

CHARACTERISATIONS FOR UNIFORM AMENABILITY

JINGMING ZHU AND JIAWEN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we provide several characterisations for uniform amenability concerning a family of finitely generated groups. More precisely, we show that the Hulanicki-Reiter condition for uniform amenability can be weakened in several directions, including cardinalities of supports and certain operator norms.

Keywords: (Uniform) amenability, (Uniform) Property A, Hulanicki-Reiter condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of amenability for groups was introduced by von Neumann [7] as the obstruction to the Banach–Tarski paradox [1]. Amenability admits a large number of characterisations, and is now fundamental in many areas of mathematics. There are a lot of excellent references on amenability and we guide interested readers to, *e.g.*, [9, 11, 12].

On the other hand, Yu introduced the notion of Property A for discrete metric spaces [14] to attack the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture, and hence the Novikov conjecture in the case of groups. Property A can be regarded as a non-equivariant version of amenability, and has gained a lot of attractions due to its close relations with operator algebras and other areas of mathematics (see, *e.g.*, [13]).

In this paper, we mainly focus on the case of a family of groups and study a uniform version of amenability and Property A for the family. Roughly speaking, a family of groups is uniformly amenable if the quantifiers in the definition of amenability can be made uniform. More precisely, we consider the following:

Definition 1.1. Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of finitely generated groups with finite generating set S_i for $i \in I$. We say that $\{(G_i, S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is *uniformly amenable* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $D > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i : G_i \rightarrow [0, 1]\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\sum_{\gamma \in G_i} |f_i(\gamma)|^2 = 1$, $\sum_{\gamma \in G_i} |f_i(\gamma) - f_i(s^{-1}\gamma)|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2$ for any $s \in S_i$ and $\text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq B(1_{G_i}, D)$.

The main contribution of this paper is to provide several characterisations for uniform amenability. To be more precise, we first consider the general case and obtain two conditions equivalent to uniform amenability (see Theorem 3.1), which indicate that the uniform boundedness on the diameter of $\text{supp}(f_i)$ in Definition 1.1 can be relaxed to the uniform boundedness on their cardinalities, or even to that on the ℓ^1 -norm of f_i . Furthermore, we realise that when the group G_i is amenable, the square of the ℓ^1 -norm of f_i coincides with the operator norm of certain linear bounded operator on $\ell^2(G_i)$ associated to the function f_i (see Proposition 3.7). Therefore, in this special case we obtain two extra characterisations

Date: August 10, 2022.

The first author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.12071183. The second author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.11871342.

for uniform amenability, one of which also relates to uniform Property A (see Theorem 3.9). Applying our main results to a sequence of finite groups, we obtain characterisations for Property A on their coarse disjoint union (see Corollary 3.10).

The paper is organised as follows: After recalling the background notions and notation in Section 2, we state and prove our main results in Section 3. Finally, we give some counterexamples and open questions in Section 4.

Acknowledgements. The first-named author would like to thank Prof. Bolin Ma for helpful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Here we collect some necessary notions for this paper. Readers are guided to [8, 13] for general background and more details.

2.1. Notions from coarse geometry. Let us start with some basic notions.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a discrete metric space.

- (1) For $x \in X$ and $R > 0$, denote the closed ball $B(x, R) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq R\}$.
- (2) We say that (X, d) has *bounded geometry* if $\sup_{x \in X} \#B(x, R)$ is finite for any $R > 0$. Here we use the notation $\#A$ to denote the cardinality of the subset $A \subseteq X$.
- (3) For a subset $A \subseteq X$ and $R > 0$, denote $\mathcal{N}_R(A) := \{x \in X : d(x, A) \leq R\}$ the R -neighborhood of A in X . Also denote $\partial_R A := \{x \in X \setminus A : d(x, A) \leq R\}$ the R -boundary of A in X .
- (4) For $R > 0$, we say that a subset $A \subseteq X$ is R -connected if for any $x, y \in A$ there exists a sequence $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = y$ in A such that $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq R$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.
- (5) For a subset $A \subseteq X$, we denote χ_A the characteristic function of A . For $x \in X$, also denote $\delta_x := \chi_{\{x\}}$.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. We say that f is a *coarse embedding* if there exist functions $\rho_{\pm} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_{\pm}(t) = +\infty$ such that for any $x, y \in X$ we have

$$\rho_{-}(d_X(x, y)) \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \rho_{+}(d_X(x, y)).$$

If additionally there exists $C > 0$ such that $Y = \mathcal{N}_C(f(X))$, then we say that f is a *coarse equivalence* and $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ are *coarsely equivalent*.

