

**MODULES OVER ORDERS,
CONJUGACY CLASSES OF INTEGRAL MATRICES, AND
ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS**

STEFANO MARSEGLIA

ABSTRACT. We give an algorithm to compute representatives of the conjugacy classes of semisimple square integral matrices with given minimal and characteristic polynomials. We also give an algorithm to compute the \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q which belong to an isogeny class determined by a characteristic polynomial h of Frobenius when h is ordinary, or q is prime and h has no real roots.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of classifying finitely generated modules over a commutative unitary ring R up to isomorphism is in general very hard. Nevertheless, it is completely solved in some special cases: for example, when R is a field, a principal ideal domain, or a Dedekind domain. The latter case, which is usually referred to as Steinitz Theory [Ste12], will be the starting point of the investigation contained in this paper.

1.1. Main result and applications.

In this introduction, in order to simplify the presentation, we will work under more restrictive hypotheses than in most of the paper. We will give only the essential definitions and refer the reader to Section 2 for the missing ones.

The following is the first main result of this paper, which can be found as Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.7 in Section 3.

Main Theorem 1. *Let R be a \mathbb{Z} -order in a direct sum of number fields $K = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n$. For strictly positive integers s_1, \dots, s_n , define the K -module $V = K_1^{s_1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_n^{s_n}$. We provide Algorithm 3.5 that computes representatives of the R -linear isomorphism classes of \mathbb{Z} -lattices of full rank in V that are closed under the induced action by R . The number of such classes is divisible by the size of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Very briefly, the algorithm uses the fact that all representatives of the sought R -modules can be found between two specific modules that depend on the maximal order \mathcal{O} of K and the conductor $\mathfrak{f} = (R : \mathcal{O})$ of R in \mathcal{O} , see Theorem 3.2. Once we have a list of these intermediate modules, one can sieve out a minimal set of representatives of the isomorphism classes using recent results by Bley-Hofmann-Johnston [BHJ22]. As a by-product

Date: October 17, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16H20, 11Y40, 15B36, 14K15.

of Algorithm 3.5, we obtain a scheme to sort, and hence label, these modules, see Remark 3.8.

In this paper we treat two applications of the newly discovered algorithm. The first one is a solution to the conjugacy classes problem for semisimple $r \times r$ integral matrices. Recall that a matrix is semisimple if its minimal polynomial is squarefree, that is, with no repeated irreducible factors. Two such matrices A and B are conjugate if there exists $P \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $PA = BP$. The problem of understanding the conjugacy classes with given minimal and characteristic polynomials has a long history. The first results are due to Latimer-MacDuffee [LM33] for matrices with squarefree characteristic polynomial. A different proof, for the case when the characteristic polynomial is irreducible, is given in Taussky [Tau49]. These two papers describe bijections between certain modules over orders and conjugacy classes of integral square matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial. In [Mar20, Thm 8.1] we give a generalization of these results that considers all semisimple square integral matrices. This generalization, together with Algorithm 3.5, leads to the second main theorem of the paper, which can be found in Section 4 as Theorem 4.2.

Main Theorem 2. *Let m_1, \dots, m_n be distinct irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Put $m = m_1 \dots m_n$ and $h = m_1^{s_1} \dots m_n^{s_n}$, where s_1, \dots, s_n are strictly positive integers. We have an algorithm to compute the conjugacy classes of integral matrices with minimal polynomial m and characteristic polynomial h .*

The second application of Algorithm 3.5 regards abelian varieties over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . It constitutes the content of Section 5, and we refer the reader to that for missing definitions. Consider an \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny class \mathcal{I}_h of abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q determined by a characteristic polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of Frobenius. We assume that \mathcal{I}_h is ordinary, or that q is prime and h has no real roots. Observe that we make no assumptions on the factorization of h . Using results by Deligne [Del69] and Centeleghe-Stix [CS15], respectively, we can describe these abelian varieties in terms of modules over a certain order determined by h , see Theorem 5.1. This theorem, combined with Algorithm 3.5, leads to the third main theorem of the paper, which is later reported as Theorem 5.2.

Main Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{I}_h be the \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny class of abelian varieties over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q determined by the characteristic polynomial h of Frobenius. Assume that h is ordinary, or that q is prime and h has no real roots. We have an algorithm to compute the \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphism classes of abelian varieties in \mathcal{I}_h .*

The above-mentioned labelling scheme for modules induces a way to sort and label the abelian varieties we are computing in Main Theorem 3. This is interesting if one wants to incorporate data about the isomorphism classes of such abelian varieties in a database, like the LMFDB [LMF22].

Finally, in Section 6 we include two examples. In Example 6.1 we compute isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_3 in a given isogeny class. In particular, we exhibit 3 pairwise non-isomorphic abelian surfaces which

become all isomorphic after taking the product with one elliptic curve. In Example 6.2 we compute conjugacy classes of matrices.

