

# Responsible Urban Intelligence: Towards a Research Agenda

Rui Cao  
LSGI & SCRI  
Hong Kong PolyU, China  
rucao@polyu.edu.hk

Qili Gao  
CASA  
University College London, UK  
qili.gao@ucl.ac.uk

Guoping Qiu  
School of Computer Science  
University of Nottingham, UK  
guoping.qiu@nottingham.ac.uk

## ABSTRACT

Acceleration of urbanisation is posing great challenges to sustainable development. Growing accessibility to big data and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies have revolutionised many fields and offered great potential for addressing pressing urban problems. However, using these technologies without explicitly considering responsibilities would bring new societal and environmental issues. To fully harness the potential of big data and AI without creating new problems, we envisage a conceptual framework of Responsible Urban Intelligence (RUI) and advocate an agenda for action. We first define RUI as consisting of three major components including urban problems, enabling technologies, and responsibilities; then introduce transparency, fairness, and eco-friendliness as the three dimensions of responsibilities which naturally link with the human, space, and time dimensions of cities; and further develop a four-stage implementation framework for responsibilities as consisting of solution design, data preparation, model building, and practical application; and finally present a research agenda for RUI addressing challenging issues including data and model transparency, tension between performance and fairness, and solving urban problems in an eco-friendly manner.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Information systems → Geographic information systems; Data mining; • Applied computing; • Security and privacy → Human and societal aspects of security and privacy;

## KEYWORDS

Smart Cities, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Responsible AI, Urban Intelligence, Geospatial Big Data

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Urban Problems and Data-driven Solutions

Urbanisation is one of the most important topics in the 21 century. The majority of the world population now live in cities and two thirds of them are projected to live in urban areas by 2050 [17]. Increasing urban population is posing continuous challenges to sustainable developments, causing air and water pollution, and creating social problems such as housing shortage and transportation inequalities. To achieve the United Nations' sustainable development goals (SDG) [16] for cities by 2030, it is urgent to address these problems effectively and efficiently.

Benefiting from the advances in information and communications technology, the growing accessibility to various urban data has enabled us to sense cities, which are integrated complexes of human, space, time, and their interactions, at an unprecedented level of detail [2]. In addition to abundant remote sensing data [3], emerging geospatial big data, such as mobile phone data, vehicle

trajectories, and social media data, have become increasingly accessible and can be collected in a timely and economical fashion since they are usually by-products of daily activities [11]. The availability of such diverse data sources has provided us with new opportunities to assess our urban environment both physically and socially, and enable us to tackle many potential problems facing urbanisation.

The growing data abundance has further promoted the development of data-driven methods. Particularly, the recent decade has witnessed many breakthroughs in AI, which has also impacted the fields of geospatial and urban studies, and led to new directions such as GeoAI [8], Urban Computing [20], and Urban Informatics [13]. Exploiting these enabling technologies is regarded as an effective way to provide solutions to many urban development issues [12, 13, 20]. Although these technologies can improve efficiency in urban studies, misuse of the technologies and data would also lead to new problems, such as nontransparent algorithmic decision-making, socio-spatial inequalities, and unsustainable energy consumption [6, 14, 15]. Therefore, when using the data-driven solutions to address urban problems, we should be cautious about the possible negative effects in order to avoid reproducing or exacerbating existing problems and stop the possibilities of creating new ones.

### 1.2 Responsible AI

Last decade has witnessed the renaissance of AI fuelled by the advancement of deep learning, which has achieved breakthroughs in many fields, such as speech recognition, computer vision, natural language processing [10]. Although AI models are becoming increasingly more powerful, it can also create potential problems. On the one hand, current AI models can achieve superior performances on some specific tasks like image perception and generation. Thus, misuse of AI, such as DeepFake [18], is becoming more problematic. On the other hand, despite their good performances, there are non-negligible flaws of current data-driven AI models. For example, some models can produce gender and racial biased results [7], and increasing model sizes can incur excessive energy consumption thus causing environmental issues [15]. Furthermore, most AI models are black-boxes in the sense that it is often unclear how the results are related to the model parameters, hence the decisions are nontransparent [1].

