

# DOUBLE DIRICHLET SERIES ASSOCIATED WITH ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS II

KOHJI MATSUMOTO AND HIROFUMI TSUMURA

**ABSTRACT.** This paper is a continuation of our previous work on double Dirichlet series associated with arithmetic functions such as the von Mangoldt function, the Möbius function, and so on. We consider the analytic behaviour around the non-positive integer points on singularity sets which are points of indeterminacy. In particular, we show a certain reciprocity law of their residues. Also on this occasion we correct some inaccuracies in our previous paper.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive integers,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  the set of integers,  $\mathbb{P}$  the set of prime numbers,  $\mathbb{R}$  the field of real numbers,  $\mathbb{C}$  the field of complex numbers and  $i := \sqrt{-1}$ .

In our previous paper [10], we considered general double Dirichlet series of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi_2(s_1, s_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(m_1)\alpha_2(m_2)}{m_1^{s_1}(m_1+m_2)^{s_2}},$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are arithmetic functions satisfying certain conditions.

If  $\alpha_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) are periodic, then the study of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  essentially reduces to the case of double Hurwitz zeta function defined by

$$\zeta_r(s_1, s_2; d_1, d_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m_1+d_1)^{s_1}(m_1+m_2+d_1+d_2)^{s_2}}$$

for positive rational numbers  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , which has been investigated classically.

A more general situation was studied by Tanigawa and the first-named author [11]. They considered a certain class of arithmetic functions  $\{\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$ , the Dirichlet series associated with  $\alpha$ :

$$(1.2) \quad \Phi(s; \alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n^s}$$

and its multiple version as follows. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of arithmetic functions satisfying the following three conditions: If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , then

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M41; Secondary 11M06, 11M26.

*Key words and phrases.* Multiple Dirichlet series; Riemann zeta function; von Mangoldt function; Möbius function.

This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 25287002 (K. Matsumoto) and No. 22K03267 (H. Tsumura).

- (I)  $\Phi(s; \alpha)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\Re s > \delta = \delta(\alpha) (> 0)$ ;
- (II)  $\Phi(s; \alpha)$  can be continued meromorphically to the whole plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , holomorphic except for a possible pole (of order at most 1) at  $s = \delta$ ;
- (III) in any fixed strip  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2$ ,  $\Phi(\sigma + it; \alpha) = O(|t|^A)$  holds as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $A$  is a non-negative constant.

Then they showed that for arithmetic functions  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_r(s_1, \dots, s_r; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \\ &= \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_1(m_1)\alpha_2(m_2)\cdots\alpha_r(m_r)}{m_1^{s_1}(m_1+m_2)^{s_2}\cdots(m_1+\cdots+m_r)^{s_r}} \end{aligned}$$

can be continued meromorphically to the whole space  $\mathbb{C}^r$ , and location of its possible singularities can be described explicitly. In particular, if all  $\Phi(s; \alpha_k)$ 's are entire, then  $\Phi_r(s_1, \dots, s_r; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  is also entire (see [11, Theorem 1]). Further it is easy to extend the results in [11] to the case when the condition (II) is replaced by (II)':  $\Phi(s; \alpha)$  is continued to  $\mathbb{C}$  and holomorphic except for finitely many poles.

As another example, Egami and the first-named author [6] considered the double series associated with the von Mangoldt function. Let  $\zeta(s)$  be the Riemann zeta-function and denote by  $\{\rho_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  numbered by the size of absolute values of their imaginary parts. (As for the pair of complex conjugates, we first count the zero whose imaginary part is positive, and then count negative.) Let  $\Lambda$  be the von Mangoldt function defined by

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & (n = p^m \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{P}, m \in \mathbb{N}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

Then it is well-known that

$$\Phi(s; \Lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} = -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}$$

(see [14, § 1.1]). We denote this function by  $M(s)$ . We see that  $M(s)$  has poles at  $s = 1$ ,  $s = -2m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $s = \rho_l$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ), hence does not satisfy the assumption (II), or even (II)'. That is,  $\Lambda \notin \mathcal{A}$ . As a double version of  $M(s)$ , Egami and the first-named author [6] introduced

$$\mathcal{M}_2(s) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m_1)\Lambda(m_2)}{(m_1+m_2)^s} (= \Phi_2(0, s; \Lambda, \Lambda))$$

which can be written as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_2(n)n^{-s}$ , where

$$G_2(n) = \sum_{m_1+m_2=n} \Lambda(m_1)\Lambda(m_2).$$

We emphasize that  $\mathcal{M}_2(s)$  is closely connected to the Goldbach conjecture, that is,  $G_2(n) > 0$  for all even  $n \geq 4$  (cf. [4]).

Since  $\Lambda \notin \mathcal{A}$ , we cannot apply the result in [11]. In fact, the line  $\Re s = 1$  can be shown to be the natural boundary of  $\mathcal{M}_2(s)$  under some plausible assumptions, hence  $\mathcal{M}_2(s)$  would not be continued meromorphically to the whole complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  (see [6] and [5]).

In [10], we considered a certain family of the double series defined by (1.1) with  $\alpha_1 \equiv 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 \notin \mathcal{A}$ , but can be continued meromorphically to the whole space.

