

# ON DECOMPOSITION FOR PAIRS OF CONTRACTIONS

SATYABRATA MAJEE AND AMIT MAJI

ABSTRACT. This paper presents Wold-type decomposition for various pairs of commuting contractions on Hilbert spaces. As a consequence, we obtain a new and simple proof of Słociński's theorem for pairs of doubly commuting isometries. We also achieve an explicit decomposition for pairs of commuting contractions such that the c.n.u. parts of the contractions are in  $C_{00}$ . It is also shown that if a pair  $(T, V)$  of commuting operators with  $T$  as a contraction and  $V$  as an isometry satisfying  $T^*V = VT^*$ , then there exists a unique pair of doubly commuting isometries on the minimal isometric dilation space of  $T$ . As an application, we provide a new proof for pairs of commuting operators consisting of an isometry and a co-isometry are doubly commuting.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Operator theory on Hilbert spaces has two essential parts: the theory of normal operators and the theory of non-normal operators. The structure of normal operators is well known due to spectral decomposition. On the other hand, the complete structure of non-normal operators is unknown to the literature and one of the important examples of non-normal operators is isometry. Indeed, one of the important problems in operator theory, analytic function theory and operator algebra is the classification and representation of  $n$ -tuples ( $n > 1$ ) of commuting isometries on Hilbert spaces.

In a probabilistic language, Wold [24] first established a notable decomposition for stationary stochastic processes. Later, von Neumann, Kolmogorov, and Halmos coined the abstraction of Wold's result for isometries on Hilbert spaces: Every isometry can be uniquely written as a direct sum of a unitary operator and copies of the unilateral shifts. This is called *Wold decomposition or Wold-von Neumann decomposition* (see details in Section 2). This decomposition plays a vital role in many areas of operator algebra and operator theory, namely, dilation theory, invariant subspace theory, operator interpolation problem etc. It is a natural question: Does there exist Wold-type decomposition for pairs of commuting isometries (in general for contractions) on Hilbert spaces?

There has been a lot of research in this direction for the last few decades and many important and interesting results have been obtained in many directions. Still a complete and explicit structure for pairs of commuting isometries, or, in general, an  $n$ -tuple of commuting isometries on a Hilbert space, is unknown. Many researchers have investigated Wold-type decomposition for a pair of commuting isometries/commuting partial isometries/commuting

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A45, 47A20, 47A15, 47A13, 47A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Isometries, contractions, doubly commuting pairs, dilations, extensions.

contractions. For instance, Suciu [21] developed a structure theory for a semigroup of isometries. Later, Słodcinski [20] obtained a Wold-type decomposition for pairs of doubly commuting isometries from Suciu's decomposition of the semigroup of isometries. Burdek, Kosiek and Słodcinski [8] developed the canonical Wold decomposition considering the finite-dimensional wandering space for commuting isometries. Popovici [17] studied the Wold-type decomposition for pairs of commuting isometries. Later, Sarkar [19] generalized Słodcinski's result for  $n$ -tuple of doubly commuting isometries. Many important and interesting results have been obtained by many researchers, like Burdak, Kosiek, Pagacz and Słodcinski ([6], [7]), Bercovici, Douglas and Foiaş ([4], [3]), Maji, Sarkar, and Sankar [16], and references therein etc. On the other hand, Halmos and Wallen [13] studied decomposition for power partial isometry in 1970. Burdak [5] developed a new characterization for a pair of commuting (not necessarily doubly commuting) contractions and obtained decomposition results in the case of power partial isometries.

Dilation theory is one of the most effective tools to study the structure of contractions. One of the striking results is the Sz.-Nagy's dilation result (see [18]): Every contraction has an isometric dilation on a larger Hilbert space. Later, Andô [1] proved that a commuting pair of contractions dilates to a commuting pair of isometries. Other special classes of operators are  $C_{\alpha\beta} = C_\alpha \cap C_\beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1$ ), which plays a significant role in the study of general contractions on Hilbert spaces. Indeed, every contraction on a Hilbert space has a canonical triangulations of the following types (see [18]):

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_0 & * \\ O & C_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} C_{.1} & * \\ O & C_{.0} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The reducibility of an operator means deciding whether the operator has a nontrivial reducing subspace or not, and the classification of invariant subspaces and reducing subspaces of various operators on function spaces has proved to be very challenging research problems in analysis. The reducibility of general  $C_0(N)$  operators is complicated. However, Gu [11] studied the reducibility of any power of a  $C_0(1)$  operator. Uchiyama ([22], [23]) discussed hyperinvariant subspaces for contractions of class  $C_{.0}$  and also found double commutants for  $C_{.0}$  contractions with finite defect indices. Recently, Benhida et al. [2] obtained several equivalent conditions for the reducibility of a contraction in the class  $C_{00}$  in terms of a minimal unitary dilation and the characteristic function.

The main aim of this paper is to investigate decomposition of various pairs of commuting operators as well as the structure of different kind of operators (contraction, isometry, partial isometry) on Hilbert spaces. The geometry of Hilbert spaces, canonical decomposition for a contraction, classical Wold-von Neumann decomposition for an isometry and dilation theory are the essential tools used in this article. One of the main results is Theorem 3.1 (see Section 3): It says that if a pair of doubly commuting bounded linear operators  $(T, V)$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $T$  is a contraction, then the canonical decomposition for  $T$  reduces  $V$ . Secondly in Theorem 5.1 (see Section 5 for details): Let  $(T, V)$  be a pair of bounded linear operators on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T$  is a contraction and  $V$  is an isometry and also  $T^*V = VT^*$ . Let  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  be the minimal isometric dilation for  $T$ . If  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is an extension

of the isometry  $V$ , then  $(S, \tilde{V})$  is a pair of doubly commuting isometries on  $\mathcal{K}$  and hence there is a Wold type decomposition for the pair  $(S, \tilde{V})$  on the minimal space  $\mathcal{K}$ . Moreover, the pair  $(S, \tilde{V})$  on the minimal space  $\mathcal{K}$  is unique upto unitary equivalence.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some basic definitions and the canonical decomposition for a contraction as well as classical Wold-von Neumann decomposition theorem for an isometry. In Section 3 we investigate decomposition for various pairs of commuting operators. In Section 4 we study the structure of a commutant of a power partial isometry. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to dilation of a contraction and we have shown that the Wold-type decomposition holds on the minimal isometric dilation space.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Through out this paper,  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes a complex separable Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  as the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $P_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{L}$ . A closed subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is invariant under  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  if  $T(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  and subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  reduces  $T$  if  $T(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  and  $T(\mathcal{M}^{\perp}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ . A contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  (that is,  $\|Th\| \leq \|h\|$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ) is said to be a pure contraction if  $T^{*m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the strong operator topology. A contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called completely non-unitary (c.n.u. for short) if there does not exist any nonzero  $T$ -reducing subspace  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T|_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a unitary operator. A closed subspace  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is said to be a *wandering subspace* of an isometry  $V$  (that is,  $V^*V = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ ) if

$$V^k\mathcal{W} \perp V^{\ell}\mathcal{W} \text{ for all } k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ with } k \neq \ell.$$