The implementation in MAGMA [BCP97] is available at <https://github.com/stmar89/AlgEt>, together with the code to reproduce the examples. Part of this implementation uses functionalities from the `julia` package `Nemo/Hecke` [FHHJ17].

1.2. Comparison with previous and related results.

Main Theorem 1 is a generalization of the results contained in [Mar20], where we consider the case $V = K$, that is, $s_i = 1$ for all i . In this case, the R -modules we are considering are fractional R -ideals. In [Mar20], we first study the problem locally, introducing the notion of weak equivalence between fractional ideals. Two fractional R -ideals I and J are weakly equivalent if $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . Once we have computed the weak equivalence classes, for every overorder S of R , we let the Picard group $\text{Pic}(S)$ act on the weak equivalence classes with multiplier ring S to compute all the isomorphism classes of fractional R -ideals. Computing weak equivalence classes in general requires an expensive enumeration step, analogous to the one needed in Algorithm 3.5. On the other hand, since weak equivalence is coarser than isomorphism, the quotient in which enumeration takes places is typically smaller. Moreover, in certain cases, we can skip the enumeration part entirely. Indeed, if S is an overorder of R which is Gorenstein, that is, every fractional R -ideal with multiplier ring S is invertible in S , then there is only one weak equivalence class with multiplier ring S . We extend this statement in [Mar22, Sec. 6] where we classify all weak equivalence classes with multiplier rings which are close-to-be Gorenstein.

Another case where we have a method to efficiently compute the R -modules is when $V = K^s$ for some positive integer s , that is, when $s_i = s$ for all i , and the order R is assumed to be Bass, which means that all overorders are Gorenstein. In this case there is a classification of the R -modules in V due to Bass [Bas62] and Borevič-Faddeev [BF65]. Such a classification makes them easy to compute. If R is Bass but V is not a pure power of K then the classification of the classes becomes immediately much more complicated, see [BS22].

In the cases $V = K$ and $V = K^s$ with R Bass, we used the above-mentioned results to produce algorithms to compute conjugacy classes of \mathbb{Z} -matrices, see [Mar20, Cor. 8.2], and isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over finite fields, see [Mar21] and [Mar19]. For the reasons we just explained, these specialized algorithms will typically perform better than the ones from Main Theorems 2 and 3.

We conclude the introduction with two asides. Contrary to the problem of computing representatives of the conjugacy classes of square integral matrices which is discussed in this paper, the problem of determining whether two such matrices are conjugate has recently received a lot of attention. Algorithms can be found in the thesis of Husert [Hus16], in previous work of the author [Mar20], in Eick-Hofmann-O'Brien [EHO19], and in the above-mentioned paper by Bley-Hofmann-Johnston [BHJ22]. The first two deal

with special cases, while third and the fourth work with all square integral matrices, including the non-semisimple ones.

The second comment regards almost-ordinary abelian varieties over finite fields of odd characteristic. For simple isogeny classes, the work of Oswal-Shankar [OS20] gives a description of such abelian varieties in terms of modules analogous to the ones used to obtain Main Theorem 3. These results were generalized by Bergström-Karemaker and the author in [BKM21, Thm. 2.12] to isogeny classes of almost-ordinary abelian varieties in odd characteristic, with commutative endomorphism algebras, or, equivalently, with squarefree characteristic polynomial. For this reason, one should use the specialized algorithms contained in [Mar20] and [Mar21] to compute them.

Acknowledgements. The idea of this paper took form during a collaboration with Jonas Bergström and Valentijn Karemaker. The author is thankful for their encouragement to write it down. The author expresses his gratitude to them and to Tommy Hofmann for comments on a preliminary version. The author was supported by NWO grant VI.Veni.202.107.

2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

All rings in this paper are commutative and unitary. Let Z be a Dedekind domain with fraction field Q . For us, fields are not Dedekind domains. Let V be a finite dimensional Q -vector space. A *lattice* in V is a finitely-generated sub- Z -module of V which contains a Q -basis of V . In particular, if L is such a lattice then $LQ = V$.

Let K_1, \dots, K_n be finite field extensions of Q and let K be the direct sum

$$K = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n.$$

An *order* R in K is a subring of K which is also a lattice in K . A *fractional R -ideal* is a finitely generated sub- R -module of K which is also a lattice in K , or, equivalently, which contains an invertible element of K . For example, if R is a domain then an ideal I of R is a fractional R -ideal if and only if I is non-zero. Two fractional R -ideals I and J are called *isomorphic* if they are so as R -modules. This is equivalent to having an invertible element λ in K such that $I = \lambda J$. Observe that given two fractional R -ideals I and J , the sum $I + J$, the product IJ and the colon

$$(I : J) = \{x \in K : xJ \subseteq I\}$$

are also fractional R -ideals. The *multiplicator ring* of a fractional R -ideal I is the order $(I : I)$. We say that a fractional R -ideal I is *invertible* if there exists a fractional R -ideal J such that $IJ = R$. Note that if this is the case, then J equals $(R : I)$. We define the *Picard group* of R as the abelian group $\text{Pic}(R)$ of isomorphism classes of invertible fractional R -ideals with the operation of multiplication.

