

# THE CENTRALIZER CONSTRUCTION AND YANGIAN-TYPE ALGEBRAS

GRIGORI OLSHANSKI

ABSTRACT. Let  $d$  be a positive integer. The Yangian  $Y_d = Y(\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C}))$  of the general linear Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})$  has countably many generators and quadratic-linear defining relations, which can be packed into a single matrix relation using the Yang matrix — the famous RTT presentation. Alternatively,  $Y_d$  can be built from certain centralizer subalgebras of the universal enveloping algebras  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$ , with the use of a limit transition as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This approach is called the *centralizer construction*.

The paper shows that a generalization of the centralizer construction leads to a new family  $\{Y_{d,L} : L = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  of Yangian-type algebras (the Yangian  $Y_d$  being the first term of this family). For the new algebras, the RTT presentation seems to be missing, but a number of properties of the Yangian  $Y_d$  persist. In particular,  $Y_{d,L}$  possesses a system of quadratic-linear defining relations.

The algebras  $Y_{d,L}$  ( $d = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) provide a kind of quantization for a special double Poisson bracket (in the sense of Van den Bergh) on the free associative algebra with  $L$  generators.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Preface	2
1.2. The initial centralizer construction	4
1.3. Main results	5
1.4. Organization of the paper	10
2. More about the initial centralizer construction	10
2.1. The Yangian $Y_d$	10
2.2. The algebras $A_d$ and $A_\infty$	11
2.3. Generators of the algebras $A_d$	12
3. The algebras $A_{d,L}$ , $P_{d,L}$ , $A_{\infty,L}$ , and $P_{\infty,L}$	13
3.1. The algebras $A_{d,L}$	13
3.2. The algebras $P_{d,L}$	13
3.3. The algebras $A_{\infty,L}$ and $P_{\infty,L}$	15
4. The structure of the algebras $P_{d,L}$	15

---

*Date:* August 9, 2022.

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, Project 20-41-09009.

4.1. Generators of $P_{0,L}$	15
4.2. Generators of $P_{d,L}$	17
4.3. The PBW property	19
4.4. Remarks	20
5. The Poisson structure in $P_{d,L}$	20
5.1. Formulas for the Poisson bracket	20
5.2. The Poisson algebra $P_{d,L}^+$ and the KKS double bracket	23
5.3. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{d,L}$ and the degeneration $P_{d,L}^+ \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}_{d,L})$	25
5.4. The Poisson algebra $P_{0,L}$ and the necklace Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_L$	27
5.5. Another interpretation of the bracket in $P_{0,L}$	27
6. Generators of $A_{d,L}$	28
6.1. The elements $e_{ij}(w; N)$ and $e(w; N)$	28
6.2. Generating series with free variables	29
6.3. The elements $\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)$ and $\tilde{t}(w; N; s)$	30
6.4. Transition coefficients related to $\{\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)\}$	31
6.5. The action of projections $\pi_{N,N-1}$ on the elements $\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)$ and $\tilde{t}(w; N; s)$	33
6.6. Lifting of the generators $p_{ij}(w)$ and $p(w)$	37
7. The algebra $Y_{d,L}$	38
7.1. A stability property in $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$	38
7.2. Commutation relations	41
7.3. Extracting $Y_{d,L}$ from $A_{d,L}$	44
8. Open problems and concluding remarks	46
8.1. Structure of $Y_{d,L}$	46
8.2. Analogue of Harish-Chandra homomorphism	46
8.3. Infinite-dimensional differential operators	47
8.4. The algebra $A_{0,L}$	47
8.5. Constructions in complex rank	48
References	48

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Preface.** To each simple finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  is attached a Hopf algebra  $Y(\mathfrak{a})$  called the *Yangian* of  $\mathfrak{a}$ ; it is a nontrivial deformation of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{a}[x])$  of the polynomial current Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}[x] = \mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x]$  (Drinfeld [5], [6]). In type A, the notion of Yangian also makes sense for the reductive Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $d = 1, 2, \dots$ , and their Yangians  $Y_d = Y(\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C}))$  are actually the most friendly members of the Yangian family.

In what follows we ignore comultiplication and treat  $Y_d$  as an associative algebra. As such,  $Y_d$  is generated by countably many elements

$$t_{ij}^{(m)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

subject to an infinite collection of defining quadratic-linear commutation relations. A remarkable fact is that all these relations can be packed into a single  $d \times d$  matrix relation (the *ternary* or *RTT relation*)

$$R(u-v)T_1(u)T_2(v) = T_2(v)T_1(u)R(u-v), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $R(\cdot)$  is the simplest  $R$ -matrix with spectral parameter (the Yang matrix) and the  $T$ -matrices are built from the formal generating series

$$t_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t_{ij}^{(m)} u^{-m} \quad (1.3)$$

assembling the generators. (See e.g. [17], [16].)

Alternatively, the Yangians  $Y_d$  can be obtained via the so-called *centralizer construction* ([23], [24], [25], [16]). The main result of the paper is an extension of this construction, producing a larger family  $\{Y_{d,L}\}$  of Yangian-type algebras. Here  $L = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  is the additional parameter and  $Y_{d,1}$  is the Yangian  $Y_d$ .

A number of properties of the Yangians  $Y_d$  persist for the algebras  $Y_{d,L}$ . In particular:

- The way in which the generators (1.1) are obtained by the initial centralizer construction admits a natural extension to the algebras  $Y_{d,L}$ .
- The resulting generators of  $Y_{d,L}$  still satisfy a system of defining quadratic-linear commutation relations.
- As is well known, the Yangian  $Y_d$  has a one-parameter family of automorphisms of  $Y_{d,L}$ , which are produced by the shifts of the spectral parameter in the RTT presentation (1.2). These automorphisms play a significant role in explicit constructions of representations of  $Y_d$ . It turns out that similar automorphisms exist for the algebras  $Y_{d,L}$ , too. This fact is not obvious, because for  $L \geq 2$ , a presentation of RTT type is unknown.

For  $L \geq 2$ , the indexation (1.1) of the generators is changed as follows: the lower indices  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$  remain intact while the upper index  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  is replaced by an arbitrary nonempty word  $w$  in an alphabet with  $L$  letters. As a result, the generating series (1.3) are replaced by formal series with  $L$  free noncommuting variables.

The algebra  $Y_{d,L}$  comes with a filtration such that the associated graded algebra  $\text{gr } Y_{d,L}$  (denoted by  $P_{d,L}^+$ ) is commutative; this endows  $P_{d,L}^+$  with a Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\}$ . The resulting Poisson algebra  $(P_{d,L}^+, \{-, -\})$  is of independent interest. The algebra  $P_{d,L}^+$  has countably many algebraically independent homogeneous generators  $p_{ij}(w)$  indexed by the triples  $(i, j, w)$ , where  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $w$  is a nonempty word as above. On these generators, the Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\}$  is given by a quadratic-linear expression (see (5.1)), which is closely related to a special *double Poisson bracket* (in the sense of Van den Bergh [32]) on the free associative algebra with  $L$  generators. That special bracket earlier appeared in the paper [1] by Alekseev, Kawazumi, Kuno, and Naef, where it was called the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau bracket.

The extended centralizer construction of the present paper also yields a filtered quantization of another Poisson algebra — the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathcal{N}_L)$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_L$  is the *necklace Lie algebra* of the star-shape quiver with  $L$  edges (about the necklace Lie algebras tied to general quivers and their quantization, see Bocklandt–Le Bruyn [2], Ginzburg [9], Schedler [30], Ginzburg–Schedler [10]).

The initial version of the centralizer construction arose in the 80’s in the context of the representation theory of infinite-dimensional classical groups [23], [24]. Then a modification of the construction led to the discovery of the *twisted Yangians* attached to the classical Lie algebras of type B, C, and D ([26], [18]).<sup>1</sup> A parallel construction for the queer Lie superalgebras was also developed ([19], [21]). A comprehensive exposition of the theory related to the Yangian  $Y_d$  and the twisted Yangians is given in Molev’s monograph [16].

The generalization proposed in the present paper goes in a different direction. The appearance of free noncommuting variables seems to me especially interesting. The emerging connections with quivers can also lead to interesting developments.

The paper focuses on the study of one novel family of Yangian-type algebras, but I believe that the centralizer construction should also work for a number of other examples.

Below I briefly describe the initial centralizer construction and then state the main results.

**1.2. The initial centralizer construction.** Consider the complex general linear Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  with its natural basis  $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$  formed by the matrix units. By the very definition, we have natural embeddings for  $N' < N$ ,

$$\mathfrak{gl}(N', \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}), \quad X \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.4)$$

Given  $d \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ , we set

$$\mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C}) = \text{span}\{E_{ij} : d + 1 \leq i, j \leq N\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}). \quad (1.5)$$

This is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{gl}(N - d, \mathbb{C})$ ; here we mean that the embedding  $\mathfrak{gl}(N - d, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  has the form

$$X \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix},$$

which is different from (1.4).

Let  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$  denote the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  and let

$$A_d(N) := U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))^{\mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})} \quad (1.6)$$

denote the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})$  in  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$ . Note two extreme cases:  $A_N(N)$  is the whole algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$  and  $A_0(N)$  is the center of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>The twisted Yangians are not Hopf algebras but one-sided Hopf ideals in ambient Yangians. For the twisted Yangians, the ternary relation (1.2) is replaced by a *quaternary relation*.

By mimicking the definition of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism (see Dixmier [4, sect. 7.4] or Molev [16, sect. 7.1]), one can define certain surjective algebra homomorphisms

$$\pi_{N,N-1} : A_d(N) \rightarrow A_d(N-1), \quad N > d. \quad (1.7)$$

These homomorphisms preserve the standard filtration of the universal enveloping algebras, which enables one to define, for any fixed  $d \geq 0$ , the limit algebra

$$A_d := \varprojlim (A_d(N), \pi_{N,N-1}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the projective limit is taken in the category of filtered algebras.

The algebra  $A_0$  is commutative and can be identified, in a natural way, with the *algebra of shifted symmetric functions*. The latter algebra, denoted by  $\text{Sym}^*$ , is a close relative of  $\text{Sym}$ , the algebra of symmetric functions (about  $\text{Sym}^*$ , see [22] and [3, sect. 6.2]). For  $d \geq 1$ , there is an algebra isomorphism

$$A_d \simeq A_0 \otimes Y_d, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $Y_d = Y(\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C}))$  is the Yangian of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})$ . This is the essence of the alternative approach to the Yangian  $Y_d$ , mentioned in subsection 1.1.

**1.3. Main results.** The procedure described above is applicable to other growing chains of classical Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{a}(N)$  and subalgebras  $\mathfrak{b}(N) \subset \mathfrak{a}(N)$ , provided that there exist suitable homomorphisms of centralizers,

$$\pi_{N,N-1} : U(\mathfrak{a}(N))^{\mathfrak{b}(N)} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a}(N-1))^{\mathfrak{b}(N-1)},$$

similar to (1.7). The list of cases previously studied in the literature is given in the following table

$\mathfrak{a}(N)$	$\mathfrak{b}(N)$	Series
$\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{gl}(N-d, \mathbb{C})$	A
$\mathfrak{o}(2N+1, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{o}(2(N-d), \mathbb{C})$	B
$\mathfrak{sp}(2N, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2(N-d), \mathbb{C})$	C
$\mathfrak{o}(2N, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{o}(2(N-d), \mathbb{C})$	D
$\mathfrak{q}(N, \mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{q}(N-d, \mathbb{C})$	Q

(the last line refers to the queer Lie superalgebras).

In the present paper, we study the first example of a different sort:

$$\mathfrak{a}(N) = \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}, \quad \mathfrak{b}(N) = \text{diag}(\mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})), \quad L = 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.9)$$

In words,  $\mathfrak{a}(N)$  is the direct sum of  $L$  copies of  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}(N)$  is the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(N-d, \mathbb{C})$ , which is embedded diagonally into  $\mathfrak{a}(N)$ . The goal of the paper is to demonstrate new effects arising in this case.

A difference from the previously studied cases is that the new version of the centralizer construction produces much more massive algebras (see Remark 1.7 below).

We proceed to the description of the main results. In what follows we use the shorthand notation for the centralizers:

$$A_{d,L}(N) := U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})^{\text{diag } \mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})}, \quad 0 \leq d \leq N, \quad L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}. \quad (1.10)$$

In particular,

$$A_{0,L}(N) := U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})^{\text{diag } \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})}.$$

1.3.1. *The algebra  $A_{d,L} = \lim A_{d,L}(N)$  and the associated graded algebra  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}$ .* By extending the definition of the projections (1.7) we define, for each  $d \geq 0$ , certain algebra morphisms

$$\pi_{N,N-1} : A_{d,L}(N) \rightarrow A_{d,L}(N-1), \quad N > d. \quad (1.11)$$

They are consistent with the filtration, so that one can define the filtered unital algebra

$$A_{d,L} := \varprojlim (A_{d,L}(N), \pi_{N,N-1}), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The canonical algebra morphisms  $A_{d,L} \rightarrow A_{d,L}(N)$  will be denoted by  $\pi_{\infty,N}$ .

The associated graded algebra  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}$  is commutative and possesses a natural structure of Poisson algebra, coming from the multiplication in  $A_{d,L}$ .

Let  $W_L$  denote the set of all nonempty words which can be composed out of  $L$  letters. Two words from  $W_L$  are said to be *conjugate* if they can be transformed to each other by a cyclic permutation of the letters. The conjugation classes of words are called *circular words*. The set of circular words is denoted by  $\widehat{W}_L$ . In the case  $L = 1$ , both  $W_L$  and  $\widehat{W}_L$  can be identified with the set  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  of positive integers. Given a word (or a circular word), we denote by  $\ell(\cdot)$  its length. Let us emphasize once more that in our definition, the sets  $W_L$  and  $\widehat{W}_L$  do not include the empty word. The words under consideration are always nonempty unless specified otherwise.

**Theorem 1.1** (see Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 5.9). *Let  $d = 0$ .*

(i) *The graded algebra  $\text{gr } A_{0,L}$  has a countable set of algebraically independent homogeneous generators  $p(\widehat{w})$ , which are labelled by the circular words  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$ . The degree of  $p(\widehat{w})$  is equal to  $\ell(\widehat{w})$ .*

(ii) *Let  $\mathcal{N}_L$  denote the linear span of the generators  $p(\widehat{w})$ . The Poisson bracket between any two generators lies in  $\mathcal{N}_L$ , so that  $\mathcal{N}_L$  has a structure of graded Lie algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket.*

( $\mathcal{N}_L$  is the necklace Lie algebra mentioned in subsection 1.1.)

In the case  $L = 1$ , the algebra  $A_{0,L} = A_{0,1}$  is the algebra  $A_0$  mentioned in the previous subsection; it is commutative and is identified, in a natural way, with  $\text{Sym}^*$ . Next, the associated graded algebra is  $\text{Sym}$ , and the generators  $p(\widehat{w})$  turn into the Newton power-sum function  $p_m \in \text{Sym}$ .

For  $L \geq 2$ , the algebra  $A_{0,L}$  is no longer commutative, and even more, the Poisson bracket on  $\text{gr } A_{0,L}$  is nontrivial, so that  $\mathcal{N}_L$  is a non-Abelian Lie algebra. See subsections 5.4, 5.5, and 8.4 for more details.

**Theorem 1.2** (see Theorem 4.8 and formulas (5.1), (5.4)). *Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .*

(i) *The graded algebra  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}$  has a countable set of algebraically independent homogeneous generators — these are the generators of  $A_{0,L}$  and some additional elements  $p_{ij}(w)$ , where  $w$  ranges over  $W_L$  and  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . The degree of  $p_{ij}(w)$  is equal to  $\ell(w)$ .*

(ii) *The Poisson brackets of the form*

$$\{p_{ij}(z), p(\widehat{w})\}, \quad \{p_{ij}(z), p_{kl}(w)\},$$

where  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$  and  $z, w \in W_L$ , can be written as quadratic-linear expressions composed from some generators of the form  $p_{mn}(\cdot)$ , where the indices  $m, n$  are contained in  $\{i, j, k, l\}$ .

We denote by  $P_{d,L}^+$  the unital subalgebra of  $P_{d,L}$  generated by the elements  $p_{ij}(w)$ , where  $w \in W_L$  and  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Claim (i) implies that the algebra  $P_{d,L}$  is the tensor product of its subalgebras  $P_{0,L}$  and  $P_{d,L}^+$ . Claim (ii) (together with claim (ii) of Theorem 1.1) implies that  $P_{0,L}$  and  $P_{d,L}^+$  are also Poisson subalgebras of  $P_{d,L}$ . The next result is stated informally.

**Theorem 1.3** (see Corollaries 6.16 and 6.17). (i) *There is an explicit way to lift the elements  $p(\widehat{w})$  to the algebra  $A_{0,L}$ .*

(ii) *Likewise, there is an explicit way to lift the elements  $p_{ij}(w)$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  to the algebra  $A_{d,L}$ .*

(Corollaries 6.16 and 6.17 refer to Theorem 6.15 which in turn is a reformulation of Theorem 6.12.)

As a result of this lifting we get a set of generators of the algebras  $A_{d,L}$  for each  $d \geq 0$ . The adjective “explicit” means that the pushforwards of these generators under the canonical projections  $\pi_{\infty, N} : A_{d,L} \rightarrow A_{d,L}(N) \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  are written down explicitly.

1.3.2. *The algebra  $Y_{d,L}$ .* We assume  $d \geq 1$ .

The lifting of the elements  $p_{ij}(w)$  provided by Theorem 1.3 depends in fact of a complex parameter  $s$ , and the resulting elements are denoted by  $t_{ij}(w; s)$ . We have the freedom to choose the value of  $s$ , which is used in Theorem 1.5 below.