An isometry  $V$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a *unilateral shift or shift* if  $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} V^m\mathcal{W}$  for some wandering subspace  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $V$ . Equivalently, an isometry  $V$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be a pure isometry or shift if  $V^{*m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the strong operator topology (see Halmos [12]). It is note that if  $\mathcal{W}$  is a *wandering subspace* of a shift  $V$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{H} \ominus V\mathcal{H}$$

and the dimension of  $\mathcal{W}$  is called the multiplicity of the shift  $V$  (see [18]).

One of the fundamental results in dilation theory is that every contraction on Hilbert spaces can be decomposed into direct sum of unitary and c.n.u. We refer this as *canonical decomposition theorem* for a contraction ([18]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Every contraction  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  corresponds a unique decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$  into an orthogonal sum of two  $T$ -reducing subspaces  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  such that  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  is unitary and  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$  is c.n.u. ( $\mathcal{H}_u$  or  $\mathcal{H}_{-u}$  may equal to  $\{0\}$ ). Moreover*

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|T^n h\| = \|h\| = \|T^{*n} h\| \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Here  $T_u = T|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  and  $T_{-u} = T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$  are called *unitary part* and *c.n.u. part* of  $T$ , respectively and  $T = T_u \oplus T_{-u}$  is called the *canonical decomposition* for  $T$ .

In particular, *canonical decomposition theorem* for an isometry coincides with the classical *Wold-von Neumann decomposition* ([18]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $V$  be an isometry on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{H} \ominus V\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  decomposes uniquely as a direct sum of two  $V$ -reducing subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_s = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} V^m\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_u = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_s$  and*

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} V_s & O \\ O & V_u \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_u),$$

where  $V_s = V|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is shift and  $V_u = V|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  is unitary.

There are some certain classes of contractions which are important in understanding the structure of a contraction (see [18]). We say that a contraction  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  belongs to the class  $C_0$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{*n}h\| = 0 \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

and  $T$  belongs to the class  $C_{.1}$  if

$$\inf_n \|T^{*n}h\| > 0 \text{ for all non zero } h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Also a contraction  $T$  belongs to the class  $C_0$ . (or  $C_{.1}$ .) if  $T^*$  belongs to  $C_{.0}$  (or  $C_{.1}$ ). The class  $C_0 \cap C_{.0}$  is denoted by  $C_{00}$ , that is, a contraction  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  belongs to the class  $C_{00}$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n h\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{*n}h\| \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The class  $C_{11}$  is defined as  $C_1 \cap C_{.1}$ . For example, an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+))$  is defined by

$$Te_n = \frac{1}{n+2}e_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \{e_n\} \text{ standard orthonormal basis for } \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+))$$

and belongs to the class  $C_{00}$ .

A commuting pair of operators  $(T_1, T_2)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be doubly commuting if  $T_1T_2^* = T_2^*T_1$ . An operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a *partial isometry* if  $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$  for every  $x \in (\ker T)^\perp$ . The space  $(\ker T)^\perp$  is called the initial space of  $T$ , and  $\text{ran } T$  is called its final space. We say that  $T$  is a power partial isometry if each  $T^n$  is a partial isometry for  $n \geq 1$ . It is easy to see that isometry, co-isometry are examples of power partial isometry.

### 3. DECOMPOSITION FOR PAIRS OF OPERATORS

In this section, we show that if a pair consisting of a contraction and a bounded operator is doubly commuting, then the canonical decomposition of the contraction reduces the bounded operator. Using this result, we further derived some decomposition results for pairs which are not doubly commuting. We now present the following result which will be used in the sequel.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(T, V)$  be a pair of doubly commuting bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T$  is contraction. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\neg u}$  be the canonical decomposition for the contraction  $T$ . Then the decomposition reduces the operator  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a contraction. Then  $T^*T \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$  and also  $TT^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Now define the defect operators

$$D_T = (I_{\mathcal{H}} - T^*T)^{1/2} \text{ and } D_{T^*} = (I_{\mathcal{H}} - TT^*)^{1/2}$$

which are positive operators and bounded by 0 and 1. Since

$$VT = TV \text{ and } VT^* = T^*V,$$

we have

$$VD_T^2 = V(I_{\mathcal{H}} - T^*T) = (I_{\mathcal{H}} - T^*T)V = D_T^2V.$$

Now using iteration

$$V(D_T^2)^n = (D_T^2)^nV \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore

$$(3.1) \quad Vp(D_T^2) = p(D_T^2)V$$

for every polynomial  $p(\lambda) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\lambda + \dots + \alpha_k\lambda^k$ . Thus we can choose a sequence of polynomials  $p_n(\lambda)$  that tends to the function  $\lambda^{1/2}$  uniformly in the interval  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Since  $D_T^2$  is a positive operator also bounded by 0 and 1, by spectral representation of  $D_T^2$  there is a sequence of operators  $p_n(D_T^2)$  converges to  $D_T$  in the operator norm. Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  from (3.1), we get

$$VD_T = D_TV.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$VD_{T^*} = D_{T^*}V.$$

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|Th\| = \|h\|$ . Then

$$\langle h, h \rangle = \|h\|^2 = \|Th\|^2 = \langle T^*Th, h \rangle.$$

Thus  $\langle D_T^2h, h \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\|D_T h\| = 0$ . Hence the set  $\{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|Th\| = \|h\|\}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{N}_{D_T} = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : D_T h = 0\}$  (or  $\mathcal{N}_{D_T} = \ker(D_T)$ ) which is a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider  $T(m) = T^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ),  $T(0) = I$ ,  $T(m) = T^{*|m|}$  ( $m \leq -1$ ). For fixed integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $T(m)$  is a contraction on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore, the set  $\{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|T(m)h\| = \|h\|\}$  is same as  $\mathcal{N}_{D_{T(m)}} = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : D_{T(m)}h = 0\}$  which is a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Again consider  $\mathcal{H}_u = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \|T(m)h\| = \|h\|, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_u$  can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \bigcap_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_{D_{T(m)}}, \text{ where } D_{T(m)} = \begin{cases} (I - T^{*m}T^m)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \forall m \geq 0, \\ (I - T^{|m|}T^{*|m|})^{\frac{1}{2}} & \forall m \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $D_T$  and  $D_{T^*}$  are self-adjoint operators and the pairs  $(V, D_T)$  and  $(V, D_{T^*})$  are commuting, the pairs  $(V, D_{T^m})$  and  $(V, D_{T^{*|m|}})$  are doubly commuting. Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}_u = \bigcap_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_{D_{T(m)}}$ . Then for each fixed  $m \geq 0$

