

# ON THE ALGEBRA GENERATED BY $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$

SHAMUEL AUYEUNG, JIN-CHENG GUU, AND JIAHAO HU

ABSTRACT. In this note, we determine the structure of the associative algebra generated by the differential operators  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  that act on complex-valued differential forms of almost complex manifolds. This is done by showing it is the universal enveloping algebra of the graded Lie algebra generated by these operators and determining the structure of the corresponding graded Lie algebra. We then determine the cohomology of this graded Lie algebra with respect to its canonical inner differential  $[d, -]$ , as well as its cohomology with respect to all its inner differentials.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The exterior differential  $d$  on the bigraded de Rham algebra  $\Omega_M^{\bullet, \bullet}$  of complex-valued differential forms on a complex manifold  $M$  splits into anti-holomorphic and holomorphic parts  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial$  of bidegrees  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$  respectively. Thus  $\Omega_M^{\bullet, \bullet}$  can be viewed as a bigraded representation of the bigraded associative algebra

$$A_{hol} = \frac{\text{Free algebra generated by } \bar{\partial}, \partial}{(\bar{\partial}^2 = \partial^2 = \bar{\partial}\partial + \partial\bar{\partial} = 0)}$$

which is the exterior algebra generated by  $\bar{\partial}, \partial$ .

In [Ste21], the bigraded representations of  $A_{hol}$  are classified. This knowledge is then applied to study the geometry and topology of complex manifolds. By decomposing  $\Omega_M^{\bullet, \bullet}$  into a direct sum of indecomposable representations, one obtains straightforward combinatorial descriptions of Dolbeault, Bott-Chern, and Aeppli cohomology groups. This decomposition is also useful for predicting the degeneracy of the Frölicher spectral sequence [PSU20] and for building more structured (rational) homotopy theoretical models for  $M$  [Ste21].

Driven by the long standing question of distinguishing complex manifolds from almost complex ones, it is desirable to carry out a similar analysis for almost complex manifolds and compare the results to the complex situation. In the almost complex case, besides  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial$ , the exterior differential  $d$  has two extra components  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\mu$  of bidegrees  $(-1, 2)$  and  $(2, -1)$  respectively. The following questions should be addressed:

- (i) What is the structure of the algebra  $A$  generated by the operators  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$ ?
- (ii) What are all indecomposable representations of  $A$ ?
- (iii) What can we say about almost complex manifolds from understanding  $A$  and its representations?
- (iv) How do we compare the almost complex case to the complex case?

The aim of this note is to answer the first question and partially answer the last by comparing  $A$  to  $A_{hol}$ . Our main observation is that  $A$  is the universal enveloping algebra of a graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (Theorem 2.1). Similarly  $A_{hol}$  is the universal enveloping algebra of a graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$ , which is the abelian Lie algebra on two generators of degree one. So the questions concerning  $A$  and  $A_{hol}$  can all be reduced to their corresponding graded Lie algebras.

It turns out  $\mathfrak{g}$  is infinite dimensional as contrast to the finite dimensionality of  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$ . In fact, the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial$  is free (Theorem 2.4). This significantly increases the difficulty in finding all indecomposable representations of  $A = U\mathfrak{g}$ , the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus the second question (and therefore the third question as well) appears to be extremely difficult to the authors at this point. We will comment in our concluding remarks (Section 4) on how complicated the second question can be.

On the other hand, despite their huge difference in dimensions,  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  are equivalent in a weak sense. More precisely, the natural quotient map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  by modding out  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\mu$  is a quasi-isomorphism (Theorem 3.1), where  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  are equipped with the natural differential  $[d, -]$ , i.e. the adjoint action by  $d$ . In fact, there is a 2-dimensional family of differentials on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$ , parametrized by a Zariski open subset of the affine cone over the twisted cubic, so that

the quotient map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  is a quasi-isomorphism. This will be discussed in Section 3 after the structure of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is determined in Section 2.

**Acknowledgement.** This work is stimulated by conversations with Jonas Stelzig, to whom we owe our gratitude. We thank Aleksandar Milivojević for helpful discussions.

