

Mathematical Foundations of Complex Tonality

Jeffrey R. Boland¹ and Lane P. Hughston²

¹*Syndikat LLC, 215 South Santa Fe Avenue,
Los Angeles, California 90012, USA*

²*Department of Computing,
Goldsmiths University of London,
New Cross, London SE14 6NW, UK*

Equal temperament, in which semitones are tuned in the irrational ratio of $2^{1/12} : 1$, is best seen as a serviceable compromise, sacrificing purity for flexibility. Just intonation, in which intervals given by products of powers of 2, 3, and 5, is more natural, but of limited flexibility. We propose a new scheme in which ratios of Gaussian integers form the basis of an abstract tonal system. The tritone, so problematic in just temperament, given ambiguously by 45 : 32, 64 : 45, 36 : 25, or 25 : 18, none satisfactory, is in our scheme represented by the complex ratio $1 + i : 1$. The major and minor whole tones, given by intervals of $\frac{9}{8}$ and $\frac{10}{9}$, can each be factorized into products of complex semitones, giving us a major complex semitone $\frac{3}{4}(1 + i)$ and a minor complex semitone $\frac{1}{3}(3 + i)$. The perfect third, given by the interval $\frac{5}{4}$, factorizes into the product of a complex whole tone $\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2i)$ and its complex conjugate. Augmented with these supplementary tones, the resulting scheme of complex intervals based on products of powers of Gaussian primes leads very naturally to the construction of a complete system of major and minor scales in all keys.

Key words: Tone systems, Pythagorean tuning, just intonation, equal temperament, syntonic comma, Tristan chord, minor sixth chord, tritone, rational numbers, complex numbers, Gaussian integers.

I. TUNING SYSTEMS

For centuries, musicians and mathematicians alike have struggled with the challenges of temperament and tonality. The problem is that there is a paradox at the heart of Western music. This is the fact that no tuning system exists for keyboard instruments such that the harmonious intervals are perfectly tuned. Here we propose a radical solution based on the notion of *complex tonality*.

We begin with some basic terminology, leading up to a discussion of the just tuning system and its difficulties. On the keyboard, the white keys occur in groups of seven and are conventionally labelled C, D, E, F, G, A, B . Sometimes we complete the set by adding the octave c' at the top and we have the eight-note scale C, D, E, F, G, A, B, C' . The significance of the octave C' is that the frequency (the rate of vibration of a string sounding this note) is twice that of C . We refer to the interval between C and C' as an octave because there are eight white notes on the keyboard between C and C' (including the two notes themselves). Similarly, we refer to the interval between C and G as a fifth (since the interval includes five notes). The interval between C and E is a major third; the interval between C and F is a fourth; and we call the interval between C and A a major sixth.

Likewise, the interval between C and B is a major seventh. The interval between C and D is a whole tone or major second. The interval between B and C' is a semitone or minor second, as is the interval between E and F . The black keys give five notes labelled C_{\sharp} , D_{\sharp} , F_{\sharp} , G_{\sharp} , A_{\sharp} , or equivalently D_b , E_b , G_b , A_b , B_b . Putting these together we get the twelve tones (plus the octave) of the chromatic scale, represented by the notes C , C_{\sharp} , D , D_{\sharp} , E , F , F_{\sharp} , G , G_{\sharp} , A , A_{\sharp} , B , C' , or equivalently C , D_b , D , E_b , E , F , G_b , G , A_b , A , B_b , B , C' . The interval E_b/C is called a minor third; A_b/C is a minor sixth; B_b/C is a minor seventh. The interval F_{\sharp}/C is variously called a diminished fifth, an augmented fourth, or a tritone.

The question of which intervals are harmonious and which are dissonant is at least in part a matter of physiology, convention and culture, though it seems undeniable that mathematical elements enter (albeit unconsciously) into musical aesthetics in an essential way, even at the level of tonality [1, 2]. The tradition in Western music is that the major third E/C , the minor third E_b/C , the major sixth A/C and the minor sixth A_b/C are harmonious intervals. The fourth F/C and fifth G/C and octave C'/C are harmonious as well, but are more rigid or primitive in character. But the whole tone D/C , the semitone D_b/C , the major seventh B/C , the minor seventh B_b/C and the tritone F_{\sharp}/C are all to varying degrees dissonant.

It has been known since ancient times that there is a relation between harmonious intervals and the frequencies at which strings vibrate. Suppose we fix a length of string so that it will vibrate at about 261.62 Hertz, so-called middle C (or C_4). If we halve the length of string then the frequency of vibration is doubled, and we get C_5 , the note which is one octave above middle C . If we take one third of the length of string then the frequency is trebled, and G_5 , the G that lies a fifth above C_5 . One fourth of the length of the string vibrates at four times the original frequency, and one fifth vibrates at five times the original. A string vibrating at a frequency four times C_4 sounds at C_6 , two octaves above C_4 , and a string vibrating at five times C_4 sounds at E_6 . It follows that the frequency of G_4 will be half that of G_5 . If we use units of time such that the frequency of C_4 is unity, then $G_5 = 3$ and we deduce that $G_4 = \frac{3}{2}$. A similar argument gives $E_4 = \frac{5}{4}$.

We conclude that the fifth is given by the ratio $\frac{3}{2}$, the major third is the ratio $\frac{5}{4}$, and the minor third is the ratio of $\frac{3}{2}$ to $\frac{5}{4}$, namely $\frac{6}{5}$. Hence, starting at $C = 1$ we get $E_b = \frac{6}{5}$, $E = \frac{5}{4}$, $G = \frac{3}{2}$ and $C' = 2$. Similar arguments we show that $F = \frac{4}{3}$, $A_b = \frac{8}{5}$ and $A = \frac{5}{3}$.

Thus, we have characterized the harmonious intervals as products of powers of the prime numbers 2, 3 and 5. In particular, the minor third is $\frac{6}{5}$, the major third is $\frac{5}{4}$, the fourth is $\frac{4}{3}$, the fifth is $\frac{3}{2}$, the minor sixth is $\frac{8}{5}$, the major sixth is $\frac{5}{3}$, and the octave is 2.

The situation with dissonant intervals is a little trickier. The traditional view on dissonance involves the idea of shifting tonal centers. The tones that we have derived so far come about as a consequence of regarding C as the tonal center. But suppose we think of G as a new tonal center. This is like taking a new string two-thirds the length of the original and starting the analysis over. In this way we get a tone for the note D , which is a fifth above G . Since $G_4 = \frac{3}{2}$, we multiply $\frac{3}{2}$ times $\frac{3}{2}$ to obtain $D_5 = \frac{9}{4}$ and thus $D_4 = \frac{9}{8}$.

Since $C_4 = 1$, that tells us that for a whole tone we have an interval of $\frac{9}{8}$. In addition to setting $D = \frac{9}{8}$, we can assign the value $\frac{16}{9}$ to B_b , since there a whole tone between B_b and C' . Likewise, since $F = \frac{4}{3}$ is a semitone above $E = \frac{5}{4}$, we conclude that the interval of a semitone can be given by the ratio $\frac{16}{15}$. Finally, we can take F_{\sharp} to be given by a combination of a third and a whole tone above C . This gives the product of $\frac{5}{4}$ and $\frac{9}{8}$, namely $F_{\sharp} = \frac{45}{32}$. But is this the only candidate for F_{\sharp} ? We shall come back to this question shortly.

So, to sum up, we have tentatively assigned rational values to all the notes of the chromatic scale. This system of tuning is called "just intonation" and it has many attractive features.

In the just system the basic diatonic scale takes the form

$$C = 1, D = \frac{9}{8}, E = \frac{5}{4}, F = \frac{4}{3}, G = \frac{3}{2}, A = \frac{5}{3}, B = \frac{15}{8}, C' = 2, \quad (1)$$

and was promoted as such by Gioseffo Zarlino (1517-1590) and others from the time of the Renaissance onward, though its roots lay in the work of Didymus, Ptolemy, Porphyry and other scholars of the early Roman Empire [3, 4]. Then for the chromatic scale in its entirety (in the version of it that we have considered) one has

$$\begin{aligned} C = 1, D_b = \frac{16}{15}, D = \frac{9}{8}, E_b = \frac{6}{5}, E = \frac{5}{4}, F = \frac{4}{3}, G_b = \frac{45}{32}, \\ G = \frac{3}{2}, A_b = \frac{8}{5}, A = \frac{5}{3}, B_b = \frac{16}{9}, B = \frac{15}{8}, C' = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

More generally, we can use the term “just intonation” to refer broadly to all of the various tuning systems constructed out of intervals involving products of powers of 2, 3 and 5. The just diatonic scale (1) is fairly rigid as a mathematical object in the sense that there is only limited scope in modifying its entries. The just chromatic scale (2) is more flexible and indeed there are many other choices that can be made for its entries, as we shall see.

II. PROBLEMS WITH JUST INTONATION

The just system marks the beginning of modern thinking on tuning. A fine account of the virtues of just intonation can be found in the works of H. C. Longuet-Higgins [6]. But there are problems with the just system, and in the attempts at resolving these issues new ideas have come about in musical analysis.

Let us return to the idea of shifting the tonal center. This leads us from C_4 to G_4 to D_5 to A_5 and then on to E_6 . We get a value of $\frac{27}{8}$ for A_5 and hence $\frac{81}{16}$ for E_6 . But that implies $E_4 = \frac{81}{64}$, whereas we had already deduced $E_4 = \frac{80}{64}$, that is to say, $\frac{4}{3}$. The discrepancy $\frac{81}{80}$ arising here between the two different values of E_4 occurs frequently in the analysis of tonal systems and is called the syntonic comma (or comma of Didymus). Likewise, we had set $A = \frac{5}{3}$ ($= \frac{80}{48}$), whereas now we get $A = \frac{27}{16}$ ($= \frac{81}{48}$), again with a discrepancy of $\frac{81}{80}$. One sees that the just system is unstable under shifts in the tonal center.