Due to potential issues such as those mentioned above, recently, increasing attention has been paid to study how to use AI responsibly, akin to *Responsible AI*. The AI research has gradually transitioned from solely focusing on performance and efficiency to including transparency and justice. Since the field is relatively new, there are different concepts and frameworks. Dignum [5] proposes the ART principles, including accountability, responsibility, and transparency, as a framework to develop and use AI in a responsible way. The principles highlight the importance on the environmental

and societal impacts when designing AI algorithms. It also emphasises the necessity of including users, governors, developers, and stakeholders in the development process. Besides academia, in industrial, many technology giants have also proposed their own principles for responsible AI, such as Microsoft<sup>1</sup>, Google<sup>2</sup>, and Facebook<sup>3</sup>. Their principles differ but share similar ingredients, such as fairness, safety, privacy, inclusiveness, transparency, accountability.


Currently, data-driven enabling technologies have been widely applied to deal with urban problems, but without explicitly considering how to use these algorithms ethically and responsibly. To bridge the gap, we discuss the recent developments in Responsible AI research and advocate for a research agenda of *Responsible Urban Intelligence* (RUI) by explicitly proposing principles of responsibilities in using data-driven enabling technologies for tackling urban problems. The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we present the conceptual framework of RUI, and then advocate for the research agenda. In Section 3, we conclude the paper with summary and outlook.

## 2 RESPONSIBLE URBAN INTELLIGENCE

Cities are integrated complexes of the dimensions of human, space, and time as well as the interactions among them [2]. Rooted in the SDGs for cities [16], and following the trend in Responsible AI [5], it is also important to explicitly bring the principles of responsibilities into the urban context due to its huge potential benefits as well as possible harm beneath. Due to its uniqueness, general responsible AI principles cannot be simply borrowed without considering the specialties of cities. Therefore, we herein propose the conceptual framework of *Responsible Urban Intelligence* (RUI) and advocate for research agenda towards action. The ultimate goal is to use data-driven technologies such as AI and GIS responsibly to tackle pressing urban problems, and gain trust from citizens at large. It is foreseeable that the communities of GIS and urban studies will benefit greatly from the shift in the long run.

### 2.1 Conceptual Framework

**2.1.1 Concept.** *Urban Intelligence* refers to the methods and technologies derived from data-driven technologies such as AI and GIS to apply in urban scenarios. For example, the urban intelligence can help enhance urban governance, improve energy efficiency, and optimise traffic conditions [13, 20]. Similar to Responsible AI, there is a trend of awareness of the importance of responsibilities in the research fields of urban intelligence [19]. However, currently there are no research explicitly bringing the significance of responsibilities into urban intelligence, which cannot be overestimated in applying them in tackling urban issues. To bridge the gap, we introduce the concept of RUI which is referred to as the research that leverages data-driven technologies, such as AI, data mining, and GIS, responsibly to address urban issues for the general good. The ultimate goal is to balance between performance and transparency, between efficiency and fairness, between growth and eco-friendliness, to help build human-centred smart cities for the benefit of society, and foster prosperity, equality, opportunity and well-being for all



**Figure 1: Components of *Responsible Urban Intelligence*, i.e., urban problems, enabling technologies, and responsibilities.**

the urban residents as well as the more, and eventually achieve sustainable and equitable urban development.

**2.1.2 Components.** The concept of RUI specifically includes three core components as shown in Figure 1: urban problems, enabling technologies, and responsibilities. Conventionally, the former two components are the major focuses in urban intelligence research, with the underlying assumption that technologies can be used to address urban issues more effectively and efficiently; however, much less or even no attention has been paid to the component of responsibilities, which can lead to new issues without sufficient concerning. The explicit definition and highlight of the ‘responsibilities’ component will further raise the awareness of the research communities and urge them to take measures in adopting them.