Discrete groups provide a large class of interesting examples of metric spaces with bounded geometry (see, e.g., [8, Chapter 1]). For a finitely generated group G with a finite generating set S , there is the *word length function* ℓ_S defined by

$$\ell_S(g) = \min\{k : g = s_{i_1}^{\pm} s_{i_2}^{\pm} \cdots s_{i_k}^{\pm} \text{ where } s_{i_j} \in S \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

Note that ℓ_S is a proper function on G in the sense that $\#\{g : \ell_S(g) \leq R\}$ is finite for all $R \geq 0$. This leads to a discrete left-invariant metric d_S on G by $d_S(g, h) := \ell_S(g^{-1}h)$, which is clearly of bounded geometry. We call d_S the *word length metric* on G (associated to S). Gromov [4] observed that different finite generating sets S give coarsely equivalent word length metrics on G (see also [8, Theorem 1.3.12]).

Given a sequence of finite metric spaces, we can build a single metric space encoding the information of coarse geometry of the sequence in a uniform way:

Definition 2.3. Let $\{(X_n, d_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite metric spaces. A *coarse disjoint union* of $\{X_n\}_n$ is a metric space (X, d) such that $X = \bigsqcup_n X_n$ as a set and the metric d coincides with d_n on each X_n and satisfies:

$$d(X_n, X_m) \geq n + m + \text{diam}(X_n) + \text{diam}(X_m) \quad \text{for each } n \neq m \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that the metric d in Definition 2.3 is *not* uniquely determined, however, it is easy to see that they are mutually coarsely equivalent to each other.

Also recall the following notion:

Definition 2.4. Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Given $\varepsilon, R > 0$, we say that the map f has (ε, R) -*variation* if for any $x, y \in X$ with $d_X(x, y) \leq R$, then $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varepsilon$.

2.2. Amenability. The notion of amenability was originally introduced by von Neumann [7] as the obstruction to the Banach-Tarski paradox. Here we use the following equivalent definition due to Følner [2, 3]:

Definition 2.5. Let G be a finitely generated group equipped with a word length metric. We say that G is *amenable* if for any $R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite subset $F \subseteq G$ such that

$$\frac{\#\partial_R F}{\#F} \leq \varepsilon.$$

We also need an analytic characterisation for amenability. For a set X and $p \in [1, \infty)$, we denote

$$\ell^p(X) := \left\{ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)|^p < \infty \right\}.$$

For $f \in \ell^p(X)$, we endow the ℓ^p -norm by $\|f\|_p := (\sum_{x \in X} |f(x)|^p)^{1/p}$. Also denote

$$\ell^p(X)_{1,+} = \left\{ f \in \ell^p(X) : \|f\|_p = 1 \text{ and } f(x) \geq 0 \text{ for any } x \in X \right\}.$$

Given a group G , a function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma \in G$, denote the *left γ -translation* of f by $\gamma \cdot f$. In other words, $(\gamma \cdot f)(h) = f(\gamma^{-1}h)$ for any $h \in G$.

The following result was essentially due to Hulanicki and Reiter¹ [6, 10]:

Proposition 2.6 (Hulanicki-Reiter condition). *Let G be a finitely generated group with finite generating set S and the associated word length metric. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is amenable;
- (2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a function $f \in \ell^2(G)_{1,+}$ such that $\|f - s \cdot f\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for any $s \in S$ and $\text{supp}(f)$ is finite.

We remark that since word length metrics on groups are indeed graph metrics on their Cayley graphs, condition (2) above is also equivalent to the following: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$, there exists a function $f \in \ell^2(G)_{1,+}$ such that $\|f - \gamma \cdot f\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for any $\gamma \in G$ with $\ell_S(\gamma) \leq R$ and $\text{supp}(f)$ is finite. This form resembles the original Hulanicki-Reiter condition for the ℓ^1 -case.

