

CONSTRUCTIVE EXCEPTIONAL BUNDLES ON \mathbb{P}^3

BENJAMIN GOULD

ABSTRACT. We give a complete classification of the Chern characters of constructive exceptional vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 analogous to the work of Drézet and Le Potier on \mathbb{P}^2 , and using this classification prove that a constructive exceptional bundle E on \mathbb{P}^3 with $\mu(E) \geq 0$ is globally generated.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Graphs associated to helices on \mathbb{P}^3	7
4. Classifying constructive exceptional bundles	13
5. Global generation	18
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we give a complete classification of the Chern characters of constructive exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 . As an application of the classification, we show that when E is a constructive exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 with $\mu(E) \geq 0$, then E is globally generated. These results directly generalize analogous results obtained on \mathbb{P}^2 respectively by Drézet and Le Potier [DLP] and Coskun-Huizenga-Kopper [CHK].

A sheaf E is called exceptional if $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathrm{Ext}^i(E, E) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Exceptional sheaves have proved to be significant and complex in lower dimensions and on other varieties. On \mathbb{P}^2 , exceptional sheaves are stable vector bundles which have a fractal structure, and they govern the classification of stable bundles [DLP]. On other Fano varieties, exceptional sheaves span the most easily accessible part of the derived category of coherent sheaves (see, e.g., [Kuz]). As such, the structure of the exceptional bundles on a variety determines a significant amount of its geometry and homological algebra.

On projective spaces, the simplest examples of exceptional bundles are the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$, and there is a process for forming new exceptional bundles from others, called mutation. We call an exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^n *constructive* if it is obtained from line bundles through mutation. Every exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 is constructive [Dré]. In higher dimensional projective spaces there are no known examples of non-constructive exceptional sheaves. In this paper, we focus on constructive exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 .

On \mathbb{P}^3 , exceptional bundles are slope-stable [Zub]. By definition, they are rigid, so their moduli spaces are zero-dimensional and reduced. Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 are central objects of study in algebraic geometry. Despite the fact that they underlie many of the richest and most well-studied problems appearing in the literature and provide some

of its most important examples, they are often ungovernably complex [Vak] and difficult to study. Constructive exceptional bundles provide interesting examples of stable bundles and moduli spaces on \mathbb{P}^3 . In this paper, we classify these moduli spaces using the structure of mutation on \mathbb{P}^3 and prove when the associated bundles are globally generated.

1.1. Exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 . Our first main result is the classification of Chern characters of constructive exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 , along the lines of the classification of exceptional slopes on \mathbb{P}^2 by Drézet and Le Potier [DLP].

Constructive exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^n appear in infinite ordered collections called *helices*, any $n + 1$ consecutive elements of which form a full exceptional collection. The simplest example is the standard helix $\sigma_0 = (\mathcal{O}(i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of line bundles. Other constructive helices are obtained from σ_0 via a process called mutation.

Theorem 1.1 (= Theorem 4.3). *Let E be a constructive exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 . There is a well-defined way to choose a distinguished constructive helix $\sigma = \sigma(E)$ containing E and a full exceptional collection (E_1, E, E_2, E_3) of sheaves in σ containing E . For such a collection, we write $ch(E) = \text{perp}(E_1, E_2, E_3)$. This association produces a bijection*

$$\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} : \{3\text{-adic rationals}\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Chern characters of constructive} \\ \text{exceptional bundles on } \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array} \right\}$$

defined inductively as

$$\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n) = n \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and for $q \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{3p+1}{3^{q+1}} \right) &= \text{perp} \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p}{3^q} \right), E_2 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p}{3^q} \right) \right), E_3 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p}{3^q} \right) \right) \right) \\ \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{3p+2}{3^{q+1}} \right) &= \text{perp} \left(E_1 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p+1}{3^q} \right) \right), \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p+1}{3^q} \right), E_3 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p+1}{3^q} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves a close examination of the helix theory on \mathbb{P}^3 , which we organize into a graph $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ whose vertices are the constructive helices, and whose edges correspond to mutation. The graph $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ carries a distinguished subgraph $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$, which is a tree, and every constructive exceptional bundle appears in a helix in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ (Proposition 3.11). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is an identification of this tree with the numerical data of exceptional bundles appearing in these helices.

Once the structure of the mutations forming constructive exceptional bundles is described, their study is much more accessible. Our primary application is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (= Theorem 5.1). *Let E be a constructive exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 with $\mu(E) \geq 0$. Then E is globally generated.*

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the theory of exceptional bundles and helices on projective space. In Section 3 we analyze the structure of mutations of helices on \mathbb{P}^3 and obtain the necessary results for the classification, which we carry out in Section 4. In Section 4.1 we include explicit computations of the low-order exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 , and in Section 5 we prove global generation.

1.2. Acknowledgements. I am happy to thank thank Izzet Coskun and Yeqin Liu for indispensable conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Exceptional bundles and helix theory on \mathbb{P}^n . Exceptional bundles were first introduced by Drézet and Le Potier in [DLP] in order to classify the Chern characters of stable bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 (see Section 2.2 for further details). The theory was expanded to any projective space \mathbb{P}^n by Gorodentsev-Rudakov [GR] and studied much more generally by the Rudakov seminar [Rud]. Exceptional bundles ordinarily fit together in exceptional collections, whose transformations are known as mutations, which naturally occur in helices. We define all of these notions now. Note that the theory works just as well on any Fano variety, but we only form our definitions on \mathbb{P}^n .

Throughout, we will let $\text{hom}(A, B) = \dim \text{Hom}(A, B)$ and $\text{ext}^i(A, B) = \dim \text{Ext}^i(A, B)$ for sheaves A, B .

Definition 2.1. A sheaf E on \mathbb{P}^n is *exceptional* if $\text{hom}(E, E) = 1$ and $\text{ext}^i(E, E) = 0$ for $i > 0$. An ordered collection (E_1, \dots, E_k) of sheaves is an *exceptional collection* if each E_i is exceptional and in addition we have $\text{Ext}^m(E_i, E_j) = 0$ for $m \geq 0$ when $i > j$. When the extension-closure of an exceptional collection generates the derived category $D^b(\mathbb{P}^n)$, we say it is *full*. A full exceptional collection on \mathbb{P}^n has length $n + 1$.

The simplest examples of exceptional sheaves on \mathbb{P}^n are the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$. Every exceptional sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n is a vector bundle [Pos]. One can also form these definitions for objects in the derived category; all exceptional objects on \mathbb{P}^n are shifts of vector bundles.

Given an ordered pair of sheaves (E, F) , we form the evaluation and coevaluation maps

$$\text{ev} : E \otimes \text{Hom}(E, F) \rightarrow F, \quad \text{coev} : E \rightarrow F \otimes \text{Hom}(E, F)^\vee$$

each of which is associated to the identity element of the space $\text{Hom}(E, F) \otimes \text{Hom}(E, F)^\vee$. If the evaluation map is surjective it determines an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_E F \rightarrow E \otimes \text{Hom}(E, F) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} F \rightarrow 0$$

and if the coevaluation map is injective it determines an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\text{coev}} F \otimes \text{Hom}(E, F)^\vee \rightarrow R_F E \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition 2.2. The sheaf $L_E F$ is the *left mutation* of F across E , and the sheaf $R_F E$ is the *right mutation* of E across F . We denote mutations by arrows $(E, F) \mapsto (F, R_F E), (L_E F, E)$.

If (E, F) is an ordered pair of exceptional bundles, whenever the left and right mutation sheaves $L_E F$ and $R_F E$ are defined, they are exceptional as well [GR, Proposition 1.5]. Thus mutation provides a way to form new exceptional bundles from old ones.

Example 2.3. The Euler sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}, \mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^n})^\vee \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow 0$$

realizes $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ as the right mutation $R_{\mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^n}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$. It follows that $T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ is also exceptional.