The first example is

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m_2)}{m_1^{s_1} (m_1 + m_2)^{s_2}}.$$

The right-hand side of (1.3) is absolutely convergent for  $\Re s_2 > 1$ ,  $\Re(s_1 + s_2) > 2$ . Furthermore we can prove that  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  can be continued meromorphically to the whole space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (see Theorem 2.1). We also determine the location of singularities of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  (see Corollary 2.2).

The second example is, for any  $\Phi(s; \alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha}) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}(m_2)}{m_1^{s_1} (m_1 + m_2)^{s_2}},$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\Phi(s; \alpha)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}(n)}{n^s} (=:\Phi(s; \tilde{\alpha})).$$

In [10] it was shown that  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  is absolutely convergent in the region

$$(1.6) \quad \{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \Re s_2 > \max\{1, \delta\}, \Re(s_1 + s_2) > \max\{2, 1 + \delta\}\}$$

(see Lemma 2.3), and  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  can be continued meromorphically to the whole space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (see Theorem 2.5), under the assumption of a certain quantitative version of the simplicity conjecture of  $\zeta(s)$  (see Assumption 2.4). Note that we can apply this result to the cases when  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the Möbius function  $\mu$ , the Euler totient function  $\phi$ , and so on.

The points  $(u_1, u_2)$  on singularity sets are usually points of indeterminacy, whose “values” can be understood only as limit values which depend on how to choose a limiting process. Two typical limit processes are “regular values” and “reverse values”, defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow u_1} \lim_{s_2 \rightarrow u_2},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{s_2 \rightarrow u_2} \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow u_1},$$

respectively. These values were first introduced and studied by Akiyama, Egami and Tanigawa [2], and Akiyama and Tanigawa [3] in the case of multiple zeta-functions of Euler-Zagier type. It should be noted that Onozuka [12] showed that limit values of Euler-Zagier multiple zeta-functions at non-positive integer points are always convergent, under any choice of the limiting process.

In contrast, the situation is different when we consider the reverse values of (1.3) and (1.4).

In [10], we explicitly computed the reverse values of (1.3) (see Proposition 2.7) and (1.4) with  $\tilde{\alpha} = \mu$ , when  $(s_1, s_2) = (-m, -n)$  where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , and  $m \geq 1$  when  $n \geq 2$ . In these cases, the reverse values are still finite definite values.

In the present paper, we observe the behavior of (1.3) and (1.4) with respect to the limiting process for the case (1.8) at  $(-m, -n)$  with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $m \not\equiv n \pmod{2}$ . In particular, even if  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ , we find that the reverse values are sometimes not convergent in this case (see Theorems 3.1 and 5.1). First we recall their analytic behavior (see Section 2). From these results, we give asymptotic formulas for reverse values of (1.1) (see Section 3). Moreover we prove a certain reciprocity law of their residues which comes from the known reciprocity law for Bernoulli numbers (see Section 4). Similarly, we observe the analytic behavior of (1.4) (see Section 5). Finally we give corrigendum and addendum to the previous paper [10] (see Section 6).

## 2. KNOWN RESULTS

In this section, we recall several known results for  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  and  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  given in [10].

In order to prove those results, we use the Mellin-Barnes integral formula (see, for example, [15, Section 14.51, p.289, Corollary]):

$$(2.1) \quad (1 + \lambda)^{-s} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{\Gamma(s+z)\Gamma(-z)}{\Gamma(s)} \lambda^z dz,$$

where  $s, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\lambda \neq 0, |\arg \lambda| < \pi, \Re s > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $-\Re s < c < 0$ , and the path of integration is the vertical line from  $c - i\infty$  to  $c + i\infty$ .

We prepare some notation as follows. Let  $a_k$  and  $b_l$  be constant terms of Laurent series of  $M(s) = -\zeta'(s)/\zeta(s)$  at  $s = -k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}, k : \text{even}$ ), and of  $\Gamma(s)$  at  $s = -l$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ), respectively. Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad a_k = \lim_{s \rightarrow -k} \frac{d}{ds} (s+k)M(s) = -\frac{\zeta''(-k)}{2\zeta'(-k)},$$

$$(2.3) \quad b_l = \lim_{s \rightarrow -l} \frac{d}{ds} (s+l)\Gamma(s) = \frac{(-1)^l}{l!} \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{j} - \gamma \right),$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant. Expressing  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  by using (2.1) and shifting the path of integration, we obtained

**Theorem 2.1** ([10] Theorem 2.1).  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  can be continued meromorphically to the whole space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by the following expression:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(s_1 + s_2 - 1)}{s_2 - 1} - (\log 2\pi)\zeta(s_1 + s_2) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{odd}}}^{N-1} \binom{-s_2}{k} M(-k)\zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \left[ \binom{-s_2}{k} \{(-a_k + k!b_k)\zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) - \zeta'(s_1 + s_2 + k)\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. - \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\Gamma'(s_2 + k)}{\Gamma(s_2)} \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) \right] \\
 & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ord}(\rho_n) \Gamma(s_2 - \rho_n) \Gamma(\rho_n) \zeta(s_1 + s_2 - \rho_n) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2\pi i \Gamma(s_2)} \int_{(N-\eta)} \Gamma(s_2 + z) \Gamma(-z) M(-z) \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + z) dz,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\eta$  is a small positive number, and  $\text{ord}(\rho_n)$  is the order of  $\rho_n$  as a zero of  $\zeta(s)$ .

