

New Quantum Codes from CSS Codes

Markus Grassl^{1,2} 

¹ International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies (ICTQT),
University of Gdansk, 80-309 Gdańsk, Poland

² Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

E-mail: markus.grassl@ug.edu.pl

August 2022

Abstract. We present a new propagation rule for CSS codes. Starting with a CSS code $[[n, k, d]]_q$, we construct a CSS code with parameters $[[n - 2, k, d - 1]]_q$. In general, one would only obtain a code with parameters $[[n - 2, k, d - 2]]_q$. The construction applies to asymmetric quantum codes from the CSS construction as well.

Keywords: Quantum error correction, CSS codes, asymmetric quantum codes

1. Introduction

Quantum error-correcting codes are an essential ingredient for the realization of universal fault-tolerant quantum computers. It turns out that, compared to general stabilizer codes, the class of so-called CSS codes [1, 2] allows more simple, direct implementations of a larger class of fault-tolerant operations. For example, any CSS code allows the transversal implementation of the CNOT gate [3].

While the error-correcting capabilities of CSS codes are in general less than those of the larger class of stabilizer codes [4], there are also a couple of advantages in terms of error-correction. The complexity of error correction is exponential in the number of independent syndromes [5]. For CSS codes, the syndromes can be grouped into syndromes for X - and Z -errors, and error correction can be performed independently for the two types of errors. This yields a square-root speedup. Likewise, in order to determine the minimum distance of a CSS code, one has to compute that of two codes over an alphabet of size q , while for stabilizer codes, the alphabet size is q^2 . There is again a square-root advantage.

After a short summary of the required basic notions of both classical and quantum codes in the next section, we present our main results in Section 3. Then we apply the new construction to CSS codes $[[n, 0, d]]_2$ for qubits, yielding explicit constructions of codes with the best parameters known to date.

2. Background

In this section, we recall basic definitions and properties of both classical and quantum error-correcting codes. For more details, see, e.g., the book [6] for classical codes and the articles [7, 8] for quantum codes.

2.1. Classical codes

Let $q = p^m$ be a prime power. Then there is a finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. By $C = [n, k, d]_q$ we denote a *linear block code* of length n , dimension k , and minimum distance (at least) d . The *minimum (Hamming) distance* is the minimum number of positions in which two codewords of C differ. For linear codes, the minimum distance equals the *minimum (Hamming) weight* of the code, i.e., the minimum number of positions at which a non-zero codeword of C is non-zero.

The (Euclidean) *dual code* $C^\perp = [n, n - k, d^\perp]_q$ is defined as

$$C^\perp = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{c} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C\}. \quad (1)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, the *shortened code* $\sigma_i(C)$ is obtained by selecting all codewords $\mathbf{c} \in C$ that are zero at the i -th position, and then deleting that position, i.e.,

$$\sigma_i(C) = \{(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} : (c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, 0, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n) \in C\}. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, the *punctured code* $\pi_i(C)$ is obtained by deleting the i -th coordinated of C , i.e.,

$$\pi_i(C) = \{(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} : (c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n) \in C\}. \quad (3)$$

When we omit the index i , $\sigma(C)$ and $\pi(C)$ refer to the shortened/punctured code with respect to the last position $i = n$.

The following proposition summarizes properties of the shortened and punctured code.

Proposition 1 *For a linear code $C = [n, k, d]_q$ with $d > 1$ and coordinate i not being identically zero, the shortened and punctured code have parameters*

$$\sigma_i(C) = [n - 1, k - 1, d]_q \quad (4)$$

$$\text{and } \pi_i(C) = [n - 1, k, d - 1]_q. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, shortening the dual code equals the dual of the punctured code and vice versa:

$$\sigma_i(C^\perp) = (\pi_i(C))^\perp \quad (6)$$

$$\text{and } \pi_i(C^\perp) = (\sigma_i(C))^\perp. \quad (7)$$

When coordinate i of the code C is identically zero, the dimension of the shortened code remains k . Likewise, for $d = 1$, when puncturing the code at the position i where a codeword of weight 1 is non-zero, the dimension drops to $k - 1$. Moreover, in some cases the minimum distance of the shortened or punctured code might be larger.