**Theorem 1.4** (see Theorem 7.10). *Let  $d \geq 1$  and let  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  be fixed.*

(i) *The unital subalgebra of  $A_{d,L}$  generated by the elements  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  with  $w \in W_L$  and  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  does not depend on the choice of  $s$ . We denote this subalgebra by  $Y_{d,L}$ .*

(ii) *The graded subalgebra of  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}$  associated with  $Y_{d,L}$  is the subalgebra  $P_{d,L}^+ \subset P_{d,L}$  generated by the elements of the form  $p_{ij}(w)$  with  $w \in W_L$  and  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .*

Thus,  $Y_{d,L}$  is a lifting (a “filtered quantization”) of the Poisson subalgebra  $P_{d,L}^+ \subset P_{d,L}$ . The theorem also shows that  $A_{0,L}$  and  $Y_{d,L}$  are separated in  $A_{d,L}$  in the sense that

$$A_{d,L} \simeq A_{0,L} \otimes Y_{d,L} \quad (\text{isomorphism of vector spaces}). \quad (1.12)$$

In the particular case  $L = 1$ , the isomorphism (1.12) turns into the algebra isomorphism (1.8). However, (1.12) is not an algebra isomorphism for  $L \geq 2$ . This is seen from the fact that the Poisson bracket between the elements of the form  $p(\widehat{w})$  and  $p_{ij}(z)$  is nontrivial for  $L \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.5** (see Theorem 7.11). (i) *For any fixed  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the generators  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  satisfy a collection of quadratic-linear commutation relations, which can serve as a system of defining relations for the algebra  $Y_{d,L}$ .*

(ii) *The form of these relations does not depend on the value of the parameter  $s$ .*

(iii) *The additive group  $\mathbb{C}$  acts on the algebra  $Y_{d,L}$  by automorphisms. On the generators  $t_{ij}(w; s)$ , this action reduces to the shifts of the parameter  $s$ ,*

$$t_{ij}(w; s) \mapsto t_{ij}(w; s + c), \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In the particular case  $L = 1$ , the theorem is easily deduced from the RTT presentation of the Yangian  $Y_d$ . In particular, claim (iii) is obvious because in (1.2), the argument of the  $R$ -matrix is not affected by the shift  $(u, v) \mapsto (u + c, v + c)$ . See e.g. [17], [16].

When  $L \geq 2$ , assembling the generators of  $Y_{d,L}$  into generating series and then organizing them into a  $T$ -matrix is still helpful, and this trick is used in the proof of Theorem 1.3. However, the matrix  $T(\cdot)$  now depends on  $L$  free noncommuting variables, instead of a single one. It is not clear whether there exists a suitable analogue of the RTT presentation (1.2) in such a situation. In the absence of this basic tool, the proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 is achieved by a different method. The idea is to exploit some stabilization effects in the universal enveloping algebras  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ , see the next subsection.

1.3.3. *A stability lemma.* This lemma underlies the proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 and is also of independent interest. To state the result we need to introduce some definitions.

Consider the following  $N \times N$  matrix whose entries are the matrix units:

$$\mathbb{E}(N) := \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & \cdots & E_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ E_{N1} & \cdots & E_{NN} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.13)$$

In other words, the  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $\mathbb{E}(N)$  is the matrix unit  $E_{ij}$ . We interpret these entries as elements of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}(N)$  itself is an element of the algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ . Then the  $(i, j)$ th entry of the  $n$ th power  $(\mathbb{E}(N))^n$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , has the form

$$E_{ij}^{(n)} := \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}=1}^N E_{ia_1} E_{a_1 a_2} \cdots E_{a_{n-1} j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1.14)$$

The matrix  $\mathbb{E}(N)$  and the elements  $E_{ij}^{(n)} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$  appear in various situations. Examples are the Capelli identity (see e.g. [17, §2.11]), the Perelomov–Popov formula (see e.g. [27]), the characteristic identities (see e.g. [11], [14]), the structure theory of the Yangian  $Y_d$  (see e.g. [17, §§1.18–1.19]). We extend now the definition (1.14) to the case  $L \geq 2$ , as follows.

We regard the set  $[L] := \{1, \dots, L\}$  as an alphabet with  $L$  letters. Given a letter  $\alpha \in [L]$ , let  $\{E_{ij|\alpha}\}$  denote the basis of the matrix units in the  $\alpha$ th component of the space  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L} \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ . We form the  $N \times N$  matrix  $\mathbb{E}_L(N)$  with the entries

$$(\mathbb{E}_L(N))_{ij} := \sum_{\alpha=1}^L E_{ij|\alpha} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$$

and then take its  $n$ th power  $(\mathbb{E}_L(N))^n$ . The entries of  $(\mathbb{E}_L(N))^n$  expand into sums of certain elements  $e_{ij}(w; N)$  which are indexed, apart from the bi-indices  $ij$ , by the words  $w = w_1 \dots w_n \in W_L$  of length  $n$ . Specifically,

$$((\mathbb{E}_L(N))^n)_{ij} = \sum_{w \in W_L: \ell(w)=n} e_{ij}(w; N),$$

where

$$e_{ij}(w; N) := \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}=1}^N E_{ia_1|w_1} E_{a_1 a_2|w_2} \dots E_{a_{n-1} j|w_n}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1.15)$$

The last formula is a generalization of (1.14); it reduces to (1.14) when  $L = 1$ .

**Lemma 1.6** (Stability Lemma 7.1). *Let  $w$  and  $\tilde{w}$  be two words from  $W_L$ .*

*For any bi-indices  $ij$  and  $kl$ , the commutator  $[e_{ij}(w; N), e_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N)]$  can be represented in the following way:*

- *it is a quadratic-linear expression of total degree strictly less than  $\ell(w) + \ell(\tilde{w})$ , composed from some elements  $e_{ab}(z; w)$  with  $z \in W_L$  and  $a, b \in \{i, j, k, l\}$ ;*
- *the form of this expression is stable in the sense that it depends only on  $w$  and  $\tilde{w}$  but not on  $N$ .*

For instance, if  $w = \alpha\beta$  and  $\tilde{w} = \beta\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two distinct letters, then

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{ij}(\alpha\beta; N), e_{kl}(\beta\alpha; N)] &= e_{kj}(\beta\beta; N)e_{il}(\alpha; N) - e_{kj}(\beta\alpha\beta; N)\delta_{il} \\ &\quad + \delta_{kj}e_{il}(\alpha\beta\alpha; N) - e_{kj}(\beta; N)e_{il}(\alpha\alpha; N) \end{aligned}$$

(two quadratic terms and two linear terms, all of the total degree 3; here  $\delta_{il}$  and  $\delta_{kj}$  are the Kronecker symbols).

1.3.4. *A remark on the growth of the number of generators.*

**Remark 1.7.** Fix  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and note that the number of generators of the algebra  $P_{d,L}^+ = \text{gr } A_{d,L}$  of degree  $n$  is  $d^2 L^n$ . For  $L > 1$  fixed and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this number grows exponentially, while for  $L = 1$  it remains fixed. This demonstrates a qualitative difference of the algebras  $Y_{d,L}$  with  $L > 1$  from the ordinary Yangians  $Y_d = Y_{d,1}$ : the former are much more massive than the latter.

**1.4. Organization of the paper.** Section 2 is a short summary of known facts about the Yangian  $Y_d$  and the initial centralizer construction. This material serves for comparison with the results obtained in the case  $L \geq 2$ .

In Section 3 we describe the centralizer construction leading to the algebra  $A_{d,L}$  and a parallel construction at the level of symmetric algebras, which gives the associated commutative graded algebra  $P_{d,L} = \text{gr } A_{d,L}$ .

In Sections 4 and 5 we study the algebra  $P_{d,L}$  and establish the results stated above as Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 5 we also discuss a connection with the Van den Bergh's formalism of double Poisson brackets [32].

In Section 6 we turn to the algebra  $A_{d,L}$  and prove the result which was informally stated as Theorem 1.3.

In Section 7 we begin with the Stability Lemma and then prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. Finally, Section 8 contains a few remarks and open problems.

## 2. MORE ABOUT THE INITIAL CENTRALIZER CONSTRUCTION

**2.1. The Yangian  $Y_d$ .** Recall the definition of the Yangian  $Y_d = Y(\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C}))$  associated with the general Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})$  ( $d = 1, 2, \dots$ ). It is an associative algebra with countably many generators  $t_{ij}^{(m)}$  (where  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and countably many defining relations

$$[t_{ij}^{(m+1)}, t_{kl}^{(n)}] - [t_{ij}^{(m)}, t_{kl}^{(n+1)}] = t_{kj}^{(m)} t_{il}^{(n)} - t_{kj}^{(n)} t_{il}^{(m)},$$

where  $m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $t_{ab}^{(0)} := \delta_{ab}$ .

The defining relations can be equivalently written in the following (slightly asymmetric) form:

$$[t_{ij}^{(m)}, t_{kl}^{(n)}] = \delta_{kj} t_{il}^{(m+n-1)} - \delta_{il} t_{kj}^{(m+n-1)} + \sum_{r=1}^{\min(m,n)-1} (t_{kj}^{(r)} t_{il}^{(m+n-1-r)} - t_{kj}^{(m+n-1-r)} t_{il}^{(r)}), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . With the quadrating terms suppressed, the right-hand side gives the defining relations of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})[x])$ , the universal enveloping algebra of the polynomial current Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})[x]$ . The Yangian  $Y_d$  is a nontrivial deformation of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})[x])$ .

The system (2.1) of the defining relations of the Yangian  $Y_d$  can be compactly written as a single ‘‘RTT=TTR’’ relation

$$R(u-v)T_1(u)T_2(v) = T_2(v)T_1(u)R(u-v). \quad (2.2)$$

Here both sides are elements of the algebra  $Y_d \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^d$ ;  $R(u)$  is the Yang  $R$ -matrix,

$$R(u) := 1 - \frac{1}{u} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathcal{E}_{ij} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{ji} \in (\text{End } \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^d)[u^{-1}],$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are commuting formal variables,  $\{\mathcal{E}_{ij}\}$  is the basis of  $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^d$  formed by the matrix units;  $T_1(u)$  and  $T_2(u)$  are two copies of the matrix

$$T(u) := \sum_{i,j=1}^d t_{ij}(u) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{ij}, \quad \text{where} \quad t_{ij}(u) := \delta_{ij} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t_{ij}^{(m)} u^{-m},$$

with the understanding that

$$T_1(u) \in Y_d \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^d \otimes 1, \quad T_2(u) \in Y_d \otimes 1 \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

There is an action of the additive group  $\mathbb{C}$  on the Yangian  $Y_d$  by automorphisms, defined by

$$T(u) \mapsto T(u + c), \quad c \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.3)$$

This happens because in the defining relation (2.2), the argument  $u - v$  of the  $R$ -matrix is not affected by the simultaneous shift  $u \mapsto u + c$ ,  $v \mapsto v + c$ . The shift automorphisms are used in the representation theory of the Yangians.

For more detail, see Molev-Nazarov-Olshanski [17], Molev [16], Nazarov [20].

**2.2. The algebras  $A_d$  and  $A_\infty$ .** Recall the definition (1.6) of the centralizer algebras  $A_{d,L}(N)$ . Let us specify the projections  $\pi_{N,N-1}$  mentioned in (1.7).

Let  $I^+(N) \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$  be the left ideal generated by the elements  $E_{iN}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and let  $I^-(N)$  be the right ideal generated by the elements  $E_{Ni}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Consider the centralizer

$$A_{N-1}(N) = U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))^{E_{NN}}.$$

We have

$$A_{N-1}(N) \cap I^+(N) = A_{N-1}(N) \cap I^-(N) =: L(N),$$

so that  $L(N)$  is a two-sided ideal in  $A_{N-1}(N)$ . Furthermore, the following direct sum decomposition holds:

$$A_{N-1}(N) = U(\mathfrak{gl}(N-1, \mathbb{C})) \oplus L(N).$$

Assuming  $0 \leq d \leq N-1$ , we take the projection  $A_{N-1}(N) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}(N-1, \mathbb{C}))$  along  $L(N)$  and then restrict it to  $A_d(N) \subseteq A_{N-1}(N)$ . This gives us the desired homomorphism  $\pi_{N,N-1} : A_d(N) \rightarrow A_d(N-1)$ .

It allows us to define the limit algebra  $A_d := \varprojlim (A_d(N), \pi_{N,N-1})$  and then the algebra  $A_\infty := \varinjlim A_d$ . This is the initial *centralizer construction*.

In the next theorem we use the algebra  $\text{Sym}^*$  of shifted symmetric functions. It can be defined as the subalgebra of formal power series in countably many variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , generated by the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [(x_i - i)^k - (-i)^k], \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$\text{Sym}^*$  is a filtered algebra with the property that the associated graded algebra  $\text{gr Sym}^*$  is canonically isomorphic to the algebra  $\text{Sym}$  of symmetric functions. For more details, see [22].<sup>2</sup>

**Theorem 2.1.** (i) For any  $d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , the morphisms  $\pi_{N, N-1}$  are surjective.

(ii) The algebra  $A_0$  is a free commutative algebra with countably many generators. It is naturally isomorphic to the algebra  $\text{Sym}^*$ .

(iii) For each  $d \geq 1$ , the algebra  $A_d$  is isomorphic to the tensor product  $A_0 \otimes Y_d$ , where, as above,  $Y_d$  denotes the Yangian of  $\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C})$ .

(iv) The algebra  $A_\infty$  is isomorphic to the tensor product  $A_0 \otimes Y_\infty$ , where  $Y_\infty := \varinjlim Y_d$  is the union of the Yangians  $Y_d$ .

A detailed proof is contained in Olshanski [25, ch. 2] and in Molev's book [16, ch. 8]. A slightly more general result is given in Molev-Olshanski [18, sect. 2]. See also the early publications [23], [24].

**2.3. Generators of the algebras  $A_d$ .** Given  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ , we define a family of elements of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$  using generating series with a formal variable  $u$ :

$$N + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t^{(m)}(N)u^{-m} = \text{tr} \left( \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}(N)}{u+N} \right)^{-1} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\delta_{ij} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t_{ij}^{(m)}(N)u^{-m} = \left( \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}(N)}{u+N} \right)^{-1} \right)_{ij}, \quad i, j \leq N, \quad (2.5)$$

where the matrix  $\mathbb{E}(N)$  is defined in (1.13).

The elements  $t^{(m)}(N)$ ,  $t_{ij}^{(m)}(N)$  have degree  $m$  and

$$t^{(m)}(N) \in A_0(N), \quad t_{ij}^{(m)}(N) \in A_d(N) \quad (\text{if } i, j \leq d \leq N).$$

Next, they satisfy the consistency relations

$$\pi_{N, N-1}(t^{(m)}(N)) = t^{(m)}(N-1); \quad \pi_{N, N-1}(t_{ij}^{(m)}(N)) = t_{ij}^{(m)}(N-1) \quad (\text{for } N > i, j).$$

Therefore, they give rise to elements of the algebra  $A_\infty$ , which we denote by  $t^{(m)}$  and  $t_{ij}^{(m)}$ , respectively:

$$t^{(m)} = \{t^{(m)}(N) : N = 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad t_{ij}^{(m)} = \{t_{ij}^{(m)}(N) : N \geq \max(i, j)\}.$$

The elements  $t^{(m)}$  are algebraically independent generators of the commutative subalgebra  $A_0$ . Under the isomorphism  $A_0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^*$ , one has

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t^{(m)}u^{-m} \rightarrow u - u \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{u - x_i + i - 1}{u + i - 1} \cdot \frac{u + i}{u - x_i + i},$$

see [25, Remark 2.1.20], [16, Prop. 8.2.3].

<sup>2</sup>In [22], as well as in some other works, the algebra  $\text{Sym}^*$  was denoted by  $\Lambda^*$ , by analogy with Macdonald's notation  $\Lambda$  for the algebra of symmetric functions.

The elements  $t_{ij}^{(m)}$  satisfy the commutation relations (2.1). Under the restriction  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ , these elements generate the subalgebra isomorphic to  $Y_d$ , so our notation here is consistent with the notation of subsection 2.1.

### 3. THE ALGEBRAS $A_{d,L}$ , $P_{d,L}$ , $A_{\infty,L}$ , AND $P_{\infty,L}$

**3.1. The algebras  $A_{d,L}$ .** We keep to the notation introduced in subsection 1.3. As before, we assume  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . To make the definition of the algebra  $A_{d,L}$  precise we have to specify the projections  $\pi_{N,N-1}$  from (1.11). This is done in exact analogy with the case  $L = 1$  discussed in section 2.

Namely, let  $I^+(N) \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  be the left ideal generated by the elements  $E_{iN|\alpha}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and  $\alpha = 1, \dots, L$ , and  $I^-(N)$  be the right ideal generated by the elements  $E_{Nj|\alpha}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq N$  and  $\alpha = 1, \dots, L$ . From the definition (1.10) of the centralizers  $A_{d,L}(N)$  we have

$$A_{N-1,L}(N) = U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})^{\text{diag } E_{NN}},$$

where

$$\text{diag } E_{NN} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L E_{NN|\alpha}.$$

Next, we have

$$A_{N-1,L}(N) \cap I^+(N) = A_{N-1,L}(N) \cap I^-(N) =: L(N),$$

where  $L(N)$  is a two-sided ideal in  $A_{N-1,L}(N)$ , and a direct sum decomposition

$$A_{N-1}(N) = U(\mathfrak{gl}(N-1, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}) \oplus L(N).$$

Then we define  $\pi_{N,N-1}$  as the projection on the first summand. The proof of these assertions is the same as in the case  $L = 1$ , see [25, ch. 2] or [16, ch. 8].

