

**REMARKS ON THE POST OF RÖCKNER AND ZHAO  
ABOUT STRONG SOLUTIONS OF STOCHASTIC  
EQUATIONS WITH CRITICAL SINGULARITIES AT  
ARXIV:2103.05803V4**

N.V. KRYLOV

ABSTRACT. We discuss various relations between part of the results in arXiv:2103.05803v4 and recent author's results.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^d$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space of points  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d)$  with  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a complete probability space, carrying a  $d$ -dimensional Wiener process  $w_t$  and let  $b(t, x)$  be a Borel measurable  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued function on  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} = \{(t, x) : t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ . In the really mind opening paper of M. Röckner and G. Zhao [3], the authors prove among many other things the following, which is part of their Theorem 1.1. Take  $T \in (0, \infty)$  and set  $\mathbb{R}_T^d = [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $b$  satisfies one of the following two conditions:*

(a)  $b \in C([0, T]; L_d(\mathbb{R}^d));$

(b)  $b \in L_{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}_T^d) := L_{q_1}([0, T]; L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  with  $p_1 \in (d, \infty), q_1 \in (2, \infty)$  and  $d/p_1 + 2/q_1 = 1$ .

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the equation

$$x_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, x_s) ds + w_t \quad (1)$$

admits a strong solution such that, for any  $p, q$  satisfying

$$p, q \in (1, \infty), \quad \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 2 \quad (2)$$

and any Borel nonnegative  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ , we have

$$E \int_0^T f(t, x_t) dt \leq N \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|f I_{B_1(y)}\|_{L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_T^d)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $N$  is a constant independent of  $f$  and  $x$ . Furthermore, there is only one strong solution of (1) such that (3) holds for any  $p, q$  satisfying (2) (with  $q_1 = \infty$  in case(a)) and any Borel nonnegative  $f$  with a constant  $N$  independent of  $f$ .

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60H10, 60J60.

Key words and phrases. Strong solutions, time inhomogeneous equations, Morrey drift.

The purpose of this note is to compare Theorem 1 with the following simplified version of Theorem 2.3 from [2] under the assumption that follows, in which  $\mathbb{B}_\rho$  is the collection of balls of radius  $\rho$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\|f\|_{L^p(B)}^p = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B)} \int_B |f|^p dx,$$

$$p_b \in (d/2 + 1, d], p_0 \in (1, p_b), q_0 \in (1, \infty),$$

$$\frac{d}{p_0} + \frac{2}{q_0} < 2, \quad \rho_b \in (0, 1).$$

**Assumption 2.** We have  $b = b_M + b_B$ , where  $b_M$  and  $b_B$  are Borel functions such that there exist  $p_b \in (d/2 + 1, d]$  and a constant  $\hat{b}_M < \infty$  for which

$$\|b(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{p_b}(B)} \leq \hat{b}_M \rho^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

as long as  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{B}_\rho$ , and  $\rho \leq \rho_b$ , and

$$\int_0^T \bar{b}_B^2(t) dt < \infty, \quad \bar{b}_B(t) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |b_B(t, x)|.$$

**Theorem 3.** *There exist a constant  $\alpha_b > 0$ , depending only on  $d, d_1, \delta, p_b, p_0, q_0$ , such that, if*

$$\hat{b}_M \leq \alpha_b, \quad (5)$$

*then equation (1) admits a strong solution on  $[0, T]$  such that for any  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , and Borel  $f \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  we have*

$$E \left( \int_0^T f(t+s, x_s) ds \right)^m \leq m! N^m \|f\|_{L^{p_0, q_0}(\mathbb{R}_T^d)}^m \exp \int_t^{t+T} mN(\delta) \bar{b}_B^2(s) ds, \quad (6)$$

*where  $N$  depends only on  $d, p_0, p_b, q_0, \rho_b, T$ . Furthermore, on any given probability space carrying a  $d_1$ -dimensional Wiener process any solution of (1) on  $[0, T]$ , admitting estimate (6) with  $m = 1$  for any Borel  $f \geq 0$  with a constant  $N$  independent of  $f$ , is unique and is strong.*

*Remark 4.* Let us compare conditions (5) and (b) in Theorem 1. First assume that (b) in Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Take a constant  $\hat{N} > 0$  and let

$$\lambda(t) = \hat{N} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^{p_1} dx \right)^{1/(p_1-d)}.$$

Also define

$$b_M(t, x) = b(t, x) I_{|b(t, x)| \geq \lambda(t)}$$

and observe that for  $B \in \mathbb{B}_\rho$  we have

$$\int_B |b_M(t, x)|^d dx \leq \lambda^{d-p_1}(t) \int_B |b(t, x)|^{p_1} dx \leq N(d) \hat{N}^{d-p_1} \rho^{-d}.$$

Here  $N(d)\hat{N}^{d-p_1}$  is as small as we like if  $\hat{N}$  large enough. Furthermore, for  $b_B = b - b_M$  it holds that  $|b_B| \leq \lambda$  and

$$\int_0^T \lambda^2(t) dt = \hat{N}^2 \int_0^T \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^{p_1} dx \right)^{q_1/p_1} dt < \infty.$$

This shows that (5) is weaker than assumption (b) in Theorem 1.