From a full exceptional collection (E_1, \dots, E_{n+1}) on \mathbb{P}^n one can form an infinite periodic collection $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by setting $E_{i+(n+1)k} = E_i \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\vee, k} = E_i((n+1)k)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly such a collection on \mathbb{P}^n is determined by any $n+1$ consecutive sheaves, which we call a *foundation*. Mutations can also be formed in collections: if (E_i, E_{i+1}) has a surjective evaluation map (resp. injective coevaluation map), then one chooses a foundation $(E_i, E_{i+1}, \dots, E_{i+n+1})$ including (E_i, E_{i+1}) ; forms the mutation pair $(L_{E_i} E_{i+1}, E_i)$; mutates

the foundation to obtain a new collection $(L_{E_j}E_{i+1}, E_i, \dots, E_{i+n+1})$ and then extends this collection to an infinite periodic collection. It follows that mutations of collections can be performed on their foundations. Similar statements hold for right mutations. When the operations are defined, one can iterate mutation; we write $L_j(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for the left mutation L_{E_j} (so L_j shifts/replaces the j th bundle in the sequence).

Definition 2.4. An ordered infinite periodic collection $\sigma = (E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^n is a *helix* if

- (1) each evaluation map $E_i \otimes \text{Hom}(E_i, E_{i+1}) \rightarrow E_{i+1}$ is surjective,
- (2) each coevaluation map $E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1} \otimes \text{Hom}(E_i, E_{i+1})^\vee$ is injective, and
- (3) We have $(L_{j-n} \cdots L_{j-1} L_j)\sigma = \sigma$ and $(R_{j+n} \cdots R_{j+1} R_j)\sigma = \sigma$.

The last condition is known as the ‘‘helix condition.’’ It is equivalent to the following condition, which will be more useful for our applications:

$$(3') \quad (L_{j-(n-k)} \cdots L_{j-1} L_j)\sigma = (R_{j-(n-k)-1} \cdots R_{j-n})\sigma \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

The most important example of a helix is the collection of line bundles $\sigma_0 = (\mathcal{O}(i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$; for a proof that this is indeed a helix, see [GR, Proposition 1.11]. The most important property of helices is that they are preserved under mutation: a mutation of a helix is a helix [GR, Theorem 2.1].

Definition 2.5. A helix σ (or bundle E) on \mathbb{P}^n is called *constructive* if it can be obtained from the standard helix σ_0 by a sequence of mutations.

Beilinson showed that line bundles form *full* exceptional collections, i.e., that they generate the derived category [Bei], and Bondal-Gorodentsev generalized this result to constructive helices [BG]. There are no known examples of helices or exceptional bundles on any \mathbb{P}^n which are not constructive.

2.2. Exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . Exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 were intensively studied by Drézet and Le Potier [DLP, Dré, LP]. Every exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 is constructive and slope-stable [Dré, LP]. Their moduli spaces consist of a single reduced point [LP].

Vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 are often described by their rank $r = \text{ch}_0$, slope $\mu = \frac{\text{ch}_1}{\text{ch}_0}$, and discriminant $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 - \frac{\text{ch}_2}{\text{ch}_0}$. The Riemann-Roch theorem implies that $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{r^2})$. The rank and degree of an exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 are coprime, and the discriminant is a function of the rank, so the slope determines the rank and discriminant, hence the entire Chern character.

The slopes of exceptional bundles have been classified, by the following theorem of Drézet and Le Potier. (See also [LP, 16.3].) Set \mathcal{E} to be the set of slopes of exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . For any rational number α we define $r(\alpha)$ to be the smallest integer such that $r(\alpha)\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r(E)^2} \right), \quad \chi(\alpha) = r(\alpha)(P(\alpha) - \Delta(\alpha)).$$

The Riemann-Roch theorem implies that when E is exceptional on \mathbb{P}^2 with $\mu(E) = \alpha$, we have $\Delta(E) = \Delta(\alpha)$ and $\chi(E) = \chi(\alpha)$. We say a pair of rational numbers α, β is *admissible* if are slope-close, cohomologically orthogonal, and have integral Euler characteristics. (See [LP, Definition 16.3.2] for a precise definition.)

For a pair of admissible rational numbers, one then introduces the “dot” operator:

$$\alpha.\beta = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} + \frac{\Delta(\beta) - \Delta(\alpha)}{3 + \alpha - \beta}.$$

From this set-up, Drézet and Le Potier define a map $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2} : \{\text{dyadic rationals}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ inductively as follows: $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}(n) = n$, and for $q \geq 0$,

$$\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2} \left(\frac{2p+1}{2^{q+1}} \right) = \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2} \left(\frac{p}{2^q} \right) . \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2} \left(\frac{p+1}{2^q} \right).$$

Theorem 2.6. [LP, Theorem 16.3.4] *The map $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is a bijection.*

The conditions of admissibility encode the cohomological orthogonality of exceptional collections as in the previous section. The third condition is vacuous when α, β are the slopes of exceptional bundles. The second specifies that $\chi(E_\beta, E_\alpha) = 0$, where E_μ is the exceptional bundle of slope μ . And one can readily check that $\epsilon(\alpha.\beta) = \mu(R_{E_\gamma} E_\alpha)$ where $(E_\gamma, E_\alpha, E_\beta)$ is a full exceptional collection. Note that on \mathbb{P}^2 , the helix condition reads $R_{E_\gamma} E_\alpha = L_{E_\alpha} E_\beta$; left mutations are also right mutations.

In Section 4, we will see how these properties generalize to \mathbb{P}^3 .

2.3. Exceptional bundles and stability on \mathbb{P}^2 . Exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 are significant in the first place because of their appearance in the classification of the Chern characters of stable bundles, due to Drézet and Le Potier. Since exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 are stable, given an exceptional bundle E_α and a stable bundle E of slope μ with $0 \leq \mu - \alpha < 3$, one sees from stability and Serre duality that

$$\text{hom}(E, E_\alpha) = \text{ext}^2(E, E_\alpha) = 0$$

so by Riemann-Roch

$$P(\alpha - \mu) - \Delta_\alpha < \Delta(E).$$

Drézet and Le Potier’s classification shows that this numerical constraint on $\Delta(E)$ is the only constraint for stability.

Theorem 2.7. [DLP] *Set*

$$\delta(\mu) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}, |\alpha - \mu| < 3} \{P(-|\alpha - \mu|) - \Delta(\alpha)\}.$$

Then (r, μ, Δ) is the Chern character of a stable bundle if and only if it is exceptional, or its Euler characteristic and Chern classes are integers with

$$\delta(\mu) \leq \Delta.$$

2.4. Exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 . Exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 are not as well-understood as those on \mathbb{P}^2 , however, some important results have been obtained. Zube showed that each exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 is slope-stable by restricting to an anticanonical K3 surface, by showing the restriction to the K3 surface is spherical and slope-stable [Zub]. Nogin classified the values of full exceptional collections in the Grothendieck group (i.e. Chern characters) and showed that each is equal to the value in the Grothendieck group of a constructive exceptional collection [Nog].

Polishchuk built on these results in [Pol]. It follows from the vanishing result [Pol, Theorem 1.2] that any exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 with the Chern character equal to that of a constructive exceptional bundle is itself constructive by [Pol]. It follows that the moduli space of a

constructive exceptional bundle is a single reduced point. In fact Polishchuk proved that the action of the braid group on the set of *simple* helices on some Fano threefolds, including \mathbb{P}^3 , is transitive [Pol, Theorem 1.1], using Nogin's results. This does not quite accomplish the classification of exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 , as it is not clear that an exceptional bundle can be included into a full exceptional collection.

Each full exceptional collection on \mathbb{P}^3 has length 4, and we often work with helices via the choice of a foundation, which forms a full exceptional collection. Let σ denote a constructive helix on \mathbb{P}^3 , and choose a foundation (E, F, G, H) for σ .

Lemma 2.8. (1) *The slopes of the elements of a foundation are ordered:*

$$\mu(E) < \mu(F) < \mu(G) < \mu(H).$$

(2) *The helix σ has exactly 8 distinct mutations, which are given on this foundation by the mutations of the pairs*

$$(E, F), \quad (F, G), \quad (G, H),$$

the right mutation of the pair $(H(-4), E)$, and the left mutation of the pair $(H, E(4))$.

Proof. For each pair (A, B) of bundles appearing consecutively in a helix we can form the evaluation map $\text{ev} : A \otimes \text{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow B$, which is surjective. Since exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 are slope-stable [Zub], it follows that $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$. (1) follows.

