

# BURCH INDEX, SUMMANDS OF SYZYGIES AND LINEARITY IN RESOLUTIONS

HAILONG DAO AND DAVID EISENBUD

ABSTRACT. The Burch index is a new invariant of a local ring  $R$  whose positivity implies a kind of linearity in resolutions of  $R$ -modules. For example, if  $R$  has depth zero and Burch index at least 2, then any non-free 7th  $R$ -syzygy contains the residue field as a direct summand. We also compute the Burch index in various cases of interest.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a commutative, Noetherian local ring. Little is known about the matrices that can occur in the minimal free resolutions of a finitely generated module  $M$  over  $R$  except when  $M$  has finite projective dimension. In this paper we study a condition on  $R$  which implies that these matrices contain many elements outside the square of the maximal ideal.

To exclude the phenomena associated with modules of finite projective dimension, it is useful to consider the case of rings of depth 0, and the Burch index of an arbitrary Noetherian local ring is defined by reducing to this setting. To state the definition and our main results in a special case, suppose that  $R$  is a depth 0 local ring with residue field  $k$ , and  $R = S/I$ , where  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  is a regular local ring. We define the *Burch index*  $\text{burch}(R)$  to be the dimension of the vector space

$$\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{I\mathfrak{n} : (I : \mathfrak{n})}.$$

Intuitively this may be thought of as the number of ways to obtain minimal generators of  $I$  from elements in the socle of  $S/I$ . See Section 1 for the general definition. Our definition is inspired by the recent work on Burch rings ([5]), which are rings with positive Burch index in our language. See loc. cit. for more background on this concept.

We will show that high Burch index has strong consequences for the linearity of resolutions. Again suppose that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring of depth 0. If  $M$  is any finitely generated  $R$ -module, we write  $J_1(M)$  for the fitting ideal generated by the entries of a minimal presentation matrix for  $M$ , and  $\text{syz}_n^R(M)$  for the minimal  $n$ -th syzygy module of  $M$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** *With  $R$  as above and  $M$  any non-free module, if  $\text{burch}(R) \geq 2$  then  $J_1(\text{syz}_n^R(M)) = \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $n \geq 5$ , and  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_n^R(M)$  for all  $n \geq 7$ .*

For the proofs of slightly stronger results, including the case of Burch index 1, see Theorems 3.4.

Even in the familiar case of the rings  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(x_1, \dots, x_d)^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), which have Burch index  $d$ , the results of Theorem 0.1 seem to have been unknown.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 0.1 and the definition of  $\text{burch}(R)$ , we have the following linearity result for any depth.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13D02, 13H10. Secondary: 14B99.

*Key words and phrases.* Burch rings, Burch index, free resolutions, linearity of syzygies, summands of syzygies.

**Corollary 0.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring and suppose  $\text{burch}(R) \geq 2$ . Let  $M$  be any finitely generated module over  $R$  which has infinite projective dimension. Let  $J_n(M)$  be the ideal generated by entries in the  $n$ -th matrix in the minimal resolution of  $M$ . For each  $n \geq \text{depth } R + 5$ , the codimension of  $(J_n(M) + \mathfrak{m}^2)/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , as a subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , is at most  $\text{depth } R$ .*

Roughly speaking, the result says that over rings of Burch index at least 2, the differentials of every infinite minimal resolution contain many independent linear terms. As far as we know, such results were only known for the resolution of the residue class field, where Gulliksen's theorem tells us that the Tate resolution is always minimal. Because the resolution contains the Koszul complex as a tensor factor, this implies (in embedding dimension  $\geq 2$ ) that the entries of each differential generate the maximal ideal.

It is worth pointing out some corollaries on certain topics that have attracted significant attention. For instance, Theorem 0.1 implies immediately that over a ring of Burch index at least 2, each module has either finite projective dimension or maximal curvature (its Poincaré series has the same radius of convergence as that of the residue field). See [1] for a survey of the vast literature on this subject. Also, any high enough (non-free) syzygy  $M$  over such ring can be used to test for finite projective dimension, in the sense that  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for a single  $i > 0$  forces  $N$  to have finite projective dimension.