The first component is **urban problems**, which pinpoints the context and scope that the RUI research deals with, i.e., the significant and urgent urban problems that face the lives of the major world population, including both social and environmental issues. For example, social issues like housing and transport inequalities, and environmental issues such as air pollution and natural hazards. These problems hinder the sustainable development of cities and are the centre of the research.


The second component is **enabling technologies**, which indicates the digital technologies that can be exploited to tackle urban problems. Data-driven technologies including AI, data mining, and GIS, etc. can be used to improve the ability to sense and manage cities. Combining them together can help to better deal with complex and diverse problems in the urban context. With the growing popularity of urban big data, the adoptability and importance of the enabling technologies in supporting urban development are also increasing.

The final one is **responsibilities**, which we argue is of equal importance to the urban problems per se. However, it is often overlooked in current data-driven solutions to urban problems. This component can be understood from two different perspectives. First of all, it means that the initial purpose and ultimate goal of the research should be responsible and towards general good. Furthermore, the goals should be achieved in a responsible manner to ensure that the process is transparent, fair, and eco-friendly and does not exacerbating existing issues or create new ones.

<sup>1</sup><https://www.microsoft.com/ai/responsible-ai>

<sup>2</sup><https://ai.google/responsibilities/responsible-ai-practices>

<sup>3</sup><https://ai.facebook.com/blog/facebooks-five-pillars-of-responsible-ai>



**Figure 2: The correspondence of the dimensions of responsibilities to the dimensions of cities.**

**2.1.3 Dimensions of Responsibilities.** In response to the inherent human-space-time elements and interactions, the component of responsibilities can be decomposed into three fundamental dimensions, including transparency, fairness, and eco-friendliness, as shown in Figure 1. The three dimensions can well correspond to the human-space-time dimensions of cities as shown in Figure 2.


**Transparency** requires that the algorithmic decision-making should be transparent to both decision makers and the civic public. This is critical for human-centred urban governance and development [14]. In fact, transparency inherently demands for the explainability of models and algorithms, which resonates the current needs for unveiling the black-box data-driven models [1]. It is also the essential basis for human demands on security, privacy, and reliability [5].

**Fairness** requires that the solution and model include enough diversity and inclusiveness, so that they can help address the aiming urban issues and meanwhile would not incur new injustices or worsen the current situation (e.g., urban housing and transport inequalities [6]). It is a critical part to realise the change of focus from blindly pursuing performance and efficiency to accounting for justice and humanities. This is crucial for human-centred solutions to urban problems.

**Eco-friendliness** is another important dimension, which correlates with all the human-space-time dimensions of cities and demands for explicit account of the sustainable development of the solutions to urban problems from the environmental perspective. For example, the training of large deep learning models can consume noticeable energy and result in non-negligible carbon emission, so it is important to take eco-friendly measures to alleviate this situation and prevent diminishing efforts in tackling global warming [15].

## 2.2 Towards a Research Agenda

**2.2.1 Implementation Framework.** To realise the component of responsibilities, the principles of responsibilities can be applied in different stages of the solutions to urban problems, ranging from solution design, to data preparation, model building, and to applications, as shown in Figure 3.



**Figure 3: The implementation framework for responsibilities, which can be applied and demonstrated in different stages.**

In the **solution design** stage, the responsibilities can be reflected on the initial purpose and scope of the solution, which should be designed for the general good and remain responsible for all involved stakeholders. Meanwhile, the design process should take into account of the principles of transparency, fairness, and eco-friendliness from the very beginning. Additionally, necessary revision may be needed according to timely feedback from the following stages.

In the **data preparation** stage, the principles of transparency and fairness should be highlighted. The quality of datasets is critical for building data-driven AI models. Errors and biases in datasets would be directly inherited by the models trained upon them, and thus greatly reduce their reliability [7]. Thus, data preparation procedures such as data collection, preprocessing, and annotation should be kept transparent and fair.