There are 8 possible mutations of a given helix on \mathbb{P}^3 , defined on a foundation by the statement of the Lemma; we need to show that each is distinct. Applying each possible mutation to the foundation (E, F, G, H) and applying (1), we get inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &< \mu(R_E(H(-4))), \mu(L_F G) < \mu(F), \\ \mu(F) &< \mu(R_F E), \mu(L_G H) < \mu(G), \\ \mu(G) &< \mu(R_G F), \mu(L_H F(4)) < \mu(H). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the mutations whose slopes lie between the slopes of bundles in the original foundation are similarly ordered. These mutations all commute with one another, so we can form double mutation foundations, e.g., $(F, R_F E, L_G H, G)$. The remaining inequalities follow again from (1). \square


2.5. The helix condition on \mathbb{P}^3 . We will repeatedly exploit the helix condition to produce new helices containing a given bundle. If σ is a helix on \mathbb{P}^3 with foundation (E, F, G, H) , we usually apply the helix condition in the forms

$$R(E, F) = L(G, L_H(E(4))) \circ L(H, E(4)) \quad \text{and} \quad L(G, H) = R(R_{E(4)} H, F(4)) \circ R(H, E(4)).$$

On foundations, these are:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E, F, G, H) & \longrightarrow & (F, G, L_H(E(4)), H) & & (E, F, G, H) & \longrightarrow & (E, R_E(H(-4)), F, G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (F, R_F E, G, H) & \longleftarrow & (F, L_G(L_H(E(4))), G, H) & & (E, F, L_G H, G) & \longleftarrow & (E, F, R_F(R_E(H(-4))), G) \end{array}$$

The braid group naturally acts on the set of full exceptional collections via mutation, which produces a braid relation $L_i L_{i+1} L_i = L_{i+1} L_i L_{i+1}$ among mutations (and similarly for right mutations). The helix relation gives a refinement of the braid relation, which is depicted in the following diagram.



In the diagram, the two paths along dotted arrows $\sigma \mapsto \sigma'$ are equal by the braid relation, the square commutes, and the triangles are helix triangles.

2.6. Exceptional bundles and stability on \mathbb{P}^3 . There are several natural ways to try to extend the classification of stable Chern characters on \mathbb{P}^2 in terms of exceptional bundles to \mathbb{P}^3 . On \mathbb{P}^2 , when F is a stable bundle of slope μ and E_α is an exceptional bundle of slope α with $0 < \alpha - \mu < 3$, then by stability $\text{Hom}(F, E_\alpha) = 0$ and by Serre duality $\text{Ext}^2(F, E_\alpha) = 0$, so $\chi(F, E_\alpha) < 0$; applying this bound for each exceptional bundle produces precisely the condition that $\Delta(F) \geq \delta(\mu)$ from Theorem 2.7.

If F is a stable bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 and E_α is a constructive exceptional bundle of slope α with $0 < \mu(F) - \alpha < 4$, then, again by stability and Serre duality, $\text{Hom}(F, E_\alpha) = \text{Ext}^3(F, E_\alpha) = 0$. If $\text{Ext}^2(F, E_\alpha) = 0$, then we would deduce an inequality

$$\chi(F, E_\alpha) < 0$$

which produces a lower bound on $\Delta(F)$ analogous to that on \mathbb{P}^2 . Unfortunately, the following example, which applies recent work of Coskun-Huizenga-Smith [CHS], shows that this Ext^2 vanishing property does not hold in general, so this procedure does not produce the classification of stable Chern characters on \mathbb{P}^3 .

Example 2.9. Consider a bundle V on \mathbb{P}^3 which is defined via an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-4)^9 \xrightarrow{M} \mathcal{O}(-3)^{12} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0,$$

where M is a general matrix of linear forms. Then [CHS, Theorem 5.1] implies that V is slope-stable. In particular, since $h^i(\mathcal{O}(-3)) = 0$ for all i and $h^3(\mathcal{O}(-4)) = 1$ with $h^i(\mathcal{O}(-4)) = 0$ otherwise, we have $H^2(V) = \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}, V) = 9$, which contradicts the above vanishing statement.

In other words, exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 do not determine the sharp Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality, unlike \mathbb{P}^2 . The sharp Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality on \mathbb{P}^3 remains an interesting and difficult area of research (see, e.g., [Sch]).

3. GRAPHS ASSOCIATED TO HELICES ON \mathbb{P}^3

It is convenient and natural to associate a graph $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ to the set of constructive exceptional helices, whose vertices correspond to the helices and whose edges correspond to mutations. In fact we will focus on a sequence of subgraphs of $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ which are easier to understand. Each graph will be defined inductively.

Definition 3.1. We define the graph $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ as follows. In step 0, we add a vertex corresponding to the standard helix σ_0 . In step 1, we add vertices for each of its 8 mutations, connected to σ_0 via an edge. For a vertex v corresponding to a helix τ added in step n , in step $n+1$ we add a vertex for each mutation of τ which does not already appear in a previous step, and connect each of the mutations of τ to τ by an edge. We set $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ to be the infinite graph constructed in this way.

There are precisely 8 distinct mutations of any helix on \mathbb{P}^3 , so each vertex of $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ has degree 8. Because mutations are invertible, we do not direct the edges of $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$.

We will define a subgraph $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3} \subseteq H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ whose inductive definition is determined by helix theory on \mathbb{P}^3 . The graph $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is rather complicated, but we will show that the subgraph $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ (and a subgraph $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3} \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$) contain all of the information relevant for our purposes, and are much simpler. Both $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ and $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ are trees, and every constructive exceptional bundle appears in a helix in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$.

We first establish some terminology. Fix a pair of helices and a mutation $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \tau$ between them. In terms of this mutation, the 8 mutations of τ can be further isolated.

Definition 3.2. We partition the mutations described in Lemma 2.8 in terms of the mutation γ as follows. Suppose on a foundation (E, F, G, H) for σ the mutation γ is defined on the pair (E, F) ; the definitions differ for left and right mutations. We set:

$$\begin{array}{l} \gamma = R(E, F) \\ \Rightarrow \tau = (F, R_F E, G, H) : \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} \gamma\text{-commuting} & \gamma\text{-admissible} & \gamma\text{-extraneous} \\ \hline R(G, H), & R(F, R_F E), & L(F, R_F E), \\ L(G, H) & L(R_F E, G), & R(G, R_F E), \\ & R(H(-4), F) & L(H, F(4)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} \gamma = L(E, F) \\ \Rightarrow \tau = (L_E F, E, G, H) : \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} \gamma\text{-commuting} & \gamma\text{-admissible} & \gamma\text{-extraneous} \\ \hline R(G, H), & L(L_E F, E) & R(L_E F, E), \\ L(G, H) & R(H(-4), L_F E), & L(H(-4), L_E F), \\ & L(E, G) & R(E, G) \end{array}$$

Remark 3.3. Definition 3.2 is meant to separate the mutations that form new exceptional bundles (the admissible mutations) from those that form exceptional bundles that appear already in other helices.

Observe that if $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \tau$ is a mutation, then $\delta : \tau \mapsto \tau'$ being γ -commuting means that there is a commutative square of mutations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \tau' \\ \uparrow \gamma & & \uparrow \\ \sigma & \longrightarrow & \sigma' \end{array}$$

since (in the notation of the definition) the pair (G, H) appears in σ and τ .

The γ -extraneous mutations can be described as follows. The first listed mutation in either case is the inverse of the mutation γ ; the second listed mutation is the mutation in a helix triangle following γ ; the third produces a bundle $L_H(F(4))$ or $R_G E$, whose slope is rather far from the slope of the new bundle introduced by γ .

Example 3.4. We give descriptions of admissible mutations which will be important in later arguments (*cf.* Proposition 3.10). Suppose that $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \sigma_1$ is a mutation, and $\gamma' : \sigma_1 \mapsto \tau_1$ is γ -commuting. Then there is another γ -commuting mutation $\sigma_1 \mapsto \tau'_1$ of σ_1 , which mutates




FIGURE 1. Mutations for Example 3.4.

the same pair in the other direction. We obtain squares as in Remark 3.3. Then we can apply γ to σ_1 as well, by mutating the new pair in the same way (e.g., γ replaces (E, F) by $(F, R_F E)$ and then replaces this by $(R_F E, R_{R_F E} F)$). See Figure 1a. Adding in the helix triangles that the mutations of σ_1 fit into, we obtain the additions in Figure 1b.