We also investigate the connection between the Burch index of an ideal  $I$  in a regular local ring  $S$  and the linearity of its resolution over  $S$ , see Theorem 2.4. Indeed, our results indicate strong connections between linearity of resolution of  $I$  over  $S$  and the resolution of modules over  $S/I$ . We hope to come back to this theme in the future.

We conclude the paper by computing the Burch index for ideals in a regular local ring of dimension 2, for general points in the projective plane, ideals with almost linear resolution, and certain fibre products. We give examples of monomial ideals showing that our results are sharp in most aspects.

Many results of this paper were conjectured based on extensive Macaulay2 computations. We wish to acknowledge the importance of this tool, primarily produced and constantly improved by Dan Grayson and Mike Stillman [8].

## 1. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout this paper, all rings are local and Noetherian and modules are finitely generated. We regard positively graded algebras over a field and finitely generated graded modules over them as local as well.

**Definition 1.1** (*Burch index*). Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a local ring and  $I \subseteq S$  an ideal. We define the *Burch ideal* of an ideal  $I \subset S$  to be  $\text{bm}_S(I)$  is  $I\mathfrak{n} : (I : \mathfrak{n})$ .

If  $I = 0$  and  $S = S/I$  has positive depth, we set  $\text{burch}_S(I) = 0$ . Otherwise  $\mathfrak{n}^2 \subseteq \text{bm}_S(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$  and we set

$$\text{burch}_S(I) = \text{length}(\mathfrak{n}/\text{bm}_S(I)).$$

Our general definition of the Burch index of a local ring uses the Cohen presentation, a residue field extension, and reduction modulo regular sequences to reduce to the case of an ideal of codepth 0 in a regular local ring. By a regular presentation of  $R$ , we mean a surjective local map  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k) \rightarrow (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  where  $S$  is regular. Such presentation is called minimal if  $\dim_k \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring and let  $\hat{R}$  denote the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$ .

- (1) If  $\text{depth } R = 0$ , let  $\hat{R} = S/I$  be a minimal regular Cohen presentation of  $\hat{R}$ . Define  $\text{bm}(R)$  to be  $\text{bm}_S(I)\hat{R} \cap R$  and  $\text{burch}(R)$  to be  $\text{burch}_S(I)$ . These are well-defined by Theorem 1.3.
- (2) Assume  $\text{depth } R > 0$ . Let  $R' = R[z]_{(\mathfrak{m}R[z])}$ . We define  $\text{burch}(R)$  to be the maximum, over all maximal regular sequences  $(\underline{x})$  in  $R'$ , of  $\{\text{burch}(R'/\underline{x}R')\}$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring of depth 0 and consider a regular presentation  $R = S/I$ . Then the  $R$ -ideal  $\text{bm}_S(I)R$  and the number  $\text{burch}_S(I)$  are independent of the presentation of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume  $R$  is complete and not a field. Consider any two presentations of  $R$ ,  $S_1 \twoheadrightarrow R$  and  $S_2 \twoheadrightarrow R$ . Then  $S = S_1 \times_R S_2$  is also complete local, and thus by the Cohen Structure Theorem it is a homomorphic image of another regular local ring  $T$ . Hence it is enough to prove the equality of our definitions for the pair  $(T, S_1)$  and since  $T$  maps onto  $S_1$ , by induction we reduce to the case where our presentations are coming from  $(T, \mathfrak{n}_T) \twoheadrightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n}_S) = T/(x) \twoheadrightarrow R$  where  $x \in \mathfrak{n}_T - (\mathfrak{n}_T)^2$ .

Suppose  $R = S/I$ . Let  $(J, \mathfrak{m})$  be the lift of  $(I, \mathfrak{n}_S)$  to  $T$  respectively. We need to show that  $J\mathfrak{m} + (x) : [(J, x) : \mathfrak{n}] = (J + (x))\mathfrak{n} : [(J, x) : \mathfrak{n}]$ . Observe that  $(J + (x))\mathfrak{n} = J\mathfrak{m} + x\mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $A = T/J\mathfrak{m}$  and (slightly abuse notations)  $x, \mathfrak{n}, H$  be the images of  $x, \mathfrak{n}, (J, x) : \mathfrak{n}$  in  $A$ . We are reduced to showing  $(x) : H = x\mathfrak{n} : H$ . By assumption  $R$  is not a field so  $H \subset \mathfrak{n}$ . Thus, if  $a \in (x) : H$ , then  $aH \subset (x) \cap \mathfrak{n}^2 = (x)\mathfrak{n}$ , and we are done.  $\square$