Later, we will use so-called quadratic residue (QR) codes. Their properties are summarized as follows (see, e.g., [6, Chapter 16] for prime fields and [9, Section 2.7] for the general case).

Proposition 2 (QR codes) *Let q be a prime power and $n > 2$ a prime such that q is a quadratic residue modulo n (i.e., $q = a^2 \pmod{n}$ for some a). The quadratic residue codes \mathcal{Q} , $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$, \mathcal{N} , and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ are cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q with the following properties:*

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} < \mathcal{Q}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}} < \mathcal{N}$
- (ii) \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{N} are equivalent with $\mathcal{Q} = [n, (n+1)/2, d]_q$ and $\mathcal{N} = [n, (n+1)/2, d]_q$.
- (iii) $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ are equivalent with $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = [n, (n-1)/2, d+1]_q$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}} = [n, (n-1)/2, d+1]_q$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{Q}^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\mathcal{N}^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
- (v) $\mathcal{Q}^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{N}^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

The extended QR codes $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ are obtained adding one coordinate to \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{N} , respectively, such that $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, while $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The extended QR codes have the following properties:

- (vi) $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}} = [n+1, (n+1)/2, d+1]_q$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}} = [n+1, (n+1)/2, d+1]_q$
- (vii) The automorphism group of the extended QR code $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$ contains the projective special linear group $PSL_2(n)$.

2.2. Quantum codes

By $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_q$ we denote a quantum-error correcting code (QECC) that is a subspace of dimension q^k of the complex vector space $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{C}^q)^{\otimes n} \cong \mathbb{C}^{q^n}$. When the minimum distance is d , all errors acting on at most $d - 1$ of the n subsystems (tensor components) can be detected or act trivially on the code. Alternatively, one can correct up to $d - 1$ erasures or up to $\lfloor (d - 1)/2 \rfloor$ errors. Most of the QECCs in the literature are so-called stabilizer codes which can be constructed from certain additive codes over the field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} [7]. Here we focus on so-called CSS codes, named after Calderbank & Shor [1] and Steane [2].

Proposition 3 (CSS construction) *Let $C_1 = [n, k_1, d'_1]_q$ and $C_2 = [n, k_2, d'_2]_q$ be linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q with $C_2^\perp \leq C_1$. Then there is a CSS code with parameters $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k_1 + k_2 - n, d \rrbracket_q$. The minimum distance is $d \geq \min(d_1, d_2) \geq \min(d'_1, d'_2)$. For $C_2^\perp \neq C_1$,*

$$d_1 = \text{wgt}(C_1 \setminus C_2^\perp) = \min\{\text{wgt}(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in C_1 \setminus C_2^\perp\} \geq d'_1 \quad (8)$$

$$\text{and } d_2 = \text{wgt}(C_2 \setminus C_1^\perp) = \min\{\text{wgt}(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in C_2 \setminus C_1^\perp\} \geq d'_2. \quad (9)$$

For $C_2^\perp = C_1$, $d_1 = d'_1$ and $d_2 = d'_2$. Then the CSS code has parameters $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, 0, d \rrbracket_q$ with $d = \min(d'_1, d'_2)$.

As CSS codes are a subclass of stabilizer codes, their parameters need not be optimal. On the other hand, CSS codes allow to correct X - and Z -errors independently. Steane [2] uses the notation $\{n, k, d_1, d_2\}$ in order to stress that for $d_1 > d_2$, the code can correct more, say, Z -errors than X -errors. Such codes are known as *asymmetric quantum codes* [10], and the notation $\llbracket n, k, d_1/d_2 \rrbracket_q$ is used in the literature [11]. To avoid possible confusion, we will use the notation $\llbracket n, k, \{d_1, d_2\} \rrbracket_q$, which indicates both minimum distances and also stresses that the role of the codes C_1 and C_2 in the CSS construction can be swapped.

