

ON TAME $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -EXTENSIONS WITH PRESCRIBED RAMIFICATION

FARSHID HAJIR, CHRISTIAN MAIRE, RAVI RAMAKRISHNA

ABSTRACT. The tame Gras-Munnier Theorem gives a criterion for the existence of a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension of a number field K ramified at exactly a set S of places of K prime to p (allowing real Archimedean places when $p = 2$) in terms of the existence of a dependence relation on the Frobenius elements of these places in a certain *governing extension*. We give a new and simpler proof of this theorem that also relates the set of such extensions of K to the set of these dependence relations. After presenting this proof, we then reprove the key Proposition 3 using the more sophisticated Wiles-Greenberg formula based on global duality.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}$ be squarefree and odd. Our convention is that $\infty|D$ if $D < 0$. It is a standard result that there exists a quadratic extension K/\mathbb{Q} ramified at exactly the set $\{v : v|D\}$ if and only if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The key is how the Frobenius elements of the $v|D$ lie in the Galois group of the ‘governing extension’ $\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}$. Let σ_v denote Frobenius at v in this extension with σ_∞ being the nontrivial element of $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$. We frame this as the following theorem:

Theorem *There exists a quadratic extension K/\mathbb{Q} ramified exactly at a tame (not containing 2 but allowing ∞) set S of places if and only if $\sum_{v \in S} \sigma_v$ is the trivial element in $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$.*

In [GM] this result was generalized to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions of a general number field K . For a fixed prime p and set S of tame places, set

$$V_S = \{x \in K^\times \mid (x) = J^p; x \in K_v^{\times p} \forall v \in S\}.$$

Note $K^{\times p} \subset V_S$ for all S and $S \subset T \implies V_T \subseteq V_S$. Let \mathcal{O}_K^\times and $Cl_K[p]$ be, respectively, the units of K and the p -torsion in the class group of K . It is a standard result that $V_\emptyset/K^{\times p}$ lies in the exact sequence (see Proposition 10.7.2 of [NSW]):

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow V_\emptyset/K^{\times p} \rightarrow Cl_K[p] \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $K' = K(\mu_p)$, $L = K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset})$ and let r_1 and r_2 be the number of real and pairs of complex embeddings of K . We call L/K' the *governing extension* for K . When $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $p = 2$ we see $L = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and have recovered the field of the theorem above.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 L := K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset}) \\
 \swarrow \\
 K' := K(\mu_p) \\
 \downarrow \\
 K
 \end{array}$$

The second author was partially supported by the ANR project FLAIR (ANR-17-CE40-0012) and by the EIPHI Graduate School (ANR-17-EURE-0002). The third author was partially supported by Simons Collaboration grant #524863. He also thanks FEMTO-ST for its hospitality and wonderful research environment during his visit there in the spring of 2022. All three authors were supported by ICERM for a Research in Pairs visit in January, 2022.

As L is obtained by adjoining to K' the p th roots of elements of K (not K'), one easily shows that places v'_1, v'_2 of K' above a fixed place v of K have Frobenius elements in $\text{Gal}(L/K')$ that differ by a nonzero scalar multiple. We abuse notation and for any v' of K' above v in K denote Frobenius at v' by σ_v . The theorem of [GM] (also see Chapter V of [G]) below and Theorem 1 implicitly use this abuse of notation.

Theorem (Gras-Munnier) *Let p be a prime and S a finite set of tame places (allowing Archimedean places if $p = 2$) of K . There exists a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension of K ramified at exactly the places of S if and only if there exists a dependence relation $\sum_{v \in S} a_v \sigma_v = 0$ in the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $\text{Gal}(L/K')$ with all $a_v \neq 0$.*

The original proof uses class field theory in a fairly complicated way. Theorem 1 is a generalization of the Gras-Munnier Theorem. We first give a short proof that uses only one element of class field theory, (2.1) below, and elementary linear algebra. We easily prove Proposition 3 from (2.1), after which one only needs a standard inclusion-exclusion argument. The cardinalities of the two sets of Theorem 1 being equal suggests a duality. In the final section of this note we give an alternative proof of Proposition 3 using the Wiles-Greenberg formula whose proof requires the full strength of global duality. Denote by G_S the Galois group over K of its maximal extension pro- p unramified outside S . Our main result is:

Theorem 1. *Let p be a prime and S a finite set of tame places of a number field K (allowing Archimedean places if $p = 2$). The sets*

$$\left\{ f \in \frac{H^1(G_S, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} \mid \text{the extension } K_f/K \text{ fixed by } \text{Ker}(f) \text{ is ramified exactly at the places of } S \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \text{The dependence relations } \sum_{v \in S} a_v \sigma_v = 0 \text{ in } \text{Gal}(L/K') \text{ with all } a_v \neq 0 \right\}$$

have the same cardinality.

It is an easy exercise to see both sets have cardinality at most one when $p = 2$, so the bijection is natural in this case.

We thank Brian Conrad for pointing out to us a proof of Lemma 4(ii) and Peter Uttenthal for helpful suggestions.

2. PROOF OF THE GRAS-MUNNIER THEOREM

For any field E set $\delta(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu_p \subset E \\ 0 & \mu_p \not\subset E \end{cases}$. Observe that by Dirichlet's unit theorem $\text{Gal}(L/K')$ is an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space of dimension $r_1 + r_2 - 1 + \delta(K) + \dim \text{Cl}_K[p]$. The standard fact from class field theory that we need (see §11.3 of [K] or §10.7 of [NSW]) is a formula of Shafarevich and Koch for the dimension of the space of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions of K unramified outside a tame set Z :

$$(2.1) \quad \dim H^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = -r_1 - r_2 + 1 - \delta(K) + \dim(V_Z/K^{\times p}) + \left(\sum_{v \in Z} \delta(K_v) \right).$$

Fix a tame set S noting that $H^1(G_S, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ may include cohomology classes that cut out $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions of K that could be ramified at proper subsets of S . If $\delta(K_v) = 0$ for $v \in S$, there are no ramified $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions of K_v and thus no $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions of K ramified at v , so we always assume $\delta(K_v) = 1$. Then, as we vary Z from \emptyset to S one place at a time, $\dim(V_Z/K^{\times p})$ may remain the same or decrease by 1. In these cases $\dim H^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ increases by 1 or remains the same respectively.

Let $W \subset \text{Gal}(L/K')$ be the \mathbb{F}_p -subspace spanned by $\langle \sigma_v \rangle_{v \in S}$, the Frobenius elements of the places in S . We will show the set of dependence relations on these Frobenius elements all of whose coefficients are nonzero has the same cardinality as the set of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions of K ramified exactly at the places of S . Let $I := \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\} \subset S$ be such that $\{\sigma_{u_1}, \sigma_{u_2}, \dots, \sigma_{u_r}\}$ form a basis of W and let $D := \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s\} \subset S$ be the remaining elements of S . We think of the σ_{u_i} as independent elements and the σ_{w_j} as the dependent elements. As we vary X in (2.1) from \emptyset to I by adding in one u_i at a time, we are adding 1 through the $\delta(K_{u_i})$ term to the right side of (2.1), but $\dim V_X/K^{\times p}$ becomes one dimension smaller, so both sides remain unchanged. Then, as we add in the places w_j of D to get to $S = I \cup D$ we have $V_I/K^{\times p} = V_S/K^{\times p}$. Thus

$$(2.2) \quad H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(G_I, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \dim H^1(G_S, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) - s \implies \dim \frac{H^1(G_S, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} = s.$$

We can write each σ_{w_j} as a linear combination of the σ_{u_i} in a unique way:

$$R_j : \sigma_{w_j} - \sum_{i=1}^r F_{ji} \sigma_{u_i} = 0.$$

For $X \subset S$ let R_X be the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space of all dependence relations on the elements $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in X} \subset \text{Gal}(L/K')$. We prove a preliminary result in the spirit of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. *The set $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_s\}$ forms a basis of the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space of dependence relations on the σ_{u_i} and σ_{w_j} .*

Proof. Consider any dependence relation R among the σ_{u_i} and σ_{w_j} . We can eliminate each σ_{w_j} by adding to R a suitable multiple of R_j . We are then left with a dependence relation on the σ_{u_i} , which are independent, so it is trivial, proving the lemma. \square

Proposition 3. *For any $X \subseteq S$, $\dim R_X = \dim \left(\frac{H^1(G_X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} \right)$.*

Proof. Lemma 2 and (2.2) prove this for $X = S$. Apply the same proof to $X \subset S$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1: Proposition 3 does *not* complete the proof as R_S may contain dependence relations with support properly contained in S and $\frac{H^1(G_S, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}$ may contain elements giving rise to extensions of K ramified at proper subsets of S .