Thus, the centralizer construction works, and it yields the projective limit filtered algebra

$$A_{d,L} := \varprojlim (A_{d,L}(N), \pi_{N,N-1}). \quad (3.1)$$

**3.2. The algebras  $P_{d,L}$ .** Let us consider invariants in symmetric algebras instead of enveloping algebras. The definition (1.10) is replaced by

$$P_{d,L}(N) := S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})^{\text{diag } \mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})}, \quad 0 \leq d < N, \quad (3.2)$$

where the invariants are taken with respect to the adjoint action of the Lie algebra  $\text{diag } \mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})$ .

Because this action preserves the grading of the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ , the subalgebra  $P_{d,L}(N)$  is also a graded algebra. A counterpart of the left ideal  $I^+(N) \subset A_{d,L}(N)$  is a graded ideal  $\mathcal{I}^+(N) \subset P_{d,L}(N)$ , whose definition is similar: it is generated by the elements  $E_{iN|\alpha}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and  $\alpha \in [L]$ . Then we can repeat the construction

of the previous subsection, with  $I^+(N)$  being replaced by  $\mathcal{I}^+(N)$ . Similarly to (3.1) we obtain a commutative algebra

$$P_{d,L} := \varprojlim (P_{d,L}(N), \pi_{N,N-1}), \quad (3.3)$$

where the limit is now understood in the category of graded commutative algebras, so that  $P_{d,L}$  is a graded commutative algebra.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}(N)$  be the graded algebra associated with the filtered algebra  $A_{d,L}(N)$ . For each  $N \geq d$ , there is a natural isomorphism of graded algebras  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}(N) \rightarrow P_{d,L}(N)$ .*

*Proof.* We use the canonical isomorphism  $\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  and the fact that the adjoint representation of  $\text{diag } \mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})$  on  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  is completely reducible and consistent with the filtration.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The isomorphisms  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}(N) \rightarrow P_{d,L}(N)$  from Lemma 3.1 give rise to an algebra isomorphism  $\text{gr } A_{d,L} \rightarrow P_{d,L}$ .*

*Proof.* This may seem like an immediate conclusion from the lemma, but in fact it requires a justification.<sup>3</sup> Here is a formal proof.

Assume we are given a family of short exact sequences of vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_N \rightarrow 0$$

forming (as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) a projective system. Then it induces an exact sequence of projective limit spaces, of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_N \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{Y}_N \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{Z}_N.$$

A subtle point is that the last map need not be surjective, unless a suitable additional condition is imposed. One such a condition is that the pre-limit spaces are finite-dimensional. See [12, Proposition 9.1 and Example 9.1.2].

Now we apply this abstract fact in the following setting. Let  $A_{d,L}^{(k)}(N) \subset A_{d,L}(N)$  denote the subspace of elements of degree at most  $k$ . Fix an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and set

$$\mathcal{X}_N := A_{d,L}^{(k-1)}(N), \quad \mathcal{Y}_N := A_{d,L}^{(k)}(N), \quad \mathcal{Z}_N := \mathcal{Y}_N / \mathcal{X}_N.$$

These spaces have finite dimension, so that we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_N \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{Y}_N \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{Y}_N / \mathcal{X}_N \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of Lemma 3.1, the space  $\mathcal{Y}_N / \mathcal{X}_N$  can be identified with the  $k$ th homogeneous component of  $P_{d,L}(N)$ , so that the limit space  $\varprojlim \mathcal{Y}_N / \mathcal{X}_N$  can be identified with the  $k$ th homogeneous component of  $P_{d,L}$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

---

<sup>3</sup>This step was skipped in the context of [25, sect. 2.1.9] and [16, Proposition 8.3.1].

Another proof of Proposition 3.2 can be obtained from the results of the next two sections, where we construct a system of generators in the algebra  $P_{d,L}$  and show that they can be lifted to the algebra  $A_{d,L}$ .

**3.3. The algebras  $A_{\infty,L}$  and  $P_{\infty,L}$ .** From the definition of the algebras  $A_{d,L}$  and  $P_{d,L}$  it is seen that there are natural embeddings

$$A_{d,L} \hookrightarrow A_{d+1,L}, \quad P_{d,L} \hookrightarrow P_{d+1,L}, \quad d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This makes it possible to form inductive limit algebras

$$A_{\infty,L} := \varinjlim A_{d,L}, \quad P_{\infty,L} := \varinjlim P_{d,L}.$$

The algebra  $A_{\infty,L}$  has a natural filtration, the algebra  $P_{\infty,L}$  is graded, and Proposition 3.2 provides an isomorphism  $\text{gr } A_{\infty,L} \rightarrow P_{\infty,L}$ .

#### 4. THE STRUCTURE OF THE ALGEBRAS $P_{d,L}$

**4.1. Generators of  $P_{0,L}$ .** Recall that  $W_L$  denotes the set of nonempty words in the alphabet  $[L] = \{1, \dots, L\}$ . Given a word  $w = w_1 \dots w_n \in W_L$  of length  $\ell(w) = n$ , we construct the following element in the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ :

$$p(w; N) := \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n=1}^N E_{a_1 a_2 | w_1} E_{a_2 a_3 | w_2} \dots E_{a_n a_1 | w_n}. \quad (4.1)$$

We equip  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  with the inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  such that  $\langle E_{ij}, E_{kl} \rangle = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$ . Using it we identify  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  with its dual space, which enables us to treat elements of the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  as polynomial functions of  $L$  matrix arguments  $X_1, \dots, X_L \in \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$ . In this interpretation, the elements of  $P_{0,L}$  turn into polynomial functions, which are invariant under simultaneous conjugation of  $X_1, \dots, X_L$  by the elements of the group  $GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ .

In terms of this interpretation we have

$$p(w; N)(X_1, \dots, X_L) = \text{tr}(X_{w_1} \dots X_{w_n}). \quad (4.2)$$

From this it is clear that  $p(w; N)$  lies in the algebra  $P_{0,L}(N)$  (another proof can be obtained from Corollary 6.3 below). It is also evident that  $p(w; N)$  depends only on the circular word  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$  corresponding to  $w \in W_L$  under the natural projection  $W_L \rightarrow \widehat{W}_L$  (recall that  $\widehat{W}_L$  is our notation for the set of circular words in the alphabet  $[L]$ ). For this reason we will also use the alternate notation  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For each  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , the elements  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  with  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$  generate the algebra  $P_{0,L}(N)$ .*

*Proof.* This is easily deduced from the first fundamental theorem of the classical invariant theory in type  $A$ . See Procesi [29, Theorem 1.3] for a detailed proof.  $\square$

Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , let  $\widehat{W}_L^{(n)} \subset \widehat{W}_L$  denote the subset of circular words  $\widehat{w}$  with  $\ell(\widehat{w}) \leq n$ . It is a finite set.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Fix an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . For  $N$  large enough, the elements  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  with  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L^{(n)}$  are algebraically independent.*

One could informally say that all the elements  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$ ,  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$ , are *asymptotically algebraically independent*.

*Proof.* We extend the argument from [25, Lemma 2.1.11]. It is convenient to interpret the elements  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  as polynomial functions on the space  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}$ . Assuming  $N$  large enough, we are going to define an affine subspace  $V \subset \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}$  of dimension  $K := |\widehat{W}_L^{(n)}|$ . On this subspace, our functions take a specific form, from which it is immediately seen that they are algebraically independent.

Below we assume that  $\widehat{w}$  ranges over  $\widehat{W}_L^{(n)}$ . For each such  $\widehat{w}$  we assign a one-dimensional affine subspace  $V(\widehat{w}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}$  with distinguished coordinate  $x_{\widehat{w}} \in \mathbb{C}$ , as follows.

- First, we pick a subset  $A(\widehat{w}) \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  of cardinality  $\ell(\widehat{w})$  in such a way that the subsets with distinct labels  $\widehat{w}$  do not intersect. This is possible provided  $N$  is large enough.

- Second, we pick some representative  $w = w_1 \dots w_m$  of  $\widehat{w}$  in  $W_L$  (where  $m = \ell(\widehat{w})$ ).

- Third, we write the indices in  $A(\widehat{w})$  in the ascending order,  $a_1 < \dots < a_m$ , and set

$$V(\widehat{w}) := \{x_{\widehat{w}} E_{a_1 a_2 | w_1} + E_{a_2 a_3 | w_2} + \dots + E_{a_m a_1 | w_m} : x_{\widehat{w}} \in \mathbb{C}\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Finally, the subspace  $V$  is defined as the sum of all these  $V(\widehat{w})$ 's. Because the subsets  $A(\widehat{w})$  are pairwise disjoint, the subspace  $V$  has dimension  $K$  and the parameters  $x_{\widehat{w}}$  serve as its affine coordinates.

From this construction it follows that the restriction of  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  to  $V$  has the form  $x_{\widehat{w}} + (\dots)$ , where  $(\dots)$  denotes a possible rest term, which is a polynomial function on  $V$  depending only on some of the coordinates  $x_{\widehat{w}'}$  with  $\ell(\widehat{w}') < \ell(\widehat{w})$ .

(One can say even more: only the words  $\widehat{w}'$  with the property that  $\widehat{w}$  is a power of  $\widehat{w}'$  really contribute to this rest term. In particular, if  $\widehat{w}$  is *aperiodic*, then the rest term is absent.)

From this picture it is clear that our polynomial functions  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  are indeed algebraically independent.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *For each fixed  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$ , the elements  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  with varying parameter  $N$  are consistent with the projections  $\pi_{N, N-1} : P_{0,L}(N) \rightarrow P_{0,L}(N-1)$  and so give rise to an element of the algebra  $P_{0,L}$ , which will be denoted by  $p(\widehat{w})$ .*

Notice that since all elements  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  are homogeneous, of degree  $\ell(\widehat{w})$ , so is  $p(\widehat{w})$ , too.

*Proof.* This follows directly from the definition (4.1). Indeed, the monomials on the right-hand side are parametrized by  $n$ -tuples of indices  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . If at least one of them equals

$N$ , then the monomial lies in the ideal  $\mathcal{I}^+(N)$ . When all such monomials are removed, the rest is the element  $p(\widehat{w}; N - 1)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *The algebra  $P_{0,L}$  is freely generated, as a graded commutative algebra, by the homogeneous elements  $p(\widehat{w})$  indexed by the circular words  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.2 it follows that the elements  $p(\widehat{w})$  are algebraically independent. Let us show that they generate the whole algebra. Take an arbitrary homogeneous element  $f \in P_{0,L}$  and consider the corresponding sequence  $\{f(N) \in P_{0,L}(N) : N = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Let  $n := \deg f$ . By Lemma 4.1, for each  $N$ , the element  $f(N)$  can be written as a polynomial expression  $\phi_N(\dots)$  in the elements  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  with  $\ell(\widehat{w}) \leq n$ . By virtue of Lemma 4.2, as  $N$  gets large, the form of the polynomial  $\phi_N$  stabilizes, so that we may write  $\phi_N \equiv \phi$ . This means that the same polynomial  $\phi$  also expresses  $f$  through the elements  $p(\widehat{w})$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Generators of  $P_{d,L}$ .** Throughout this subsection  $d$  is a fixed positive integer and  $N$  is an integer  $> d$ .

Given a word  $w = w_1 \dots w_n \in W_L$ , where  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in [L]$ , we construct the following elements in the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ :

$$p_{ij}(w; N) := \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}=1}^N E_{ia_1|w_1} E_{a_1 a_2|w_2} \dots E_{a_{n-1} j|w_n}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N. \quad (4.4)$$

Here the right-hand side is similar to (1.15), but the difference is that the product is taken in  $S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  and not in  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ .

In terms of the same interpretation as in (4.2),

$$p_{ij}(w; N)(X_1, \dots, X_L) = (X_{w_1} \dots X_{w_n})_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N. \quad (4.5)$$

If  $i, j \leq d$ , then the right-hand side of (4.5) is invariant under the diagonal action of the subgroup  $GL_d(N, \mathbb{C}) \subset GL(N, \mathbb{C})$  corresponding to the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_d(N, \mathbb{C})$  (this subgroup is isomorphic to  $GL(N - d, \mathbb{C})$ ). Therefore,

$$p_{ij}(w; N) \in P_{d,L}(N) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

Another proof of this claim can be obtained from the computation in Lemma 6.1, cf. Corollary 6.2 below.

**Lemma 4.5** (cf. Lemma 4.1). *For each  $N > d$ , the set*

$$\mathcal{G}_{d,L}(N) := \{p(\widehat{w}; N) : \widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L\} \cup \{p_{ij}(w; N) : w \in W_L, 1 \leq i, j \leq d\} \quad (4.6)$$

*generates the algebra  $P_{d,L}(N)$ .*

*Proof.* In the particular case  $L = 1$  this was shown in [25, sect. 2.1.10]. We use the same argument, with a slight adaptation only.

As in Lemma 4.2, it is convenient to switch to polynomial functions of  $L$  matrix arguments  $X_1, \dots, X_L$ . Below the index  $\alpha$  ranges over the set  $[L] = \{1, \dots, L\}$ . Write each

$X_\alpha$  as a  $2 \times 2$  block matrix with respect to the decomposition  $N = d + (N - d)$ :

$$X_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} X_\alpha^{11} & X_\alpha^{12} \\ X_\alpha^{21} & X_\alpha^{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq L.$$

Using this notation, we modify the definition of polynomials  $p(\widehat{w}; N)$  and  $p_{ij}(w; N)$  in the following way.

First, given a word  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ , where  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in [L]$ , we set

$$\widetilde{p}(w; N)(X_1, \dots, X_L) := \text{tr}(X_{w_1}^{22} \dots X_{w_n}^{22}).$$

This polynomial depends only on the circular word  $\widehat{w}$ , the image of  $w$  under the projection  $\mathbb{W}_L \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{W}}_L$ , so that we may use the alternate notation  $\widetilde{p}(\widehat{w}; N)$ .

Second, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ , we set

$$\widetilde{p}_{ij}(w; N)(X_1, \dots, X_L) := \begin{cases} (X_{w_1}^{11})_{ij}, & n = 1, \\ (X_{w_1}^{12} X_{w_2}^{21})_{ij}, & n = 2, \\ (X_{w_1}^{12} X_{w_2}^{22} \dots X_{w_{n-1}}^{22} X_{w_n}^{21})_{ij}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

By analogy with (4.6) we set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{d,L}(N) := \{\widetilde{p}(\widehat{w}; N) : \widehat{w} \in \widehat{\mathbb{W}}_L\} \cup \{\widetilde{p}_{ij}(w; N) : w \in \mathbb{W}_L, 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}.$$

The elements from  $\mathcal{G}_{d,L}(N)$  can be expressed through the elements from  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{d,L}(N)$  and vice versa: this is readily checked, exactly as in [25, sect. 2.1.10]. Therefore, we may switch from  $\mathcal{G}_{d,L}(N)$  to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{d,L}(N)$ . Then the desired result is obtained from the first fundamental theorem of the classical invariant theory; for more detail, see again [25, sect. 2.1.10].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6** (cf. Lemma 4.2). *Fix an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . For  $N$  large enough, the elements of  $\mathcal{G}_{d,L}(N)$  of degree at most  $n$  are algebraically independent.*

*Proof.* In the case  $L = 1$  this was shown in [25, sect. 2.1.11], and we follow the same idea. The argument below is an extension of the argument in Lemma 4.2.

As in Lemma 4.5, it is convenient to switch from  $\mathcal{G}_{d,L}(N)$  to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{d,L}(N)$ , so that we will deal with the generators  $\widetilde{p}(\widehat{w}; N)$  and  $\widetilde{p}_{ij}(w; N)$ , where  $\widehat{w}$  and  $w$  are words of length at most  $n$ .

We construct the one-dimensional subspaces  $V(\widehat{w})$  as in Lemma 4.2, with the only difference that the corresponding sets  $A(\widehat{w})$  should be contained in  $\{d + 1, \dots, N\}$ .

Next, we construct additional one-dimensional subspaces  $V(i, j, w)$  indexed by triples  $(i, j, w)$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and  $w \in \mathbb{W}_L^{(n)}$ . To this end, we attach to each such triple a coordinate  $x_{ijw}$  and a subset  $A(i, j, w) \subset \{d + 1, \dots, N\}$  of cardinality  $\ell(w) - 1$ . We do this in such a way that all previously defined subsets  $A(\widehat{w})$  and the new subsets  $A(i, j, w)$  are pairwise disjoint, which is possible for  $N \gg n$ . The definition of  $V(i, j, w)$  is similar to (4.3):

$$V(i, j, w) := \{x_{ijw} E_{ia_1|w_1} + E_{a_1 a_2|w_2} + \dots + E_{a_{m-1} j|w_m} : x_{ijw} \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

where  $m = \ell(w)$  and  $a_1 < \dots < a_{m-1}$  are the elements of  $A(i, j, w)$ . In the case  $m = 1$ , when  $w$  consists of a single letter  $w_1$ , the set  $A(i, j, w)$  is empty and the right-hand side is reduced to  $\{x_{ijw}E_{ij|w_1} : x_{ijw} \in \mathbb{C}\}$ .

Finally, we define  $V$  as the sum of these one-dimensional subspaces, of the form  $V(\widehat{w})$  and  $V(i, j, w)$ . This is an affine subspace with coordinates  $x_{\widehat{w}}$  and  $x_{ijw}$ .