**Corollary 2.2** ([10] Theorem 2.2). *The list of singularities of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  are given as follows:*

$$(2.5) \quad s_2 = 1,$$

$$(2.6) \quad s_2 = -l \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 2),$$

$$(2.7) \quad s_1 + s_2 = 2 - l \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

$$(2.8) \quad s_2 = -l + \rho_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

$$(2.9) \quad s_1 + s_2 = 1 + \rho_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Next we consider a general class of double series  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  defined by (1.4). Since

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} \quad (\Re s > 1),$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function (see [14, § 1.1]), from (1.5) we have

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{\alpha}(n) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq n \\ d|n}} \alpha\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \mu(d).$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([10] Proposition 3.1). *If  $\alpha$  satisfies the condition (I) of the class  $\mathcal{A}$ , we see that  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  is absolutely convergent in the region*

$$(2.12) \quad \{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \Re s_2 > \max\{1, \delta\}, \Re(s_1 + s_2) > \max\{2, 1 + \delta\}\}.$$

Here we introduce the following assumption, which is plausible in view of the Gonek-Hejhal conjecture (Gonek [8], Hejhal [9]).

**Assumption 2.4.** All non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are simple and

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho_n)} = O(|\rho_n|^B) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

with some constant  $B > 0$ .

Let  $c_k(\alpha)$  be the constant term of the Laurent series of  $\Phi(s; \alpha)/\zeta(s)$  at  $s = -k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k$ : even). Define the Bernoulli numbers  $\{B_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  by

$$\frac{te^t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Then we obtained

**Theorem 2.5** ([10] (4.4)). *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Under Assumption 2.4,  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  can be continued meromorphically to the whole space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by (2.14) by the following expression:*

(2.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha}) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(s_2 - \delta)\Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(s_2)} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\delta} \left( \frac{\Phi(s; \alpha)}{\zeta(s)} \right) \zeta(s_1 + s_2 - \delta) \\
&\quad - 2\Phi(0; \alpha)\zeta(s_1 + s_2) - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{odd}}}^{N-1} \binom{-s_2}{k} \frac{(k+1)\Phi(-k; \alpha)}{B_{k+1}} \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \left[ \binom{-s_2}{k} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k \Phi(-k; \alpha)}{\zeta(1+k)} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left( b_k \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) - \frac{1}{k!} \zeta'(s_1 + s_2 + k) \right) + c_k(\alpha) \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) \right\} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\Gamma'(s_2 + k)}{\Gamma(s_2)} \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k \Phi(-k; \alpha)}{(k!)^2 \zeta(1+k)} \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(s_2 - \rho_n) \Gamma(\rho_n) \frac{\Phi(\rho_n; \alpha)}{\zeta'(\rho_n)} \zeta(s_1 + s_2 - \rho_n) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i \Gamma(s_2)} \int_{(N-\eta)} \Gamma(s_2 + z) \Gamma(-z) \Phi(-z; \tilde{\alpha}) \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + z) dz
\end{aligned}$$

for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\eta$  is a small positive number, and  $b_k$  is defined by (2.3).

**Corollary 2.6** ([10] Theorem 4.3). *The list of singularities of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_1 + s_2 = 1 - k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0), \\
& s_2 = -k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2), \\
& s_2 = -l + \rho_n \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}), \\
& s_1 + s_2 = 1 + \rho_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}), \\
& s_2 = -l + \delta \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}_0), \\
& s_1 + s_2 = 1 + \delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where the last two equations are omitted when  $\Phi(s; \alpha)$  has no pole or  $\delta = 1$ .

We define the reverse value of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  at  $(u_1, u_2)$  on each singular set by

$$\Phi_2^{\operatorname{Rev}}(u_1, u_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \lim_{s_2 \rightarrow u_2} \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow u_1} \Phi_2(s_1, s_2; \alpha_1, \alpha_2).$$

Let  $(s)_k := s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+k-1)$ . Then we obtained

**Proposition 2.7** ([10] Proposition 5.1). *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $2 \mid (m+n)$  and assume  $m \geq 1$  when  $n \geq 2$ . Then*

$$\Phi_2^{\operatorname{Rev}}(-m, -n; 1, \Lambda) = \frac{B_{m+n+2}}{(n+1)(m+n+2)} + (\log 2\pi) \frac{B_{m+n+1}}{m+n+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{odd}}}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{k+1}{B_{k+1}} \zeta'(-k) \frac{B_{m+n-k+1}}{m+n-k+1} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_{m+n-k+1}}{m+n-k+1} (-a_k + k!b_k) \\
 & - \frac{(-1)^m m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} M(-m-n-1).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.8.** In the above proposition, the case  $m = 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is excluded. In this case we can see that  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-m, -n; 1, \Lambda)$  is not convergent. See Section 6.