The following result shows in particular that to compute the Burch index for rings of positive depth one must use regular sequences consisting entirely of elements not in the square of the maximal ideal, that is, *linear* regular sequences:

**Proposition 1.4.** *Let  $S$  be regular and  $I, J$  be ideals such that  $IJ = I \cap J$  (equivalently,  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^S(S/I, S/J) = 0$ ). If  $S/I, S/J$  are both singular, then  $\text{burch}(S/(I + J)) = 0$ . In particular, if  $R = S/(I + f)$ , where  $f$  is a nonzerodivisors in the square of the maximal ideal of  $S/I$ , then  $\text{burch}(R) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = S/(I + J)$ . Then  $R$  is not Tor-friendly, in the language of [2]. Namely, there are  $R$  modules  $M, N$  of infinite projective dimension such that  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(M, N) = 0$ . On the other hand, Burch rings are Tor-friendly, by [5, Theorem 1.4].  $\square$

At this point, we have enough to give basic estimates and precise values for the Burch index of certain well known rings. A lot more will be provided later.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring.*

- (1)  $\text{burch}(R) \leq \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) - \text{depth } R$ .
- (2) If  $R$  is a singular hypersurface, then  $\text{burch}(R) = 1$ .
- (3) Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  be regular and  $J \neq 0$  a proper ideal in  $S$ . Then  $\text{burch}(S/J\mathfrak{n}) = \dim S$ .
- (4) If  $R$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of minimal multiplicity, then  $\text{burch}(R) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) - \text{depth } R$ .

*Proof.* The inequality is obvious if  $\text{depth } R = 0$ . When  $\text{depth } R > 0$ , by 1.4, to compute  $\text{burch}(R)$ , we just need to use a regular sequence in  $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ , thus the statement reduces to the depth 0 case, whence the assertion is obvious.

By the argument above, the computation reduces to the Artinian case, so we can assume  $R = S/(t^a)$  for some DVR  $(S, (t))$  and some  $a > 1$ , for which the claim is clear.

Clearly  $\text{depth } S/J\mathfrak{n} = 0$ . We have  $\text{bm}_S(J\mathfrak{n}) = J\mathfrak{n}^2 : (J\mathfrak{n} : \mathfrak{n}) \subseteq J\mathfrak{n}^2 : J \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{n}^2} = \mathfrak{n}^2$ , where  $\overline{I}$  denotes integral closure of  $I$ . So  $\text{bm}_S(J\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{n}^2$ .

Again, we assume  $R$  is Artinian, and thus has the presentation  $S/\mathfrak{n}^2$  for some regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ , and we can apply the previous item.  $\square$

## 2. BURCH INDEX AND LINEARITY

Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a local ring, let  $I \subseteq S$  an ideal and set  $R = S/I$ . Our main results link high values of Burch index to linear elements in the  $S$ -free resolution of  $R$  and the  $R$ -free resolutions of  $R$ -modules.

**Definition 2.1.** To describe the ‘‘linearity’’ of an  $S$ -module  $M$  we write  $J_n^S(M)$  for the ideal of entries of a minimal presentation matrix of the  $(n-1)$ -st  $S$ -syzygy of  $M$  or, equivalently, the ideal of  $1 \times 1$  minors of the  $n$ -th matrix in a minimal  $S$ -free resolution of  $M$ .

We set  $\text{Lin}_n^S(M) := (J_n^S(M) + \mathfrak{n}^2)/\mathfrak{n}^2$  and define  $\text{lin}_n^S(M) := \dim_k \text{Lin}_n^S(M)$ .