¹They originally consider the ℓ^1 -case, while this is easily seen to be equivalent to the ℓ^2 -case shown in Proposition 2.6 using the Mazur maps (see, e.g., [13, Definition (Theorem) 6.1.1]).

As mentioned in Section 1, our main focus will be the notion of uniform amenability for a family of groups (see Definition 1.1). Roughly speaking, it requires that condition (2) in Proposition 2.6 holds uniformly for the given family. In fact, this is also equivalent to a uniform version of the Følner condition (Definition 2.5):

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of finitely generated groups with finite generating set S_i and the associated word length metric. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\{(G_i, S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is uniformly amenable, i.e., for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $D > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that each $f_i \in \ell^2(G_i)_{1,+}$ satisfies $\|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_i$ and $\text{supp}(f_i) \subseteq B(1_{G_i}, D)$.
- (2) For any $R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $D' > 0$ and a family of finite subsets $\{F_i \subseteq G_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\#\partial_R F_i / \#F_i \leq \varepsilon$ and $F_i \subseteq B(1_{G_i}, D')$.

The proof follows directly from that of Proposition 2.6 (see, e.g., [8, Theorem 3.2.2]) while noticing that the quantifiers can be uniformly controlled, hence we omit the details.

We also remark that changing the generating sets S_i might change the coarse geometry of the family $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$, since the control function ρ_{\pm} in Definition 2.2 might alter according to $i \in I$. Hence when talking about uniform amenability, we have to fix a generating set for each group in the family.

2.3. Property A. The notion of Property A was introduced by Yu in his celebrated work [14] to attack the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture. Intuitively speaking, Property A is a non-equivariant version of amenability, hence these two notions enjoy analogous descriptions.

Definition 2.8. A discrete metric space (X, d) is said to have property A if for any $\varepsilon, R > 0$ there exists a collection of finite subsets $\{A_x\}_{x \in X}$ in $X \times \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $S > 0$ such that

- (1) $\frac{\#(A_x \Delta A_y)}{\#(A_x \cap A_y)} \leq \varepsilon$ for $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) \leq R$;
- (2) $A_x \subset B(x, S) \times \mathbb{N}$ for any $x \in X$.

Analogous to the case of amenability, we also need the following analytic characterisation for Property A due to Higson and Roe² [5].

Proposition 2.9 (Higson-Roe condition). *Let (X, d) be a discrete metric space of bounded geometry. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, d) has Property A;
- (2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$, there exists a map $\xi : X \rightarrow \ell^2(X)_{1,+}$ such that ξ has (ε, R) -variation and there exists a constant $S > 0$ such that $\text{supp}(\xi(x)) \subset B(x, S)$ for any $x \in X$.

Remark 2.10. Note that when X comes from a finitely generated group equipped with a word length metric, then condition (2) above is also equivalent to the following: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a map $\xi : X \rightarrow \ell^2(X)_{1,+}$ such that ξ has $(\varepsilon, 1)$ -variation and there exists a constant $S > 0$ such that $\text{supp}(\xi(x)) \subset B(x, S)$ for any $x \in X$.

²Again note that the ℓ^2 -case shown in Proposition 2.9 is equivalent to the ℓ^1 -case using the Mazur maps (see, e.g., [13, Theorem 1.2.4]).

It is well-known that for a finitely generated group G with a word length metric, amenability implies Property A. This can be easily seen either from the definitions or the analytic characterisations. More precisely, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ assume that $f \in \ell^2(G)_{1,+}$ satisfies condition (2) in Proposition 2.6. Then the function $\xi : G \rightarrow \ell^2(G)_{1,+}$ defined by $\xi(\gamma) := \gamma \cdot f$ satisfies condition (2) in Proposition 2.9.

Similarly, we would also like to consider the following notion of Property A for the family case.