$$D_{T^m}Vh = VD_{T^m}h = 0 \text{ and } D_{T^m}V^*h = V^*D_{T^m}h = 0.$$

Therefore  $Vh$  and  $V^*h \in \mathcal{N}_{D_{T^m}}$ . Similarly  $Vh$  and  $V^*h \in \mathcal{N}_{D_{T^{*|m|}}}$  for each fixed  $m \leq -1$ . It says that  $V(\mathcal{H}_u), V^*(\mathcal{H}_u) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_u$ . Again  $\mathcal{H}_u$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{-u}$  are orthogonal subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence the canonical decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  reduces  $V$ .  $\blacksquare$

One of the immediate consequences of the above result is the following.

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a pair of doubly commuting contractions on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{u1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u1}$  be the *canonical decomposition* for the contraction  $T_1$ , where  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}$  is unitary and  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}$  is c.n.u. Then by the above Theorem 3.1, the decomposition reduces the other contraction  $T_2$ . Indeed, the contraction  $T_2$  breaks into two contractions  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}$  and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}$  with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{u1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u1}$ . Now repeating the process for the contractions  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}$  and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{u-u} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u-u},$$

where

- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  are both unitaries,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u-u}}$  is unitary and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u-u}}$  is c.n.u.,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-uu}}$  is c.n.u. and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-uu}}$  is unitary,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u-u}}$  and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u-u}}$  are both c.n.u.

This result has been proved by Burdak [5]. For more details one can see the recent paper of the authors [15].

Now we study pairs of non-doubly commuting operators satisfying certain conditions. Before proceeding to the main result, we need the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a contraction and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $T$  is in the class  $C_{00}$ , then  $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is in  $C_{00}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is in  $C_{00}$ , for each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n h\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{*n} h\|.$$

As  $T(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , consider  $R = T|_{\mathcal{M}}$  which is in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ . Then  $R^n = T^n|_{\mathcal{M}}$  and hence  $R^{*n} = P_{\mathcal{M}}T^{*n}|_{\mathcal{M}}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Now for each  $h \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^n h\| &= \|T^n h\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \\ \|R^{*n} h\| &= \|P_{\mathcal{M}}T^{*n} h\| \leq \|T^{*n} h\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

as  $T$  is in  $C_{00}$ . Therefore,  $R$  is in  $C_{00}$ . ■

The following result is an important decomposition for a pair of commuting operators.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(T, V)$  be a pair of commuting bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $T$  be a contraction. If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  is a canonical decomposition for the contraction  $T$  and  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$  is in the class  $C_{00}$ , then the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  reduces  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  is the canonical decomposition for the contraction  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$  is in the class  $C_{00}$ . Then the matricial representation for  $T$  with the canonical decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} T_u & O \\ O & T_{-u} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $T_u = T|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  and  $T_{-u} = T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}}$ .

Now suppose that with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$ , the matricial representation for  $V$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ . Given hypothesis  $TV = VT$  implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_u A & T_u B \\ T_{-u} C & T_{-u} D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} AT_u & BT_{-u} \\ CT_u & DT_{-u} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$T_u B = BT_{-u} \text{ and } T_{-u} C = CT_u.$$

Then

$$T_u^n B = BT_{-u}^n \text{ and } T_{-u}^n C = CT_u^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Now for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{-u}$ ,

$$\|Bh\| = \|T_u^n Bh\| = \|BT_{-u}^n h\| \leq \|B\| \|T_{-u}^n h\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $Bh = 0$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{-u}$ . This implies that  $B = 0$ . Again for any  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{-u}$ ,

$$\|C^*h\| = \|T_u^{*n} C^*h\| = \|C^* T_{-u}^{*n} h\| \leq \|C^*\| \|T_{-u}^{*n} h\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that  $C^*h = 0$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}_{-u}$ . Therefore  $C = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}_u$  reduces  $V$ . Therefore, the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  reduces  $V$ .

This completes the proof. ■

There are few remarks and consequences of the above theorem:

**Remark 3.5.** One of the important facts in the above theorem is that the decomposition of the pair of operators does not require the doubly commuting condition.

**Remark 3.6.** If the c.n.u. parts for a pair of commuting contractions are in  $C_{00}$ , then one can find decomposition for the commuting pairs which need not be doubly commuting (see Example 3.8).

Now we will give some examples for pairs of commuting operators on Hilbert spaces satisfying some certain properties.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $\Lambda = \{(i, j) : i \geq 0 \text{ or } j \geq 0\}$  and  $\{e_{i,j}\}_{(i,j) \in \Lambda}$  be a sequence of orthonormal vectors in some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_{i,j}\}_{(i,j) \in \Lambda}$ . Suppose that  $V_1, V_2$  are two bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$V_1 e_{i,j} = e_{i+1,j} \text{ and } V_2 e_{i,j} = e_{i,j+1} \text{ for } (i, j) \in \Lambda.$$

Clearly,  $(V_1, V_2)$  is a pair of commuting isometries on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{u_2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{s_2}$  is the Wold decomposition for  $V_2$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{H}_{u_2} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_2^n \mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_{i,j} : i \geq 0\}.$$

Now  $e_{0,1} \in \mathcal{H}_{u_2}$  but  $e_{-1,1} \notin \mathcal{H}_{u_2}$ . Again  $V_1^* e_{0,1} = e_{-1,1}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_{u_2}$  does not reduce the operator  $V_1$  and the pair  $(V_1, V_2)$  is not doubly commuting by Theorem 3.1. Also  $V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{s_2}}$  does not belong to  $C_{00}$ . Similarly,  $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{s_1}}$  does not belong to  $C_{00}$ . Hence the pair of commuting operators  $(V_1, V_2)$  is neither doubly commuting nor  $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{s_1}}, V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{s_2}}$  belong to  $C_{00}$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a Hilbert space and  $k$  be a fixed positive integer. Define an operator  $T$  on the  $k$ -fold direct sum  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{K}$  as

$$T(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) = (0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \quad \text{for } h_i \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Then  $(T, T)$  is a commuting pair of truncated shifts of index  $k$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Clearly, the adjoint of  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , denoted as  $T^*$ , is defined by

$$T^*(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) = (h_2, \dots, h_k, 0).$$

It is easy to see that

$$TT^* \neq T^*T.$$

Now from the canonical decomposition for the contraction  $T$ , the c.n.u. part is  $\mathcal{H}$  (as unitary part is absent). Further,  $T$  is in  $C_{00}$ . Hence the pair  $(T, T)$  is not doubly commuting but is in  $C_{00}$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let  $S$  be the right shift operator on the Hilbert space  $l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  defined as

$$S(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

and  $U$  be any unitary on  $l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  such that  $SU = US$ . Also  $SU^* = U^*S$ . Therefore,  $(S, U)$  is a doubly commuting pair of operators. But neither  $S$  nor  $U$  on  $l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  are in the  $C_{00}$ .