This diagram shows all of the γ -admissible mutations. First, γ applied to σ_1 is admissible, and is of the first kind in the definition of a γ -admissible mutation (in both the left and right mutation cases). The mutations $\sigma_1 \mapsto \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ are the other γ -admissible mutations. To see this, consider the following example (the others are similar): if γ on foundations is the right mutation $(E, F, G, H) \mapsto (F, R_F E, G, H)$, and the commuting mutation γ' does $(F, R_F E, G, H) \mapsto (F, R_F E, L_G H, G)$ on this foundation, then, in light of Section 2.5, the mutation to ϵ_1 is $(F, R_F E, G, H) \mapsto (F, R_F(H(-4)), R_F E, G)$, which is the third kind of γ -admissible mutation in the right mutation case in Definition 3.2.

We now define the subgraphs of the graph of constructive helices $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$. Denote the 8 mutations of the standard helix σ_0 by $\gamma_i : \sigma_0 \mapsto \sigma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$ (the ordering will not be important for us). These mutations introduce the tangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ and cotangent bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^3}^\vee$ and their twists; see Example 2.3.

Definition 3.5. We define $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ inductively by first including the vertex corresponding to σ_0 and each σ_i and an edge corresponding to these mutations. Then for any edge in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ corresponding to a mutation $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \tau$, we add a vertex for each γ -admissible mutation of τ , each of which is attached to τ by an edge.


To define $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$, we work on explicit foundations for all helices involved. We give σ_0 the preferred foundation $(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$, and define all mutations in terms of this foundation. We set $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ to be the subgraph of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ consisting of helices obtained from σ_0 via admissible mutations that produce bundles with slope $0 < \mu < 1$ from the preferred foundation of σ_0 .

Remark 3.6. The graph of all helices and all mutations on \mathbb{P}^2 is a tree of degree 3, called $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ in [BG].


3.1. Admissible replacements. In this subsection we show how to replace arbitrary helices by admissible ones; the main result is Proposition 3.10. Throughout, we fix a mutation $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \tau$.

Definition 3.7. Let $\gamma' : \tau \mapsto \epsilon$ be a mutation of the helix τ , and let $\tau \mapsto \tau'$ be a γ -commuting mutation. The mutations of τ fit into commutative squares with other mutations, which we call *parallel mutations*. Below, we plot these mutations of τ , along with some other mutations. The diagrams differ by what kind of mutation γ' is. In blue, we plot the parallel mutation γ'' to γ' , as well as paths of mutations beginning in γ and ending in the parallel mutation.


- $\gamma'\tau = \gamma^2\sigma :$
- (1) $\gamma' : (F, R_F E, G, H) \mapsto (R_F E, R_{R_F E} F, G, H)$
 $\gamma'' : (F, R_F E, L_G H, G) \mapsto (R_F E, R_{R_F E} F, L_G H, G)$



- γ' fits in a triangle with $\tau \mapsto \tau'$:
- (2) $\gamma' : (F, R_F E, G, H) \mapsto (F, R_F(H(-4)), R_F E, G)$
 $\gamma'' : (F, R_F E, L_G H, G) \mapsto (F, R_F(G(-4)), R_F E, L_G H)$



- γ' fits in a triangle with γ applied to τ :
- (3) $\gamma' : (F, R_F E, G, H) \mapsto (R_F E, G, L_H(F(4)), H)$
 $\gamma'' : (E, F, G, H) \mapsto (F, G, L_H(E(4)), H)$



These are not all of the eight mutations of τ , but the other mutations fit into squares which are analogous to these.

In the diagrams, all squares are commutative and all triangles are helix triangles, with dots in place of helices which are unimportant but illustrative, and dotted arrows marking mutations which connect these to the relevant helices.

Remark 3.8. The definition of parallel mutations is not uniform. In the first case, the parallel mutation γ'' sits in a commutative square with γ' , whereas in the other two it does not (although in each it does about a square containing γ'). The upshot, however, is that in all cases we produce a commutative square with a helix ϵ'' which contains the bundles produced by the mutation γ' .

Additionally, this mutation is *admissible* with respect to another mutation coming before it; in the first case γ'' is admissible with respect to $\sigma' \mapsto \tau'$, and in the other two $\epsilon' \mapsto \epsilon''$ is γ' -admissible. This is apparent from the descriptions given on foundations.

Because parallel mutations form squares in which the original mutation sits, we can iterate the process of forming parallel mutations.

Definition 3.9. If $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \tau$ is a mutation, $\gamma' : \sigma' \mapsto \tau'$ is a parallel mutation, and $\tau \mapsto \tau_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \tau_k$ is a sequence of mutations, then the sequence $\tau' \mapsto \tau'_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \tau'_k$ obtained by taking parallel mutations at each step is called the *parallel sequence* to the given sequence.

We will use the notion of parallel mutations to replace arbitrary paths on the graph $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with admissible paths.

Proposition 3.10. *Let E be a constructive exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 , and let σ be a constructive helix containing E , with a sequence of mutations $\sigma_0 \mapsto \sigma_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \sigma_n = \sigma$ realizing constructivity of σ of minimal length among helices containing E .*

Then there is another constructive helix σ' also containing E , with a sequence of admissible mutations $\sigma_0 \mapsto \sigma'_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \sigma'_n = \sigma'$.

Proof. Write $\gamma_i : \sigma_{i-1} \mapsto \sigma_i$ for the mutations in the given sequence, and let γ_k be the first mutation which is not admissible with respect to γ_{k-1} . Because the given sequence minimizes length, γ_k does not fit in a helix triangle with γ_{k-1} (otherwise the other leg of the triangle gives a shorter path). Therefore γ_k is either γ_{k-1} -commuting or fits in a helix triangle with the re-application of γ_{k-1} to σ_{k-1} .

Suppose first that γ_k is γ_{k-1} -commuting. Then as in Definition 3.7, the parallel mutation is the other side of the square that γ_k produces with γ_{k-1} . Replacing the composition $\gamma_k \gamma_{k-1}$ by the other side of the square, we obtain a new sequence of mutations which only differs from the given sequence at γ_{k-1} . Call the replacement mutation γ'_{k-1} . If γ'_{k-1} is γ_{k-2} -commuting, we apply the same argument until we obtain a non-commuting replacement. In this way we obtain a replacement of the given sequence where the first non-admissible mutation (which we will still call γ_k) is noncommuting with respect to the prior mutation.

In this case, we know that γ_k fits into a helix triangle with the re-application of γ_{k-1} to σ_{k-1} . The parallel mutation to γ_k , which we call γ'_{k-1} , fits into a helix triangle with γ_{k-1} as in Remark 3.7. We call the other side of the square formed by these two mutations γ'_k , and it is γ'_{k-1} -admissible, as noted in Remark 3.8. The helix it produces contains the bundles that appear in σ_k . If $\sigma_{k-2} \neq \sigma_0$ (recall that σ_0 is the helix of line bundles), then γ'_{k-1} is not admissible, but the replacement again is the same type of mutation. Thus we can repeat this process until we reach σ_0 , and complete the path by attaching parallel mutations at each step.

After we replace a subsequence of mutations $\gamma_k \cdots \gamma_1$ by a sequence $\gamma'_k \cdot \gamma'_{k-1} \cdot \gamma_{k-2} \cdots \gamma_1$ of admissible mutations, we can extend it to a path which terminates at a helix sitting in a commutative square with σ , which thus contains E as well, by taking the parallel path (Definition 3.9). Because the parallel paths are of the same length, each step of this process requires the replacement of one fewer non-admissible mutation, so it terminates in finitely many steps. \square

It follows that every constructive exceptional bundle E appears in an admissible helix σ appearing in the subgraph $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ of $H_{\mathbb{P}^3}$.

3.2. The graph $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$. Given a mutation $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \tau$, there are exactly three γ -admissible mutations of τ , which depend on whether γ was a left or right mutation. In Proposition 3.11, we will describe the helices obtained from admissible mutations, and it will be convenient to establish some extra notation for admissible mutations.