In the **model building** stage, both the transparency, fairness, and eco-friendliness principles can be applied in the model training and evaluation phases. The used models should pursue explainability from different levels [1]. The integration of physics-informed and data-driven paradigms and explicit knowledge engineering such as knowledge graphs can also contribute to model transparency [4, 9]. The fairness factors such as inclusiveness and diversity should be explicitly considered to avoid biases and inequalities [7]. In addition, models should aim for simplicity and efficient training to support eco-friendly computation and energy consumption [15].

In the **practical application** stage, the three dimensions of responsibilities can also guide the practical use. The models and algorithms should stick to the initial design purpose and scope, and be enforced with transparency, fairness, and eco-friendliness goals for assessment. The generalisation of the solutions to problems beyond the initial scope should also be treated responsibly and with discretion.

It should be noted that the entire process of the stages is dynamic and iterative (as indicated by the arrows in Figure 3), and the feedback from the application stage can be exploited to further improve the solution design in return. Furthermore, the intermediate feedback from the data collection and model building stages can also be used to refine the solution design in a timely manner.

**2.2.2 Challenges and Agenda.** To achieve aforementioned responsible design and use of data-driven solutions to urban problems, there are still many challenges requiring collective efforts. Thus, a research agenda to tackle the pressing ones is advocated as follows.

A first area of the research agenda is to address the pressing needs for data and model transparency. Open urban data is critical for transparency, yet the quantity and quality of open data is now insufficient and diverse, and their effective use remains questionable [14]. Another challenge is the data privacy issues facing the open urban data. Additionally, the explainability and reasoning of models and results are crucial for many applications, especially for high-stakes scenarios. Currently, many high-accuracy data-driven models are difficult to interpret and only indicate correlations rather than causality, which hinders the credibility of the models [1]. How to reconcile the contradiction between accuracy and transparency deserves further efforts in research and applications.

The second area of research is to relieve the tension between performance and fairness. Most enabling technologies are designed to improve performance and efficiency, and it remains challenging to accommodate fairness, especially as there is no uniform standard and a controversy over its sociological implications also exists [5]. It is thus difficult to take fairness into account and pay more attention to inclusion, diversity, and equity. In addition, civic participation is one of the central elements for human-centred urban development, and fairness and justice cannot be easily achieved without a full representation of different social groups [13]. Therefore, how to engage urban residents in the research and application processes, especially those who are underrepresented, is also a challenge.

A third area of research is to study how digital technologies can be adapted to urban scenarios while achieving eco-friendly goals. Current AI models, particularly deep learning models, are becoming increasingly large and their training will cost significant amounts of energy and cause outstanding carbon emissions [15]. To pursue eco-friendliness, there are two main challenges. The first is to reduce the need for large models. We can opt for more lightweight models or build models on top of pretrained ones depending on specific scenarios. The other is to enhance top-down design and planning to create domain-specific computing infrastructures for urban studies, and also promote the sharing of data and models. This can help reduce the redundant computing and also increase efficiency.

The research of RUI is highly interdisciplinary and requires efforts from a variety of fields, including urban studies, AI, GIS, social science, environmental science, etc. We thus call for coordinated efforts to meet the challenges and work towards the common SDGs for cities in a responsible way.

### 3 CONCLUSION

Urbanisation is one of the most important topic of the 21st century, and urban problems are becoming increasingly prominent. To tackle these challenges, data-driven technologies have been widely used today to deal with these issues. However, without the guidance of responsibilities, existing issues can be exacerbated and new problems can be introduced. To avoid the negative effects of leveraging these technologies in addressing urban issues, the principles of responsibilities should be clearly established and emphasised. To this end, we envisage the conceptual framework of Responsible Urban