Proof Theorem 1: The set of dependence relations with support *exactly* in S is

$$(2.3) \quad R_S \setminus \bigcup_{v \in S} R_{S \setminus \{v\}},$$

those with support contained in S less the union of those with proper maximal support in S . For any sets $A_i \subset S$ it is clear that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k R_{A_i} = R_{\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i},$$

so by inclusion-exclusion

$$(2.4) \quad \# \bigcup_{v \in S} R_{S \setminus \{v\}} = \sum_{v \in S} \# R_{S \setminus \{v\}} - \sum_{v \neq w \in S} \# R_{S \setminus \{v, w\}} + \dots$$

Similarly the set of cohomology classes giving rise to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions ramified exactly at the places of S (up to unramified extensions) is

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{H^1(G_S, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} \setminus \bigcup_{v \in S} \frac{H^1(G_{S \setminus \{v\}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Since for any sets $A_i \subset S$ we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{H^1(G_{A_i}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} = \frac{H^1(G_{\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})},$$

we see

$$(2.6) \quad \# \bigcup_{v \in S} \frac{H^1(G_{S \setminus \{v\}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} = \sum_{v \in S} \# \frac{H^1(G_{S \setminus \{v\}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} - \sum_{v \neq w \in S} \# \frac{H^1(G_{S \setminus \{v, w\}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} + \dots$$

Proposition 3 implies the terms on the right sides of (2.4) and (2.6) are equal so the left sides are equal as well. The result follows from (2.3), (2.5) and applying Proposition 3 with $X = S$. \square

3. A PROOF VIA THE WILES-GREENBERG FORMULA

As the association of dependence relations and cohomology classes in Theorem 1 resembles a duality result, we now prove Proposition 3 using the Wiles-Greenberg formula, which follows from global duality. We assume familiarity with local and global Galois cohomology and their duality theories.

As we will need to apply the Wiles-Greenberg formula, we henceforth assume its hypothesis that Z is a set of places of K containing all those above infinity and p . For each $v \in Z$, let $G_v := \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/K_v)$ and consider a subspace $L_v \subset H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Under the local duality pairing (see Chapter 7, §2 of [NSW])

$$H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(G_v, \mu_p) \rightarrow H^2(G_v, \mu_p) \simeq \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$$

L_v has an annihilator $L_v^\perp \subset H^1(G_v, \mu_p)$. Set

$$H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) := \text{Ker} \left(H^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in Z} \frac{H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{L_v} \right)$$

and

$$H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) := \text{Ker} \left(H^1(G_Z, \mu_p) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in Z} \frac{H^1(G_v, \mu_p)}{L_v^\perp} \right).$$

We call $\{L_v\}_{v \in Z}$ and $\{L_v^\perp\}_{v \in Z}$ the Selmer and dual Selmer conditions and $H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p)$ the Selmer and dual Selmer groups.

We state two results that we need for our second proof of Proposition 3. As Lemma 4 (ii) is perhaps not so well-known, we include a sketch of its proof.

Lemma 4. (i) Suppose $v \nmid p$. Then $H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mu_p)$, the unramified cohomology classes, are exact annihilators of one another under the local duality pairing.

(ii) Suppose $v|p$. Recall $K'_v = K_v(\mu_p)$. The annihilator in $H^1(G_v, \mu_p)$ of $H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \subset H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is $H_f^1(G_v, \mu_p)$, the peu ramifiée classes, namely those $f \in H_f^1(G_v, \mu_p)$ whose fixed field $L_{v,f}$ of $\text{Kernel}(f|_{G_{K'_v}})$ arises from adjoining the p th root of a unit $u_f \in K_v$.