Definition 2.11. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of discrete metric spaces. We say that $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ has *uniform Property A* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$, there exists $D > 0$ and a family of functions $\{\xi_i : X_i \rightarrow \ell^2(X_i)_{1,+}\}_{i \in I}$ such that each ξ_i has (ε, R) -variation and $\text{supp}(\xi_i(x)) \subset B(x, D)$ for any $x \in X_i$ and $i \in I$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present several versions of the main results under different hypotheses and provide detailed proofs. Let us start with the general case.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of finitely generated groups with finite generating set S_i for each i . Consider the following conditions:*

- (1) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that each $f_i \in \ell^2(G_i)_{1,+} \cap \ell^1(G_i)$ satisfies $\|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_i$ and $\|f_i\|_1 \leq M$.*
- (2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that each $f_i \in \ell^2(G_i)_{1,+}$ satisfies $\|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_i$ and $\#\text{supp}(f_i) \leq N$.*
- (3) *The family $\{(G_i, S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is uniformly amenable.*

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3). Additionally, if there exists $L > 0$ such that $\#S_i \leq L$ for each i , then the three conditions above are equivalent.

Note that when the index set I is finite, Theorem 3.1 holds trivially by an elementary approximation argument. Hence the main contribution of this result is on the uniformity of parameters.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following auxiliary lemmas:

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a set and $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function in $\ell^2(X)_{1,+}$ with finite support. Then for any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, there exists $c \geq 0$ such that $\|f_c\|_2 = \varepsilon$ where $f_c(x) := \min\{f(x), c\}$ for $x \in X$.*

Proof. It is clear that the function $F(c) := \|f_c\|_2$ for $c \in [0, 1]$ is continuous since $\text{supp}(f)$ is finite. Note that $F(0) = 0$ and $F(1) = 1$, hence the result follows from the Intermediate Value Theorem for continuous functions. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a finitely generated group with finite generating set S and the induced word length metric d . Given finitely many 3-connected subsets U_1, \dots, U_n in G such that $d(U_i, U_j) \geq 3$ for any $i \neq j$, then there exist $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in G$ satisfying the following:*

- $1_G \in U_1 \cdot \gamma_1$;
- $d(U_i \cdot \gamma_i, U_j \cdot \gamma_j) \geq 3$ for any i, j in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$;
- the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \cdot \gamma_i$ is 3-connected.

Proof. Consider the set $V := \{d(U_i, U_j) : i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ and } i \neq j\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $d := d(U_1, U_2)$ achieves the minimum of V . If $d > 3$, we take $u_1 \in U_1$ and $u_2 \in U_2$ such that $d(u_1, u_2) = d$, and choose $\gamma \in S \cup S^{-1}$ such that $d(u_1, u_2\gamma) = d - 1$. It is clear that $d(U_1, U_2 \cdot \gamma) = d - 1 \geq 3$ and $d(U_i, U_2 \cdot \gamma) \geq d - 1$ for $i = 3, \dots, n$. Replacing U_2 by $U_2 \cdot \gamma$ and repeating this procedure finitely many steps, we can assume that $d = 3$. For $d = 3$, take $U'_1 := U_1 \cup U_2$. It is clear that U'_1 is 3-connected, and we consider U'_1, U_3, \dots, U_n . Now the conclusion holds from an induction argument on n together with an extra translation to make $1_G \in U_1 \cdot \gamma_1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. “(1) \Rightarrow (2)”: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, take $M > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying condition (1) for ε and M . Approximating each f_i by finitely support functions, we can assume that each f_i has finite support. Set $\hat{\varepsilon} := \frac{\varepsilon}{2+2\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists $c_i > 0$ for each $i \in I$ such that $\|g_i\|_2 = \hat{\varepsilon}$, where $g_i(\gamma) := \min\{f_i(\gamma), c_i\}$ for $\gamma \in G_i$. Set $\text{supp}_\varepsilon(f_i) := \{\gamma \in G_i : f_i(\gamma) \geq c_i\}$.

We claim: $\#\text{supp}_\varepsilon(f_i)$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $i \in I$. In fact, we have:

$$\hat{\varepsilon}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in G_i} g_i(\gamma)^2 \leq c_i \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in G_i} g_i(\gamma)$$

since $g_i(\gamma) \leq c_i$ for $\gamma \in G_i$. Then we have

$$M \geq \|f_i\|_1 = \sum_{\gamma \in G_i} f_i(\gamma) \geq \sum_{\gamma \in G_i} g_i(\gamma) \geq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}^2}{c_i},$$

which implies that $c_i \geq \hat{\varepsilon}^2/M$ for all $i \in I$. On the other hand, we have

$$\hat{\varepsilon}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in G_i} g_i(\gamma)^2 \geq c_i^2 \cdot \#\text{supp}_\varepsilon(f_i) \geq \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}^4}{M^2} \cdot \#\text{supp}_\varepsilon(f_i).$$

Hence we obtain that $\#\text{supp}_\varepsilon(f_i) \leq M^2/\hat{\varepsilon}^2$, which conclude the claim.