Henceforth, let us write $\kappa = \frac{81}{80}$ for the syntonic comma. If we recall that our value for D was obtained by applying the cycle of fifths to G , we have to ask whether $D = \frac{9}{8}$ is consistent with $A = \frac{5}{3}$. One finds that the interval A/D is a bit flat for a fifth if $D = \frac{9}{8}$. But if we set $D = \frac{10}{9}$, the resulting interval is a perfect fifth. In fact, one can check that $\frac{9}{8} \div \frac{10}{9} = \kappa$. Thus, it seems inevitable that we must augment the just system with a second version of D taking the value $\frac{10}{9}$. Similarly, the value $B_b = \frac{16}{9}$ that we tentatively assigned is consistent with the idea that B_b should be a fourth above F . On the other hand, B_b can be regarded as a minor third above G or a fifth above E_b , and these alternatives give $B_b = \frac{9}{5}$. Thus, we need to assign two different values to B_b , namely $\frac{16}{9}$ and $\frac{9}{5}$, and we can check that $\frac{9}{5} \div \frac{16}{9} = \kappa$.

Likewise, one can show that four different values for F_{\sharp} are required, corresponding to the various ways that the interval F_{\sharp}/C can be formed out of simpler intervals. These are

$$F_{\sharp} = \frac{45}{32}, \quad F_{\sharp} = \frac{64}{45}, \quad F_{\sharp} = \frac{25}{18}, \quad F_{\sharp} = \frac{36}{25}. \quad (3)$$

Note that $\frac{45}{32} \div \frac{25}{18} = \kappa$ and that $\frac{45}{32}$ and $\frac{64}{45}$ are complements in the sense that their product is the octave. The multiplicity of these intervals is troubling, and all of these intervals are rather complicated when they are factorized into products of powers of primes. We call this “the problem of F_{\sharp} ”. Evidently, the just system is not as simple as it initially appears to be.

III. ATOMS, MOLECULES AND PARTICLES

To enable a possible way forward, it will be helpful if we look at the just system in terms of so-called “atoms”. The idea is that we introduce a collection of atoms such that each admissible tone can be regarded as a multiplicative aggregation of atoms into molecules. The notes in the just system are built up in this way. We introduce three atoms, given by

$$X = \frac{9}{8}, Y = \frac{10}{9}, Z = \frac{16}{15}. \quad (4)$$

Then one sees that

$$XY = \frac{5}{4}, XYZ = \frac{4}{3}, X^2YZ = \frac{3}{2}, X^2Y^2Z = \frac{5}{3}, X^3Y^2Z = \frac{15}{8}, X^3Y^2Z^2 = 2, \quad (5)$$

and hence that for the C -major scale in the just system we can write

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 1, D = X, E = XY, F = XYZ, \\ G &= X^2YZ, A = X^2Y^2Z, B = X^3Y^2Z, C' = X^3Y^2Z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Each note in the scale is represented by a “molecule” with a particular configuration of atoms, and as the scale progresses more atoms are aggregated.

We can use a short hand to indicate the order in which the atoms are added in. Thus, for the basic diatonic scale we have the aggregation sequence 1, X , Y , Z , X , Y , X , Z . The seven diatonic modal scales correspond to permutations of this sequence using the same atoms:

Ionian mode: $C, D, E, F, G, A, B, C' = 1, X, Y, Z, X, Y, X, Z$

Dorian mode: $C, D, E_b, F, G, A, B_b, C' = 1, X, Z, Y, X, Y, Z, X$

Phrygian mode: $C, D_b, E_b, F, G, A_b, B_b, C' = 1, Z, X, Y, X, Z, Y, X$

Lydian mode: $C, D, E, F_{\sharp}, G, A, B, C' = 1, X, Y, X, Z, Y, X, Z$

Mixolydian mode: $C, D, E, F, G, A, B_b, C' = 1, X, Y, Z, X, Y, Z, X$

Aeolian mode: $C, D, E_b, F, G, A_b, B_b, C' = 1, X, Z, Y, X, Z, Y, X$

Locrian mode: $C, D_b, E_b, F, G_b, A_b, B_b, C' = 1, Z, X, Y, Z, X, Y, X$.

It is interesting to note that Isaac Newton seems to have been in favour of the just system but preferred a version of the scheme based on the Dorian mode on account of the symmetric arrangement of the atoms arising in that case [5].

Inspection of the modal scales leads to another method for arriving at the accidentals of the chromatic scale. The resulting values for D_b , E_b , A_b , and B_b are consistent with the values we had tentatively recorded at (2). We obtain $B_b = XZYXYZ = \frac{16}{15}$ for the Dorian mode, $B_b = ZXYXZY = \frac{16}{15}$ for the Phrygian, $B_b = XYZXYZ = \frac{16}{15}$ for the Mixolydian, $B_b = XZYXZY = \frac{16}{15}$ for the Aeolian, and $B_b = ZXYZXY = \frac{16}{15}$ for the Locrian.

Likewise, we obtain $E_b = XZ = \frac{6}{5}$ for the Dorian and Aeolian modes, $E_b = ZX = \frac{6}{5}$ for the Phrygian and Locrian modes, $A_b = ZXYXZ = \frac{8}{5}$ for the Phrygian, $A_b = XZYXZ = \frac{8}{5}$ for the Aeolian, $A_b = ZXYZX = \frac{8}{5}$ for the Locrian, and $D_b = Z = \frac{16}{15}$ for the Locrian. But we get $F_{\sharp} = XYX = \frac{45}{32}$ in the Lydian mode and $G_b = ZXYZ = \frac{64}{45}$ in the Locrian mode. So the problem of F_{\sharp} rears its head again, since these modes give two different values.

The modal scales, in any case, are all rooted in the same underlying tonality, that of C . If we change the tonal center, then instabilities emerge in the form of new tones. Suppose, for example, we wish to make a selection of notes from the just chromatic scale to represent the notes of the G -major scale. As with the C -major diatonic scale, we can ask that the G -major scale should be constructed via the accumulation of atoms. This can be achieved as follows. We begin with the molecule for G_4 , which we know to be of the form X^2Y_Z . Then we add on successively the atoms Y, X, Z, X, Y, X, Z . The resulting tones correspond to existing notes on the just keyboard, providing we accept the value $F_{\sharp} = \frac{45}{16}$.

At the next step, however, things begin to go wrong. If we try to construct a D -major scale, then we can start at $D = \frac{9}{8}$ and add successive atoms by applying the sequence Y, X, Z, Y, X, X, Z . We obtain $F_{\sharp} = \frac{45}{32}$, which we have agreed is acceptable, but for $C_{\sharp 5}$ we obtain $\frac{135}{64}$, which is not one of the pre-existing tones. When we go on to look at the remaining sharp keys we obtain three more tones, given by $G_{\sharp} = \frac{25}{16}$, $D_{\sharp} = \frac{75}{32}$, and $A_{\sharp} = \frac{225}{128}$, contradicting the values previously assigned. The flat keys fare better, but in the key of D_b -major we obtain an $G_b = \frac{64}{45}$, which is different from the $F_{\sharp} = \frac{45}{32}$ we agreed on earlier. And in the key of G_b -major, we obtain $C_b = \frac{48}{25}$, which is different from the previously proposed tuning at $B = \frac{15}{8}$. Thus, if we try to represent the major scales by successive aggregation of the atoms proposed to construct the just diatonic scale, then we obtain new values for the notes to which we have already tentatively assigned values.

The generally accepted way around these difficulties in practice is the system of *equal temperament*, in which we introduce an irrational semitone

$$\psi = \sqrt[12]{2}, \quad (7)$$

which functions as a single ‘‘particle’’ out of which all the tones of the chromatic scale can be assembled by aggregation. Thus for the equally tempered chromatic scale we have

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 1, & C_{\sharp} &= \psi, & D &= \psi^2, & D_{\sharp} &= \psi^3, & E &= \psi^4, & F &= \psi^5, & F_{\sharp} &= \psi^6, \\ G &= \psi^7, & G_{\sharp} &= \psi^8, & A &= \psi^9, & A_{\sharp} &= \psi^{10}, & B &= \psi^{11}, & C' &= \psi^{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that $F_{\sharp} = \sqrt{2}$ and $C' = 2$. Under equal temperament none of the harmonious intervals are perfect. Nevertheless, overall, the system produces satisfactory results for music written to be played in that system. This includes, for example, most of the repertoire of music written for the piano. Equal temperament resolves the paradox of tuning by spreading the dissonance equally across the keyboard. Percy A. Scholes [7] goes further in his *Oxford Companion to Music* at page 1018, and says:

The whole development of musical composition in the nineteenth century was based on the acceptance of the twelve equal keys into which the composer could pass without let or hindrance. Wagner’s chromatic harmonies, for example, necessarily imply an orchestra with its instruments tuned ‘equally’.

Opponents of equal temperament claim that it flattens out the music, leaving it homogeneous and characterless. Worse still, although the fifths are reasonably accurate under equal temperament, the thirds are noticeably wide. Ross W. Duffin [8] puts the matter as follows:

This interval is the invisible elephant in our musical system today. Nobody notices how awful the major thirds are. Nobody comments. Nobody recognizes that the elephant exists. Living with this elephant is assumed to be so much better than the unknown alternatives that it’s a nonissue. Asked about it, some people even claim to prefer the elephant; they have grown to like elephantine thirds.

The disadvantages have been succinctly summarized by G. C. Klopp [9]:

Obviously, all keys are available and the possibilities of enharmonization are unlimited. However, these freedoms are dearly paid for: there is not one single pure interval; the thirds are especially poor, giving the triad an insecure and restless sound; there is no differentiation between the keys; melodic tensions are reduced; the temperament is difficult to set.

And finally, according to Sir Donald Tovey [10]:

No true harmonic ideas are based on equal temperament.