Choose a foundation (E, F, G, H) for τ . If γ is a right mutation, we set F to be the bundle produced by γ , and if γ is a left mutation, we set G to be the bundle produced by γ . We isolate the three γ -admissible mutations of τ in terms of this foundation. See Figure 2 for a drawing, where the vertices are ordered left-to-right by the slope of the bundle introduced by the mutation.

$$\begin{aligned} R0 : (E, F, G, H) &\mapsto (E, R_E(H(-4)), F, G) & L0 : (E, F, G, H) &\mapsto (H(-4), E, L_F G, F) \\ R1 : (E, F, G, H) &\mapsto (F, R_F E, G, H) & L1 : (E, F, G, H) &\mapsto (E, F, L_G H, G) \\ R2 : (E, F, G, H) &\mapsto (G, R_G F, H, E(4)) & L2 : (E, F, G, H) &\mapsto (F, G, L_H(E(4)), H) \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 2. Cartoon of the admissible mutations for left and right mutation helices, ordered by the slope of the new bundle produced. In the figure, the vertices correspond to helices and the edges to mutations.

The following proposition, which shows that the inductive structure of $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is especially simple, will be fundamental to establishing our main theorems.

Proposition 3.11. *The graph $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is a connected tree; every vertex except for that corresponding to σ_0 has degree 4, and σ_0 has degree 2. Every constructive exceptional bundle E of slope $0 < \mu(E) < 1$ occurs in a helix in $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$.*

Proof. Clearly the only mutations of σ_0 appearing in $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ are those producing $T(-1)$ and $T^\vee(2)$. To prove that Γ' is a tree, first choose a helix σ appearing in $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ and let $\gamma_i : \sigma \mapsto \sigma_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ be its admissible mutations, each of which appear in $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$. The σ_i are pairwise distinct, and if E_i is the bundle with slope $0 < \mu(E_i) < 1$ introduced by γ_i , we have $\mu(E_1) < \mu(E_2) < \mu(E_3)$. Taking admissible mutations of admissible helices produces subgraphs of Γ' ; denote the subgraph produced from σ_i via these mutations Γ'_i .

Claim. If $i \neq j$ then Γ'_i and Γ'_j do not share vertices.

The claim, applied inductively, proves that Γ' is a tree.

In the proof of Proposition 2.8, we have shown that the slopes of the bundles introduced by mutations of σ and mutations of these mutations are ordered:

$$\mu(E) < \mu(R0) < \mu(L0) < \mu(F) < \mu(R1) < \mu(L1) < \mu(G) < \mu(R2) < \mu(L2) < \mu(H).$$

Applying this fact inductively, we see that all mutations of $R0$ have slopes bounded above by the slopes of all mutations of $L0$, which have slopes bounded above by the slopes of all

mutations of $R1$. The claim follows when σ is a right mutation. Similarly, the slopes of the mutations of $L1$ and bounded above by the slopes of the mutations of $R2$, which are bounded above by the slopes of mutations of $L2$. The claim follows when σ is a left mutation.

Now we show that all constructive exceptional bundles E with slope $0 < \mu(E) < 1$ appear in Γ' . The exceptional bundle E appears in a constructive helix σ' , which by Proposition 3.10 has a replacement σ which is connected to σ_0 by admissible mutations. The only consideration needed is that the three admissible mutations (either $R0$, $L0$, and $R1$ or $L1$, $R2$, and $L2$ depending on whether it is right or left) produce bundles whose slopes are in the interval $(0, 1)$ when the same is true for the parent helix, since the slopes of the bundles introduced by admissible mutations are bounded by the slopes of the bundles in the parent helix.

The last statement to check is that non-admissible, non-commuting mutations of helices not appearing in Γ' which produce bundles of slope in $(0, 1)$ are also formed by mutations in Γ' . This follows also from the replacements constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.10, since only admissible mutations were used in the replacements. \square

4. CLASSIFYING CONSTRUCTIVE EXCEPTIONAL BUNDLES

In this section we construct a bijection $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ between the 3-adic rationals and the Chern characters of constructive exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 , analogous to Drézet and Le Potier's construction of $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ on \mathbb{P}^2 . We begin with some notational set-up.

Notation. The rational Grothendieck group $K(\mathbb{P}^3)_{\mathbb{Q}} = K(D^b(\mathbb{P}^3))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{P}^3 is isomorphic to the rational Chow ring $A^*(\mathbb{P}^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (or singular cohomology $H^*(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{Q})$) via the Chern character map

$$\text{ch} : K(\mathbb{P}^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A^*(\mathbb{P}^3) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^4, \quad v \mapsto (\text{ch}_0(v).H^3, \text{ch}_1(v).H^2, \text{ch}_2(v).H, \text{ch}_3(v))$$

where H is the hyperplane class. The Chern characters ch_i can be regarded as \mathbb{Q} -valued functions $\text{ch}_i = \text{ch}_i.H^{3-i}$ on $K(\mathbb{P}^3)$. These form an integral basis, and we use them as coordinates.

Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch expresses the bilinear form $\chi(-, -)$ on $K(\mathbb{P}^3)$ in terms of these coordinates as follows:

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}, E) = \chi(E) = \text{ch}_0(E) + \frac{11}{6}\text{ch}_1(E) + 2\text{ch}_2(E) + \text{ch}_3(E)$$

(where the coefficients can be read off as the Todd class of \mathbb{P}^3 backwards), and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(E, F) = \chi(F \otimes E^\vee) &= \text{ch}_0(F)\text{ch}_0(E) \\ &+ \frac{11}{6}(\text{ch}_1(F)\text{ch}_0(E) - \text{ch}_1(E)\text{ch}_0(F)) \\ &+ 2(\text{ch}_2(F)\text{ch}_0(E) - \text{ch}_1(F)\text{ch}_1(E) + \text{ch}_2(E)\text{ch}_0(F)) \\ &+ \text{ch}_3(F)\text{ch}_0(E) - \text{ch}_2(F)\text{ch}_1(E) + \text{ch}_2(E)\text{ch}_1(F) - \text{ch}_3(E)\text{ch}_0(F). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for a nonzero class $v \in K(\mathbb{P}^3)$, the conditions $\chi(-, v) = 0$ and $\chi(v, -) = 0$ are linear conditions in the Chern character basis for $K(\mathbb{P}^3)$.

In particular, we will also consider the affine space patch on the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(K(\mathbb{P}^3)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ defined by setting $\text{ch}_0 \neq 0$. It is a three-dimensional rational vector space with basis $(\frac{\text{ch}_1}{\text{ch}_0}, \frac{\text{ch}_2}{\text{ch}_0}, \frac{\text{ch}_3}{\text{ch}_0})$. Any sheaf E on \mathbb{P}^3 with nonzero rank defines a unique point in this space.

The following definition encodes the data of cohomological orthogonality among exceptional collections.

Definition 4.1. Let E , G , and H be sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 (or classes in $K(\mathbb{P}^3)$). Then, by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch, the conditions

$$\chi(-, E) = \chi(G, -) = \chi(H, -) = 0$$

are linear conditions on $K(\mathbb{P}^3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4$. When E , G , and H are independent in $K(\mathbb{P}^3)$, they determine a 1-dimensional subspace $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^4$; if L is not contained in the locus $(\text{ch}_0 = 0) \subseteq K(\mathbb{P}^3)$, then it determines a unique point ℓ in the $\frac{\text{ch}_i}{\text{ch}_0}$ -space defined above, and we define

$$\text{perp}(E, G, H) := \ell \in \left\{ \frac{\text{ch}_1}{\text{ch}_0}, \frac{\text{ch}_2}{\text{ch}_0}, \frac{\text{ch}_3}{\text{ch}_0} \right\}.$$

Explicit formulas for $\text{perp}(E, G, H)$ in terms of the Chern characters of E , G , and H can be easily given, but are rather complicated and we will not use them, so we do not list them.

When (Z, E, G, H) are the foundation of a constructive helix on \mathbb{P}^3 , they form a full exceptional collection for $D^b(\mathbb{P}^3)$. The right mutation bundle $F = R_E Z$ fits into a new exceptional collection (E, F, G, H) , and F satisfies the linear conditions above. Similar conditions are satisfied by left mutations. The point ℓ above corresponding to F is thus well-defined, and since ch_1 and ch_0 are coprime for exceptional bundles, determines the full Chern character of F in terms of the Chern characters of E , G , and H .

Example 4.2. We compute perp for the exceptional collection $(\mathcal{O}, T(-1), \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$, to recompute $\text{ch}(T(-1))$. This can easily be done by using the defining exact sequence, but is more convenient to do with the perp operator in Theorem 4.3.