Since K is commutative, the integral closure \mathcal{O} of Z (embedded diagonally) in K is a subring of K . More precisely, we have

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_n,$$

where \mathcal{O}_i is the integral closure of Z in K_i . By the Krull-Akizuki Theorem, each \mathcal{O}_i is a Dedekind domain. Every element of any order is integral over Z ,

which implies that \mathcal{O} contains every order in K . In general, \mathcal{O} is not an order in K . Indeed, if Z is not a Japanese ring, by definition, the integral closure of Z in some finite field extension of Q will not be finitely generated as a Z -module.

Define the *conductor* \mathfrak{f} of an order R in \mathcal{O} as

$$\mathfrak{f} = (R : \mathcal{O}) = \{x \in K : x\mathcal{O} \subseteq R\}.$$

Note that since \mathfrak{f} is an \mathcal{O} -ideal, we have a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_n,$$

where each \mathfrak{f}_i is an \mathcal{O}_i -ideal. One can prove that \mathfrak{f} contains an invertible element of K if and only if \mathcal{O} is finitely generated as a Z -module. If this is the case then \mathcal{O} is an order, the *maximal order* of K . Moreover, for every fractional \mathcal{O} -ideal I we have a decomposition $I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n I_i$ for fractional \mathcal{O}_i -ideals I_i , and hence also

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_n).$$

3. ISOMORPHISM CLASSES OF LATTICES

Let $Z, Q, K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K_i$ and $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_i$ be as in Section 2. We assume that \mathcal{O} is finitely generated as a Z -module. Fix strictly positive integers s_1, \dots, s_n and define the K -module

$$V = K_1^{s_1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_n^{s_n},$$

where the action of K is component-wise diagonal.

Let R be an order in K and let $\mathfrak{f} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{f}_i$ be the conductor of R in \mathcal{O} . Denote by $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ the category of sub- R -modules of V which are also lattices, with R -linear morphisms. For every M in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$, the extension $M\mathcal{O}$ is also a lattice in V and hence it belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}, V)$. Pick a morphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$. Since $RQ = \mathcal{O}Q = K$ and $MQ = NQ = V$, the morphism φ extends uniquely to a K -linear endomorphism of V , which in turns restricts to a unique morphism $M\mathcal{O} \rightarrow N\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}, V)$. We denote the induced morphisms also by φ .

The following is a restatement of Steinitz Theory, see [Ste12].

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}, V)$. Then there are fractional \mathcal{O}_i -ideals I_i and there exists an \mathcal{O} -linear isomorphism*

$$M \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \left(\mathcal{O}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus I_i \right).$$

Moreover, the isomorphism class of M is uniquely determined by the integers s_i and the isomorphism class of the fractional \mathcal{O} -ideal $I = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_n$ is a direct sum of rings, we have a decomposition

$$M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n,$$

where each M_i is in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}_i, K_i^{s_i})$. By the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a Dedekind domain, see [Ste12], there exists a fractional \mathcal{O}_i -ideal I_i such that

$$M_i \simeq \mathcal{O}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus I_i.$$

Furthermore, the isomorphism class of each M_i is uniquely determined by the rank s_i and the isomorphism class of I_i . The same holds true after taking the direct sum. \square

We now consider $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ for a general order R .

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$. Then there exist an M' in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$, and fractional \mathcal{O}_i -ideals I_i such that*

- (i) $M' \simeq M$ as an R -module.
- (ii) $M'\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus I_i)$.
- (iii) $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathfrak{f}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_i I_i) \subseteq M' \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus I_i)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, there exists an \mathcal{O} -linear isomorphism

$$\varphi : M\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus I_i),$$

for some fractional \mathcal{O}_i -ideals I_i . Define $M' = \varphi(M)$. Observe that M' is in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ and $M \simeq M'$ by construction. We have

$$M' \subseteq M'\mathcal{O} = \varphi(M)\mathcal{O} = \varphi(M\mathcal{O}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus I_i).$$

For the other inclusion, note that

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathfrak{f}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_i I_i) = \mathfrak{f} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{O}_i^{s_i-1} \oplus I_i) \right) = \mathfrak{f}M'\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{f}M' \subseteq M',$$

where the last equality holds because $\mathfrak{f}\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{f}$, and the inclusion follows from $\mathfrak{f} \subseteq R$ and $M'R = M'$. \square

In the rest of the section we describe how to turn Theorem 3.2 into an algorithm to compute representatives of the isomorphism classes of modules in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$. We will need some additional assumptions on R and \mathcal{O} , which will be discussed in Remark 3.3. We need to assume that:

- (A) For each i , the group $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ is finite, and we have an algorithm `PicardGroup` to compute it.
- (B) For each fractional \mathcal{O} -ideal $I = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n$, the quotient

$$\mathcal{Q}(I) = \frac{\mathcal{O}_1^{s_1-1} \oplus I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_n^{s_n-1} \oplus I_n}{\mathfrak{f}_1^{s_1-1} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_1 I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_n^{s_n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_n I_n}$$

has finitely many sub- R -modules, and we have an algorithm called `SubModules` to list them all.