Next we consider the case  $\Phi(s; \alpha) = 1$ , namely  $\tilde{\alpha} = \mu$ . In this case,  $c_k = c_k(\alpha)$  is defined by

$$(2.16) \quad c_k = \lim_{s \rightarrow -k} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{s+k}{\zeta(s)} = -\frac{\zeta''(-k)}{2(\zeta'(-k))^2} \quad (k : \text{even}).$$

From (2.14), it follows that

$$(2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \mu) \\
 & = -2\zeta(s_1 + s_2) - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{odd}}}^{N-1} \binom{-s_2}{k} \frac{(k+1)\zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k)}{B_{k+1}} \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \left[ \binom{-s_2}{k} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k}{\zeta(1+k)} \left( b_k \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) - \frac{\zeta'(s_1 + s_2 + k)}{k!} \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + c_k \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) \right\} - \frac{\Gamma'(s_2 + k)}{\Gamma(s_2)} \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k}{(k!)^2 \zeta(1+k)} \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k) \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(s_2 - \rho_n) \Gamma(\rho_n) \frac{\zeta(s_1 + s_2 - \rho_n)}{\zeta'(\rho_n)} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2\pi i \Gamma(s_2)} \int_{(N-\eta)} \Gamma(s_2 + z) \Gamma(-z) \frac{\zeta(s_1 + s_2 + z)}{\zeta(-z)} dz
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\eta$  is a small positive number,  $b_k$  and  $c_k$  are defined by (2.3) and (2.16) (see [10, (6.2)]).

Since  $\Phi(s; \alpha) = 1$  has no pole, (2.15) in this case implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_1 + s_2 & = 1 - k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0), \\
 s_2 & = -k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2), \\
 s_2 & = -l + \rho_n \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}), \\
 s_1 + s_2 & = 1 + \rho_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We can calculate, similar to Proposition 2.7, the reverse value of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \mu)$  at  $(s_1, s_2) = (-m, -n)$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $2 \mid (m+n)$  and the additional condition  $m \geq 1$  when  $n \geq 2$ . Also, similar to Remark 4.1,  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \mu)$  is not convergent if  $m = 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

### 3. ANALYTIC BEHAVIOR OF $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$ AT NONPOSITIVE INTEGER POINTS

In this section, we observe the analytic behavior of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  at  $(s_1, s_2) = (-m, -n)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $m \not\equiv n \pmod{2}$ . In fact, we aim to confirm whether the reverse values  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-m, -n; 1, \Lambda)$  at these points are convergent or not. For this aim, it is necessary to observe their analytic behavior at these points.

We prove the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m + n = 2\ell - 1$ . If  $m \geq 0$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi_2(-m, -n + \varepsilon; 1, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\{ (-1)^n \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{even}}}^n \binom{n}{k} \zeta(-m-n+k) \right\} + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

If  $m \leq -1$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_2(-m, -n + \varepsilon; 1, \Lambda) &= \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \binom{n}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ \binom{n}{2\ell} \left\{ (-a_{2\ell} + (2\ell)!b_{2\ell}) - \sum_{j=0}^{2\ell-1} \frac{1}{n-j} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{even}}}^n \binom{n}{k} \zeta(-m-n+k) + \binom{n}{2\ell} \gamma - \frac{C(2\ell, n)}{(2\ell)!} \right] \\ &\quad + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant and  $C(2\ell, n)$  is the constant defined in (3.9) determined by the gamma function (see below).

*Proof.* First we set  $(s_1, s_2) = (-m, -n + \varepsilon)$  for a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  in (2.4). Then

(3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_2(-m, -n + \varepsilon; 1, \Lambda) \\ &= \frac{\zeta(-m-n-1+\varepsilon)}{-n-1+\varepsilon} - (\log 2\pi) \zeta(-m-n+\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{odd}}}^{N-1} \binom{n-\varepsilon}{k} M(-k) \zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{even}}}^{N-1} \left[ \binom{n-\varepsilon}{k} \left\{ (-a_k + k!b_k) \zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon) - \zeta'(-m-n+k+\varepsilon) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\Gamma'(-n+k+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon)} \zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon)} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \text{ord}(\rho_h) \Gamma(-n-\rho_h+\varepsilon) \Gamma(\rho_h) \zeta(-m-n-\rho_h+\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{2\pi i \Gamma(-n + \varepsilon)} \int_{(N-\eta)} \Gamma(-n + z + \varepsilon) \Gamma(-z) M(-z) \zeta(-m - n + z + \varepsilon) dz \\
 & = A_1 - A_2 + A_3 - A_4 - A_5 + A_6,
 \end{aligned}$$

say. Since  $1/\Gamma(-n + \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we see that  $A_5$  and  $A_6$  tend to 0. Also, letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 & \rightarrow \frac{\zeta(-m - n - 1)}{-n - 1} = \frac{\zeta(-2\ell)}{-n - 1} = 0, \\
 A_2 & \rightarrow (\log 2\pi) \zeta(1 - 2\ell), \\
 A_3 & \rightarrow \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{odd}}}^{N-1} \binom{n}{k} M(-k) \zeta(1 - 2\ell + k),
 \end{aligned}$$

which are convergent. The only remaining part is

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_4 & = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \binom{n - \varepsilon}{k} (-a_k + k! b_k) \zeta(-m - n + k + \varepsilon) \\
 & \quad - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \binom{n - \varepsilon}{k} \zeta'(-m - n + k + \varepsilon) \\
 & \quad - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\Gamma'(-n + k + \varepsilon)}{\Gamma(-n + \varepsilon)} \zeta(-m - n + k + \varepsilon) \\
 & = A_{41} - A_{42} - A_{43},
 \end{aligned}$$

say, where we choose a sufficiently large  $N$  such as  $2\ell \leq N - 1$ .