Now we restrict our polynomials to  $V$ . The restriction of  $\widehat{p}(\widehat{w}; N)$  looks exactly as in the context of Lemma 4.2. Next, the restriction of  $\widetilde{p}_{ij}(w; N)$  is simply the coordinate function  $x_{ijw}$ . From this it is clear that our functions are algebraically independent.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7** (cf. Lemma 4.3). *Fix the parameters  $w$  and  $i, j$ , and let the parameter  $N$  vary. Then the corresponding elements  $p_{ij}(w; N) \in \mathcal{G}_{d,L}(N)$  are consistent with the projections  $\pi_{N,N-1} : P_{d,L}(N) \rightarrow P_{d,L}(N-1)$  and so give rise to an element of the algebra  $P_{d,L}$ , which will be denoted by  $p_{ij}(w)$ .*

*Proof.* The same argument as in Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8** (cf. Theorem 4.4). *For each  $d = 1, 2, \dots$ , the algebra  $P_{d,L}$  is freely generated, as a graded unital commutative algebra, by the homogeneous elements of the form  $p(\widehat{w})$ , where  $\widehat{w}$  ranges over  $\widehat{W}_L$ , and of the form  $p_{ij}(w)$ , where  $w$  ranges over  $W_L$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* Apply the three lemmas stated above together with the same argument as in Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**4.3. The PBW property.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$  be a filtered unital associative algebra and  $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^n$  be the corresponding graded algebra. We assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital,  $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$  coincides with the one-dimensional space spanned by 1, and  $\dim \mathcal{A}^{(n)} < \infty$  for all  $n$ .

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $b$  be a nonzero element of  $\mathcal{P}^n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ . We say that an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$  is a *lifting* of  $b$  to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $b$  is the image of  $a$  under the natural map  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^n$ . In this case we also say that  $b$  is the *top degree term* of  $a$ .

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Suppose that the algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  is a free commutative algebra. More precisely, there exists a family  $\{b_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\} \subset \mathcal{P}$  of homogeneous, algebraically independent elements of degree  $\geq 1$ , which generate  $\mathcal{P}$  (here  $\Omega$  is a set of indices). Pick an arbitrary lifting  $\{a_\omega\}$  of the elements  $b_\omega$  to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and endow  $\Omega$  with a total order in an arbitrary way.*

*Then the unity 1 and the ordered monomials built from the elements  $a_\omega$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{A}$ , consistent with the filtration.*

We return to our concrete situation. Due to Proposition 3.2, we may identify  $P_{d,L}$  with  $\text{gr } A_{d,L}$ . Next, Theorem 4.8 provides a family of homogeneous, algebraically independent generators of the algebra  $P_{d,L}$ . Therefore, we may apply Lemma 4.10 to an arbitrary lifting of these generators to  $A_{d,L}$ . This gives us a version of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for the algebra  $A_{d,L}$ .

A concrete lifting will be explicitly constructed in Section 6.

4.4. **Remarks.** We use the following notation:  $A_{d,L}^{(n)} \subset A_{d,L}$  is the  $n$ th term of the filtration of  $A_{d,L}$ ;  $A_{d,L}^{(n)}(N) \subset A_{d,L}(N)$  has the same meaning;  $P_{d,L}^n \subset P_{d,L}$  is the  $n$ th homogeneous component of the graded algebra  $P_{d,L}$ ;  $P_{d,L}^n(N) \subset P_{d,L}(N)$  has the same meaning.

The following claims follow directly from the results of the present section.

1. The dimension  $\dim P_{d,L}^n$  is finite and is equal to  $\dim P_{d,L}^n(N)$  provided  $N \gg n$ .
2. Likewise,  $\dim A_{d,L}^{(n)}$  is finite and is equal to  $\dim A_{d,L}^{(n)}(N)$  provided  $N \gg n$ .
3. From the very definition of the algebra  $A_{d,L}$  as a projective limit of the algebras  $A_{d,L}(N)$  it follows that there are canonical algebra morphisms  $\pi_{\infty,N} : A_{d,L} \rightarrow A_{d,L}(N)$ , which are defined for  $N \geq d$  and satisfy the relations

$$\pi_{N,N-1} \circ \pi_{\infty,N} = \pi_{\infty,N-1}, \quad N > d.$$

These morphisms preserve the filtration.

4. If  $N \gg n$ , then the kernel of the canonical projection  $\pi_{\infty,N}$  has trivial intersection with  $A_{d,L}^{(n)}$ .

## 5. THE POISSON STRUCTURE IN $P_{d,L}$

### 5.1. Formulas for the Poisson bracket.

**Definition 5.1.** For each  $d \geq 1$ , we denote by  $P_{d,L}^+ \subset P_{d,L}$  the unital subalgebra generated by the elements  $p_{ij}(z)$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and  $z \in W_L$ .

We obviously have

$$P_{d,L} \simeq P_{0,L} \otimes P_{d,L}^+$$

(tensor product of graded commutative algebras).

Due to the canonical isomorphism  $P_{d,L} \simeq \text{gr } A_{d,L}$  (Proposition 3.2), the graded commutative algebra  $P_{d,L}$  is endowed with a natural Poisson structure, with a Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\}$  of degree  $-1$ . The Poisson structure is uniquely determined once we know the Poisson bracket for each pair of generators. The three propositions below give explicit expressions for these brackets. The notation is the following:

- $z$  and  $w$  are two nonempty words in the alphabet  $[L] = \{1, \dots, L\}$ ,

$$z = z_1 \dots z_m, \quad w = w_1 \dots w_n,$$

where  $z_i, w_j \in [L]$ .

- The index  $r$  takes the values  $1, \dots, m$ , the index  $s$  takes the values  $1, \dots, n$ .
- Given  $r$  and  $s$ , the symbol  $\delta_{z_r, w_s}$  is the Kronecker delta: it equals 1 if  $z_r = w_s$ , and 0 otherwise.
- We suppose that  $d \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *The Poisson bracket for the generators in  $P_{d,L}^+$  has the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_{ij}(z), p_{kl}(w)\} &= \sum_{r,s} \delta_{z_r, w_s} \\ &\times \left( p_{kj}(w_1 \dots w_{s-1} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) p_{il}(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} \boxed{z_r} w_{s+1} \dots w_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p_{kj}(w_1 \dots w_{s-1} \boxed{z_r} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) p_{il}(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} w_{s+1} \dots w_n) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Here (and below) the letter  $z_r$  is framed in order to highlight the fact that the two expressions in the round brackets differ only by the location of this letter. We also adopt the convention that

$$p_{kj}(\emptyset) = \delta_{kj}, \quad p_{il}(\emptyset) = \delta_{il},$$

where  $\emptyset$  denotes the empty word and the Kronecker deltas are used for indices. This convention is applied if  $s = 1$  and  $r = m$ , in which case the word  $w_1 \dots w_{s-1} z_{r+1} \dots z_m$  in  $p_{kj}(\cdot)$  is empty. Likewise, if  $r = 1$  and  $s = n$ , then the word  $z_1 \dots z_{r-1} w_{s+1} \dots w_n$  in  $p_{il}(\cdot)$  is empty.

*Proof.* Recall that  $P_{d,L}$  is the projective limit of the algebras  $P_{d,L}(N)$ . Each  $P_{d,L}(N)$  is a subalgebra of the symmetric algebra

$$S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}) \simeq \text{gr} U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}) \quad (5.2)$$

and inherits the canonical Poisson structure of the latter algebra. The equality  $P_{d,L} = \varprojlim P_{d,L}(N)$  holds in the category of Poisson algebras as well. Therefore, it suffices to compute the Poisson bracket for the elements  $p_{ij}(z; N)$  and  $p_{kl}(w; N)$  inside the algebra (5.2) and show that is is given by the similar expression.

By the definition (4.4), our elements are sums of monomials built from matrix units in  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}(z; N) &= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}=1}^N E_{ia_1|z_1} E_{a_1 a_2|z_2} \dots E_{a_{m-1} j|z_m}, \\ p_{kl}(w; N) &= \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}=1}^N E_{kb_1|w_1} E_{b_1 b_2|w_2} \dots E_{b_{n-1} l|w_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

To compute the bracket for these elements we apply the Leibniz rule, which holds in an arbitrary commutative Poisson algebra:

$$\{x_1 \dots x_m, y_1 \dots y_n\} = \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^n \{x_r, y_s\} x_1 \dots x_{r-1} x_{r+1} \dots x_m y_1 \dots y_{s-1} y_{s+1} \dots y_n.$$

In our concrete situation,  $\{x_r, y_s\}$  is the Poisson bracket for a pair of matrix units, which is the same as the bracket  $[-, -]$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}$ :

$$\{E_{aa'|\alpha}, E_{bb'|\beta}\} = [E_{aa'|\alpha}, E_{bb'|\beta}] = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} (\delta_{a'b} E_{ab'|\alpha} - \delta_{b'a} E_{ba'|\alpha}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in [L].$$

Combining the last two formulas we obtain a multiple sum of the form

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}=1}^N \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}=1}^N \sum_{r,s} \delta_{z_r, w_s}(\dots),$$

which we rewrite as

$$\sum_{r,s} \delta_{z_r, w_s} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}=1}^N \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}=1}^N (\dots).$$

Looking closely at the expression in  $(\dots)$  we see that it has the desired form — after summation over  $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$  we come to the exact analogue of (5.1) in the algebra  $P_{d,L}(N)$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The next two propositions are proved in the same way.

**Proposition 5.3.** *The Poisson bracket between the generators of  $P_{d,L}^+$  and  $P_{0,d}$  has the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_{ij}(z), p(\widehat{w})\} &= \sum_{r,s} \delta_{z_r, w_s} \\ &\times \left( p_{ij}(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} \boxed{z_r} w_{s+1} \dots w_n w_1 \dots w_{s-1} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p_{ij}(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} w_{s+1} \dots w_n w_1 \dots w_{s-1} \boxed{z_r} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$  and  $w \in W_L$  is an arbitrary representative of  $\widehat{w}$ .

Note that the right-hand side does not depend on the choice of  $w$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *The Poisson bracket for the generators in  $P_{0,L}$  has the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \{p(\widehat{z}), p(\widehat{w})\} &= \sum_{r,s} \delta_{z_r, w_s} \\ &\times \left( p(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} \boxed{z_r} w_{s+1} \dots w_n w_1 \dots w_{s-1} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} w_{s+1} \dots w_n w_1 \dots w_{s-1} \boxed{z_r} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\widehat{z}, \widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$  and  $z, w$  are their representatives in  $W_L$ .

Note again that the right-hand side does not depend on the choice of the representatives.

**Corollary 5.5.**  $P_{d,L}^+$  is a Poisson subalgebra in  $P_{d,L}$ .

Indeed, this is a direct consequence of Proposition 5.2.

**5.2. The Poisson algebra  $P_{d,L}^+$  and the KKS double bracket.** The goal of this subsection is to relate the Poisson bracket (5.1) to a general formalism from Van den Bergh's paper [32].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finitely generated associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , let  $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, N)$  denote the set of  $N$ -dimensional representations  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathbb{C}^N$ . It is an affine algebraic variety; let  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, N))$  denote the corresponding coordinate ring. To each triple  $(x, i, j)$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ , there corresponds a function  $x_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, N))$  such that

$$x_{ij}(T) := (T(x))_{ij}, \quad T \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, N),$$

where  $(T(x))_{ij}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of the  $N \times N$  matrix  $T(x)$ . The functions  $x_{ij}(T)$  generate the ring  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, N))$ ; they depend linearly on  $x$  and satisfy the relations

$$(xy)_{ij} = \sum_{a=1}^N x_{ia}y_{aj}, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N. \quad (5.6)$$

Assume we are given a *double Poisson bracket* on  $\mathcal{A}$ : it is a bilinear map

$$\{\{-, -\}\} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \quad (5.7)$$

satisfying three conditions that are some variations of skew-symmetry, Leibniz rule, and Jacobi identity ([32, Definitions 2.2.1 and 2.3.2]). Then, for each  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , there exists a unique structure of Poisson algebra on the coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, N))$ , such that for the corresponding Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\}$  one has

$$\{x_{ij}, y_{kl}\} = \{\{x, y\}'_{kj}\}\{x, y\}''_{il}, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq N, \quad (5.8)$$

where the Sweedler sumless notation is used: if  $X$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ , then  $X = X' \otimes X''$  means a decomposition  $X_r = \sum X'_r \otimes X''_r$ ; here it is applied to  $X := \{\{x, y\}\}$ . (See [32], Proposition 1.2 and its proof in §7.5.)

Now we take as  $\mathcal{A}$  the free associative algebra with  $L$  generators; we denote it by  $\mathcal{F}_L$ . We associate the generators of  $\mathcal{F}_L$  to the letters of our alphabet  $[L]$ . Then the words  $z \in W_L$  can be treated as noncommutative monomials, which form (together with the unity) a basis of  $\mathcal{F}_L$ .

Next, we specify a double Poisson bracket  $\{\{-, -\}\}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_L$ : it is the *Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau bracket*  $\Pi_{\text{KKS}}$  from the paper [1] by Alekseev, Kawazumi, Kuno, and Naef. The definition is the following ([1, section 4.3]): for two words,

$$z = z_1 \dots z_m \quad \text{and} \quad w = w_1 \dots w_n,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{z, w\}\} = \Pi_{\text{KKS}}(z, w) &:= \sum_{r,s} \delta_{z_r, w_s} \\ &\times \left( (w_1 \dots w_{s-1} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) \otimes (z_1 \dots z_{r-1} \boxed{z_r} w_{s+1} \dots w_n) \right. \\ &\left. - (w_1 \dots w_{s-1} \boxed{z_r} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) \otimes (z_1 \dots z_{r-1} w_{s+1} \dots w_n) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Here, as in (5.1), the frame around  $z_r$  is used to highlight the position of this letter; an empty word (which arises when  $(r, s)$  equals  $(m, 1)$  or  $(1, n)$ ) should be interpreted as 1.

Substituting (5.9) into (5.8) we see that the resulting Poisson bracket in  $\mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{F}_L, N))$  has the same form as the Poisson bracket (5.1) in  $P_{d,L}^+$ . A precise claim can be stated as follows.

Observe that for each  $N \geq d$ , there is a natural algebra morphism

$$\varphi_N : P_{d,L}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{F}_L, N)),$$

such that

$$\varphi_N(p_{ij}(z)) = z_{ij}, \quad z \in W_L, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

The claim is that  $\varphi_N$  is compatible with the Poisson structures.

Note that the generators  $p_{ij}(z) \in P_{d,L}^+$  are algebraically independent while the elements  $z_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Rep}(\mathcal{F}_L, N))$  obey a system of quadratic relations, see (5.6). However, there is a “liberation effect” as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ : the kernel of  $\varphi_N$  shrinks to  $\{0\}$ , as it is seen from Lemma 4.6. In this sense one can say that the Poisson bracket (5.1) fits into the general scheme (5.8).

**Remark 5.6.** A double Poisson bracket is uniquely determined by its values on any set of generators (see [32, (2.5)]). In the case of the KKS bracket (5.9), the values on the generators  $\alpha \in [L]$  of the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_L$  are given by a very simple formula

$$\{\{\alpha, \alpha\}\} = 1 \otimes \alpha - \alpha \otimes 1; \quad \{\{\alpha, \beta\}\} = 0, \quad \alpha \neq \beta.$$

Pichereau and Van de Weyer [28] classified all double Poisson brackets on  $\mathcal{F}_L$  for which the values on the generators has the form

$$\{\{\alpha, \beta\}\} = \sum_{\gamma \in [L]} (c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \gamma \otimes 1 - c_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma 1 \otimes \gamma), \quad \alpha, \beta \in [L], \quad c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (5.10)$$

As shown in [28, sect. 5, Proposition 10], formula (5.10) gives rise to a double Poisson bracket if and only if the parameters  $c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$  serve as structure constants for an associative multiplication on the coordinate vector space  $\mathbb{C}^L$ . Thus, the KKS bracket enters a large family of double Poisson brackets.

**5.3. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{d,L}$  and the degeneration  $P_{d,L}^+ \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}_{d,L})$ .** Denote by  $\mathbb{C}[W_L]$  the complex vector space formed by the finite formal linear combinations of the words  $z \in W_L$ . The words  $z \in W_L$  constitute a distinguished basis of this vector space. We define a bilinear operation

$$\mathbb{C}[W_L] \times \mathbb{C}[W_L] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[W_L],$$

denoted by the symbol “ $\vee$ ” and defined on the basis elements as follows. For two words  $z = z_1 \dots z_m$  and  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ ,

$$z \vee w := \begin{cases} z_1 \dots z_{m-1} \boxed{z_m} w_2 \dots w_n, & \text{if } z_m = w_1. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

Here, as before, the letter  $z_m$  is framed only to emphasize its special role. This “pseudo-concatenation” operation is obviously associative and hence it turns  $\mathbb{C}[W_L]$  into an associative algebra. Let us denote this algebra by  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_L$ .

Next, consider the tensor product  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_L$ . It has a natural basis formed by the elements

$$z_{ij} := E_{ij} \otimes z, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \quad z \in W_L.$$

The space  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_L$  is an associative algebra, too; it can be interpreted as the algebra of  $d \times d$  matrices with the entries in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_L$ . But we regard it as a Lie algebra, with the bracket given by the commutator. We denote this Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{g}_{d,L}$ .

On the basis elements, the bracket in  $\mathfrak{g}_{d,L}$  has the form

$$[z_{ij}, w_{kl}] = \delta_{kj} (z \vee w)_{il} - \delta_{il} (w \vee z)_{kj}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d, \quad z, w \in W_L, \quad (5.12)$$

with the convention that  $(z \vee w)_{il} = 0$  if  $z \vee w = 0$  and, likewise,  $(w \vee z)_{kj} = 0$  if  $w \vee z = 0$ .