The Chern character $\text{ch}(T(-1))$ satisfies the orthogonality relations

$$\chi(T(-1), \mathcal{O}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}(1), T(-1)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}(2), T(-1)) = 0.$$

Set $\text{ch}_i = \text{ch}_i(T(-1))$. Via Riemann-Roch, these equations can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(T(-1), \mathcal{O}) &= \text{ch}_0 - \frac{11}{6}\text{ch}_1 + 2\text{ch}_2 - \text{ch}_3 = 0 \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}(1), T(-1)) &= \text{ch}_0 + \frac{11}{6}(\text{ch}_1 - \text{ch}_0) + 2(\text{ch}_2 - \text{ch}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\text{ch}_0) \\ &\quad + \text{ch}_3 - \text{ch}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{ch}_1 - \frac{1}{6}\text{ch}_0 = 0 \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}(2), T(-1)) &= \text{ch}_0 + \frac{11}{6}(\text{ch}_1 - 2\text{ch}_0) + 2(\text{ch}_2 - 2\text{ch}_1 + 2\text{ch}_0) \\ &\quad + \text{ch}_3 - 2\text{ch}_2 + 2\text{ch}_1 - \frac{4}{3}\text{ch}_0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\text{ch}_0 \neq 0$, we obtain three linear equations in the three variables $\frac{\text{ch}_1}{\text{ch}_0}$, $\frac{\text{ch}_2}{\text{ch}_0}$, and $\frac{\text{ch}_3}{\text{ch}_0}$, which is easily solved by hand or by computer. Then Riemann-Roch implies that ch_1 and ch_0 are coprime, so one can determine ch_0 and the rest of the Chern character from these. One can then easily check that we get

$$\text{ch}(T(-1)) = \text{perp}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2)) = (3, 1, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let F be a constructive exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 . Then there is a well-defined way to choose three other constructive exceptional bundles $E_1(F), E_2(F), E_3(F)$ on \mathbb{P}^3 such that $(E_1(F), F, E_2(F), E_3(F))$ form a full exceptional collection for \mathbb{P}^3 . This association produces a bijection*

$$\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} : \{3\text{-adic rationals}\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Chern characters of constructive} \\ \text{exceptional bundles on } \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array} \right\}$$

defined inductively as

$$\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n) = n \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and for $q \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{3p+1}{3^{q+1}} \right) &= \text{perp} \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p}{3^q} \right), E_2 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p}{3^q} \right) \right), E_3 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p}{3^q} \right) \right) \right) \\ \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{3p+2}{3^{q+1}} \right) &= \text{perp} \left(E_1 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p+1}{3^q} \right) \right), \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p+1}{3^q} \right), E_3 \left(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3} \left(\frac{p+1}{3^q} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.4. When $\epsilon \left(\frac{3p+t}{3^q} \right) = \text{ch}(E)$ and $\frac{3p+t}{3^q}$ is reduced, we call q the *order* of E . The order of a line bundle $\mathcal{O}(a)$ is 0, the tangent and cotangent bundles T, T^\vee are of order 1.

The description of the graphs $\Gamma_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ and $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ in Section 3, especially Proposition 3.10, produce the required helix-theoretic information to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. We reduce by twisting by line bundles to define the map on rationals between 0 and 1, which will take values in the Chern characters of constructive exceptional bundles E whose slope $0 < \mu(E) < 1$. The proof will be inductive on the ‘‘order’’ q of the rational numbers $t/3^q$. The base cases are when $q = 0$ or 1; when $q = 0$ we have $\frac{t}{3^q} = t = 0$ or 1, and we assign these respectively to \mathcal{O} and $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Both lie in the standard helix σ_0 , but in this base case it won’t be necessary to choose a foundation which contains them. When $q = 1$, the construction below applies but it will be necessary to pick a foundation to start with. We have two rationals, $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$, to assign, and we set

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) = \text{ch}(T(-1)) = \text{perp}(\epsilon(0), \epsilon(1), \epsilon(2)) = \text{perp}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$$

and

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) = \text{ch}(T^\vee(2)) = \text{perp}(\epsilon(0), \epsilon(2), \epsilon(4)) = \text{perp}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(2), \mathcal{O}(3)).$$

We note that we obtain $T(-1)$ from σ_0 as the right mutation $R_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}(-1)$, and $T^\vee(2)$ as the left mutation $L_{\mathcal{O}(1)}\mathcal{O}(2)$.

In higher orders, we first define the value of ϵ on the rationals that will be associated to the right mutations, which are of the form $\frac{3p+1}{3^{q+1}}$. We distinguish the largest 3-adic rational smaller than $\frac{3p+1}{3^{q+1}}$ of order smaller than $q+1$, namely $\frac{p}{3^q}$. When $\frac{p}{3^q}$ is reduced, we may by induction write $\epsilon \left(\frac{p}{3^q} \right) = \text{ch}(F)$ and include F into to a constructive helix σ , with a choice of foundation (E, F, G, H) . We have $p = 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$. In the first case, we apply the mutation R_1 to σ to obtain a helix with foundation $(F, R_F E, G, H)$. We set

$$\epsilon \left(\frac{3p+1}{3^{q+1}} \right) = \text{ch}(R_F E) = \text{perp}(E, G, H).$$

If $\frac{p}{3^q}$ is not reduced, write $\frac{p}{3^q} = \frac{p'}{3^{q'}}$ in reduced form, and set $d = q - q'$. By induction, we can write $\epsilon(\frac{p'}{3^{q'}}) = \text{ch}(F)$ and include F into a helix σ with foundation (E, F, G, H) . When $p' = 1 \pmod 3$, we apply the mutation $R0$ d times, and when $p' = 2 \pmod 3$, we apply the mutation $R2$ once and then the mutation $R0$ $d - 1$ times. Writing the foundation obtained from these mutations as $(E', F', G', H'_{\mathbb{P}^3})$, with F' being the bundle obtained in the final mutation, we set

$$\epsilon\left(\frac{3p+1}{3^{q+1}}\right) = \text{ch}(F) = \text{perp}(E', G', H').$$

Now, we assign values to rationals of the form $\frac{3p+2}{3^{q+1}}$, which will be the left mutations. We distinguish the smallest 3-adic rational larger than $\frac{3p+2}{3^{q+1}}$ of order smaller than $q + 1$, namely $\frac{p+1}{3^q}$. If it is reduced, we write $\epsilon(\frac{p+1}{3^q}) = \text{ch}(G)$ and include G into a foundation (E, F, G, H) for a constructive helix σ by induction. If $p + 1 = 1 \pmod 3$, we apply the mutation $L0$ once; if $p + 1 = 2 \pmod 3$, we apply the mutation $L1$ once. We then set

$$\epsilon\left(\frac{3p+2}{3^{q+1}}\right) = \text{ch}(L_G H) = \text{perp}(F, G, E(4)).$$

When $\frac{p+1}{3^q}$ is not reduced, we write $\frac{p+1}{3^q} = \frac{p''}{3^{q''}}$ in reduced form. Set $d = q - q''$. If $p'' = 1 \pmod 3$, then we perform we apply one $L1$ mutation and $d - 1$ $L2$ mutations; if $p'' = 2 \pmod 3$, we apply the mutation $L2$ d times. Writing (E', F', G', H') for the foundation obtained from (E, F, G, H) via these mutations with G' the bundle obtained from the final mutation, we set

$$\epsilon\left(\frac{3p+2}{3^{q+1}}\right) = \text{ch}(G') = \text{perp}(F', H', E'(4)).$$

This defines ϵ entirely. We now show that it is a bijection.

First, we show that ϵ is injective. We apply Proposition 3.10. The admissible mutations arising from the mutations of σ_0 are the mutations $R0, L0, R1, L1, R2, L2$, which are the mutations applied in the above construction. Since no inverses are applied, it follows that each such description describes a unique path on the graph Γ' . Since Γ' is a tree, two rationals are sent to the same Chern character if and only if the mutations described above define the same path on Γ' , which produce the same exceptional bundle.

For surjectivity, we apply the classification statement in Proposition 3.10, namely that every constructive exceptional bundle of slope $0 < \mu < 1$ appears in Γ' . The above definition of $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ describes how any vertex on $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ can be obtained by choosing a suitable rational number: one chooses the parent vertex, inducts on the distance from σ_0 to associate it to a rational, applies $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ to obtain a foundation, and applies the relevant mutation. This concludes the proof. \square

4.1. Explicit computations. In this subsection we list the numerical and cohomological data for the first few exceptional bundles with slope between 0 and 1. Each appears in a foundation obtained from the standard helix with foundation $(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$ via admissible mutations. Each such mutation produces a standard resolution by lower order exceptional bundles; each also fits into a helix triangle which produces the same bundle as the opposite mutation. These mutations/resolutions will be called *standard*, and we list them below; see Figure 3.