We begin with another characterization of  $\text{bm}_S(I)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $t \in \mathfrak{n}$  be a nonzerodivisor. If  $t \notin \text{bm}_S(I)$  then  $k$  is a direct summand of  $I/tI$ . If  $\text{depth } S \geq 2$  then the converse also holds.*

*Proof.* We consider  $\text{soc}(I/tI) = ((tI : \mathfrak{n}) \cap I)/tI$ . As  $t \in \mathfrak{n}$  is regular,  $tI : \mathfrak{n} \subseteq tI : (t) = I$ , so we can write  $\text{soc}(I/tI) = (tI : \mathfrak{n})/tI$ .  $I/tI$  has no  $k$ -summand if and only if its socle lies inside  $\mathfrak{n}(I/tI)$ , in other words  $(tI) : \mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}I$ . Since  $t(I : \mathfrak{n}) \subseteq (tI) : \mathfrak{n}$ , it follows that  $I/tI$  has no  $k$ -summand would imply  $t(I : \mathfrak{n}) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}I$ , in other words  $t \in \text{bm}_S(I)$ .

If  $\text{depth } S \geq 2$  then  $\mathfrak{n}$  contains an element that is a nonzerodivisor on  $S/tS$ , so  $(tI) : \mathfrak{n} = t(I : \mathfrak{n})$ , and the previous argument can be reversed.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $M$  an  $S$ -module. Set  $V = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . If  $t \in S$  is a regular element on  $M$  and  $S$ , then for each  $i > 0$ ,  $I_i^{S/tS}(M/tM) = I_i^S(M)S/tS$  and  $J_i^S(M) \geq J_i^{S/tS}(M/tM)$ . More precisely,  $\text{lin}_i^S(M) = \text{lin}_i^{S/tS}(M/tM)$  if the image of  $t$  in  $V$  is not in the image of  $\text{Lin}_i^S(M)$  in  $V$ . Otherwise  $\text{lin}_i^S(M) = \text{lin}_i^{S/tS}(M/tM) - 1$ .

Ideals with positive Burch index have large space of linear elements at each step of the minimal resolution:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a local ring of positive depth and of embedding dimension  $n$ , and let  $I \subset \mathfrak{n}$  be an ideal. If  $t \notin \text{bm}_S I$  is a nonzerodivisor then, for each  $0 < i \leq \text{projdim}_S(I)$ ,  $\langle t \rangle + \text{Lin}_i(I) = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ . Thus:*

- (1) *If  $\text{burch}_S I = 1$  then  $\langle t \rangle + \text{Lin}_i(I) = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ ; that is,  $\text{lin}_i(I) \geq n - 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $\text{burch}_S I \geq 2$  and  $\dim(P + \mathfrak{n}^2)/\mathfrak{n}^2 \leq n - 2$  for each associated prime  $P$  of  $S$  then  $\text{Lin}_i(I) = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ ; that is,  $\text{lin}_i(I) = n$ .*

*Proof.* If  $t \notin \text{bm}_S(I)$  is a nonzerodivisor, then 2.2 tells us that  $I/tI$  has a  $k$ -summand. This implies that the resolution of  $I/tI$  over  $S/tS$  contains a direct sum of the resolution of the residue field, so  $I_i^{S/tS}(I/tI) = \mathfrak{n}(S/tS)$ , which implies  $\langle t \rangle + I_i^S(I) = \mathfrak{n}$ .

Note that if  $\text{burch}(I) \geq 1$ , so  $\text{bm}(I) + \mathfrak{n}^2 \neq \mathfrak{n}$ , then by prime avoidance there is a nonzerodivisor  $t \in \mathfrak{n} \setminus \text{bm}(I) + \mathfrak{n}^2$ , and item (1) follows.

If  $\text{burch}(I) \geq 2$  then let the associated primes of  $S$  be  $P_1, \dots, P_a$ . Let  $V_0 = (\text{bm}(I) + \mathfrak{n}^2)/\mathfrak{n}^2$  and  $V_i = (P_i + \mathfrak{n}^2)/\mathfrak{n}^2$ . For each nonzero vector  $t \in \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  outside of  $\cup_{j=0}^a V_j$  we must have  $\langle t \rangle + \text{Lin}_i(I) = \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  and each  $V_j$  has codimension at least 2, so item (2) follows.  $\square$

**Example 2.5.** If  $I$  is an ideal of projective dimension 1, then Corollary 4.1 says that  $\text{burch}(S/I) = \min\{\text{lin}_1^S(I), 2\}$ . But in general we cannot deduce  $\text{burch}(I)$  from the linear spaces of the resolution of  $I$ . For example if  $I = (x^3, y^3, z^3, x^2y, y^2z, z^2x) \subset S = k[[x, y, z]]$ , then  $\text{lin}_1(I) = \text{lin}_2(I) = 3$ , but  $\text{burch}_S(I) = 0$ .