As for  $A_{41}$ , since  $m + n = 2\ell - 1$ , we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad A_{41} & = \binom{n - \varepsilon}{2\ell} (-a_{2\ell} + (2\ell)! b_{2\ell}) \zeta(1 + \varepsilon) + O(1) \\
 & = \binom{n - \varepsilon}{2\ell} (-a_{2\ell} + (2\ell)! b_{2\ell}) \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \gamma + O(\varepsilon) \right) + O(1) \\
 & = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \binom{n}{2\ell} (-a_{2\ell} + (2\ell)! b_{2\ell}) + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

We remark that, if  $m \geq 0$  (namely  $n \leq 2\ell - 1$ ), then  $\binom{n}{2\ell} = 0$  and hence  $A_{41} = O(1)$ .

As for  $A_{42}$ , we can similarly see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{42} & = \binom{n - \varepsilon}{2\ell} \zeta'(1 + \varepsilon) + O(1) \\
 & = \frac{(n - \varepsilon)(n - 1 - \varepsilon) \cdots (n - 2\ell + 1 - \varepsilon)}{(2\ell)!} \left( -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + O(1) \right) + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $n \geq 2\ell$ , namely  $m \leq -1$ , we can see that

$$(3.5) \quad A_{42} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \binom{n}{2\ell} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \binom{n}{2\ell} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{2\ell-1} \frac{1}{n-j} \right) + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

For the case  $n \leq 2\ell - 1$ , namely  $m \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n-\varepsilon}{2\ell} &= \frac{(n-\varepsilon) \cdots (1-\varepsilon)(-\varepsilon)(-1-\varepsilon) \cdots (n-2\ell+1-\varepsilon)}{(2\ell)!} \\ &= (-\varepsilon) \frac{n!(-1)^{2\ell-n-1}(2\ell-n-1)!}{(2\ell)!} (1+O(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \varepsilon(-1)^n \frac{n!(2\ell-n-1)!}{(2\ell)!} (1+O(\varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(3.6) \quad A_{42} = -\frac{(-1)^n n!(2\ell-n-1)!}{\varepsilon (2\ell)!} + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

Finally we consider

$$A_{43} = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\Gamma'(-n+k+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon)} \zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon).$$

When  $k \geq n+1$ , we have  $\Gamma'(-n+k+\varepsilon) = O(1)$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ). Hence, by  $1/\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\Gamma'(-n+k+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon)} \zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon) = O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

Note that this includes the case  $k = m+n+1$  (because the pole of the zeta factor is cancelled by the gamma factor).

When  $k \leq n$ , we quote a result given in [10, (2.18)]:

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\Gamma'(s+k)}{\Gamma(s)} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k+h)!}{h!} \frac{1}{s+k+h} + O(1) \quad (s \rightarrow -k-h)$$

for even  $k \geq 2$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Letting  $s = -n+\varepsilon$  and  $h = n-k \geq 0$  in (3.8), we can write

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\Gamma'(-n+k+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}n!}{(n-k)!} + C(k, n) + O(\varepsilon) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$$

with a constant  $C(k, n)$ . The zeta factor  $\zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon)$  remains finite unless  $k = 2\ell$ , while for  $k = 2\ell$  (which occurs when  $2\ell \leq n$ ), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon) = \zeta(1+\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \gamma + O(\varepsilon) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

Summarizing the above results, we obtain the following.

For the case  $n \leq 2\ell - 1$ , namely  $m \geq 0$ , we obtain from (3.7) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad A_{43} &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^n \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}n!}{(n-k)!} \right) \zeta(1-2\ell+k+\varepsilon) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \zeta(1-2\ell+k) + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $n \geq 2\ell$ , namely  $m \leq -1$ , we obtain from (3.7), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad A_{43} &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even} \\ k \neq 2\ell}}^n \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{1(-1)^{k-1}n!}{\varepsilon(n-k)!} \right) \zeta(1-2\ell+k+\varepsilon) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{(2\ell)!} \left( \frac{1(-1)^{2\ell-1}n!}{\varepsilon(n-2\ell)!} + C(2\ell, n) + O(\varepsilon) \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \gamma + O(\varepsilon) \right) + O(1) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \binom{n}{2\ell} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even} \\ k \neq 2\ell}}^n \binom{n}{k} \zeta(1-2\ell+k) + \binom{n}{2\ell} \gamma - \frac{C(2\ell, n)}{(2\ell)!} \right) \\
 &\quad + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.4)-(3.6), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** As mentioned before, the condition  $n \geq 2\ell$  implies  $m \leq -1$ . Let  $h = -m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 shows that for  $h, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n-h$  is odd positive,  $\Phi_2^{\text{rev}}(h, -n; 1, \Lambda)$  is clearly not convergent.

On the other hand, the condition  $n \leq 2\ell - 1$  implies that  $m \geq 0$ . Hence (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 shows that for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $m+n$  is odd positive,  $\Phi_2^{\text{rev}}(-m, -n; 1, \Lambda)$  is convergent if and only if the residue

$$(3.13) \quad R(-m, -n) := (-1)^n \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^n \binom{n}{k} \zeta(k-m-n)$$

equals to zero. We will give further results on the values of  $R(-m, -n)$  in the next section.