- (C) We have an algorithm `IsIsomorphic` that returns whether M and N in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ are isomorphic.

Remark 3.3. The Jordan-Zassenhaus Theorem [Rei03, Thm. 26.4] tells us that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite when Q is a global field, that is, a number field or a finite extension of a function field $k(T)$ where k is a finite field and T is an indeterminate. In the first case, the problem of computing each $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ is classical, see [BW89]. In the second case, see [Hes99]. Hence, in both cases, hypothesis (A) is satisfied.

Assume again that Q is a global field. Then the quotient $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ defined in (B) is a finite abelian group. We want to list all sub- R -modules N of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ with trivial extension, that is, such that $N\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{Q}(I)$. These modules are in bijection with the modules M' from Theorem 3.2. We will produce them by recursively computing the sub- R -modules of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ which are maximal with respect to inclusion, cf. [FHS19, Sec. 5.2]. Let N be a such a maximal sub- R -module. Then there exists a rational prime p such that $p\mathcal{Q}(I) \subseteq N$. Hence N can be identified with a sub- \mathbb{F}_p -vector space of $\mathcal{Q}(I)/p\mathcal{Q}(I)$. Now, one can use the MEATAXE algorithm, see [Par84] and [HEO05, Sec. 7.4], to enumerate all the maximal sub- R -modules of $\mathcal{Q}(I)/p\mathcal{Q}(I)$, which are closed under the induced action of R . From this list we need to keep only the ones with trivial extension: indeed if $N\mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{Q}(I)$ then all the sub- R -modules of N will not have trivial extension as well. Now we repeat the process with N instead of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$, and so on recursively until we have all sub- R -modules of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ with trivial extension.

Finally, in [BHJ22], the authors describe an algorithm `IsIsomorphic` that works for orders in étale algebras over \mathbb{Q} . Hence, such orders satisfy also assumption (C). To the best of our knowledge, there is no known analogous algorithm when Q is an extension of a function field.

We conclude that orders in étale algebras over \mathbb{Q} satisfy all hypotheses (A), (B) and (C). Note also that if Q is a global field, then Z is Japanese, which means that \mathcal{O} is finitely generated as a Z -module, as required at the beginning of the section.

We introduce now some notation that we will use throughout the rest of this section. For any fractional \mathcal{O} -ideal $I = \oplus_i I_i$ define the quotient

$$\mathcal{Q}(I) = \frac{\mathcal{O}_1^{s_1-1} \oplus I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_n^{s_n-1} \oplus I_n}{\mathfrak{f}_1^{s_1-1} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_1 I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_n^{s_n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_n I_n},$$

as done before in (B). Denote by q_I the quotient map onto $\mathcal{Q}(I)$. Define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_I = \left\{ \text{sub-}R\text{-module } \widetilde{N} \text{ of } \mathcal{Q}(I) : \widetilde{N}\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{Q}(I) \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_I = \left\{ q_I^{-1}(\widetilde{N}) \in \mathcal{L}(R, V) : \widetilde{N} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_I \right\}.$$

The following lemma will be used in Theorem 3.6 to prove the correctness of Algorithm 3.5.

Lemma 3.4.

- (i) *There is an R -linear isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi} : \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(I)$.*
- (ii) *The isomorphism $\widetilde{\psi}$ induces a bijection $\psi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_I$ defined by $\psi(M) = q_I^{-1}(\widetilde{\psi}(q_{\mathcal{O}}(M)))$.*
- (iii) *The bijection ψ induces a bijection between the sets of R -linear isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and in \mathcal{M}_I .*

Proof. By replacing I with an isomorphic fractional \mathcal{O} -ideal, we can assume that I is coprime to the conductor \mathfrak{f} , that is, $\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{f} + I$, see [Coh00, Cor. 1.2.11]. This implies that $\mathfrak{f}_i I_i = \mathfrak{f}_i \cap I_i$ and $\mathfrak{f}_i + I_i = \mathcal{O}_i$, for every i .