**Example 3.10.** Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two contractions on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = l_2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  defined as

$$T_1(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_0, \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{3}, \dots)$$

and

$$T_2(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_0, \frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2^2}, \dots).$$

Since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are diagonal operators,  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of doubly commuting contractions. If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{ui} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-ui}$  is the canonical decomposition for  $T_i$ , then the unitary parts  $\mathcal{H}_{ui} = \text{span}\{e_1\}$  and the c.n.u. parts  $\mathcal{H}_{-ui} = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_2, e_3, \dots\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Furthermore, it is easy to see that  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{-ui}} \in C_{00}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

We record the following immediate consequences of the above theorem.

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $(T, V)$  be a pair of commuting operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T$  is contraction. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u}$  be the canonical decomposition for  $T$ . If  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}} \in C_0$  (or  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u}} \in C_0$ ), then  $\mathcal{H}_{-u}$  (or  $\mathcal{H}_u$ ) is invariant under  $V$ .*

Now suppose that  $(T, V)$  is a pair of commuting operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $V$  is isometry. Then from the Wold decomposition for  $V$ , we have  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s$ , where  $V|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  is unitary and  $V|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is shift, that is,  $V|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is in  $C_0$ . If  $V$  is a co-isometry, then we have  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s$ , where  $V|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  is unitary and  $V|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is co-shift, i.e.,  $V|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is in  $C_0$ . Therefore, we have the following results from the above Corollary.

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $(T, V)$  be a pair of commuting operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $V$  is isometry ( or  $V$  is co-isometry). Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s$  be the Wold decomposition for  $V$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_u$  (or  $\mathcal{H}_s$ ) is invariant under  $T$ .*



Let  $(V_1, V_2)$  be a pair of doubly commuting isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then from the above results, we can prove easily the well-known result of Słodcinski [20].

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $(V_1, V_2)$  be a pair of doubly commuting isometries on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there is a unique decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{us} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{su} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{ss},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}, \mathcal{H}_{us}, \mathcal{H}_{su}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{ss}$  are the subspaces reducing  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that

- $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}, V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  are unitary operators,
- $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{us}}$  is unitary,  $V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{us}}$  is shift,
- $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{su}}$  is shift,  $V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{su}}$  is unitary,
- $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{ss}}, V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{ss}}$  are shifts.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(V_1, V_2)$  is a pair of doubly commuting isometries on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the Wold decomposition for  $V_1$  gives

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_u$  reduces  $V_1$ ;  $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  is a unitary and  $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is a shift. Since  $(V_1, V_2)$  is a doubly commuting pair on  $\mathcal{H}$ , by Theorem 3.1 the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_u$  and  $\mathcal{H}_s$  reduce the isometry  $V_2$ . Now Wold-von Neumann decomposition for the isometries  $V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_u$  and  $V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_s$  yield

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{us}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_s = \mathcal{H}_{su} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{ss},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{su}$  reduce  $V_2$  to unitary operators and  $\mathcal{H}_{us}, \mathcal{H}_{ss}$  reduce  $V_2$  to unilateral shifts. Again the pairs  $(V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_u}, V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_u})$  and  $(V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_s}, V_2|_{\mathcal{H}_s})$  are doubly commuting on  $\mathcal{H}_u$  and  $\mathcal{H}_s$ , respectively. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1 the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{us}$  reduce the unitary  $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  as well as subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{su}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{ss}$  reduce the shift  $V_1|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$ .

This completes the proof. ■

Every completely non-unitary co-isometry is a co-shift (that is, adjoint of shift) and hence it is in  $C_0$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is co-isometry, then the canonical decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$  reduce  $T$ , where  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$  is unitary and  $T|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$  is in  $C_0$ . So in particular if  $(V, W)$  is a commuting pair consisting of an isometry and a co-isometry, then it is doubly commuting (For proof see Section 5) and hence we have the following decomposition result. The proof is omitted as it is similar to the above proof.

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $(V, W)$  be a pair of commuting operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $V$  is isometry and  $W$  is co-isometry. Then there is a unique decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{ub} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{su} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{sb}$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}, \mathcal{H}_{ub}, \mathcal{H}_{su}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{sb}$  are the subspaces reducing  $V, W$  such that

- $V|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  and  $W|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  are unitary operators,
- $V|_{\mathcal{H}_{ub}}$  is unitary and  $W|_{\mathcal{H}_{ub}}$  is co-shift,
- $V|_{\mathcal{H}_{su}}$  is shift and  $W|_{\mathcal{H}_{su}}$  is unitary,
- $V|_{\mathcal{H}_{sb}}$  is shift and  $W|_{\mathcal{H}_{sb}}$  is co-shift.

In the next result we obtain an explicit Wold-type decomposition for a pair of commuting contractions satisfying certain conditions.

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $(T_1, T_2)$  be a pair of commuting contractions on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{ui} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-ui}$  be the canonical decomposition for contraction  $T_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $T_i|_{\mathcal{H}_{-ui}}$  is in  $C_{00}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then there is a unique decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{u-u} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u-u},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}, \mathcal{H}_{u-u}, \mathcal{H}_{-uu}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{-u-u}$  are  $(T_1, T_2)$  reducing subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$  are unitary operators,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u-u}}$  is unitary,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u-u}}$  is completely non-unitary,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-uu}}$  is completely non-unitary,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-uu}}$  is unitary,
- $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u-u}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u-u}}$  are completely non-unitary.