## 2. STRUCTURE

Throughout we work over the ground field  $\mathbb{C}$ , though most of the results only require the ground field to be of characteristic zero. The algebra  $A$  in question is the free bigraded associative algebra generated by the symbols  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  of bidegrees  $(-1, 2), (0, 1), (1, 0), (2, -1)$  respectively modulo the relations generated from the bigraded components of  $d^2 = (\bar{\mu} + \bar{\partial} + \partial + \mu)^2 = 0$ . See [CW21, pp. 10] for these relations.

Even though  $A$  carries a bigrading, the total grading is sufficient to us for most purposes of this note. So we regard  $A$  as a graded associative algebra most of the time, and occasionally use its bigrading when necessary. We will use lower index for the total degree, for example  $A_k$  is the (total) degree  $k$  subspace of  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** The associative algebra  $A$  generated by  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  is the universal enveloping algebra of the graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  they generate.

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the free (graded) tensor algebra generated by the symbols  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$ . Notice that  $F$  carries a natural coproduct  $\Delta$  making  $F$  into a connected cocommutative Hopf algebra so that  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  are primitives<sup>1</sup>. The algebra  $A$  in question is obtained from  $F$  by modding out the (two-sided) ideal generated by the relations derived from the bigraded components of  $d^2 = (\bar{\mu} + \bar{\partial} + \partial + \mu)^2 = 0$ . Observe that  $d^2 = 0$  is equivalent to  $[d, d] = 0$  where  $[-, -]$  given by

$$[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{\deg x \cdot \deg y} yx$$

is the graded Lie bracket. This means all relations among  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  can be written in terms of graded Lie brackets:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} [\bar{\mu}, \bar{\mu}] &= 0, & [\mu, \mu] &= 0; \\ [\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}] &= 0, & [\mu, \partial] &= 0; \\ [\bar{\mu}, \partial] + \frac{1}{2}[\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}] &= 0, & [\mu, \bar{\partial}] + \frac{1}{2}[\partial, \partial] &= 0; \\ [\bar{\mu}, \mu] + [\bar{\partial}, \partial] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now since the coproduct in a Hopf algebra preserves Lie bracket (i.e.  $\Delta[x, y] = [\Delta x, \Delta y]$ ) and since  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  are primitives, we have that  $\Delta$  preserves the relations (1) and therefore descends to a coproduct on  $A$  making  $A$  into a connected cocommutative Hopf algebra. So, by Milnor-Moore [MM65],  $A$  is the universal enveloping algebra of its primitive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = PA$ . Similar considerations apply to  $F$  as well. Indeed  $F$  is the universal enveloping algebra of the free graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}$  generated by the symbols  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$ .

Finally, we show  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the quotient of  $\mathfrak{f}$  by modding out the ideal generated by the relations (1). Consider the natural morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  induced from the quotient map  $F \rightarrow A$  by restricting to the primitives. This factors as  $\mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and thus the quotient map  $F \rightarrow A$  factors as

$$F = U\mathfrak{f} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi) \rightarrow A = U\mathfrak{g}.$$

This shows  $U(\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi) \rightarrow A$  is onto. On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , one can find an ordered basis of  $\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi$  which then extends to an ordered basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then by Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, we have

$$U(\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi) \hookrightarrow U\mathfrak{g} = A.$$

Therefore  $U(\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi) \cong U\mathfrak{g}$  and consequently  $\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi = PU(\mathfrak{f}/\ker \varphi) \cong PU(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ . It is clear  $\ker \varphi$  is generated by the relations (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** The Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  is a Lie ideal.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is generated by  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  and clearly  $[\bar{\partial}, -], [\partial, -]$  preserve  $\mathfrak{h}$ , it suffices to show  $[\bar{\mu}, -], [\mu, -]$  preserve  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Further since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is generated by  $\bar{\partial}, \partial$ , it suffices to check that  $[\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}], [\bar{\mu}, \partial], [\mu, \bar{\partial}], [\mu, \partial]$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , which obviously follows from (1).  $\square$

<sup>1</sup> $x$  is primitive if  $\Delta x = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1$ .