### 3. BURCH INDEX AND $k$ -DIRECT SUMMANDS

Given a local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ , let  $\mathcal{CL}_2(R)$  be the set of ideals of  $R$  with  $\text{length}(R/L) = 2$ . The following Propositions will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.4. Recall the convention that the ideal of entries of a matrix with no columns is  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring. Suppose there is an integer  $s$  such that for each  $L \in \mathcal{CL}_2(R)$ ,  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_i^R(L)$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq s$ . Then, for every  $R$ -module  $M$  of projective dimension  $\geq s + 1$*

$$\mathfrak{m} \subset J_s^R(M) + J_{s+1}^R(M).$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be any  $R$ -module and  $L \in \mathcal{CL}_2(R)$ . We know that  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_i^R(L)$  for some  $i \leq s$ . It follows that  $\text{Tor}_j^R(X, L) = \text{Tor}_{j-i}^R(X, \text{syz}_i^R(L))$  has a  $k$ -summand for each  $s \leq j$  such that  $\text{projdim } X \geq j - i$ .

Let  $I = J_s^R(M) + J_{s+1}^R(M)$ . If  $\mathfrak{m} \not\subseteq I$  then there exists  $L \in \mathcal{CL}_2(R)$  such that  $I \subseteq L$ . After tensoring the minimal resolution of  $M$  with  $R/L$ , the maps in and out of the  $s + 1$  spot become zero, so  $\text{Tor}_{s+1}^R(M, R/L) \cong R/L^{\oplus t}$  for some  $t > 0$ . But such module has no  $k$ -summand, a contradiction.  $\square$

We recall the following, which is [5, Proposition 3.4], slightly reworded.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a local ring,  $I \subset S$  an ideal and  $R = S/I$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $J$  be the lift of  $I_R(M)$  to  $S$ . Suppose that  $J \not\subseteq \text{bm}_S(I)$ . Then  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_2^R(M)$ .*

Before stating the main theorem of this part, we need another preparatory fact on presentation matrix of the maximal ideal.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring, and let  $n = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . If  $n \geq 2$  or  $n = 1$  and  $R$  has multiplicity 2, then  $J_1^R(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$ .*

*Proof.* First, assume  $n \geq 2$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . The Koszul relations  $(0, \dots, -x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots)$  are minimal syzygies, and the non-zero entries in them generate  $\mathfrak{m}$ . In the second case  $\hat{R} = \hat{S}/t^2$ , where  $(S, t)$  is a discrete valuation ring, so  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the cokernel of the multiplication by  $t$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring of depth 0 and embedding dimension  $\geq 2$ . For every non-free  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

- (1) *If  $\text{burch}(R) \geq 2$  then  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_R^i(M)$  for some  $i \leq 5$  and for all  $i \geq 7$ .*
- (2) *If  $\text{burch}(R) = 1$ , and  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_s^R(\text{bm}(l))$  for some  $s \geq 1$ , then  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_R^i(M)$  for some  $i \leq s + 4$  and for all  $i \geq s + 6$ .*

*Thus, if  $R$  is Burch, then  $k$  is a direct summand of all high syzygies of non-free  $R$ -modules if and only if  $k$  is a direct summand of some  $R$ -syzygy of  $\text{bm}(R)$ .*

**Remark 3.5.** The case of embedding dimension 1 is different, but easy to analyze completely: in this case  $\hat{R} = S/t^n$  for some discrete valuation ring  $(S, t)$ , so  $\text{bm}_S(R) = t$ , any indecomposable  $R$ -module has the form  $M = R/t^m$  and the syzygies of  $M$  have  $k$  as direct summand in alternate steps iff  $m = 1$  or  $m = n - 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $L \in \mathcal{CL}_2(R)$  and let  $L'$  be the preimage of  $L$  in  $S$ . Since  $\text{length}(S/L) = 2$  and  $\text{length}(S/\text{bm}_S(I)) \geq 3$ , we have  $L' \not\subseteq \text{bm}_S(I)$ . By Proposition 3.2 the module  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_R^1(L)$ , so by Proposition 3.1 we have  $J_2^R(M) + J_3^R(M) = \mathfrak{m}$ . Now by Proposition 3.2,  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_4^R(M)$  or  $\text{syz}_5^R(M)$ , as claimed.