These explicit computations and many others suggest the following conjecture.

E_μ	order	standard foundation	standard resolutions	Chern character	$\epsilon(\frac{p}{39})$	nonzero cohomology
$E_n = \mathcal{O}(n)$	0	$(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$	n/a	$(1, n, \frac{n^2}{2}, \frac{n^3}{6})$	$\epsilon(n)$	$h^0 = \binom{n+3}{3}$
$E_{1/3} = T(-1)$	1	$(\mathcal{O}, T(-1), \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$	$\mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^4 \rightarrow T(-1)$ $T(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^6 \rightarrow T^\vee(3)$	$(3, 1, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{1}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{1}{3})$	$h^0 = 4$
$E_{2/3} = T^\vee(2)$	1	$(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}, T^\vee(2), \mathcal{O}(1))$	$T^\vee(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2)$ $T(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^6 \rightarrow T^\vee(2)$	$(3, 2, 2, \frac{-2}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{2}{3})$	$h^0 = 6$
$E_{2/9}$	2	$(\mathcal{O}, E_{2/9}, T(-1), \mathcal{O}(1))$	$\mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{10} \rightarrow E_{2/9}$ $E_{2/9} \rightarrow T(-1)^4 \rightarrow T^\vee(2)$	$(9, 2, -2, \frac{4}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{1}{9})$	$h^0 = 10$
$E_{5/17}$	2	$(\mathcal{O}(-2), \mathcal{O}, E_{5/17}, T(-1))$	$E_{5/17} \rightarrow T(-1)^6 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ $T(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(4)^{20} \rightarrow E_{5/17}$	$(17, 5, \frac{-7}{2}, \frac{5}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{2}{9})$	$h^0 = 20$
$E_{4/11}$	2	$(T(-1), E_{4/11}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$	$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow T(-1)^4 \rightarrow E_{4/11}$ $E_{4/11} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{20} \rightarrow E_{7/9}(1)$	$(11, 4, -2, \frac{2}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{4}{9})$	$h^0 = 15$
$E_{7/11}$	2	$(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}, E_{7/11}, T^\vee(2))$	$E_{7/11} \rightarrow T^\vee(2)^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ $E_{2/9}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{20} \rightarrow E_{7/11}$	$(11, 7, \frac{15}{2}, \frac{-17}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{5}{9})$	$h^0 = 20$
$E_{12/17}$	2	$(T^\vee(2), E_{12/17}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(3))$	$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow T^\vee(2)^6 \rightarrow E_{12/17}$ $E_{12/17} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{20} \rightarrow T^\vee(4)$	$(17, 12, 12, -4)$	$\epsilon(\frac{7}{9})$	$h^0 = 35$
$E_{7/9}$	2	$(\mathcal{O}, T^\vee(2), E_{7/9}, \mathcal{O}(1))$	$E_{7/9} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{10} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(3)$ $T(-1) \rightarrow T^\vee(2)^4 \rightarrow E_{7/9}$	$(9, 7, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{-17}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{8}{9})$	$h^0 = 20$
$E_{3/19}$	3	$(\mathcal{O}, E_{3/19}, E_{2/9}, T(-1))$	$\mathcal{O}(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{20} \rightarrow E_{3/19}$ $E_{3/19} \rightarrow E_{2/9}^4 \rightarrow E_{5/17}$	$(19, 3, \frac{-9}{2}, \frac{9}{2})$	$\epsilon(\frac{1}{27})$	$h^0 = 20$
$E_{7/33}$	3	$(\mathcal{O}, E_{7/33}, E_{2/9}, \mathcal{O}(1))$	$E_{7/33} \rightarrow E_{2/9}^4 \rightarrow T(-1)$ $T^\vee(3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(4)^{36} \rightarrow E_{7/33}$	$(33, 7, \frac{-15}{2}, \frac{31}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{2}{27})$	$h^0 = 36$
$E_{20/89}$	3	$(E_{2/9}, E_{20/89}, T(-1), \mathcal{O}(1))$	$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow E_{2/9}^{10} \rightarrow E_{20/89}$ $E_{20/89} \rightarrow T(-1)^{36} \rightarrow E_{3/19}^\vee$	$(89, 20, -20, \frac{40}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{4}{27})$	$h^0 = 99$
$E_{29/99}$	3	$(\mathcal{O}(-2), \mathcal{O}, E_{29/99}, E_{5/17})$	$E_{29/99} \rightarrow E_{5/17}^6 \rightarrow T(-1)$ $E_{5/17}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(4)^{116} \rightarrow E_{29/99}$	$(99, 29, \frac{-41}{2}, \frac{29}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{5}{27})$	$h^0 = 116$
$E_{100/339}$	3	$(E_{5/17}, E_{100/339}, T(-1), \mathcal{O}(2))$	$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow E_{5/17}^{20} \rightarrow E_{100/339}$ $E_{100/339} \rightarrow T(-1)^{116} \rightarrow E_{7/9}(1)$	$(339, 100, -70, \frac{50}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{7}{27})$	$h^0 = 399$
$E_{18/59}$	3	$(\mathcal{O}, E_{5/17}, E_{18/59}, T(-1))$	$E_{18/59} \rightarrow T(-1)^{20} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2)$ $E_{2/9} \rightarrow E_{5/17}^4 \rightarrow E_{18/59}$	$(59, 18, 8, 2)$	$\epsilon(\frac{8}{27})$	$h^0 = 70$
$E_{38/107}$	3	$(T(-1), E_{38/107}, E_{4/11}, \mathcal{O}(1))$	$\mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow T(-1)^{36} \rightarrow E_{38/107}$ $E_{38/107} \rightarrow E_{4/11}^{10} \rightarrow T^\vee(2)$	$(107, 38, -20, \frac{22}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{10}{27})$	$h^0 = 144$
$E_{79/219}$	3	$(\mathcal{O}(-2), T(-1), E_{79/219}, E_{4/11})$	$E_{79/219} \rightarrow E_{4/11}^{20} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ $T(-3) \rightarrow T(-1)^{74} \rightarrow E_{79/219}$	$(219, 79, \frac{-81}{2}, \frac{79}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{11}{27})$	$h^0 = 296$
$E_{15/41}$	3	$(E_{4/11}, E_{15/41}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2))$	$T(-1) \rightarrow E_{4/11}^4 \rightarrow E_{15/41}$ $E_{15/41} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{74} \rightarrow E_{7/33}^\vee(2)$	$(41, 15, \frac{-15}{2}, \frac{15}{6})$	$\epsilon(\frac{13}{27})$	$h^0 = 56$
$E_{26/41}$	3	$(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}, E_{26/41}, E_{7/11})$	$E_{26/41} \rightarrow E_{7/11}^4 \rightarrow T^\vee(2)$ $E_{7/33}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{74} \rightarrow E_{26/41}$	$(41, 26, 28, \frac{-32}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{14}{27})$	$h^0 = 74$
$E_{140/219}$	3	$(E_{7/11}, E_{140/219}, T^\vee(2), \mathcal{O}(3))$	$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow E_{7/11}^{20} \rightarrow E_{140/219}$ $E_{140/219} \rightarrow T^\vee(2) \rightarrow T^\vee(4)$	$(219, 140, 150, \frac{-170}{3})$	$\epsilon(\frac{16}{27})$	$h^0 = 399$
$E_{69/107}$	3	$(\mathcal{O}, E_{7/11}, E_{69/107}, T^\vee(2))$	$E_{69/107} \rightarrow T^\vee(2)^{36} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(3)$ $T(-1) \rightarrow E_{7/11}^{10} \rightarrow E_{69/107}$	$(107, 69, \frac{135}{2}, \frac{-57}{2})$	$\epsilon(\frac{17}{27})$	$h^0 = 196$

FIGURE 3. The first few exceptional bundles, with numerical and cohomological invariants.