Back to the proof, let us define $h_i := \frac{f_i - g_i}{\|f_i - g_i\|_2}$ for $i \in I$. Then $\text{supp}(h_i) \subseteq \text{supp}_\varepsilon(f_i)$, which has uniformly bounded cardinality by the claim above. Also for any $s \in S_i$, we have

$$\|h_i - s \cdot h_i\|_2 \leq \frac{\|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2 + \|g_i - s \cdot g_i\|_2}{\|f_i - g_i\|_2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon + 2\hat{\varepsilon}}{1 - \hat{\varepsilon}} = 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence we conclude condition (2).

“(2) \Rightarrow (3)”: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, take $N > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying condition (2) for ε and N . Fix an $i \in I$. Let $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{N_i}$ be the family of 3-connected components of $\text{supp}(f_i)$, and denote $f_i^{(k)} := f_i \cdot \chi_{U_k}$ for each k . Note that the family $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{N_i}$ is mutually at least 4-apart from each other, hence for any $s \in S_i$ we have:

$$\varepsilon^2 \geq \|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \|f_i^{(k)} - s \cdot f_i^{(k)}\|_2^2.$$

Now Lemma 3.3 allows us to choose $\gamma_k \in G_i$ for $k = 1, \dots, N_i$ such that

- (i) $1_{G_i} \in U_1 \cdot \gamma_1$;
- (ii) $d(U_k \cdot \gamma_k, U_l \cdot \gamma_l) \geq 3$ for any $k \neq l$ in $\{1, 2, \dots, N_i\}$;
- (iii) the union $\bigcup_{k=1}^{N_i} U_k \cdot \gamma_k$ is 3-connected.

For each $i \in I$, we set $g_i := \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \gamma_k \star f_i^{(k)}$, where $\gamma_k \star f_i^{(k)}$ is the *right* γ_k -translation of $f_i^{(k)}$ defined by $(\gamma_k \star f_i^{(k)})(\gamma) := f_i^{(k)}(\gamma\gamma_k^{-1})$ for $\gamma \in G_i$. It follows from the construction (see condition (ii) above) that

$$\|g_i\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \|\gamma_k \star f_i^{(k)}\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \|f_i^{(k)}\|_2^2 = \|f_i\|_2^2 = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_i - s \cdot g_i\|_2^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \|\gamma_k \star f_i^{(k)} - s \cdot (\gamma_k \star f_i^{(k)})\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \|\gamma_k \star (f_i^{(k)} - s \cdot f_i^{(k)})\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \|f_i^{(k)} - s \cdot f_i^{(k)}\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in S_i$, where we use the commutativity of the left translation with the right translation in the second equality. Finally, it follows from condition (i) and (iii) above that

$$\text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{N_i} U_k \cdot \gamma_k \subseteq B(1_{G_i}, 3N).$$

Hence we conclude the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. As mentioned above, the main contribution of Theorem 3.1 is to provide quantitative relations among these conditions. Indeed it follows from the proof that for ε, M in condition (1), we may choose $2\varepsilon, N = \frac{4M^2(1+\varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^2}$ for condition (2). For ε', N' in condition (2), we may choose $\varepsilon', 3N'$ for condition (3).

Note that in Theorem 3.1, we do not require the assumption of amenability on each G_i at the very beginning, while this becomes an outcome of conditions therein. In the following, we will explore the situation when we already know that each G_i is amenable, *e.g.*, G_i is finite.

Let us first recall the following, which is the ℓ^2 -version of [8, Theorem 4.4.4].