Proof. (i) This is standard - see 7.2.15 of [NSW].

(ii) Cohomology taken over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v})$ in what follows is flat. Here

$$H_f^1(G_v, \mu_p) = H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mu_p) = \mathcal{O}_{K_v}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{K_v}^{\times p} \subset K_v^\times / K_v^{\times p}$$

where the containment is codimension one as \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces. Recall

$$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \simeq H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

and by Lemma 1.1 of Chapter III of [M] we have the injections

$$H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mu_p) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_v, \mu_p)$$

and the pairing

$$H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mu_p) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mu_p) = 0$$

which is consistent with the local duality pairing

$$H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(G_v, \mu_p) \rightarrow H^2(G_v, \mu_p) = \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

As $H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}), \mu_p) = H_f^1(G_v, \mu_p)$ are, respectively, dimension 1 and codimension 1 in $H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(G_v, \mu_p)$, they are exact annihilators of one another, proving (ii). \square

Theorem (Wiles-Greenberg) *Assume Z contains all places above $\{p, \infty\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) - \dim H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) \\ &= \dim H^0(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) - \dim H^0(G_Z, \mu_p) + \sum_{v \in Z} (\dim L_v - \dim H^0(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})). \end{aligned}$$

See 8.7.9 of [NSW] for details of this result.

Second proof of Proposition 3. Recall X is tame and write $X := X_{<\infty} \cup X_\infty$. Set $Z := Z_p \cup X_{<\infty} \cup Z_\infty$ where $Z_p := \{v : v|p\}$ and Z_∞ is the set of all real Archimedean places of K (so $X_\infty \subseteq Z_\infty$). We assume for all $v \in X_{<\infty}$ that $N(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Recall that for a complex Archimedean place v of K we have $G_v = \{e\}$ so the Selmer and dual Selmer conditions are trivial. For a real Archimedean place v , $\dim H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \dim H^1(G_v, \mu_2) = 1$ and the pairing between them is perfect - see Chapter I, Theorem 2.13 of [M]. It is easy to see in this case that the unramified cohomology groups are trivial.

In the table below we choose $\{M_v\}_{v \in Z}$ and $\{N_v\}_{v \in Z}$ so that $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{\mathcal{N}}^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(G_X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. The previous paragraph and Lemma 4 justify the stated dual Selmer conditions of the table.

	M_v	M_v^\perp	N_v	N_v^\perp
$v \in Z_p$	$H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$	$H_f^1(G_v, \mu_p)$	$H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$	$H_f^1(G_v, \mu_p)$
$v \in X_\infty$	$H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$	$H^1(G_v, \mu_2)$	$H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	0
$v \in Z_\infty \setminus X_\infty$	$H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$	$H^1(G_v, \mu_2)$	0	$H^1(G_v, \mu_2)$
$v \in X_{<\infty}$	$H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$	$H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mu_p)$	$H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$	0

Applying the Wiles-Greenberg formula for $\{M_v\}_{v \in Z}$ and $\{N_v\}_{v \in Z}$ and subtracting the first equation from the second:

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H^1(G_X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) - \dim H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \\ & \dim H_{\mathcal{N}}^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) - \dim H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(G_Z, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \\ & \dim H_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) - \dim H_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) + \sum_{v \in Z} (\dim N_v - \dim M_v) \end{aligned}$$

For $v \in X_{<\infty}$ local class field theory implies $\dim H_{nr}^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ and $\dim H^1(G_v, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = 2$ so

$$\dim N_v - \dim M_v = \begin{cases} 0 & v \in Z_p \\ 1 & v \in X_\infty, p = 2 \\ 0 & v \in Z_\infty \setminus X_\infty \\ 1 & v \in X_{<\infty} \end{cases},$$

and we have

$$(3.1) \quad \dim \left(\frac{H^1(G_X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}{H^1(G_\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} \right) = \dim H_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) - \dim H_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) + \#X.$$

To prove Proposition 3 we need to show this last quantity is $\dim R_X = s$, the dimension of the space of dependence relations on the set $\{\sigma_v\}_{v \in X} \subset W = \text{Gal}(K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset})/K')$.