Conjecture 4.5 (Weak Brill-Noether for exceptional bundles). Let E be a constructive exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 . Then $h^i(E) \neq 0$ for at most one i , and

$$\chi(E) = \begin{cases} h^0(E) & \text{if } \mu(E) \geq 0, \\ -h^1(E) & \text{if } -4 < \mu(E) < 0 \text{ and } \chi(E) < 0, \\ h^2(E) & \text{if } -4 < \mu(E) < 0 \text{ and } \chi(E) \geq 0, \\ -h^3(E) & \text{if } \mu(E) < -4. \end{cases}$$

Conjecture 4.5 has been verified in a few cases where the exceptional bundles are sufficiently positive [CHS, Corollary 3.4], but in general relies on proving that certain maps among cohomology groups for exceptional bundles have maximal rank, which is very subtle.

5. GLOBAL GENERATION

Recall that given a mutation $\gamma : \sigma \mapsto \tau$, there are three mutations of τ that are γ -admissible; see Example 3.4. To prove global generation, we will only need to consider these mutations; see Figure 2 for a drawing of these as a graph.

Theorem 5.1. *If E is a constructive exceptional bundle of slope $\mu \geq 0$, then E is globally generated.*

Proof. First, recall in the proof of Theorem 4.3 that to each constructive exceptional bundle E we have associated a distinguished helix $\sigma = \sigma(E)$ containing E . We say E is a right mutation if the final mutation forming E in the sequence described in the classification is a right mutation, and similar for left mutations. If E is a right mutation we include it into a foundation for σ of the form (A, E, B, C) , and the Chern character of E is associated to a rational number of the form $\frac{3p+1}{3^q}$. If E is a left mutation we include it into a foundation for σ of the form (A, B, E, C) , and the Chern character of E is associated to a rational number of the form $\frac{3p+2}{3^q}$. In each case, $q = \text{ord}(E)$.

To prove the theorem we induct on the order of E . If the order of E is zero then E is a line bundle, and global generation when $\mu(E) \geq 0$ is clear. Otherwise, we may write E as a left or right mutation

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow C \otimes \text{Hom}(C, F) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \otimes \text{Hom}(F, A)^\vee \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

where C (resp. A) has order one less than E .

We address the right mutation case first. In this case, by construction we have $\text{ch}(A) = \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\frac{p}{3^q-1})$. Whenever $\mu(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(x)) > 0$, we have $x > 0$. Thus we have $3p+1 > 0$, hence $p \geq 0$. So, again since $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is increasing, $\mu(A) \geq 0$. By induction on the order we can assume A is globally generated, so E is a quotient of a globally generated bundle. It follows that E is globally generated.

In the left mutation case, the first step is to reduce to the case of a right mutation using the helix relation. Recall that in this case, σ has a foundation (A, B, E, C) . Writing $E = L_C F$, the helix from which E is formed by mutation has foundation (A, B, C, F) . The helix relation takes the form $L_C = R_B R_{F(-4)}$. These two right mutations are defined via exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow F(-4) \rightarrow A \otimes \text{Hom}(F(-4), A)^\vee \rightarrow R_A F(-4) = E' \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow B \otimes \text{Hom}(E', B)^\vee \rightarrow R_B E' = E \rightarrow 0.$$

The next step is to show that $\mu(B) \geq 0$, in which case we can again use induction on the order to deduce that B is globally generated, from which it will again follow from the second exact sequence that E is globally generated as well.

We first introduce some notation and terminology. Given a constructive exceptional bundle F on \mathbb{P}^3 , we can include it as in Theorem 4.3 into a helix $\sigma(F)$ of order $\text{ord}(F)$. We set $\text{par}_L(F)$ and $\text{par}_R(F)$, respectively, to be the ‘‘parent’’ bundles directly to the left and right of F in σ , and writing $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\eta) = \text{ch}(F)$, we write $\text{par}_L(\eta)$ and $\text{par}_R(\eta)$ for the rationals $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}(\text{par}_L(F))$ and $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}(\text{par}_R(F))$, respectively. Write $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\eta) = E$, for the bundle E appearing in this proof. Because E is a quotient of a direct sum of copies of the bundle $B = \text{par}_L(E)$, we need to show that $\mu(\text{par}_L(E)) \geq 0$. The projection $\eta \mapsto \epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\eta) \mapsto \mu(\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\eta))$ is increasing by slope-stability, so it is enough to check that $\text{par}_L(\eta) \geq 0$ when $\eta > 0$.

Using Theorem 4.3 we can isolate the left mutations producing exceptional bundles and prove this by induction. As in Figure 2, there are only three left mutations to consider: $L0$, $L1$, and $L2$. We will describe these mutations explicitly. We set $\eta = (3p + 2)/3^{q+1}$. On foundations, we have:

$$L0 : (B, \underline{C}, F, A(4)) \mapsto (B, L_C F, C, A(4))$$

$$L1 : (B, \underline{C}, F, A(4)) \mapsto (B, L_C F, C, A(4))$$

$$L2 : (A, \underline{B}, C, F) \mapsto (A, B, L_F C, C).$$

where underlined bundles are new. In the first two mutations, $\text{par}_L(C) = B$ and C has order less than $E = L_C F$, so we conclude by induction. In the third mutation ($L2$) we argue as follows. It is enough to show that if the numerator of $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}(L_C F)$ is negative, then so is the numerator of $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}(B)$. In this case, B is a left mutation, and we write $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}(B) = (3p + 2)/3^q$, and we have $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}(C) = (p + 1)/3^q$ and $\epsilon_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{-1}(L_C F) = (3(p + 1) - 1)/3^{q+1}$. The numerator is negative when $p < -2/3$, so the numerator for B is $3p + 2 < 0$, as required. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 5.2. The same statement is true for \mathbb{P}^2 ; see [CHK, Proposition 4.4]. The proof is substantially simpler on \mathbb{P}^2 , for two reasons. First, it is possible to explicitly identify the ‘‘parent’’ bundles of an exceptional bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 , and the case of a left mutation is indistinguishable from the case of a right mutation.

REFERENCES

- [Bei] A.A. Beilinson, Coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^n and problems of linear algebra, *Func. Anal. Its. Appl.* 12, 214–216, 1978.
- [BG] A. I. Bondal and A. L. Gorodentsev, On the functors Ext^\bullet applied to exceptional bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 , *Helices and Vector Bundles: Seminaire Rudakov*, (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [CHK] Izzet Coskun, Jack Huizenga, and John Kopper, The cohomology of general tensor products of vector bundles on the projective plane, *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, 27, 94, 2021.
- [CHS] Izzet Coskun, Jack Huizenga, and Geoffrey Smith, Stability and cohomology of kernel bundles on projective space, [arXiv:2204.10247](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.10247), 2022.
- [DLP] Jean-Marc Drézet and Joseph Le Potier, Fibrés stables et fibrés exceptionnels sur \mathbb{P}_2 , *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*, 193–243, Elsevier, 4e série, 18, 2, 1985.
- [Dré] Jean-Marc Drézet, Fibrés exceptionnels et suite spectrale de Beilinson généralisée sur $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$, *Mathematische Annalen*, 275, 25–48, 1986.
- [GR] A. L. Gorodentsev and A. N. Rudakov, Exceptional vector bundles on projective spaces, *Duke Math. J.*, 54 (1), 115–130, 1987.

- [Kuz] Alexander Kuznetsov, On residual categories for Grassmannians, Proc. of the London Math. Soc. 120, 2019.
- [LP] Joseph Le Potier, *Lectures on Vector Bundles*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 54, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [Nog] D. Yu. Nogin, Helices on some Fano threefolds: constructivity of semiorthogonal bases of K_0 , Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 129 – 172, Elsevier, 4e série, 27, 2, 1994.
- [Pol] Alexander Polishchuk, Simple helices on Fano threefolds, Canad. Math. Bull., 520–526, Vol. 54 (3), 2011
- [Pos] Leonid Positselski, All strictly exceptional collections in $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathbb{P}^m)$ consist of vector bundles, [arXiv:alg-geom/9507014](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9507014), 1995.
- [Rud] A. Rudakov (ed.), *Helices and vector bundles: Seminaire Rudakov*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Sch] Benjamin Schmidt, Sheaves of low rank in three dimensional projective space, [arXiv:2112.06260](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.06260), 2021.
- [Vak] Ravi Vakil, Murphy's law in algebraic geometry: Badly-behaved deformation spaces, Invent. math. 164, 569–590, 2006.
- [Zub] N. Zube, Stability of exceptional bundles on three-dimensional projective space, *Helices and Vector Bundles: Seminaire Rudakov*, (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.