3. Main results

For a quantum code $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_q$ that is not the tensor product of quantum codes of lengths $n - 1$ and 1 , deleting any coordinate results in a code $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n - 1, k, d - 1 \rrbracket_q$ (see [12, Theorem 6]). If the code is $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_q$ a tensor product $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_1$ of codes of lengths $n - 1$ and 1 , respectively, then deleting the last coordinate yields the code \mathcal{C}_{n-1} which has parameters $\mathcal{C}_{n-1} = \llbracket n - 1, k, d \rrbracket_q$ for $\mathcal{C}_1 = \llbracket 1, 0, 1 \rrbracket_q$, or a code $\mathcal{C}_{n-1} = \llbracket n - 1, k - 1, d' \rrbracket_q$ for $\mathcal{C}_1 = \llbracket 1, 1, 1 \rrbracket_q$. In the latter case, the original code has distance $d = 1$.

In the following, we show that for CSS codes, we can reduce the length by 2 while the minimum distance is decreased only by 1.

Theorem 4 *Let $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k, \{d_1, d_2\} \rrbracket_q$ with $d_1 > 1$ be a CSS code. Then there is a CSS code $\mathcal{C}_i = \llbracket n - 1, k, \{d_1 - 1, d_2\} \rrbracket_q$.*

Proof: Let $C_1 = [n, k_1, d'_1]_q$ and $C_2 = [n, k_2, d'_2]_q$ be the classical codes used for the construction of the CSS code $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k, \{d_1, d_2\} \rrbracket_q$. Then $C_2^\perp \leq C_1$ and $k = k_1 + k_2 - n$. For a fixed position $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, consider the codes $\pi_i(C_1)$ and $\sigma_i(C_2)$ of length $n - 1$. By Proposition 1, $(\sigma_i(C_2))^\perp = \pi_i(C_2^\perp)$, and hence $(\sigma_i(C_2))^\perp \leq \pi_i(C_1)$. Using the codes $\sigma_i(C_2)$ and $\pi_i(C_1)$ in the CSS construction, we obtain a code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \llbracket n - 1, \tilde{k}, \{\tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2\} \rrbracket_q$.

It remains to show that the code has the claimed parameters. Concerning the dimension k , we distinguish two cases.

- (i) When the coordinate i in the code C_2 is identically zero, then the shortened code has parameters $\sigma_i(C_2) = [n - 1, k_2, d'_2]_q$. Moreover, the dual code $C_2^\perp \leq C_1$ contains a word of weight one that is non-zero at position i . Then the punctured code has parameters $\pi_i(C_1) = [n - 1, k_1 - 1, \tilde{d}'_1]_q$. The dimension of the CSS code is $\tilde{k} = (k_1 - 1) + k_2 - (n - 1) = k_1 + k_2 - n = k$.
- (ii) When the coordinate i in the code C_2 is not identically zero, the shortened code has parameters $\sigma_i(C_2) = [n - 1, k_2 - 1, d'_2]_q$. Moreover, the dual code $C_2^\perp \leq C_1$ does not contain a word that is non-zero only at position i . As $d_1 > 1$, C_1 does not contain such a word either. Then the punctured code has parameters $\pi_i(C_1) = [n - 1, k_1, d'_1 - 1]_q$. Again $\tilde{k} = k_1 + (k_2 - 1) - (n - 1) = k_1 + k_2 - n = k$.

Concerning the minimum distances \tilde{d}_1 and \tilde{d}_2 , first consider the case $k = 0$. In this case, the parameters of the CSS codes equal those of the classical codes, and hence $\tilde{d}_1 = d_1 - 1$ and $\tilde{d}_2 = d_2$ as claimed. For $k > 0$, we distinguish two cases. To simplify notation, we set $i = n$.

- (i) Assume that $\pi_i(C_1) \setminus (\sigma_i(C_2))^\perp$ contains a word $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = (v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ of weight strictly less than $d_1 - 1$. Then the code C_1 contains a word $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n)$ of weight strictly less than $d_1 = \text{wgt}(C_1 \setminus C_2^\perp)$. Therefore $\mathbf{v} \in C_2^\perp$, which implies $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \pi_i(C_2^\perp) = (\sigma_i(C_2))^\perp$, a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{d}_1 \geq d_1 - 1$.
- (ii) Assume that $\sigma_i(C_2) \setminus (\pi_i(C_1))^\perp$ contains a word $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ of weight strictly less than d_2 . Then the code C_2 contains a word $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0)$ of weight strictly less than $d_2 = \text{wgt}(C_2 \setminus C_1^\perp)$. Therefore $\mathbf{u} \in C_1^\perp$, which implies $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \sigma_i(C_1^\perp) = (\pi_i(C_1))^\perp$, a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{d}_2 \geq d_2$.