An element $f \in H_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p)$ gives rise to the field diagram below where L_f/K' is a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ extension peu ramifiée at $v \in Z_p$, with no condition on $v \in Z_\infty$ and unramified at $v \in X_{<\infty}$. We show the composite of all such L_f is $K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset})$.

$$\begin{array}{c} L_f := K'(\sqrt[p]{\alpha_f}) \\ \swarrow \\ K' := K(\mu_p) \\ \downarrow \\ K \end{array}$$

Kummer Theory implies $\alpha_f \in K'/K'^{\times p}$, which decomposes into eigenspaces under the action of $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$. If it is not in the trivial eigenspace, then $\text{Gal}(L_f/K')$ is not acted on by $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$ via the cyclotomic character, a contradiction, so we may assume (up to p th powers) $\alpha_f \in K$. Since L_f/K' is unramified at $v \in X_{<\infty}$, we see that at all such v that $\alpha_f = u\pi_v^{pr}$ where $u \in K_v$ is a unit. At $v \in Z_p$ being peu ramifiée implies that locally at $v \in X_p$ we have $\alpha_f = u\pi_v^{pr}$ where $u \in K_v$ is a unit. Together, these mean that the fractional ideal (α_f) of K is a p th power, which implies that $\alpha_f \in V_\emptyset$. Conversely, if $\alpha \in V_\emptyset$, then, recalling that $(\alpha) = J^p$ for some ideal of K , we have that $K'(\sqrt[p]{\alpha})/K'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension peu ramifiée at $v \in Z_p$, with no condition at $v \in Z_\infty$. Thus α gives rise to an element $f_\alpha \in H_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p)$ so $L := K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset})$ is the composite of all L_f for $f \in H_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p)$.

An element $f \in H_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p)$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension of K' peu ramifiée at $v \in Z_p$ and split completely at $v \in X$. We denote the composite of all these fields by $D \subset K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset})$.

$$\begin{array}{c} L := K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset}) \\ \swarrow D \\ K' := K(\mu_p) \\ \downarrow \\ K \end{array}$$

Recall that r is the dimension of the space $\langle \sigma_v \rangle_{v \in X} \subset \text{Gal}(L/K')$. Clearly D is the field fixed of $\langle \sigma_v \rangle_{v \in X}$ so $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Gal}(K'(\sqrt[p]{V_\emptyset})/D) = r = \#I$ from the first section of this note. Thus

$$\dim H_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) = \dim(V_\emptyset/K^{\times p}) - r$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim H_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) - \dim H_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}^1(G_Z, \mu_p) + \#X = \\ & (\dim(V_\emptyset/K^{\times p}) - r) - \dim(V_\emptyset/K^{\times p}) + (r + s) = s = \dim R_X \end{aligned}$$

and we have shown the the left hand side of (3.1) is $\dim R_X$ proving Proposition 3. \square

We have now proven Proposition 3 using the Wiles-Greenberg formula. The rest of the proof of Theorem 1 follows as in the previous section.

REFERENCES

- [G] G. Gras, *Class Field Theory: from theory to practice*, corr. 2nd ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer (2005), xiii+507 pages.
- [GM] Gras, G. and Munnier, A., *Extensions cycliques T -totalement ramifiées*, Publ. Math. Besançon, 1997/98.
- [K] Koch, H. *Galois Theory of p -extensions*, Springer 2002.
- [M] *Arithmetic duality Theorems*, Academic press, 1986 First Edition. Second edition available <https://www.jmilne.org/math/Books/ADTnot.pdf>.
- [NSW] Neukirch, J.; Schmidt, A.; Wingeberg, K. *Cohomology of Number Fields*, Springer. Second edition, second corrected printing 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST, MA 01003, USA

FEMTO-ST INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITÉ BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, CNRS, 15B AVENUE DES MONTBOUCONS, 25000 BESANÇON, FRANCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853-4201USA

Email address: `hajir@math.umass.edu`, `christian.maire@univ-fcomte.fr`, `ravi@math.cornell.edu`