By repeatedly applying Proposition 3.2 to  $k$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ , using 3.3, we see that  $k$  is a direct summand of  $\text{syz}_i^R k$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . Since  $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \geq 2$ , it also follows that  $k$  is a summand of  $\text{syz}_i^R(M)$  for each  $i \geq 7$ .

In case (2), we note that the preimage of any ideal  $J$  in  $\mathcal{CL}_2(R)$  is not contained in the preimage of  $L = \text{bm}_S(R)$  unless  $J$  is  $L$  itself. Thus the same reasoning applies to every  $L \in \mathcal{CL}(R)$  except for  $\text{bm}(R)$  itself, to which we can apply the hypothesis.  $\square$

**Example 3.6.** We can see that theorem 3.4 is sharp by taking  $S = k[[a, b]]$ ,  $I = (a, b^2)^2$ . Set  $R = S/I$  and let  $M = R/(a, b^2)$ . Then  $\text{bm}_S(I) = (a, b^2)$ ,  $\text{burch}(R) = 1$  and one can see that  $\text{syz}_1^R(M) \cong M^{\oplus 2}$ . Thus  $k$  is not a direct summand of any  $R$ -syzygy of  $M$ .

**Example 3.7.** The bound of 5 in Theorem 3.4 cannot be improved. Let  $k = \mathbb{Z}/(101)$  and  $S = k[x, y, z]$ . Let  $I = (x^3, y^3, z^3)(x, y, z)$  and  $R = S/I$ . Let  $M = R/(xyz)$ . Macaulay2 [8] tells us that  $\text{burch}(R) = 3$  and  $\text{syz}_R^5(M)$  is the first syzygy of  $M$  that has a  $k$ -summand.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring of embedding dimension  $n$  and  $\text{depth } R = t$ . If  $\text{burch}(R) \geq 2$ , then  $\text{lin}_i(M) \geq n - t$  for any module  $M$  of infinite projective dimension and each  $i \geq t + 5$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{depth } R = t$  and  $\text{burch}(R) \geq 2$ . If  $t = 0$ , we use part (1) of 3.4, noting also Lemma 3.3.

The case  $t > 0$  follows from the definition of Burch index, Remark 2.3 and induction.  $\square$

#### 4. EXAMPLES

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a regular local ring. Let  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$  be an ideal of projective dimension 1. Then  $\text{burch}(S/I) = \min\{\text{lin}_1^S(I), 2\}$ .*

*Proof.* Factoring out an appropriate regular sequence to reduce to the depth 0 case, using 2.3 and induction, we may assume  $\dim S = 2$ .

Let  $J = J_1(I)$ , the ideal generated by the entries of the Hilbert-Burch matrix of  $I$ . Consider  $t \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ . By 2.2,  $t \in \text{bm}(I)$  if and only if  $k$  is not a summand of  $I/tI$ . The ring  $S' := S/tS$  is a discrete valuation ring; write  $s$  for its parameter.

The  $S'$ -module  $I/tI$  is a direct sum of copies of  $S'$  and cyclic modules of the form  $S'/\mathfrak{m}^a S'$  for various  $a \geq 1$ . Thus,  $k$  is a summand of  $I/tI$  if and only if  $\text{Lin}_1^{S'/tS'}(I/tI) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2(S'/tS')$  or, equivalently,  $(t) + J \not\subseteq (t) + \mathfrak{m}^2$ . From this observation,  $\text{bm}_S(I)$  is equal to:  $\mathfrak{m}$  if  $\text{lin}_1(I) = 0$ ,  $J$  if  $\text{lin}_1(I) = 1$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  if  $\text{lin}_1(I) = 2$ , and our statement follows.  $\square$

Here we apply the above to a geometric case:

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be the homogenous coordinatizing ring of  $d > 1$  generic points in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  where  $k$  is an algebraically closed field. Then  $\text{burch}(R)$  is*

- 1 if  $d = 2$ .

- 0 if  $d = \binom{2s+1}{2} + s$  for some integer  $s > 0$ .
- 2 in all other cases.