□

Theorem 5 *Let $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k, \{d_1, d_2\} \rrbracket_q$ with $d_1, d_2 > 1$ be a CSS code. Then there is a CSS code $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \llbracket n - 2, k, \{d_1 - 1, d_2 - 1\} \rrbracket_q$.*

Proof: Using Theorem 4, we obtain a CSS code $\mathcal{C}' = \llbracket n - 1, k, \{d_1 - 1, d_2\} \rrbracket_q$. Switching the roles of C_1 and C_2 in the CSS construction, again using Theorem 4 we obtain a CSS code $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \llbracket n - 2, k, \{d_1 - 1, d_2 - 1\} \rrbracket_q$. □

Corollary 6 *Let $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, k, d \rrbracket_q$ with $d > 1$ be a CSS code. Then there is a CSS code $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \llbracket n - 2, k, d - 1 \rrbracket_q$.*

Proof: This follows directly from Theorem 5 together with the fact that the minimum distance of a CSS code $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \llbracket n - 2, k, \{d_1 - 1, d_2 - 1\} \rrbracket_q$ is $d = \min(d_1, d_2)$. □

4. Examples

First, we apply our results to quantum quadratic residue codes (see [7, Section IX] (for $k = 1$) and [13]).

Proposition 7 (quantum QR codes) *Let q be a prime power and $n > 2$ a prime such that q is a quadratic residue modulo n . Then there are CSS codes with parameters*

$$\mathcal{C}_{QR} = \llbracket n, 1, d \rrbracket_q \tag{10}$$

$$\text{and } \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{QR} = \llbracket n + 1, 0, d + 1 \rrbracket_q, \tag{11}$$

where d is the minimum distance of the classical QR code $\mathcal{Q} = [n, (n + 1)/2, d]_q$.

Proof (sketch): For the code $\mathcal{C}_{QR} = \llbracket n, 1, d \rrbracket_q$, in the case $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we use $C_1 = C_2 = \mathcal{Q}$. By Proposition 2 (i) and (iv), $C_2^\perp = \mathcal{Q}^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{Q}} < \mathcal{Q} = C_1$. When $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we use $C_1 = \mathcal{Q}$ and $C_2 = \mathcal{N}$. By Proposition 2 (i) and (v), $C_2^\perp = \mathcal{N}^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{Q}} < \mathcal{Q} = C_1$. Both \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{N} have parameters $[n, (n + 1)/2, d]_q$.

For the code $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{QR}} = \llbracket n+1, 0, d+1 \rrbracket_q$, we use $C_1 = C_2^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$. Then $C_1^\perp = C_2$ equals either $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, or $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{1}$. Both $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ have parameters $\llbracket n+1, (n+1)/2, d+1 \rrbracket_q$. \square

Applying Theorem 5 repeatedly to quantum QR codes $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{QR}}$, we obtain CSS codes with the parameters shown in Table 1. The parameters of the codes in the first column of the table can also be found in [13], together with references for particular codes. All codes in Table 1 have the largest minimum distance among the explicitly known stabilizer codes (see [14]).

Table 1. Parameters of good CSS codes from extended QR codes. When the minimum distance is larger than the lower bound by Theorem 5, it is marked with *.

$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{QR}}$	codes from Theorem 5					
$\llbracket 8, 0, 4 \rrbracket_2$						
$\llbracket 24, 0, 8 \rrbracket_2$						
$\llbracket 104, 0, 20 \rrbracket_2$						
$\llbracket 138, 0, 22 \rrbracket_2$						
$\llbracket 168, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 166, 0, 23 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 164, 0, 22 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 162, 0, 21 \rrbracket_2$			
$\llbracket 192, 0, 28 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 190, 0, 27 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 188, 0, 26 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 186, 0, 25 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 184, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 182, 0, 23 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 180, 0, 22 \rrbracket_2$
$\llbracket 200, 0, 32 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 198, 0, 31 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 196, 0, 30 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 194, 0, 29 \rrbracket_2$			
$\llbracket 224, 0, 32 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 222, 0, 31 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 220, 0, 30 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 218, 0, 29 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 216, 0, 28 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 214, 0, 27 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 212, 0, 26 \rrbracket_2$
	$\llbracket 210, 0, 25 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 208, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 206, 0, 24^* \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 204, 0, 24^* \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 202, 0, 24^* \rrbracket_2$	

Table 2. Parameters of good CSS codes from self-dual binary codes.