Indeed, one can take the view that from the eighteenth century onward the ever-increasing demand for modulations into keys far removed from the tonic has pushed the principles of traditional Western music as far as they can be taken. This suggests that we should return to the idea of a tone system and reconsider the conditions that we would like it to satisfy.

IV. CHROMATIC TONE SYSTEMS

Let us enquire, therefore, whether it is possible to create a version of the just chromatic scale by the successive aggregation of “particles” (semitones of various magnitudes) in such a way that the result includes all of the notes of the just diatonic scale as a subscale. This is a difficult question, but the answer is affirmative, as the following example, which can be attributed to Didymus [11], clearly illustrates. As particles we take

$$p = \frac{16}{15}, \quad q = \frac{135}{128}, \quad r = \frac{25}{24}. \quad (9)$$

An aggregation sequence that leads to the just chromatic scale (2) is then given as follows:

$$1, p, q, p, r, p, q, p, p, r, p, q, p. \quad (10)$$

That this sequence reproduces the atomic sequence $1, X, Y, Z, X, Y, X, Z$ for the just diatonic scale with $X = \frac{9}{8}$, $Y = \frac{10}{9}$ and $Z = \frac{16}{15}$ can easily be verified if one observes that $X = pq$, $Y = pr$ and $Z = p$. But is this the only chromatic scale that can be constructed from three rational constituents by successive aggregation in such a way that it includes the just diatonic scale as a subscale? The answer, perhaps surprisingly, turns out to be negative: in fact, there are many different versions of the chromatic scale that interleave the just diatonic scale. The situation can be summarized in a reasonably complete way in the following.

Theorem 1. *Let S denote a set of three rational semitones with the property that there exists a chromatic scale of twelve notes constructed by aggregation from elements of S interleaving the just diatonic scale $C = 1$, $D = \frac{9}{8}$, $E = \frac{5}{4}$, $F = \frac{4}{3}$, $G = \frac{3}{2}$, $A = \frac{5}{3}$, $B = \frac{15}{8}$, $C' = 2$. Three such sets exist, given by*

$$\text{System I: } S = \left\{ \frac{16}{15}, \frac{135}{128}, \frac{25}{24} \right\},$$

$$\text{System II: } S = \left\{ \frac{135}{128}, \frac{16}{15}, \frac{256}{243} \right\},$$

$$\text{System III: } S = \left\{ \frac{25}{24}, \frac{27}{25}, \frac{16}{15} \right\}.$$

Each system gives rise to thirty-two distinct chromatic scales.

Proof. To construct a twelve-tone chromatic scale that interleaves the just diatonic scale, we need to assemble the atoms $X = \frac{9}{8}$, $Y = \frac{10}{9}$, $Z = \frac{16}{15}$ from a set of three particles $\{p, q, r\}$ in such a way that X and Y each contain two particles, and Z contains a single particle. Clearly, X and Y share a particle in common, say, p . Then without loss of generality we can set $X = pq$ and $Y = pr$, and Z will take one of the values p, q, r . Thus, $pq = \frac{9}{8}$ and $pr = \frac{10}{9}$, and either $p = \frac{16}{15}$ (System 1), or $q = \frac{16}{15}$ (System 2), or $r = \frac{16}{15}$ (System 3). Solving for p, q, r , we obtain the three systems stated in the theorem. In any one of the systems, the order of aggregation for the constituents of X and the constituents of Y can be interchanged for each X and each Y . Since there are three X s and two Y s, that gives us five possible interchanges, and hence thirty-two different variations for each system. \square

It may be helpful if we set out the resulting structure of the chromatic scale in a little more detail, showing the breakdown of composite atoms X and Y into their particle constituencies:

$$\text{System I: } \frac{9}{8} = \frac{16}{15} \cdot \frac{135}{128}, \quad \frac{10}{9} = \frac{16}{15} \cdot \frac{25}{24},$$

$$\text{System II: } \frac{9}{8} = \frac{135}{128} \cdot \frac{16}{15}, \quad \frac{10}{9} = \frac{135}{128} \cdot \frac{256}{243},$$

$$\text{System III: } \frac{9}{8} = \frac{25}{24} \cdot \frac{27}{25}, \quad \frac{10}{9} = \frac{25}{24} \cdot \frac{16}{15}.$$

It is interesting to note that all of the intervals arising here are well-established in the theory of tone systems under a variety of names [2, 6, 12]. We have already met the major tone $\frac{9}{8}$, the minor tone $\frac{10}{9}$, and the (minor) diatonic semitone $\frac{16}{15}$. Now we also meet the minor chromatic semitone $\frac{25}{24}$, the major chromatic semitone $\frac{135}{128}$, the major diatonic semitone $\frac{27}{25}$, and the minor Pythagorean semitone $\frac{256}{243}$. Various relations involving the syntonic comma $\kappa = \frac{81}{80}$ hold between these intervals. For instance, one has:

$$\frac{10}{9} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \frac{9}{8}, \quad \frac{256}{243} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \frac{16}{15} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \frac{27}{25}, \quad \frac{25}{24} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \frac{135}{128}. \quad (11)$$

Summing up, we have seen that the just system of tuning can be viewed as an example of an chromatic tone system in which the various notes of the established scales are assembled from a small number of semitone particles, and we have been able to present a more or less full account of the tuning schemes that can be constructed on the premise that the just diatonic scale is preserved.

Nevertheless, we are left with the uncomfortable fact that the resulting systems of semitones involve products of rather high powers of prime numbers. Is it possible to improve on situation in some way, bringing the powers of the primes down in such a manner that the resulting numbers represent harmonious intervals?

V. WELL-TEMPERED COMPLEXITY

We shall give an interesting example of such a scheme. It goes outside of the usual conventions of music by allowing tones to be *complex numbers*. We begin with the observation that all of the intervals under consideration in the just system are products of powers of the numbers 2, 3 and 5. These numbers are primes in the conventional sense, but 2 and 5 can be factorized over the complex numbers. We have $2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$ and $5 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)$. Since the note F_{\sharp} lies midway between C and C' , this suggests that we should set

$$F_{\sharp} = 1 + i. \quad (12)$$

It is not obvious *a priori* what a complex tone means musically, but since the numbers involved are simple and striking, we are encouraged to explore further, to add further complex notes. Let us write ξ for $1 + i$. As basic intervals we retain the whole tones $X = \frac{9}{8}$ and $Y = \frac{10}{9}$, and the semitone $Z = \frac{16}{15}$, and supplement these with a complex semitone $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}\xi$ and a complex whole tone $\delta = \frac{4}{5}\xi$. In our complex scheme we'll keep the just values for $E = \frac{5}{4}$, $F = \frac{4}{3}$, $G = \frac{3}{2}$, and $A = \frac{5}{3}$. Then in addition to $F_{\sharp} = \xi$ we set $C_{\sharp} = \frac{3}{4}\xi$, $D_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{6}\xi$, and $A_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{4}\xi$, since these notes are a fourth below F_{\sharp} , a third below F_{\sharp} , and a third above F_{\sharp} .

We also need values for D , G_{\sharp} and B . If we set $D = \frac{9}{8}$, then although it is a fourth below G , it is a “wolf” fifth below A , since $A/D = \frac{5}{3} \div \frac{9}{8} = \frac{40}{27}$. On the other hand, if we set $D = \frac{10}{9}$, then D would lie a fifth below A , but would be a “wolf” fourth below G , since $\frac{3}{2} \div \frac{10}{9} = \frac{27}{20}$. Evidently, neither of the choices $D = \frac{9}{8}$ and $D = \frac{10}{9}$ are satisfactory.

The resolution of this dilemma brings in the other complex factorization that we have at our disposal, namely $5 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)$. Then, in addition to the complex semitone $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}\xi$, we obtain another complex semitone, namely $\beta = \frac{1}{3}(3 + i)$, which allows us to set $G_{\sharp} = \frac{1}{2}(3 - i)$, a semitone above G . We observe that both of the diatonic whole tones factorize: we have $\frac{9}{8} = \alpha\bar{\alpha}$ and $\frac{10}{9} = \beta\bar{\beta}$. The product of these complex semitones gives a complex whole tone which we define by setting $\alpha\beta = \gamma$, and one can check that $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2i)$.

Note that $\gamma\bar{\gamma} = \frac{5}{4}$, so γ lies midway between C and E in the same sense that ξ lies midway between C and C' . Thus, we set $D = \gamma$, banishing the wolves by moving D off into the complex. We remark that the intervals $G/D = \frac{3}{5}(1 - 2i)$ and $A/D = \frac{2}{3}(1 - 2i)$ are products of low powers of Gaussian primes and hence can be regarded as consonant in our scheme. These intervals can be contrasted with the wolves $\frac{40}{27}$ and $\frac{27}{20}$ that arise in the just scheme.

The other unsatisfactory aspect of the just scheme is the value of $B = \frac{15}{8}$. This gives $B/F = \frac{45}{32}$, the same harsh interval that we eliminated by setting $F_{\sharp} = \xi$. That suggests that instead of $B = \frac{15}{8}$ we should set $B = \frac{4}{3}\xi$. This gives the simple ratio $B/F = \xi$, which by virtue of the fact that it involves only a single prime ought to be regarded as consonant.

Ordinarily, the tritone B/F is taken to be a dissonant interval, but there are settings in which it has a harmonious effect. This occurs notably in the context of the minor sixth chord and its permutations. Perhaps the most well known of these mysteriously harmonious chords involving the tritone is the so-called Tristan chord $\{F, B, D_{\sharp}, G_{\sharp}\}$, where in the presence of the two higher notes the underlying tritone seems to lose its dissonance in such a way that the chord as an *entièreté* acquires an almost uncanny sense of beauty that permeates the whole of Richard Wagner's masterpiece, the opera *Tristan und Isolde*.

How does this come about? We can only speculate, but it may be that in isolation the interval B/F is perceived by the brain as the dissonant fraction $\frac{45}{32}$; but when nudged by the presence of the other elements of the chord the brain flips (as it does in the case of optical illusions) into a perception of this strange interval as the most basic of the Gaussian primes.