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a finitely generated amenable group with finite generating set S . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $D > 0$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a function $f \in \ell^2(G)_{1,+}$ such that $\|f - s \cdot f\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq B(1_G, D)$.*
- (2) *There exists a map $\xi : G \rightarrow \ell^2(G)_{1,+}$ such that ξ has $(\varepsilon, 1)$ -variation and $\text{supp}(\xi_x) \subseteq B(x, D)$.*

Proof. Applying the Mazur maps

$$M_{2,1} : \ell^2(G)_{1,+} \rightarrow \ell^1(G)_{1,+} \text{ defined by } (M_{2,1}f)(x) := f(x)^2, x \in G$$

and

$$M_{1,2} : \ell^1(G)_{1,+} \rightarrow \ell^2(G)_{1,+} \text{ defined by } (M_{1,2}f)(x) := \sqrt{f(x)}, x \in G,$$

it is easy to see (*e.g.*, [13, Theorem 1.2.4, (2) \Rightarrow (3)]) that condition (1) and (2) are equivalent to their ℓ^1 -counterparts (*i.e.*, replacing $\ell^2(G)_{1,+}$ by $\ell^1(G)_{1,+}$ therein) without changing the supports, respectively. Now we conclude the result thanks to [8, Theorem 4.4.4]. \square

Note that the emphasis of the above lemma is on the parameter D . Hence it helps to bridge the condition of uniform amenability in Theorem 3.1 with uniform Property A, which will be packaged into Theorem 3.9.

On the other hand, we would also like to explore an alternative description for condition (2) in Theorem 3.1 in terms of operator norms under the assumption of amenability, where the operator is defined as follows:

Definition 3.6. For a finitely generated group G and a function $f \in \ell^1(G) \cap \ell^2(G)$ with range in $[0, 1]$, the *associated kernel* of f is the G -by- G matrix K_f defined by $K_f(x, y) := \langle x \cdot f, y \cdot f \rangle_{\ell^2(G)}$ for $x, y \in G$.

For any G -by- G matrix K with complex values, we can associate an operator T_K on the space $C_c(G)$ of finitely supported complex-valued functions on G to itself by formal multiplication:

$$(T_K(h))(\gamma) := \sum_{\gamma' \in G} K(\gamma, \gamma')h(\gamma')$$

for any $h \in C_c(G)$ and $\gamma \in G$. To simplify the notation, we denote T_f instead of T_{K_f} for $f \in \ell^1(G) \cap \ell^2(G)$.

The following result relates the operator norm of T_f with the ℓ^1 -norm of f .

Proposition 3.7. For a finitely generated amenable group G and a function $f \in \ell^1(G) \cap \ell^2(G)$ with range in $[0, 1]$, the operator T_f can be continuously extended to a bounded linear operator on $\ell^2(G)$ with operator norm equals $\|f\|_1^2$.

Proof. Given $\alpha = \sum_{\gamma \in G} \alpha(\gamma)\delta_\gamma \in C_c(G)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_f \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\ell^2(G)} &= \sum_{x, y \in G} \alpha(x) \overline{\alpha(y)} K_f(y, x) = \sum_{x, y \in G} \alpha(x) \overline{\alpha(y)} \cdot \langle y \cdot f, x \cdot f \rangle_{\ell^2(G)} \\ &= \sum_{x, y, z \in G} \alpha(x) \overline{\alpha(y)} f(x^{-1}z) f(y^{-1}z) = \sum_{z \in G} \left(\sum_{x \in G} \alpha(x) f(x^{-1}z) \right) \cdot \overline{\left(\sum_{y \in G} \alpha(y) f(y^{-1}z) \right)} \\ &= \sum_{z \in G} \left| \sum_{x \in G} \alpha(x) f(x^{-1}z) \right|^2 = \|\alpha * f\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha * f$ is the standard convolution of functions on groups. Note that $\alpha \in C_c(G)$ and $f \in \ell^1(G) \cap \ell^2(G)$, hence it follows from Young's inequality that

$$\|\alpha * f\|_2 \leq \|\alpha\|_2 \cdot \|f\|_1.$$

Therefore using the polarization identity, T_f can be extended to a bounded linear operator on $\ell^2(G)$, still denoted by T_f . It is obvious that T_f is symmetric, hence we obtain:

$$\|T_f\| = \sup \left\{ |\langle T_f \alpha, \alpha \rangle| : \alpha \in \ell^2(G) \text{ with } \|\alpha\|_2 = 1 \right\} \leq \|f\|_1^2.$$

