNITED KING-
DOM

Email address: `thomas.bothner@bristol.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, FRY BUILDING, WOODLAND ROAD, BRISTOL, BS8 1UG, UNITED KING-
DOM

Email address: `al17344@bristol.ac.uk`