\mathcal{C}	codes from Theorem 5					
$\llbracket 136, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 134, 0, 23 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 132, 0, 22 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 130, 0, 21 \rrbracket_2$			
$\llbracket 152, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 150, 0, 23 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 148, 0, 22 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 146, 0, 21 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 144, 0, 20 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 142, 0, 19 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 140, 0, 18 \rrbracket_2$
$\llbracket 160, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 158, 0, 23 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 156, 0, 22 \rrbracket_2$	$\llbracket 154, 0, 21 \rrbracket_2$			

Note that the binary extended QR code of length 152 has only minimum distance 20, while there is a self-dual binary code $\llbracket 152, 76, 24 \rrbracket_2$ (see [14]). This yields a CSS code $\llbracket 152, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$. Moreover, there are self-dual binary codes $\llbracket 136, 68, 24 \rrbracket_2$ and $\llbracket 160, 80, 24 \rrbracket_2$ which yield CSS codes $\llbracket 134, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$ and $\llbracket 160, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$, respectively. Applying Theorem 5 to these codes yields the codes shown in Table 2.

For the codes $\llbracket 206, 0, 24^* \rrbracket_2$, $\llbracket 204, 0, 24^* \rrbracket_2$, and $\llbracket 202, 0, 24^* \rrbracket_2$ derived from the code $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\text{QR}} = \llbracket 224, 0, 32 \rrbracket_2$, the true minimum distance is larger than what is guaranteed by Theorem 5. This is related to the fact that when puncturing a classical code at m positions, the minimum distance will be larger than $d - m$ in case every codeword of minimum weight has at least one zero at the m positions [15]. For the code $\llbracket 202, 0, 24^* \rrbracket_2$, by Theorem 5, the minimum distance is at least 21. Using Magma V2.27-3 [16], we have verified that the minimum distance is 24. The calculation took about one day using 48

cores. When we apply Theorem 5 repeatedly, then the minimum distance of the codes in the sequence is monotonically decreasing. This implies that the codes of length 206 and 204 have minimum distance at least 24 as well, while the lower bounds are 23 and 22, respectively.

In general, to compute the minimum distance of a CSS code $\mathcal{C} = \llbracket n, 0, \{d_1, d_2\} \rrbracket_q$ derived from classical codes $C_1 = \llbracket n, k, d_1 \rrbracket_q$ and $C_2 = \llbracket n, n - k, d_2 \rrbracket_q$ with $C_2^\perp = C_1$, one would have to compute the minimum distance of both C_1 and C_2 . By Proposition 2 (vii), the automorphism group of an extended QR code $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}} = \llbracket n + 1, (n + 1)/2, d + 1 \rrbracket_q$ contains the group $PSL_2(n)$. In turn $PSL_2(n)$ contains an involution τ with zero ($n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$) or two ($n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) fixed points. We can select the positions I_s for shortening and the positions I_p for puncturing such that $I_s^\tau = I_p$. In this case, the shortened and punctured codes C_1 and C_2 will be permutation equivalent, i.e., they have the same parameters. Hence we have to compute the minimum distance of only one of the codes.

The true minimum distance of the code $\llbracket 208, 0, 24 \rrbracket_2$ might be 25, but we have not yet computationally verified this.

The minimum distance of the codes in Tables 1 and 2 is the largest among the codes that have been explicitly constructed [14]. At the same time, for $n > 100$, the Gilbert-Varshamov bound in [17, Corollary 2.3, 1)] implies the *existence* of quantum codes $\llbracket n, 0, d \rrbracket_q$ for even n with larger minimum distance. However, for length $n > 100$ it is essentially infeasible to determine the minimum distance of a random quantum code.

5. Conclusions

We have presented a propagation rule for the parameters of CSS codes that results in better parameters than the corresponding construction for general quantum error-correcting codes. In the case of qubit codes $\llbracket n, 0, d \rrbracket_2$, this allows us to explicitly construct CSS codes with the largest minimum distance among the known codes. As already pointed out at the end of the last section, counting arguments imply the existence of codes with even larger minimum distance, but these have yet to be constructed.