Summing up, with the various adjustments in place, we conclude that the C -major scale in our new complex scheme takes the form

$$C = 1, D = \gamma, E = \frac{5}{4}, F = \frac{4}{3}, G = \frac{3}{2}, A = \frac{5}{3}, B = \frac{4}{3}\xi, C' = 2, \quad (13)$$

with the aggregation sequence $1, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}, Z, X, Y, \delta, \bar{\alpha}$. Let's see what happens when we change keys, noting how the new choice for F_{\sharp} fits into the scheme. The G -major scale is given by

$$G = \frac{3}{4}, A = \frac{5}{6}, B = \frac{2}{3}\xi, C = 1, D = \gamma, E = \frac{5}{4}, F_{\sharp} = \xi, G' = \frac{3}{2}, \quad (14)$$

starting at G_3 . For the corresponding sequence of atoms we have $1, Y, \delta, \bar{\alpha}, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}, \delta, \bar{\alpha}$.

In particular, one notices that $\delta = \frac{4}{5}\xi$ lifts the $E = \frac{5}{4}$ up to $F_{\sharp} = \xi$. Then one sees that $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{3}{4}\bar{\xi}$ lifts the F_{\sharp} up to $G' = \frac{3}{2}$, by use of the relation $\xi\bar{\xi} = 2$.

As another example, let us look at the key of D -major. In this case, there is an added level of complexity on account of the two sharps and the fact that D itself is a complex tone:

$$D = \gamma, E = \frac{5}{4}, F_{\sharp} = \xi, G = \frac{3}{2}, A = \frac{5}{3}, B = \frac{4}{3}\xi, C'_{\sharp} = \frac{3}{2}\xi, D' = 2\gamma. \quad (15)$$

For the corresponding sequence of atoms we obtain $1, \bar{\gamma}, \delta, \bar{\alpha}, Y, \delta, X, \beta$. The treatment of the F_{\sharp} is like that of the previous example. For the C'_{\sharp} , we see that $X = \frac{9}{8}$ lifts $B = \frac{4}{3}\xi$ to $C_{\sharp} = \frac{3}{2}\xi$, and then β lifts C_{\sharp} to $D' = 2\gamma$, by use of the identity $\alpha\beta = \gamma$. Essentially the same line of reasoning can be applied to the keys of A -major, E -major, B -major and F_{\sharp} -major.

The flat keys show a slightly different pattern. For example, in the case of F -major, starting at F_4 , we have

$$F = \frac{4}{3}, G = \frac{3}{2}, A = \frac{5}{3}, B_b = \frac{5}{4}\xi, C' = 2, D' = \frac{9}{4}, E' = \frac{5}{2}, F' = \frac{8}{3}, \quad (16)$$

with the aggregation sequence $1, X, Y, \alpha, \bar{\delta}, X, Y, Z$. Note that the order of α and δ is opposite to that in the sharp keys. Thus $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}\bar{\xi}$ lifts $A = \frac{5}{3}$ to $B_b = \frac{5}{4}\xi$. Then $\beta = \frac{4}{5}\xi$ lifts B_b to $C' = 2$. Similar constructions apply to the keys of B_b, E_b, A_b, D_b, E_b and G_b .

VI. COMPLEX DIATONIC SCALES

With these additional elements in place we are in a position to present the complex scheme in its entirety. We begin by recalling a few results concerning the *Gaussian integers*. We write \mathbb{Z} for the ring of ordinary real integers, which we call *rational integers*. We write $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ for the ring of Gaussian integers, by which we mean complex numbers of the form $a+bi$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Gaussian integers can be added, subtracted and multiplied to yield other Gaussian integers. In what follows we often refer to Gaussian integers simply as “integers”. The complex conjugate of an integer $c = a+ib$ is denoted $\bar{c} = a-bi$, and we write $|c| = \sqrt{a^2+b^2}$ for the magnitude of c .

By a unity we mean an integer that divides any integer. There are four unities: $\pm 1, \pm i$, and for any integer n we refer to the four numbers $\pm n, \pm in$ as its associates. By a prime we mean any integer p , not 0, and not a unity, that is divisible only by its associates $\pm p, \pm ip$ and the units $\pm 1, \pm i$. Every integer n can be expressed in the form $n = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j$, where the p_j are primes. The fundamental theorem of arithmetic for Gaussian integers asserts that this expression is unique, apart from the order of the primes, the presence of unities, and ambiguities between associated primes [13].

By a real prime, we mean a Gaussian prime, in the sense just defined, that is real; the conventional primes are *rational* primes. For example, 3 and 7 are real primes, whereas $2 = (1+i)(1-i)$ and $5 = (1+2i)(1-2i)$ are not; but 2, 3, 5 and 7 are rational primes. A rational prime $p > 0$ is a real prime only if it is of the form $p = 4n+3$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A Gaussian integer $n = a+bi$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, is said to be *even* if a, b are both even or both odd in the usual sense, and otherwise n is *odd*. For real n this definition of even and odd coincides with the usual one. Then one can show that an integer is even if and only if it is divisible by $1+i$.

As usual, we write \mathbb{Q} for rational numbers, by which we mean numbers of the form a/b for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \neq 0$. The aggregate of numbers of the form $r+is$, with $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$, constitute the Gaussian rationals $\mathbb{Q}[i]$.

Given the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, one can show that any Gaussian rational has a unique factorization of the form $z = \prod_{j=1}^r (p_j)^{a_j}$, where the primes are distinct and the a_j are non-vanishing integers. The uniqueness is qualified up to permutation, the presence of unities, and ambiguities between associated primes.

It will have been observed that the tones (or intervals) that we have considered so far, apart from the equal temperament semitones, are Gaussian rationals and thus inherit from $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ the multiplicative group structure that is characteristic of the concatenation of intervals. In practice, we are concerned with Gaussian rationals that can be built up from a small basis of Gaussian primes. Let us say that a tone t is *complex five-limit* and write $t \in \mathcal{L}(5, \mathbb{C})$ if it takes the form

$$t = (1 + i)^a (1 - i)^b 3^c (1 + 2i)^d (1 - 2i)^e \quad (17)$$

for some collection of integers $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Z}$. It should be evident that a real five-limit tone $t \in \mathcal{L}(5, \mathbb{R})$, by which we mean a tone of the form $t = 2^p 3^q 5^r$, for some choice of $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, is necessarily a complex five-limit tone.

More generally, we write $t \in \mathcal{L}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if t can be expressed as a product of powers of prime factors of rational integers that are of magnitude less than or equal to n . Thus, for example, $t \in \mathcal{L}(3, \mathbb{C})$ consists of intervals of the form

$$t = (1 + i)^a (1 - i)^b 3^c. \quad (18)$$

It should be evident that $\mathcal{L}(n, \mathbb{C})$ has for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the structure of a free Abelian group and that $\mathcal{L}(m, \mathbb{C})$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{L}(n, \mathbb{C})$ for $m \leq n$.

This leads us to comment briefly on the significance of unities in our tonal language. The discussion above suggests that if $t \in \mathcal{L}(5, \mathbb{C})$ represents a complex tone and ϵ is a unity, then ϵt represents the same tone as t . Thus, t represents the same musical value as its associates. In particular, ξ , $-\xi$, $i\xi$, and $-i\xi$ all represent the same tone F_{\sharp} . But $-i\xi = \bar{\xi}$, so ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ both represent F_{\sharp} .

One might wonder then if there is some redundancy in the expression for (17), since $1 + i$ and $1 - i$ are associates, as we have just learned, and hence represent the same prime factor up to a unity. There is indeed some redundancy, but we can use

$$(1 + i)^a (1 - i)^b = (-i)^b (1 + i)^{a+b} \quad (19)$$

to put (17) in the form

$$t = \epsilon (1 + i)^a 3^b (1 + 2i)^c (1 - 2i)^d, \quad (20)$$

where ϵ is a unit, since, depending on whether $b = 0, 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ we find that $(-i)^b = 1, -i, -1, i$. Then writing $a' = a + b$, dropping the prime, and relabelling, we obtain (20). Depending on the context, we can use one or the other of the equivalent expressions (17) and (20) as a canonical representation for a given tone. The latter of these arises more naturally in the theory of prime numbers; but the former arises more directly in the theory of music.

Returning to the consideration of complex scales, let us take stock of the intervals at our disposal. We have the semitones $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1 + i)$, $\beta = \frac{1}{3}(3 + i)$ and $Z = \frac{16}{15}$, along with the whole tones $X = \frac{9}{8}$, $Y = \frac{10}{9}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2i)$ and $\delta = \frac{4}{5}(1 + i)$. These are all elements of $\mathcal{L}(5, \mathbb{C})$. The resulting scheme of intervals for the twelve major scales is as follows:

C major	$1 : 1, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}, Z, X, Y, \delta, \bar{\alpha}$
G major	$\frac{3}{2} : 1, Y, \delta, \bar{\alpha}, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}, \delta, \bar{\alpha}$
D major	$\gamma : 1, \bar{\gamma}, \delta, \bar{\alpha}, Y, \delta, X, \beta$
A major	$\frac{5}{6} : 1, \delta, X, \beta, \bar{\gamma}, \delta, \bar{\gamma}, \beta$
E major	$\frac{5}{4} : 1, \delta, \bar{\gamma}, \beta, \delta, X, Y, \bar{\alpha}$
B major	$\frac{2}{3}\xi : 1, X, Y, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, \bar{\gamma}, \gamma, Z$
F major	$\frac{4}{3} : 1, X, Y, \alpha, \bar{\delta}, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}, Z$
B_b major	$\frac{5}{8}\xi : 1, \bar{\delta}, \gamma, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\delta}, X, Y, \alpha$
E_b major	$\frac{5}{6}\xi : 1, \bar{\delta}, X, \bar{\beta}, \gamma, \bar{\delta}, \gamma, \bar{\beta}$
A_b major	$\frac{3}{4}\bar{\beta} : 1, \gamma, \bar{\delta}, \alpha, Y, \bar{\delta}, X, \bar{\beta}$
D_b major	$\frac{3}{4}\xi : 1, Y, \bar{\delta}, \alpha, \bar{\gamma}, \gamma, \bar{\delta}, \alpha$
G_b major	$\xi : 1, \bar{\gamma}, \gamma, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, Y, \bar{\delta}, \alpha.$

In the list above, the initial note is given for each of the major scales, followed by the aggregation sequence that generates it, which is different for each key. The notes C , E , F , G and A take the just values and for F_{\sharp} we have $\xi = 1 + i$. The minor scales can then be constructed as the Aeolians of the corresponding major scales. Thus, we obtain a complete system of major and minor scales in all keys.