Therefore, for every i , we have the following isomorphism of R -modules

$$\frac{I_i}{\mathfrak{f}_i I_i} = \frac{I_i}{\mathfrak{f}_i \cap I_i} \simeq \frac{I_i + \mathfrak{f}_i}{\mathfrak{f}_i} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_i}{\mathfrak{f}_i}.$$

By taking direct sums, we obtain the desired isomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}(I)$, completing the proof of Part (i). Part (ii) is an immediate consequence of Part (i). For Part (iii) we argue as follows. Pick M_1 and M_2 in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and let $\varphi : M_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2$ be an R -linear isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}) = M_i \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{f}_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, we see that φ induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O})$. Pushing this forward via $\tilde{\psi}$, we obtain an automorphism of $\mathcal{Q}(I)$ which then lifts to an isomorphism $\psi(M_1) \simeq \psi(M_2)$. Hence we get a bijection between the sets of R -linear isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and in \mathcal{M}_I , as required. \square

Algorithm 3.5. Assume that (A), (B) and (C) hold. The following steps will return a list \mathcal{L}_{out} of representatives of the isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$.

- (1) Compute the conductor $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_n$ of R in \mathcal{O} .
- (2) Use `PicardGroup` to compute representatives $I^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n I_i^{(k)}$ of

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_i).$$

- (3) Form the quotient

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{\mathcal{O}_1^{s_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_n^{s_n}}{\mathfrak{f}_1^{s_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{f}_n^{s_n}},$$

and denote by $q_{\mathcal{O}}$ the natural quotient map.

- (4) Use `SubModules` to produce a list $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of all the finitely many sub- R -modules \tilde{M} of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\tilde{M}\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O})$.
- (5) Initialize an empty list \mathcal{L} .
- (6) For each \tilde{M} in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ do:
 - (a) Compute $M = q_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(\tilde{M})$.
 - (b) If `IsIsomorphic` returns that there is no module M' in \mathcal{L} which is isomorphic to M then append M to \mathcal{L} .
- (7) Initialize an empty output list \mathcal{L}_{out} .
- (8) For each k do:
 - (a) Initialize an empty list $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$.
 - (b) Compute $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}(I^{(k)})$ as in Lemma 3.4.(ii).
 - (c) For each M in \mathcal{L} do:
 - (i) Compute $M' = q_I^{-1}(\tilde{\psi}(q_{\mathcal{O}}(M)))$.
 - (ii) Append M' to $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$.
 - (d) Concatenate $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ to \mathcal{L}_{out} .
- (9) Return \mathcal{L}_{out} .

Theorem 3.6. *Algorithm 3.5 returns a minimal set of representatives of the isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 for every M in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ there exist an index k and an element M' of $\mathcal{M}_{I^{(k)}}$ such that $M \simeq M'$. By Lemma 3.4.(iii), there exists M'' in $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ such that $M \simeq M''$. In other words, the list \mathcal{L}_{out} contains representatives of all isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$. We need to show that

there are no repetitions, that is, that the elements of \mathcal{L}_{out} are pairwise non-isomorphic. Again by Lemma 3.4.(iii), for each index k , the elements of $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic. We are left to show that given two distinct indices k and k' , and modules $M \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ and $M' \in \mathcal{L}^{(k')}$, there cannot be an isomorphism $M \simeq M'$. Indeed, if this were the case then we would have an isomorphism $M\mathcal{O} \simeq M'\mathcal{O}$, contradicting Proposition 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.7. *The number of isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ is divisible by the size of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that the lists $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ defined in Step (8) of Algorithm 3.5 all have size equal to the size of the list \mathcal{L} built in Step (6). \square

Remark 3.8. When R is an order in an étale algebra over \mathbb{Q} , the process described in Algorithm 3.5 can be used to label the isomorphism classes of lattices in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$, as we now describe.

The representatives of each $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ can be ordered in a deterministic way by [CPS20]. Taking direct sums, we get an induced ordering on $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$, and hence we can order the various quotients $\mathcal{Q}(I^{(k)})$.

To conclude it suffices to sort the sub- R -modules \widetilde{M} of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O})$, that is, the elements of the list $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{O}}$. This can be done, for example, as follows. Let $q_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the quotient map onto $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O})$. For each \widetilde{M} , compute the Hermite Normal Form of the matrix representing a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $M_0 := q_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(\widetilde{M})$ with respect to a fixed \mathbb{Q} -basis of V . Then one simply sorts these matrices to obtain the desired result. In fact, one can use this method together with invariants that take into account the R -module structure of M_0 , like, for example, the R/\mathfrak{p} -dimensions of $M_0 \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p}$ where \mathfrak{p} runs over the finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} of R above the conductor $\mathfrak{f} = (R : \mathcal{O})$.

4. CONJUGACY CLASSES OF SEMISIMPLE INTEGRAL MATRICES

Let A and B be two square matrices with integer coefficients, both of dimension r . Recall that A and B are \mathbb{Z} -conjugate if there exists a matrix P in $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $PA = BP$. We will write $A \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} B$. If A and B are \mathbb{Z} -conjugate then they have the same minimal and characteristic polynomials.