Furthermore, the decomposition spaces are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}) \cap \ker((I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{u-u} &= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_{u1} \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_{u1}\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{-uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}) \cap \ker((I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{-u-u} &= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_{-u1} \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_{-u1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{u1} &= \bigcap_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1}) \cap \ker(I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{-u1} &= \bigvee_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1})\mathcal{H} \cup (I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})\mathcal{H}\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(T_1, T_2)$  is a pair of commuting contractions on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the canonical decomposition for  $T_1$  gives

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{u1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u1}$$

where  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}, T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}$  are unitary and c.n.u., respectively. Also we have the decomposition spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{u1}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{-u1}$  (see our recent work [15] for more details) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{u1} &= \bigcap_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1}) \cap \ker(I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})], \\ \mathcal{H}_{-u1} &= \bigvee_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_1^{*m_1} T_1^{m_1})\mathcal{H} \cup (I - T_1^{m_1} T_1^{*m_1})\mathcal{H}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by hypothesis  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}} \in C_{00}$ , Theorem 3.4 gives the subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{u1}$  (or  $\mathcal{H}_{-u1}$ ) reduces the contraction  $T_2$ . Hence the canonical decomposition for the contractions  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}$  and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}$  yield

$$\mathcal{H}_{u1} = \mathcal{H}_{uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{u-u}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{-u1} = \mathcal{H}_{-uu} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u-u}$$

where  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{uu}}$ ,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-uu}}$  are unitaries and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u^{-u}}}$ ,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u^{-u}}}$  are c.n.u. Now  $(T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}})$  and  $(T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}})$  are commuting pairs of contractions on  $\mathcal{H}_{u1}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{-u1}$ , respectively. By the assumption  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u2}} \in C_{00}$ , that means,  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u^{-u}}}, T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u^{-u}}} \in C_{00}$  as  $\mathcal{H}_{-u2} = \mathcal{H}_{u^{-u}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-u^{-u}}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.4, the subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_{uu}$  (or  $\mathcal{H}_{u^{-u}}$ ) reduces the unitary operator  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{-uu}$  (or  $\mathcal{H}_{-u^{-u}}$ ) reduces the completely non-unitary  $T_1|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}$ . Now using the decomposition formula for the canonical decomposition of the contractions  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}$  and  $T_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker(I_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}} - T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}} T_2^{m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}) \cap \ker(I_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}} - T_2^{m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}} T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}})] \\ &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}) \cap \ker(I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{u1}}], \\ \mathcal{H}_{u^{-u}} &= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_{u1} \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_{u1}\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{-uu} &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker(I_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}} - T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}} T_2^{m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}) \cap \ker(I_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}} - T_2^{m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}} T_2^{*m_2}|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}})] \\ &= \bigcap_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [\ker((I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}}) \cap \ker((I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_{-u1}})], \\ \text{and } \mathcal{H}_{-u^{-u}} &= \bigvee_{m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \{(I - T_2^{*m_2} T_2^{m_2})\mathcal{H}_{-u1} \cup (I - T_2^{m_2} T_2^{*m_2})\mathcal{H}_{-u1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of the decomposition follows from the uniqueness of the canonical decomposition of a single contraction. This finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

#### 4. DECOMPOSITION FOR PARTIAL ISOMETRY

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \underbrace{\mathcal{H}' \oplus \mathcal{H}' \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}'}_k$ , where  $\mathcal{H}'$  is a Hilbert space. A truncated shift  $R'$  of index  $k$  is defined on the  $k$ -fold direct sum  $\mathcal{H}$  as

$$R'(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) = (0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Therefore, the matrix representation of the truncated shift operator  $R'$  of index  $k$  is of the form

$$R' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ I_{\mathcal{H}'} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{H}'} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{\mathcal{H}'} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}.$$

Clearly, it is easy to see that  $R' \in C_{00}$  and  $\ker R' = \mathcal{H}'$ ,  $R'^* R' = P_{(\ker R')^\perp}$ . For an example, we consider an operator  $R$  on  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  as

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{1-|a|^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } 0 < |a| < 1.$$

Then we can check that  $R, R^n (n \geq 3)$  are partial isometries but  $R^2$  is not a partial isometry. Hence  $R$  is not a power partial isometry.

We start with an easy observation which will be used frequently in the sequel.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $R, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $RT = TR$  and  $RT^* = T^*R$ . Then  $\ker T, \ker T^*$  reduce  $R$  and also  $\ker R, \ker R^*$  reduce  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \ker T$ . Then

$$TRx = T(Rx) = R(Tx) = R0 = 0$$

and

$$(TR^*)x = T(R^*x) = R^*(Tx) = R^*0 = 0.$$

This implies that  $\ker T$  reduce  $R$ .

Similarly, others can be proved. ■

Now we recall the decomposition theorem of Halmos and Wallen [13] on power partial isometries.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a power partial isometry. Then there is a unique decomposition*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_b \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_k \right),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_u, \mathcal{H}_s, \mathcal{H}_b$  and  $\mathcal{H}_k, k \geq 1$  are subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  reducing  $R$  such that

- $R|_{\mathcal{H}_u}$  is a unitary operator,
- $R|_{\mathcal{H}_s}$  is a unilateral shift,
- $R|_{\mathcal{H}_b}$  is a backward shift,
- $R|_{\mathcal{H}_k}$  is a truncated shift of index  $k$ .

Moreover,

- $\mathcal{H}_u = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^n \mathcal{H}$ ,
- $\mathcal{H}_s = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^n (\ker R^*)$ ,
- $\mathcal{H}_b = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^n \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} (\ker R)$ ,
- $\mathcal{H}_t = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_k = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^n (\ker R^*) \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} (\ker R)$ .

Following Halmos and Wallen [13], one can conclude that for a power partial isometry  $R$ ,  $R_u = R|_{\mathcal{H}_u} \in C_{11}$ ,  $R_s = R|_{\mathcal{H}_s} \in C_{10}$ ,  $R_b = R|_{\mathcal{H}_b} \in C_{01}$ , and  $R_k = R|_{\mathcal{H}_k} \in C_{00}$ . Thus any

power partial isometry  $R$  on  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_b \oplus \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_t$  is of following type:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_u & \mathcal{H}_b & \mathcal{H}_s & \mathcal{H}_t \\ C_{11} & O & O & O \\ O & C_{01} & O & O \\ O & O & C_{10} & O \\ O & O & O & C_{00} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the next result, we find a nice matrix structure for a commutant of a power partial isometry.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $(R, B)$  be a pair of doubly commuting bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $R$  is a power partial isometry. If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_b \oplus \mathcal{H}_t$  is the decomposition for  $R$ , then this decomposition reduces also the operator  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(R, B)$  is a pair of doubly commuting bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $R$  is a power partial isometry and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_b \oplus \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_t$  is the decomposition for  $R$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_b$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_t$ . If  $RB = BR$ , then by Corollary 3.11,  $\mathcal{K}_1$  is an invariant subspace for  $B$ . So the matrix representation of  $B$  with respect to the decomposition  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$  is of the form