The new construction can also be applied to asymmetric codes obtained via the CSS construction [18]. We leave it to future research to determine the resulting parameters.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Petr Lisoněk for discussions during the workshop WCC 2022 that lead to the results presented in this work.

The ‘International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies’ project (contract no. MAB/2018/5) is carried out within the International Research Agendas Programme of the Foundation for Polish Science co-financed by the European Union from the funds of the Smart Growth Operational Programme, axis IV: Increasing the research potential (Measure 4.3).

References

- [1] A. Robert Calderbank and Peter W. Shor. Good quantum error-correcting codes exist. *Physical Review A*, 54(2):1098–1105, August 1996. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.54.1098.
- [2] Andrew M. Steane. Simple quantum error correcting codes. *Physical Review A*, 54(6):4741–4751, December 1996. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.54.4741.
- [3] Daniel Gottesman. Theory of fault-tolerant quantum computation. *Physical Review A*, 57(1):127–137, January 1998. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.57.127.
- [4] Gérard Cohen, Sylvia Encheva, and Simon Litsyn. On binary constructions of quantum codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 45(7):2495–2498, November 1999. doi:10.1109/18.796389.
- [5] Min-Hsiu Hsieh and François Le Gall. NP-hardness of decoding quantum error-correction codes. *Physical Review A*, 83(5):052331, May 2011.
- [6] Florence J. MacWilliams and Neil J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [7] Avanti Ketkar, Andreas Klappenecker, Santosh Kumar, and Pradeep Kiran Sarvepalli. Nonbinary stabilizer codes over finite fields. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 52(11):4892–4914, November 2006. doi:10.1109/TIT.2006.883612.
- [8] Markus Grassl. Algebraic quantum codes: linking quantum mechanics and discrete mathematics. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics: Computer Systems Theory*, 6(4):243–250, 2021. doi:10.1080/23799927.2020.1850530.
- [9] Anton Betten, Harald Fripertinger, Adalbert Kerber, Alfred Wassermann, and Karl-Heinz Zimmermann. *Codierungstheorie: Konstruktion und Anwendung linearer Codes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-58973-7.
- [10] Lev Ioffe and Marc Mézard. Asymmetric quantum error-correcting codes. *Physical Review A*, 75(3):03245, March 2007. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.75.032345.
- [11] Pradeep Kiran Sarvepalli, Andreas Klappenecker, and Martin Rötteler. Asymmetric quantum codes: construction, bounds and performance. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 465(2105):1645–1672, May 2009. doi:10.1098/rspa.2008.0439.
- [12] A. Robert Calderbank, Eric M. Rains, P. W. Shor, and Neil J. A. Sloane. Quantum error correction via codes over $GF(4)$. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 44(4):1369–1387, April 1998. doi:10.1109/18.681315.
- [13] Reza Dastbasteh and Petr Lisoněk. A new family of quantum codes from duadic codes. In *WCC 2022: The Twelfth International Workshop on Coding and Cryptography*, Rostock, March 2022. URL: https://www.wcc2022.uni-rostock.de/storages/uni-rostock/Tagungen/WCC2022/Papers/WCC_2022_paper_19.pdf.
- [14] Markus Grassl. Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes. Online available at <http://www.codetables.de>, 2007. Accessed on 2022-08-10.
- [15] Markus Grassl and Greg White. New good linear codes by special puncturings. In *Proceedings 2004 International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT 2004)*, page 454, 2004. doi:10.1109/ISIT.2004.1365491.
- [16] Wieb Bosma, John J. Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma Algebra System I: The User Language. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. doi:10.1006/jsco.1996.0125.
- [17] Keqin Feng and Zhi Ma. A finite Gilbert-Varshamov bound for pure stabilizer quantum codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 50(12):3323–3325, December 2004. doi:10.1109/TIT.2004.838088.
- [18] Martianus Frederic Ezerman, Somphong Jitman, San Ling, and Dmitrii V. Pasechnik. CSS-like constructions of asymmetric quantum codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 59(10):6732–6754, October 2013. doi:10.1109/TIT.2013.2272575.