VII. COMPLEX CHROMATIC SCALES

Remarkably, we can construct a single complex chromatic scale that can be used for the formation of all of the major scales given in the previous section, along with their modal variations. This scale is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C = 1, \quad C_{\sharp} = \frac{3}{4}(1 + i) \quad D = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2i), \quad D_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{6}(1 + i), \quad E = \frac{5}{4}, \quad F = \frac{4}{3}, \quad F_{\sharp} = 1 + i, \\
 G = \frac{3}{2}, \quad G_{\sharp} = \frac{1}{2}(3 - i), \quad A = \frac{5}{3}, \quad A_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{4}(1 + i), \quad B = \frac{4}{3}(1 + i), \quad C' = 2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Moreover, this complex chromatic scale can be constructed by aggregation in a very simple way. Only three semitones are required and the aggregation sequence is

$$1, \alpha, \beta, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha}, Z, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \beta, \alpha, Z, \bar{\alpha}, \tag{22}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1 + i)$, $\beta = \frac{1}{3}(3 + i)$, $Z = \frac{16}{15}$. Note that the first six intervals in the sequence are complex conjugate to the second six. Indeed, one can check that

$$\alpha\beta\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}Z\alpha = 1 + i, \quad \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}\beta\alpha Z\bar{\alpha} = 1 - i. \tag{23}$$

Thus, we have demonstrated the existence of a twelve-tone chromatic scale in the Gaussian rationals constructed by aggregation from a set of three complex semitones $\alpha, \beta, Z \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$ such that

$$C = 1, \quad E = \frac{5}{4}, \quad F = \frac{4}{3}, \quad F_{\sharp} = 1 + i, \quad G = \frac{3}{2}, \quad A = \frac{5}{3}, \quad C' = 2. \tag{24}$$

One is then led to ask if any other complex tuning systems exist based on three semitones. The answer is yes, and surprisingly we find that exactly three such systems exist. To establish this, we require a preliminary result.

Proposition 1. *A complex tone ζ will be said to be a phase factor if $\zeta\bar{\zeta} = 1$. A phase factor is complex five-limit if and only if it is of the form*

$$\zeta = \epsilon \left(\frac{3 + 4i}{5} \right)^k, \quad (25)$$

where ϵ is a unity and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. If ζ is a complex five-limit tone then it can be put into the form (17). Then for it to be a phase factor we require $b = -a$, $c = 0$ and $e = -d$. This implies that

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{1 - i}{1 + i} \right)^j \left(\frac{1 - 2i}{1 + 2i} \right)^k = (-i)^j (-1)^k \left(\frac{3 + 4i}{5} \right)^k \quad (26)$$

for some $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which shows that any complex five-limit phase factor is of the form (25). Conversely, since any unity is a complex five-limit phase factor, one can check that any tone of the form (25) is a complex five-limit phase factor. \square

Then we have the following:

Theorem 2. *Let S denote a set of three complex five-limit semitones satisfying (a) there exists a chromatic scale of twelve notes constructed by aggregation from the elements of S and their complex conjugates such that $C = 1$, $E = \frac{5}{4}$, $F = \frac{4}{3}$, $F\sharp = 1 + i$, $G = \frac{3}{2}$, $A = \frac{5}{3}$, $C' = 2$, and (b) the aggregation is conjugate symmetric, in the sense that the first six elements of the chromatic series are conjugates of the second six elements, in the same order. Then there are three such systems, given by*

$$\text{System I: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}(1 + i), \frac{1}{3}(3 + i)\zeta, \frac{16}{15} \right\}, \quad \zeta = \epsilon \left(\frac{3+4i}{5} \right)^k, \quad \epsilon \in \{1, -1, i, -i\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\text{System II: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}(1 + i), \frac{20}{27}(1 - i), \frac{16}{15} \right\},$$

$$\text{System III: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}(1 + i), \frac{25}{24}, \frac{16}{15} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let the sequence of aggregation take the form

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9, X_{10}, X_{11}, X_{12}, \quad (27)$$

where each of the X s belong to S . Conjugate symmetry implies the sequence takes the form

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, \bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \bar{X}_3, \bar{X}_4, \bar{X}_5, \bar{X}_6. \quad (28)$$

Then we impose the following constraints to fix the designated notes:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 X_2, X_3 X_4 = \frac{5}{4}, \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 = \frac{4}{3}, \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 = 1 + i, \\ X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 \bar{X}_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 \bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2 \bar{X}_3 = \frac{5}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

These relations give $X_1 = \alpha$, $X_2 X_3 = \frac{10}{9}$, $X_4 = \bar{\alpha}$, $X_5 = Z$, and $X_6 = \alpha$, where $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1 + i)$ and $Z = \frac{16}{15}$. Thus, S must contain α and Z alongside another particle. This remaining particle is determined by the constraint $X_2 X_3 = \frac{10}{9}$, and there are three possibilities:

System I. X_2 and X_3 are both new in this case, and hence complex conjugates, since there can be no more than three particles altogether. Thus we set $X_2 = \beta$ and $X_3 = \bar{\beta}$ for some new semitone β . The relation $\beta \bar{\beta} = \frac{10}{9}$ then implies

$$\beta = \frac{1}{3}(3 + i)\zeta, \quad (30)$$

for some phase factor ζ . Imposing the condition that β should be complex five-limit and using Proposition 1, one finds the solution for β is by

$$\beta = \frac{1}{3}(3 + i)\epsilon \left(\frac{3 + 4i}{5} \right)^k, \quad (31)$$

where ϵ is a unity and k is an integer. Thus for System I we obtain

$$S = \{\alpha, \beta, Z\}. \quad (32)$$

This is identical to the example we considered earlier leading to a system of major and minor scales in all keys, only now with the inclusion of a phase factor parametrized by ϵ and k .

System II. In this case one of the semitones X_2 and X_3 is taken to be α or $\bar{\alpha}$. Let us say $X_2 = \bar{\alpha}$. Then $X_2 X_3 = \frac{10}{9}$ implies $X_3 = \frac{20}{27}(1 + i)$. But if we express X_3 as a multiple of α , then we obtain $X_3 = \kappa^{-1}\alpha$, and we see that the syntonic comma makes an appearance. Thus for System II we have

$$S = \{\alpha, \alpha', Z\}, \quad (33)$$

where $\alpha' = \kappa^{-1}\alpha$. Note that the two complex semitones are proportional in this case, with a real factor of proportionality. In fact, the relation between α and α' is analogous to that between X and Y in the just system, where $Y = \kappa^{-1}X$. Note that instead of $X_2 = \bar{\alpha}$, we could have taken $X_2 = \alpha$, or $X_3 = \alpha$, or $X_3 = \bar{\alpha}$. Thus, System II occurs in four variants.

System III. In this case one of the semitones X_2 and X_3 is taken to be $\frac{16}{15}$. Let us say $X_3 = \frac{16}{15}$. Then we have $\frac{16}{15}X_2 = \frac{10}{9}$ which gives $X_2 = \frac{25}{24}$. Thus for System III we have

$$S = \{\alpha, \rho, Z\}, \quad (34)$$

where $\rho = \frac{25}{24}$. The interval ρ is well known in the theory of tuning and is variously referred to as the minor chromatic semitone or the small half-tone. Again, we could have taken $X_2 = \frac{16}{15}$ and $X_3 = \rho$, so we see that System III occurs in two variants. \square

We have already presented the complex chromatic scale and the associated aggregation sequence for System I at (21) and (22). These values are recorded in Table I alongside the details the other two systems of complex tonalities with three semitones. Under System II, a calculation shows that the aggregation sequence is

$$1, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha', \bar{\alpha}, Z, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \alpha', \alpha, Z, \bar{\alpha} \quad (35)$$

and the chromatic scale is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C = 1, C_{\sharp} = \frac{3}{4}(1 + i), D = \frac{9}{8}, D_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{6}(1 + i), E = \frac{5}{4}, F = \frac{4}{3}, F_{\sharp} = 1 + i, \\ G = \frac{3}{2}, G_{\sharp} = \frac{9}{8}(1 + i), A = \frac{5}{3}, A_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{4}(1 + i), B = \frac{4}{3}(1 + i), C' = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

This scale is characterized by the fact that the notes C, D, E, F, G, A, C' take on just values, whereas the remaining notes are given by multiples of ξ . In the variant shown, we have taken $X_2 = \bar{\alpha}, X_3 = \alpha'$, which leads to $D = \frac{9}{8}$. In the variant where $X_2 = \bar{\alpha}', X_3 = \alpha$, we obtain $D = \frac{10}{9}$. In both cases there is a wolf interval, either between D and A or between D and G . See Table II for further details of the variants of System II. Turning now to System III, we see that under its first variant the aggregation sequence takes the form

$$1, \alpha, Z, \rho, \bar{\alpha}, Z, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, Z, \rho, \alpha, Z, \bar{\alpha} \quad (37)$$

and for the chromatic scale we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C = 1, C_{\sharp} = \frac{3}{4}(1+i), D = \frac{4}{5}(1+i), D_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{6}(1+i), E = \frac{5}{4}, F = \frac{4}{3}, F_{\sharp} = 1+i, \\ G = \frac{3}{2}, G_{\sharp} = \frac{8}{5}, A = \frac{5}{3}, A_{\sharp} = \frac{5}{4}(1+i), B = \frac{4}{3}(1+i), C' = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Note that we have the simple ratio $A/D = \rho\xi$. We also have $G_{\sharp} = \frac{8}{5}$, which is the just value of this note. In the second variant of System III, we have $D = \frac{25}{32}\xi$ and $G_{\sharp} = \frac{25}{16}$, the latter being the so-called augmented fifth, which can be thought of as a compounding of two intervals of a third. In both variants of System III one has $G_{\sharp}/D = \bar{\xi}$. See Table III.