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & * \\ O & B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } B_1 = B|_{\mathcal{K}_1}, \text{ and } B_2 = P_{\mathcal{K}_2} B|_{\mathcal{K}_2}.$$

Now  $B_1$  is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_b$  and let  $B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{21} \\ B_{31} & B_{41} \end{bmatrix}$  on  $\mathcal{K}_1$ . Then

$$R|_{\mathcal{K}_1} B|_{\mathcal{K}_1} = B|_{\mathcal{K}_1} R|_{\mathcal{K}_1}$$

yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_u B_{11} & R_u B_{21} \\ R_b B_{31} & R_b B_{41} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} R_u & B_{21} R_b \\ B_{31} R_u & B_{41} R_b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $R_u B_{21} = B_{21} R_b$ . Thus  $R_u^n B_{21} = B_{21} R_b^n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $R_u$  is unitary and  $R_b$  is in  $C_0$ , by Corollary 3.11 we have  $B_{21} = 0$ . Therefore, the matrix form of  $B_1$  on  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_b$  is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & O \\ B_{31} & B_{41} \end{bmatrix}.$$

With the similar arguments, we can find the matrix form of  $B_2$  on  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_t$ . Let

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} B_{12} & B_{22} \\ B_{32} & B_{42} \end{bmatrix}$$

on  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_t$ . Since  $R_s$  is an isometry and  $R_t$  is in  $C_0$ , we have  $B_2$  is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{33} & O \\ B_{43} & B_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence the matrix form of a bounded linear operator  $B$  commuting with a power partial isometry on  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_u \oplus \mathcal{H}_b \oplus \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_t$  is of the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_u & \mathcal{H}_b & \mathcal{H}_s & \mathcal{H}_t \\ B_{11} & O & * & * \\ * & B_{22} & * & * \\ O & O & B_{33} & O \\ O & O & * & B_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $(R, B)$  is a doubly commuting pair,  $RB = BR$  and  $R^*B = BR^*$  implies  $B$  is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_u & \mathcal{H}_b & \mathcal{H}_s & \mathcal{H}_t \\ B_{11} & O & O & O \\ O & B_{22} & O & O \\ O & O & B_{33} & O \\ O & O & O & B_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that the decomposition for a power partial isometry reduces  $B$ . ■

**Remark 4.4.** In the above proof we use the structure theory of a power partial isometry and hence the proof does not require the explicit orthogonal spaces of the decomposition. However, one can proof the above result with the help of the decomposition spaces. In fact, from Theorem 4.2 we have

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^n \mathcal{H},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^n (\ker R^*),$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_b = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^n \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} (\ker R).$$

Now the doubly commutative property for a pair  $(R, T)$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathcal{H}_u) &\subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T R^n \mathcal{H} \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^n \mathcal{H}, \\ T^*(\mathcal{H}_u) &\subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^* R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^* R^n \mathcal{H} \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^n \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathcal{H}_s) &\subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} T R^n (\ker R^*) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^n (\ker R^*), \\ T^*(\mathcal{H}_s) &\subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^* R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} T^* R^n (\ker R^*) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 0} R^{*n} \mathcal{H} \bigcap \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^n (\ker R^*). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that  $T(\mathcal{H}_b), T^*(\mathcal{H}_b) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_b$ . Since the decomposition is orthogonal, clearly  $\mathcal{H}_t$  reduces  $T$ .

## 5. DILATION AND WOLD TYPE DECOMPOSITION

In general, the structure of a contraction on a Hilbert space is very difficult to study. Using dilation theory, we show here that certain pairs of operators consisting of an isometry and a contraction are doubly commuting on the minimal isometric dilation space.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}$  be two Hilbert spaces. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$  are two bounded operators. Then  $S$  is called a dilation of  $T$  if

$$T^n h = P_{\mathcal{H}} S^n h$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{K}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$ . A dilation  $S$  of  $T$  is called minimal if

$$\overline{\text{span}} \{S^n h : h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} = \mathcal{K}.$$

An isometric dilation of  $T$  is a dilation  $S$  which is an isometry.

Now we recall one of the striking results on dilation theory (cf. [18]).

**Theorem 5.2.** *For every contraction  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  there exists an isometric dilation  $S$  on some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} (\supset \mathcal{H})$ , which is moreover minimal in the sense that*

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n \mathcal{H}, \text{ i.e., } \mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}} \{S^n h : h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

*This minimal isometric dilation  $(S, \mathcal{K})$  of  $(T, \mathcal{H})$  is determined upto isomorphism. The space  $\mathcal{H}$  is invariant for  $S^*$  and we have*

$$TP_{\mathcal{H}} = P_{\mathcal{H}}S \quad \text{and} \quad T^* = S^*|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{K}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Now we will find decomposition for pairs of commuting operators consisting of an isometry and a contraction. We can state the result as follows:

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $(T, V)$  be a pair of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T$  is a contraction and  $V$  is an isometry and also  $T^*V = VT^*$ . Let  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  be the minimal isometric dilation for  $T$ . If  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is an isometric extension of  $V$ , then  $(S, \tilde{V})$  is a pair of doubly commuting isometries on  $\mathcal{K}$  and hence there is a Wold-type decomposition for the pair  $(S, \tilde{V})$  on the minimal space  $\mathcal{K}$ . Moreover, the pair  $(S, \tilde{V})$  on the minimal space  $\mathcal{K}$  is unique upto unitary equivalence.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is the minimal isometric dilation of  $T$ . Then

$$\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}} \{S^n h : h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

$S^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and  $T^* = S^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Also  $TP_{\mathcal{H}} = P_{\mathcal{H}}S$  where  $P_{\mathcal{H}}$  denotes the orthogonal projection from  $\mathcal{K}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{span}\{S^n h_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, h_n \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Define  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  as

$$\tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n,$$

where  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . We shall firstly show that the map  $\tilde{V}$  is well defined on  $\mathcal{L}$ . To do that we consider the following.