*Remark 5.* It turns out that, generally, condition (5) with  $d > p_b \geq d - 1$  does not imply that  $b \in L_{p_b+\varepsilon, \text{loc}}$ , no matter how small  $\varepsilon > 0$  is. Therefore, such situations are way beyond the scope of Theorem 1 and, since the case (b) is called critical, we can call our case "supercritical".

Here is an example. Take  $r_n > 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that the sum of  $\rho_n := r_n^{d-p_b}$  is  $1/2$ , let  $e_1$  be the first basis vector, and set  $b(x) = |x|^{-1}I_{|x|<1}$ ,  $x_0 = 1$ ,

$$x_n = 1 - 2 \sum_1^n r_i^{d-p_b}, \quad c_n = (1/2)(x_n + x_{n-1})$$

$$b_n(x) = r_n^{-1}b(r_n^{-1}(x - c_n e_1)), \quad b = \sum_1^\infty b_n.$$

Since  $r_n \leq 1$  and  $d - p_b \leq 1$ , the supports of  $b_n$ 's are disjoint and for  $p > 0$

$$\int_{|x| \leq 1} b^p dx = \sum_1^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b_n^p dx = N(d, p) \sum_1^\infty r_n^{d-p}.$$

According to this we take the  $r_n$ 's so that the last sum diverges for any  $p > p_b$ . Then observe that for any  $n \geq 1$  and any ball  $B$  of radius  $\rho$

$$\int_B |b_n|^{p_b} dx \leq N(d)\rho^{d-p_b}.$$

Also, if the intersection of  $B$  with  $\bigcup B_{r_n}(c_n)$  is nonempty, the intersection consists of some  $B_{r_i}(c_i)$ ,  $i = i_0, \dots, i_1$ , and  $B \cap B_{r_{i_0-1}}(c_{i_0-1})$  if  $i_0 \neq 0$  and  $B \cap B_{r_{i_1+1}}(c_{i_1+1})$ . In this situation

$$\sum_{i=i_0}^{i_1} \rho_i \leq 2\rho,$$

and therefore,

$$\int_B |b|^{p_b} dx = N(d) \sum_{i=i_0}^{i_1} r_i^{d-p_b} + \int_B |b_{i_0-1}|^{p_b} dx + \int_B |b_{i_1+1}|^{p_b} dx \leq N(d)(\rho + \rho^{d-p_b}),$$

where the last term is less than  $N(d)\rho^{d-p_b}$  for  $\rho \leq 1$  and this yields just a different form of (5).

*Remark 6.* Case  $b_M = 0$  seems to be out of the scope of [3]. This is the case when  $b(t, x) = \xi(t)\eta(t, x)$ ,  $\eta$  is bounded  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued and  $\xi \in L_2([0, T])$ .

*Remark 7.* Assumption 2 is satisfied with  $p_b = d$ , for instance, if

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^d I_{|b(t, x)| \geq \lambda \xi(t)} dx < \alpha_b^d, \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha_b$  is from (5) and  $\xi(t)$  is any function of class  $L_2([0, T])$ . Indeed, then one can take  $b_B = bI_{|b| \leq \lambda \xi}$  for sufficiently large  $\lambda$ .

Observe that condition (7) with  $\xi = 1$  and  $= 0$  on its right-hand side means that the family  $|b(t, \cdot)|^d$  is uniformly integrable, which holds if (a) in Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Condition (7) with  $\xi = 0$  means that the  $L_d$ -norms of  $b(t, \cdot)$  are uniformly small, which is one of alternative conditions in [3].

*Remark 8.* As a conclusion we emphasize that the assumptions of Theorem 3 are much weaker than that of Theorem 1. However, the goals of [3] go way beyond of just proving Theorem 1. Moreover, estimate (3) is proved for a wider range of  $p, q$  than in Theorem 3. The latter can be probably explained by the fact that the diffusion matrix in [1] and [2] is not constant.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N.V. Krylov, *On strong solutions of time inhomogeneous Itô's equations with Morrey diffusion and drift*, [arxiv.org/abs/2206.07871](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07871)
- [2] N.V. Krylov, *On strong solutions of time inhomogeneous Itô's equations with "super-critical" diffusion and drift*, [arxiv.org/abs/2207.03626](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.03626)
- [3] M. Röckner and G. Zhao, *SDEs with critical time dependent drifts: strong solutions*, [arXiv:2103.05803v4](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.05803v4)

*Email address:* [nkrylov@umn.edu](mailto:nkrylov@umn.edu)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, 55455