VIII. COMPLEX PYTHAGOREAN SYSTEMS

It is not unreasonable to ask whether one can construct complex chromatic systems based on two semitones, rather than three. Whereas the point of departure for the three-semitone complex system was the extended just scale, the case of two complex semitones begins with consideration of the ancient Pythagorean system, the elements of which we briefly recall.

We use the term ‘‘Pythagorean’’ to refer broadly to the entire class of tuning systems for which intervals are products of powers of the numbers two and three [14–16]. If we begin at $C = 1$, then an ascending sequence of tones for C, G, D, A, \dots can be constructed using the cycle of fifths by multiplying successive terms by $\frac{3}{2}$ and then normalizing with a factor involving a power of two to bring the pitch back into the original octave. Thus we obtain

$$C = 1, G = \frac{3}{2}, D = \frac{9}{8}, A = \frac{27}{16}, E = \frac{81}{64}, B = \frac{243}{128}, F_{\sharp} = \frac{729}{512}, \dots, \quad (39)$$

and so on up the cycle of fifths, but lowering the tones where necessary by one or more octaves to ensure that they all fall within the same octave. A descending sequence can be similarly constructed by multiplying successive terms by $\frac{2}{3}$, and normalizing, to give

$$C = 1, F = \frac{4}{3}, B_b = \frac{16}{9}, E_b = \frac{32}{27}, A_b = \frac{128}{81}, D_b = \frac{256}{243}, G_b = \frac{1024}{729}, \dots, \quad (40)$$

and so on down the cycle of fifths. The resulting F_{\sharp} is different from the G_b , but if we choose one or the other of them then we merge the two series to obtain a Pythagorean version of the chromatic scale, given (in this case, with the G_b) by

$$\begin{aligned} C = 1, D_b = \frac{256}{243}, D = \frac{9}{8}, E_b = \frac{32}{27}, E = \frac{81}{64}, F = \frac{4}{3}, G_b = \frac{1024}{729}, \\ G = \frac{3}{2}, A_b = \frac{128}{81}, A = \frac{27}{16}, B_b = \frac{16}{9}, B = \frac{243}{128}, C' = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

It is straightforward to verify that this scale is generated by aggregation from a pair of semitone particles, given by

$$\sigma = \frac{256}{243}, \quad \tau = \frac{2187}{2048}, \quad (42)$$

corresponding respectively to the D_{\sharp} of the descending series and the C_{\sharp} of the ascending series (one step beyond the F_{\sharp} that we have shown). The aggregating sequence is

$$1, \sigma, \tau, \sigma, \tau, \sigma, \sigma, \tau, \sigma, \tau, \sigma, \tau, \sigma. \quad (43)$$

The fact that the *diesis* σ appears seven times and *apotome* τ appears five times is reflected in the identity $\sigma^7 \tau^5 = 2$, which is easily checked by use of the relations $\sigma = 2^8 3^{-5}$ and $\tau = 2^{-11} 3^7$. See Table IV. The origins of the Pythagorean system are shrouded in mystery, but it should be evident that both the *pentatonic* scale,

$$C = 1, D = \frac{9}{8}, F = \frac{4}{3}, G = \frac{3}{2}, A = \frac{27}{16}, C' = 2, \quad (44)$$

and the *heptatonic* scale

$$C = 1, D = \frac{9}{8}, E = \frac{81}{64}, F = \frac{4}{3}, G = \frac{3}{2}, A = \frac{27}{16}, B = \frac{243}{128}, C' = 2 \quad (45)$$

can be generated aggregatively within this system by pairs of certain atoms, where each atom has a certain particle composition. In the case of the pentatonic scale, we have the whole tone $X = \frac{9}{8}$ given by $\sigma\tau$ and the minor third $W = \frac{32}{27}$ given by $\sigma^2\tau$. The associated aggregation sequence is $1, X, W, X, X, W$. But in the case of the heptatonic scale, the system is generated by the whole tone X and the semitone $\sigma = \frac{256}{243}$, with the aggregating sequence $1, X, X, \sigma, X, X, X, \sigma$.

One observes that if we sharpen the notes E , A and B of the just diatonic scale (1) by applying the syntonic comma, then we obtain the Pythagorean heptatonic scale. In particular, we have

$$\frac{5}{4} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \frac{81}{64}, \quad \frac{5}{3} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \frac{27}{16}, \quad \frac{15}{8} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \frac{243}{128}. \quad (46)$$

Once we have selected a twelve-tone chromatic Pythagorean scale by taking a strip of twelve successive notes from the infinite sequence rising above and descending below C , we can for convenience re-write our chromatic scale in such a way that the black keys are represented by notes with sharps, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} C = 1, C_{\sharp} = \frac{256}{243}, D = \frac{9}{8}, D_{\sharp} = \frac{32}{27}, E = \frac{81}{64}, F = \frac{4}{3}, F_{\sharp} = \frac{1024}{729}, \\ G = \frac{3}{2}, G_{\sharp} = \frac{128}{81}, A = \frac{27}{16}, A_{\sharp} = \frac{16}{9}, B = \frac{243}{128}, C' = 2. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

This expression for the chromatic scale is equivalent to that of (41), but is rather more well suited for a comparison to the scales we have developed based on three semitones. As in the case of three semitones, one sees that the F_{\sharp} is composed of high powers of primes.

Thus we are prompted us to search for a complex system with simpler features. Our approach will be to take as given the Pythagorean tones of the pentatonic scale and to supplement these by setting $F_{\sharp} = 1 + i$. We obtain the following:

Theorem 3. *Let S denote a system of two complex semitones satisfying (a) there exists a chromatic scale of twelve notes constructed by aggregation from elements of S and their complex conjugates such that $C = 1, D = \frac{9}{8}, F = \frac{4}{3}, F_{\sharp} = 1 + i, G = \frac{3}{2}, A = \frac{27}{16}, C' = 2$ and (b) the aggregation is conjugate symmetric, in the sense that the first six elements of the chromatic series are conjugates of the second six, in the same order. Then $S = \{\frac{3}{4}(1+i), \frac{256}{243}\}$.*

Proof. Let the sequence of aggregation take the form (27). When we impose conjugate symmetry the sequence is given by (28), as in the case of three semitones. Then we impose the following constraints to fix the notes of the pentatonic scale together with $F_{\sharp} = 1 + i$:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 X_2 &= \frac{9}{8}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 &= \frac{4}{3}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 &= 1 + i, \\ X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 \bar{X}_1 &= \frac{3}{2}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 \bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2 \bar{X}_3 &= \frac{27}{16}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

One can check that these relations imply that $X_1 = \alpha$, $X_2 = \bar{\alpha}$, $X_3 = \alpha$, $X_6 = \alpha$, and $X_4 X_5 = \frac{64}{81}\xi$. Taking into account that we need to have two semitones in total, we find that the system is uniquely determined to be $S = \{\alpha, \sigma\}$, where $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1 + i)$ and $\sigma = \frac{256}{243}$. \square

There are two variants: (i) $X_4 = \bar{\alpha}$, $X_5 = \frac{256}{243}$, and (ii) $X_4 = \frac{256}{243}$, $X_5 = \bar{\alpha}$. The resulting chromatic scale thus takes two different possible forms, depending on the choice of variant. This affects the notes E and A_{\sharp} . For variant (i) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 1, & C_{\sharp} &= \frac{3}{4}(1 + i) & D &= \frac{9}{8}, & D_{\sharp} &= \frac{27}{32}(1 + i), & E &= \frac{81}{64}, & F &= \frac{4}{3}, & F_{\sharp} &= 1 + i, \\ G &= \frac{3}{2}, & G_{\sharp} &= \frac{9}{8}(1 + i), & A &= \frac{27}{16}, & A_{\sharp} &= \frac{81}{64}(1 + i), & B &= \frac{4}{3}(1 + i), & C' &= 2, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

with the aggregating sequence

$$\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \sigma, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \sigma, \bar{\alpha}. \quad (50)$$

Then for variant (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 1, & C_{\sharp} &= \frac{3}{4}(1 + i) & D &= \frac{9}{8}, & D_{\sharp} &= \frac{27}{32}(1 + i), & E &= \frac{8}{9}(1 + i), & F &= \frac{4}{3}, & F_{\sharp} &= 1 + i, \\ G &= \frac{3}{2}, & G_{\sharp} &= \frac{9}{8}(1 + i), & A &= \frac{27}{16}, & A_{\sharp} &= \frac{16}{9}, & B &= \frac{4}{3}(1 + i), & C' &= 2, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

with the aggregating sequence

$$\alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \sigma, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \sigma, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}. \quad (52)$$

It is interesting to observe that it was not necessary to assume that the semitones are complex three-limit in the formulation of Theorem 3. Rather, this feature emerges as a consequence of the weaker conditions that we have imposed. We remark also that the note B takes the ‘‘Tristan’’ value $\frac{4}{3}(1 + i)$ in both variants of the system, in common with the complex five-limit three-semitone scales. This seems to be a stable feature of complex tuning.

IX. BACK TO REALITY

One can ask whether the complex tone systems that we have considered give rise in some sense to real ‘‘shadow’’ systems, where the magnitudes of the complex numbers under consideration might play a role.