**Corollary 2.3.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$  in degrees  $\geq 2$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  is isomorphic to the free graded abelian Lie algebra on two (equivalent classes of) generators  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* From

$$[\bar{\mu}, \bar{\mu}] = 0, [\mu, \mu] = 0, [\bar{\mu}, \mu] = -[\bar{\partial}, \partial] \in \mathfrak{h},$$

we see  $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{h}_2 = \text{span}\{[\partial, \partial], [\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}], [\partial, \bar{\partial}]\}$ . Then inductively using the above lemma and that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{k+1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k+1} &= [\bar{\mu}, \mathfrak{g}_k] + [\bar{\partial}, \mathfrak{g}_k] + [\partial, \mathfrak{g}_k] + [\mu, \mathfrak{g}_k] \\ &= [\bar{\mu}, \mathfrak{h}_k] + [\bar{\partial}, \mathfrak{h}_k] + [\partial, \mathfrak{h}_k] + [\mu, \mathfrak{h}_k] \subset \mathfrak{h}_{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$  in degrees  $\geq 2$ . The second assertion follows easily.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** The Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\bar{\partial}, \partial$  is a free graded Lie algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{h}'$  be the free graded Lie algebra generated on symbols  $\bar{\partial}, \partial$ . We define a derivation  $D_{\bar{\mu}}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}'$  by first setting

$$D_{\bar{\mu}}\bar{\partial} = 0, D_{\bar{\mu}}\partial = -\frac{1}{2}[\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}]$$

and then extending it to a derivation. It is easy to see that  $[D_{\bar{\mu}}, D_{\bar{\mu}}] = 2D_{\bar{\mu}}^2 = 0$  on  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial$ . Since  $[D_{\bar{\mu}}, D_{\bar{\mu}}]$  is a derivation on  $\mathfrak{h}'$  that vanishes on the generators,  $[D_{\bar{\mu}}, D_{\bar{\mu}}]$  must vanish identically on  $\mathfrak{h}'$ .

Define  $\mathfrak{g}''$  to be the semi-direct product  $\mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathbb{C}D_{\bar{\mu}}$  with Lie bracket inherited from that of  $\mathfrak{h}'$ , the action of  $D_{\bar{\mu}}$  on  $\mathfrak{h}'$  and  $[D_{\bar{\mu}}, D_{\bar{\mu}}] = 0$ . More precisely, for homogeneous  $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}'$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$  we define

$$[x + sD_{\bar{\mu}}, y + tD_{\bar{\mu}}] := [x, y] + s \cdot (D_{\bar{\mu}}y) + (-1)^{\deg x t} \cdot (D_{\bar{\mu}}x).$$

This Lie bracket indeed satisfies the Jacobi identity since  $D_{\bar{\mu}}$  is a derivation on  $\mathfrak{h}'$ . We can similarly define  $\mathfrak{g}'$  to be the semi-direct product  $\mathfrak{g}'' \oplus \mathbb{C}D_{\mu}$  where  $D_{\mu}$  is the derivation on  $\mathfrak{g}'' = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathbb{C}D_{\bar{\mu}}$  defined by

$$D_{\mu}\bar{\partial} = -\frac{1}{2}[\partial, \partial], D_{\mu}\partial = 0, D_{\mu}(D_{\bar{\mu}}) = -[\partial, \bar{\partial}].$$

We now show  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . First, it is clear  $\mathfrak{f}$  maps surjectively onto  $\mathfrak{g}'$  by taking  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  to  $D_{\bar{\mu}}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, D_{\mu}$  respectively and this map descends to an epimorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ . Moreover, from construction this map takes  $\mathfrak{h}$  onto  $\mathfrak{h}'$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h}'$  is free,  $\mathfrak{h}$  must also be free for dimension reasons. Consequently the map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$  yields  $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{h}'$ . Combine this with the above corollary, we conclude  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}'$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** The Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\bar{\mu}, \mu$  is isomorphic to the Heisenberg (graded) Lie algebra.

**Corollary 2.6.**  $U\mathfrak{g}$  is a free (left and right) module over  $U\mathfrak{h}$  with basis  $1, \bar{\mu}, \mu, \bar{\mu}\mu$ .