#### 4. RECIPROCITY RELATIONS FOR RESIDUES

In this section, we will evaluate the values of  $R(-m, -n)$  in several cases. In particular, we will prove certain reciprocity relation between  $R(-m, -n)$  and  $R(-n, -m)$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2 \nmid (m+n)$ . Since

$$(4.1) \quad \zeta(1-r) = (-1)^{r-1} B_r / r \quad (r \in \mathbb{N})$$

(see [14, Chapter II]),  $R(-m, -n)$  defined by (3.13) can also be written as

$$(4.2) \quad R(-m, -n) = (-1)^n \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} - \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2j} \frac{B_{m+n+1-2j}}{m+n+1-2j}.$$

We first prove the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** For  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad R(-1, -2N) = \begin{cases} 0 & (N \geq 1), \\ \frac{1}{2} & (N = 0). \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-1, -2N; 1, \Lambda)$  is convergent for  $N \geq 1$  and  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-1, 0; 1, \Lambda)$  is not convergent.

In order to prove this result, we recall the following reciprocity relation among Bernoulli numbers. This result was first proved by Saalschütz [13], and was recovered by Gelfand [7] (see also Agoh-Dilcher [1] for the details).

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad (-1)^{p+1} \sum_{l=0}^q \binom{q}{l} \frac{B_{p+1+l}}{p+1+l} + (-1)^{q+1} \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} \frac{B_{q+1+l}}{q+1+l} \\ = \frac{p!q!}{(p+q+1)!}.$$

Using this relation, we will prove Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* By (4.2) with  $(m, n) = (1, 2\ell - 2)$ , we have

$$R(-1, -2\ell + 2) = \frac{(2\ell - 2)!}{(2\ell)!} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \binom{2\ell - 2}{2j} \frac{B_{2\ell-2j}}{2\ell - 2j}.$$

Setting  $N = \ell - 1 \geq 0$  and  $h = \ell - j - 1$ , we have

$$(4.5) \quad R(-1, -2N) = \frac{(2N)!}{(2N+2)!} - \sum_{h=0}^{N-1} \binom{2N}{2h} \frac{B_{2h+2}}{2h+2}.$$

When  $N = 0$ , (4.5) implies  $R(-1, 0) = 1/2$ . When  $N \geq 1$ , setting  $(p, q) = (2N, 1)$  in Lemma 4.2, we have

$$-\frac{B_{2N+2}}{2N+2} + \sum_{h=0}^N \binom{2N}{2h} \frac{B_{2h+2}}{2h+2} = \frac{(2N)!}{(2N+2)!},$$

because  $B_{2r+1} = 0$  ( $r \geq 1$ ). Combining this with (4.5), we complete the proof.  $\square$

Next we aim to prove the following reciprocity relation among  $R(-m, -n)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2 \nmid (m+n)$ ,

$$(4.6) \quad (-1)^n R(-m, -n) + (-1)^m R(-n, -m) = \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!}.$$

*Proof.* Using (4.1) we see that the left-hand side of (4.4) (with  $(p, q) = (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ) equals to

$$(-1)^{m+1} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} (-1)^{m+l} \zeta(-m-l) + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} (-1)^{n+l} \zeta(-n-l).$$

By setting  $j = n - l$  and  $j = m - l$  in the first and the second terms, respectively, this can be written as

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^{n-j+1} \zeta(-m-n+j) + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m-j+1} \zeta(-m-n+j).$$

Now assume  $2 \nmid (m+n)$ . Then the two terms corresponding to  $j=0$  are cancelled with each other, and hence, (4.4) implies that

$$(4.7) \quad (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j \zeta(-m-n+j) \\ + (-1)^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j \zeta(-m-n+j) = \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!}.$$

From (3.13), we have

$$(4.8) \quad R(-m, -n) = (-1)^n \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{even}}}^n \binom{n}{k} \zeta(-m-n+k) \\ = (-1)^n \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \zeta(-m-n+k),$$

because  $\zeta(-m-n+k) = 0$  for any odd  $k$  with  $k \leq n$  and  $m \geq 1$ . In fact,  $-m-n+k$  is even and negative in this case. From (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$(-1)^{n-1} \left\{ R(-m, -n) - (-1)^n \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} \right\} \\ + (-1)^{m-1} \left\{ R(-n, -m) - (-1)^m \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} \right\} = \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!}.$$

Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

Combining Theorems 4.1 and 4.3, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.4.** For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$R(-2N, -1) = -\frac{1}{(2N+1)(2N+2)}.$$

Finally we prove the following.

**Proposition 4.5.** For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$R(0, -2N-1) = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* By (4.2) with  $(m, n) = (0, 2N-1)$ , we have

$$R(0, 1-2N) = -\frac{1}{2N} - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \binom{2N-1}{2j} \frac{B_{2N-2j}}{2N-2j}.$$

We obtain from (4.4) with  $(p, q) = (0, 2N-1)$  that

$$\frac{1}{2N} = -B_1 - \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} \binom{2N-1}{2\mu+1} \frac{B_{2\mu+2}}{2\mu+2} + \frac{B_{2N}}{2N} \\ = -B_1 - \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-2} \binom{2N-1}{2\mu+1} \frac{B_{2\mu+2}}{2\mu+2} \\ = \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \binom{2N-1}{2j} \frac{B_{2N-2j}}{2N-2j},$$

by setting  $j = N - 1 - \mu$ . Combining these results, we complete the proof.  $\square$

### 5. RESIDUES OF $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$

In this section, similar to Section 3, we observe the analytic behavior of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \tilde{\alpha})$  at  $(s_1, s_2) = (-m, -n)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $m \not\equiv n \pmod{2}$ , under Assumption 2.4.

Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying three conditions (I)-(III) in Section 1. Let  $\delta = \delta(\alpha) > 0$  be defined in (I). Furthermore, for even  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$D_k = D_k^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Phi(-k; \alpha)}{\zeta'(-k)} = \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k \Phi(-k; \alpha)}{k! \zeta(k+1)}.$$

We prove the following.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m + n = 2\ell - 1$ . Under Assumption 2.4, we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \Phi_2(-m, -n + \varepsilon; 1, \tilde{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\{ (-1)^n D_{2\ell} \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{even}}}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} D_k \zeta(-m-n+k) \right\} + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$$

if  $m \geq 0$ , and

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi_2(-m, -n + \varepsilon; 1, \tilde{\alpha}) = \frac{2D_{2\ell}}{\varepsilon^2} \binom{n}{2\ell} + O(1/\varepsilon) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$$

if  $m \leq -1$ .

Note that the reverse value (5.1) is convergent if and only if the residue on the right-hand side vanishes. Also, if  $D_{2\ell} \neq 0$  (namely  $\Phi(-2\ell; \alpha) \neq 0$ ), the reverse value (5.2) is not convergent.

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Since the proof is quite similar to the case of  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$ , we will give a sketch of the proof.

Set  $(s_1, s_2) = (-m, -n + \varepsilon)$  for a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  in (2.14). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_2(-m, -n + \varepsilon; 1, \tilde{\alpha}) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(-n + \varepsilon - \delta)\Gamma(\delta)}{\Gamma(-n + \varepsilon)} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\delta} \left( \frac{\Phi(s; \alpha)}{\zeta(s)} \right) \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon - \delta) \\ & \quad - 2\Phi(0; \alpha)\zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon) - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{odd}}}^{N-1} \binom{n - \varepsilon}{k} \frac{(k+1)\Phi(-k; \alpha)}{B_{k+1}} \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k: \text{even}}}^{N-1} \left[ \binom{n - \varepsilon}{k} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k \Phi(-k; \alpha)}{\zeta(1+k)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left( b_k \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) - \frac{1}{k!} \zeta'(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \right) + c_k(\alpha) \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \right\} \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\Gamma'(-n + \varepsilon + k)}{\Gamma(-n + \varepsilon)} \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k \Phi(-k; \alpha)}{(k!)^2 \zeta(1+k)} \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-n + \varepsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(-n + \varepsilon - \rho_n) \Gamma(\rho_n) \frac{\Phi(\rho_n; \alpha)}{\zeta'(\rho_n)} \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon - \rho_n) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2\pi i \Gamma(-n + \varepsilon)} \int_{(N-\eta)} \Gamma(-n + \varepsilon + z) \Gamma(-z) \Phi(-z; \tilde{\alpha}) \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + z) dz \\
 & = A_1 - A_2 - A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6,
 \end{aligned}$$

say. By just the same way as in the case of  $\Phi_2(-m, -n + \varepsilon; 1, \Lambda)$ , we can check that  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_5$  and  $A_6$  are  $O(1)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . By the definition of  $D_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_4 & = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \binom{n - \varepsilon}{k} k! D_k b_k \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \\
 & - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \binom{n - \varepsilon}{k} D_k \zeta'(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \\
 & + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \binom{n - \varepsilon}{k} c_k(\alpha) \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \\
 & - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k:\text{even}}}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma'(-n + \varepsilon + k)}{\Gamma(-n + \varepsilon)} \frac{D_k}{k!} \zeta(-m - n + \varepsilon + k) \\
 & = A_{41} - A_{42} + A_{43} - A_{44},
 \end{aligned}$$

say, where we choose a sufficiently large  $N$ . Let  $m + n = 2\ell - 1$  ( $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{41} & = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \binom{n}{2\ell} (2\ell)! D_{2\ell} b_{2\ell} + O(1) & (m \leq -1), \\ O(1) & (m \geq 0), \end{cases} \\
 A_{42} & = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \binom{n}{2\ell} D_{2\ell} + O(1/\varepsilon) & (m \leq -1), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (-1)^{n-1} D_{2\ell} \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} + O(1) & (m \geq 0), \end{cases} \\
 A_{43} & = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \binom{n}{2\ell} c_{2\ell}(\alpha) + O(1) & (m \leq -1), \\ O(1) & (m \geq 0), \end{cases} \\
 A_{44} & = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \binom{n}{2\ell} D_{2\ell} + O(1/\varepsilon) & (m \leq -1), \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k:\text{even}}} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} D_k \zeta(-m - n + k) + O(1) & (m \geq 0). \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof.  $\square$

## 6. CORRIGENDUM AND ADDENDUM TO [10]

Corrigendum to [10]:

- In [10, Remark 5.2], we mentioned that if  $m + n$  is odd with  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \Lambda)$  is not convergent as  $s_1 \rightarrow -m$  and  $s_2 \rightarrow -n$ . However, as stated in Sections 3 and 4 (especially Theorem 4.1), it sometimes happens that  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-m, -n; 1, \Lambda)$  is convergent.

- In [10, Example 5.3], we listed

$$\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-1, 0; 1, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{12} \log 2\pi - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\zeta''(-2)}{4\zeta'(-2)} + \frac{\gamma}{2},$$

which is not correct. It follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-1, 0; 1, \Lambda)$  is not convergent.