It would thus seem natural to look for an analogue of Theorem 2 in which the role of ξ is replaced by $|\xi| = \sqrt{2}$. This takes us out of the rational framework, but is nevertheless of interest since the results run in parallel with the complex system in a nice way. In any case, since one has $F_{\sharp} = \sqrt{2}$ in equal temperament, it makes sense to pursue the matter and see what we get. We obtain the following:

Theorem 4. *Let S denote a system of three real semitones satisfying (a) there exists a chromatic scale of twelve notes constructed by aggregation from elements of S such that $C = 1$, $E = \frac{5}{4}$, $F = \frac{4}{3}$, $F_{\sharp} = \sqrt{2}$, $G = \frac{3}{2}$, $A = \frac{5}{3}$, $C' = 2$, and (b) the aggregation is symmetric, in the sense that the first six elements of the chromatic series equal the second six elements, in the same order. Then there are three such systems, given by*

$$\text{System I: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}, \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{10}, \frac{16}{15} \right\},$$

$$\text{System II: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}, \frac{20}{27}\sqrt{2}, \frac{16}{15} \right\},$$

$$\text{System III: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}, \frac{25}{24}, \frac{16}{15} \right\}.$$

Proof. The aggregation sequence is evidently of the form

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, \quad (53)$$

with real entries, and the constraints are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_1 &= \frac{5}{4}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 &= \frac{4}{3}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 &= \sqrt{2}, \\ X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_1 &= \frac{3}{2}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_1 X_2 X_3 &= \frac{5}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

It follows that $X_1 = X_4 = X_6 = \theta$, $X_5 = \frac{16}{15}$, and $X_2 X_3 = \frac{10}{9}$, where $\theta = \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}$. The need for three semitones in total implies that either (i) $X_2 X_3 = \eta^2$, or (ii) $X_2 X_3 = \theta \eta$, or (iii) $X_2 X_3 = \frac{16}{15} \eta$, where η is the third semitone. Then by solution of the constraint $X_2 X_3 = \frac{10}{9}$ we are led to the three systems in the claimed result. \square

It should be apparent that Systems II and III each have two variants, depending on the order chosen for the semitones X_2 and X_3 . An analogous result can be obtained for the real shadow of the complex Pythagorean system, where we look at the construction of a real two-semitone system matching the Pythagorean pentatonic scale and satisfying $F_{\sharp} = \sqrt{2}$.

Theorem 5. *Let S denote a system of two real semitones satisfying (a) there exists a chromatic scale of twelve notes constructed by aggregation from elements of S such that $C = 1$, $D = \frac{9}{8}$, $F = \frac{4}{3}$, $F_{\sharp} = \sqrt{2}$, $G = \frac{3}{2}$, $A = \frac{27}{16}$, $C' = 2$ and (b) the aggregation is symmetric in the sense that the first six elements of the chromatic series equal the second six elements in the same order. Then there are two such systems, given by*

$$\text{System I: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}, \frac{256}{243} \right\},$$

$$\text{System II: } S = \left\{ \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}, \frac{8}{9}\sqrt[4]{2} \right\}.$$

Proof. The aggregation sequence is again of the form (53), with real entries, and the constraints are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 X_2 &= \frac{9}{8}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 &= \frac{4}{3}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 &= \sqrt{2}, \\ X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_1 &= \frac{3}{2}, & X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_1 X_2 X_3 &= \frac{27}{16}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

It follows that $X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = X_6 = \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}$ and $X_4 X_5 = \frac{64}{81}\sqrt{2}$. That there should be two semitones implies that either $X_4 X_5 = \theta \sigma$, where $\theta = \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}$ and $\sigma = \frac{256}{243}$ is the second semitone, or else $X_4 X_5 = \nu^2$, where $\nu = \frac{8}{9}\sqrt[4]{2}$ is the second semitone. This gives the systems stated in the theorem, and we note that for System I there are two variants: (a) $X_4 = \theta$, $X_5 = \sigma$, (b) $X_4 = \sigma$, $X_5 = \theta$. We remark that System I is based on the magnitudes of the complex Pythagorean system presented in Theorem 3, but System II arises independently a second solution of the conditions of Theorem 5. \square

X. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Complex tonality opens up the possibility of genuinely new types of musical structures and perhaps also helps us to understand existing structures. Let us take stock of the tone systems that we have considered, recalling the methods used and the results obtained. In Sections I to IV we present the just system of intonation, originating in antiquity and then much studied in our era during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. We introduce the idea of atoms, molecules and particles as units of structure in the analysis of tone systems constructed by the aggregation of intervals. In Theorem 1, we give a systematic construction for chromatic extensions of the just system. We ask for all systems of three rational semitones with the property that the chromatic scales assembled by aggregating such tones touch all seven values of Zarlino’s scheme. We say that such systems are of the **Just-3** type. We show that three distinct solutions exist, which we call **Just-3 I**, **Just-3 II** and **Just-3 III**, each in thirty-two variants. The **Just-3 I** system, which has many good features, is the most well known of these.

In Sections V, VI and VII we introduce the idea of *complex tonality*, in which tones take on complex values given by ratios of Gaussian integers. The tone systems that arise in this way can be regarded as the basis for a new abstract form of music, which we call complex music, but can also be used as a new way of approaching the existing conventional harmonic and melodic structures of Western music in the common practice tradition.

In Theorem 2, we consider complex extensions of the just system, in which complex tones are admitted, but certain consonant intervals of the just scale are preserved. We introduce the notion of a complex n -limit tone, one that is a product of powers of Gaussian integers that are prime factors of rational integers of magnitude no more than n . We construct all systems that can be built up by aggregation from three complex 5-limit semitones (or “particles”), subject to a constraint called conjugation symmetry, which means that the second six tones in the aggregation sequence for the twelve-tone row of the chromatic scale are complex conjugates of the first six tones, in the same order. This condition is consistent with the requirement that the first six tones should aggregate to the value $1 + i$ for F_{\sharp} .

We argue that when the tritone is heard in isolation it is registered by the ear as harsh, but that in the context of the minor sixth chord the mind identifies this interval as the complex prime $1 + i$, which it treats as harmonious, pleasurable, or even exotic – thus perhaps justifying the term *diabolus in musica* often applied to this most unstable of intervals. We say the resulting three-semitone complex scales are of **Gauss-3** type, and we establish the existence of three such systems, which we call **Gauss-3 I**, **Gauss-3 II** and **Gauss-3 III**.

The **Gauss-3 I** system is of particular interest on account of its full use of the factorization of the number five and the abundance of intervals that it exhibits involving low powers of primes. The twelve major scales for this system are presented at the end of Section V.

In Section VIII we consider the possibility of an analogous construction for two-semitone complex 3-limit scales. In this case, we fix the values of the Pythagorean pentatonic scale and ask that the system should also take the value $1 + i$ at F_{\sharp} . The existence and uniqueness of such a scheme is established in Theorem 3 and we call it **Gauss-2**.

In Section IX, finally, we return to the consideration of real tuning systems, and ask for the construction of “shadow” systems based on the magnitudes of the intervals arising in the complex schemes. It turns out that this program can be carried out for both the complex three-semitone and the complex two-semitone systems. The results are provided in our Theorems 4 and 5, and we call the systems **Shadow-3** and **Shadow-2**.

In Tables I to IV we lay out the values of the tones of the chromatic scales for the various systems that we have considered, alongside the associated aggregation sequences, showing the variations that arise in some cases. In Tables V and VI we present a list of the complex tones occurring frequently in our analysis of complex tone systems. Our list is brief, but it can be compared to the more complete catalogues of real tones provided by Helmholtz [2], Longuet-Higgins [6], and Haluska [12], with which there is of course some overlap.

That the prime decompositions $2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$ and $5 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)$ lead to such a wealth of musical structure may come as a surprise to those who are new to the idea of complex tonality. But if the tuning of our ears cannot easily stretch beyond the confines of five-limit tones, whether real or complex, then our minds certainly can. Hence, from the perspective of the mathematician, the complex n -limit tone systems may very well constitute a natural framework within which music of the future can be composed.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for comments from participants at the RealTime Seminar in the Department of Computing at Goldsmiths University of London, June 2022, and the IMA Conference on Mathematics in Music at the Royal College of Music, London, July 2022, where drafts of this work were presented.

References

- [1] R. Penrose (2000) The Heritage of Pythagoras: Nineteen to the Dozen. In *Musicology and Sister Disciplines*, D. Greer, editor. Oxford University Press.
- [2] H. Helmholtz (1885) *On the Sensation of Tone as a Physiological Basis for the Theory of Music*, translated by A. J. Ellis. London: Longmans, Green and Co.
- [3] A. Barker (2011) Greek Musicologists in the Roman Empire. *Apeiron*, **27** (4), 53-74.
- [4] A. Barker (1984, 1989) *Greek Musical Writings*, Volumes I, II. Cambridge University Press.
- [5] N. Bibby (2003) Tuning and Temperament: Closing the Spiral. In *Music and Mathematics*, J. Fauvel, R. Flood & R. Wilson, editors. Oxford University Press.
- [6] H. C. Longuet-Higgins (1987) *Mental Processes: Studies in Cognitive Sciences*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- [7] P. A. Scholes (1955) *Oxford Companion to Music*, ninth edition. Oxford University Press.
- [8] R. W. Duffin (2007) *How Equal Temperament Ruined Harmony*. New York: W. W. Norton and Company.

- [9] G. C. Klopp (1974) *Harpichord Tuning*, translated by Glenn Wilson. Garderen, Holland: Werkplaats Voor Clavecimbelbouw.
- [10] D. Tovey (1929) Harmony. In *Encyclopedia Britannica*, fourteenth edition. London, New York: Encyclopedia Britannica.
- [11] J. Orbach (1999) *Sound and Music*. Lanham, Maryland: University Press of America.
- [12] J. Haluška (2004) *The Mathematical Theory of Tone Systems*. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- [13] G. H. Hardy & E. M. Wright (1938) *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. Oxford University Press.
- [14] W. Thirring (1990) Über vollkommene Tonsysteme. *Annalen der Physik* **47**, 245-250.
- [15] J. Haluška (1997) Uncertainty and Tuning in Music. *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications* **12**, 113-129.
- [16] J. Haluška (1999) Searching the Frontier of the Pythagorean System. *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications* **16**, 273-282.