Let m be a squarefree polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, that is, such that in its irreducible factorization

$$m = m_1 \cdots m_n$$

all the factors are distinct. Fix strictly positive integers s_1, \dots, s_n . Define

$$h = m_1^{s_1} \cdots m_n^{s_n}.$$

Denote by $\text{Mat}_{m,h}$ the set of integral square matrices with minimal polynomial m and characteristic polynomial h . Since m is squarefree, these matrices are semisimple. Consider the étale algebra $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/m$, and the order $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$, where π denotes the class of the variable x in K . Define

$$V = K_1^{s_1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_n^{s_n}.$$

As before, $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ denotes the category of \mathbb{Z} -lattices in V which are R -modules. Pick M in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ and choose a \mathbb{Z} -basis \mathcal{B} of M . Define $A_{M,\mathcal{B}}$ as

the matrix that represents multiplication by π on M with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} . Since M is an R -module, the matrix $A_{M,\mathcal{B}}$ has integer entries. Denote by Ψ the function that associates the pair (M, \mathcal{B}) to the matrix $A_{M,\mathcal{B}}$. In previous work, we proved the following theorem, which is a generalization of the Latimer-MacDuffee Theorem [LM33] and the version by Tausky [Tau49].

Theorem 4.1. [Mar20, Thm. 8.1] *The function Ψ induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ and $\text{Mat}_{m,h} / \sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Combining Theorem 4.1 with Algorithm 3.5 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *We have an algorithm to compute a minimal set of representatives of $\text{Mat}_{m,h} / \sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Remark 4.3. As mentioned above, the matrices in $\text{Mat}_{m,h}$ are semisimple. It is known that, in general, an integral square matrix A can be written uniquely as $A = S + N$, where S is semisimple, N is nilpotent and $SN = NS$. If $A' = S' + N'$ is another matrix, with analogous decomposition, then there exists an invertible integral matrix P such that $PA = A'P$ if and only if $PS = S'P$ and there exists an invertible integral matrix T in the stabilizer of S' such that $TPN = N'TP$. This seems to suggest that in order to generalize Theorem 4.1, one first needs to find a method to compute conjugacy classes of nilpotent matrices with prescribed minimal and characteristic polynomials, where the conjugation is realized only by matrices in a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. To the best of our knowledge, this problem has not been solved yet. For example, there is no known version of the Latimer-MacDuffee Theorem for nilpotent matrices.

Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.1 together with Remark 3.8 gives a method to label the representatives of the conjugacy classes in $\text{Mat}_{m,h}$.

5. ISOMORPHISM CLASSES OF ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS

In this section we describe how to use Algorithm 3.5 to compute the isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q belonging to isogeny classes satisfying certain hypotheses. Here, with isogeny classes, we mean \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny classes. Recall that by Honda-Tate theory, see [Tat66], [Hon68] and [Tat71], such an isogeny class is uniquely determined by the characteristic polynomial h of Frobenius of any abelian variety in the isogeny class. We will denote the isogeny class by \mathcal{I}_h , and turn it into a category by considering \mathbb{F}_q -morphisms between the objects. The polynomial h is in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, has degree $2g$, where g is the dimension of any abelian variety in \mathcal{I}_h , and all its complex roots have norm \sqrt{q} . Recall also that \mathcal{I}_h is ordinary if the coefficient of x^g in h is coprime with q .

Consider the factorization

$$h = m_1^{s_1} \cdots m_n^{s_n}$$

into distinct irreducible factors. Put $m = m_1 \cdots m_n$. Define $K_i = \mathbb{Q}[x]/m_i$ for each i , and denote by π the class of x in $K = K_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_n$. Consider the order $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, q/\pi]$ in K . Finally, define

$$V = K_1^{s_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K_n^{s_n}.$$

As before, $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$ denotes the category of \mathbb{Z} -lattices in V which are R -modules, with R -linear morphisms.

Theorem 5.1.

- (i) Assume that h is ordinary. Then there is a categorical equivalence between \mathcal{I}_h and $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$.
- (ii) Assume that q is prime and that h does not have real roots. Then there is a categorical anti-equivalence between \mathcal{I}_h and $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$.

In both cases, we obtain a bijection between the isomorphism classes of abelian varieties in \mathcal{I}_h and the isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$.

Proof. See [Mar19, Theorem 4.1.(a)] for a complete proof in the case where h is a power of m . We review the main steps here for completeness. We first handle the ordinary case. By Deligne [Del69, Sec. 7, Théorème], there is an equivalence between \mathcal{I}_h and the category of pairs (T, F) where T is a free finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module and F is an endomorphism of T with characteristic polynomial h , which is semisimple, and there is a \mathbb{Z} -linear endomorphism V of T such that $V \circ F$ and $F \circ V$ are both the multiplication-by- q map on T . A morphism between two pairs (T, F) and (T', F') is a \mathbb{Z} -linear morphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow T'$ such that $F' \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ F$.