Let  $\alpha_n, \beta_m \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $h_n, g_m \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$(5.1) \quad \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n, \sum_{m=0}^M \beta_m S^m V g_m \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{m=0}^M \alpha_n \bar{\beta}_m \langle S^n V h_n, S^m V g_m \rangle.$$

Suppose  $n \geq m$  for fixed  $m, n$ . Using the fact that  $V$  is an isometry on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $S$  is an isometric dilation of  $T$ , and  $T^*V = VT^*$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^n V h_n, S^m V g_m \rangle &= \langle S^{n-m} V h_n, V g_m \rangle \\ &= \langle V h_n, S^{*(n-m)} V g_m \rangle \\ &= \langle V h_n, T^{*(n-m)} V g_m \rangle \\ &= \langle V h_n, V T^{*(n-m)} g_m \rangle \\ &= \langle h_n, T^{*(n-m)} g_m \rangle \\ &= \langle h_n, S^{*(n-m)} g_m \rangle \\ &= \langle S^{n-m} h_n, g_m \rangle \\ &= \langle S^n h_n, S^m g_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from the above equation (5.1), we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n, \sum_{m=0}^M \beta_m S^m V g_m \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n, \sum_{m=0}^M \beta_m S^m g_m \right\rangle.$$

In particular, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right\|^2.$$

Suppose that

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n = \sum_{m=0}^M \beta_m S^m g_m.$$

Then from the above equality (5.2), it is easy to see that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n - \sum_{m=0}^M \beta_m S^m V g_m \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n - \sum_{m=0}^M \beta_m S^m g_m \right\|^2 = 0.$$



Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n = \sum_{m=0}^M \beta_m S^m V g_m.$$

Hence from the definition of  $\tilde{V}$ , we have

$$\tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n.$$

This proves that  $\tilde{V}$  is well defined on  $\mathcal{L}$ . From equation (5.2), we can conclude that  $\tilde{V}$  is bounded as well as norm preserving linear operator on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Again  $\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{K}$  follows that  $\tilde{V}$  is an isometry on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Also the definition of  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  implies that  $\tilde{V}(h_0) = V(h_0)$  for all  $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{V}|_{\mathcal{H}} = V$ . So  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is an extension of  $V$ .

We shall now show that  $S$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are doubly commuting on  $\mathcal{L}$ . First of all

$$\begin{aligned} S\tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right) &= S \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^{n+1} V h_n \\ &= \tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^{n+1} h_n \right) \\ &= \tilde{V} S \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V} S^* \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right) &= \tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^* S^n h_n \right) \\ &= \tilde{V} \left( \alpha_0 S^* h_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n S^{n-1} h_n \right) \\ &= \tilde{V} (\alpha_0 T^* h_0) + \tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n S^{n-1} h_n \right) \\ &= \alpha_0 V T^* h_0 + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n S^{n-1} V h_n \right) \\ &= \alpha_0 T^* V h_0 + S^* \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n \right), \end{aligned}$$

that means,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}S^* \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right) &= S^* [\alpha_0 V h_0 + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n \right)] \\ &= S^* \tilde{V} [\alpha_0 S^0 h_0 + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right)] \\ &= S^* \tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$S\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}S \quad \text{and} \quad S^*\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}S^* \quad \text{on } \mathcal{L}.$$

Now the norm preserving operator  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  can be extended uniquely by continuity (again denoted by same  $\tilde{V}$ ) to the closure of  $\mathcal{L}$  ( i.e.  $\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{K}$  ) such that

$$S\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}S \quad \text{and} \quad S^*\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}S^*.$$

Hence  $S$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are doubly commuting isometries on the minimal space  $\mathcal{K}$ . So from Słociński's theorem for a pair of commuting isometries we can say that there is a Wold-type decomposition for doubly commuting pair of isometries  $(S, \tilde{V})$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two minimal isometric dilations on the space  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  for the contraction  $T$ , respectively. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \overline{\text{span}} \{ S_i^n h : h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}, S_i^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \text{ and } T^* = S_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Now, for  $i = 1, 2$ , consider  $\mathcal{L}_i = \text{span}\{S_i^n h_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, h_n \in \mathcal{H}\}$  and define  $\tilde{V}_i$  on  $\mathcal{L}_i$  as

$$\tilde{V}_i \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} \alpha_n S_i^n h_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} \alpha_n S_i^n V h_n,$$

where  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . Clearly, the maps  $\tilde{V}_i$  are bounded as well as norm preserving operators on  $\mathcal{L}_i$  and hence  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_i = \mathcal{K}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Define  $U$  on  $\mathcal{L}_1$  by

$$U \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S_1^n h_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S_2^n h_n.$$

Using the fact that  $T^* = S_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , it is easy to prove that the map  $U$  is well-defined and isometric linear map from  $\mathcal{L}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} U\tilde{V}_1 \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S_1^n h_n \right) &= U \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S_1^n V h_n \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S_2^n V h_n \\ &= \tilde{V}_2 \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S_2^n h_n \right) \\ &= \tilde{V}_2 U \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S_1^n h_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $U\tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{V}_2 U$ . Since  $\overline{\mathcal{L}_i} = \mathcal{K}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ; then by continuity  $U$  can be extended to a unitary operator (again denoted by same  $U$ ) from  $\mathcal{K}_1$  to  $\mathcal{K}_2$ . It says that the isometric extension  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$  of  $T$  are isomorphic. Hence the pair  $(S, \tilde{V})$  is unique upto unitary equivalence on the minimal dilation space.  $\blacksquare$

The following results are immediate applications of the above Theorem 5.3. The result is known [14] but here we provide a new and simple proof.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $V$  be an isometry and  $W$  be a coisometry on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $VW = WV$ , then the pair  $(V, W)$  is doubly commuting on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V$  is an isometry and  $W$  is a co-isometry on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$  be the minimal isometric dilation of the co-isometry  $W$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}} \{ S^n h : h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \},$$

$S^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and  $W^* = S^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Now define  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{L} = \text{span}\{S^n h_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, h_n \in \mathcal{H}\}$  as

$$\tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n,$$

where  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $VW = WV$ , we can prove that (similar lines as Theorem 5.3) the map  $\tilde{V}$  is well defined and bounded as well as norm preserving linear operator on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Now by continuity  $\tilde{V}$  can be extended to  $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{K}$  (write same  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ ). Thus  $\tilde{V}$  is an isometry on  $\mathcal{K}$  and one can check that  $(S, \tilde{V})$  is a pair of commuting isometries on the minimal space  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Since  $W$  is a co-isometry on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the minimal isometric dilation  $S$  of  $W$  is a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Consequently,  $S\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}S$  and  $S^*\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}S^*$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Using the facts  $S^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = W^*$  and  $\tilde{V}|_{\mathcal{H}} = V$ , we have

$$W^*Vh = S^*Vh = S^*\tilde{V}h = \tilde{V}S^*h = \tilde{V}W^*h = VW^*h \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}).$$

This implies  $W^*V = VW^*$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence the pair  $(V, W)$  is doubly commuting on  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\blacksquare$