Since G is amenable, we can choose a sequence of Følner sets $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, i.e., each F_n is a finite subset in G such that $F_n \subset F_{n+1}$, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = G$ and

$$\frac{\#((F_n \cdot g) \Delta F_n)}{\#F_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for each } g \in G.$$

It follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{x \in F_m} f(x) \geq \|f\|_1 - \varepsilon$. For the finite subset F_m , the Følner condition above provides an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\#(F_n \setminus F_n g)}{\#F_n} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\#F_m}$$

for each $g \in F_m$. Set $F_{n,m} := \{x \in G : F_m \subseteq F_n^{-1}x\} = \bigcap_{g \in F_m} F_n g$. Then we have

$$\frac{\#(F_n \setminus F_{n,m})}{\#F_n} = \frac{\#\left(\bigcup_{g \in F_m} (F_n \setminus F_n g)\right)}{\#F_n} \leq \frac{\sum_{g \in F_m} \#(F_n \setminus F_n g)}{\#F_n} \leq \varepsilon,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\#F_{n,m}}{\#F_n} \geq \frac{\#(F_{n,m} \cap F_n)}{\#F_n} = 1 - \frac{\#(F_n \setminus F_{n,m})}{\#F_n} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_f\| &\geq \left\| \frac{1}{(\#F_n)^{1/2}} \chi_{F_n} * f \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\#F_n} \sum_{x \in G} \left| \sum_{y \in G} \chi_{F_n}(xy^{-1}) f(y) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{\#F_n} \sum_{x \in G} \left| \sum_{y \in F_n^{-1}x} f(y) \right|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\#F_n} \sum_{x \in F_{n,m}} \left| \sum_{y \in F_n^{-1}x} f(y) \right|^2 \geq \frac{\#F_{n,m}}{\#F_n} \cdot (\|f\|_1 - \varepsilon)^2 \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \cdot (\|f\|_1 - \varepsilon)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that the operator norm of T_f coincides with $\|f\|_1^2$. \square

Thanks to Proposition 3.7, we introduce the following notion:

Definition 3.8. For a finitely generated group G and a function $f \in \ell^1(G) \cap \ell^2(G)$ with range in $[0, 1]$, the *associated operator* of f is defined to be $T_f := T_{K_f}$, which is a bounded linear operator on $\ell^2(G)$ with operator norm $\|f\|_1^2$.

Consequently, combining Theorem 3.1 with Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.7, we obtain the following:

Theorem 3.9. Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of finitely generated amenable groups with finite generating set S_i and the induced word length metric for each i . Consider the following conditions:

- (1) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that each $f_i \in \ell^2(G_i)_{1,+} \cap \ell^1(G_i)$ satisfies $\|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_i$ and $\|f_i\|_1 \leq M$.
- (2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M' > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that each $f_i \in \ell^2(G_i)_{1,+} \cap \ell^1(G_i)$ satisfies $\|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_i$ and the operator norm of the associated operator T_{f_i} in Definition 3.8 is bounded by M' .
- (3) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that each $f_i \in \ell^2(G_i)_{1,+}$ satisfies $\|f_i - s \cdot f_i\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_i$ and $\#\text{supp}(f_i) \leq N$.
- (4) The family $\{(G_i, S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is uniformly amenable.
- (5) The family $\{(G_i, S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ has uniform Property A.

Then (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5). Additionally, if there exists $L > 0$ such that $\#S_i \leq L$ for each $i \in I$, then all the conditions above are equivalent.

In the case of a sequence of finite groups, Theorem 3.9 can be simplified as follows:

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite groups with finite generating set S_n and the induced word length metric for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and X be their coarse disjoint union. If there exists $L > 0$ such that $\#S_n \leq L$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each $f_n \in \ell^2(G_n)_{1,+}$ satisfies $\|f_n - s \cdot f_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_n$ and $\|f_n\|_1 \leq M$.*
- (2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M' > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each $f_n \in \ell^2(G_n)_{1,+}$ satisfies $\|f_n - s \cdot f_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_n$ and the operator norm of the associated operator T_{f_n} is bounded by M' .*
- (3) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ and a family of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each $f_n \in \ell^2(G_n)_{1,+}$ satisfies $\|f_n - s \cdot f_n\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ for $s \in S_n$ and $\#\text{supp}(f_n) \leq N$.*
- (4) *The sequence $\{(G_n, S_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly amenable.*
- (5) *The space X has Property A.*