Finally, we consider the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}_{d,L})$  with its canonical Poisson structure determined by the bracket (5.12) in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{d,L}$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *The Poisson algebra  $P_{d,L}^+$  is a deformation of the Poisson algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}_{d,L})$ . Or, put it another way, the latter algebra is a degeneration of the former one.*

The precise meaning of this statement is the following. We are going to define a family of Poisson brackets in  $P_{d,L}^+$  indexed by the deformation parameter  $h \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . The initial bracket corresponds to  $h = 1$ , while in the limit  $h \rightarrow 0$  we get a Poisson algebra isomorphic to the Poisson algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}_{d,L})$ .

*Proof.* Look at the expression (5.1) for the bracket in  $P_{d,L}^+$ . The sum on the right-hand side contains linear and quadratic terms. The linear terms arise in two extreme cases: when  $(r, s) = (m, 1)$  and when  $(r, s) = (1, n)$ . All remaining summands are quadratic. The idea is that one can kill the quadratic terms by means of a renormalization and a limit transition.

Let  $\phi_h$  be the automorphism of the algebra  $P_{d,L}^+$  defined on the generators by

$$\phi_h(p_{ij}(z)) := h^{\ell(z)-1} p_{ij}(z), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \quad z \in W_L$$

(recall that  $\ell(z)$  is the length of  $z$ ). The  $h$ -deformed Poisson bracket in  $P_{d,L}^+$  is defined by

$$\{x, y \mid h\} := \phi_h(\{\phi_h^{-1}(x), \phi_h^{-1}(y)\}), \quad x, y \in P_{d,L}^+.$$

From (5.1) and the definition of  $\phi_h$  it follows that

$$\{p_{ij}(z), p_{kl}(w) \mid h\} = \{p_{ij}(z), p_{kl}(w)\}_1 + h\{p_{ij}(z), p_{kl}(w)\}_2, \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_{ij}(z), p_{kl}(w)\}_1 &= \delta_{z_m, w_1} \delta_{kj} p_{il}(z_1 \dots z_{m-1} \boxed{z_m} w_2 \dots w_n) \\ &\quad - \delta_{z_1, w_n} \delta_{il} p_{kj}(w_1 \dots w_{n-1} \boxed{z_1} w_2 \dots z_m) \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_{ij}(z), p_{kl}(w)\}_2 &= \sum_{(r,s) \neq (m,1)} \delta_{z_r, w_s} p_{kj}(w_1 \dots w_{s-1} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) p_{il}(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} \boxed{z_r} w_{s+1} \dots w_n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{(r,s) \neq (1,n)} \delta_{z_r, w_s} p_{kj}(w_1 \dots w_{s-1} \boxed{z_r} z_{r+1} \dots z_m) p_{il}(z_1 \dots z_{r-1} w_{s+1} \dots w_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\{-, -\}_1$  assembles the linear summands while  $\{-, -\}_2$  assembles the quadratic summands. In the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , the quadratic part is killed and we are left with the linear part, given by (5.14). Let us change the notation:

$$p_{ij}(z) \rightsquigarrow z_{ij}, \quad p_{kl}(w) \rightsquigarrow w_{kl}.$$

Then (5.14) turns into (5.12), the Poisson bracket in  $S(\mathfrak{g}_{d,L})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.8.** 1. From the above proof it is seen that the deformation is flat.

2. In the simplest case  $L = 1$ , the nonempty words are indexed by the strictly positive integers and the pseudo-concatenation (5.11) looks as

$$m \vee n := m + n - 1, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

After the reparametrization  $m \rightarrow m - 1$ ,  $n \rightarrow n - 1$ , it reduces simply to the operation of addition in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  or, put it another way, to multiplication of monomials in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . From this it is seen that the algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  of polynomials and so the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{d,1}$  is nothing else than the polynomial current Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C}[x])$ . This agrees with the well-known fact that the Yangian  $Y_d$  is a flat deformation of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(d, \mathbb{C}[x]))$ , see [17, sections 1.26–1.27].

3. Perhaps the pseudo-concatenation operation (5.11) has already appeared in the literature before, but I could not find a reference. For  $L \geq 2$ , the algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_L$  has some resemblance with the free algebra  $\mathcal{F}_L$ , but  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_L$  has zero divisors, in contrast to  $\mathcal{F}_L$ .

4. The algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_L$  has the unity: it is the sum of all letters from  $[L]$ .

**5.4. The Poisson algebra  $P_{0,L}$  and the necklace Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}_L$ .** Recall that  $P_{d,L}$  is freely generated, as a unital commutative algebra, by the elements  $p(\widehat{w})$ ,  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_L \subset P_{0,L}$  denote the linear span of these elements, so that the algebra  $P_{0,L}$  can be identified with the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathcal{N}_L)$ .

From the formula (5.5) for  $\{p(\widehat{z}), p(\widehat{w})\}$  it follows:

**Corollary 5.9.**  *$\mathcal{N}_L$  is closed under the Poisson bracket (5.5) and hence is a Lie algebra. Thus,  $S(\mathcal{N}_L)$  is a Poisson algebra and the identification  $P_{0,L} = S(\mathcal{N}_L)$  is also an isomorphism of Poisson algebras.*

As pointed out in subsection 1.3.1, the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}_L$  is an example of a necklace Lie algebra. Let us explain this point in more detail.

Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $\overline{Q}$  (the *double quiver*) be obtained by adjoining to every arrow of  $Q$  its copy going in the opposite direction. The *necklace Lie algebra*  $\mathcal{N}(Q)$  associated with  $Q$  is a graded Lie algebra with a homogeneous basis indexed by the oriented cycles in  $\overline{Q}$ . The bracket is defined by a cut-and-glue operation over cycles. See Ginzburg [9], Bocklandt – Le Bruyn [2] for a precise definition.

Now let  $Q_L$  be the quiver with  $L + 1$  vertices enumerated by  $0, 1, \dots, L$  and  $L$  arrows directed from  $0$  to  $1, \dots, L$ . Due to the special star-shaped form of  $Q_L$ , there is a natural bijective correspondence between the oriented cycles in  $\overline{Q}_L$  (which all have even length) and circular words in the alphabet  $[L] = \{1, \dots, L\}$  (which are twice as short). Using this correspondence and comparing formula (5.5) with the definition of the bracket given in the foundational papers [9], [2], one sees that  $\mathcal{N}_L$  is isomorphic to the necklace Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}(Q_L)$ .

**5.5. Another interpretation of the bracket in  $P_{0,L}$ .** The bracket (5.5) was also investigated in the paper [1] by Alekseev, Kawazumi, Kuno, and Naef.

We adopt from [1] the notation  $|\mathcal{F}_L|$  for the quotient space  $\mathcal{F}_L/[\mathcal{F}_L, \mathcal{F}_L]$ .<sup>4</sup> Consider the linear map

$$\{\{-, -\}\} : \mathcal{F}_L \otimes \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L \otimes \mathcal{F}_L \quad (5.15)$$

given by the double bracket (5.9). By virtue of [32, Corollary 2.4.6], the composition of (5.15) with the multiplication map  $\mathcal{F}_L \otimes \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L$  induces a Lie algebra structure on  $|\mathcal{F}_L|$ .

On the other hand, there is a natural identification of vector spaces  $|\mathcal{F}_L| = \mathcal{N}_L$ , and it is also an isomorphism of Lie algebras. See [1], Remark 4.14 and the formula before Remark 4.13.

**Remark 5.10.** From (5.5) it is seen that  $\{p(\widehat{z}), p(\widehat{w})\} = 0$  whenever the word  $\widehat{z}$  is composed from copies of a single letter. Thus, such elements  $p(\widehat{z})$  lie in the center of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}_L$ . By [1, Theorem 4.15], their linear span exhausts the whole center.

<sup>4</sup>The paper [1] deals with the degree completion of the free algebra, but for our discussion it does not matter.

6. GENERATORS OF  $A_{d,L}$ 

The expressions on the right-hand side of formulas (4.1) and (4.4) can also be interpreted as elements of the enveloping algebras, and then we obtain generators of the algebra  $A_{d,L}(N)$ . However, in contrast with the symmetric algebra case, the resulting elements are no longer consistent with the projections  $\pi_{N,N-1} : A_{d,L}(N) \rightarrow A_{d,L}(N-1)$ ; consistency holds for top degree terms only. To remedy this we need to add appropriate correcting terms of lower degree. As is shown below, this can be achieved by a suitable modification of the formulas (2.4), (2.5), but now we have to work with several noncommuting formal parameters.

**6.1. The elements  $e_{ij}(w; N)$  and  $e(w; N)$ .** In the next definition we reproduce the formula (4.4) with the understanding that the right-hand side is now interpreted as an element of the noncommutative algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  and not the commutative algebra  $S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ :

$$e_{ij}(w; N) := \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}=1}^N E_{ia_1|w_1} E_{a_1 a_2|w_2} \dots E_{a_{n-1} j|w_n} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}), \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$w = w_1 \dots w_n \in W_L, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

We also agree that

$$e_{ij}(\emptyset; N) := \delta_{ij}.$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *The following commutation relations hold*

$$[e_{ij}(w; N), \text{diag } E_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} e_{il}(w; N) - \delta_{il} e_{kj}(w; N), \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq N. \quad (6.2)$$

Here  $\text{diag } E_{kl}$  is the image of the matrix unit  $E_{kl}$  under the diagonal embedding of  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  into  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}$ ,

$$\text{diag } E_{kl} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N E_{kl|\alpha}. \quad (6.3)$$

The lemma means that for any fixed  $w \in W_L$ , the elements  $e_{ij}(w; N)$  are transformed under the adjoint action of the Lie algebra  $\text{diag } \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  in exactly the same way as the matrix units  $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$  are transformed under the adjoint action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})$ . This fact is well known in the simplest case  $L = 1$ , see e.g. Zhelobenko [33, §60].

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$ , the claim means that

$$[E_{ij|\alpha}, \text{diag } E_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} E_{il|\alpha} - \delta_{il} E_{kj|\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \{1, \dots, L\}, \quad (6.4)$$

and this follows easily from (6.3) and the fact that  $[E_{ij|\alpha}, E_{kl|\beta}] = 0$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Next we use induction on  $n$ .

Thus, suppose that  $n \geq 2$  and the claim holds true for  $n - 1$ . Setting  $w' := w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$  we have

$$e_{ij}(w; N) = \sum_{a=1}^N e_{ia}(w'; N) E_{aj|w_n},$$

whence

$$[e_{ij}(w; N), \text{diag } E_{kl}] = \sum_{a=1}^N [e_{ia}(w'; N), \text{diag } E_{kl}] E_{aj|w_n} + \sum_{a=1}^N e_{ia}(w'; N) [E_{aj|w_n}, \text{diag } E_{kl}].$$

For the commutator in the first sum, we apply the induction assumption, and for the commutator in the second sum, we use (6.4). After a cancellation of two terms we get the desired result.  $\square$

Here are two immediate corollaries.

**Corollary 6.2.** *For  $d \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ , one has*

$$e_{ij}(w; N) \in A_{d,L}(N), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

Evidently,  $e_{ij}(w; N)$  is a lifting of  $p_{ij}(w; N)$  (in the sense of Definition 4.9).

**Corollary 6.3.** *The elements*

$$e(w; N) := \sum_{i=1}^N e_{ii}(w; N), \quad w \in W_L,$$

*are contained in  $A_{0,L}(N)$ .*

Evidently,  $e(w; N)$  is a lifting of  $p(w; N)$  (see (4.1)).

**Remark 6.4.** Recall that the element  $p(w; N) \in P_{0,L}(N)$  depends only on the circular word  $\widehat{w}$ , the image of  $w$  under the projection  $W_L \rightarrow \widehat{W}_L$ . For the elements  $e(w; N) \in A_{0,L}(N)$  with  $L \geq 2$  this is no longer true: if given words  $w'$  and  $w''$  have the same image in  $\widehat{W}_L$ , then  $e(w'; N)$  and  $e(w''; N)$  have the same top degree term in the sense of Definition 4.9, but are not necessarily equal. A simple example is  $L = 2$ ,  $w' = 121$ ,  $w'' = 112$ . Then one has

$$e(121; N) = e(112; N) + e(1; N)e(2; N) - Ne(12; N).$$

**6.2. Generating series with free variables.** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_L$  be free noncommuting variables. Given a (possibly noncommutative) algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by

$$\mathcal{A}\langle\langle u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_L^{-1} \rangle\rangle$$

the unital algebra of formal power series in negative powers of free variables  $u_1, \dots, u_L$ , with coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We assume that the coefficients commute with the variables, so that the definition makes sense.

Given a family of elements  $\{a(w) : w \in W_L\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , we assign to it the generating series

$$a_\emptyset + \sum_{w \in W_L} a(w) \bar{u}(w) \in \mathcal{A} \langle \langle u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_L^{-1} \rangle \rangle, \quad (6.5)$$

where we adopt the shorthand notation

$$\bar{u}(w) := u_{w_1}^{-1} \dots u_{w_n}^{-1} \quad \text{for } w = w_1 \dots w_n \in W_L, \quad (6.6)$$

and  $a_\emptyset$  is a constant term, which is sometimes added for convenience.

**Example 6.5.** Take  $\mathcal{A} := U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ , form the following  $N \times N$  matrices with the entries in  $\mathcal{A}$

$$E(N)_\alpha := [E_{ij|\alpha}]_{i,j=1}^N, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, L,$$

and then consider the  $N \times N$  matrix

$$\left( 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L E(N)_\alpha u_\alpha^{-1} \right)^{-1}. \quad (6.7)$$

Its entries have the form

$$\delta_{ij} + \sum_{w \in W_L} e_{ij}(w; N) \bar{u}(w),$$

so that they serve as generating series for the elements  $e_{ij}(w; N)$ . Next, the trace of the matrix (6.7) has the form

$$N + \sum_{w \in W_L} e(w; N) \bar{u}(w),$$

which is a generating series for the elements  $e(w; N)$ .

**6.3. The elements  $\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)$  and  $\tilde{t}(w; N; s)$ .** Note that in a formal series of the form (6.5), one can shift any variable by a complex constant: this operation is correctly defined and leads to an automorphism of the algebra  $\mathcal{A} \langle \langle u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_L^{-1} \rangle \rangle$ . This fact is used in the next definition.

**Definition 6.6.** We extend Example 6.5 as follows. Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  be an additional parameter.

(i) We form the following  $N \times N$  matrix:

$$\tilde{T}(N; s) := \left( 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E(N)_\alpha}{u_\alpha + s} \right)^{-1}. \quad (6.8)$$

(ii) The entries of  $\tilde{T}(N; s)$  are denoted by  $\tilde{T}_{ij}(N; s)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ ; these are elements of the algebra

$$U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}) \langle \langle u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_L^{-1} \rangle \rangle. \quad (6.9)$$

(iii) The elements  $\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s) \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ , labelled by the bi-indices  $(i, j)$  and the words  $w \in W_L$ , are defined as the coefficients of the series  $\tilde{T}_{ij}(N; s)$ :

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}(N; s) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{w \in W_L} \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s) \bar{u}(w), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad (6.10)$$

according to the notation (6.6).

(iv) The elements  $\tilde{t}(w; N; s) \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ , labelled by the words  $w \in W_L$ , are defined by

$$\tilde{t}(w; N; s) := \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{t}_{ii}(w; N; s). \quad (6.11)$$

Equivalently, the elements  $\tilde{t}(w; N; s)$  can be defined as the coefficients of the series expansion

$$\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}(N; s) - 1) = \sum_{w \in W_L} \tilde{t}(w; N; s) \bar{u}(w).$$

**Remark 6.7.** By setting  $s = 0$  in (6.8) we return to the situation of Example 6.5. Consequently, we have

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; 0) = e_{ij}(w; N), \quad \tilde{t}(w; N; 0) = e(w; N). \quad (6.12)$$

#### 6.4. Transition coefficients related to $\{\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)\}$ .

**Definition 6.8.** We define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $W_L$  in the following way. Observe that each word  $w \in W_L$  can be written in the form

$$w = \alpha_1^{m_1} \alpha_2^{m_2} \dots \alpha_k^{m_k} := \underbrace{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_1}_{m_1} \underbrace{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_2}_{m_2} \dots \underbrace{\alpha_k \dots \alpha_k}_{m_k}, \quad (6.13)$$

where  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2, \alpha_2 \neq \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \neq \alpha_k$ .

We say that a word  $w'$  is *dominated* by (or equal to)  $w$ , and then write  $w' \preceq w$ , if

$$w' = \alpha_1^{m_1 - r_1} \alpha_2^{m_2 - r_2} \dots \alpha_k^{m_k - r_k}, \quad (6.14)$$

where  $0 \leq r_1 \leq m_1 - 1, 0 \leq r_2 \leq m_2 - 1, \dots, 0 \leq r_k \leq m_k - 1$ .

We also write  $w' \prec w$  if  $w' \preceq w$  and  $w' \neq w$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** Fix arbitrary  $s, s' \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(i) In the notation of (6.13) and (6.14), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s) &= \sum_{w': w' \preceq w} c(w, w'; s, s') \tilde{t}_{ij}(w'; N; s'), \quad \text{where} \\ c(w, w'; s, s') &:= (s' - s)^{r_1 + \dots + r_k} \binom{m_1 - 1}{r_1} \dots \binom{m_k - 1}{r_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

(ii) Likewise,

$$\tilde{t}(w; N; s) = \sum_{w': w' \preceq w} c(w, w'; s, s') \tilde{t}(w'; N; s'). \quad (6.16)$$

*Proof.* (i) In the case  $L = 1$ , the relation (6.15) reduces to the following assertion: let the polynomials  $a_m(x; s)$  in  $x$  be defined from the relation

$$1 + \sum_{m=1}^n a_m(x; s)u^{-m} = \left(1 - \frac{x}{u+s}\right)^{-1}. \quad (6.17)$$

Then one has

$$a_m(x; s) = \sum_{n=1}^m c(m, n; s, s')a_n(x; s'), \quad \text{where} \quad (6.18)$$

$$c(m, m-r; s, s') := (s' - s)^r \binom{m-1}{r}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq m-1.$$

Conversely, it is easy to deduce (6.15) from (6.18). Thus, it suffices to check (6.18). Set  $\sigma := s' - s$ . From (6.17) we have

$$1 + \sum_{m=1}^n a_m(x; s)u^{-m} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^n a_n(x; s')(u - \sigma)^{-n}. \quad (6.19)$$

Next,

$$(u - \sigma)^{-n} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{r} (-\sigma)^r u^{-n-r} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+r-1}{r} \sigma^r u^{-n-r}.$$

Substituting this into the right-hand of (6.19) and equating the coefficients we get (6.18).