*Proof.* That  $U\mathfrak{g}$  is a free module over  $U\mathfrak{h}$  follows from the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem (see [FHT01, pp. 288]). To prove the second assertion, note  $\mathfrak{h}$  being a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -ideal implies that  $U\mathfrak{h}$  is an (two-sided)  $U\mathfrak{g}$ -ideal. The quotient  $U\mathfrak{g}/U\mathfrak{h}$  is the free associative algebra generated by  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  modulo the relations  $\bar{\partial} = 0, \partial = 0, [\bar{\mu}, \mu] = 0$ . This quotient algebra has a basis  $1, \bar{\mu}, \mu, \bar{\mu}\mu$ , thus proving  $1, \bar{\mu}, \mu, \bar{\mu}\mu$  is a  $U\mathfrak{h}$ -basis for  $U\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

The above corollary gives a basis for  $A = U\mathfrak{g}$  as follows. Since  $U\mathfrak{h}$  is the free tensor algebra on  $\bar{\partial}, \partial$ , it has a canonical basis given by simple tensors with factors  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial$ . Then a basis for  $U\mathfrak{g}$  can be obtained from multiplying the basis for  $U\mathfrak{h}$  by  $1, \bar{\mu}, \mu, \bar{\mu}\mu$  from either left or right. The Poincaré series of  $A$ , i.e.  $\sum_k (\dim A_k) q^k$ , is  $(1+q)^2/(1-2q)$ .

### 3. COHOMOLOGY

Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  carries a natural differential  $\text{ad}_d = [d, -]$ , we would like to determine its cohomology with respect to  $\text{ad}_d$  which amounts to finding elements that commute with  $d$  (are  $\text{ad}_d$ -closed) but are not themselves constructed from  $d$  ( $\text{ad}_d$ -exact). To begin with, consider the free graded abelian Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  generated by  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ , and equip it with the inner differential  $[\bar{\partial} + \partial, -]$ . This Lie algebra is closely related to complex manifolds and we will also use the notation  $d = \bar{\partial} + \partial$ . Context will make it clear which  $d$  we mean. The natural quotient map

$$f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{hol}$$

by modding out  $\bar{\mu}$ ,  $\mu$  is a morphism of differential graded Lie algebras. An almost complex manifold is integrable if and only if the canonical action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on its complex-valued differential forms descends, via  $f$ , to an action of  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$ . In the previous section, we see that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is quite large since it contains a free Lie subalgebra while  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  is much smaller.

Recall the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  is free, which is isomorphic to the homotopy Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  tensored with  $\mathbb{C}$ . Meanwhile, the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  generated by  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  itself and is isomorphic to the homotopy Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$  tensored with  $\mathbb{C}$ . From this point of view, the quotient map  $f$  corresponds to the inclusion of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  into  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$  as 2-skeleton. It appears there is a huge gap between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  corresponding to higher dimensional cells of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ .

However, we have the following surprising theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** The natural quotient morphism  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  is a quasi-isomorphism of differential graded Lie algebras.

The proof of this theorem relies on the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.2.**  $H^k(\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}) = 0$  for  $k > 2$ .

*Proof.* First of all, we note  $\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}\bar{\partial} = 0$  and

$$\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}[\partial, \bar{\partial}] = [\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}\partial, \bar{\partial}] - [\bar{\mu}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}\bar{\partial}] = -\frac{1}{2}[[\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}], \bar{\partial}] = 0.$$

We leave it to the reader to check when  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $H^k(\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}})$  is one-dimensional and spanned by (the equivalence class of)  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $[\partial, \bar{\partial}]$  respectively. Next we observe  $H(\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}})$  is a Lie algebra and  $H^1(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus H^2(\mathfrak{h})$  forms an *abelian* Lie subalgebra since  $[\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}] = -2[\bar{\mu}, \partial]$  is  $\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}$ -exact, and  $[\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]] = 0$ ,  $[[\partial, \bar{\partial}], [\partial, \bar{\partial}]] = 0$  by Jacobi identities.

Now consider the universal enveloping algebra  $UH(\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}})$  of  $H(\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}})$ . It contains the universal enveloping algebra of the abelian Lie subalgebra  $H^1(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus H^2(\mathfrak{h})$ , which is the free graded commutative algebra  $\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}])$  generated by  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $[\partial, \bar{\partial}]$ . Meanwhile, since the universal enveloping algebra functor commutes with cohomology (see e.g. [Qui69, Appx B. Prop. 2.1]), we have  $UH(\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}) = H(U\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}})$  where  $\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}$  on  $U\mathfrak{h}$  is the extended adjoint action. So we get

$$\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]) \subset H(U\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}).$$

By Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, our proposition is equivalent to  $\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]) = H(U\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}})$ . This equality clearly holds in degrees  $\leq 2$ . We plan to prove this by induction on degree, but we need to make some preparations.