Intelligence and advocate the research agenda as a feasible pathway towards United Nations' SDGs for cities. This agenda will greatly increase the awareness of responsibilities and will also promote the sustainability of using enabling technologies to solve urban problems.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 42101472 and the Hong Kong Polytechnic University Start-Up under Grant BD41.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Alejandro Barredo Arrieta, Natalia Díaz-Rodríguez, Javier Del Ser, Adrien Bénézet, Siham Tabik, Alberto Barbado, Salvador Garcia, Sergio Gil-Lopez, Daniel Molina, Richard Benjamins, Raja Chatila, and Francisco Herrera. 2020. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, Taxonomies, Opportunities and Challenges toward Responsible AI. *Information Fusion* 58 (2020), 82–115.
- [2] Michael Batty. 2013. *The New Science of Cities*. The MIT Press.
- [3] Marshall Burke, Anne Driscoll, David B. Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Using Satellite Imagery to Understand and Promote Sustainable Development. *Science* 371, 6535 (2021).
- [4] Xiaojun Chen, Shengbin Jia, and Yang Xiang. 2020. A Review: Knowledge Reasoning over Knowledge Graph. *Expert Systems with Applications* 141 (2020), 112948.
- [5] Virginia Dignum. 2019. *Responsible Artificial Intelligence: How to Develop and Use AI in a Responsible Way*. Springer Nature.
- [6] Qi-Li Gao, Yang Yue, Wei Tu, Jinzhou Cao, and Qing-Quan Li. 2021. Segregation or Integration? Exploring Activity Disparities between Migrants and Settled Urban Residents Using Human Mobility Data. *Transactions in GIS* 25, 6 (2021), 2791–2820.
- [7] Timnit Gebru, Jamie Morgenstern, Briana Vecchione, Jennifer Wortman Vaughan, Hanna Wallach, Hal Daumé III, and Kate Crawford. 2021. Datasheets for Datasets. *Commun. ACM* 64, 12 (2021), 86–92.
- [8] Krzysztof Janowicz, Song Gao, Grant McKenzie, Yingjie Hu, and Budhendra Bhaduri. 2020. GeoAI: Spatially Explicit Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Geographic Knowledge Discovery and Beyond. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 34, 4 (2020), 625–636.
- [9] George Em Karniadakis, Ioannis G. Kevrekidis, Lu Lu, Paris Perdikaris, Sifan Wang, and Liu Yang. 2021. Physics-Informed Machine Learning. *Nature Reviews Physics* 3, 6 (2021), 422–440.
- [10] Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton. 2015. Deep Learning. *Nature* 521, 7553 (2015), 436–444.
- [11] Yu Liu, Xi Liu, Song Gao, Li Gong, Chaogui Kang, Ye Zhi, Guanghua Chi, and Li Shi. 2015. Social Sensing: A New Approach to Understanding Our Socioeconomic Environments. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 105, 3 (2015), 512–530.
- [12] Yunhe Pan, Yun Tian, Xiaolong Liu, Dedao Gu, and Gang Hua. 2016. Urban Big Data and the Development of City Intelligence. *Engineering* 2, 2 (2016), 171–178.
- [13] Wenzhong Shi, Michael Goodchild, Michael Batty, Mei-Po Kwan, and Anshu Zhang (Eds.). 2021. *Urban Informatics*. Springer Singapore.
- [14] Alex D Singleton and Seth E Spielman. 2021. Urban Governance. In *Urban Informatics*. Springer, 229–241.
- [15] Emma Strubell, Ananya Ganesh, and Andrew McCallum. 2020. Energy and Policy Considerations for Modern Deep Learning Research. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 34. 13693–13696.
- [16] United Nations. 2015. Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. *General Assembly 70 session* (2015).
- [17] United Nations. 2018. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision.
- [18] Luisa Verdoliva. 2020. Media Forensics and DeepFakes: An Overview. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing* 14, 5 (2020), 910–932.
- [19] Tan Yigitcanlar, Rashid Mehmood, and Juan M. Corchado. 2021. Green Artificial Intelligence: Towards an Efficient, Sustainable and Equitable Technology for Smart Cities and Futures. *Sustainability* 13, 16 (2021), 8952.
- [20] Yu Zheng, Licia Capra, Ouri Wolfson, and Hai Yang. 2014. Urban Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, and Applications. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology* 5, 3 (Sept. 2014), 38:1–38:55.