Fix a pair (T, F) as above. Since F is semisimple, its minimal polynomial is m . Hence we can identify F with π and V with q/π . This identification induces an R -module structure on T , and induces a K -linear isomorphism $T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \simeq V$. Via this isomorphism, we can identify T with an element of $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$. Therefore we obtain an equivalence between \mathcal{I}_h and $\mathcal{L}(R, V)$.

Applying the same argument to the result of Centeleghe-Stix [CS15, Thm. 1], with arrows reversed, induces the anti-equivalence in the case where q is prime and h has no real roots. \square

Combining Theorem 5.1 with Algorithm 3.5 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that h is ordinary, or that q is prime and h has no real roots. We have an algorithm to compute all the representatives of the isomorphism classes of abelian varieties in \mathcal{I}_h .*

Remark 5.3. Theorem 5.2 together with Remark 3.8 gives a method to label abelian varieties in the isogeny class \mathcal{I}_h .

6. EXAMPLES

Algorithm 3.5 has been implemented in MAGMA [BCP97]. Such implementation is available at <https://github.com/stmar89/AlgEt>, together with the code to reproduce the examples. Even if it is possible run the code using only MAGMA, it is much faster to combine it with the implementation of `IsIsomorphic` from [BHJ22] which is included in the `julia` package `Nemo/Hecke` [FHHJ17]. Detailed instructions are included in the code.

Example 6.1. Consider the polynomials

$$m_1 = x^2 - x + 3 \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = x^2 + x + 3.$$

Put $K_i = \mathbb{Q}[x]/m_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and let π_i be the class of x in K_i . Consider the isogeny classes \mathcal{I}_{m_1} and \mathcal{I}_{m_2} of ordinary elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_3 determined

by m_1 and m_2 . Since both $\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1]$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 = \mathbb{Z}[\pi_2]$ are maximal and have trivial Picard group, we see that both isogeny classes contain a unique isomorphism class of elliptic curves. We will denote the representatives by E_1 and E_2 , respectively.

Now we consider the product

$$m = m_1 m_2 = x^4 + 5x^2 + 9.$$

Put $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ and denote by π the class of x in K . Put $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, 3/\pi]$. Using Algorithm 3.5, we see that there are 4 isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{L}(R, K)$. Note that such modules are fractional R -ideals in K , so in fact it is more efficient to use the specialized code from [Mar20]. The only overorder of R is the maximal order $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$ of K . Among the 4 classes, 3 come from $\text{Pic}(R)$, and the last one from $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$, which is trivial. These isomorphism classes correspond to 4 isomorphism classes of abelian varieties in \mathcal{I}_m by Theorem 5.1. More precisely, the isomorphism classes in \mathcal{I}_m are represented by 3 abelian surfaces, A_1, A_2, A_3 , all with endomorphism ring isomorphic to R , and then the class of $E_1 \times E_2$. Since the order R is not a direct sum of orders from K_1 and K_2 , we deduce that no surface A_i is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves.

Consider the isogeny class \mathcal{I}_h of abelian threefolds determined by

$$h = m_1^2 m_2 = x^6 - x^5 + 8x^4 - 5x^3 + 24x^2 - 9x - 27.$$

Algorithm 3.5 shows that there are 2 isomorphism classes in $\mathcal{L}(R, K_1^2 \oplus K_2)$, represented by $\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus R$ and $\mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_2$. By Theorem 5.1, these correspond to the isomorphism classes of abelian varieties in \mathcal{I}_h , represented by

$$A_1 \times E_1 \text{ and } E_1^2 \times E_2.$$

In particular, we have \mathbb{F}_3 -isomorphisms

$$A_1 \times E_1 \simeq A_2 \times E_1 \simeq A_3 \times E_1.$$

Example 6.2. In this example we consider again the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= x^2 - x + 3, \\ m_2 &= x^2 + x + 3, \\ m &= m_1 m_2 = x^4 + 5x^2 + 9, \\ h &= m_1^2 m_2 = x^6 - x^5 + 8x^4 - 5x^3 + 24x^2 - 9x - 27., \end{aligned}$$

from Example 6.1. For $i = 1, 2$, define $K_i = \mathbb{Q}[x]/m_i$. Put $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ as before, but now we consider the order $E = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$, where π is the class of x in K (instead of $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, 3/\pi]$ as in Example 6.1).