For simplicity of notation, denote  $B = U\mathfrak{h}$ , which is the free tensor algebra on  $\bar{\partial}, \partial$  by Theorem 2.4. Under the isomorphism

$$\phi : B_{k-1} \oplus B_{k-1} \cong B_k, (x, y) \mapsto \partial x + \bar{\partial} y,$$

the differential  $\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}|_{B_k}$  can be written as the matrix

$$(2) \quad \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}|_{B_k} \cong \begin{pmatrix} -\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}|_{B_{k-1}} & 0 \\ -\bar{\partial}|_{B_{k-1}} & -\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}|_{B_{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

by using the relations (1). To see this, we compute for  $x, y \in B_{k-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\mu}, \partial x + \bar{\partial} y] &= \bar{\mu}\partial x - (-1)^k \partial x \bar{\mu} + \bar{\mu}\bar{\partial} y - (-1)^k \bar{\partial} y \bar{\mu} \\ &= -\bar{\partial}^2 x - \partial \bar{\mu} x - (-1)^k \partial x \bar{\mu} - \bar{\partial} \bar{\mu} y - (-1)^k \bar{\partial} y \bar{\mu} \\ &= -\partial(\bar{\mu} x - (-1)^{k-1} x \bar{\mu}) - \bar{\partial}(\bar{\partial} x + \bar{\mu} y - (-1)^{k-1} y \bar{\mu}) \\ &= -\partial[\bar{\mu}, x] - \bar{\partial}(\bar{\partial} x + [\bar{\mu}, y]). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by setting  $x = 0$ , we see  $\text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}$  skew commutes with  $\bar{\partial}$ . This means both  $\pm \bar{\partial}$  are morphisms of cochain complexes  $\pm \bar{\partial} : B_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet[1]$ , where  $B_\bullet = (B, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}})$ . Moreover, (2) shows the mapping cone of  $-\bar{\partial}$  is isomorphic to  $B_\bullet[2]$  by  $\phi$ . Then the inclusion of  $B_\bullet[1]$  into the mapping cone of  $-\bar{\partial}$  is identified with  $\bar{\partial} : B_\bullet[1] \rightarrow B_\bullet[2]$ , and the projection from the mapping cone of  $-\bar{\partial}$  onto  $B_\bullet[1]$  is identified with  $\delta : B_\bullet[2] \rightarrow B_\bullet[1]$  which takes  $\partial x + \bar{\partial} y$  to  $x$ . It follows we have an exact triangle

$$B_\bullet \xrightarrow{-\bar{\partial}} B_\bullet[1] \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} B_\bullet[2] \xrightarrow{\delta} B_\bullet[1].$$

This exact triangle induces a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-2}(B_\bullet) \xrightarrow{-\bar{\partial}} H^{k-1}(B_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} H^k(B_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{k-1}(B_\bullet) \xrightarrow{-\bar{\partial}} H^k(B_\bullet) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now we can inductively prove  $H(B_\bullet) = \Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}])$ . We note  $\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}])$  is one-dimensional in each degree and spanned by powers of  $[\partial, \bar{\partial}]$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  times powers of  $[\partial, \bar{\partial}]$ . Assume the desired equality is proved in degrees  $< k$ . Observe that  $\bar{\partial}$  vanishes on  $(\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]))^{\text{odd}}$ , so if  $k$  is even then from the above long exact sequence we have  $\delta : H^k(B_\bullet) \rightarrow H^{k-1}(B_\bullet)$  is an isomorphism. On the other hand, if  $k$  is odd, then  $\bar{\partial} : H^{k-1}(B_\bullet) \rightarrow H^k(B_\bullet)$  is monic since  $\bar{\partial}$  takes  $(\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]))^{\text{even}}$  injectively into  $(\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]))^{\text{odd}} \subset H^{\text{odd}}(B_\bullet)$  and thus the above long exact sequence implies  $\bar{\partial} : H^{k-1}(B_\bullet) \rightarrow H^k(B_\bullet)$  is an isomorphism. So in either case we have  $H^k(B_\bullet) \cong H^{k-1}(B_\bullet)$ , and in particular  $H^k(B_\bullet)$  is one-dimensional. But  $H^k(B_\bullet) \supset (\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]))^k$ , therefore  $H^k(B_\bullet)$  must be equal to  $(\Lambda(\bar{\partial}, [\partial, \bar{\partial}]))^k$ . This finishes the inductive step and thus completes the proof.  $\square$