(ii) The relation (6.16) follows from (6.15) and the definition (6.11).  $\square$

Here are a few comments.

1. The transition coefficients  $c(w, w'; s, s')$  do not depend on  $N$ .
2. The leading coefficient  $c(w, w; s, s')$  equals 1, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s) &= \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s') + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; s, s') \tilde{t}_{ij}(w'; N; s'), \\ \tilde{t}(w; N; s) &= \tilde{t}(w; N; s') + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; s, s') \tilde{t}(w'; N; s'). \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

3. In view of Remark 6.7 we have

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s) = e_{ij}(w; N) + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; s; 0) e_{ij}(w'; N), \quad (6.21)$$

$$e_{ij}(w; N) = \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s) + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; 0; s) \tilde{t}_{ij}(w'; N). \quad (6.22)$$

Likewise,

$$\tilde{t}(w; N; s) = e(w; N) + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; s; 0)e(w'; N), \quad (6.23)$$

$$e(w; N) = \tilde{t}(w; N; s) + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; 0; s)\tilde{t}(w'; N; s). \quad (6.24)$$

Due to (6.21) and (6.23) we can extend Corollary (6.2) and Corollary 6.3.

**Corollary 6.10** (cf. Corollary 6.2). *For  $d \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ , one has*

$$\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s) \in A_{d,L}(N), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

Evidently,  $\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)$  is a lifting of  $p_{ij}(w; N)$  (in the sense of Definition 4.9).

**Corollary 6.11** (cf. Corollary 6.3). *The elements  $\tilde{t}(w; N; s)$  are contained in  $A_{0,L}(N)$ .*

Evidently,  $\tilde{t}(w; N; s)$  is a lifting of  $p(w; N)$  (see (4.1)).

**6.5. The action of projections  $\pi_{N,N-1}$  on the elements  $\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)$  and  $\tilde{t}(w; N; s)$ .**  
In the next theorem, we are dealing with the algebra morphisms

$$\pi_{N,N-1} : U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})^{\text{diag } E_{NN}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{gl}(N-1, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}),$$

which were defined in subsection 3.1.

**Theorem 6.12.** *For any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , one has*

$$\pi_{N,N-1}(\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; s)) = \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N-1; s-1), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1, \quad (6.25)$$

and

$$\pi_{N,N-1}(\tilde{t}(w; N; s)) = \tilde{t}(w; N-1; s-1). \quad (6.26)$$

*Proof.* (1) We will show that

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}(N; s) - \tilde{T}_{ij}(N-1; s-1) \in I^+(N), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1, \quad (6.27)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_{NN}(N; s) - 1 \in I^+(N), \quad (6.28)$$

meaning that these relations hold componentwise.

Once this is done, (6.27) gives the consistency relation (6.25). Next, together with (6.28) this also gives the second consistency relation, (6.26), because

$$\text{tr}(\tilde{T}(N; s) - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\tilde{T}_{ii}(N; s) - 1) + (\tilde{T}_{NN}(N; s) - 1)$$

and

$$\text{tr}(\tilde{T}(N-1; s-1) - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\tilde{T}_{ii}(N; s-1) - 1).$$

(2) Recall a well-known formula for the inverse of a generic  $2 \times 2$  block matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & * \\ * & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

see e.g. [13, sect. 0.7.3] (we do not need the explicit expression for the off-diagonal corners). It follows

$$\left(1 - \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - A - B(1 - D)^{-1}C)^{-1} & * \\ * & (1 - D - C(1 - A)^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6.29)$$

(3) Recall that  $\tilde{T}(N; s)$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix. Let us regard it as a  $2 \times 2$  block matrix according to the decomposition  $N = (N - 1) + 1$ . The form of the matrix is the same as on the left-hand side of (6.29), where  $A$  is a squared matrix of format  $(N - 1) \times (N - 1)$ , with the entries

$$A_{kl} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{kl|\alpha}}{u_\alpha + s}, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq N - 1, \quad (6.30)$$

$B$  is a column vector with the coordinates

$$B_k = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{kN|\alpha}}{u_\alpha + s}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N - 1, \quad (6.31)$$

$C$  is a row vector with coordinates

$$C_l = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{Nl|\alpha}}{u_\alpha + s}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq N - 1, \quad (6.32)$$

and  $D$  is a “scalar” (in our situation this means an element of the base algebra (6.9)),

$$D = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{NN|\alpha}}{u_\alpha + s}. \quad (6.33)$$

The fact that the entries of our matrices are elements of a noncommutative algebra does not affect the applicability of the inversion formula (6.29).

(4) From (6.29) we see that

$$\tilde{T}_{NN}(N; s) - 1 = (1 - D - C(1 - A)^{-1}B)^{-1} - 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( D + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} CA^m B \right)^n.$$

This element lies in  $I^+(N)$  because both  $D$  and the entries of  $B$  are in  $I^+(N)$  (here it is important that the summation over  $n$  starts with  $n = 1$  and not  $n = 0$ ). This proves (6.28).

(5) We proceed to verifying (6.27). Set

$$\tilde{A} := A + B(1 - D)^{-1}C.$$

We have

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}(N; s) = ((1 - \tilde{A})^{-1})_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N - 1.$$

On the other hand, one can write

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}(N - 1; s - 1) = ((1 - F)^{-1})_{ij},$$

where  $F$  stands for the  $(N - 1) \times (N - 1)$  matrix with the entries

$$F_{kl} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{kl|\alpha}}{u_\alpha + s - 1}, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq N - 1,$$

We are going to show that the matrices  $\tilde{A}$  and  $F$  are congruent modulo  $I^+(N)$ , meaning that

$$\tilde{A}_{kl} \equiv F_{kl} \pmod{I^+(N)}, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq N - 1. \quad (6.34)$$

Because the elements  $F_{kl}$  normalize the left ideal  $I^+(N)$ , it will follow that the matrices

$$(1 - \tilde{A})^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{A}^n \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - F)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F^n$$

are also congruent modulo  $I^+(N)$ , which is just the claim of (6.27).

(6) Thus, we have to compute the entries  $\tilde{A}_{kl}$  modulo  $I^+(N)$  and compare them with the entries  $F_{kl}$ . We have

$$\tilde{A}_{kl} = A_{kl} + (B(1 - D)^{-1}C)_{kl}. \quad (6.35)$$

The entries  $A_{kl}$  are given by (6.30). We claim that

$$(B(1 - D)^{-1}C)_{kl} \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{kl|\alpha}}{(u_\alpha + s)(u_\alpha + s - 1)} \pmod{I^+(N)}. \quad (6.36)$$

This is exactly what we need, because from (6.30) and (6.36) we get that  $\tilde{A}_{kl}$  is congruent mod  $I^+(N)$  to

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{kl|\alpha}}{u_\alpha + s} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{kl|\alpha}}{(u_\alpha + s)(u_\alpha + s - 1)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E_{kl|\alpha}}{u_\alpha + s - 1} = F_{kl}.$$

This explains the origin of the shift  $s \rightarrow s - 1$  in (6.27).

(7) It remains to check (6.36). In the computation below  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  range over  $\{1, \dots, L\}$  while  $(k, l)$  is fixed. Using the formulas (6.31), (6.32), and (6.33) we write

$$(B(1 - D)^{-1}C)_{kl} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} X(k, l; \alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$X(k, l; \alpha, \beta) := (u_\alpha + s)^{-1} E_{kN|\alpha} \left( 1 - \sum_{\gamma} E_{NN|\gamma} (u_\gamma + s)^{-1} \right)^{-1} E_{Nl|\beta} (u_\beta + s)^{-1}. \quad (6.37)$$

We are going to move  $E_{Nl|\beta}$  to the left by putting it next to  $E_{kN|\alpha}$ . The reason is that the elements  $E_{NN|\gamma}$  lie in  $I^+(N)$ , so that we would like these elements to move to the right end of the formula.

(8) To do this we need a lemma.

**Lemma 6.13.** *Suppose  $Y$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , and  $v$  are elements of a noncommutative algebra, such that  $[Y, \varepsilon] = \varepsilon v$ . Then*

$$(1 - Y)^{-1}\varepsilon = \varepsilon(1 - Y - v)^{-1},$$

*provided that  $1 - Y$  and  $1 - Y - v$  are invertible.*

*Proof of the lemma.* Multiplying the both sides by  $1 - Y$  on the left and by  $1 - Y - v$  on the right we reduce the desired equality to

$$\varepsilon(1 - Y - v) = (1 - Y)\varepsilon.$$

Now we have

$$(1 - Y)\varepsilon = \varepsilon - Y\varepsilon = \varepsilon - \varepsilon Y - [Y, \varepsilon] = \varepsilon - \varepsilon Y - \varepsilon v = \varepsilon(1 - Y - v),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

(9) We return to (6.37). Fix  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and set

$$Y := \sum_{\gamma} E_{NN|\gamma}(u_{\gamma} + s)^{-1}, \quad v := (u_{\beta} + s)^{-1}, \quad \varepsilon := E_{Nl|\beta}.$$

The relation  $[Y, \varepsilon] = \varepsilon v$  is checked using the commutation relation

$$[E_{NN|\gamma}, E_{Nl|\beta}] = \delta_{\gamma\beta} E_{Nl|\beta},$$

which holds because  $l \neq N$ . Next, the elements  $1 - Y$  and  $1 - Y - v$  are invertible. Thus, we may apply the lemma. Further, we also use the formal identity

$$(1 - Y - v)^{-1} = (1 - v)^{-1}(1 - Y(1 - v)^{-1})^{-1},$$

which is legitimate because  $1 - v$  is invertible. We also observe that  $(1 - Y(1 - v)^{-1})^{-1} \equiv 1$  modulo the ideal  $I^+(N)$  and hence we may neglect this factor.

It follows that  $X(k, l; \alpha, \beta)$  is congruent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_{\alpha} + s)^{-1} E_{kN|\alpha} E_{Nl|\beta} (1 - (u_{\beta} + s)^{-1})^{-1} (u_{\beta} + s)^{-1} \\ &= E_{kN|\alpha} E_{Nl|\beta} (u_{\alpha} + s)^{-1} (1 - (u_{\beta} + s)^{-1})^{-1} (u_{\beta} + s)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then

$$E_{kN|\alpha} E_{Nl|\beta} = E_{Nl|\beta} E_{kN|\alpha} \equiv 0 \pmod{I^+(N)},$$

so that only the case  $\alpha = \beta$  is relevant. In this case we have

$$(u_{\alpha} + s)^{-1} (1 - (u_{\alpha} + s)^{-1})^{-1} (u_{\alpha} + s)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(u_{\alpha} + s)(u_{\alpha} + s - 1)}.$$

Further,

$$E_{kN|\alpha} E_{Nl|\alpha} = E_{Nl|\alpha} E_{kN|\alpha} + E_{kl|\alpha} - \delta_{kl} E_{NN|\alpha} \equiv E_{kl|\alpha} \pmod{I^+(N)}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} X(k, l; \alpha, \beta) \equiv \sum_{\alpha} \frac{E_{kl|\alpha}}{(u_{\alpha} + s)(u_{\alpha} + s - 1)} \pmod{I^+(N)}.$$

This proves (6.36) and completes the whole proof.  $\square$

**6.6. Lifting of the generators  $p_{ij}(w)$  and  $p(w)$ .** We introduce a new notation, by modifying that of Definition 6.6. Recall that  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  is a parameter.

**Definition 6.14.** We set

$$t_{ij}(w; N; s) := \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N; N + s), \quad t(w; N; s) := \tilde{t}(w; N; N + s). \quad (6.38)$$

In other words, the difference with Definition 6.6 is that we change the matrix  $\tilde{T}(N; s)$  introduced in (6.8) by the matrix

$$T(N; s) := \left( 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^L \frac{E(N)_{\alpha}}{u_{\alpha} + N + s} \right)^{-1}. \quad (6.39)$$

The reason for this modification (the shift of the parameter  $s$  by  $N$ ) is that we want to keep this parameter  $s$  fixed under the projections  $\pi_{N, N-1}$ . The following theorem is simply a reformulation of Theorem 6.12 in the new notation.

**Theorem 6.15.** *For any fixed value of the complex parameter  $s$ , the elements (6.38) are consistent with the projections  $\pi_{N, N-1}$ . More precisely, one has*

$$\pi_{N, N-1}(t_{ij}(w; N; s)) = t_{ij}(w; N-1; s), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N-1, \quad (6.40)$$

and

$$\pi_{N, N-1}(t(w; N; s)) = t(w; N-1; s). \quad (6.41)$$

In the particular case when  $L = 1$  and  $s = 0$ , the consistency relations (6.40), (6.41) were established in [25, sect. 2.1.16] (see also the proof of Theorem 8.4.3 in [16]). The proof given above is different: in contrast to [25], we manipulated with matrices and generating series, rather than with the elements themselves.

Here is an immediate corollary of Theorem 6.15.

**Corollary 6.16.** *Fix an arbitrary value of the complex parameter  $s$ .*

(i) *For any word  $w \in W_L$ , the sequence  $\{t(w; N; s) : N = 1, 2, \dots\}$  gives rise to an element  $t(w; s)$  of the algebra  $A_{0, L}$ , which is a lifting of the element  $p(w) \in P_{0, L}$ .*

(ii) *For any  $w \in W_L$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , and bi-index  $(ij)$  such that  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ , the sequence  $\{t_{ij}(w; N; s) : N = d+1, d+2, \dots\}$  gives rise to an element  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  of the algebra  $A_{d, L}$ , which is a lifting of the element  $p_{ij}(w) \in P_{d, L}$ .*

Together with Theorems 4.4 and 4.8, this in turn leads to the following assertion.

**Corollary 6.17.** Fix again an arbitrary value  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(i) Let  $W'_L \subset W_L$  be an arbitrary set of representatives of the circular words  $\widehat{w} \in \widehat{W}_L$ . Then  $\{t(w; s) : w \in W'_L\}$  is a system of generators of the algebra  $A_{0,L}$ .

(ii) Adding to these generators the elements of the form  $t_{ij}(w; s)$ , where  $w$  ranges over  $W_L$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ , we obtain a system of generators of the algebra  $A_{d,L}$ .

Finally, we will need the following formula.

**Corollary 6.18.** For any  $s, s' \in \mathbb{C}$ , one has

$$t_{ij}(w; s) = t_{ij}(w; s') + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; s, s') t_{ij}(w'; s'), \quad (6.42)$$

where the coefficients  $c(w, w'; s, s')$  are the same as in (6.15).

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the similar relations for the elements (6.38). But this results from the relations (6.20), because, for  $w$  and  $w'$  fixed, the transition coefficients  $c(w, w'; s, s')$  really depend on the difference  $s - s'$  only, so the shift of the parameter by  $N$  in (6.38) does not affect them.  $\square$

Likewise, we have

$$t(w; s) = t(w; s') + \sum_{w': w' \prec w} c(w, w'; s, s') t(w'; s'),$$

but we will use only (6.42).

## 7. THE ALGEBRA $Y_{d,L}$

**7.1. A stability property in  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ .** Let us explain in advance the notation used in the next lemma:

- $(i, j, k, l)$  is an arbitrary fixed ordered quadruple of indices, which are positive integers;
- we assume  $N \geq \max(i, j, k, l)$ ;
- $(w, \widetilde{w})$  is an arbitrary fixed ordered pair of words from  $W_L$ ;
- each of the sums displayed below goes over ordered pairs of words  $(z', z'')$  such that  $\ell(z') + \ell(z'') < \ell(w) + \ell(\widetilde{w})$ ; here one of the words  $z', z''$  (but only one!) is allowed to be empty; then we adopt the convention that the corresponding term  $e_{ab}(\emptyset; N)$  is the constant  $\delta_{ab}$ ;
- the formulas involve some integral coefficients (possibly equal to 0),

$$\varphi_r = \varphi_r(w, \widetilde{w}; z', z''), \quad \psi_r = \psi_r(w, \widetilde{w}; z', z''), \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (7.1)$$

each of which depends on the ordered quadruple  $(w, \widetilde{w}; z', z'')$  but *does not depend on  $N$  or the indices  $i, j, k, l$* ; for this reason, we say that the expressions on the right-hand side of the formulas below are *stable*;

- recall that the elements  $e_{ij}(w; N) \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  are defined by (6.1).