*Proof.* Write  $R = S/I$  where  $S = k[x, y, z]$ . Since  $\text{projdim}_S I = 1$ , by 4.1 we just need to compute  $l_1^S(I)$ . The degrees of elements in the Hilbert-Burch matrix  $H$  of  $I$  are well-known, see for instance [7, Exercises 12, 13, pp 50]. First, write  $d$  (uniquely) as  $d = \binom{s+t+1}{2} + s$ , where  $s, t$  are non-negative integers. The linear forms in  $H$  form a submatrix  $\tilde{L}$  of size  $(t+1) \times (t-s)$  if  $t \geq s$ , or  $(s-t) \times s$  if  $s \geq t$ . Since we are in the generic situation, the space of linear forms has dimension  $\min\{3, n\}$  where  $n$  is the number of entries in  $L$ , thus  $\text{lin}_1(I) = \min\{2, n\}$ . It is easy to see that  $n = 1$  if and only if  $s = 1, t = 0$ ,  $n = 0$  if and only if  $s = t$ , and the assertions are now clear.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a polynomial ring and  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$  a homogenous ideal of depth 1. Suppose that the minimal generating degree of  $I : \mathfrak{m}$  is less than the minimal generating degree of  $I$ . Then  $\text{burch}(S/I) = \dim S$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g$  is the minimal generating degree of  $I : \mathfrak{m}$ , then no linear forms can multiply a generator with degree  $g$  to  $I\mathfrak{m}$ , which starts in degree at least  $g+2$ , thus  $\text{bm}_S(I) = \mathfrak{m}^2$ , as required.  $\square$

If  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal in a polynomial ring in  $p$  variables, then we say that  $I$  the resolution of  $I$  is *almost linear* if it is linear for  $p-1$  steps where  $p = \text{projdim}_S I$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a polynomial ring and  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$  an ideal with almost linear resolution. Suppose that  $\text{lin}_p(I) > 0$ . Then  $\text{burch}(S/I) = \dim S - \text{depth } S/I$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume  $\text{depth } S/I = 0$ . Let  $g$  be the smallest degree of a generator of  $I$ . Then the resolution assumption tells us that the socle of  $I$  has a minimal generator in degree  $g-1$ , and 4.3 applies.  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** Corollary 4.4 can not be improved. There are Artinian Gorenstein ideals with almost linear resolution in each generating degree  $d > 1$  and number of variables  $n > 2$ , see for instance [6, Example 3.2]. Since the quotients are Gorenstein and not hypersurfaces, the Burch index is 0 (the syzygies of  $k$  will never have  $k$  summands, as they are indecomposable).

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be a polynomial ring and  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}^2$  an ideal with linear resolution. Then  $\text{burch}(S/I) = \dim S - \text{depth } S/I$ .*

**Example 4.7** (Fibre product). Let  $A = k[[x_1, \dots, x_a]]/I$  and  $B = k[[y_1, \dots, y_b]]/J$  be minimal presentations of local rings of depth 0. Let  $S = A \times_k B = k[[x_1, \dots, x_a, y_1, \dots, y_b]]/(I + J + (x_1, \dots, x_a)(y_1, \dots, y_b))$ . Then it can be shown that  $\text{burch}(S) = \text{burch}(A) + \text{burch}(B)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Avramov, *Infinite resolutions*, Six lectures on commutative algebra, 1–118, Mod. Birkhäuser Class., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [2] L. Avramov, S. Iyengar, S. Nasseh and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Persistence of homology over commutative noetherian rings*, J. Algebra, to appear.
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **39**, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [4] L. Burch, *On ideals of finite homological dimension in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **64** (1968), 941–948.
- [5] H. Dao, T. Kobayashi and R. Takahashi, *Burch ideals and Burch rings*, Algebra Number Theory, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 8, 2121–2150.

- [6] H. Dao and D. Eisenbud, *Linearity of Free Resolutions of Monomial Ideals*, Res. Math. Sci. **9** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 35, 15 pp.
- [7] D. Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 229. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [8] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, Available at <https://math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>

HAILONG DAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, 405 SNOW HALL, 1460 JAYHAWK BLVD., LAWRENCE, KS 66045

*Email address:* `hdao@ku.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY AND THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA

*Email address:* `de@msri.org`