Suppose that  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is the minimal isometric dilation of a contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the Wold decomposition of  $S$  is  $S_u \oplus S_s$  on  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_u \oplus \mathcal{K}_s$  such that  $S_u = S|_{\mathcal{K}_u}$  is unitary and  $S_s = S|_{\mathcal{K}_s}$  is unilateral shift. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{K}_u = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} S^n \mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{K}_s = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S^n \mathcal{W}_S,$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_S = \ker S^*$  is the wandering subspace for  $S$  defined by  $\overline{(I - ST^*)\mathcal{H}}$  (cf. [10]). Also defect index  $\sigma_{T^*}$  for a contraction  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined as  $\dim \overline{D_{T^*}\mathcal{H}}$ , where  $D_{T^*} = (I - TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . If  $\sigma_{T^*}$  is finite, then we have the following result:

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $(T, V)$  be a pair of commuting bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $T$  is a contraction and  $V$  is an isometry. Let  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  be the minimal isometric dilation for  $T$  and  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is an isometric extension of  $V$ . If  $\sigma_{T^*}$  is finite and  $\tilde{V}_u \oplus \tilde{V}_s$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_u \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_s$  is the Wold decomposition for isometry  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ , then both  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_u$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_s$  reduce  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is the minimal isometric dilation of  $T$ . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}} \{S^n h : h \in \mathcal{H}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

$S^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and  $T^* = S^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Consider  $\mathcal{L} = \text{span}\{S^n h_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, h_n \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Define  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  as

$$\tilde{V} \left( \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n h_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n S^n V h_n,$$

where  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . Using the commuting property of  $T$  and  $V$ , it is easy to prove that the map  $\tilde{V}$  is well defined on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Also we can conclude that  $\tilde{V}$  is bounded as well as norm preserving linear operator on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Now by continuity  $\tilde{V}$  can be extended to  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{K}$  (write same  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ ). So  $S$  and  $\tilde{V}$  are commuting isometries on the minimal space  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Now for every  $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\|(I - ST^*)h\|^2 = \|h\|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle h, ST^*h \rangle + \|T^*h\|^2 = \|h\|^2 - \|T^*h\|^2 = \|D_{T^*}h\|^2.$$

Now define  $U : D_{T^*}\mathcal{H} \rightarrow (I - ST^*)\mathcal{H}$  by

$$U(D_{T^*}h) = (I - ST^*)h.$$

Then  $U$  is an isometry from  $D_{T^*}\mathcal{H}$  onto  $(I - ST^*)\mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $(I - ST^*)\mathcal{H}$  is finite dimensional as by hypothesis  $\sigma_{T^*} = \dim \overline{D_{T^*}\mathcal{H}}$  is finite. Therefore,  $U$  is unitary and  $\sigma_{T^*} = \dim(I - ST^*)\mathcal{H} = \dim \ker S^*$ .

Suppose  $\tilde{V}_u \oplus \tilde{V}_s$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_u \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_s$  is the Wold decomposition for isometry  $\tilde{V}$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $(\tilde{V}, S)$  is a pair of commuting isometries on  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\dim \ker S^*$  is finite dimensional, from Theorem 2.3 of [8] we conclude that the decomposition reduces the isometric dilation  $S$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Andô, *On a pair of commutative contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 24 (1963), 88-90.
- [2] C. Benhida, E. Fricain and D. Timotin, *Reducing subspaces of  $C_{00}$  contractions*, New York J. Math. 27 (2021), 1597–1612.
- [3] H. Bercovici, R. G. Douglas and C. Foiaş, *On the classification of multi-isometries*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 72 (2006), 639-661.
- [4] H. Bercovici, R. G. Douglas and C. Foiaş, *Canonical models for bi-isometries*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 218 (2012), 177–205.
- [5] Z. Burdak, *On a decomposition for pairs of commuting contractions*, Studia Math. 181 (2007), no. 1, 33–45.
- [6] Z. Burdak, M. Kosiek, P. Pagacz and M. Słociński, *On the commuting isometries*, Linear Algebra Appl. 516 (2017), 167–185.
- [7] Z. Burdak, M. Kosiek, P. Pagacz and M. Słociński, *Shift-type properties of commuting, completely non doubly commuting pair of isometries*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 79 (2014), 107-122.
- [8] Z. Burdak, M. Kosiek and M. Słociński, *The canonical Wold decomposition of commuting isometries with finite dimensional wandering spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. 137 (2013), no. 5, 653–658.
- [9] R. G. Douglas and C. Foiaş, *On the structure of the square of a  $C_0(1)$  operator*. Modern Operator Theory and Applications, 75–84. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 170, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
- [10] C. Foiaş and A. E. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 44, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [11] C. Gu, *The reducibility of a power of a  $C_0(1)$  operator*, J. Operator Theory 84 (2020), no. 2, 259–288.
- [12] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, NJ, 1967.
- [13] P. R. Halmos and L. J. Wallen, *Powers of partial isometries*, J. Math. Mech. 19 (1969/1970), 657–663.
- [14] M. Kosiek and A. Octavio, *Wold-type extension for  $N$ -tuples of commuting contractions*, Studia Math. 137 (1999), no. 1, 81–91.
- [15] S. Majee and A. Maji, *Wold-type decomposition for doubly commuting contractions*, arXiv:2207.02115.
- [16] A. Maji, J. Sarkar and T. R. Sankar, *Pairs of commuting isometries, I*, Studia Math. 248 (2019), no. 2, 171–189.
- [17] D. Popovici, *A Wold-type decomposition for commuting isometric pairs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 2303–2314.
- [18] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, Translated from the French and revised, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970.
- [19] J. Sarkar, *Wold decomposition for doubly commuting isometries*, Linear Algebra Appl. 445 (2014), 289–301.
- [20] M. Słociński, *On the Wold-type decomposition of a pair of commuting isometries*, Ann. Polon. Math. 37 (1980), no. 3, 255–262.
- [21] I. Suci, *On the semi-groups of isometries*, Studia Math. 30 (1968), 101–110.
- [22] M. Uchiyama, *Hyperinvariant subspaces of contractions of class  $C_0$* , Hokkaido Math. J. 6 (1977), 260–272.
- [23] M. Uchiyama, *Double commutants of  $C_0$  contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1978), 283–288.
- [24] H. Wold, *A study in the analysis of stationary time series*, Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1954.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROORKEE-247 667,  
UTTARAKHAND, INDIA

*Email address:* smajee@ma.iitr.ac.in

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROORKEE-247 667,  
UTTARAKHAND, INDIA

*Email address:* amit.maji@ma.iitr.ac.in, amit.iitm07@gmail.com