Now Theorem 3.1 follows as a corollary.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Since by Corollary 2.3  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$  in degrees  $\geq 2$ , we have  $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}) = H^k(\mathfrak{h}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}) = 0$  for  $k > 2$ . We claim the generalized Frölicher spectral sequence of Ćirić and Wilson [CW21] implies  $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_d) = 0$  for  $k > 2$ . Indeed, their spectral sequence, even though designed for studying almost complex manifolds, applies more generally to (non-negatively) bigraded  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, and in particular applies to the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on itself. Thus we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1 = H(H(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_{\bar{\mu}}), \text{ad}_{\bar{\partial}}) \implies H(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_d).$$

Therefore the claim follows.

Now it suffices to verify  $f$  induces isomorphisms on  $H^1$  and  $H^2$ . One can explicitly find

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_d) = \text{span}\{d, 3\bar{\mu} + \bar{\partial} - \partial - 3\mu\},$$

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}_{hol}, \text{ad}_d) = \text{span}\{d, \bar{\partial} - \partial\},$$

and  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_d) = H^2(\mathfrak{g}_{hol}, \text{ad}_d) = 0$ ; thus completing the proof.  $\square$

Above, we fixed a differential on  $\mathfrak{g}$  but in fact, there are many differentials on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such as the inner differentials; i.e.  $\text{ad}_a$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  such that  $\text{ad}_a^2 = 0$ . Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  is abelian, all of its inner differentials are trivial. This means,  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  is *always* a morphism of differential graded Lie algebras no matter what inner differential we put on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . So it is natural to ask whether  $f : (\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_a) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_{hol}, 0)$  is a quasi-isomorphism for a general inner differential  $\text{ad}_a$ .

To answer this question, we must first of all find all the inner differentials of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Such a problem naturally lies in the context of deformation theory of graded Lie algebras as follows. Consider  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a differential graded Lie algebra with the trivial differential  $D \equiv 0$ . Then the Maurer-Cartan equation for  $(\mathfrak{g}, 0)$  exactly reads:

$$[a, a] = 0, a \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

So  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is a Maurer-Cartan solution for  $(\mathfrak{g}, 0)$  if and only if  $\text{ad}_a$  is an inner differential on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Denote by  $MC(\mathfrak{g})$  the algebraic set of Maurer-Cartan solutions for  $(\mathfrak{g}, 0)$ . Notice that if  $a \in MC(\mathfrak{g})$  then so is  $\lambda a$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . This means  $MC(\mathfrak{g})$  is the affine cone over its projectification  $\mathbb{P}MC(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** The map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}MC(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $[s:t] \mapsto d_{s,t} = s^3\bar{\mu} + s^2t\bar{\partial} + st^2\partial + t^3\mu$  is an isomorphism. In particular  $\mathbb{P}MC(\mathfrak{g})$  is a twisted cubic.

*Proof.* We express  $a$  in the basis:  $a = x\bar{\mu} + y\bar{\partial} + z\partial + w\mu$ . Then, by expanding the (quadratic) equation  $[a, a] = 0$ , we have that  $a$  is a solution to this Maurer-Cartan equation if and only if the following three (quadratic) equations are satisfied:

$$xz - y^2 = 0,$$

$$yw - z^2 = 0,$$

$$xw - yz = 0.$$

These are exactly the equations in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  defining the standard twisted cubic. The map  $[s:t] \mapsto d_{s,t} = s^3\bar{\mu} + s^2t\bar{\partial} + st^2\partial + t^3\mu$  is a parametrization of the twisted cubic.  $\square$

It follows from the above proposition that  $MC(\mathfrak{g})$  is in bijection with  $\mathbb{A}^2$ . This is in fact expected from deformation theory point of view. To elaborate, we first observe that the deformation problems associated to inner differentials are all *equivalent*. Indeed, if  $[\delta, -]$  is an inner differential, its corresponding Maurer-Cartan equation is

$$[\delta + a, \delta + a] = 0, a \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

Thus  $a \mapsto \delta + a$  establishes an isomorphism  $MC(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_\delta) \cong MC(\mathfrak{g})$ . In particular we have  $MC(\mathfrak{g}) \cong MC(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_d)$ . Recall Theorem 3.1 shows  $(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_d)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{g}_{hol}, 0)$ . Therefore by Goldman-Millson's theorem [GM88],  $MC(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_d)$  is in bijection with  $MC(\mathfrak{g}_{hol})$  (the gauge actions are trivial since  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathfrak{g}_{hol})_0 = 0$ ). The latter is easily seen to be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$ .