Complex Chromatic Scale Systems with Three Semitones						
Note	System I		System II		System III	
C	1	1	1	1	1	1
C_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$
D	β	$\frac{1}{2}(1+2i)$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	Z	$\frac{4}{5}(1+i)$
D_{\sharp}	$\bar{\beta}$	$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$	α'	$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$	ρ	$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$
E	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$
F	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$
F_{\sharp}	α	$(1+i)$	α	$(1+i)$	α	$(1+i)$
G	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$
G_{\sharp}	$\bar{\beta}$	$\frac{1}{2}(3-i)$	α	$\frac{9}{8}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{8}{5}$
A	β	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\bar{\alpha}'$	$\frac{5}{3}$	ρ	$\frac{5}{3}$
A_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$
B	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$
C'	$\bar{\alpha}$	2	$\bar{\alpha}$	2	$\bar{\alpha}$	2

TABLE I: Structures of the three **Gauss-3** systems of complex chromatic scales based on three semitones. Each system occurs in a number of variants, one example of which is presented for each system. For such each system, the first column gives the aggregation sequence and the second column gives the values taken by the notes of the scale.

Notation: $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1+i)$, $\alpha' = \frac{80}{81}\alpha$, $\beta = \frac{1}{3}(3+i)$, $Z = \frac{16}{15}$, $\rho = \frac{25}{24}$.

Gauss-3 System II Complex Chromatic Scales								
Note	$X_2 = \bar{\alpha}, X_3 = \alpha'$		$X_2 = \alpha, X_3 = \bar{\alpha}'$		$X_2 = \alpha', X_3 = \bar{\alpha}$		$X_2 = \bar{\alpha}', X_3 = \alpha$	
C	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
C_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$
D	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	α	$\frac{9}{8}i$	α'	$\frac{10}{9}i$	$\bar{\alpha}'$	$\frac{10}{9}$
D_{\sharp}	α'	$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$	$\bar{\alpha}'$	$\frac{5}{6}(1-i)$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{6}(1-i)$	α	$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$
E	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$
F	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$
F_{\sharp}	α	$(1+i)$	α	$(1+i)$	α	$(1+i)$	α	$(1+i)$
G	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$
G_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{9}{8}(1+i)$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{9}{8}(1-i)$	$\bar{\alpha}'$	$\frac{10}{9}(1-i)$	α'	$\frac{10}{9}(1+i)$
A	$\bar{\alpha}'$	$\frac{5}{3}$	α'	$\frac{5}{3}$	α	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{3}$
A_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$
B	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$
C'	$\bar{\alpha}$	2	$\bar{\alpha}$	2	$\bar{\alpha}$	2	$\bar{\alpha}$	2

TABLE II: The four variants of the **Gauss-3 II** complex chromatic scale based on three semitones. For each variant, the first column gives the aggregation sequence and the second column gives the values of the notes. Notation: $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1+i)$, $\alpha' = \frac{80}{81}\alpha$, $Z = \frac{16}{15}$.

Gauss-3 System III Complex Chromatic Scales				
Note	$X_2 = \rho, X_3 = Z$		$X_2 = Z, X_3 = \rho$	
C	1	1	1	1
$C\sharp$	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$
D	ρ	$\frac{25}{32}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{4}{5}(1+i)$
$D\sharp$	Z	$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$	ρ	$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$
E	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{5}{4}$
F	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}$
$F\sharp$	α	$(1+i)$	α	$(1+i)$
G	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$
$G\sharp$	ρ	$\frac{25}{16}$	Z	$\frac{8}{5}$
A	Z	$\frac{5}{3}$	ρ	$\frac{5}{3}$
$A\sharp$	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$	α	$\frac{5}{4}(1+i)$
B	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$	Z	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$
C'	$\bar{\alpha}$	2	$\bar{\alpha}$	2

TABLE III: The two variants of the **Gauss-3 III** complex chromatic scale based on three semitones. For each scale, the first column gives the aggregation sequence and the second column gives the values of the notes of the scale. Notation: $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1+i)$, $Z = \frac{16}{15}$, $\rho = \frac{25}{24}$.

Pythagorean Scales with Two Semitones								
Note	Gauss-2		Shadow-2 I		Shadow-2 II		Pyth-2	
C	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
C_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	θ	$\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}$	θ	$\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}$	σ	$\frac{256}{243}$
D	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	θ	$\frac{9}{8}$	θ	$\frac{9}{8}$	τ	$\frac{9}{8}$
D_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{27}{32}(1+i)$	θ	$\frac{27}{32}\sqrt{2}$	θ	$\frac{27}{32}\sqrt{2}$	σ	$\frac{32}{27}$
E	$\bar{\alpha}, \sigma$	$\frac{81}{64}, \frac{8}{9}(1+i)$	θ, σ	$\frac{81}{64}, \frac{8}{9}\sqrt{2}$	ν	$\frac{3}{4}\sqrt[4]{8}$	τ	$\frac{81}{64}$
F	$\sigma, \bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	σ, θ	$\frac{4}{3}$	ν	$\frac{4}{3}$	σ	$\frac{4}{3}$
F_{\sharp}	α	$1+i$	θ	$\sqrt{2}$	θ	$\sqrt{2}$	σ	$\frac{1024}{729}$
G	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	θ	$\frac{3}{2}$	θ	$\frac{3}{2}$	τ	$\frac{3}{2}$
G_{\sharp}	α	$\frac{9}{8}(1+i)$	θ	$\frac{9}{8}\sqrt{2}$	θ	$\frac{9}{8}\sqrt{2}$	σ	$\frac{128}{81}$
A	$\bar{\alpha}$	$\frac{27}{16}$	θ	$\frac{27}{16}$	θ	$\frac{27}{16}$	τ	$\frac{27}{16}$
A_{\sharp}	α, σ	$\frac{81}{64}(1+i), \frac{16}{9}$	θ, σ	$\frac{81}{64}\sqrt{2}, \frac{16}{9}$	ν	$\frac{3}{2}\sqrt[4]{2}$	σ	$\frac{16}{9}$
B	σ, α	$\frac{4}{3}(1+i)$	σ, θ	$\frac{4}{3}\sqrt{2}$	ν	$\frac{4}{3}\sqrt{2}$	τ	$\frac{243}{128}$
C'	$\bar{\alpha}$	2	θ	2	θ	2	σ	2

TABLE IV: Chromatic scales generated by two semitones for (a) the complex Pythagorean scale, (b) the associated real shadow scales, and (c) a version of the traditional Pythagorean scale. For each scale the first column gives the aggregation sequence and the second column gives the values of the notes of the scale. The variants that arise in each case are also indicated. Notation: $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}(1+i)$, $\theta = \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{2}$, $\nu = \frac{8}{9}\sqrt[4]{2}$, $\sigma = \frac{256}{243}$, $\tau = \frac{2187}{2048}$.

List of Complex Intervals	
$\pm 1, \pm i$	unity (ϵ)
$\frac{3+4i}{5}$	five-limit phase factor (ζ)
$\frac{81}{80}$	syntonic comma (κ)
$\frac{25}{24}$	minor chromatic semitone, small half tone (ρ)
$\frac{20}{27}(1+i)$	grave complex semitone (α')
$\frac{256}{243}$	minor Pythagorean semitone, diesis (σ)
$\frac{1}{3}(1+i)$	minor complex semitone (β)
$\frac{135}{128}$	major chromatic semitone, major limma
$\frac{3}{4}(1+i)$	major complex semitone (α)
$\frac{16}{15}$	minor diatonic semitone (Z)
$\frac{2187}{2048}$	major Pythagorean semitone, apotome (τ)
$\frac{27}{25}$	major diatonic semitone
$\frac{10}{9}$	minor whole tone (Y)
$\frac{1}{2}(1+2i)$	minor complex whole tone (γ)
$\frac{9}{8}$	major whole tone (X)
$\frac{4}{5}(1+i)$	major complex whole tone (δ)
$\frac{5}{6}(1+i)$	complex minor third
$\frac{32}{27}$	minor Pythagorean third
$\frac{27}{32}(1+i)$	complex minor Pythagorean third
$\frac{6}{5}$	minor third
$\frac{5}{4}$	major third
$\frac{81}{64}$	major Pythagorean third
$\frac{4}{3}$	perfect fourth
$\frac{27}{20}$	acute fourth

TABLE V: List of frequently occurring complex intervals, in order of magnitude.

List of Complex Intervals (continued)	
$\frac{25}{18}$	alternate tritone
$\frac{1024}{729}$	Pythagorean tritone
$\frac{45}{32}$	diatonic tritone
$1 + i$	complex tritone (ξ)
$\frac{64}{45}$	complementary diatonic tritone
$\frac{36}{25}$	complementary alternate tritone
$\frac{40}{27}$	grave fifth
$\frac{3}{2}$	perfect fifth
$\frac{25}{16}$	augmented fifth
$\frac{128}{81}$	minor Pythagorean sixth
$\frac{1}{2}(3 - i)$	complex minor sixth
$\frac{9}{8}(1 + i)$	complex minor Pythagorean sixth
$\frac{8}{5}$	minor sixth
$\frac{5}{3}$	major sixth
$\frac{27}{16}$	major Pythagorean sixth
$\frac{5}{4}(1 + i)$	complex minor seventh
$\frac{16}{9}$	minor Pythagorean seventh
$\frac{81}{64}(1 + i)$	complex minor Pythagorean seventh
$\frac{9}{5}$	minor seventh
$\frac{15}{8}$	major seventh
$\frac{4}{3}(1 + i)$	complex major seventh
$\frac{243}{128}$	major Pythagorean seventh
2	octave

TABLE VI: Frequently occurring complex intervals. Continued from previous page.