- In [10, Example 6.1], we listed

$$\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-1, 0; 1, \mu) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{2\pi^2}{\zeta(3)} \left( \frac{3}{2} - \gamma \right) - \frac{\zeta''(-2)}{4\zeta'(-2)^2},$$

which is not correct. In fact, as noted in (2.10), we see that  $\tilde{\alpha} = \mu$  implies  $\Phi(s; \alpha) = 1$ , hence

$$D_k = D_k^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\zeta'(-k)} = \frac{(-1)^{k/2} 2(2\pi)^k}{k! \zeta(k+1)} \neq 0$$

for even  $k \geq 0$ . Therefore it follows from Theorem 5.1 with  $\tilde{\alpha} = \mu$  that

$$(6.1) \quad \Phi_2(-1, \varepsilon; 1, \mu) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{D_2}{2} + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0),$$

which implies that  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-1, 0; 1, \mu)$  is not convergent.

Addendum to [10]:

In [10, Proposition 5.1] (= Proposition 2.7), we considered the situation  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $2|(m+n)$ , but the case  $m=0, n \geq 2$  was excluded. As mentioned in Remark 2.8, in this excluded case,  $\Phi_2^{\text{Rev}}(-m, -n; 1, \Lambda)$  is indeed not convergent.

In fact, in the proof of [10, Proposition 5.1], we need to compute

$$-\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\Gamma'(-n+k+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon)} \zeta(-m-n+k+\varepsilon)$$

for even  $k \leq n$ . Using (3.9) we find that the above is

$$(6.2) \quad = (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \zeta(-m-n+k) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - \frac{C(k, n)}{k!} \zeta(-m-n+k) \\ + (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \zeta'(-m-n+k) + O(\varepsilon).$$

If  $m \geq 1$ , then  $-m-n+k$  is an even negative integer (because  $k$  and  $m+n$  are even,  $k \leq n$ ), and so  $\zeta(-m-n+k) = 0$ . Therefore (6.2) tends to  $\binom{n}{k} \zeta'(-m-n+k)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which is the formula on the last line of [10, p.452]. However if  $m=0$ , then  $n$  is even, and  $\zeta(-m-n+k) = \zeta(0) = -1/2$  for  $k=n$ . Therefore in this case (6.2) is  $-(2\varepsilon)^{-1} + O(1)$ , which is not convergent.

Similar phenomenon also happens for  $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2; 1, \mu)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The authors are sincerely grateful to Professor Masatoshi Suzuki who first pointed out a mistake in [10].



## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Agoh and K. Dilcher, Reciprocity Relations for Bernoulli Numbers, Amer. Math. Monthly **115**, (2008), 237–244.
- [2] S. Akiyama, S. Egami and Y. Tanigawa, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions and their values at non-positive integers, Acta Arith. **98** (2001), 107–116.
- [3] S. Akiyama, Y. Tanigawa, Multiple zeta values at non-positive integers, Ramanujan J. **5** (2001), 327–351.
- [4] G. Bhowmik, K. Halupczok, K. Matsumoto and Y. Suzuki, Goldbach representations in arithmetic progressions and zeros of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, Mathematika **65** (2019), 57–97.
- [5] G. Bhowmik and J.-C. Schlage-Puchta, Meromorphic continuation of the Goldbach generating function, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **45** (2011), 43–53.
- [6] S. Egami and K. Matsumoto, Convolutions of the von Mangoldt function and related Dirichlet series, Number theory, Sailing on the Sea of Number Theory (S. Kanemitsu and J.-Y. Liu, eds.), Proc. 4th China-Japan Seminar, Ser. Number Theory Appl. 2, World Sci. Publ. Hackensack, NJ, 2007, pp.1–23.
- [7] M. B. Gelfand, A note on a certain relation among Bernoulli numbers (Russian), Bashkir. Gos. Univ., Uchen. Zap. Ser. Mat. **31** (1968), 215–216.
- [8] S. M. Gonek, On negative moments of the Riemann zeta-function, Mathematika **36** (1989), 71–88.
- [9] D. Hejhal, On the distribution of  $\log |\zeta'(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$ , Number Theory, Trace Formula and Discrete Groups (K. E. Aubert, E. Bombieri and D. Goldfeld, eds.), Academic press, San Diego, 1989, pp.343–370.
- [10] K. Matsumoto, A. Nawashiro and H. Tsumura, Double Dirichlet series associated with arithmetic functions, Kodai Math. J. **44** (2021), 437–456.
- [11] K. Matsumoto and Y. Tanigawa, The analytic continuation and the order estimate of multiple Dirichlet series, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **15** (2003), 267–274.
- [12] T. Onozuka, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and the asymptotic behavior at non-positive integers, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **49** (2013), 331–348.
- [13] L. Saalschütz, Verkürzte Recursionsformeln für die Bernoullischen Zahlen, Zeit. für Math. und Phys. **37** (1892), 374–378.
- [14] E. C. Titchmarsh, Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, Second edition, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [15] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Reprint of the fourth edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927.

K. MATSUMOTO: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN

*Email address:* kohjimat@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

H. TSUMURA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 1-1, MINAMI-OHSAWA, HACHIOUJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

*Email address:* tsumura@tmu.ac.jp