We note that, even though  $MC(\mathfrak{g})$  is in bijection with  $\mathbb{A}^2$ , it is *not* isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^2$  as algebraic varieties, since  $MC(\mathfrak{g})$  is singular at its cone point while  $\mathbb{A}^2$  is smooth.

**Remark 3.4.** Under the parametrization given in Proposition 3.3, we have  $d_{1,0} = \bar{\mu}$ ,  $d_{0,1} = \mu$  and  $d_{1,1} = d$ .

Now that we have found all the inner differentials  $d_{s,t}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we would like to determine  $H(\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}_{d_{s,t}})$ . For simplicity of notation, henceforth we shall assume it is understood that the action of  $d_{s,t}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is through the adjoint action, and so we simply write  $d_{s,t}$  for  $\text{ad}_{d_{s,t}}$ .

The first cohomology  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$  coincides with the group of 1-cocycles  $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$  since  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = 0$ . By [GM88],  $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$  is the Zariski tangent space of  $MC(\mathfrak{g})$  at  $d_{s,t}$ . So for  $(s,t) \neq (0,0)$ ,  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$  is 2-dimensional and spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} d_{s,t} &= 3s^2 \bar{\mu} + 2st \bar{\partial} + t^2 \partial, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} d_{s,t} &= 3t^2 \mu + 2ts \partial + s^2 \bar{\partial}. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively notice that  $[d_{s,t}, d_{s,t}] = 0$  implies  $0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [d_{s,t}, d_{s,t}] = 2[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} d_{s,t}, d_{s,t}]$  and similarly  $2[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} d_{s,t}, d_{s,t}] = 0$ . Hence  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} d_{s,t}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} d_{s,t}$  are contained in  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$ . It is an easy exercise for the reader to verify they are linearly independent and span  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$ .

The following lemma picks out a geometrically more interesting basis for  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $st \neq 0$ ,  $d_{s,t}$  and

$$d_{s,t}^J = \sqrt{-1}(3s^3 \bar{\mu} + s^2 t \bar{\partial} - st^2 \partial - 3t^3 \mu)$$

span  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$ . Moreover

- (i)  $d_{s,t}$  is a real operator (i.e. it is conjugate to itself) if and only if  $d_{s,t}^J$  is a real operator;
- (ii)  $[d_{s,t}^J, d_{s,t}^J] = 0$  if and only if  $[\partial, \partial] = [\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}] = [\partial, \bar{\partial}] = 0$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 3d_{s,t} &= s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} d_{s,t} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} d_{s,t}, \\ 3\sqrt{-1}d_{s,t}^J &= -s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} d_{s,t} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} d_{s,t}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest is straightforward.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** In particular  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, d)$  is spanned  $d$  and  $d^J = \sqrt{-1}(3\bar{\mu} + \bar{\partial} - \partial - 3\mu)$ . The authors learned from Scott Wilson that the action of the operator  $d^J$  on differential forms of an almost complex manifold  $(M, J)$  coincides with the (graded) commutator  $\mathcal{L}_J = [d, J]$ , where  $J$  acts on differential forms by extending its action on 1-forms to an derivation on the de Rham algebra of all forms. See [CKT19] for more on the operator  $\mathcal{L}_J$ .

Recall we have proved for  $(s,t) = (1,0), (0,1)$  and  $(1,1)$  that  $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t})$  vanishes for  $k > 2$ . It turns out the same is true for all  $(s,t) \neq (0,0)$ . This follows immediately from the lemma below by considering the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on itself.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $V$  be a bigraded module of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that is  $V$  carries a bigrading and the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on  $V$  is a bigraded one. Then the cohomology of  $V$  with respect to  $d_{s,t}$ ,  $H(V, d_{s,t})$ , is naturally isomorphic to  $H(V, d)$  for  $st \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_{s,t} : V^{p,q} \rightarrow V^{p,q}$  be multiplication by  $s^{p+2q}t^{2p+q}$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $d_{s,t}$  is conjugate to  $d$  by  $\varphi_{s,t}$ ; i.e.  $\varphi_{s,t}d = d_{s,t}\varphi_{s,t}$ . Thus,  $\varphi_{s,t}$  induces the desired isomorphism on cohomology.  $\square$