**Lemma 7.1.** *There exist integral coefficients (7.1) such that the commutator of elements  $e_{ij}(w; N)$  and  $e_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N)$  can be written in any of the following four stable versions:*

$$[e_{ij}(w; N), e_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N)] = \sum \varphi_1 e_{kj}(z'; N) e_{il}(z''; N) + \sum \psi_1 e_{ij}(z'; N) e_{kl}(z''; N), \quad (7.2)$$

$$[e_{ij}(w; N), e_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N)] = \sum \varphi_2 e_{kj}(z'; N) e_{il}(z''; N) + \sum \psi_2 e_{kl}(z'; N) e_{ij}(z''; N), \quad (7.3)$$

$$[e_{ij}(w; N), e_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N)] = \sum \varphi_3 e_{il}(z'; N) e_{kj}(z''; N) + \sum \psi_3 e_{ij}(z'; N) e_{kl}(z''; N), \quad (7.4)$$

$$[e_{ij}(w; N), e_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N)] = \sum \varphi_4 e_{il}(z'; N) e_{kj}(z''; N) + \sum \psi_4 e_{kl}(z'; N) e_{ij}(z''; N). \quad (7.5)$$

*Comments.* 1. It should be emphasized that the lemma asserts only the existence of the coefficients (7.1), but no claim is made about their uniqueness.

2. A way to distinguish these four formulas is the following. In the first formula, on the right-hand side,  $j$  precedes  $l$  in both sums. Likewise, in the second formula,  $k$  precedes  $i$ ; in the third formula,  $i$  precedes  $k$ ; in the fourth formula,  $l$  precedes  $j$ .

3. The proof given below uses induction on  $n := \ell(w) + \ell(\tilde{w})$  and requires all four formulas to be considered simultaneously. Note also that swapping the triples  $(i, j; w) \leftrightarrow (k, l; \tilde{w})$  results in a permutation of the formulas: (7.2) is interchanged with (7.5), and (7.3) is interchanged with (7.4). When considering the formulas separately, this symmetry is broken (at least, in the case of pairwise distinct indices).

*Proof.* To shorten the notation, we temporarily drop the parameter  $N$ .

As pointed out above, we prove all four formulas together by induction on  $n$ . The base of the induction is  $n = 2$ . This means  $\ell(w) = \ell(\tilde{w}) = 1$ , so that  $e_{ij}(w) = E_{ij|\alpha}$  and  $e_{kl}(\tilde{w}) = E_{kl|\beta}$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in [L]$ . Then we have

$$[E_{ij|\alpha}, E_{kl|\beta}] = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha \neq \beta, \\ \delta_{kj} E_{il|\alpha} - \delta_{il} E_{kj|\alpha}, & \alpha = \beta, \end{cases} \quad (7.6)$$

which agrees with all four formulas.

Suppose now that our formulas hold true for all quadruples of indices from  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  and all pairs of words  $w, \tilde{w}$  with  $\ell(w) + \ell(\tilde{w}) < n$ , where  $n \geq 3$ , and show that the formulas hold true when  $\ell(w) + \ell(\tilde{w}) = n$ .

Because  $n \geq 3$ , at least one of the numbers  $\ell(w), \ell(\tilde{w})$  is  $\geq 2$ . Due to the symmetry mentioned above, it suffices to examine the case when  $\ell(w) \geq 2$ .

Let us split  $w$  into two nonempty subwords:  $w = w'w''$  (for instance, separate from the word its first or last letter). Then we have

$$e_{ij}(w) = \sum_{a=1}^N e_{ia}(w') e_{aj}(w'')$$

and

$$[e_{ij}(w), e_{kl}(\tilde{w})] = \sum_{a=1}^N [e_{ia}(w'), e_{kl}(\tilde{w})] e_{aj}(w'') + \sum_a e_{ia}(w') [e_{aj}(w''), e_{kl}(\tilde{w})].$$

By virtue of the inductive assumption we may handle each of the two commutators on the right-hand side in accordance with any of the four formulas, to our choice. We will dispose of this freedom in the following way: to the first commutator, we apply (7.5) (with the understanding that  $j$  is renamed by  $a$ ), so that the index  $a$  will move to the right end; while to the second commutator, we apply (7.4), so that  $a$  will move to the left end.

As the result we obtain a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of a number of elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=1}^N e_{il}(z')e_{ka}(z'')e_{aj}(w''), & \quad \sum_{a=1}^N e_{kl}(z')e_{ia}(z'')e_{aj}(w''), \\ \sum_{a=1}^N e_{ia}(w')e_{al}(z')e_{kj}(z''), & \quad \sum_{a=1}^N e_{ia}(w')e_{aj}(z')e_{kl}(z''), \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

where in each product, the symbols  $z'$  and  $z''$  denote some words, of which one may be empty (but not both).

These expressions can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{il}(z')e_{kj}(z''w''), & \quad e_{kl}(z')e_{ij}(z''w''), \\ e_{il}(w'z')e_{kj}(z''), & \quad e_{ij}(w'z')e_{kl}(z''), \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

respectively.

If  $z'$  or  $z''$  is empty, then two of these four quadratic elements degenerate to linear ones, but in any case, constant terms cannot arise. Observe that the quadratic (or linear) elements in (7.8) have total degree less than  $n$ . This enables us to further transform them to any of the four required forms, by using again the inductive assumption.

For instance, to achieve the form (7.2) we have to transform expressions of the form  $e_{il}(\cdot)e_{kj}(\cdot)$  and  $e_{kl}(\cdot)e_{ij}(\cdot)$ . To handle  $e_{il}(\cdot)e_{kj}(\cdot)$ , we write

$$e_{il}(\cdot)e_{kj}(\cdot) = e_{kj}(\cdot)e_{il}(\cdot) + [e_{il}(\cdot), e_{kj}(\cdot)].$$

The first summand already has the form (7.2). Next, the commutator can be written in accordance to a suitable formula, which in this case is (7.5). Finally, to handle  $e_{kl}(\cdot)e_{ij}(\cdot)$ , we use the same recipe.

The final observation is that the described procedure does not depend in any way on the specific value of the parameter  $N$ , which implies the desired stability property.

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 7.2.** Because the stability property will be substantially used in what follows, I would like to add a comment to the concluding remark of the above argument. All transformations of monomials composed of matrix units that we perform are in fact based on the elementary commutation relations (7.6). Dependence on the parameter  $N$  could arise if we would have a factor of the form  $\delta_{aa}$  under the sign of summation over  $a = 1, \dots, N$ . The point is that in the above procedure, such a situation is excluded. But it arises, for instance, in the formula of Remark 6.4.

Recall (Definition 6.6) that the elements  $e_{ab}(\cdot; N)$  are a particular case of the more general elements  $\tilde{t}_{ab}(\cdot; N, s) \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  which depend on the extra parameter  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . Namely,  $e_{ab}(\cdot; N) = \tilde{t}_{ab}(\cdot; N, 0)$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *The assertions of Lemma 7.1 also hold in the greater generality, when all elements of the form  $e_{ab}(\cdot; N)$  are replaced by the corresponding elements of the form  $\tilde{t}_{ab}(\cdot; N, s)$ , where  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  is arbitrary but fixed. In this situation, the coefficients (7.1) depend on  $s$  polynomially.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the transition formulas (6.21), (6.22).  $\square$

## 7.2. Commutation relations.

**Definition 7.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra and  $\{a(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  indexed by a set  $\Gamma$ , which is endowed with a total order “ $\leq$ ”. Consider  $k$ -fold products of the form  $a(\gamma_1) \dots a(\gamma_k)$ , where  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  is a  $k$ -tuple of indices from  $\Gamma$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

(i) If  $\gamma_1 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_k$ , then we say that  $a(\gamma_1) \dots a(\gamma_k)$  is a *normal monomial*.

(ii) For an arbitrary  $k$ -tuple  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ , we denote by  $:a(\gamma_1) \dots a(\gamma_k):$  the normal monomial obtained by rearranging the factors  $a(\gamma_1), \dots, a(\gamma_k)$  in the due order (here we mimic the conventional notation used in Wick’s theorem).

We will apply this abstract definition to elements of the form  $\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N, s)$  in the algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  and then also to elements of the form  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  in the algebra  $A_{\infty, L}$ . In both cases, the index set  $\Gamma$  will be the set of triples

$$(i, j; w) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times W_L$$

(the additional parameters  $N$  and  $s$  are not taken into account here). We fix an arbitrary total order on this set.

**Lemma 7.5.** *Normal monomials in  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$  of the form*

$$\tilde{t}_{a_1 b_1}(z(1); N, s) \dots \tilde{t}_{a_k b_k}(z(k); N, s) \tag{7.9}$$

*are asymptotically linearly independent in the sense that any finite collection of such monomials becomes linearly independent as  $N$  gets large enough.*

*Proof.* We combine the following three facts. First, the top degree term (see Definition 4.9) of  $\tilde{t}_{ab}(z; N, s)$  is  $p_{ab}(z; N)$ . Second, we know from Lemma 4.6 that the elements of the form  $p_{ab}(z; N)$ , where the indices  $a, b$  and the length  $\ell(z)$  are bounded by a constant, are algebraically independent provided  $N$  is large enough. Third, when the symbols  $\tilde{t}_{ab}(w; N, s)$  are replaced with the corresponding symbols  $p_{ab}(w; N)$ , distinct normal monomials produce distinct elements in the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ .

Consider now a linear combination of normal monomials with nonzero coefficients. We are going to show that it represents a nonzero element of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})$ , under the additional assumption that  $N$  is large enough (where the bound depends on the collection of the monomials).

Indeed, let  $n$  denote the maximal total degree of the monomials. Consider the linear isomorphism

$$U^{(n)}(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L})/U^{(n-1)}(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}) \simeq S^n(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}),$$

where  $U^{(n)}(\dots)$  denotes the  $n$ th term of the canonical filtration and  $S^n(\dots)$  denotes the  $n$ th homogeneous component.

From what has been said above it follows that image of our linear combination under this isomorphism is nonzero, which concludes the proof.  $\square$

In the next two lemmas we suppose that  $(i, j, k, l)$  is a quadruple of indices;  $w, \tilde{w} \in W_L$  are two words;  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  is fixed;  $N \geq \max(i, j, k, l)$  is varying.

**Lemma 7.6.** *The commutator  $[\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N, s), \tilde{t}_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N, s)]$  can be written, in a unique way, as a stable linear combination of pairwise distinct normal monomials of degree strictly less than  $\ell(w) + \ell(\tilde{w})$  and of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{t}_{ij}(\cdot; N, s), \quad \tilde{t}_{kl}(\cdot; N, s), \quad \tilde{t}_{il}(\cdot; N, s), \quad \tilde{t}_{kj}(\cdot; N, s), \\ & : \tilde{t}_{ij}(\cdot; N, s) \tilde{t}_{kl}(\cdot; N, s) :, \quad : \tilde{t}_{il}(\cdot; N, s) \tilde{t}_{kj}(\cdot; N, s) :. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

As before, the adjective ‘stable’ means that the coefficients of the linear combination in question do not depend on  $N$ .

*Proof.* The uniqueness claim follows from Lemma 7.5. To prove the existence we apply the following recurrent procedure.

First we apply any of the commutation relations of Lemma 7.3 and collect possible similar terms (the latter may arise if at least one of the equalities  $i = k, j = l$  holds). If all resulting quadratic monomials are already in the normal form, the procedure stops. Otherwise, we permute the factors in those quadratic monomials that are not in normal form and add the compensating commutators, after which we again collect possible similar terms. And so on. At each step, the total degree decreases, so that finally the procedure stops and we obtain the desired representation.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.7.** *In the context of Lemma 7.6, the coefficients of the stable linear combination in question do not depend on the parameter  $s$ .*

*Proof.* Let us write the commutation relations given by Lemma 7.6 in the following form:

$$[\tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N, s), \tilde{t}_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N, s)] = \tilde{\psi}_1(s) \tilde{\tau}_1(N, s) + \dots + \tilde{\psi}_m(s) \tilde{\tau}(N, s). \quad (7.11)$$

Here  $\tilde{\tau}_1(N; s), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_m(N; s)$  are pairwise distinct normal monomials of the form (7.10) and  $\tilde{\psi}_1(s), \dots, \tilde{\psi}_m(s)$  are the corresponding coefficients. These data of course depend on the quadruple  $i, j, k, l$  and the pair  $w, \tilde{w}$ , but we suppress them to simplify the notation.

In terms of the elements  $t_{ij}(w; N, s) = \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N, N + s)$  introduced in (6.38), the above relations take the form

$$[t_{ij}(w; N, s), t_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N, s)] = \tilde{\psi}_1(N + s) \tau_1(N, s) + \dots + \tilde{\psi}_m(N + s) \tau(N, s), \quad (7.12)$$

where  $\tau_1(N, s), \dots, \tau_m(N, s)$  are the normal monomials similar to  $\tilde{\tau}_1(N, s), \dots, \tilde{\tau}_m(N, s)$ , but of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{ij}(\cdot; N, s), \quad t_{kl}(\cdot; N, s), \quad t_{il}(\cdot; N, s), \quad t_{kj}(\cdot; N, s), \\ & :t_{ij}(\cdot; N, s)t_{kl}(\cdot; N, s):, \quad :t_{il}(\cdot; N, s)t_{kj}(\cdot; N, s):, \end{aligned}$$

instead of (7.10).

From Lemma 7.6 we know that the expansion (7.11) is stable, meaning that the coefficients  $\tilde{\psi}_1(s), \dots, \tilde{\psi}_m(s)$  do not depend on  $N$ . Next, their dependence on  $s$  is polynomial, as it is seen from the proof.

On the other hand, we will show that the expansion (7.12) is also stable, meaning that the coefficients  $\tilde{\psi}_1(N + s), \dots, \tilde{\psi}_m(N + s)$  do not depend on  $N$ . These two facts together will imply that the coefficients are in fact constant. We proceed now to the proof of the stability property for the expansion (7.12).

Fix an arbitrary  $d \geq \max(i, j, k, l)$  and recall the notation  $\pi_{\infty, N}$  for the canonical projection  $A_{d, L} \rightarrow A_{d, L}(N)$  (subsection 4.4). From Theorem 6.15 we know that in the algebra  $A_{d, L}$ , there exist (unique) elements

$$t_{ij}(w; s), \quad t_{kl}(\tilde{w}; s), \quad \tau_1(s), \quad \dots, \quad \tau_m(s),$$

with the property that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\infty, N}(t_{ij}(w; s)) &= t_{ij}(w; N, s), & \pi_{\infty, N}(t_{kl}(\tilde{w}; s)) &= t_{kl}(\tilde{w}; N, s), \\ \pi_{\infty, N}(\tau_1(s)) &= \tau_1(N, s), & \dots, & \pi_{\infty, N}(\tau_m(s)) = \tau_m(N, s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the commutation relation (7.12) expresses a linear relation of the form

$$\pi_{\infty, N}(t_{ij}(w; s), t_{kl}(\tilde{w}; s)) = (\dots)\pi_{\infty, N}(\tau_1(s)) + \dots + (\dots)\pi_{\infty, N}(\tau_m(s)), \quad (7.13)$$

where the dots replace the coefficients.

The degrees of the elements in this relation are uniformly bounded by  $n := \ell(w) + \ell(\tilde{w})$ . Recall that for  $N \gg n$ , the kernel of  $\pi_{\infty, N}$  has the trivial intersection with  $A_{d, L}^{(n)}$  (see subsection 4.4). Furthermore, the elements  $\tau_1(s), \dots, \tau_m(s)$  are linearly independent in  $A_{d, L}$ . Therefore, their images in  $A_{d, L}(N)$  are also linearly independent, for large  $N$ . It follows that the expansion (7.13) is stable in the sense that the coefficients do not depend on  $N$ .

This proves the desired stability property of (7.12) and completes the proof.  $\square$

In the next theorem we summarize the results of this subsection. Repeat once again the notation:  $(i, j, k, l)$  is a quadruple of indices;  $w, \tilde{w} \in W_L$  are two words;  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  is a parameter.

**Theorem 7.8.** *Given a quadruple of indices  $(i, j, k, l)$  and a pair of words  $w, \tilde{w} \in W_L$ , there exists a finite collection of pairwise distinct normal monomials  $\tau_1(s), \dots, \tau_m(s)$ ,*

which have degree at most  $\ell(w) + \ell(\tilde{w}) - 1$  and are the form

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{ij}(\cdot; s), \quad t_{kl}(\cdot; s), \quad t_{il}(\cdot; s), \quad t_{kj}(\cdot; s), \\ & :t_{ij}(\cdot; s)t_{kl}(\cdot; s):, \quad :t_{il}(\cdot; s)t_{kj}(\cdot; s):, \end{aligned}$$

with the property that for any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  the following commutation relation holds

$$[t_{ij}(w; s), t_{kl}(\tilde{w}; s)] = \psi_1 \tau_1(s) + \cdots + \psi_m \tau_m(s); \quad (7.14)$$

here  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$  are some integral coefficients which do not depend on  $s$ .

**7.3. Extracting  $Y_{d,L}$  from  $A_{d,L}$ .** Throughout this subsection  $d$  is an arbitrary fixed positive integer. The results given below are readily deduced from Theorem 7.8.

**Definition 7.9.** Pick an arbitrary value  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $Y_{d,L}$  the subalgebra of  $A_{d,L}$  generated by the elements  $t_{ij}(w; s)$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ,  $w \in W_L$ . In view of (6.42) the definition does not depend on the choice of  $s$ .

Recall (Definition 5.1) that  $P_{d,L}^+$  is the unital subalgebra of the graded commutative algebra  $P_{d,L} = \text{gr } A_{d,L}$ , generated by the elements  $p_{ij}(w)$  (here, as above,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and  $w$  ranges over  $W_L$ ).

We know that  $P_{d,L}$  splits into the tensor product of its subalgebras  $P_{0,L}$  and  $P_{d,L}^+$ , each of which is also a Poisson subalgebra. We also know that the subalgebra  $A_{0,L} \subset A_{d,L}$  is a lifting of  $P_{0,L}$ . The next theorem shows that  $Y_{d,L}$  is a lifting of the Poisson subalgebra  $P_{d,L}^+$ .