4. QUESTIONS

Recall that our main results (Theorem 3.1 and 3.9) indicate that the uniform Hulanicki-Reiter condition is indeed equivalent to some formally weaker versions. One might ask whether the same phenomenon happens for the Higson-Roe condition. More precisely:

Question 4.1. Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of finitely generated groups with finite generating set S_i for each i . Assume that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $M > 0$ and a family of maps $\{\xi_i : G_i \rightarrow \ell^2(G_i)_{1,+} \cap \ell^1(G_i)\}_{i \in I}$ such that each ξ_i has $(\varepsilon, 1)$ -variation and $\|\xi_i(x)\|_1 \leq M$ for $x \in G_i$. Does the family $\{(G_i, S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ have uniformly Property A?

It is easy to construct a counterexample and provide a negative answer:

Example 4.2. Let \mathbb{F}_2 be the free group generated by $S = \{a, b\}$, and $\{1\} = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft N_2 \triangleleft \cdots$ be a sequence of normal subgroups in \mathbb{F}_2 with finite index and trivial intersection. Take $G_n := \mathbb{F}_2/N_n$ and $S_n = \{aN_n, bN_n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{F}_2 is non-amenable, the sequence $\{(G_n, S_n)\}_n$ does not have uniform Property A. However, taking $\xi_n(g) := \delta_{N_n} \in \ell^2(G_n) \cap \ell^1(G_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in G_n$, we obtain that each ξ_n has $(0, 1)$ -variation and $\|\xi_n(g)\|_1 = 1$ for any $g \in G_n$. Hence we obtain a negative answer to Question 4.1.

In fact, Question 4.1 has a negative answer even for finite I . One can just take a group without Property A and choose a function ξ as in Example 4.2.

We realise that the obstruction to generalise the Higson-Roe condition is due to the lack of equivariance for the Higson-Roe functions, which allows too much flexibility for the choice. Hence in order to obtain Property A, we need some extra requirement on their supports. Currently we do not have any appropriate candidate, therefore we would like to pose the following question:

Question 4.3. Let G be a finitely generated group. Is it possible to weaken the requirement on the support of the Higson-Roe functions as in Theorem 3.1 or 3.9 while still guaranteeing that G has Property A?

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach and A. Tarski. Sur la décomposition des ensembles de points en parties respectivement congruentes. *Fund. math.*, 6(1), 1924.
- [2] E. Følner. On groups with full Banach mean value. *Math. Scand.*, 3:243–254, 1955.

- [3] E. Følner. Note on groups with and without full Banach mean value. *Math. Scand.*, 5:5–11, 1957.
- [4] M. Gromov. Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, volume 182 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–295. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [5] N. Higson and J. Roe. Amenable group actions and the Novikov conjecture. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 519:143–153, 2000.
- [6] A. Hulanicki. Means and Følner condition on locally compact groups. *Studia Math.*, 27:87–104, 1966.
- [7] J. von Neumann. Zur allgemeinen theorie des masses. *Fund. math.*, 13(1):73–116, 1929.
- [8] P. W. Nowak and G. Yu. *Large scale geometry*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2012.
- [9] A. L. T. Paterson. *Amenability*, volume 29 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [10] H. Reiter. On some properties of locally compact groups. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, 27:697–701, 1965.
- [11] V. Runde. *Lectures on amenability*, volume 1774 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [12] S. Wagon. *The Banach-Tarski paradox*, volume 24 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985. With a foreword by Jan Mycielski.
- [13] R. Willett. Some notes on property A. In *Limits of graphs in group theory and computer science*, pages 191–281. EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009.
- [14] G. Yu. The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.*, 139(1):201–240, 2000.

(J. Zhu) COLLEGE OF DATA SCIENCE, JIAXING UNIVERSITY, JIAXING , 314001, P.R.CHINA.

Email address: jingmingzhu@zjxu.edu.cn

(J. Zhang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, 220 HANDAN ROAD, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA.

Email address: jiaawenzhang@fudan.edu.cn