**Corollary 3.8.**  $f : (\mathfrak{g}, d_{s,t}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_{hol}, 0)$  is a quasi-isomorphism provided  $st \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Using the basis given by Lemma 3.5,  $f$  is seen to be an isomorphism on  $H^1$ . Since higher cohomology groups vanish on both sides, this proves the statement.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** For  $st = 0$ ,  $f$  is no longer a quasi-isomorphism. For example,  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\mu})$  is spanned by  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  but  $f$  takes  $\bar{\mu}$  to zero. The behavior of the quotient map  $f$  naturally puts a (Whitney) stratification on  $MC(\mathfrak{g})$  which in coordinate  $(s, t)$  is  $\{st \neq 0\} \sqcup \{st = 0 \text{ but } (s, t) \neq (0, 0)\} \sqcup \{(0, 0)\}$ . The nullity of the induced map of  $f$  on  $H^1$  is constant on each stratum.

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

As mentioned in the introduction, understanding the structure and cohomology of these algebras generated by  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$  is the first step in a larger program of understanding almost complex geometry. The next step is to consider the representations of these algebras since we naturally want to consider their actions on the differential forms of almost complex manifolds. The representations for  $\mathfrak{g}_{hol}$  are relatively simple [Ste21]: they can be decomposed into ‘‘dots’’, ‘‘lines’’, ‘‘squares’’ and ‘‘zig-zags’’. In contrast, the following example shows the representation theory for  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be complicated. Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ .



Here each node represents a basis vector and the arrows correspond to the operators  $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\partial}, \partial, \mu$ , which are drawn in the way indicating their bidegrees. Arrows corresponding to vanishing operators, such as  $\bar{\mu}(\bar{\mu}x) = 0$ , are omitted from the diagram.

This family of representations by construction descends to a family of faithful representations of the 6-dimensional quotient  $\mathfrak{g}/([\partial, \bar{\partial}])$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}/([\partial, \bar{\partial}])$  generated by  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  is isomorphic to the homotopy Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  tensored with  $\mathbb{C}$ . To understand representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , it should be necessary as a first step to analyze representations of this special quotient  $\mathfrak{g}/([\partial, \bar{\partial}])$ . As simple as  $\mathfrak{g}/([\partial, \bar{\partial}])$  may appear, it is nilpotent. Yet general representation theory for nilpotent graded Lie algebras is not well-developed.

#### REFERENCES

- [CKT19] Ki Fung Chan, Spiro Karigiannis, and Chi Cheuk Tsang. Cohomologies on almost complex manifolds and the  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}$ -lemma. *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 23(4):561–584, 2019.
- [CW21] Joana Cirici and Scott O. Wilson. Dolbeault cohomology for almost complex manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 391:107970, 52, 2021.
- [FHT01] Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas. *Rational homotopy theory*, volume 205 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [GM88] William M. Goldman and John J. Millson. The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 67:43–96, 1988.
- [MM65] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore. On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 81:211–264, 1965.
- [PSU20] Dan Popovici, Jonas Stelzig, and Luis Ugarte. Higher-page hodge theory of compact complex manifolds. [arxiv.org/abs/2001.02313](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02313), 2020.
- [Qui69] Daniel Quillen. Rational homotopy theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 90:205–295, 1969.
- [Ste21] Jonas Stelzig. On the structure of double complexes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 104(2):956–988, 2021.

STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 100 NICOLLS ROAD, 11794 STONY BROOK  
*Email address:* [shamuel.ayeung@stonybrook.edu](mailto:shamuel.ayeung@stonybrook.edu)

STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 100 NICOLLS ROAD, 11794 STONY BROOK  
*Email address:* [jin-cheng.guu@stonybrook.edu](mailto:jin-cheng.guu@stonybrook.edu)

STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 100 NICOLLS ROAD, 11794 STONY BROOK  
*Email address:* [jiahao.hu@stonybrook.edu](mailto:jiahao.hu@stonybrook.edu)