**Theorem 7.10.** (i) *The graded subalgebra  $\text{gr } Y_{d,L} \subset P_{d,L}$  associated to  $Y_{d,L}$  coincides with  $P_{d,L}^+$ .*

(ii) *The following splitting holds*

$$A_{d,L} \simeq A_{0,L} \otimes Y_{d,L} \quad (\text{tensor product of vector spaces}). \quad (7.15)$$

In the particular case  $L = 1$ , the splitting (7.15) is an algebra isomorphism (see Theorem 2.1 (iii)), but for  $L \geq 2$  it is not: an obstacle for this is the fact that the Poisson bracket between the elements of  $P_{0,L}$  and  $P_{d,L}^+$  is nontrivial for  $L \geq 2$  (see (5.4)).

*Proof.* (i) Recall that the elements  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  serve as liftings of the elements  $p_{ij}(w)$  of the commutative algebra  $P_{d,L}$ . So it is evident that  $\text{gr } Y_{d,L} \supseteq P_{d,L}^+$ . To see that  $\text{gr } Y_{d,L}$  is in fact no larger than  $P_{d,L}^+$  we apply Theorem 7.8. It implies that for any fixed value of the parameter  $s$ , the normal monomials built from the generators  $t_{ij}(w; s)$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and  $w \in W_L$ , form a basis of  $Y_{d,L}$ . From this it is clear that  $\text{gr } Y_{d,L} = P_{d,L}^+$ .

(ii) This follows from (i). □

We are going to show that the definition (2.3) of the shift automorphisms of the Yangian  $Y_d$  can be extended to the algebras  $Y_{d,L}$ .

**Theorem 7.11.** (i) *For any fixed value of the parameter  $s$ , the commutation relations (7.14) for the generators  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  are defining relations of the algebra  $Y_{d,L}$ .*

(ii) The additive group  $\mathbb{C}$  acts on the algebra  $Y_{d,L}$  by automorphisms. On the generators  $t_{ij}(w; s)$ , this action reduces to the shifts of the parameter  $s$ ,

$$t_{ij}(w; s) \mapsto t_{ij}(w; s + c), \quad c \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (7.16)$$

(iii) These automorphisms preserve the filtration  $Y_{d,L} = \bigcup Y_{d,L}^{(n)}$  induced by the filtration  $A_{d,L} = \bigcup A_{d,L}^{(n)}$  of the ambient algebra  $A_{d,L}$ .

*Proof.* (i) As pointed out in the proof of Theorem 7.10, the normal monomials built from the generators form a basis in  $Y_{d,L}$ . The commutation relations make it possible to compute, in principle, the multiplication table in this basis. This proves (i).

(ii) For any fixed  $s$ , the shift (7.16) gives rise to an automorphism of  $Y_{d,L}$ : this follows from (i) and the fact that the defining commutation relations (7.14) do not depend on  $s$ . It remains to show that this automorphism also takes  $t_{ij}(w; s')$  to  $t_{ij}(w; s' + c)$  for any other  $s' \in \mathbb{C}$ . To do this, we write (6.42) in the form

$$t_{ij}(w; s') = \sum_{\tilde{w} \preceq w} c(w, \tilde{w}; s', s) t_{ij}(\tilde{w}; s)$$

and use the fact that the transition coefficients  $c(w, w'; s', s)$  are invariant under the simultaneous shift  $(s', s) \rightarrow (s' + c, s + c)$  (see (6.15)).

(iii) This follows from the fact that the elements  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  with fixed  $i, j, w$  and varying  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  are liftings of one and the same element  $p_{ij}(w) \in P_{d,L}^+$ .  $\square$

We call the automorphisms (7.16) the *shift automorphisms* of  $Y_{d,L}$ .

**Corollary 7.12.** *Fix  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . For any  $N \geq d$ , there exists an algebra morphism*

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\infty, N}^{(s)} : Y_{d,L} \rightarrow A_{d,L}(N) \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus L}), \quad (7.17)$$

*uniquely characterized by the property that*

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\infty, N}^{(s)}(t_{ij}(w; s)) = e_{ij}(w; N), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \quad w \in W_L. \quad (7.18)$$

*Proof.* The uniqueness claim is obvious, because the elements  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  in (7.18) are generators of  $Y_{d,L}$ . To construct  $\tilde{\pi}_{\infty, N}^{(s)}$  we twist the projection  $\pi_{\infty, N}$  with an appropriate shift automorphism of  $Y_{d,L}$ .

In more detail, we know that

$$\pi_{\infty, N} : t_{ij}(w; s) \rightarrow t_{ij}(w; N, s) = \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N, N + s).$$

Replace here  $s$  with  $s + c$  and compose with the shift automorphism (7.16). Then we get a composition morphism  $Y_{d,L} \rightarrow Y_{d,L} \rightarrow A_{d,L}(N)$ , such that

$$t_{ij}(w; s) \rightarrow t_{ij}(w; s + c) \rightarrow \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N, N + s + c).$$

Recall now that  $e_{ij}(w; N) = \tilde{t}_{ij}(w; N, 0)$ . Therefore, by setting  $c = -N - s$  we get a morphism with the desired property (7.18).  $\square$

**Corollary 7.13.** *The stable commutation relations for the elements  $e_{ij}(w; N)$  given by Lemma 7.1 serve as commutation relations for the elements  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  as well.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 7.12 and the fact that the intersection of the kernel of  $\tilde{\pi}_{\infty, N}$  with  $Y_{d, L}^{(n)}$  is trivial for  $N \gg n$ . The latter fact follows in turn from item 4 of subsection 4.4 and item (iii) of Theorem 7.11.  $\square$

## 8. OPEN PROBLEMS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

**8.1. Structure of  $Y_{d, L}$ .** 1. An important problem is to find a more explicit presentation of defining relations for the algebra  $Y_{d, L}$  with  $L \geq 2$  (if not as a closed formula but, say, in the form of a combinatorial rule).

2. The above problem seems to be nontrivial already for  $d = 1$ . Recall that the algebra  $Y_{1, L}$  is generated by the elements  $t_{11}(w; s)$ , where  $w$  ranges over  $W_L$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  can be chosen arbitrarily. In the Yangian case  $L = 1$ , these elements pairwise commute, so the algebra  $Y_{1, 1}$  is commutative (and isomorphic to the algebra of polynomials in countably many variables). But for  $L \geq 2$ , the algebra  $Y_{1, L}$  is noncommutative.

3. The RTT presentation (1.2) provides an extremely effective tool for working with the Yangians  $Y_d$ . Does there exist an analogue/substitute of the  $R$ -matrix formalism applicable to the algebras  $Y_{d, L}$  with  $L \geq 2$ ?

4. The Yangian  $Y_d$  is a Hopf algebra: there exists a comultiplication  $Y_d \rightarrow Y_d \otimes Y_d$ , which is given by a simple formula. Does there exist a comultiplication for the algebra  $Y_{d, L}$  with  $L \geq 2$ ?

**8.2. Analogue of Harish-Chandra homomorphism.** The construction of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism can be adapted to the algebra  $Y_{d, L}$  with  $d \geq 2$ , as follows. Let  $Y_{d, L}^0 \subset Y_{d, L}$  denote the centralizer of the elements

$$\text{diag } E_{ii} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^L E_{ii|\alpha}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Next, let  $J_{d, L}^+$  be the left ideal of  $Y_{d, L}$  generated by the elements of the form  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  with  $i < j$  ( $s$  can be chosen arbitrarily). Likewise, let  $J_{d, L}^-$  be the right ideal of  $Y_{d, L}$  generated by the elements of the form  $t_{ij}(w; s)$  with  $i > j$ . Then one has

$$Y_{d, L}^0 \cap J_{d, L}^+ = Y_{d, L}^0 \cap J_{d, L}^-,$$

and it is a two-sided ideal of the algebra  $Y_{d, L}^0$ . Define  $\overline{Y}_{d, L}^0$  as the quotient of  $Y_{d, L}^0$  by this ideal. The map

$$\phi : Y_{d, L}^0 \rightarrow \overline{Y}_{d, L}^0$$

is an analogue of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism.

Recall that in the classical context of reductive Lie algebras, the image of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism is the symmetric algebra over a Cartan subalgebra. Thus, in our situation,  $\overline{Y}_{d, L}^0$  plays the role of that algebra.

Note that  $Y_{d,L}^0$  contains the elements of the form  $t_{11}(w; s), \dots, t_{dd}(w; s)$ , and their push-forwards under  $\phi$  generate the whole algebra  $\overline{Y}_{d,L}^0$ .

Further, note that the algebra  $Y_{d,L}$  has plenty of finite-dimensional representations. For any (nonzero) finite-dimensional  $Y_{d,L}$ -module  $V$ , the subspace  $V^+ \subset V$ , annihilated by the left ideal  $J_{d,L}^+$ , is nonzero, and the algebra  $\overline{Y}_{d,L}^0$  acts on  $V^+$  in a natural way. If  $V$  is irreducible; then  $V^+$  is irreducible as a  $\overline{Y}_{d,L}^0$ -module, too; moreover, it determines  $V$  uniquely.

In the Yangian case  $L = 1$ , the corresponding algebra  $\overline{Y}_{d,1}^0$  is commutative, which implies that for irreducible  $V$ , the subspace  $V^+$  is one-dimensional. This is the starting point for Drinfeld's parametrization of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of the Yangian  $Y_d$  by certain characters of the commutative algebra  $\overline{Y}_{d,1}^0$  (see e.g. Molev [16, sect. 3.4]).

In the case  $L \geq 2$ , the algebra  $\overline{Y}_{d,L}^0$  is no longer commutative and the situation becomes more complicated. Nevertheless, one may hope that the algebra  $\overline{Y}_{d,L}^0$  is simpler than  $Y_{d,L}$ . Then understanding the structure of  $\overline{Y}_{d,L}^0$  and of its representations would be helpful for the study of representations of  $Y_{d,L}$ .

**8.3. Infinite-dimensional differential operators.** Let  $\text{Mat}(N)$  denote the space of  $N \times N$  complex matrices and  $\text{Mat}$  denote the space of arbitrary complex matrices of size  $\infty \times \infty$ . Equivalently,  $\text{Mat} = \varprojlim \text{Mat}(N)$ . Let also  $GL(\infty, \mathbb{C})$  denote the inductive limit group  $\varinjlim GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ . It acts on  $\text{Mat}$  by left and right multiplications.

Let us identify  $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbb{C}))$  with the algebra of (complex-analytic) left-invariant differential operators on the group  $GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ . Any such operator has polynomial coefficients and hence can be extended to the space  $\text{Mat}(N) \supset GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ . Using this obvious fact one can realize the algebra  $A_{\infty,1} = \varinjlim A_{d,1}$  as an algebra of (infinite-dimensional) differential operators on the space  $\text{Mat}$ , invariant under the left action of  $GL(\infty, \mathbb{C})$ ; see [25, sect. 2.2.18]. This construction can be extended to the algebra  $A_{\infty,L} = \varinjlim A_{d,L}$ , for any  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ; then the space  $\text{Mat}$  is replaced by the  $L$ -fold direct product  $\text{Mat}^L = \text{Mat} \times \dots \times \text{Mat}$ .

**8.4. The algebra  $A_{0,L}$ .** The above construction provides a realization of  $A_{0,L}$  as an algebra of differential operators on the space  $\text{Mat}^L$ , invariant under the two-sided action of the group  $GL(\infty, \mathbb{C})$ .

Let us regard  $A_{0,L}$  as a “quantization” of the Poisson algebra  $P_{0,L}$  and recall that  $P_{0,L} = S(\mathcal{N}_L)$  (Corollary 5.9). Recall also that  $\mathcal{N}_L$  is isomorphic to the necklace Lie algebra  $\mathcal{N}(Q_L)$  associated to a quiver  $Q_L$ .

On the other hand, there is a general construction of quantization for the Poisson algebras  $S(\mathcal{N}(Q))$  related to arbitrary quivers  $Q$  (Schedler [30], Ginzburg–Schedler [10]), which also employs differential operators.

Question: how does the algebra  $A_{0,L}$  and its realization by differential operators on the space  $\text{Mat}^L$  relate to the general construction of [10]?

One of the reasons why the algebra  $A_{0,L}$  is interesting is that it resolves the multiplicities in  $L$ -fold tensor products of irreducible polynomial representations of the unitary groups. From this point of view, it is interesting to compare the algebras  $A_{0,L}$  with the Mickelsson algebras, which also allow to resolve multiplicities (see Khoroshkin-Ogievetsky [15] and the references therein to earlier publications).

**8.5. Constructions in complex rank.** It would be interesting to relate the results of the present paper to the constructions of Etingof [7, section 7] (interpolation of the Yangians  $Y_d$  to complex values of  $d$ ) and Utiralova [31] (a version of the centralizer construction in the context of Deligne’s category  $\underline{\text{Rep}}(GL_t)$ ).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alekseev, N. Kawazumi, Y. Kuno, F. Naef. The Goldman-Turaev Lie bialgebra in genus zero and the Kashiwara–Vergne problem. *Adv. Math.* 326 (2018), 1-53.
- [2] R. Bocklandt, L. Le Bruyn, Necklace Lie algebras and noncommutative symplectic geometry. *Math. Z.* 240 (2002), no. 1, 141–167.
- [3] A. Borodin, G. Olshanski. Representations of the infinite symmetric group. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [4] J. Dixmier. Enveloping algebras. Graduate Studies in Mathematics 11. Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.
- [5] V. G. Drinfeld. Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation. *Soviet Math. Doklady* 32 (1985), 254-258.
- [6] V. G. Drinfeld. Quantum groups. In: International Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley, 1986). Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 798-820.
- [7] Pavel Etingof. Representation theory in complex rank, II. *Adv. Math.* 300(2016), 473-504.
- [8] I. M. Gelfand, The center of an infinitesimal group ring. (Russian) *Mat. Sbornik N.S.* 26(68), (1950). 103–112.
- [9] V. Ginzburg. Non-commutative symplectic geometry, quiver varieties, and operads, *Math. Res. Lett.* 8 (2001), no. 3, 377-400.
- [10] V. Ginzburg, T. Schedler, Moyal quantization and stable homology of necklace Lie algebras, *Mosc. Math. J.* 6 (2006), no. 3, 431–459.
- [11] M. D. Gould. Characteristic identities for semi-simple Lie algebras. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B* 26 (1985), 257-283.
- [12] R. Hartshorne. Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52. Springer, 1977.
- [13] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. Matrix analysis. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [14] P. S. Isaac, J. K. Werry, M. D. Gould. Characteristic identities for Lie (super)algebras. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 587:1 (2015), paper 012045; arXiv:1412.6852.
- [15] S. Khoroshkin and O. Ogievetsky, Diagonal reduction algebra and reflection equation. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 221 (2017), 705–729.
- [16] A. Molev, Yangians and classical Lie algebras. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 143. Amer. Math. Soc., 2007.
- [17] A. I. Molev, M. L. Nazarov, G. I. Olshanskii, Yangians and classical Lie algebras. *Russian Math. Surveys* 51 (1996), 202-282.
- [18] A. Molev, G. Olshanski, Centralizer construction for twisted Yangians. *Selecta Math. New Ser.* 6 (2000) 269-317.
- [19] M. Nazarov, Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 208 (1999), 195-223.
- [20] M. Naxarov. Double Yangian and the universal R-matrix. *Japanese Journal of Mathematics* 15 (2020), 169-221.

- [21] M. Nazarov and A. Sergeev, Centralizer construction of the Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra, In: *Studies in Lie theory*. Progr. Math., 243, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 417-441.
- [22] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski, Shifted Schur functions. *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 9 (1998), No. 2, 239-300; translation from *Algebra Anal.* 9 (1997), No. 2, 73-146.
- [23] G. I. Ol'shanskiĭ, Extension of the algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  for infinite-dimensional classical Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the Yangians  $Y(\mathfrak{gl}(m))$ . (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 297 (1987), no. 5, 1050–1054; translation in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 36 (1988), no. 3, 569–573
- [24] G. I. Ol'shanskiĭ, Yangians and universal enveloping algebras. (Russian) *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* 164 (1987), *Differentsial'naya Geom. Gruppy Li i Mekh.* IX, 142–150, 198; translation in *J. Soviet Math.* 47 (1989), no. 2, 2466–2473
- [25] G. I. Ol'shanskiĭ, Representations of infinite-dimensional classical groups, limits of enveloping algebras, and Yangians. *Topics in representation theory*, 1–66, *Adv. Soviet Math.*, 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [26] G. I. Ol'shanskiĭ, Twisted Yangians and infinite-dimensional classical Lie algebras. *Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990)*, 104–119, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1510, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [27] A. M. Perelomov, V. S. Popov. Casimir operators for semisimple Lie groups. *Math. USSR-Izvestiya* 2:6 (1968), 1313-1335.
- [28] A. Pichereau and G. Van de Weyer. Double Poisson cohomology of path algebras of quivers. *J. Algebra* 319 (2008), 2166–2208.
- [29] C. Procesi. The invariant theory of  $n \times n$  matrices. *Adv. Math.* 19 (1976), 306-381.
- [30] T. Schedler. A Hopf algebra quantizing a necklace Lie algebra canonically associated to a quiver. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*(12) (2005), 725-760.
- [31] A. Utiralova. Olshanski's Centralizer Construction and Deligne Tensor Categories. Preprint arXiv:1901.08370.
- [32] M. Van den Bergh, Double Poisson algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008) 5711-5799.
- [33] D. P. Zhelobenko, Representations of reductive Lie algebras (in Russian). Nauka, 1994.

Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia

and

Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Moscow, Russia

and

Faculty of Mathematics, HSE University, Moscow, Russia

Email: olsh2007@gmail.com