We want to compute the representatives of \mathbb{Z} -conjugacy classes of integral matrices having minimal polynomial m and characteristic polynomial h , that is, in the notation from Section 4, we want to compute representatives of $\text{Mat}_{m,h} / \sim_{\mathbb{Z}}$. By Theorem 4.1 we have to compute the isomorphism classes of modules in $\mathcal{L}(E, K_1^2 \oplus K_2)$. Algorithm 3.5 returns that there are 4 classes,

represented by the following matrices.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

REFERENCES

- [Bas62] Hyman Bass. Torsion free and projective modules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 102:319–327, 1962.
- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997.
- [BF65] Zenon Ivanovich Borevič and Dmitrii Konstantinovich Faddeev. Representations of orders with cyclic index. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 80:51–65, 1965.
- [BHJ22] Werner Bley, Tommy Hofmann, and Henri Johnston. Computation of lattice isomorphisms and the integral matrix similarity problem. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2202.03526, February 2022.
- [BKM21] Jonas Bergström, Valentijn Karemaker, and Stefano Marseglia. Polarizations of Abelian Varieties Over Finite Fields via Canonical Liftings. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 12 2021. rnab333.
- [BS22] Nicholas R. Baeth and Daniel Smertnig. Lattices over Bass rings and graph agglomerations. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 25(3):669–704, 2022.
- [BW89] Johannes Buchmann and H. C. Williams. On the computation of the class number of an algebraic number field. *Math. Comp.*, 53(188):679–688, 1989.
- [Coh00] Henri Cohen. *Advanced topics in computational number theory*, volume 193 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [CPS20] John Cremona, Aurel Page, and Andrew V. Sutherland. Sorting and labelling integral ideals in a number field. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2005.09491, May 2020.
- [CS15] Tommaso Giorgio Centeleghe and Jakob Stix. Categories of abelian varieties over finite fields, I: Abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_p . *Algebra Number Theory*, 9(1):225–265, 2015.
- [Del69] Pierre Deligne. Variétés abéliennes ordinaires sur un corps fini. *Invent. Math.*, 8:238–243, 1969.
- [EHO19] Bettina Eick, Tommy Hofmann, and E. A. O’Brien. The conjugacy problem in $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 100(3):731–756, 2019.
- [FHHJ17] Claus Fieker, William Hart, Tommy Hofmann, and Fredrik Johansson. Nemo/hecke: Computer algebra and number theory packages for the julia programming language. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC ’17*, pages 157–164, New York, NY, USA, 2017. ACM.
- [FHS19] Claus Fieker, Tommy Hofmann, and Carlo Sircana. On the construction of class fields. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium*, volume 2 of *Open Book Ser.*, pages 239–255. Math. Sci. Publ., Berkeley, CA, 2019.

- [HEO05] Derek F. Holt, Bettina Eick, and Eamonn A. O'Brien. *Handbook of computational group theory*. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- [Hes99] Florian Hess. *Zur Divisorenklassengruppenberechnung in globalen Funktionenkörpern*. 1999. Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Universität, Berlin.
- [Hon68] Taira Honda. Isogeny classes of abelian varieties over finite fields. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 20:83–95, 1968.
- [Hus16] David Husert. *Similarity of integer matrices*. University of Paderborn, 2016. PhD Thesis.
- [LM33] Claiborne G. Latimer and C. C. MacDuffee. A correspondence between classes of ideals and classes of matrices. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 34(2):313–316, 1933.
- [LMF22] The LMFDB Collaboration. The l-functions and modular forms database. <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2022. [Online; accessed 02 August 2022].
- [Mar19] Stefano Marseglia. Computing abelian varieties over finite fields isogenous to a power. *Res. Number Theory*, 5(4):Paper No. 35, 17, 2019.
- [Mar20] Stefano Marseglia. Computing the ideal class monoid of an order. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 101(3):984–1007, 2020.
- [Mar21] Stefano Marseglia. Computing square-free polarized abelian varieties over finite fields. *Math. Comp.*, 90(328):953–971, 2021.
- [Mar22] Stefano Marseglia. Cohen-Macaulay type of orders, generators and ideal classes. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2206.03758, June 2022.
- [OS20] Abhishek Oswal and Ananth N. Shankar. Almost ordinary abelian varieties over finite fields. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 101(3):923–937, 2020.
- [Par84] Richard Alan Parker. The computer calculation of modular characters (the meat-axe). In *Computational group theory (Durham, 1982)*, pages 267–274. Academic Press, London, 1984.
- [Rei03] Irving Reiner. *Maximal orders*, volume 28 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [Ste12] Ernst Steinitz. Rechteckige Systeme und Moduln in algebraischen Zahlkörpern. II. *Math. Ann.*, 72(3):297–345, 1912.
- [Tat66] John Tate. Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields. *Invent. Math.*, 2:134–144, 1966.
- [Tat71] John Tate. Classes d'isogénie des variétés abéliennes sur un corps fini (d'après T. Honda). In *Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1968/69: Exposés 347–363*, volume 175 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages Exp. No. 352, 95–110. Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [Tau49] Olga Taussky. On a theorem of Latimer and MacDuffee. *Canadian J. Math.*, 1:300–302, 1949.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UTRECHT UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 80010, 3508 TA, UTRECHT, THE NETHERLANDS

Email address: `s.marseglia@uu.nl`